

# Creating a Social Needs Screening and Referral Experiential Learning Opportunity

Ashley Grospitch, Emily Clear, MPH, Rachel-Hogg Graham, DrPH | University of Kentucky, College of Public Health

## BACKGROUND

### Social Determinants of Health:

The Social Determinants of Health (SDOH) characterize the environmental, social, and political forces that shape our health, including employment status, healthcare access, education, housing conditions, and more<sup>1</sup>. Research has shown SDOHs play a predictive role in health outcomes so additional research is needed to support targeted interventions addressing SDOHs<sup>1</sup>.



### CMS Requirements<sup>2</sup>:

Performance Measure	Title	Description
SDOH-1	Screening for Social Drivers of Health	Report if screening for the five SDOH domains
SDOH-2	Screen Positive Rate for Social Drivers of Health	Report positive screening rates for five SDOH domains

Starting in 2023, the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) required hospitals reporting to the Inpatient Quality Reporting (IQR) program to both screen patients for SDOHs and record positive screening rates<sup>2</sup>. This includes University of Kentucky (UK) Healthcare. The five domains requiring screening by CMS include: food insecurity, housing instability, transportation needs, utility difficulties, and interpersonal safety<sup>2</sup>.

## PROBLEM AND PROPOSAL

**Problem:** Hospitals with healthcare worker shortages and limited resources to grow staff may have a reduced capacity to implement and maintain screening<sup>3</sup>.

**Proposal:** Creating a student experiential opportunity to support social needs screening and referral is a potential solution to meet the personnel gap<sup>3</sup>.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS



## METHODS

A literature review was conducted in UK Libraries' search engine, InfoKat, using the queries: "Social Determinants of Health Screening," ["Social Determinants of Health Screening" AND "implementation"], ["Social Determinants of Health Screening" AND "referral"], and ["Social Determinants of Health Screening" AND ("student volunteer" OR "student" OR "volunteer")]. Results were screened for relevancy to topic by discussion of specific screening, referral, and implementation methods, especially when utilizing students in volunteer- or credit-based experiences. Post-screening, a total of 15 articles were reviewed for strengths and weaknesses regarding social needs and referral screenings, with 5 directly informing models, seen in the model tables.

## IMPLICATIONS

Per the CMS mandate, hospitals reporting to the IQR program are required to both report a screening mechanism for SDOHs and positive screening rates<sup>2</sup>. While screening and referral (S&R) programs are important in decreasing barriers to healthcare access and improving overall health outcomes, limited resources and staff may create difficulty in expanding current employee roles to encompass S&R programs<sup>3</sup>. In exploring the strengths and weakness of both employee-based and student-based S&R programs, there are benefits and drawbacks to both; however, student-based S&R programs create a unique opportunity to decrease burden on staff and provide hands-on clinical experience for students interested in healthcare<sup>3-5</sup>. Both models are not mutually exclusive and could be combined for an effective S&R program, especially when utilizing students in screening and follow-up and community health workers in referrals<sup>3,5,7</sup>. This necessitates further research into the character and quality of referral networks and its impact on the effectiveness of S&R programs.

## MODEL COMPARISONS

Student Experience Models						
Student Role	Program	Setting	Screening Format	Referral Format	Strengths	Weaknesses
<b>Volunteer as Staff<sup>4</sup></b>	Volunteer staff, including undergraduate and graduate nursing students, performed screenings and referrals <sup>4</sup>	Nurse-Led Mobile Clinic <sup>4</sup>	Paper <sup>4</sup>	Information Provided by Patient Request <sup>4</sup>	Nursing education included background in SDOHs, so volunteers had prior familiarity <sup>4</sup>	Literacy issues may have prevented patients from filling out forms <sup>4</sup> Patient responses must be reported manually and could have resulted in reporting errors <sup>4</sup> Patients may have chosen or forgotten to reach out, reducing the likelihood a patient is followed up with <sup>4</sup>
<b>Service-Learning Program, More Conservative Model<sup>3</sup></b>	Undergraduate-led program where trained students performed ONLY follow-up <sup>3</sup>	Academic Emergency Department <sup>3</sup>	Electronic Medical Records (EMR) Integration <sup>3</sup>	Automatic <sup>3</sup>	Supported the behavioral health team performing the screening and referral process by increasing follow-up by calling patients <sup>3</sup>	Data was entered twice because students were not able to have access to the EMRs in maintaining system security <sup>3</sup>
<b>Service-Learning Program, Less Conservative Model<sup>5</sup></b>	Undergraduate-led program where trained students performed both screening, referral, AND follow-up <sup>5</sup>	Academic Emergency Department <sup>5</sup>	Digital tablet <sup>5</sup>	Automatic <sup>5</sup>	Provided additional capacity for follow-up with patients and reduced burden on hospital staff by passing the task to students <sup>5</sup>	Undergraduates were required to maintain their position for nine months, decreasing turnover <sup>5</sup>

