

Planetary Protection: an international concern and responsibility - activities of the COSPAR Panel on Planetary Protection

A. Coustenis, N. Hedman, P. Doran, and

- *The COSPAR Panel on Planetary Protection*


<https://cosparhq.cnes.fr/scientific-structure/ppp>

Framework for planetary protection

The legal basis and the goal for planetary protection was established in Article IX of the Outer Space Treaty

Article IX "...parties to the Treaty shall pursue studies of outer space including the Moon and other celestial bodies, and conduct exploration of them so as to avoid their harmful contamination and also adverse changes in the environment of the Earth resulting from the introduction of extraterrestrial matter and, where necessary, shall adopt appropriate measures for this purpose..."

Article VI: "States Parties to the Treaty shall bear international responsibility for national activities in outer space, including the Moon and other celestial bodies, whether such activities are carried on by governmental agencies or by non-governmental entities, and for assuring that national activities are carried out in conformity with the provisions set forth in the present Treaty. The activities of non-governmental entities in outer space, including the Moon and other celestial bodies, shall require authorization and continuing supervision by the appropriate State Party to the Treaty."





COSPAR planetary protection Panel & Policy

A special case among the Commissions and Panels in the COSPAR structure is the Panel of Planetary Protection (PPP) which serves an important function for space agencies pursuing the exploration of the planets. **The primary objective of the COSPAR PPP is to develop, maintain, and promote the COSPAR policy and associated requirements for the reference of spacefaring nations and to guide compliance with the Outer Space Treaty ratified today by 114 nations, to protect against the harmful effects of forward and backward contamination, i. e.**

- The conduct of scientific investigations of possible extraterrestrial life forms, precursors, and remnants must not be jeopardized.
- In addition, the Earth must be protected from the potential hazard posed by extraterrestrial matter carried by a spacecraft returning from an interplanetary mission.
- *This policy must be based upon the most current, peer-reviewed scientific knowledge, and should enable the exploration of the solar system, not prohibit it. The Panel has several meetings and invites all stakeholders including the private sector.*
- *It is not the purpose of the Panel to specify the means by which adherence to the COSPAR Planetary Protection Policy and associated guidelines is achieved; this is reserved to the engineering judgment of the organization responsible for the planetary mission, subject to certification of compliance with the COSPAR planetary protection requirements by the national or international authority responsible for compliance with the Outer Space Treaty.*



COSPAR Panel on Planetary Protection Members

Chair: Athena Coustenis (Paris Observ., FR; planetary sciences, astrobiology)

Vice-Chairs: Niklas Hedman (space law and policy) &

Peter Doran (LA State Univ., USA; Hydrogeology, Extreme Environments)

12 members appointed by space agencies, 11 experts + 3 ex-officio

Canada/CSA	Tim Haltigin (planetary sciences)	France	Olivier Grasset (geodynamics, planetology)
China/CNSA	Jing Peng (engineering)	Russia	Vyacheslav K. Ilvin (microbiology, me)
USA/NASA	Silvio Sinibaldi (astrobiology)	Spain	Olga Prieto-Bal
USA/NASA	Christian Mustin (astrobiology)	France	François Rau
India/ISRO	Praveen Kumar K (engineering science)	Japan	Yohey S
Italy/ASI	Eleonora Ammannito (planetologist)	Canada	Lyle Whyte (
Japan/JAXA-ISAS	Masaki Fujimoto (space plasma physics)	China	Kanyan Xu (
Russia/Roscosmos	Natalia Khamidullina (Radiation conditions)	Russia	Maxim Zaitsev
UAE	Jeremy Teo (mechanical and bio engineering)	UAE	Omar Al Shehh (engineering)
UK	Mark Sephton (astrobiology, organic geochem.)	UK/UKSA	Karen Olsson-Francis (astrob., microbiology)
		USA/NASA	Frank Groen (Bayesian data analysis, engineering)
COSPAR CIR Ex-officio	Michael Gold		
UNOOSA Ex-officio	Michael Newman	NASEM Ex-officio	Colleen Hartman



Working sessions of the COSPAR Panel on Planetary Protection

The Panel provides, through workshops and meetings at COSPAR Assemblies and elsewhere, an **international forum** for the exchange of information on the best practices for adhering to the COSPAR planetary protection requirements. The PPP has strong ties with other relevant bodies world-wide (e.g. NASEM SSB/CoPP). **Through COSPAR GA, focused meetings with Open Sessions and publications the Panel informs the international community, including holding an active dialogue also with the private sector.**



The COSPAR Panel on Planetary Protection, invited guests and attendees in Vienna in December 2023 and at The Inaugural International COSPAR Planetary Protection Workshop (April 22-25, 2024), and at the COSPAR General Assembly 2024 in Busan, South Korea

Planetary protection categories

The different planetary protection categories (I-V) reflect the level of interest and concern that contamination can compromise future investigations or the safety of the Earth; the categories and associated requirements depend on the target body and mission type combinations

