USDA-ARS/ U.S. Wheat and Barley Scab Initiative

FY06 Final Performance Report (approx. May 06 – April 07) July 16, 2007

Cover Page

PI:	Nicholas S. Hill
Institution:	University of Georgia
Address:	Department of Crop and Soil Sciences
	3111 Miller Plant Sci. Bldg.
	Athens, GA 30602
E-mail:	nhill@uga.edu
Phone:	706-542-0923
Fax:	706-542-0918
Fiscal Year:	2006
USDA-ARS Agreement ID:	59-0790-4-134
USDA-ARS Agreement	Validating a Rapid Immunological Test for Fusarium graminearum.
Title:	
FY06 ARS Award Amount:	\$ 14,343

USWBSI Individual Project(s)

USWBSI Research Area*	Project Title	ARS Award Amount
VDUN	ELISA-based F. graminearum Phenotyping for Grain Breeding and Genetic Programs.	\$ 14,343
	Total Award Amount	\$ 14,343

Principal Investigator

Date

^{*} CBCC – Chemical, Biological & Cultural Control

EEDF - Etiology, Epidemiology & Disease Forecasting

FSTU - Food Safety, Toxicology, & Utilization of Mycotoxin-contaminated Grain

GET – Genetic Engineering & Transformation

HGR - Host Genetics Resources

HGG - Host Genetics & Genomics

PGG – Pathogen Genetics & Genomics

VDUN - Variety Development & Uniform Nurseries

FY06 (approx. May 06 – April 07) PI: Hill, Nicholas S.

USDA-ARS Agreement #: 59-0790-4-134

Project 1: ELISA-based F. graminearum Phenotyping for Grain Breeding and Genetic Programs.

1. What major problem or issue is being resolved and how are you resolving it?

Evaluating Fusarium head blight (FHB) involves inoculating barley (Hordeum vulgare L.) with Fusarium graminearum Schwabe [teleomorph Gibberella zeae (Schwein.) Petch] follwed by visual observation of disease and analysis for deoxynivalenol (DON). However, disease symptoms and DON are not always correlated because both are affected by environmental variables. An ELISA, detection system was developed and compared to DON and visual assessment of FHB in a barley doubled-haploid mapping population and the North American barley scab evaluation nursery (NABSEN). All methods of evaluation had genotype x environment interactions typical of FHB experiments, but the ELISA had lower experiment-wise coefficients of variability. Visual symptomology was not correlated with abundance of *Fusarium* (ELISA) in grain nor the DON content after harvest. Samples that were low in ELISA were also low in DON but not all samples low in DON had low *Fusarium* abundance. We found that temperature and osmotic potential affect DON production by Fusarium. Neither temperature, osmotic potential, nor *Fusarium* species had an effect on abundance of antigen in mycelia of the fungi when grown in vitro.

2. List the most important accomplishment and its impact (how is it being used?). Complete all three sections (repeat sections for each major accomplishment):

Accomplishment: Evaluating Fusarium head blight (FHB) involves inoculating barley (Hordeum vulgare L.) with Fusarium graminearum Schwabe [teleomorph Gibberella zeae (Schwein.) Petch] follwed by visual observation of disease and analysis for deoxynivalenol (DON). However, disease symptoms and DON are not always correlated because both are affected by environmental variables. An ELISA, detection system was developed and compared to DON and visual assessment of FHB in a barley doubled-haploid mapping population and the North American barley scab evaluation nursery (NABSEN). All methods of evaluation had genotype x environment interactions typical of FHB experiments, but the ELISA had lower experiment-wise coefficients of variability. Visual symptomology was not correlated with abundance of *Fusarium* (ELISA) in grain nor the DON content after harvest. Samples that were low in ELISA were also low in DON but not all samples low in DON had low *Fusarium* abundance. We found that temperature and osmotic potential affect DON production by Fusarium. Neither temperature, osmotic potential, nor *Fusarium* species had an effect on abundance of antigen in mycelia of the fungi when grown in vitro.

Impact: ELISA is a more robust estimate of fungal infestation than FHB or DON individually, and is a practical alternative to dual testing for FHB and DON in plant breeding and genetic programs. Increased precision and accuracy of fungal infestation will increase the effectiveness of plant breeding programs breeding for resistance to *Fusarium*. The ELISA protocol is simple and robust and can, therefore, be expanded for use in disease etiology, forecasting, and management.

FY06 (approx. May 06 – April 07)
PI: Hill, Nicholas S.

USDA-ARS Agreement #: 59-0790-4-134

As a result of that accomplishment, what does your particular clientele, the scientific community, and agriculture as a whole have now that they didn't have before?

The scientific community now has an efficient system for Fusarium screening. Antibody-producing cell lines are being maintained and can be easily commercialized if warranted by demand.

Include below a list of the publications, presentations, peer-reviewed articles, and non-peer reviewed articles written about your work that resulted from all of the projects included in the grant. Please reference each item using an accepted journal format. If you need more space, continue the list on the next page.

Journal articles

Hill, N.S., P. Schwarz, L.S. Dahleen, S.M. Neate, R. Horsley, A.E. Glenn, and K. O'Donnell. 2006. ELISA analysis for Fusarium in Barley: Development of methodology and Field Assessment. Crop Science 2636-2642.

N.S. Hill, S.M.Neate, B. Cooper, R. Horsley, P. Schwarz, L.S. Dahleen, K.P. Smith, K. O'Donnell, J. Reeves. 200X. ELISA analysis for *Fusarium* in barley: Application in field nurseries. Crop Science (submitted)

N.S. Hill, S. Neate, B. Cooper, R. Horsley, P. Schwarz, L.S. Dahleen, K.P. Smith, R. Dill-Macky, K. O'Donnell, J. Reeves. Is There Value in Quantifying *Fusarium* Mycelium for Breeding FHB Resistance? In. S.M. Canty, A. Clark, and D. Van Sanford (ed). Proceedings of the 2006 National Fusarium Head Blight Forum; 10-12 December, 2006; Durham, NC. East Lansing, MI p. 98.

D.B. Cooper, L. Skoglund, and N.S. Hill. Complementary screening techniques for selection of barley breeding lines with improved reaction to fusarium head blight. In. S.M. Canty, A. Clark, and D. Van Sanford (ed). Proceedings of the 2006 National Fusarium Head Blight Forum; 10-12 December, 2006; Durham, NC. East Lansing, MI p. 93.

N.S. Hill, S. Neate, B. Cooper, R. Horsley, P. Schwarz, L.S. Dahleen, K.P. Smith, R. Dill-Macky, K. O'Donnell, J. Reeves. What is the Value in Quantifying *Fusarium* Mycelium Rather than DON for Breeding FHB Resistance? Fusarium and aspergillus elimination symposium, Ft. Worth, TX.

N.S. Hill, S. Neate, B. Cooper, R. Horsley, P. Schwarz, L.S. Dahleen, K.P. Smith, R. Dill-Macky, K. O'Donnell, J. Reeves. <u>ELISA analysis for *Fusarium* in barley: Application in field nurseries</u>. Agronomy Abstracts. p. 345. American Society of Agronomy. Madison, WI.