

# Errata

The following corrections and other changes have been made at <https://dlmf.nist.gov/>, and are pending for the Handbook of Mathematical Functions. The Editors thank the users who have contributed to the accuracy of the DLMF Project by submitting reports of possible errors. For confirmed errors, the Editors have made the corrections listed here.

## Version 1.2.3 (December 15, 2024)

### Errata

#### Equation (25.12.13)

25.12.13

$$\operatorname{Li}_s(z) + e^{\pi i s} \operatorname{Li}_s\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) = \frac{(2\pi)^s e^{\pi i s/2}}{\Gamma(s)} \zeta\left(1-s, \frac{\ln z}{2\pi i}\right)$$

In this update we replaced  $e^{2\pi i a}$  by  $z$ . In this way we can remove the constraints on  $a$  and  $s$ .

### Other Changes

**Additions** Text was added below (17.8.3) discussing higher-order tuple product identities.

## Version 1.2.2 (September 15, 2024)

### Changes

**Additions** Equations: (13.2.43), (13.2.44), (13.14.34), (13.14.35).

**Subsection 4.45(iii)** In the first paragraph of this subsection,  $W_p(x)$  was replaced with  $W_0(x)$ . In the second paragraph of this subsection,  $W_m(x)$  was replaced with  $W_{-1}(x)$ .

**Subsection 26.7(iv)** Immediately below (26.7.8),  $W_p(n)$  was replaced with  $W_0(n)$  twice and the text “Lambert function” was replaced with the text “Lambert  $W$ -function”.

**References** All references to Gradshteyn and Ryzhik (2015) were updated to the 8th edition.

## Version 1.2.1 (June 15, 2024)

---

### Errata

**Subsection 1.6(v)** Just above (1.6.57) “clockwise” has been replaced with “anticlockwise”.

*Suggested by Denys Bondar on 2024-04-10*

#### Equation (8.18.9)

8.18.9

$$I_x(a, b) \sim \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{erfc}\left(-\eta\sqrt{(a+b)/2}\right) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(a+b)}} \left(\frac{x}{x_0}\right)^a \\ \left(\frac{1-x}{1-x_0}\right)^b \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k c_k(\eta)}{(a+b)^k}$$

On the right-hand side of the asymptotic expansion as  $a+b \rightarrow \infty$ , the factor “ $\operatorname{erfc}\left(-\eta\sqrt{b/2}\right)$ ” was replaced by “ $\operatorname{erfc}\left(-\eta\sqrt{(a+b)/2}\right)$ ”.

#### Equation (14.20.22)

14.20.22

$$P_{-\frac{1}{2}+i\tau}^{-\mu}(x) = \frac{\exp(\mu\beta \arctan \beta)}{\Gamma(\mu+1)(1+\beta^2)^{\mu/2}} \frac{e^{-\mu\rho}}{(1+\beta^2-x^2\beta^2)^{1/4}} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\mu}\right)\right)$$

In the asymptotic expansion, as  $\mu \rightarrow \infty$ , on the right-hand side, we have removed an incorrect multiplicative factor of  $\beta$ .

*Suggested by Tianye Liu on 2024-03-18*

**Equation (17.8.2)**

$$17.8.2 \quad {}_1\psi_1\left(\frac{a}{b}; q, z\right) = \frac{(q, b/a, az, q/(az); q)_\infty}{(b, q/a, z, b/(az); q)_\infty}, \quad |b/a| < |z| < 1$$

The constraint  $|b/a| < |z| < 1$  was added.

**Equation (17.8.4)**

$$17.8.4 \quad {}_2\psi_2(b, c; aq/b, aq/c; q, -aq/(bc)) = \frac{(aq/(bc); q)_\infty (aq^2/b^2, aq^2/c^2, q^2, aq, q/a; q^2)_\infty}{(aq/b, aq/c, q/b, q/c, -aq/(bc); q)_\infty}, \quad |qa| < |bc|$$

The constraint  $|qa| < |bc|$  was added.

**Equation (17.8.5)**

$$17.8.5 \quad {}_3\psi_3\left(\frac{b, c, d}{q/b, q/c, q/d}; q, \frac{q}{bcd}\right) = \frac{(q, q/(bc), q/(bd), q/(cd); q)_\infty}{(q/b, q/c, q/d, q/(bcd); q)_\infty}, \quad |q| < |bcd|$$

The constraint  $|q| < |bcd|$  was added.

**Equation (17.8.6)**

$$17.8.6 \quad {}_4\psi_4\left(\frac{-qa^{\frac{1}{2}}, b, c, d}{-a^{\frac{1}{2}}, aq/b, aq/c, aq/d}; q, \frac{qa^{\frac{3}{2}}}{bcd}\right) = \frac{(aq, aq/(bc), aq/(bd), aq/(cd), qa^{\frac{1}{2}}/b, qa^{\frac{1}{2}}/c, qa^{\frac{1}{2}}/d, q, q/a; q)_\infty}{(aq/b, aq/c, aq/d, q/b, q/c, q/d, qa^{\frac{1}{2}}, qa^{-\frac{1}{2}}, qa^{\frac{3}{2}}/(bcd); q)_\infty}, \quad |qa^{\frac{3}{2}}| < |bcd|$$

The constraint  $|qa^{\frac{3}{2}}| < |bcd|$  was added.

**Equation (17.8.7)**

$$17.8.7 \quad {}_6\psi_6\left(\frac{qa^{\frac{1}{2}}, -qa^{\frac{1}{2}}, b, c, d, e}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}, -a^{\frac{1}{2}}, aq/b, aq/c, aq/d, aq/e}; q, \frac{qa^2}{bcde}\right) = \frac{(aq, aq/(bc), aq/(bd), aq/(be), aq/(cd), aq/(ce), aq/(de), q, q/a; q)_\infty}{(aq/b, aq/c, aq/d, aq/e, q/b, q/c, q/d, q/e, qa^2/(bcde); q)_\infty}, \quad |qa^2| < |bcde|$$

The constraint  $|qa^2| < |bcde|$  was added.

**Equation (17.8.8)**

$$17.8.8 \quad {}_2\psi_2\left(\frac{b^2, b^2/c}{q, cq}; q^2, cq^2/b^2\right) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{(q^2, qb^2, q/b^2, cq/b^2; q^2)_\infty}{(cq, cq^2/b^2, q^2/b^2, c/b^2; q^2)_\infty} \left( \frac{(c\sqrt{q}/b; q)_\infty}{(b\sqrt{q}; q)_\infty} + \frac{(-c\sqrt{q}/b; q)_\infty}{(-b\sqrt{q}; q)_\infty} \right), \quad |c| < |b^2|$$

The constraint which was originally given by  $|cq^2| < |b^2|$  has been replaced with  $|c| < |b^2|$ .

**§25.11(xii)** Just above (25.11.44), previously it was mentioned that  $a \rightarrow \infty$  is in the sector “ $|\text{ph } a| \leq \frac{1}{2}\pi - \delta (< \frac{1}{2}\pi)$ ,” has been updated to be “ $|\text{ph } a| \leq \pi - \delta (< \pi)$  (see Nemes (2017))”.

## Other Changes

**Addition** Just below (25.11.45), it was mentioned that “For error bounds for (25.11.43), (25.11.44) and (25.11.45), see Nemes (2017).”

§1.17(iii) Just above (1.17.21), “formal” has been replaced with “formal ( $2\pi$ -periodic)” (*suggested by Scott Glancy on 2023-08-23*).

**Figure 5.9.1** In the text below (10.9.19), (12.5.5), (13.4.15), (13.10.9), (13.23.7), (25.5.21), (25.11.30), we referred the reader to Figure 5.9.1.

§17.5 Below (17.5.2) and (17.5.4), a sentence was added indicating that these equations can be used as the analytic continuation for the  ${}_1\phi_0$  contained in those equations.

§17.6 Just above §17.6(i) a paragraph was inserted describing the analytic continuation of the formulas which follow.

§17.8 Just above the paragraph , a paragraph was inserted describing the analytic continuation of the formulas which follow.

§25.14(i) Equations (25.14.3.1), (25.14.3.2), (25.14.3.3) and some text associated with these equations were added.

§25.14(ii) Equations (25.14.7), (25.14.8), (25.14.9) and some text associated with these equations were added.

