

**Neil Jacobs - NOAA Federal**

---

**From:** Neil Jacobs - NOAA Federal  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 5, 2019 11:51 AM  
**To:** (b)(6) EOP/NSC  
**Subject:** Re: AL plot (urgent)

We don't produce spaghetti plots with our output because it generates confusion; however, many private sector companies do.

Here is a link to all the 5-day cones:

[https://www.nhc.noaa.gov/archive/2019/DORIAN\\_graphics.php?product=5day\\_cone\\_no\\_line\\_and\\_wind](https://www.nhc.noaa.gov/archive/2019/DORIAN_graphics.php?product=5day_cone_no_line_and_wind)

Here is a link to all the 5-day wind speed probabilities for >39mph:

[https://www.nhc.noaa.gov/archive/2019/DORIAN\\_graphics.php?product=wind\\_probs\\_34\\_F120](https://www.nhc.noaa.gov/archive/2019/DORIAN_graphics.php?product=wind_probs_34_F120)

Here is a link to the archive of all the NHC plots:

[https://www.nhc.noaa.gov/archive/2019/DORIAN\\_graphics.php](https://www.nhc.noaa.gov/archive/2019/DORIAN_graphics.php)

In the wind speed probability plot, you can see that Alabama \*is\* included from advisory #15 all the way to advisory #41. Granted, it never exceeded a 30% chance (light green).

Let me know how I can help with this issue. (b)(5) Do you know what the reason was for the marker on the poster? Perhaps it was with respect to something else? Maybe where Michael made landfall last year?

On Sep 5, 2019, at 11:32 AM, (b)(6) EOP/NSC <(b)(6)> wrote:

(b)(6)  
(b)(6)  
(b)(6)  
(b)(5)

Thanks,

(b)(6)

(b)(6)  
(b)(6)  
(b)(6)

---

**From:** Neil Jacobs - NOAA Federal <[neil.jacobs@noaa.gov](mailto:neil.jacobs@noaa.gov)>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 4, 2019 3:52 PM

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

To: (b)(6) EOP/NSC <(b)(6)>

Subject: AL plot (urgent)

(b)(6)

I believe this was the graphic that POTUS was referencing. It shows AL included in a small (5-20%) but non-zero chance to see winds exceeding 39 mph.

If you need more info, feel free to call.

-Neil

<image001.jpg>