

Atlantic Sea Scallop Research Track Terms of Reference (v. 11/4/2023)

1. Identify relevant ecosystem and climate influences on the stock. Characterize the uncertainty in the relevant sources of data and their link to stock dynamics. Consider findings, as appropriate, in addressing other TORs. Report how the findings were considered under impacted TORs.
2. Estimate catch from all sources including landings and discards. Describe the spatial and temporal distribution of landings, discards, and fishing effort. Characterize the uncertainty in these sources of data.
3. Present the survey data used in the assessment (e.g., indices of relative or absolute abundance, recruitment, state surveys, age-length data, application of catchability and calibration studies, etc.) and provide a rationale for which data are used. Describe the spatial and temporal distribution of the data. Characterize the uncertainty in these sources of data.
4. Use the appropriate assessment approach to estimate annual fishing mortality, recruitment and stock biomass (both total and spawning stock) for the time series, and estimate their uncertainty. Compare the time series of these estimates with those from the previously accepted assessment(s). Evaluate a suite of model fit diagnostics (e.g., residual patterns, sensitivity analyses, retrospective patterns), and (a) comment on likely causes of problematic issues, and (b), if possible and appropriate, account for those issues when providing scientific advice and evaluate the consequences of any correction(s) applied.
5. Update or redefine Status Determination Criteria (SDC; point estimates or proxies for BMSY, BTHRESHOLD, FMSY and MSY reference points) and provide estimates of those criteria and their uncertainty, along with a description of the sources of uncertainty. If analytic model-based estimates are unavailable, consider recommending alternative measurable proxies for reference points. Compare estimates of current stock size and fishing mortality to existing, and any redefined, SDCs. Provide stock status based on updated reference points.
6. Define and document methods for producing projections; provide justification for assumptions of fishery selectivity, fecundity, mortality and recruitment; comment on the reliability of resulting projections considering the effects of uncertainty and sensitivity to projection assumptions. Compare the results of SAMS and GeoSAMS and comment on their appropriateness for use in management.

7. Review, evaluate, and report on the status of research recommendations from the last assessment peer review, including recommendations provided by the prior assessment working group, peer review panel, and SSC. Identify new recommendations for future research, data collection, and assessment methodology. If any ecosystem influences from TOR 1 could not be considered quantitatively under that or other TORs, describe next steps for development, testing, and review of quantitative relationships and how they could best inform assessments. Prioritize research recommendations.
8. Develop a backup assessment approach to providing scientific advice to managers if the proposed assessment approach does not pass peer review or the approved approach is rejected in a future management track assessment.
9. Identify and consider any additional stock specific analyses or investigations that are critical for this assessment and warrant peer review, and develop additional TOR(s)* to address as needed.

**Any additional TORs will require review and approval.*