Category I: All types of mission to a target body which is not of direct interest for understanding the process of chemical evolution or the origin of life; *Undifferentiated, metamorphosed **asteroids**; others*

Category II: All types of missions (gravity assist, orbiter, lander) to a target body where there is significant interest relative to the process of chemical evolution and the origin of life, but where there is only a remote¹ chance that contamination carried by a spacecraft could compromise future investigations; **Venus; Moon (with organic inventory only for landed missions at the poles and in PSRs)** Comets; Carbonaceous Chondrite Asteroids; Jupiter; Saturn; Uranus; Neptune; Ganymede†; Titan†; Triton†; Pluto/Charon†; Ceres; Kuiper-Belt Objects > 1/2 the size of Pluto†; Kuiper-Belt Objects < 1/2 the size of Pluto; others TBD

Category III: Flyby (i.e. gravity assist) and orbiter missions to a target body of chemical evolution and/or origin of life interest and for which scientific opinion provides a significant² chance of contamination which could compromise future investigations; **Mars; Europa; Enceladus; others TBD**

Category IV: Lander (and potentially orbiter) missions to a target body of chemical evolution and/or origin of life interest and for which scientific opinion provides a significant² chance of contamination which could compromise future investigations. 3 subcategories exist (IVa,b,c) depending on instruments, science investigations, special regions etc.; **Mars; Europa; Enceladus; TBD**

Category V: All Earth return: 2 subcategories - unrestricted return for solar system bodies deemed by scientific opinion to have no indigenous life forms (**e.g. Martian Moons**) and restricted return for all others

¹Implies the absence of environments where terrestrial organisms could survive and replicate, or a very low likelihood of transfer to environments where terrestrial organisms could survive and replicate

²Implies the presence of environments where terrestrial organisms could survive and replicate, and some likelihood of transfer to those places by a plausible mechanism

Planetary protection standards

(examples from ESA ECSS-Q-ST-70 and NASA-STD-8719.27)



Materials and hardware compatibility tests for sterilization processes, ECSS-Q-ST-70-53C

→ Describes how to **test hardware compatibility** and provides examples



Ultra cleaning of flight hardware, ECSS-Q-ST-70-54C


→ Describes procedures how to **clean flight hardware**, in particular for life detection



Credit: ESA/ExoMars

Microbial examination of flight hardware and cleanrooms, ECSS-Q-ST-70-55C

→ Describes procedures how to **measure the biological contamination** (bioburden & biodiversity)




Credit: ESA/ExoMars

Credit: ESA/ExoMars

Vapour phase bioburden reduction for flight hardware, ECSS-Q-ST-70-56C

→ Describes hydrogen peroxide **sterilisation procedures**



Dry heat bioburden reduction for flight hardware, ECSS-Q-ST-70-57C

→ Describes **high temperature** sterilisation procedures

Bioburden control for cleanrooms, ECSS-Q-ST-70-58C

→ Describes how to set-up and operate **bioburden controlled cleanrooms**



Credit: ESA/ExoMars

Overview of COSPAR Panel on Planetary Protection Recent activities



COSPAR
COMMITTEE ON
SPACE RESEARCH



COSPAR PPP reported activities 2019-2023

- Updated Planetary Protection for the Moon : [Space Res. Today Aug. 2021, 211, 14-20](#)

New subcategories for landers

- No change in Planetary Protection category for small bodies**

PPP took the CoPP report into account and noted that the findings were compatible with the current policy. After thorough considerations and discussion by the Panel experts, it was decided that there was no need currently to change anything in the Policy as concerns small bodies.

[Coustenis et al., 2023. Front. Astron. Space Sci. 10:1172546.](#)

- No change in Planetary Protection category for Venus** : the environmental conditions within the Venusian clouds are orders of magnitude drier and more acidic than the tolerated survival limits of any known terrestrial extremophile organism. Because of this, future orbital, landed or entry probe missions to Venus do not require extra planetary protection measures.: [Zorzano Meier et al., 2023. LSSR 37, 18-24](#)

frontiers | Frontiers in Astronomy and Space Sciences

TYPE Review
PUBLISHED 30 May 2023
DOI 10.3389/fspas.2023.1172546

Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY
Miriam Rengel,
Max Planck Institute for Solar System
Research, Germany

REVIEWED BY
John Rummel,
FH Partners LLC, United States
Elisa Maria Alessi,
National Research Council (CNR), Italy

*CORRESPONDENCE
Athena Coustenis,
✉ athena.coustenis@obspm.fr

RECEIVED 23 February 2023
ACCEPTED 15 May 2023
PUBLISHED 30 May 2023

Planetary protection: an international concern and responsibility

Athena Coustenis^{1*}, Niklas Hedman², Peter T. Doran³, Omar Al Shehhi⁴, Eleonora Ammannito⁵, Masaki Fujimoto⁶, Olivier Grasset⁷, Frank Groen⁸, Alexander G. Hayes⁹, Vyacheslav Ilyin¹⁰, K. Praveen Kumar¹¹, Caroline-Emmanuelle Morisset¹², Christian Mustin¹³, Karen Olsson-Francis¹⁴, Jing Peng¹⁵, Olga Prieto-Ballesteros¹⁶, Francois Raulin¹⁷, Petra Rettberg¹⁸, Silvio Sinibaldi¹⁹, Yohey Suzuki²⁰, Kanyan Xu²¹ and Maxim Zaitsev²²