## Version 1.2.0 (March 27, 2024)

This release increments the minor version number and contains considerable additions of new material and clarifications. In 2016, on the advice of the senior associate editors, it was decided to expand Chapter 18 (Orthogonal Polynomials (OP)). This release is the result of that decision and it includes, among other new material, enlarged sections on associated OP’s, Pollaczek polynomials and physical applications. It was decided that much more information should be given in the section on general OP’s, and as a consequence Chapter 1 (Algebraic and Analytic Methods), also required a significant expansion. This especially included updated information on matrix analysis, measure theory, spectral analysis, and a new section on linear second order differential operators and eigenfunction expansions.

The changes to Chapter 18 include the addition of 28 new sections and subsections. In particular, these are: §§18.2(vii)–18.2(xii), §18.14(iv), §18.16(vii), §§18.28(ix)–18.28(xi), §§18.30(iii)–18.30(viii) (Section

18.30), §18.33(vi), §18.36(v), §18.36(vi), §§18.39(iii)–18.39(v), §18.40(i), §18.40(ii) (Section 18.40), as well as many new equations, new figures, namely Figures: 18.39.1, 18.39.2, 18.40.1, 18.40.2, and updates to the main text. The specific updates to Chapter 18 include some results for general orthogonal polynomials including quadratic transformations, uniqueness of orthogonality measure and completeness, moments, continued fractions, and some special classes of orthogonal polynomials. For some classical polynomials we give some positive sums and discriminants. We have also incorporated material on continuous  $q$ -Jacobi polynomials, and several new limit transitions. We have significantly expanded the section on associated orthogonal polynomials, including expanded properties of associated Laguerre, Hermite, Meixner–Pollaczek, and corecursive orthogonal and numerator and denominator orthogonal polynomials. We now include Markov’s Theorem. In regard to orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle, we now discuss monic polynomials, Verblunsky’s Theorem, and Szegő’s theorem. We also discuss non-classical Laguerre polynomials and give much more details and examples on exceptional orthogonal polynomials. We have also completely expanded our discussion on applications of orthogonal polynomials in the physical sciences, and also methods of computation for orthogonal polynomials.

The changes in Chapter 1 include the addition of 15 new sections and subsections. In particular, these are: §1.2(v), §1.2(vi), §1.3(iv), §1.10(xi), §1.13(viii), §§1.18(i)–1.18(x) (Section 1.18), as well as many new equations and updates to the main text. The specific updates to Chapter 1 include the addition of an entirely new subsection §1.18 entitled “Linear Second Order Differential Operators and Eigenfunction Expansions” which is a survey of the formal spectral analysis of second order differential operators. The spectral theory of these operators, based on Sturm-Liouville and Liouville normal forms, distribution theory, is now discussed more completely, including linear algebra, matrices, matrices as linear operators, orthonormal expansions, Stieltjes integrals/measures, generating functions. This update also includes improvements for Chapters 5, 10, 17, 19 and 32.

## Errata

**Equations (1.3.5), (1.3.6), (1.3.7)**

$$1.3.5 \quad \det(\mathbf{A}^T) = \det(\mathbf{A})$$

$$1.3.6 \quad \det(\mathbf{A}^{-1}) = \frac{1}{\det(\mathbf{A})}$$

**1.3.7**  $\det(\mathbf{AB}) = \det(\mathbf{A}) \det(\mathbf{B})$

Previously we used the notation  $[a_{jk}]$ ,  $[b_{jk}]$ , for  $\mathbf{A}$ ,  $\mathbf{B}$  respectively.

**Equations (1.8.5), (1.8.6)**

**1.8.5**  $\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(x)|^2 dx = \frac{1}{2}|a_0|^2 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (|a_n|^2 + |b_n|^2)$

**1.8.6**  $\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(x)|^2 dx = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |c_n|^2$

Previously these equations were given as inequalities. For square integrable functions the inequality  $\geq$  can be sharpened to  $=$ .

**Equation (17.6.1)**

**17.6.1**

$${}_2\phi_1\left(\begin{matrix} a, b \\ c \end{matrix}; q, c/(ab)\right) = \frac{(c/a, c/b; q)_{\infty}}{(c, c/(ab); q)_{\infty}}, \quad |c| < |ab|$$

The constraint  $|c| < |ab|$  was added.

**Equations (18.2.5), (18.2.6)**

**18.2.5**  $h_n = \int_a^b (p_n(x))^2 w(x) dx$  or  $h_n = \sum_{x \in X} (p_n(x))^2 w_x$

$w_x$  or  $h_n = \int_a^b (p_n(x))^2 d\mu(x)$

**18.2.6**  $\tilde{h}_n = \int_a^b x (p_n(x))^2 w(x) dx$  or  $\tilde{h}_n = \sum_{x \in X} x (p_n(x))^2 w_x$

$w_x$  or  $\tilde{h}_n = \int_a^b x (p_n(x))^2 d\mu(x)$

The third alternatives, involving  $d\mu(x)$ , were included.

**Equations (18.2.12), (18.2.13)**

**18.2.12**

$$K_n(x, y) \equiv \sum_{\ell=0}^n \frac{p_{\ell}(x)p_{\ell}(y)}{h_{\ell}}$$

$$= \frac{k_n}{h_n k_{n+1}} \frac{p_{n+1}(x)p_n(y) - p_n(x)p_{n+1}(y)}{x - y}, \quad x \neq y$$

**18.2.13**

$$K_n(x, x) = \sum_{\ell=0}^n \frac{(p_{\ell}(x))^2}{h_{\ell}}$$

$$= \frac{k_n}{h_n k_{n+1}} (p'_{n+1}(x)p_n(x) - p'_n(x)p_{n+1}(x))$$

The left-hand sides were updated to include the definition of the Christoffel–Darboux kernel  $K_n(x, y)$ .

**Equations (18.5.1), (18.5.2), (18.5.3), (18.5.4)**

**18.5.1**  $T_n(x) = \cos(n\theta) = \frac{1}{2}(z^n + z^{-n})$

**18.5.2**  $U_n(x) = \frac{\sin((n+1)\theta)}{\sin\theta} = \frac{z^{n+1} - z^{-n-1}}{z - z^{-1}}$

**18.5.3**  $V_n(x) = \frac{\cos((n+\frac{1}{2})\theta)}{\cos(\frac{1}{2}\theta)} = \frac{z^{n+1} + z^{-n}}{z + 1}$

**18.5.4**  $W_n(x) = \frac{\sin((n+\frac{1}{2})\theta)}{\sin(\frac{1}{2}\theta)} = \frac{z^{n+1} - z^{-n}}{z - 1}$

These equations were updated to include the definition in terms of  $z$  where  $x = \cos\theta = \frac{1}{2}(z + z^{-1})$ .

**Equation (18.7.25)**

**18.7.25**  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \frac{n + \lambda}{\lambda} C_n^{(\lambda)}(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & n = 0, \\ 2T_n(x), & n = 1, 2, \dots \end{cases}$

We included the case  $n = 0$ .

**Equation (18.12.2)**

**18.12.2**

$${}_0F_1\left(\begin{matrix} - \\ \alpha + 1 \end{matrix}; \frac{(x-1)z}{2}\right) {}_0F_1\left(\begin{matrix} - \\ \beta + 1 \end{matrix}; \frac{(x+1)z}{2}\right)$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{2}(1-x)z\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}\alpha} J_{\alpha}\left(\sqrt{2(1-x)z}\right) \left(\frac{1}{2}(1+x)z\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}\beta} I_{\beta}\left(\sqrt{2(1+x)z}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)}{\Gamma(n + \alpha + 1)\Gamma(n + \beta + 1)} z^n$$

This equation was updated to include on the left-hand side, its definition in terms of a product of two  ${}_0F_1$  functions.

**Equations (18.16.2), (18.16.3)**

**18.16.2**  $\theta_{n,m}^{(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})} = \frac{(m - \frac{1}{2})\pi}{n + \frac{1}{2}} \leq \theta_{n,m}^{(\alpha, \beta)} \leq \frac{m\pi}{n + \frac{1}{2}}$

$$= \theta_{n,m}^{(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})}, \quad \alpha, \beta \in [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$$

**18.16.3**

$$\theta_{n,m}^{(-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})} = \frac{(m - \frac{1}{2})\pi}{n} \leq \theta_{n,m}^{(\alpha, \alpha)} \leq \frac{m\pi}{n+1} = \theta_{n,m}^{(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})},$$

$$\alpha \in [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}], m = 1, 2, \dots, \lfloor \frac{1}{2}n \rfloor$$

We made  $\theta_{n,m}^{(\alpha, \beta)}$  explicit, as well as the limits in terms of  $\theta_{n,m}^{(\pm\frac{1}{2}, \pm\frac{1}{2})}$ .

