

Please provide the following information, and submit to the NOAA DM Plan Repository.

Reference to Master DM Plan (if applicable)

As stated in Section IV, Requirement 1.3, DM Plans may be hierarchical. If this DM Plan inherits provisions from a higher-level DM Plan already submitted to the Repository, then this more-specific Plan only needs to provide information that differs from what was provided in the Master DM Plan.

URL of higher-level DM Plan (if any) as submitted to DM Plan Repository:

1. General Description of Data to be Managed

1.1. Name of the Data, data collection Project, or data-producing Program:

CRCP-Surveillance of wild and outplanted *A. cervicornis* NCEI Accession 0159175

1.2. Summary description of the data:

The threatened status (both ecologically and legally) of Caribbean staghorn coral, *Acropora cervicornis*, has prompted rapidly expanding efforts in culture and restocking, although tissue loss diseases and predation continue to affect populations. In this study, surveillance of disease and predation conditions was used to compare dynamics and conditions in both restored and extant wild populations in order to better understand characteristics of restored populations and inform the possible implementation of differential health management strategies for wild vs. restored populations. Surveys at multiple sites with colonies were conducted every 2 to 4 weeks during two summer seasons to document disease and predation prevalence and disease incidence. Additional work in a third year focused on quantifying the effects of fireworm predation on restored corals, including healing times. In addition to surveillance, experimental tests were performed for possible proactive interventions designed to mitigate both disease and fireworm predation conditions

1.3. Is this a one-time data collection, or an ongoing series of measurements?

One-time data collection

1.4. Actual or planned temporal coverage of the data:

2011 to 2013

1.5. Actual or planned geographic coverage of the data:

W: -80.470166666667, E: -80.297333333333, N: 25.124166666667, S: 24.946333333333

Reef sites in the upper Keys ranging from Conch reef to Key Largo Dry Rocks; specifically

Reef Names

Molasses

Aquarius

Conch Shallow

KL Dry Rocks

Tav Patch A

Tav Patch B

Little Conch

CRF Nursery

1.6. Type(s) of data:

(e.g., digital numeric data, imagery, photographs, video, audio, database, tabular data, etc.)

Table (digital)

1.7. Data collection method(s):

(e.g., satellite, airplane, unmanned aerial system, radar, weather station, moored buoy, research vessel, autonomous underwater vehicle, animal tagging, manual surveys, enforcement activities, numerical model, etc.)

1.8. If data are from a NOAA Observing System of Record, indicate name of system:

1.8.1. If data are from another observing system, please specify:

2. Point of Contact for this Data Management Plan (author or maintainer)

2.1. Name:

Dana E Williams

2.2. Title:

Metadata Contact

2.3. Affiliation or facility:

2.4. E-mail address:

dana.williams@noaa.gov

2.5. Phone number:

305-767-3262

3. Responsible Party for Data Management

Program Managers, or their designee, shall be responsible for assuring the proper management of the data produced by their Program. Please indicate the responsible party below.

3.1. Name:

Dana E Williams

3.2. Title:

Data Steward

4. Resources

Programs must identify resources within their own budget for managing the data they produce.

4.1. Have resources for management of these data been identified?

No

4.2. Approximate percentage of the budget for these data devoted to data management (specify percentage or "unknown"):

0

5. Data Lineage and Quality

NOAA has issued Information Quality Guidelines for ensuring and maximizing the quality, objectivity, utility, and integrity of information which it disseminates.

5.1. Processing workflow of the data from collection or acquisition to making it publicly accessible

(describe or provide URL of description):

Lineage Statement:

Data recorded in situ by divers on paper forms. Photographs also recorded of each colony for backup/QA/QC. Observers entered data from paper forms to spreadsheets. In some cases, time series photographs were analyzed to evaluate healing of lesions on colonies.

Process Steps:

- Data were entered from field data sheets into spreadsheets.