Life Sciences in Space Research 37 (2023) 18–24

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect
Life Sciences in Space Research
journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/lssr

COSPAR planetary protection requirements for space missions to Venus

María Paz Zorzano^{a,*}, Karen Olsson-Francis^b, Peter T. Doran^c, Petra Rettberg^d, Athena Coustenis^e, Vyacheslav Ilyin^f, Francois Raulin^g, Omar Al Shehhi^h, Frank Groenⁱ, Olivier Grasset^j, Akiko Nakamura^k, Olga Prieto Ballesteros^a, Silvio Sinibaldi^l, Yohey Suzuki^m, Praveen Kumarⁿ, Gerhard Kminek^o, Niklas Hedman^p, Masaki Fujimoto^q, Maxim Zaitsev^r, Alexander G. Hayes^s, Jing Peng^t, Eleonora Ammannito^u, Christian Mustin^v, Kanyan Xu^w

Updated planetary protection Policy for the Moon

Orbiter and fly-by missions to the Moon: Category II: no need to provide an organic inventory


Lander missions to the Moon :

- Category IIa. All missions to the surface of the Moon whose nominal mission profile does not access areas defined in Category IIb shall provide the planetary protection documentation and an organic inventory limited to organic products that may be released into the lunar environment by the propulsion system (relaxed requirements),
- Category IIb. All missions to the surface of the Moon whose nominal profile access Permanently Shadowed Regions (PSRs) and/or the lunar poles, in particular latitudes south of 79°S and north of 86°N shall provide the planetary protection documentation and full organic inventory

[Updated COSPAR Policy published in](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.srt.2021.07.009)

[Space Res. Today 211, 14-20 \(Aug. 2021\);](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.srt.2021.07.009)



[https://doi.org/10.1016/j.srt.2021.07.009.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.srt.2021.07.009)



Category II: All types of missions (gravity assist, orbiter, lander) to a target body where there is significant interest relative to the process of chemical evolution and the origin of life, but where there is only a remote¹ chance that contamination carried by a spacecraft could compromise future investigations. The requirements are for simple documentation only.

Landing sites on the Moon and protected areas

Chang'e-3 : 44.1214°N 19.5116°W
 Chang'e-4 : 45.444°S 177.599°E
 Chang'e-5 : 43.0576°N 51.9161°W
 Chang'e-6 : 41.6385°S 153.9852°W



Chandrayaan-3
 landing site:
 Shiv Shakti point
 69.373°S 32.319°E

Odysseus - IM
 landing site:
 80.13°S, 1.44 E



COSPAR

COMMITTEE ON
SPACE RESEARCH

Mars and its moons (sample return era)





COSPAR PPP Mars-related recent activities

- ❑ **Mars Robotic missions** : Although the science underpinning the Policy is advancing, as highlighted in recent reports (e.g. NASEM 2021, Spry et al. 2021) and in the Panel's work, there are still several knowledge gaps that need to be addressed before they can be directly applied to accommodate the interest of the user. They fall within three main themes, all of which will benefit from more measurements by space missions and ground-based observations: *Biocidal effects, contamination transport model and Mars environmental conditions*

Olsson-Francis et al., 2023. LSSR 36, 27-35

- ❑ **Mars sample return and JAXA's Martian Moon Explorer (MMX)**: assigned planetary protection category : outbound Cat III and inbound Cat V: unrestricted Earth return. Full studies in *Life Sci. Space Res. 23 (2019)*

- ❑ **Mars Crewed missions** : with COSPAR support. This paper highlights the scientific measurements and data needed for knowledge gap closure.

Spry et al. (2024, Astrobiology, 24(3):230-274. doi: 10.1089/ast.2023.0092)

The COSPAR planetary protection Policy for robotic missions to Mars

- In 2021, the Panel evaluated recent scientific data and literature regarding the planetary protection requirements for Mars and the implications of this on the guidelines. The group focused on three key areas:
1) Biocidal effects of the martian environment, 2) water stability, and 3) transport of spacecraft bioburden.
- These areas were discussed in the context of survival of dormant cells (where cells are either dormant or in a state of maintenance) vs proliferation (cells are actively defining) ([National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. 2015](#); [Rummel et al., 2014](#)).