**Equations (18.16.12), (18.16.13)****18.16.12**

$$(n+2)x_{n,1} \geq \left( n-1 - \sqrt{n^2 + (n+2)(\alpha+1)} \right)^2 - 1$$

**18.16.13**

$$(n+2)x_{n,n} \leq \left( n-1 + \sqrt{n^2 + (n+2)(\alpha+1)} \right)^2 - 1$$

The presentation of these inequalities has been improved.

**Equations (18.17.45), (18.17.46)**

$$\mathbf{18.17.45} \quad (n + \frac{1}{2})(1+x)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-1}^x (x-t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} P_n(t) dt = T_n(x) + T_{n+1}(x) = (1+x)V_n(x)$$

$$\mathbf{18.17.46} \quad (n + \frac{1}{2})(1-x)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_x^1 (t-x)^{-\frac{1}{2}} P_n(t) dt = T_n(x) - T_{n+1}(x) = (1-x)W_n(x)$$

The equivalences in terms of  $(1+x)V_n(x)$  and  $(1-x)W_n(x)$  were added.

**Equation (18.27.4)**

$$\mathbf{18.27.4} \quad \sum_{y=0}^N Q_n(q^{-y})Q_m(q^{-y}) \begin{bmatrix} N \\ y \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{(\alpha q; q)_y (\beta q; q)_{N-y}}{(\alpha q)^y} = h_n \delta_{n,m}, \quad n, m = 0, 1, \dots, N$$

We changed the presentation of this equation. Previously the  $\begin{bmatrix} N \\ y \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{(\alpha q; q)_y (\beta q; q)_{N-y}}{(\alpha q)^y}$  was presented as  $\frac{(\alpha q, q^{-N}; q)_y (\alpha \beta q)^{-y}}{(q, \beta^{-1} q^{-N}; q)_y}$ .

**Equation (18.27.13)****18.27.13**

$$p_n(x) = p_n(x; a, b; q) = {}_2\phi_1 \left( \begin{matrix} q^{-n}, abq^{n+1} \\ aq \end{matrix}; q, qx \right)$$

$$= (-b)^{-n} q^{-n(n+1)/2} \frac{(qb; q)_n}{(qa; q)_n}$$

$$\times {}_3\phi_2 \left( \begin{matrix} q^{-n}, abq^{n+1}, qbx \\ qb, 0 \end{matrix}; q, q \right)$$

The  ${}_3\phi_2$  representation was added.

**Equation (18.28.1)****18.28.1**

$$p_n(x) = p_n(x; a, b, c, d | q)$$

$$= a^{-n} \sum_{\ell=0}^n q^\ell (abq^\ell, acq^\ell, adq^\ell; q)_{n-\ell}$$

$$\times \frac{(q^{-n}, abcdq^{n-1}; q)_\ell}{(q; q)_\ell} \prod_{j=0}^{\ell-1} (1 - 2aq^j x + a^2 q^{2j}),$$

$$R_n(z) = R_n(z; a, b, c, d | q)$$

$$\mathbf{18.28.1.5} \quad = \frac{p_n(\frac{1}{2}(z+z^{-1}); a, b, c, d | q)}{a^{-n}(ab, ac, ad; q)_n}$$

$$= {}_4\phi_3 \left( \begin{matrix} q^{-n}, abcdq^{n-1}, az, az^{-1} \\ ab, ac, ad \end{matrix}; q, q \right)$$

Previously we presented all the information of these formulas in one equation

$$p_n(\cos \theta) = p_n(\cos \theta; a, b, c, d | q)$$

$$= a^{-n} \sum_{\ell=0}^n q^\ell (abq^\ell, acq^\ell, adq^\ell; q)_{n-\ell}$$

$$\times \frac{(q^{-n}, abcdq^{n-1}; q)_\ell}{(q; q)_\ell} \prod_{j=0}^{\ell-1} (1 - 2aq^j \cos \theta + a^2 q^{2j})$$

$$= a^{-n}(ab, ac, ad; q)_n$$

$$\times {}_4\phi_3 \left( \begin{matrix} q^{-n}, abcdq^{n-1}, ae^{i\theta}, ae^{-i\theta} \\ ab, ac, ad \end{matrix}; q, q \right).$$

**Equation (18.28.2)****18.28.2**

$$\int_{-1}^1 p_n(x)p_m(x)w(x) dx = h_n \delta_{n,m}, \quad |a|, |b|, |c|, |d| \leq 1, ab, ac, ad, bc, bd, cd \neq 1$$

The constraint of this equation was updated to include  $ab, ac, ad, bc, bd, cd \neq 1$ .

**Equation (18.28.6)****18.28.6**

$$\int_{-1}^1 p_n(x)p_m(x)w(x) dx + \sum_{\ell} p_n(x_\ell)p_m(x_\ell)\omega_\ell = h_n \delta_{n,m},$$

$$ab, ac, ad, bc, bd, cd \in \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| \leq 1, z \neq 1\}$$

The constraint of this equation was updated to include  $ab, ac, ad, bc, bd, cd \in \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| \leq 1, z \neq 1\}$ .

**Equation (18.28.8)**

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\pi Q_n(\cos \theta; a, b | q) Q_m(\cos \theta; a, b | q) \\
 \mathbf{18.28.8} \quad & \times \left| \frac{(e^{2i\theta}; q)_\infty}{(ae^{i\theta}, be^{i\theta}; q)_\infty} \right|^2 d\theta = \frac{\delta_{n,m}}{(q^{n+1}, abq^n; q)_\infty}, \\
 & a, b \in \mathbb{R} \text{ or } a = \bar{b}; ab \neq 1; |a|, |b| \leq 1
 \end{aligned}$$

The constraint which originally stated that “ $|ab| < 1$ ” has been updated to be “ $ab \neq 1$ ”.

**Subsection 18.28(iv)** At the end of the subsection the text which originally stated “then the measure in (18.28.10) is uniquely determined” has been updated to be “then the measure in (18.28.10) is the unique orthogonality measure”.

**Equation (18.34.1)****18.34.1**

$$\begin{aligned}
 & y_n(x; a) \\
 & = {}_2F_0 \left( \begin{matrix} -n, n+a-1 \\ - \end{matrix}; -\frac{x}{2} \right) \\
 & = (n+a-1)_n \left( \frac{x}{2} \right)^n \\
 & {}_1F_1 \left( \begin{matrix} -n \\ -2n-a+2 \end{matrix}; \frac{2}{x} \right) = n! \left( -\frac{1}{2}x \right)^n \\
 & L_n^{(1-a-2n)}(2x^{-1}) \\
 & = \left( \frac{1}{2}x \right)^{1-\frac{1}{2}a} e^{1/x} W_{1-\frac{1}{2}a, \frac{1}{2}(a-1)+n}(2x^{-1})
 \end{aligned}$$

This equation was updated to include the definition of Bessel polynomials in terms of Laguerre polynomials and the Whittaker confluent hypergeometric function.

**Equation (18.34.2)**

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{18.34.2} \quad & y_n(x) = y_n(x; 2) = 2\pi^{-1} x^{-1} e^{1/x} k_n(x^{-1}), \\
 & \theta_n(x) = x^n y_n(x^{-1}) = 2\pi^{-1} x^{n+1} e^x k_n(x)
 \end{aligned}$$

This equation was updated to include definitions in terms of the modified spherical Bessel function of the second kind.

**Equation (18.35.1)****18.35.1**

$$\begin{aligned}
 & P_{-1}^{(\lambda)}(x; a, b, c) \\
 & = 0, \quad P_0^{(\lambda)}(x; a, b, c) = 1
 \end{aligned}$$

These equations which were previously given for Pollaczek polynomials of type 2 has been updated for Pollaczek polynomials of type 3.

**Equation (18.35.2)****18.35.2**

$$\begin{aligned}
 & P_{n+1}^{(\lambda)}(x; a, b, c) \\
 & = \frac{2(n+c+\lambda+a)x+2b}{n+c+1} P_n^{(\lambda)}(x; a, b, c) \\
 & \quad - \frac{n+c+2\lambda-1}{n+c+1} P_{n-1}^{(\lambda)}(x; a, b, c), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots
 \end{aligned}$$

This recurrence relation which was previously given for Pollaczek polynomials of type 2 (the case  $c = 0$ ) has been updated for Pollaczek polynomials of type 3.

