


**USDA-ARS/  
U.S. Wheat and Barley Scab Initiative  
FY16 Final Performance Report  
Due date: July 28, 2017**

**Cover Page**

<b>Principle Investigator (PI):</b>	Gideon Marais
<b>Institution:</b>	North Dakota State University
<b>E-mail:</b>	gideon.marais@ndsu.edu
<b>Phone:</b>	701-231-8155
<b>Fiscal Year:</b>	2016
<b>USDA-ARS Agreement ID:</b>	59-0200-3-006
<b>USDA-ARS Agreement Title:</b>	Transfer of FHB Resistance to NDSU Hard Red Winter Wheat Breeding Material.
<b>FY16 USDA-ARS Award Amount:</b>	\$ 27,190
<b>Recipient Organization:</b>	North Dakota State University Office of Grant & Contract Accounting NDSU Dept 3130, PO Box 6050 Fargo, ND 58108-0650
<b>DUNS Number:</b>	80-388-2299
<b>EIN:</b>	45-6002439
<b>Recipient Identifying Number or Account Number:</b>	FAR0020576
<b>Project/Grant Reporting Period:</b>	7/1/16 - 6/30/17
<b>Reporting Period End Date:</b>	06/30/17

**USWBSI Individual Project(s)**

<b>USWBSI Research Category*</b>	<b>Project Title</b>	<b>ARS Award Amount</b>
HWW-CP	Development of Winter-hardy HRWW Lines with Pyramided QTL for FHB Resistance.	\$ 27,190
	<b>FY16 Total ARS Award Amount</b>	<b>\$ 27,190</b>

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Principal Investigator

July 11, 2017  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

\* MGMT – FHB Management  
FST – Food Safety & Toxicology  
GDER – Gene Discovery & Engineering Resistance  
PBG – Pathogen Biology & Genetics  
EC-HQ – Executive Committee-Headquarters  
BAR-CP – Barley Coordinated Project  
DUR-CP – Durum Coordinated Project  
HWW-CP – Hard Winter Wheat Coordinated Project  
VDHR – Variety Development & Uniform Nurseries – Sub categories are below:  
    SPR – Spring Wheat Region  
    NWW – Northern Soft Winter Wheat Region  
    SWW – Southern Soft Red Winter Wheat Region

**Project 1: Development of Winter-hardy HRWW Lines with Pyramided QTL for FHB Resistance.**

**1. What are the major goals and objectives of the project?**

The NDSU HRWW breeding program aims to develop new varieties with improved cold-hardiness, regional adaptation, yield, disease resistance and processing quality. This USWBSI project focuses specifically on accelerating progress with FHB resistance breeding. In previous funding cycles, literature-validated resistance QTL (*Fhb1*, *Qfhs.ifa-5A*, two QTL on chromosome 5A of PI277012 (here called *QTL5A-1* and *QTL5A-2*), a QTL on 3A of Frontana (here called *QTL3A*) and *Fhb6*) were transferred from spring wheat. This project aims to establish *Fhb1* as the baseline of FHB resistance in the breeding population and since 2016 we also develop and study simple gene pyramids consisting of *Fhb1* plus 1-2 of the remaining QTL to identify those that would add substantively to the effect of *Fhb1*. In this context, the following gene pyramids were/are being produced and evaluated through marker analyses and greenhouse FHB resistance phenotyping: (a) Two-gene pyramids of (i) *Fhb1* and *Qfhs.ifa-5A* (four lines) and (ii) *QTL5A-1* and *QTL5A-2* (two lines). (b) Two- and 3-gene pyramids of *Fhb1* with *Qfhs.ifa-5A*, *QTL5A-1* and *QTL5A-2*. (c) *Fhb1* and *QTL3A* pyramids. (d) Two- and 3- gene pyramids of *Fhb1* with *Fhb6* and *Qfhs.ifa-5A*.

**2. What was accomplished under these goals? Address items 1-4) below for each goal or objective.**

**Accomplishments with respect to the overall project goal.** The primary project aim is to substantially raise the frequency *Fhb1* and additional, useful resistance QTL in the crossing blocks and breeding population. Towards this end, and based on experience gained thus far, we involved at least one parent with at least one of *Fhb1*, *Qfhs.ifa-5A* and *QTL5A-1* in approximately 90% of the 2017 breeding program crosses. However, only a limited number of near-isogenic lines with *Fhb1* (including *Norstar-Fhb1*, *Wesley-Fhb1*, *Decade-Fhb1*, *Overland-Fhb1* and *Jerry-Fhb1*) as well as inbred lines with *Fhb1* have thus far been acquired. A small number of inbred lines with *QTL5A-1*, *Qfhs.ifa-5A* or *Fhb1* plus *Qfhs.ifa-5A* have also been derived and used. In the absence of dependable markers for the two 5A QTL, their integration and identification is difficult and selection is based on artificial or natural infection of field planted populations. A further problem with the introgression of the new FHB resistance is that over-dependence on this small, initial group of FHB-resistant parents in consecutive crossing blocks will erode overall genetic and phenotypic variability of the program and limit future selection for non-FHB traits such as yield, adaptation, quality and resistance to diseases other than FHB. To counter these effects, new, diverse and desirable agrotypes (mostly lacking FHB resistance) from our and other programs/nurseries are annually obtained and used in crosses with the available FHB-resistant near-isogenic and selected pure lines. Their F<sub>1</sub> are then used as FHB-resistant parents in the next season. In this manner, structured crosses are conducted with the long-term aim to continuously combine these resistances in more complicated combinations, while simultaneously broadening overall genetic variability.

