## Oregon Department of Emergency Management Monitoring Assessment Questionnaire

As the federal grantee and pass-through agency, Oregon Department of Emergency Management (OEM), is responsible for monitoring subrecipients throughout the subgrant lifecycle. OEM must evaluate each subrecipients' risk of noncompliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the sub-award for the purpose of determining the appropriate level of monitoring to ensure proper accountability and compliance with program requirements and successful achievement of performance goals.

This questionnaire should be completed by an Authorized Subrecipient Representative or Financial Manager.

**Subrecipient Name:** 

Tax ID Number (EIN):							
UEI #:							
	General						
1.What is your agency's programs as a sub-reci	s prior grant experience (in years) with epient? [§200.332(b)(1)]	each of ti	he follov	ving			
Emergency Manage	ement Performance Grant	0	1	2	3	4	5 or more
	Grant Program (SHSP/UASI)	0	1	2	3	4	5 or more
Public Assistance G	Frant (Declared Disasters)	0	1	2	3	4	5 or more
Mitigation Grant (H	IMGP/FA/FMA/PDM/FMAG)	0	1	2	3	4	5 or more
~							
<b>Comments:</b>							

2. Within the past five years has the entity had any grar major program? [§200.332(b)(2)]. If Yes, please provid					oort	
Emergency Management Performance Grant	0	1	2	3	4 5	
Homeland Security Grant Program (SHSP/UASI)	0	1	2	3	4 5	
Public Assistance Grant (Declared Disasters)	0	1 1	2	3	4 5 4 5	
Mitigation Grant (HMGP/FA/FMA/PDM/FMAG)	0	1	2 2 2 2	3	4 5	
Comments:						
3. Within the past year have there been new personnel new or substantially changed systems? If so, please	•	-	_		c) or	
Emergency Management Performance Grant	N	None	Some		Major	
Homeland Security Grant Program (SHSP/UASI)		Vone	Some		Major	
Public Assistance Grant		Vone	Some		Major	
Mitigation Grant (HMGP/FA/FMA/PDM/FMAG)	_	None	Some		Major	
Explanation for "Some" or						
"Major" responses:						
4. Does your agency, receive, or has your agency received,	any Fed	deral fi	unds dire	ctly	? Yes	No
	r more			·		
Comments:						
5. If you answered yes to #4, did you receive any Federal m	onitori	ng?			Yes	No
What are the extent and the results of any Federal monito	ring?[§	3200.33.	2(b)(4)]			
Findings or						
Recommendations:						
Comments:						

## Audit Requirements (§ 200.500)

A non-Federal entity that expends \$750,000 or more in Federal awards during the entity's fiscal year must have a single or program-specific audit conducted for that year.

Single Audit	Yes	No	N/A
6. Did the entity expend a total of \$750,000 or more across all federal awards			
during the most recent fiscal year? If No, skip to "Internal Controls" question #21			
7. Was an audit conducted for the past two fiscal years?			
8. Was a copy of the report forwarded to the Federal Audit Clearinghouse			

9. For the past two fiscal years were there any significant deficiencies cited in the	
audit report?	
10. For the past two fiscal years were there any material weaknesses cited in the	
audit report?	
11. If yes, did the report include a summary of your response to the finding(s),	
including any planned corrective actions to be taken to resolve the findings?	
12. Was the Major Programs' Compliance Opinion in the Summary of Auditor's	
Results in the Schedule of Findings unqualified?	
13. Were there any OEM sub-grant related findings cited in the audit report that	
referred to questioned or disallowed costs? If yes, attach a statement describing	
the resolution of these findings to this form.	
14. Have all program-specific audit findings for the last two years been addressed?	
15. Have all generic audit findings for the jurisdiction for the last two years been	
reviewed, discussed and addressed?	
16. Have all audit findings and/or questioned costs (if any) from current and	
previous years been resolved?	
Comments:	

Management Letter	Yes	No	N/A
17. Was there a management letter issued related to the audit report?			
a. If yes, please forward a copy of this letter and audit to OEM?			
18. Were any financial operations or control weaknesses identified which would			
impact the processing of federal dollars? If yes, please explain.			
19. Were any other operations issues such as the handling of assets, lack of policies			
and procedures, contract or non-compliance, etc., which would impact federal			
dollars received?			
20. If a yes is answered on any of the above, please comment on the issues noted			
from the audit and how this will be/has been addressed and resolved.			
Response:			
Comments:			

Internal Controls [§200.303]	Yes	No
21. Are there sufficient internal controls in place to provide reasonable		
assurance the award is being managed in compliance with Federal statutes,		
regulations, and the terms and conditions of the sub-award? [§200.303(a)]		
Additional Details (if you marked no to the above question):		
22. Have separate "cost" accounts been setup in the accounting system to specifically track all financial transactions for each separate federal grant or subgrant?		