**Equation (18.35.5)**

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{18.35.5} \quad & \int_{-1}^1 P_n^{(\lambda)}(x; a, b) P_m^{(\lambda)}(x; a, b) w^{(\lambda)}(x; a, b) dx \\
 & = \frac{\Gamma(2\lambda+n)}{n! (\lambda+a+n)} \delta_{n,m}, \quad a \geq b \geq -a, \lambda > 0
 \end{aligned}$$

This equation was updated to give the full normalization. Previously the constraints on  $a$ ,  $b$  and  $\lambda$  were given in (18.35.6) and included  $\lambda > -\frac{1}{2}$ . The case  $-\frac{1}{2} < \lambda \leq 0$  is now discussed in (18.35.6.2)–(18.35.6.4).

**Equation (18.35.9)**

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{18.35.9} \quad & P_n^{(\lambda)}(x; \phi) = P_n^{(\lambda)}(\cos \phi; 0, x \sin \phi), \\
 & P_n^{(\lambda)}(\cos \theta; a, b) = P_n^{(\lambda)}(\tau_{a,b}(\theta); \theta)
 \end{aligned}$$

Previously we gave only the first identity  $P_n^{(\lambda)}(\cos \phi; 0, x \sin \phi) = P_n^{(\lambda)}(x; \phi)$ .

**Equation (18.38.3)****18.38.3**

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{m=0}^n P_m^{(\alpha,0)}(x) \\
 & = \frac{(\alpha+2)_n}{n!} {}_3F_2 \left( \begin{matrix} -n, n+\alpha+2, \frac{1}{2}(\alpha+1) \\ \alpha+1, \frac{1}{2}(\alpha+3) \end{matrix}; \frac{1}{2}(1-x) \right) \\
 & \geq 0, \quad x \geq -1, \alpha \geq -2, n = 0, 1, \dots
 \end{aligned}$$

This equation was updated to include the value of the sum in terms of the  ${}_3F_2$  function. Also the constraint was previously  $-1 \leq x \leq 1$ ,  $\alpha > -1$ .

**Subsection 19.7(i)** Just above (19.7.3) the requirement that  $\Re k > 0$  was added.

*Suggested by Alex Barnett on 2024-01-12*

**Equations (32.8.10), (32.10.9)**

$$\mathbf{32.8.10} \quad \tau_n(z) = \mathscr{W}\{p_1(z), p_3(z), \dots, p_{2n-1}(z)\}$$

$$\mathbf{32.10.9} \quad \tau_n(z) = \mathscr{W}\{\phi(z), \phi'(z), \dots, \phi^{(n-1)}(z)\}$$

The right-hand side of these equation, which was originally written as a matrix determinant, was rewritten using the Wronskian determinant notation. Also, in each preceding sentence, the word ‘determinant’ was replaced with ‘Wronskian determinant’.

## Other Changes

**Chapter 1 Additions** The following additions were made in Chapter 1:

- §1.2 New subsections, 1.2(v) and 1.2(vi), with Equations (1.2.27)–(1.2.77).
- §1.3 The title of this section was changed from “Determinants” to “Determinants, Linear Operators, and Spectral Expansions”. An extra paragraph just below (1.3.7). New subsection, 1.3(iv), with Equations (1.3.20), (1.3.21).
- §1.4 In 1.4(v), three new paragraphs on Stieltjes integrals/measure, with Equations (1.4.23.1)–(1.4.23.3).
- §1.8 In Subsection 1.8(i), the title of the paragraph “Bessel’s Inequality” was changed to “Parseval’s Formula”. We give the relation between the real and the complex coefficients, and include more general versions of Parseval’s Formula, Equations (1.8.6.1), (1.8.6.2). The title of Subsection 1.8(iv) was changed from “Transformations” to “Poisson’s Summation Formula”, and we added an extra remark just below (1.8.14).
- §1.10 New subsection, 1.10(xi), with Equations (1.10.26)–(1.10.29).
- §1.13 New subsection, 1.13(viii), with Equations (1.13.26)–(1.13.31).
- §1.14(i) Another form of Parseval’s formula, (1.14.7.5).
- §1.16 We include several extra remarks and Equations (1.16.3.5), (1.16.9.5). New subsection, 1.16(ix).
- §1.17 Two extra paragraphs in Subsection 1.17(ii), with Equations (1.17.12.1), (1.17.12.2); Subsection 1.17(iv) is almost completely rewritten.
- §1.18 An entire new section, 1.18, including new subsections, 1.18(i)–1.18(x), and several equations, (1.18.1)–(1.18.71).

**Chapter 5 Addition** Equation (5.2.9).

**Chapter 10 Additions** Equations (10.22.78), (10.22.79).

**Chapter 18 Additions** The following additions were made in Chapter 18:

- §18.2 In Subsection 18.2(i), Equation (18.2.1.5); the paragraph title “Orthogonality on Finite Point Sets” has been changed to “Orthogonality on Countable Sets”, and there are minor changes in the presentation of the final paragraph, including a new equation (18.2.4.5). The presentation of Subsection 18.2(iii) has changed, Equation (18.2.5.5) was added and an extra paragraph on standardizations has been included. The presentation of Subsection 18.2(iv) has changed and it has been expanded with two extra paragraphs and several new equations, (18.2.9.5), (18.2.11.1)–(18.2.11.9). Subsections 18.2(v) (with (18.2.12.5), (18.2.14)–(18.2.17)) and 18.2(vi) (with (18.2.17)–(18.2.20)) have been expanded. New subsections, 18.2(vii)–18.2(xii), with Equations (18.2.21)–(18.2.46),
- §18.3 A new introduction, minor changes in the presentation, and three new paragraphs.
- §18.5 Extra details for Chebyshev polynomials, and Equations (18.5.4.5), (18.5.11.1)–(18.5.11.4), (18.5.17.5).
- §18.8 Line numbers and two extra rows were added to Table 18.8.1.
- §18.9 Subsection 18.9(i) has been expanded, and 18.9(iii) has some additional explanation. Equations (18.9.2.1), (18.9.2.2), (18.9.18.5) and Table 18.9.2 were added.
- §18.12 Three extra generating functions, (18.12.2.5), (18.12.3.5), (18.12.17).
- §18.14 Equation (18.14.3.5). New subsection, 18.14(iv), with Equations (18.14.25)–(18.14.27).
- §18.15 Equation (18.15.4.5).
- §18.16 The title of Subsection 18.16(iii) was changed from “Ultraspherical and Legendre” to “Ultraspherical, Legendre and Chebyshev”. New subsection, 18.16(vii), with Equations (18.16.19)–(18.16.21).
- §18.17 Extra explanatory text at many places and seven extra integrals (18.17.16.5), (18.17.21.1)–(18.17.21.3), (18.17.28.5), (18.17.34.5), (18.17.41.5).
- §18.18 Extra explanatory text at several places and the title of Subsection 18.18(iv) was changed from “Connection Formulas” to “Connection and Inversion Formulas”.
- §18.19 A new introduction.
- §18.21 Equation (18.21.13).
- §18.25 Extra explanatory text in Subsection 18.25(i) and the title of Subsection 18.25(ii) was changed

from “Weights and Normalizations: Continuous Cases” to “Weights and Standardizations: Continuous Cases”.