**Accomplishments – Pyramids of *Fhb1* with 5A QTL:** HRWW lines derived from CM82036 were tested with published markers: four of these appeared to have both *Fhb1* and *Qfhs.ifa-5A*, and another four lines had *Fhb1* only, while one line showed intermediate FHB resistance in the (Form – FPR16)

FY16 Final Performance Report  
PI: Marais, Gideon  
USDA-ARS Agreement #: 59-0200-3-006  
Reporting Period: 7/1/16 - 6/30/17

field, however, did not show any of the marker polymorphisms. Two lines that were derived from the HRSW donor line RWG21 (believed to have *QTL5A-1* and *QTL5A-2* from PI277012) produced marker polymorphisms that were not consistent with those in the donor material. Since none of the markers proved to be useful for the selection of the PI277012 resistance QTL, it was necessary to do disease phenotyping in an attempt to confirm the resistance. The resistance carrying lines plus resistant donors and susceptible parents were included in a greenhouse trial (6 replications) to test FHB type II resistance. Based on the marker-predicted genotypes and the resistance data, three lines (12DH172, 11M221-24-1 and 14K456-K-1) are believed to have both *Fhb1* and *Qfhs.ifa-5A*. The three lines showed resistance similar to the HRSW donor line CM82036, and significantly stronger resistance than lines with *Fhb1* only. Thus, *Fhb1* and *Qfhs.ifa-5A* QTL do have additive effect. With respect to *QTL5A-1* and *QTL5A-2*, PI277012 showed strong resistance, which was similar to that of CM82036. However, the RWG21 (derived from PI277012) plants that were included as controls in the FHB trial showed no resistance and in fact had the most severe infection of the 15 lines tested in the trial. The two RWG21 progeny lines (11M228-19-1 and Novus-4), showed intermediate resistance. Thus, it appeared that the RWG21 germplasm line was heterogeneous and segregated at one or both of the *QTL5A-1* and *QTL5A-2* loci.

An attempt was then made to combine resistance QTL present in Novus-4 (*QTL5A-1* and/or *QTL5A-2*) and 14K456-K-1 (*Fhb1* and *Qfhs.ifa-5A*). 400 F<sub>2</sub> from a cross of the two lines were screened with *Fhb1* and *Qfhs.ifa-5A* markers and two sets of plants were identified, i.e. (i) 17 F<sub>2</sub> homozygous for *Fhb1* only, and (ii) 19 F<sub>2</sub> homozygous for both *Fhb1* and *Qfhs.ifa-5A*. Within each set of F<sub>2,3</sub> families, four F<sub>3</sub> plants per family were grown and leaves were cut on each for doing 9K SNP analyses. This was done in an attempt to find additional, mapped chromosome 5A marker loci that could aid in the interpretation of the data. The 144 F<sub>4</sub> sub-families were then evaluated for FHB type II resistance in a replicated greenhouse trial. The data from this experiment are presently being analyzed. Preliminary indications are that *QTL5A-1* from PI277012 occurs within the same chromosome region and produces a similar effect to *Qfhs.ifa-5A*. Like *Qfhs.ifa-5A* it appears to add to the *Fhb1* effect and *Fhb1* plus *Qfhs.ifa-5A* pyramids appears to be similar to *Fhb1* plus *QTL5A-1* pyramids. However, due to the suspected heterogeneity of the donor source, RWG21, the second PI277012 locus, *QTL5A-2*, has not been transferred to our winter material. A new attempt therefore needs to be made to also transfer and evaluate *QTL5A-2*. In addition to the above information, the experiment yielded numerous inbred lines each homozygous for two FHB QTL. We aim to plant these lines in the field in September of 2017 and to continue to evaluate them as part of the routine breeding program.

**Accomplishments – Pyramids of *Fhb1* with *QTL3A*:** A near-isogenic line, Norstar-*Fhb1*, was crossed with the F<sub>1</sub>: Frontana (*QTL3A*)/Norstar and the F<sub>1</sub> marker screened to identify dihybrid plants. Following their self-pollination, 200 F<sub>2</sub> progeny were marker-screened to identify the *Fhb1* homozygotes. The selected homozygotes were then tested with a *QTL3A* marker and 34 F<sub>3</sub> families that are homozygous for both QTL were identified. Since Frontana is a HRSW, the lines also segregate for winter habit and winter types need to be identified before the two groups of homozygotes will be compared in a greenhouse trial during 2018.

