
From: Eric Danner - NOAA Federal <eric.danner@noaa.gov>
Sent: Thursday, June 13, 2019 10:05 AM
To: Ann Marie Osterback - NOAA Affiliate
Subject: Fwd: Chat Today?

Looping you in. You can join our call at 3.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Cathy Marcinkevage - NOAA Federal <cathy.marcinkevage@noaa.gov>
Date: Thu, Jun 13, 2019 at 9:58 AM
Subject: Re: Chat Today?
To: Noble Hendrix <noblehendrix@gmail.com>
Cc: Eric Danner <eric.danner@noaa.gov>

Okay, we are on for 3 pm. If you finish your call earlier and want to start earlier, just let me know. I should be at my desk. working. hard. at. all. hours.

What I'd like to cover:

1. At the water user's forum, we got a question about the 3% increase in abundance for the COS compared to PA from Corey. It was understood that this is a generally low value that implies that the scenarios are similar, but definitely doesn't indicate that the PA is better. The specific question was whether this was "below the threshold to indicate a difference" and I said yes, but I couldn't identify what that threshold would be. I don't know that you can either. But it would be good for us to have perhaps an explanation (email, tech assistance email) that puts that in writing for us.

2. Question from Barry! The temperatures for the PA are generally better in the upstream compared to COS (which is why the TDM is better for PA than COS). Are the benefits of these better upstream temps captured in the LCM (I think yes), and if so, is the "benefit" of that not cascading through because 1) they aren't "better enough", 2) there are negative effects of the PA in other places that override any benefit, or 3) something else or nothing else.

3. We expect comments from water users to come Friday, and have gotten a preview of those from the State Water Contractors. I have only glanced, but saw quite a few on the LCM. This is just a heads up that we may be pinging you with questions through next week.

4. For Eric, this wasn't on my radar, but Maria brought up that in the past Steve (or someone from SWFSC) looked over the independent peer review reports and gave their take on those reports. We expect to get two reports at COB Friday, and will be working to address recommendations through June 23. Can we explore options for someone(s) to review those reports?

That's all! Talk to you at three!
Cathy

On Thu, Jun 13, 2019 at 7:16 AM Noble Hendrix <noblehendrix@gmail.com> wrote:

Hi Cathy,

I was out in the field yesterday, so sorry for not replying until now. I have a little more work to finish up this morning, but am available after a call with Eric at 2PM this afternoon. I'll be driving back from the field, but should be able to talk if that timing works out for your guys.

Best,
Noble

On Wed, Jun 12, 2019 at 9:40 AM Cathy Marcinkevage - NOAA Federal <cathy.marcinkevage@noaa.gov> wrote:

Hey, are you two available for a chat today regarding some LCM things? I'll get some questions typed up and out but wanted to see timing first. I'm busy 12:30-1 and a few other times, but they may have some wiggle.

Thanks!
Cathy

--

QEDA Consulting, LLC
noblehendrix@gmail.com
206.300.5595

Affiliate Faculty University of Washington

--

Eric Danner, Ph.D.
Supervisory Research Ecologist
Fisheries Ecology Division, Southwest Fisheries Science Center
110 McAllister Way
Santa Cruz, CA 95060
831-420-3917
<http://swfsc.noaa.gov/>