- §18.26 In Subsection 18.26(i) an extra paragraph on dualities has been included, with Equations (18.26.4.1), (18.26.4.2).
- §18.27 Extra text at the start of this section and twenty seven extra formulas, (18.27.4.1), (18.27.4.2), (18.27.6.5), (18.27.9.5), (18.27.12.5), (18.27.14.1)–(18.27.14.6), (18.27.17.1)–(18.27.17.3), (18.27.20.5), (18.27.25), (18.27.26), (18.28.1.5).
- §18.28 A big expansion. Six extra formulas in Subsection 18.28(ii) ((18.28.6.1)–(18.28.6.5)) and three extra formulas in Subsection 18.28(viii) ((18.28.21)–(18.28.23)). New subsections, 18.28(ix)–18.28(xi), with Equations (18.28.23)–(18.28.34).
- §18.30 Originally this section did not have subsections. The original seven formulas have now more explanatory text and are split over two subsections. New subsections 18.30(iii)–18.30(viii), with Equations (18.30.8)–(18.30.31).
- §18.32 This short section has been expanded, with Equation (18.32.2).
- §18.33 Additional references and a new large subsection, 18.33(vi), including Equations (18.33.17)–(18.33.32).
- §18.34 This section has been expanded, including an extra orthogonality relations (18.34.5.5), (18.34.7.1)–(18.34.7.3).
- §18.35 This section on Pollaczek polynomials has been significantly updated with much more explanations and as well to include the Pollaczek polynomials of type 3 which are the most general with three free parameters. The Pollaczek polynomials which were previously treated, namely those of type 1 and type 2 are special cases of the type 3 Pollaczek polynomials. In the first paragraph of this section an extensive description of the relations between the three types of Pollaczek polynomials is given which was lacking previously. Equations (18.35.0.5), (18.35.2.1)–(18.35.2.5), (18.35.4.5), (18.35.6.1)–(18.35.6.6), (18.35.10).
- §18.36 This section on miscellaneous polynomials has been expanded with new subsections, 18.36(v) on non-classical Laguerre polynomials and 18.36(vi) with examples of exceptional orthogonal polynomials, with Equations (18.36.1)–(18.36.10). In the titles of Subsections 18.36(ii) and 18.36(iii) we replaced “OP’s” by “Orthogonal Polynomials”.
- §18.38 The paragraphs of Subsection 18.38(i) have been re-ordered and one paragraph was added. The title of Subsection 18.38(ii) was changed from “Classical OP’s: Other Applications” to “Classical OP’s: Mathematical Developments and Applications”. Subsection 18.38(iii) has been expanded with seven new paragraphs, and Equations (18.38.4)–(18.38.11).
- §18.39 This section was completely rewritten. The previous 18.39(i) *Quantum Mechanics* has been replaced by Subsections 18.39(i) and 18.39(ii), containing the same essential information; the original content of the subsection is reproduced below for reference. Subsection 18.39(ii) was moved to 18.39(v). New subsections, 18.39(iii), 18.39(iv); Equations (18.39.7)–(18.39.48); and Figures 18.39.1, 18.39.2.
- The original text of 18.39(i) *Quantum Mechanics* was:
- “Classical OP’s appear when the time-dependent Schrödinger equation is solved by separation of variables. Consider, for example, the one-dimensional form of this equation for a particle of mass  $m$  with potential energy  $V(x)$ :
- errata.1**
- $$\left( \frac{-\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + V(x) \right) \psi(x, t) = i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi(x, t),$$
- where  $\hbar$  is the reduced Planck’s constant. On substituting  $\psi(x, t) = \eta(x)\zeta(t)$ , we obtain two ordinary differential equations, each of which involve the same constant  $E$ . The equation for  $\eta(x)$  is
- errata.2**
- $$\frac{d^2\eta}{dx^2} + \frac{2m}{\hbar^2} (E - V(x)) \eta = 0.$$
- For a harmonic oscillator, the potential energy is given by
- errata.3**
- $$V(x) = \frac{1}{2}m\omega^2x^2,$$
- where  $\omega$  is the angular frequency. For (18.39.2) to have a nontrivial bounded solution in the interval  $-\infty < x < \infty$ , the constant  $E$  (the total energy of the particle) must satisfy
- errata.4**
- $$E = E_n = \left( n + \frac{1}{2} \right) \hbar\omega, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$
- The corresponding eigenfunctions are
- errata.5**
- $$\eta_n(x) = \pi^{-\frac{1}{4}} 2^{-\frac{1}{2}n} (n! b)^{-\frac{1}{2}} H_n(x/b) e^{-x^2/2b^2},$$



where  $b = (\hbar/m\omega)^{1/2}$ , and  $H_n$  is the Hermite polynomial. For further details, see Seaborn (1991, p. 224) or Nikiforov and Uvarov (1988, pp. 71-72).

A second example is provided by the three-dimensional time-independent Schrödinger equation

**errata.6** 
$$\nabla^2\psi + \frac{2m}{\hbar^2}(E - V(\mathbf{x}))\psi = 0,$$

when this is solved by separation of variables in spherical coordinates (§1.5(ii)). The eigenfunctions of one of the separated ordinary differential equations are Legendre polynomials. See Seaborn (1991, pp. 69-75).

For a third example, one in which the eigenfunctions are Laguerre polynomials, see Seaborn (1991, pp. 87-93) and Nikiforov and Uvarov (1988, pp. 76-80 and 320-323)."

Section 18.40 The old section is now Subsection 18.40(i) and a large new subsection, 18.40(ii), on the classical moment problem has been added, with formulae (18.40.1)–(18.40.10) and Figures 18.40.1, 18.40.2.

## Version 1.1.12 (December 15, 2023)

### Errata

#### Equation (17.7.11)

17.7.11

$${}_4\phi_3\left(\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, q^{n+1}, c, -c \\ e, c^2q/e, -q \end{matrix}; q, q\right) = q^{\binom{n+1}{2}} \frac{(eq^{-n}, eq^{n+1}, c^2q^{1-n}/e, c^2q^{n+2}/e; q^2)_\infty}{(e, c^2q/e; q)_\infty}$$

The missing factor  $q^{\binom{n+1}{2}}$  was inserted on the right-hand side.

### Other Changes

**Additions** Equation (16.16.5-5).

**Subsection 17.9(iii)** The title of the paragraph which was previously “Gasper’s  $q$ -Analog of Clausen’s Formula” has been changed to “Gasper’s  $q$ -Analog of Clausen’s Formula (16.12.2)”.

## Version 1.1.11 (September 15, 2023)

### Errata

**Subsection 1.4(iii)** A sentence was added just below (1.4.15) indicating that we assume that  $g'(x) \neq 0$  for all  $x$  in some neighborhood of  $a$  with  $x \neq a$ .

*Suggested by Svante Janson on 2023-08-21*

#### Equation (5.17.5)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ln } G(z+1) &\sim \frac{1}{4}z^2 + z \text{Ln } \Gamma(z+1) \\ \text{5.17.5} \quad &- \left(\frac{1}{2}z(z+1) + \frac{1}{12}\right) \ln z - \ln A \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{B_{2k+2}}{2k(2k+1)(2k+2)z^{2k}} \end{aligned}$$

For consistency we have replaced  $\text{Ln } z$  by  $\ln z$ .

#### Equation (17.4.2)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{17.4.2} \quad \lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} {}_{r+1}\phi_s \left( \begin{matrix} q^{a_0}, q^{a_1}, \dots, q^{a_r} \\ q^{b_1}, \dots, q^{b_s} \end{matrix}; q, (q-1)^{s-r}z \right) \\ = {}_{r+1}F_s \left( \begin{matrix} a_0, a_1, \dots, a_r \\ b_1, \dots, b_s \end{matrix}; z \right) \end{aligned}$$

This limit relation, which was previously accurate for  ${}_{r+1}\phi_r$ , has been updated to be accurate for  ${}_{r+1}\phi_s$ .

#### Equation (17.5.1)

$$\text{17.5.1} \quad {}_0\phi_0(-; -; q, z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n q^{\binom{n}{2}} z^n}{(q; q)_n} = (z; q)_\infty$$

The constraint originally given by  $|z| < 1$  is not necessary and has been removed.

### Other Changes

**Additions** Equation (4.13.5.3) (*suggested by Warren Smith on 2023-08-10*).

**Subsection 17.7(iii)** The title of the paragraph which was previously “Andrews’ Terminating  $q$ -Analog of (17.7.8)” has been changed to “Andrews’  $q$ -Analog of the Terminating Version of Watson’s  ${}_3F_2$  Sum (16.4.6)”. The title of the paragraph which was previously “Andrews’ Terminating  $q$ -Analog” has been changed to “Andrews’  $q$ -Analog of the Terminating Version of Whipple’s  ${}_3F_2$  Sum (16.4.7)”.

## Version 1.1.10 (June 15, 2023)

### Errata

#### Equation (17.5.5)

$$\text{17.5.5} \quad {}_1\phi_1\left(\begin{matrix} a \\ c \end{matrix}; q, c/a\right) = \frac{(c/a; q)_\infty}{(c; q)_\infty}$$

The constraint originally given by  $|c| < |a|$  is not necessary and has been removed.

**Equation (17.7.1)**

$$17.7.1 \quad {}_2\phi_2\left(\begin{matrix} a, q/a \\ -q, b \end{matrix}; q, -b\right) = \frac{(ab, bq/a; q^2)_\infty}{(b; q)_\infty}$$

The constraint originally given by  $|b| < 1$  is not necessary and has been removed.