**Accomplishments – Pyramids of *Fhb1* with *Qfhs.ifa-5A*, and *Fhb6*:** F<sub>1</sub> heterozygotes from the cross: *Fhb6*/Jerry//Accipiter were crossed with 12DH172 (*Fhb1* and *Qfhs.ifa-5A*). The F<sub>1</sub> was

FY16 Final Performance Report  
PI: Marais, Gideon  
USDA-ARS Agreement #: 59-0200-3-006  
Reporting Period: 7/1/16 - 6/30/17

marker-screened to identify trihybrid (*Fhb1*, *Qfhs.ifa-5A*, *Fhb6*) plants. F<sub>2</sub> have been derived and will be screened in 2018 to identify  $\pm 100$  *Fhb1* homozygotes. The latter homozygotes will then be marker screened in an attempt to derive selections homozygous for *Fhb1* only, *Fhb1* & *Qfhs.ifa-5A*; *Fhb1* & *Fhb6*; and *Fhb1* & *Qfhs.ifa-5A* & *Fhb6*. Such plants will be used for comparison of the QTL effects in a greenhouse FHB trial.

**3. What opportunities for training and professional development has the project provided?**

The project accommodates a PhD student.

**4. How have the results been disseminated to communities of interest?**

The initial project results were too incomplete for publication or presentation at the National Fusarium Head Blight Forum meeting of 2016.

### **Training of Next Generation Scientists**

**Instructions:** Please answer the following questions as it pertains to the FY16 award period. The term “support” below includes any level of benefit to the student, ranging from full stipend plus tuition to the situation where the student’s stipend was paid from other funds, but who learned how to rate scab in a misted nursery paid for by the USWBSI, and anything in between.

1. **Did any graduate students in your research program supported by funding from your USWBSI grant earn their MS degree during the FY16 award period?**

No

**If yes, how many?**

2. **Did any graduate students in your research program supported by funding from your USWBSI grant earn their Ph.D. degree during the FY16 award period?**

No

**If yes, how many?**

3. **Have any post docs who worked for you during the FY16 award period and were supported by funding from your USWBSI grant taken faculty positions with universities?**

No

**If yes, how many?**

4. **Have any post docs who worked for you during the FY16 award period and were supported by funding from your USWBSI grant gone on to take positions with private ag-related companies or federal agencies?**

No

**If yes, how many?**

FY16 Final Performance Report  
 PI: Marais, Gideon  
 USDA-ARS Agreement #: 59-0200-3-006  
 Reporting Period: 7/1/16 - 6/30/17

**Release of Germplasm/Cultivars**

**Instructions:** In the table below, list all germplasm and/or cultivars released with full or partial support through the USWBSI during the FY16 award period. All columns must be completed for each listed germplasm/cultivar. Use the key below the table for Grain Class abbreviations. *Leave blank if you have nothing to report or if your grant did NOT include any VDHR-related projects.*

Name of Germplasm/Cultivar	Grain Class	FHB Resistance (S, MS, MR, R, where R represents your most resistant check)	FHB Rating (0-9)	Year Released

Add rows if needed.

**NOTE:** List the associated release notice or publication under the appropriate sub-section in the ‘Publications’ section of the FPR.

**Abbreviations for Grain Classes**

- Barley - BAR
- Durum - DUR
- Hard Red Winter - HRW
- Hard White Winter - HWW
- Hard Red Spring - HRS
- Soft Red Winter - SRW
- Soft White Winter - SWW

FY16 Final Performance Report  
PI: Marais, Gideon  
USDA-ARS Agreement #: 59-0200-3-006  
Reporting Period: 7/1/16 - 6/30/17

### **Publications, Conference Papers, and Presentations**

**Instructions:** Refer to the FY16-FPR\_Instructions for detailed instructions for listing publications/presentations about your work that resulted from all of the projects included in the FY16 grant. Only include citations for publications submitted or presentations given during your award period (7/1/16 - 6/30/17). If you did not have any publications or presentations, state 'Nothing to Report' directly above the Journal publications section.

**NOTE:** Directly below each reference/citation, you must indicate the Status (i.e. published, submitted, etc.) and whether acknowledgement of Federal support was indicated in publication/presentation. See example below for a poster presented at the FHB Forum:

Conley, E.J., and J.A. Anderson. 2016. Accuracy of Genome-Wide Prediction for Fusarium Head Blight Associated Traits in a Spring Wheat Breeding Program. In: Proceedings of the XXIV International Plant & Animal Genome Conference, San Diego, CA.

Status: Abstract Published and Poster Presented

Acknowledgement of Federal Support: YES (poster), NO (abstract)

#### **Journal publications.**

None

#### **Books or other non-periodical, one-time publications.**

None

#### **Other publications, conference papers and presentations.**

None