The COSPAR Panel on Planetary Protection will continue to work with the different national and international space agencies, the scientific community, and other stakeholders (e.g., the private sector and industry) to develop a roadmap for coordinating research activities addressing the identified knowledge gaps. This will include further characterisation of the biocidal effects at the surface of Mars, which needs to be addressed before *in-situ* reduction can be considered as an approach for bioburden control for robotic missions. Although the science underpinning the Policy is advancing, as highlighted in more recent reports (e.g. [National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine 2021](#), [Spry et al. 2021](#)) and in this paper, there are still several knowledge gaps that need to be addressed before they can be directly applied to accommodate the interest of the user. In brief, these knowledge gaps fall within three main themes, all of which will benefit from more measurements by space missions and ground-based observations: *Biocidal effects, contamination transport model and Mars environmental conditions*



The COSPAR Planetary Protection Policy for robotic missions to Mars: A review of current scientific knowledge and future perspectives

Karen Olsson-Francis^{a,*}, Peter T. Doran^b, Vyacheslav Ilyin^c, Francois Raulin^d, Petra Rettberg^e, Gerhard Kminek^f, Maria-Paz Zorzano Mier^g, Athena Coustenis^h, Niklas Hedmanⁱ, Omar Al Shehhi^j, Eleonora Ammannito^k, James Bernardini^l, Masaki Fujimoto^m, Olivier Grassetⁿ, Frank Groen^l, Alex Hayes^o, Sarah Gallagher^p, Praveen Kumar K^q, Christian Mustin^r, Akiko Nakamura^s, Elaine Seasley^l, Yohey Suzuki^s, Jing Peng^t, Olga Prieto-Ballesteros^g, Silvio Sinibaldi^f, Kanyan Xu^u, Maxim Zaitsev^v

Martian & Moons exploration: sample return era



JAXA's MMX mission categorisation

- In 2019 ESA and JAXA studied sample return missions from Phobos and Deimos
- To support a categorization, ESA initiated an activity with a science consortium to evaluate the level of assurance that no unsterilized martian material naturally transferred to Phobos (or Deimos) is accessible to a Phobos (or Deimos) sample return mission. NASA supported the activity from the very beginning providing test materials and expert advice, followed by JAXA with their own experimental and modelling work supporting the overall assessment
- The ESA-JAXA-NASA coordinated activities finished with an independent review by the NAS and the European Science Foundation presented to the ESA Planetary Working Group (PPWG) and to COSPAR
- **COSPAR was involved throughout the multi-year-long process and at the end assigned a planetary protection category specifically for the MMX mission (outbound Cat III and inbound Cat V: unrestricted Earth return)**

MMX Rover Science Meeting, Feb. 29, 2024

Launch Mass: About 4,200 kg
Mission Duration: About 5 Years
Launcher: H3 Launch Vehicle

Target Launch Year: JFY2026



To fly in 2026

Overview and Recent Status of

MMX
Martian Moons eXploration

The world's first sample return mission from the Martian moon, Phobos

The mission objectives are to investigate the origin of the Martian moons, the planetary formation process and place new constraints on the transport of materials through the Solar System. The mission also aims to acquire new knowledge about the Martian sphere's evolutionary history and develop technology that will benefit future space exploration.

"Planetary protection: New aspects of policy and requirements", 2019. Life Sci. Space Res. 23

Principles for human missions to Mars

- ❑ The intent of the planetary protection policy is the same whether a mission to Mars is conducted robotically or with human explorers
- ❑ Planetary protection goals should not be relaxed to accommodate a human mission to Mars, i.e. they become even more directly relevant to such missions—even if specific implementation requirements must differ. Human exploration of Mars will require additional planetary protection considerations to those for robotic missions.
- ❑ Safeguarding the Earth from potential back contamination is the highest planetary protection priority in Mars exploration
- ❑ The greater capability of human explorers can contribute to the astrobiological exploration of Mars only if human-associated contamination is controlled and understood




Credit: ESA



Credit: NASA/Apollo 12

Establish engineering requirements through a series of NASA and COSPAR co-sponsored workshops on Planetary Protection for Human Missions to Mars to address knowledge gaps for planetary protection in the context of future human missions to Mars.

Credit: NASA/CP-2005-213461





Mars Human exploration

These interdisciplinary meetings considered the next steps in addressing knowledge gaps for planetary protection in the context of future human missions to Mars. Reports from these workshops are posted under Conference Documents at <https://sma.nasa.gov/sma-disciplines/planetary-protection/>.

- The knowledge gaps addressed in this meeting series fall into three major themes: “1. *Microbial and human health monitoring*; 2. *Technology and operations for biological contamination control*, and; 3. *Natural transport of biological contamination on Mars*.” (Kminek et al., 2017)

- A report was issued after the June 2022 COSPAR Meeting on “Planetary Protection Knowledge Gaps for Crewed Mars Missions” and represented the completion of the COSPAR series. This report aims to identify, refine, and prioritize the knowledge gaps that are needed to be addressed for planetary protection for crewed missions to Mars, and describes where and how needed data can be obtained.