Expanding Employee Role Models						
Employee Role	Program	Setting	Screening Format	Referral Format	Strengths	Weaknesses
<b>Hospital Staff<sup>6</sup></b>	Staff who checked patients in and collected insurance information were trained in both administering a screening survey and referring patients <sup>6</sup>	Academic Emergency Department <sup>6</sup>	Digital tablet <sup>6</sup>	Information Provided by Patient Request <sup>6</sup>	Shared referrals in real-time to community partners, who then reached out to patients, reducing the likelihood a patient would not be followed up with <sup>6</sup> Staff felt given their experience and training, they were well-equipped to determine if patients would benefit from screening and referral services <sup>6</sup>	Staff felt initially uncomfortable asking questions about unmet social needs <sup>6</sup> Despite minimal evidence of logistical barriers or barriers related to time required to screen, only a third of patients who screened positive for a social need requested a referral <sup>6</sup>
<b>Medical Assistants &amp; Community Health Workers<sup>7</sup></b>	Medical Assistants and Community Health Workers worked in tandem to complete both screenings and referrals <sup>7</sup>	Outpatient Family Medicine Clinic <sup>7</sup>	Paper or orally, then EMR Entry <sup>7</sup>	Information Provided by Patient Request <sup>7</sup>	Decreased barriers for people with low literacy and people who were visually- or hearing- impaired by offering multiple formats <sup>7</sup> Medical Assistants provided an initial screening then referral with resource fliers. Then Community Health Workers assisted patients with accessing services by filling out forms, accompanying patients, arranging meetings, and more <sup>7</sup>	

1. Centers for Disease Control. Social determinants of health. Published 2023. <https://www.cdc.gov/publichealthgateway/sdoh/index.html>

2. Quality Reporting Center. Screening for social drivers of health measure and the screen positive rate for social drivers of health. [https://qualityreportingcenter.com/globalassets/2023/04/iqr/scrsmocdrvrs\\_scm\\_pos\\_specs-thi-edits-v2508.pdf](https://qualityreportingcenter.com/globalassets/2023/04/iqr/scrsmocdrvrs_scm_pos_specs-thi-edits-v2508.pdf)

3. Sandhu S, Xu J, Blanchard L, et al. A Community Resource Navigator Model: Utilizing Student Volunteers to Integrate Health and Social Care in a Community Health Center Setting. *Int J Integr Care*. 2021;21(1):2. doi: 10.5334/ijic.5501

4. Mullen L, Oermann M, Cockroft M, et al. Screening for the social determinants of health: Referring patients to community-based services. *J Am Assoc Nurse Pract*. 2023;35(12):835-842. doi: 10.1097/JXX.0000000000000922

5. Cohen A, Hu S, Bellon M, et al. A lasting impact? Exploring the immediate and longitudinal impact of an emergency department service learning help desk program. *AEM Educ Train*. 2022;6(3):e10760. doi: 10.1002/aet2.10760

6. Wallace A, Luther B, Sisler S, et al. Integrating social determinants of health screening and referral during routine emergency department care: Evaluation of reach and implementation challenges. *Implement Sci Commun*. 2021;2(1):114. doi: 10.1186/s43058-021-00212-y

7. Page-Reeves J, Kaufman W, Bleeker M, et al. Addressing social determinants of health in a clinic setting: The WellRx pilot in Albuquerque, New Mexico. *J Am Board Fam Med*. 2016;29(3):414-8. doi: 10.3122/jabfm.2016.03.150272