5.1.1. If data at different stages of the workflow, or products derived from these data, are subject to a separate data management plan, provide reference to other plan:

5.2. Quality control procedures employed (describe or provide URL of description):

Field observations were backed up with photographs for verification. Disease conditions were validated with histopathological examination as described in publication: MW Miller, KE Lohr, CM Cameron, DE Williams, EC Peters. Disease dynamics and potential mitigation among restored and wild staghorn coral, *Acropora cervicornis*. PeerJ 2:e541

6. Data Documentation

The EDMC Data Documentation Procedural Directive requires that NOAA data be well documented, specifies the use of ISO 19115 and related standards for documentation of new data, and provides links to resources and tools for metadata creation and validation.

6.1. Does metadata comply with EDMC Data Documentation directive?

No

6.1.1. If metadata are non-existent or non-compliant, please explain:

Missing/invalid information:

- 1.7. Data collection method(s)

6.2. Name of organization or facility providing metadata hosting:

NMFS Office of Science and Technology

6.2.1. If service is needed for metadata hosting, please indicate:**6.3. URL of metadata folder or data catalog, if known:**

<https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/inport/item/22438>

6.4. Process for producing and maintaining metadata

(describe or provide URL of description):

Metadata produced and maintained in accordance with the NOAA Data Documentation Procedural Directive: https://nosc.noaa.gov/EDMC/DAARWG/docs/EDMC_PD-Data_Documentation_v1.pdf

7. Data Access

NAO 212-15 states that access to environmental data may only be restricted when distribution is explicitly limited by law, regulation, policy (such as those applicable to personally identifiable information or protected critical infrastructure information or proprietary trade information) or by security requirements. The EDMC Data Access Procedural Directive contains specific guidance, recommends the use of open-standard, interoperable, non-proprietary web services, provides information about resources and tools to enable data access, and includes a Waiver to be submitted to justify any approach other than full, unrestricted public access.

7.1. Do these data comply with the Data Access directive?

Yes

7.1.1. If the data are not to be made available to the public at all, or with limitations, has a Waiver (Appendix A of Data Access directive) been filed?**7.1.2. If there are limitations to public data access, describe how data are protected from unauthorized access or disclosure:****7.2. Name of organization of facility providing data access:**

NOAA National Centers for Environmental Information (NCEI)

7.2.1. If data hosting service is needed, please indicate:

Yes (already provided)

7.2.2. URL of data access service, if known:

<https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/archive/archive-management-system/OAS/bin/prd/jquery/accession/down>

7.3. Data access methods or services offered:

Navigate to supplied landing page and then follow links to download as needed

7.4. Approximate delay between data collection and dissemination:

365

7.4.1. If delay is longer than latency of automated processing, indicate under what authority data access is delayed:

N/A

8. Data Preservation and Protection

The NOAA Procedure for Scientific Records Appraisal and Archive Approval describes how to identify, appraise and decide what scientific records are to be preserved in a NOAA archive.

8.1. Actual or planned long-term data archive location:

(Specify NCEI-MD, NCEI-CO, NCEI-NC, NCEI-MS, World Data Center (WDC) facility, Other, To Be Determined, Unable to Archive, or No Archiving Intended)

WORLD_DATA_CENTER_WDC_FACILITY

8.1.1. If World Data Center or Other, specify:

8.1.2. If To Be Determined, Unable to Archive or No Archiving Intended, explain:

8.2. Data storage facility prior to being sent to an archive facility (if any):

National Centers for Environmental Information - Silver Spring, Maryland - Silver Spring, MD

NCEI Archive

8.3. Approximate delay between data collection and submission to an archive facility:

365

8.4. How will the data be protected from accidental or malicious modification or deletion prior to receipt by the archive?

Discuss data back-up, disaster recovery/contingency planning, and off-site data storage relevant to the data collection

Already Received By Archive

9. Additional Line Office or Staff Office Questions

Line and Staff Offices may extend this template by inserting additional questions in this section.