- The approach was consistent with current scientific understanding and COSPAR policy, that the presence of a biological hazard in Martian material cannot be ruled out, and appropriate mitigations need to be in place. The findings were published in *Spry et al.*


(2024, *Astrobiology*, 24(3):230-274. doi: 10.1089/ast.2023.0092)

with COSPAR support. This paper highlights the scientific measurements and data needed for knowledge gap closure.




Current and future considerations

After Venus, Mars Robotic exploration and small bodies...



- More Mars... (MSR, ExoMars, crewed missions etc...)
- Implementation of Icy Worlds findings in Policy
- Other updates to the Policy for better assessment of different cases
- Space resources (ISRU), other matters



Mimas



Some of these themes have been showcased in the NASEM OWL 2022 and ESA's Voyage 2050.



COSPAR

COMMITTEE ON
SPACE RESEARCH

Icy Worlds (not a cold case...)






Planetary Protection of the Outer Solar System (PPOSS)

Project led by the European Science Foundation, funded by the EC with DLR/Germany, INAF/Italy, Eurospace, Space Technology/Ireland, Imperial College London (UK), China Academy of Space Technology and NAS-SSB

- Recommended a revision of the planetary protection requirements for missions to Europa and Enceladus, based partly on the NAS-SSB 2012 Icy Bodies Report and on an ESA PPWG recommendation
- COSPAR was involved throughout the multi-year-long process and at the end updated the requirements for missions to Europa and Enceladus



Europa

Enceladus

- *Category III and IV: Requirements for Europa and Enceladus flybys, orbiters and landers, including bioburden reduction, shall be applied in order to reduce the probability of inadvertent contamination of a European or Enceladan ocean to less than 1×10^{-4} per mission*
- *The probability of inadvertent contamination of a European or Enceladan ocean of 1×10^{-4} applies to all mission phases including the duration that spacecraft introduced terrestrial organisms remain viable and could reach a subsurface liquid water environment*


Published in

Space Res. Today (2020) 208

"Planetary protection: New aspects of policy and requirements", 2019.

Life Sci. Space Res. 23

& The Internl PP Handbook: Dec. 2018



JPL/Cal

Planetary Protection of the Outer Solar System (PPOSS)

THE INTERNATIONAL PLANETARY PROTECTION HANDBOOK

by

Gerhard Kminek (ESA, Noordwijk, The Netherlands), Jean-Louis Fellous (COSPAR, France), Petra Rettberg (DLR, Germany), Christine Moissl-Eichinger (Medical Univ. Graz, Austria), Mark A. Sephton and Samuel H. Royle (Imperial College London, UK), J Andy Spry (SETI Institute, CA, USA), Hajime Yano (ISAS/JAXA, Japan), Toshihiro Chujo (formerly JAXA, now Tokyo Institute of Technology, Japan), Diana B. Margheritis (Thales Alenia Space, Italy), John R. Brucato (INAF, Italy), and Alissa J. Haddaji (formerly COSPAR, now Harvard University, MA, USA)

An online-only supplement to *Space Research Today*, volume 205

*"Planetary protection: New aspects of
policy and requirements", 2019.*

Life Sci. Space Res. 23

& The Internl PP Handbook: Dec. 2018



- *Policy should include a generic definition of the environmental conditions potentially allowing Earth organisms to replicate*
- *implementation guidelines should be more specific on relevant organisms*
- *implementation guidelines should be updated to reflect the period of biological exploration of Europa and Enceladus*
- *implementation guidelines should acknowledge the potential existence of Enhanced Downward Transport Zones at the surface of Europa and Enceladus.*



Future exploration of Icy Worlds

The PPOSS study (*The Internal PP Handbook* (Dec. 2018) ; & “Planetary protection: New aspects of policy and requirements” (2019) in *Life Sci. Space Res. 23 & Space Res. Today 208* (2020)) a Panel subcommittee considered the future exploration of Icy Worlds and Ceres

The Panel has been working on a thorough review of the current knowledge for Icy Moons+Ocean Worlds (**Icy Worlds**: “*Icy Worlds in our Solar System are defined as all bodies with an outermost layer that is believed to be greater than 50% water ice by volume and have enough mass to assume a nearly round shape.*”) and is making proposals for a better coverage in the Policy

Findings were presented in different meetings and congresses. (Doran et al., 2024, LSSR, 41 pp. 86–99)

		OCEAN WORLDS							
		Europa	Ganymede	Callisto	Enceladus	Titan	Mid-Size Saturnian Moons	Uranian Moons	Triton
WATER	Surface Liquid	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
	Subsurface Liquid	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	?	?	?
	Ground Ice	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
CHEMISTRY	Water Vapor	///	///	///	✓	///	///	?	?
	CHNOPS ¹	?	///	///	✓	✓	?	✓?	✓
	Complex Organics	✓	///	///	✓	✓	///	///	///
ENERGY	Solar Heating	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
	Interior Heating ²	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓?	✓?	///
	Redox ³	?	///	///	✓	✓	///	///	///
BODY	Atmosphere ⁴	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X
	Magnetic Field ⁵	X	✓	X	X	?	X	?	X
Present Habitability		?	?	?	✓	?	?	?	?
Past Habitability		?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?

