
From: Cathy Marcinkevage - NOAA Federal <cathy.marcinkevage@noaa.gov>
Sent: Monday, June 3, 2019 1:52 AM
To: Maria Rea
Cc: Howard Brown; Garwin Yip
Subject: Outstanding Needs for Shasta ROC LTO Effects Analysis

Maria --

In completing revisions to the Shasta Division effects analysis and attempting to address agreements and approaches identified in the June 1 directors meetings, I encountered several instances in which NMFS was not provided with edits or information that was to be supplied by Reclamation, according to NMFS' notes. Rather than speculate, I did not edit text to reflect anything that would have been in these submissions, which neither I nor any NMFS staff I spoke with had received as of 11:00 pm on June 2.

The attached document includes copied excerpts that show the markups. Garwin Yip recorded agreements from the June 1 meeting, where the needs are often identified. My response and indication of action (or not) is highlighted in yellow.

I thought it important for you to understand why it may appear that NMFS did not consider revising text according to Reclamation or Interior's expectations. We did try, but did not have the information to do so.

Thanks -
Cathy