



PROJECT MUSE®

Index

Published by

Goldberg, Steven.

Culture Clash: Law and Science in America.

NYU Press, 1994.

Project MUSE. <https://muse.jhu.edu/book/15796>.



➔ For additional information about this book

<https://muse.jhu.edu/book/15796>

Index

- Adams, John, 27
Adams, John Quincy, 33
Administrative agencies: central role in science funding, 44–47; central role in technology regulation, 67–90; judicial review, 54–56; policy decisions, 17
Administrative Procedure Act of 1946, 34
Adversary system: absence in peer review, 57; central role in legal system, 18; importance in regulation of technology, 89, 178
Anderson, W. French, 125–26
Andrews v. State, 117 n. 14
Andrus v. Sierra Club, 143
Apier v. Richardson, 59
Artificial Intelligence: artificial life, 152–54; chess-playing machines, 157–58, 162–63, 173; debate over computer consciousness, 158–66, historical origins, 151–54; implications for human uniqueness, 155–66, 172–77; implications for legal definition of death, 166–77; practical impact, 154–55. *See also* Computers
Astrology, 8
Babbage, Charles, 151
Bazelon, David L., 18
Beauty: in law, 19; in science, 19, 116
Becquerel, Edmund, 146
Bell Laboratories, 146, 148
Berger, Peter L., 80
“Big science, little science,” 121–22, 144–45, 154
Board of Trustees of Stanford University v. Sullivan, 41
Bowers v. Hardwick, 78 n. 72, 81 n. 93
Brandeis, Louis D., 114–15
Brandenburg v. Ohio, 31 n. 46
Breeder reactor, 98
Brilliance in science and law, 6, 7, 19
Brophy v. New England Sinai Hospital, 170–71
Buchanan, Allen, 171
Buckley v. Valeo, 40
Burke, Edmund, 5
Bush, Vannevar, 181
Bushnell, David, 32
Carter, James E., 147
Carter, Stephen, 77, 78, 81
Charron, William, 171
Church of the Lukumi Babalu Aye, Inc. v. City of Hialeah, 80 n. 90
Comptroller General, 50
Computers, 99–103, 178. *See also* Artificial Intelligence
Congressional Research Service, 50
Cooley, Thomas, 115
Copyright, 102–3
County of Allegheny v. American Civil Liberties Union, 81 n. 93

- Courts: limited role in science funding decisions, 54–68; major role in disability funding decisions, 63–64; major role in regulatory decisions, 90–94
- Cruzan v. Director, Missouri Department of Health*, 170, 172
- Daniel v. Waters*, 75 n. 51
- Darwin, Charles, 7, 72–73, 113–14, 156–57, 176
- Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals*, 20–23
- Deconstructionist view of science, 10
- Definition of death, 166–77. See also Artificial Intelligence
- Demuth Development Corp. v. Merck and Co., Inc.*, 30 n. 36
- Department of Defense, 44, 48, 51, 108, 134
- Department of Energy, 44, 48, 108, 118–20, 135, 138, 147
- Department of Science: absence of, 48; dangers of, 52–53
- De Solla Price, Derek J., 122
- De Tocqueville, Alexis, 5
- Diamond v. Diehr*, 101 n. 43
- DNA typing, 116–17
- Doe v. Commonwealth*, 77–78
- “Earmarking” of research funds, 45–46
- Edelman, Gerald, 159, 165–66, 176
- Eduards v. Aguirre*, 76–77
- Einstein, Albert, 7, 132, 175
- Eisenhower, Dwight D., 80, 147
- Enlightenment: idea of progress, 70, 81; view of religion, 70; view of science, 3, 26–28, 69. See also Progress
- Environmental Protection Agency, 87–88, 90, 148
- Epperson v. Arkansas*, 74–75, 77
- Falsifiability, 8
- Firestone v. First District Dental Society*, 30 n. 34
- Food and Drug Administration, 87–88, 90, 125
- Foundation on Economic Trends v. Lyng*, 143
- Frank, Jerome, 19
- Frankfurter, Felix, 19
- Franklin, Benjamin, 27
- Fraud in scientific research, 57
- Free speech: relation to science, 28–31; relation to technology, 86–87; tension with government funding, 39–43
- Freneau, Philip, 28
- Freud, Sigmund, 155, 156, 157
- Funding of scientific research: agriculture, 36; census, 32; central role of administrative agencies, 44–47; coinage, weights, and measures, 33–34; grant vs. contract, 48–49, 61–62; importance of science community, 66–67; levels of federal spending, 37–38; military, 32–33; plans for a national university, 31; role of peer review, 56–57; spending for the general welfare, 35–37; state governments, 37; surveys, 31; tension with free speech, 39–43
- Galileo, 27, 71–72
- “Golden Fleece” awards, 51, 53–54
- Goodell, Rac, 104
- Gottschalk v. Benson*, 100 n. 40
- Graff, Gerald, 4
- Grassetti v. Weinberger*, 59–60
- Gray v. Rome*, 171
- Green, Harold, 93
- Green, Michael, 171
- Hamilton, Alexander, 27, 35, 37
- Hazen, Robert, 109
- Heisenberg, Werner, 19
- Himmelfarb, Gertrude, 4
- Holmes, Oliver Wendell, 17
- Howard Hughes Medical Institute, 119
- Human Genome Initiative: “big science, little science” controversy, 121–22; congressional oversight of, 120; employment issues, 127; gene therapy, 124–27; goal of, 117–18; historical background, 112–16, 118–22; implications for determinism and free will, 128–31; insurance issues, 127; privacy issues, 124–27; role of science counselors, 123–26