Yes/ Present

Unknown/ Uncertain

No/ Absent

Insufficient Information

¹The life-supporting elements carbon, hydrogen, nitrogen, oxygen, phosphorus, or sulfur (not all need be present)
²Interior heating is that energy derived from accretion, differentiation, radiogenic decay, and/or tidal dissipation
³The prospect for any element or molecule to be reduced or oxidized as a source of chemical energy for life
⁴Substantial atmospheres only; exospheres (formed by, e.g., impact sputtering) are not included
⁵Intrinsically generated magnetic fields only


Missions to Icy Worlds (findings)

After reviewing the current knowledge and the history of planetary protection considerations for Icy Worlds, the Panel subcommittee published its recommendations:

- Establish indices for the lower limits of Earth life with regards to water activity (LLAw) and temperature (LLT) and apply them into all areas of the COSPAR Planetary Protection Policy (These values are currently set at 0.5 and -28 °C and were originally established for defining Mars Special Regions)
- Establish LLT as a parameter to assign categorization for Icy Worlds missions. The suggested categorization will have a 1000-year period of biological exploration, to be applied to all Icy Worlds and not just Europa and Enceladus as is currently the case.
- Have all missions consider the possibility of impact. Transient thermal anomalies caused by impact would be acceptable so long as there is less than 10^{-4} probability of a single microbe reaching deeper environments where temperature is $>LLT$ in the period of biological exploration.
- Restructure or remove Category II* from the policy as it becomes largely redundant with this new approach,
- Establish that any sample return from an Icy World should be Category V restricted Earth return.

Doran et al., 2024.

Life Sciences in Space Research 41 (2024) 86–99



The COSPAR planetary protection policy for missions to Icy Worlds: A review of history, current scientific knowledge, and future directions

P.T. Doran^{a,*}, A. Hayes^b, O. Grasset^c, A. Coustenis^d, O. Prieto-Ballesteros^e, N. Hedman^{f,1}, O. Al Shehhi^g, E. Ammannito^h, M. Fujimotoⁱ, F. Groen^j, J.E. Moores^k, C. Mustin^l, K. Olsson-Francis^m, J. Pengⁿ, K. Praveenkumar^o, P. Rettberg^p, S. Sinibaldi^q, V. Ilyin^r, F. Raulin^s, Y. Suzuki^t, K. Xu^u, L.G. Whyte^v, M. Zaitsev^w, J. Buffo^x, G. Kminek^q, B. Schmidt

The background of the slide is a dark, starry space scene. In the upper left, the reddish-orange planet Mars is visible. In the center, the Earth is shown from a perspective that highlights the Americas. To the right of Earth, a grey, cratered asteroid or moon is floating. At the bottom of the image, there is a silhouette of a lunar or planetary base, featuring a large dome structure, several cylindrical tanks, and a rover. A large, dark silhouette of a person in a spacesuit stands on a small hill in the foreground, looking towards the Earth.

The COSPAR PP Policy

(a living document...)

COSPAR PP Policy editorial review and restructuring process

Objective to enhance the understanding and clarity of the Policy and associated guidelines for consistency and transparency, including by introducing a more objectives-driven and case-assured (vs. prescriptive) approach to the formulation and implementation of planetary protection controls.

- Clarifying the status of the Policy as a non-legally binding international standard; quoting both OST Article VI and IX.
- New chapters clarifying the role and function of COSPAR PPP; presenting key assumptions that form the basis for the technical guidelines; listing categorization considerations to capture the rationale and intent behind the categorization process.
- Restructuring the Policy and associated guidelines with explanatory text, including graphics/tables on a) Planetary protection process overview (categorization and corresponding guidelines); b) Planetary protection categories in relation to target bodies; c) Guideline specification; d) Example of expected elements for mission documentation.

Review undertaken by a PPP Subcommittee (PPP Leadership, NASA, ESA and independent scientist members). Submitted in March 2024 to the COSPAR Bureau for validation and the Bureau **approved on 20 March.**

No changes to the requirements or guidelines in this new Policy.

Any changes will only intervene after the careful process described before in other cases.