**Subsection 19.2(ii) and Equation (19.2.9)** The material surrounding (19.2.8), (19.2.9) has been updated so that the complementary complete elliptic integrals of the first and second kind are defined with consistent multivalued properties and correct analytic continuation. In particular, (19.2.9) has been corrected to read

$$19.2.9 \quad \begin{aligned} K'(k) &= \begin{cases} K(k'), & |\text{ph } k| \leq \frac{1}{2}\pi, \\ K(k') \mp 2iK(-k), & \frac{1}{2}\pi < \pm \text{ph } k < \pi, \end{cases} \\ E'(k) &= \begin{cases} E(k'), & |\text{ph } k| \leq \frac{1}{2}\pi, \\ E(k') \mp 2i(K(-k) - E(-k)), & \frac{1}{2}\pi < \pm \text{ph } k < \pi \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

**Table 22.5.2** The entry for  $\text{sn } z$ ,  $z = \frac{3}{2}(K + iK')$ , which previously was  $(1 + i)((1 + k')^{1/2} - i(1 - k')^{1/2})/(2k^{1/2})$  has been corrected to be  $((1 + k)^{1/2} + i(1 - k)^{1/2})/(2k)^{1/2}$ . The previous result was correct only for  $|\text{ph } k| < \frac{1}{2}\pi$ . Also, the presentation of several of the other results in the middle column for  $z = \frac{3}{2}(K + iK')$  have been simplified.

*Suggested by Alan Barnes on 2023-03-06*

**Other Changes**

**Section 8.11(iii)** A sentence was added referring the reader to Ameur and Cronvall (2023).

**Sections 28.6(i), 28.6(ii), 28.8(i), 28.8(ii)** Just below (28.6.14), (28.6.26), (28.8.1), (28.8.7), sentences were added referring the reader to Frenkel and Portugal (2001).

**Version 1.1.9 (March 15, 2023)****Errata****Equation (17.6.16)**

17.6.16

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_2\phi_1\left(\begin{matrix} a, b \\ c \end{matrix}; q, z\right) \\ &= \frac{(b, c/a, az, q/(az); q)_\infty}{(c, b/a, z, q/z; q)_\infty} {}_2\phi_1\left(\begin{matrix} a, aq/c \\ aq/b \end{matrix}; q, cq/(abz)\right) \\ &+ \frac{(a, c/b, bz, q/(bz); q)_\infty}{(c, a/b, z, q/z; q)_\infty} {}_2\phi_1\left(\begin{matrix} b, bq/c \\ bq/a \end{matrix}; q, cq/(abz)\right), \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad |z| < 1, |cq| < |abz| \end{aligned}$$

The constraint originally given by  $|abz| < |cq|$  has been corrected to be  $|cq| < |abz|$ .

**Other Changes**

**Section 16.11(i)** A sentence indicating that explicit representations for the coefficients  $c_k$  are given in Volkmer (2023) was inserted just below (16.11.5).

**Section 18.36(ii)** A sentence including the reference Marcellán *et al.* (1993) was updated to include Marcellán and Xu (2015) as well.

**Native MathML** DLMF now uses browser-native MathML rendering for mathematics, by default, in all browsers which support MathML. See [doc.help.mathml] for more details and for other options.

**Version 1.1.8 (December 15, 2022)****Errata****Equation (8.7.6)**

$$8.7.6 \quad \Gamma(a, x) = x^a e^{-x} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{L_n^{(a)}(x)}{n+1}, \quad x > 0, \Re a < \frac{1}{2}$$

The constraint was updated to include “ $\Re a < \frac{1}{2}$ ”.

*Suggested by Walter Gautschi on 2022-10-14*

**Other Changes**

**Rearrangement** In previous versions of the DLMF, in §8.18(ii), the notation  $\tilde{\Gamma}(z)$  was used for the scaled gamma function  $\Gamma^*(z)$ . Now in §8.18(ii), we adopt the notation which was introduced in and correspondingly, Equation (8.18.13) has been removed. In place of Equation (8.18.13), it is now mentioned to see (5.11.3).

**Section 9.8(iv)** The paragraph immediately below (9.8.23) was updated to include new information from Nemes (2021) pertaining to (9.8.22) and (9.8.23).

**Section 10.18(iii)** The paragraph immediately following (10.18.21) was updated to include new information from Nemes (2021) pertaining to (10.18.17) and (10.18.18).

**Section 27.11** Immediately below (27.11.2), the bound  $\theta_0$  for *Dirichlet’s divisor problem* (currently still unsolved) has been changed from  $\frac{12}{37}$  Kolesnik (1969) to  $\frac{131}{416}$  Huxley (2003).

**Version 1.1.7 (October 15, 2022)**

## Errata

### Equation (8.11.19)

$$d_0(x) = x/(1-x),$$

8.11.19

$$d_k(x) = \frac{(-1)^k b_k(x)}{(1-x)^{2k+1}}, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

The coefficient  $d_0(x) = x/(1-x)$  was given explicitly.

*Reported by Gergő Nemes on 2022-06-22*

### Equation (19.21.10)

19.21.10 
$$2R_G(x, y, z) = zR_F(x, y, z) - \frac{1}{3}(x-z)(y-z)R_D(x, y, z) + x^{1/2}y^{1/2}z^{-1/2}, \quad z \neq 0$$

The last term on the right-hand side  $3x^{1/2}y^{1/2}z^{-1/2}$  has been corrected to be  $x^{1/2}y^{1/2}z^{-1/2}$ .

*Reported by Abdulhafeez Abdulsalam on 2022-06-26*

**Subsection 19.25(i)** In the first line of the section, the constraint  $-\pi < \Re\phi \leq \pi$  was corrected to read  $0 \leq \Re\phi \leq \pi/2$ .

*Reported by Charles Karney on 2022-09-18*

### Equations (31.3.10), (31.3.11)

31.3.10 
$$z^{-\alpha} H\ell\left(\frac{1}{a}, \frac{q}{a} - \alpha(\beta - \epsilon) - \frac{\alpha}{a}(\beta - \delta); \alpha, \alpha - \gamma + 1, \alpha - \beta + 1, \delta; \frac{1}{z}\right)$$

31.3.11 
$$z^{-\beta} H\ell\left(\frac{1}{a}, \frac{q}{a} - \beta(\alpha - \epsilon) - \frac{\beta}{a}(\alpha - \delta); \beta, \beta - \gamma + 1, \beta - \alpha + 1, \delta; \frac{1}{z}\right)$$

In both equations, the second entry in the  $H\ell$  has been corrected with an extra minus sign.

### Equation (31.11.6)

31.11.6 
$$K_j = \frac{(j + \alpha - \mu - 1)(j + \beta - \mu - 1)(j + \gamma - \mu - 1)(j - \mu)}{(2j + \lambda - \mu - 1)(2j + \lambda - \mu - 2)}$$

The sign has been corrected and the final term in the numerator  $(j + \lambda - 1)$  has been corrected to be  $(j - \mu)$ .

*Suggested by Hans Volkmer on 2022-06-02*

### Equation (31.11.8)

31.11.8 
$$M_j = \frac{(j - \alpha + \lambda + 1)(j - \beta + \lambda + 1)(j - \gamma + \lambda + 1)(j + \lambda)}{(2j + \lambda - \mu + 1)(2j + \lambda - \mu + 2)}$$

The sign has been corrected and the final term in the numerator  $(j - \mu + 1)$  has been corrected to be  $(j + \lambda)$ .

*Suggested by Hans Volkmer on 2022-06-02*

## Other Changes

**Expansion §4.13** has been enlarged. The Lambert  $W$ -function is multi-valued and we use the notation  $W_k(x)$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , for the branches. The original two solutions are identified via  $\text{Wp}(x) = W_0(x)$  and  $\text{Wm}(x) =$

$W_{\pm 1}(x \mp 0i)$ .

Other changes are the introduction of the Wright  $\omega$ -function and tree  $T$ -function in (4.13.1.2) and (4.13.1.3), simplification formulas (4.13.3.1) and (4.13.3.2), explicit representation (4.13.4.1) for  $\frac{d^n W}{dz^n}$ , additional Maclaurin series (4.13.5.1) and (4.13.5.2),

an explicit expansion about the branch point at  $z = -e^{-1}$  in (4.13.9.1), extending the number of terms in asymptotic expansions (4.13.10) and (4.13.11), and including several integrals and integral representations for Lambert  $W$ -functions in the end of the section.

**Additions** Equations: (5.9.2.5), (5.9.10.1), (5.9.10.2), (5.9.11.1), (5.9.11.2), the definition of the scaled gamma function  $\Gamma^*(z)$  was inserted after the first equals sign in (5.11.3), post equality added in (7.17.2) which gives “ $= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_m t^{2m+1}$ ”, (7.17.2.5), (31.11.3.1), (31.11.3.2) with some explanatory text.