- In re Conroy*, 175
 International Thermonuclear Experimental Reactor (ITER), 145
In the Matter of Karen Quinlan, 170
- Japan: government support for technology, 1; reception of the theory of evolution, 72
 Jasenoff, Sheila, 90, 105
 Jefferson, Thomas, 27, 28, 33, 47, 70, 71
- Kafka, Franz, 65
Katz v. United States, 114 n. 4
 Kirschstein, Ruth, 122
Kleppe v. Sierra Club, 142–43
Kletschka v. Driver, 57–58
 Kuhn, Thomas, 9, 10
- Langton, Christopher, 153
 Lapp, Ralph, 3
Lincoln v. Vigil, 45, 56
 Line item veto, 53–54
 Lovins, Amory, 148–49
Lynch v. Donnelly, 81 n. 92
 Lyserkoism, 53
- Madison, James, 27, 31, 35–36, 37, 70–71
 Mansfield Amendment, 51
Martindale v. HEW, 60–61
 Marshall, John, 7
 Mathematics, history of, 15
 Mazlish, Bruce, 157
 McCarthy, John, 152
McGowan v. Maryland, 81 n. 94
McLean v. Arkansas, 76
 Mendel, Gregor, 112–14
Metaphysics v. Imperato, 30 n. 38
Miller v. California, 29–30
 Mission-oriented research, 24, 106. *See also* funding of scientific research
Moore v. Gaston County Board of Education, 75 n. 53
 Moravec, Hans, 159
Mozert v. Hawkins County Board of Education, 79 n. 77
- National Academy of Sciences, 11, 50, 121, 149
- National Aeronautics and Space Administration, 48, 147, 154
 National Environmental Policy Act, 139–44
 National Institutes of Health, 24, 44, 28, 56, 59, 60, 61, 89, 119–20, 125
 National laboratories, 48
 National Science Foundation, 24, 44, 48, 56, 88–89, 108, 154
Near v. Minnesota, 30 n. 43
 News media and science, 50
 Newton, Isaac, 6, 7, 26, 27
 Nuclear fission, 96–98, 132–33, 178
 Nuclear fusion: alternative approaches, 136–38; contrast with solar energy, 148–49; funding cutbacks, 144–45; historical and technical background, 131–35; international program, 145; limits on judicial control of research, 139–44; potential social problems, 135–36, 137–38; promises of breakthroughs, 131
 Nuclear Regulatory Commission, 87–88, 90
- Obscenity, 29–30
 Occupational Safety and Health Administration, 148
 Office of Management and Budget, 49, 51, 105
 Office of Science and Technology Policy, 49, 121
 Office of Technology Assessment, 50, 107, 123
Olmstead v. United States, 114 n. 3, 115 n. 5
 Oppenheimer, J. Robert, 13, 18, 104
- Pacific Gas and Electric Co. v. State Energy Resources Conservation and Development Commission*, 97 n. 31
Parker v. Flook, 100–101 n. 41
 Patents: computer software, 99–103; constitutional basis, 34–35; early history in the United States, 47
 Pauling, Linus, 104, 116
 Peer review: central role in research funding decisions, 46, 56–57, 178, 180; im-