Published in the Space Research Today Journal (12 July 2024 issue)

COSPAR Policy on Planetary Protection

Table of Contents

1. Preamble	15
2. Policy Statement	16
3. Role of the COSPAR Panel on Planetary Protection	16
4. Key Assumptions	17
4.1 Exploration Assumptions	17
4.2 Environmental Conditions for Replication	17
4.3 Bioburden Constraints	18
4.4 Biological Exploration Period	18
4.5 Life Detection and Sample Return "False Positives"	18
4.6 Crewed Missions to Mars	18
5. Categorization	19
6. Guidelines	23
6.1 Biological Control	23
6.1.1 Numerical Implementation for Forward Contamination Calculations	23
6.1.2 Category III and IV Missions	24
6.1.2.1 Missions to Icy Worlds	24
6.1.2.2 Missions to Mars	24
6.1.2.2.1 Category III for Mars	24
6.1.2.2.2 Category IVa for Mars	25
6.1.2.2.3 Category IVb Life Detection and Sample Return Missions for Mars	25
6.1.2.2.4 Category IVc Special Region Access for Mars	26
6.2 Organics Inventory	26
6.2.1 Category II, IIa and IIb Missions to the Moon	26
6.2.2 Category III and IV Missions	27
6.3 Cleanroom	27
6.4 Trajectory Biasing	27
6.5 Category V: Restricted Earth Return	27
6.5.1 Sample Return Missions	27
6.5.2 Sample Return from Small Solar System Bodies	28
6.6 Crewed Mars Missions	29
7. Reporting on Mission Activities	30

8. References	30
Appendix A – Terms and Definitions	32
Appendix B – Reporting to COSPAR Recommended Elements	34
Appendix C – Mission Documentation Expected Elements	34

1. Preamble

Noting that COSPAR has concerned itself with questions of biological contamination and spaceflight since its very inception,

noting that Article IX of the Treaty on Principles Governing the Activities of States in the Exploration and Use of Outer Space, Including the Moon and Other Celestial Bodies (also known as the Outer Space Treaty of 1967) states that [Ref. United Nations 1967]:

“States Parties to the Treaty shall pursue studies of outer space, including the Moon and other celestial bodies, and conduct exploration of them so as to avoid their harmful contamination and also adverse changes in the environment of the Earth resulting from the introduction of extraterrestrial matter and, where necessary, shall adopt appropriate measures for this purpose.”

noting that Article VI of the Treaty on Principles Governing the Activities of States in the Exploration and Use of Outer Space, Including the Moon and Other Celestial Bodies (also known as the Outer Space Treaty of 1967) states that [Ref. United Nations 1967]:

“States Parties to the Treaty shall bear international responsibility for national activities in outer space, including the Moon and other celestial bodies, whether such activities are carried on by governmental agencies or by non-governmental entities, and for assuring that national activities are carried out in conformity with the provisions set forth in the present Treaty. The activities of non-governmental entities in outer space, including the Moon and other celestial bodies, shall require authorization and continuing supervision by the appropriate State Party to the Treaty.”

therefore, to guide compliance with the Outer Space Treaty, COSPAR maintains this Policy on Planetary Protection (hereafter referred to as the COSPAR PP Policy) for the reference of spacefaring nations as an international voluntary and non-legally binding standard for the avoidance of organic-constituent and biological contamination introduced by planetary missions.

**New Policy
Published
In SRT
12 July 2024**



Spreading the word...





COSPAR PPP activities 2023-2024 – communications/Workshops

The ESA WS Planetary Protection Requirements for future exploration missions Workshop



Organised by S. Sinbaldi, presentation by P. Rettberg

XIX International School of Astrobiology «Josep Comas i Solà». Searching for Life on Ocean Worlds with a lecture titled: Planetary Protection considerations for ocean worlds.


Talk by O. Prieto-Ballesteros

World Trade Institute (UniBE) Workshop on "The Economics and Law of Space-Based Commerce".

17-19 Jan. 2024 at ISSI, Bern

Talk by A. Coustenis, N. Hedman and J-C Worms

ESA PP course 'Introduction to Planetary Protection' (Fraunhofer Institute, Stuttgart)



Organised by S. Sinbaldi, presentations by N. Hedman & P. Rettberg

UN-UNLUX SRW 2024 Working Group on Legal Aspects of Space Resource Activities



26.03.2024 Luxembourg

Expert Meeting

collecting preliminary inputs for consideration at
the international conference in Vienna in 2024

*Planetary Protection presentations
by P. Rettberg & N. Hedman*

VAAM (Association for General and Applied Microbiology)
workshop 'Big Bang... Microbes!' & GeoBerlin 2023 conference



*Plenary discussion on "plenary discussion about
PP 'Should we colonize Mars?' by P. Rettberg*

The ESA metagenomic Workshop

**Planetary Protection requirements for future exploration missions:
Assessing metagenomic methods for their inclusion in ESA standards**

3rd – 4th October 2023
ESA/ESTEC, Noordwijk,
The Netherlands



Talk by K. Olsson-Francis



COSPAR PPP activities 2023-2024

EANA 2023 Conference **EANA 2023** Sept. 2023



Signed in as Petra Rettberg

[Overview](#) | [Registration payment](#) | [Schedule](#) | [Abstracts](#) | [Travel grants](#) | [Space Factor](#) | [Change password](#) | [Logout](#)

*Talks on Planetary Protection
by P. Rettberg & K. Olsson-Francis*

Welcome to EANA 2023

This is the first meeting after the Covid-19 pandemic, which will be only presential after two years exclusively in virtual mode. And after 20 years we meet again in Madrid, an international city with an interesting history and glamour. This workshop will connect the European Astrobiology community and the Space community in general (technical and scientific fields), with a vivid and interactive programme for 3 and a half days, from 19th to 22nd September 2023.

