



**National  
Association of  
Neonatal  
Nurses**

EBP Initiative Title \_\_\_\_\_

1 = inadequate, 2 = below average, 3 = average, 4 = above average, 5 = superior

**Criteria**

<b>EBP Proposal</b>	<b>1 inadequate</b>	<b>2 Below average</b>	<b>3 average</b>	<b>4 Above average</b>	<b>5 Superior</b>
<b>1. Evidence Based Practice Initiative Overview</b>					
<b>Clinical Inquiry</b> Describe the “burning clinical question” which sparked the desire to do an EBP/QI					
<b>Background and Significance of the problem:</b> Provide a review and critical evaluation of pertinent, recent (within the last 7 years) literature related to the purpose of this initiative Identify how the research evidence supports the proposed improvements in current practice.					
<b>Statement of purpose</b> Provide a statement of the purpose of the proposed initiative and the significance of this information for caring for neonates. Include how the initiative aligns with NANN organizational strategic plan, mission, and/or vision.					
<b>Internal Data:</b> Describe previous work you have done related to this proposed EBP initiative (if any). This section helps establish the ability of the investigator to conduct this EBP Initiative and demonstrates how the proposed EBP initiative expands on previous work by the applicant					
<b>2. Plan and Methods</b>					
<b>Model</b> Identify the EBP model; Describe the approach or steps that will be used to implement and evaluate the EBP initiative; plans for ongoing evaluation including nursing and neonate/family outcomes, and plans for maintenance of the EBP initiative					

<b>EBP Proposal</b>	<b>1 inadequate</b>	<b>2 Below average</b>	<b>3 average</b>	<b>4 Above average</b>	<b>5 Superior</b>
<b>Organizational Readiness</b> <i>Identify structures in place to support EBP; Identify potential facilitators to EBP/QI implementation and plans to maximize. Identify potential barriers to EBP/QI implementation and plans to counter</i>					
<b>Environment &amp; Resources:</b> <i>Describe the environment in which the project or study will be conducted. Describe the resources available to do your project or study.</i>					
<b>3. Literature search using PICO(T)</b>					
<i>PICO(T) question aligns with the statement of purpose and model identified.</i>					
<b>4. Critical appraisal tools</b>					
<b>Flow chart diagram</b> <i>(upload)</i>					
<b>Levels of evidence synthesis table</b> <i>(upload)</i>					
<b>Outcome synthesis table</b> <i>(upload)</i>					
<b>Reference List</b> <i>using APA 7<sup>th</sup> edition formatting</i>					
<b>5. Recommendations</b>					
<b>Recommendation</b> <i>Beginning with the statement “based on the evidence...” Clearly and concisely summarizes the evidence which supports the EBP purpose.</i>					
<b>6. Implementation – 6a (QI). OR 6b (EBP).</b>					
<b>6a. Proposed QI plan</b> <i>identify QI process to use; review connected policies &amp; procedures, identify if new, additional team members needed, state data points and outcomes measures, include anticipated ROI</i>					

<b>EBP Proposal</b>	<b>1 inadequate</b>	<b>2 Below average</b>	<b>3 average</b>	<b>4 Above average</b>	<b>5 Superior</b>
<b>6b Proposed sustainability</b> <i>create/revise policies, financial support for sustainability.</i>					
<b>6b. Proposed Implementation</b> <i>identify implementation strategy, determine if new, additional team members needed, review &amp; edit policies &amp; procedures if needed</i>					
<b>6b. Proposed Evaluation</b> <i>identify data points and outcomes measure, identify ROI</i>					
<b>7. Timeline</b>					
<b>Timeline</b> <i>feasible and includes salient points.</i>					
<b>8. Instruments</b>					
<b>Copies of ...</b> <i>[uploads – limit 5 documents]</i>					
<b>9. Dissemination / future impact</b>					
<b>Dissemination</b> <i>well-conceived, goal-oriented plan for dissemination of project and how will impact future of the home environment in which it was implemented.</i>					
<b>SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS</b>					
<b>IRB Approval</b>					
<b>IRB Training (if required)</b> <i>provide your certificates for Training in the Responsible Conduct of Research certification(s)</i>					
<b>Letters of Support</b> <i>Letter by the mentor</i>					
<i>Organizational letter of support</i>					

<b>EBP Proposal</b>	<b>1 inadequate</b>	<b>2 Below average</b>	<b>3 average</b>	<b>4 Above average</b>	<b>5 Superior</b>
<b>Budget Narrative</b> <i>[upload]</i>					

**NANN Research Grant Proposal Score Sheet**

Scoring : 1 = inadequate, 2 = below average, 3 = average, 4 = above average, 5 = superior Components that are not applicable (N/A) for a given proposal are not included in the total possible score

**GRAND TOTAL : \_\_\_\_\_ / \_\_\_\_\_ TOTAL POSSIBLE POINTS**

**Reviewer Comments/Suggestions:**