**Subsection 13.8(iv)** An entire new Subsection 13.8(iv) “Large  $a$  and  $b$ ”, was added.

**Subsection 31.11(ii)** Just below (31.11.5), we mention that we take  $c_0 = 1$ .

**Subsection 31.11(iii)** In (31.11.12), we have rewritten the gamma functions in the prefactor more concisely using Pochhammer symbols. It is mentioned just below (31.11.12) that (31.11.1) converges to (31.3.10) in the prescribed manner.

**Subsection 31.11(iv)** Just below (31.11.17),  $P_j$  has been replaced with  $P_j^6$ .

## Version 1.1.6 (June 30, 2022)

### Errata

**Chapters 10, 18, 34** The Legendre polynomial  $P_n$  was mistakenly identified as the associated Legendre function  $P_n$  in §§10.54, 10.59, 10.60, 18.18, 18.41, 34.3 (and was thus also affected by the bug reported below). These symbols now link correctly to their definitions. *Reported by Roy Hughes on 2022-05-23*

**Chapters 1, 10, 14, 18, 29** Over the preceding two months, the subscript parameters of the Ferrers and Legendre functions,  $P_n, Q_n, P_n, Q_n, Q_n$  and the Laguerre polynomial,  $L_n$ , were incorrectly displayed as superscripts. *Reported by Roy Hughes on 2022-05-23*

## Version 1.1.5 (March 15, 2022)

### Errata

#### Equation (14.8.3)

14.8.3

$$Q_\nu(x) = \frac{1}{2} \ln\left(\frac{2}{1-x}\right) - \gamma - \psi(\nu+1) + O((1-x)\ln(1-x)), \quad \nu \neq -1, -2, -3, \dots$$

The symbol  $O(1-x)$  has been corrected to be  $O((1-x)\ln(1-x))$ .

*Reported by Mark Ashbaugh on 2022-02-08*

#### Equation (14.8.9)

14.8.9

$$Q_\nu(x) = -\frac{\ln(x-1)}{2\Gamma(\nu+1)} + \frac{\frac{1}{2}\ln 2 - \gamma - \psi(\nu+1)}{\Gamma(\nu+1)} + O((x-1)\ln(x-1)), \quad \nu \neq -1, -2, -3, \dots$$

The symbol  $O(x-1)$  has been corrected to be  $O((x-1)\ln(x-1))$ .

*Reported by Mark Ashbaugh on 2022-02-08*

**§19.25(i)** The constraint  $-\pi < \Re\phi \leq \pi$  was added just above (19.25.1).

### Other Changes

**Additions** Equations: (15.6.2.5), (17.2.6.1), (17.2.6.2), a new inequality, with clarifications, was added to (7.8.7).

**§20.10(i)** The general constraint  $\Re s > 2$  has been extended to  $\Re s > 1$  for (20.10.1), (20.10.2) and to  $\Re s > 0$  for (20.10.3).

## Version 1.1.4 (January 15, 2022)

### Errata

**Equation (25.10.3)** The constraint  $m = \lfloor \sqrt{t/(2\pi)} \rfloor$  was added.

*Reported by Gergő Nemes on 2021-08-23*

**Equation (25.11.9)** The constraint which originally read “ $\Re s > 1, 0 < a \leq 1$ ” has been extended to be “ $\Re s > 0$  if  $0 < a < 1$ ;  $\Re s > 1$  if  $a = 1$ ”.

*Reported by Gergő Nemes on 2021-08-23*

**Equation (25.13.3)** The constraint which originally read “ $0 < x < 1, \Re s > 0$ ” has been extended to be “ $\Re s > 0$  if  $0 < x < 1$ ;  $\Re s > 1$  if  $x = 1$ ”.

*Reported by Gergő Nemes on 2021-09-14*

**Equation (25.14.5)** The constraint which originally read “ $\Re s > 0, \Re a > 0, z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [1, \infty)$ ” has been extended to be “ $\Re s > 1, \Re a > 0$  if  $z = 1$ ;  $\Re s > 0, \Re a > 0$  if  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [1, \infty)$ ”.

*Reported by Gergő Nemes on 2021-09-14*

**Equation (25.14.6)** The constraint which originally read “ $\Re s > 0$  if  $|z| < 1$ ;  $\Re s > 1$  if  $|z| = 1, \Re a > 0$ ” has been improved to be “ $\Re a > 0$  if  $|z| < 1$ ;  $\Re s > 1, \Re a > 0$  if  $|z| = 1$ ”.

*Reported by Gergő Nemes on 2021-08-23*

**Equation (25.15.6)**

$$25.15.6 \quad G(\chi) \equiv \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} \chi(r) e^{2\pi i r/k}.$$

The upper-index of the finite sum which originally was  $k$ , was replaced with  $k - 1$  since  $\chi(k) = 0$ .

*Reported by Gergő Nemes on 2021-08-23*

**Equation (25.15.10)**

$$25.15.10 \quad L(0, \chi) = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{k} \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} r\chi(r), & \chi \neq \chi_1, \\ 0, & \chi = \chi_1. \end{cases}$$

The upper-index of the finite sum which originally was  $k$ , was replaced with  $k - 1$  since  $\chi(k) = 0$ .

*Reported by Gergő Nemes on 2021-08-23*

**Other Changes**

**Source citations** Specific source citations and proof metadata are now given for all equations in Chapter 25.

**Subsection 25.10(ii)** In the paragraph immediately below (25.10.4), it was originally stated that “more than one-third of all zeros in the critical strip lie on the critical line.” which referred to Levinson (1974). This sentence has been updated with “one-third” being replaced with “41%” now referring to Bui *et al.* (2011) (*suggested by Gergő Nemes on 2021-08-23*).

**Notation** Previously the notation  $h(n)$  was used for the harmonic number  $H_n$  (defined in (25.11.33)). The more widely used notation  $H_n$  will now be used throughout the DLMF. In particular, this change was made in (25.11.32), (25.11.33), (25.16.5) and (25.16.13) (*suggested by Gergő Nemes on 2021-08-23*).

**Version 1.1.3 (September 15, 2021)****Errata**

**Equations (14.5.3), (14.5.4)** The constraints in (14.5.3), (14.5.4) on  $\nu + \mu$  have been corrected to exclude all negative integers since the Ferrers function of the second kind is not defined for these values.

*Reported by Hans Volkmer on 2021-06-02*

**Equations (14.13.1), (14.13.2)** Originally it was stated that these Fourier series converge “...conditionally when  $\nu$  is real and  $0 \leq \mu < \frac{1}{2}$ .” It has been corrected to read “If  $0 \leq \Re\mu < \frac{1}{2}$  then they converge, but, if  $\theta \neq \frac{1}{2}\pi$ , they do not converge absolutely.”

*Reported by Hans Volkmer on 2021-06-04*

**Equation (17.11.2)**

$$17.11.2 \quad \begin{aligned} & \Phi^{(2)}(a; b, b'; c, c'; q; x, y) \\ &= \frac{(b, ax; q)_\infty}{(c, x; q)_\infty} \sum_{n, r \geq 0} \frac{(a, b'; q)_n (c/b, x; q)_r b^r y^n}{(q, c'; q)_n (q; q)_r (ax; q)_{n+r}} \end{aligned}$$

The factor  $(q)_r$  originally used in the denominator has been corrected to be  $(q; q)_r$ .

**Chapter 19** Factors inside square roots on the right-hand sides of formulas (19.18.6), (19.20.10), (19.20.19), (19.21.7), (19.21.8), (19.21.10), (19.25.7), (19.25.10) and (19.25.11) were written as products to ensure the correct multivalued behavior.

*Reported by Luc Maisonobe on 2021-06-07*

**Subsection 19.25(iii)** The constraint  $(x, y) \neq (0, 0)$  was added to the first sentence of this section.

**Other Changes**

**Additions** Equations: (3.3.3.1), (3.3.3.2), (5.15.9) (*suggested by Calvin Khor on 2021-09-04*), (8.15.2), Pochhammer symbol representation in (10.17.1) for  $a_k(\nu)$  coefficient, Pochhammer symbol representation in (11.9.4) for  $a_k(\mu, \nu)$  coefficient, and (12.14.4.5).

**Subsection 3.2(vi)** A paragraph was added just below (3.2.23) treating the case of **S**-orthogonality.

**Subsection 3.3(i)** The text surrounding (3.3.1)–(3.3.3) was changed.

**Subsections 10.6(i), 10.29(i)** Sentences were added just below (10.6.5) and (10.29.3) regarding results on modified quotients of the form  $z\mathcal{C}_{\nu\pm 1}(z)/\mathcal{C}_\nu(z)$  and  $z\mathcal{L}_{\nu\pm 1}(z)/\mathcal{L}_\nu(z)$ , respectively (*suggested by Art Balato on 2021-04-29*).