- Peer review (*Continued*)
 importance of panel membership, 66; limited role in regulation of technology, 89
- Penrose, Roger, 159, 164–65, 176
- People v. Capistrano Unified School District*, 78 n. 74
- People v. Castro*, 117 n. 16
- Pollock, John, 159
- Pope, Alexander, 26
- Popper, Karl, 8
- President of the United States: access to science advice, 49; appointment power, 49; desire for payoffs from science, 50
- Press, Frank, 121
- Priority: in law, 114–17; in science, 7–8, 114, 116
- Process, central role in law, 13–20, 106
- Progress: central role in science, 7–13, 20–23; enlightenment roots, 70, 81; importance to science counselors, 106–7; role in formation of American values, 81–83, 95, 146, 149–50
- Proxmire, William, 53
- Pure science: basic characteristics, 23–24, 106; necessity of protecting, 182–83; uncertain nature of progress, 12, 112–14, 132, 183. *See also* Funding of scientific research
- Quinn, Kevin, 173, 175
- Rawls, John, 81
- Recombinant DNA research, 123–24
- Regina v. Hicklin*, 29
- Regulation of technology: central role of administrative agencies, 87–90; constitutional basis, 84–86; lack of consensus in scientific community, 89–90; limited role of First Amendment, 86–87; vital role of the courts, 90–94
- Regulatory gap, 2, 94–96, 103, 109, 136, 138, 155, 179, 180–83
- Reischauer, Edwin, 72
- Religion: civil religion in the United States, 79–80; creationism, 75–77; diversity in the United States, 80–81; framers' views and the First Amendment, 69–72; theory of evolution and the law, 72–79. *See also* Values
- Rhoden, Nancy, 174–75
- Rifkin, Jeremy, 125
- Rittenhouse, David, 26, 27, 28, 32, 33
- Roth v. United States*, 29
- Rush, Benjamin, 26, 27, 28, 32
- Rust v. Sullivan*, 41
- Sagan, Carl, 104
- Schuck, Peter, 4, 15
- Schumacher, E. F., 149
- Science counselor, 2, 103–8, 123–26, 135, 179, 181–83
- Scientific community, self-governing nature, 11, 46, 56–57, 66–67, 178. *See also* Funding of scientific research; Regulation of technology
- Scientists' Institute for Public Information v. Atomic Energy Commission*, 140–42
- Scopes trial, 73–74
- Seale, John, 159–64, 176
- Silkwood v. Kerr-McGee Corp.*, 97 n. 32
- Singer, Peter, 156–57
- Smith, David Randolph, 171
- Smith v. State*, 75 n. 50
- Snow, C. P., 3, 4, 50
- Solar energy: access to sunlight, 149; contrast with nuclear fusion, 148–49; historical development, 146–47; environmental concerns, 147–48
- Stanley v. Georgia*, 31 n. 45
- Steele v. Waters*, 73 n. 52
- Superconducting supercollider, 50, 144
- Superconductivity, 198–11
- Technology: link to science, 11, 12, 13. *See also* Regulation of technology
- Technology assessment, 107–8. *See also* Regulation of technology
- Teller, Edward, 104
- Texas v. Johnson*, 87 n. 10
- Turing, Alan: role in development of artificial intelligence, 152; "Turing test," 159–60
- "Two Cultures," 3, 4, 5, 181
- Ujvarosy v. Sullivan*, 61
- United States Congress: access to science advice, 49–50; desire for payoffs from

- science, 50; oversight of Human Genome Initiative, 120; role in regulation of technology, 87; role in science funding, 44–47
- United States v. Butler*, 37
- United States v. Eichman*, 87 n. 10
- United States v. O'Brien*, 86 n. 7
- United States v. Sullivan*, 85 n. 2
- United States v. The Progressive, Inc.*, 30 n. 44
- Updike, John, 166
- Values: role of religion in shaping, 80–83, 130, 149–50, 166, 176–77, 179–80; role of science in shaping, 81–83, 128–30, 145–46, 149, 172–77, 179–80
- Veatch, Robert, 166, 171
- Von Neumann, John, 153
- “War on cancer,” 51
- Warren, Samuel D., 115
- Washington, George, 32
- Watson, J. D., 93, 115–16, 124
- Webster v. New Lenox School District No. 122*, 78 n. 73
- Weisskopf, Victor, 24
- Wikler, Daniel, 171
- Wilson, James Q., 92

