



SURVEY RESULTS ON IMPACT OF STATE AUTHORIZATION RULES

Background

- ASAHP is a national health education association comprised of 114 not-for-profit institutions of higher education with 930 academic programs focused on educating students in allied health professions, which include most skilled health care occupations in a hospital or rehabilitation setting, other than physicians or nurses.
- Allied health occupations comprise a minimum of one-third of our health care workforce, equivalent to the size of the nursing profession, and range from certificate to doctoral level degrees in health related sciences.
- Sixty institutions (53%) participated in this survey, assessing the impact of state authorization rules on their ability to place students in out of state clinical education rotations.

Survey Results

- 94% of institutions place students in out of state clinical sites
- 65% of academic programs place students in out of state clinical sites
- 13% of students each year are placed in out of state clinical locations
- Programs that use the most out of state clinical sites include:
 - Physical Therapy;
 - Occupational Therapy;
 - Medical Laboratory Science;
 - Physician Assistant Programs.
- 82% of institutions stated that they are tackling the issue of state authorization on a state-by-state basis
- 64% of respondents said that they are reducing out of state clinical placements in some states due to fees or burdensome administrative requirements by out of state entities
- Among the top reasons for placing students in out of state clinical sites are:
 - Relationship with the out of state site (63%),
 - Lack of in-state clinical sites (57%),
 - Proximity to other state (57%),
 - Quality of rotations at out of state sites (52%),
 - Competition for the similar clinical sites in the home state (39%),