Additional Details (if you marked no to the above question):	
23. Is there a process in place to prevent co-mingling of federal, state, and local funds?	
Additional Details (if you marked no to the above question):	
24. Does the accounting system prevent obligation or expenditure of federal funds outside the sub-grant's period of availability/sub-award period? If not, how do you assure that expenditures are not incurred outside the grant award period (either before or after)?	
Additional Details (if you marked no to the above question)	
25. What is the process for approval and payment of expenditures and posting to the general ledger?	
Additional Details:	
Comments:	

	General Procurement Standards: [§200.318]	Yes	No
26.	Are there documented procurement procedures which reflect		
	applicable State and local laws and regulations, provided that the procurements		
	conform to applicable Federal law and standards in the CFR? [§200.318(a)]		
27.	Does the sub-recipient maintain oversight to ensure that contractors perform in		
	accordance with the terms, conditions, and specification of their contracts or purchase		
	orders? [§200.318(b)]		
28.	Does the sub-recipient maintain written standards of conduct covering conflicts of		
	interest and governing the performance of its employees engaged in the selection,		
	award and administration of contracts? [§200.318(c)]		
29.	Do the sub-recipient's procedures avoid acquisition of unnecessary or duplicative		
	items? [§200.318(d)]		
30.	Does the sub-recipient enter into state or local intergovernmental agreements or inter-		
	entity agreements where appropriate for procurement or use of common or shared		
	goods or services? (encouraged) [§200.318(e)]		
31.	Does the sub-recipient use Federal excess and surplus property in lieu of purchasing		
	new equipment and property whenever such use is feasible to reduce project costs?		
	[§200.318(f)]		
32.	Does the sub-recipient use value engineering clauses in contracts for construction		
	projects of sufficient size to offer reasonable opportunities for cost reductions? Value		
	engineering is a systematic and creative analysis of each contract item or task to		
	ensure that its essential function is provided at the overall lower cost. [§200.318(g)]		
33.	Does the sub-recipient only award contracts to responsible contractors possessing the		
	ability to perform successfully under the terms and conditions of a proposed		
	procurement? And, is consideration given to such matters as contractor integrity,		
	compliance with public policy, record of past performance, and financial and technical		
	resources? [§200.318(h)]		
34.	Does the sub-recipient maintain records sufficient to detail the history of procurement,		
	to include the rationale for the method of procurement, selection of contract type,		
	contractor selections or rejections, and the basis for the contract price? [§200.318(i)]		

35. Does the sub-recipient ensure that the procurement transactions are conducted in a	
manner that provides full and open competition consistent with the CFR standards?	
[§200.319(a)]	
36. Does the sub-recipient have procurement policies and practices free from the	
following restrictive practices: Unreasonable requirements; requiring unnecessary	
experience and excessive bonding; noncompetitive pricing practices; noncompetitive	
contracts to consultants that are on retainer contracts; organizational conflicts of	
interest; "brand name" specifications instead of "an equal' product; any arbitrary	
action in the procurement process? [§200.319(a)(1-7)]	
37. Does the sub-recipient take all necessary affirmative steps to assure that minority	
businesses, women's business enterprises, and labor surplus area firms are used when	
possible? [§200.321(a)]	
38. Is there a procedure in place to ensure vendors/contractors are not on the suspension	
and debarment lists/database before expenditures are incurred?	
Comments:	

Inventory	Yes	No
39. Does the sub-recipient maintain equipment/property management records to include		
the following items? Mark all that apply: [§200.313(d)(1)]		
Description of equipment		
A serial number or other identification number		
Funding Source (including FAIN)		
Acquisition Cost		
Who holds the title		
Acquisition Date		
Cost of the item		
Federal participation percentage		
Location		
Use		
Condition		
Disposal data (including the date of disposal and sale price of the item)		
40. Is a physical inventory of the equipment/property taken and the results reconciled with		
the equipment/property records at least every two years? [§200.313(d)(2)]		
41. Is a control system in place, to ensure adequate safeguards to prevent loss, damage, or		
theft of the property? Is any loss, damage, or theft investigated? [§200.313(d)(3)]		
42. Are adequate maintenance procedures in place to keep the equipment/property in good		
condition? [§200.313(d)(4)]		
43. If the sub-recipient is authorized or required to sell the equipment/property, have		
procedures been established to ensure the highest possible return? [§200.313(d)(5)]		
Comments		

Sub-Recipient File Maintenance	Yes	No	
44. Does the sub-recipient maintain a separate file for each sub-grant?			
Additional Details (if you marked no to the above question):			
45. Does the sub-recipient keep copies of the following materials in their sub-grant file?			
Award Documentation from FEMA – If Applicable			
Signed Sub-Recipient Infrastructure Contract from the State - OEM			
Exhibit A's and/or all Updated Contract Exhibits			
Requests for Amendments and Approved Amendments			
Quarterly Progress Reports			
Payment Requests / Requests for Reimbursement (RFR)			
Payment Request Supporting Documentation* (To include Proof of Payment)			
Procurement Documentation – Policy/RFP/Evaluation Criteria/Vendor Contract			
Regulatory, environmental, and/or cultural documentation			
Correspondence (including award letter, closeout letter, e-mails, etc.)			
Final Close-Out Documentation			
Comments:			

To the best of my knowledge and belief, the data furnished on this form is accurate, complete and current. I understand that any fraudulent information contained on this form may have an effect on future OEM sub-grant funding for this organization.

Prepared by:	Title:
Date:	Telephone #:

Thank you for completing this detailed questionnaire. We sincerely appreciate the time and effort you have taken to provide us with this valuable information. Thank you very much!