The meeting will consist of selected keynote as well as contributed presentations, our well-known Space Factor student contest, as well as poster presentations.

POSTER PRESENTATIONS: Please bring your printed poster to the conference. The recommended poster format is A0 in portrait format (max 90 cm x 140 cm).

ORAL PRESENTATIONS: You can bring your talk (ppt or pdf format) on a pen drive and upload before your session. You can also use your own laptop.



OPAG Meeting,
29 Nov. 2023

Presentation of PP Icy Worlds Policy suggestions by A. Hayes

LPSC 2024
11-15 Mar. 2024

Presentation of PP Icy Worlds Study by P. Doran

NASEM SSB/CoPP Meeting,
21 March 2024

Presentation of PPP activities by P. Doran, N. Hedman, A. Coustenis

The International Mars Exploration Working Group (IMEWG) – Nov. 2023

invited talk about 'Planetary protection' by K. Olsson-Francis



The 2024 COSPAR General Assembly

13-21 July 2024, Busan, South Korea

<https://www.cospar-assembly.org/assembly.php>

PPP.1 Policy (*Conveners: A. Coustenis & N. Hedman*)
16 July 2024 (with **OPEN** and Closed sessions)

PPP.2 Planetary Protection Mission Implementation
and Status (*Conveners: S. Sinibaldi & F. Groen*)
17 July 2024

PPP.3 Planetary Protection Research and Development
(*Conveners: P. Doran & K. Olsson-Francis*)
14 July 2024

PPP Business Meeting : 17 July lunch time



Several talks from all interested parties at our
PPP sessions !





Future meetings

Several PPP Meetings with Open Session in 2025 and 2026

COSPAR 2026
Florence
1-9 August

46th
Scientific
Assembly

Sustainable
space research
for the planet

INAF
ISTITUTO NAZIONALE
DI ASTRONOMIA



Planetary protection:

For sustainable space exploration and to safeguard our biosphere

The Policy will continue to be updated but not in a rushed process. We give thorough consideration to all arguments and scientific inputs and make an informed decision

In the meantime, there is need for community input on science findings and research reserves or recent reports:
Studies/Surveys/Workshop /Focused conferences?



- COSPAR maintains a non-legally binding planetary protection policy and associated requirements to guide compliance with the UN Outer Space Treaty. The COSPAR Policy is the only international framework for planetary protection
- **We invite anyone interested to contact any PPP member for interactions and information on the latest policy and requirements.**



PPP Recent publications (extract)

<https://cosparhq.cnes.fr/scientific-structure/panels/panel-on-planetary-protection-ppp/>

- COSPAR Panel on Planetary Protection, 2020. « COSPAR Policy on Planetary Protection ». *Space Res. Today* 208, Aug. 2020
- The COSPAR Panel on Planetary Protection, 2020. « Planetary Protection Policy: For sustainable space exploration and to safeguard our biosphere ». *Research Outreach* 118, 126-129.
- Coustenis, A., Hedman, N., Kminek, G., The COSPAR Panel on Planetary Protection, 2021. "To boldly go where no germs will follow: the role of the COSPAR Panel on Planetary Protection". *OpenAccessGovernment*, July 2021
- Fisk, L., Worms, J-C., Coustenis, A., Hedman, N., Kminek, G., the COSPAR PPP, 2021. Updated COSPAR Policy on Planetary Protection. *Space Res. Today* 211, August 2021. doi.org/10.1016/j.srt.2021.07.009
- Coustenis, A., The COSPAR Panel on Planetary Protection, 2021. « Fly me to the moon: Securing potential lunar water sites for research ». *OpenAccessGovernment*, Sept. 2021
- Olsson-Francis, K., Doran, P., et al., 2023. The COSPAR Planetary Protection Policy for missions to Mars: ways forward based on current science and knowledge gaps. *LSSR*, 36, p. 27-35.
- Zorzano M-P., et al., 2023. The COSPAR Planetary Protection Requirements for Space Missions to Venus. *LSSR*, 37, 18-24.
- Coustenis, A., et al., 2023. Planetary protection: Updates and challenges for a sustainable space exploration. *Acta Astron.*, 210, 446-452. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actaastro.2023.02.035>
- Coustenis, A., et al., 2023. Planetary Protection: an international concern and responsibility. *Frontiers in Astronomy and Space Sciences*, *Front. Astron. Space Sci.* 10:1172546.
- **Spry, A., et al., 2024. Planetary Protection Knowledge Gap Closure Enabling Crewed Missions to Mars. *Astrobiology*, in press.**
- **Doran, P., et al. 2024. The COSPAR Planetary Protection Policy for missions to Icy Worlds: A review of current scientific knowledge and future directions. *LSSR*, 41 pp. 86-99.**