**Equation (17.4.6)** The multi-product notation  $(q, c; q)_m (q, c'; q)_n$  in the denominator of the right-hand side was used.

**Section 24.1** The text “greatest common divisor of  $m, n$ ” was replaced with “greatest common divisor of  $k, m$ ”.

**Notation** In §3.7(iii), the symbol  $\mathbf{A}_P$  is now being used in several places instead of  $\mathbf{A}$  in order to disambiguate symbols.

**References** Some references were added to §§10.6(i), 10.29(i), 14.3(iii) and 25.11(x).

## Version 1.1.2 (June 15, 2021)

### Errata

#### Equation (2.7.25)

2.7.25

$$\mathcal{V}_{a_j,x}(F) = \left| \int_{a_j}^x \frac{1}{f^{1/4}(t)} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \left( \frac{1}{f^{1/4}(t)} \right) - \frac{g(t)}{f^{1/2}(t)} \right| dt$$

The integrand was corrected so that the absolute value does not include the differential. Also an absolute value was introduced on the right-hand side to ensure a non-negative value for  $\mathcal{V}_{a_j,x}(F)$ .

**Equation (3.3.34)** In the online version, the leading divided difference operators were previously omitted from these formulas, due to programming error.

*Reported by Nico Temme on 2021-06-01*

#### Equation (4.13.11)

4.13.11

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Wm}(x) = & -\eta - \ln \eta - \frac{\ln \eta}{\eta} + \frac{(\ln \eta)^2}{2\eta^2} - \frac{\ln \eta}{\eta^2} \\ & + O\left(\frac{(\ln \eta)^3}{\eta^3}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Originally the sign in front of  $\frac{(\ln \eta)^2}{2\eta^2}$  was  $-$ . The correct sign is  $+$ .

#### Equation (10.23.11)

$$10.23.11 \quad a_k = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|t|=c'} f(t) O_k(t) dt, \quad 0 < c' < c$$

Originally the contour of integration written incorrectly as  $|z| = c'$ , has been corrected to be  $|t| = c'$ .

*Reported by Mark Dunster on 2021-03-22*

### Other Changes

**Additions** Section: 15.9(v). Equations: (11.11.9.5), (11.11.13.5), Intermediate equality in (15.4.27) which relates to  $F(a, a; a+1; \frac{1}{2})$ , (15.4.34), (19.5.4.1), (19.5.4.2) and (19.5.4.3).

**Section 11.11** The asymptotic results were originally for  $\nu$  real valued and  $\nu \rightarrow +\infty$ . However, these results are also valid for complex values of  $\nu$ . The maximum sectors of validity are now specified.

**Equation (11.11.1)** Pochhammer symbol representations for the functions  $F_k(\nu)$  and  $G_k(\nu)$  were inserted.

#### Paragraph Starting from Invariants (in §23.22(ii))

The statements “If  $c$  and  $d$  are real” and “If  $c$  and  $d$  are not both real” have been further clarified (*suggested by Alan Barnes on 2021-03-26*).

**Linking** Pochhammer and  $q$ -Pochhammer symbols in several equations now correctly link to their definitions.

**Usability** Linkage of mathematical symbols to their definitions were corrected or improved.

**Citations** Additional citations were added to Section 11.11.

## Version 1.1.1 (March 15, 2021)

### Errata

#### Equation (2.3.6)

$$2.3.6 \quad \mathcal{V}_{a,b}(f(t)) = \int_a^b |f'(t)| dt$$

The integrand has been corrected so that the absolute value does not include the differential.

*Reported by Juan Luis Varona on 2021-02-08*

#### Equation (23.6.11)

23.6.11

$$\sigma(\omega_2) = -2\omega_1 \frac{\exp(\frac{1}{2}\eta_1(\omega_1\tau^2 + \omega_3 - \omega_2))\theta_3(0, q)}{\pi q^{1/4}\theta_1'(0, q)}$$

The factor  $2\omega_1 i \exp(\frac{1}{2}\eta_1\omega_1\tau^2)$  has been corrected to be  $-2\omega_1 \exp(\frac{1}{2}\eta_1(\omega_1\tau^2 + \omega_3 - \omega_2))$ .

#### Equation (23.6.12)

$$23.6.12 \quad \sigma(\omega_3) = 2i\omega_1 \frac{\exp(\frac{1}{2}\eta_1\omega_1\tau^2)\theta_4(0, q)}{\pi q^{1/4}\theta_1'(0, q)}$$

The factor  $-2\omega_1 \exp(\frac{1}{2}\eta_1\omega_1)$  has been corrected to be  $2i\omega_1 \exp(\frac{1}{2}\eta_1\omega_1\tau^2)$ .

#### Equation (23.6.15)

23.6.15

$$\frac{\sigma(u + \omega_j)}{\sigma(\omega_j)} = \exp\left(\eta_j u + \frac{\eta_1 u^2}{2\omega_1}\right) \frac{\theta_{j+1}(z, q)}{\theta_{j+1}(0, q)}, \quad j = 1, 2, 3$$

The factor  $\exp\left(\eta_j u + \frac{\eta_j u^2}{2\omega_1}\right)$  has been corrected to be  $\exp\left(\eta_j u + \frac{\eta_1 u^2}{2\omega_1}\right)$ .

*Reported by Jan Felipe van Diejen on 2021-02-10*

### Other Changes

**Subsection 14.3(iv)** A sentence was added at the end of this subsection indicating that from (15.9.15), it follows that  $1 - 2\mu = 0, -1, -2, \dots$  and  $\nu + \mu + 1 = 0, -1, -2, \dots$  are removable singularities.

**Subsection 14.6(ii)** A sentence was added at the end of the subsection indicating that for generalizations, see Cohl and Costas-Santos (2020).

## Version 1.1.0 (December 15, 2020)

This release increments the minor version number and contains considerable additions of new material and clarifications. These additions were facilitated by an extension of the scheme for reference numbers; with “\_” introducing intermediate numbers. These enable insertions of new numbered objects between existing ones without affecting their permanent identifiers and URLs.

### Errata

**Subsection 19.25(vi)** This subsection has been significantly updated. In particular, the following formulae have been corrected. Equation (19.25.35) has been replaced by

**19.25.35**

$$z + 2\omega = \pm R_F(\wp(z) - e_1, \wp(z) - e_2, \wp(z) - e_3),$$

in which the left-hand side  $z$  has been replaced by  $z + 2\omega$  for some  $2\omega \in \mathbb{L}$ , and the right-hand side has been multiplied by  $\pm 1$ . Equation (19.25.37) has been replaced by

**19.25.37** 
$$\zeta(z + 2\omega) + (z + 2\omega)\wp(z) = \pm 2R_G(\wp(z) - e_1, \wp(z) - e_2, \wp(z) - e_3),$$

in which the left-hand side  $\zeta(z) + z\wp(z)$  has been replaced by  $\zeta(z + 2\omega) + (z + 2\omega)\wp(z)$  and the right-hand side has been multiplied by  $\pm 1$ . Equation (19.25.39) has been replaced by

**19.25.39** 
$$\zeta(\omega_j) + \omega_j e_j = 2R_G(0, e_j - e_k, e_j - e_\ell),$$

in which the left-hand side  $\eta_j$  was replaced by  $\zeta(\omega_j)$ , for some  $2\omega_j \in \mathbb{L}$  and  $\wp(\omega_j) = e_j$ . Equation (19.25.40) has been replaced by

**19.25.40** 
$$z + 2\omega = \pm \sigma(z)R_F(\sigma_1^2(z), \sigma_2^2(z), \sigma_3^2(z)),$$

in which the left-hand side  $z$  has been replaced by  $z + 2\omega$ , and the right-hand side was multiplied by  $\pm 1$ . For more details see §19.25(vi).

**Subsection 20.10(ii)** In the first sentence of this subsection, the constraint  $\sinh|\beta| \leq \ell$  has been replaced with  $|\Re\beta| + |\Im\beta| \leq \ell$ .

### Other Changes

**Additions** Sections:  $\P$ Herglotz generating function (in §14.30(ii)),  $\P$ Lerch Sum (in §16.4(ii)). Equations: (3.5.20\_1), (3.5.20\_2), (4.21.1.5) (suggested by Ted Ersek on 2018-08-14), (13.6.11.1), (13.6.11.2), (13.11.2), (13.11.3), (13.11.4), (14.30.11.5) (suggested by Anupam Garg on 2018-12-07), (14.30.13), (15.5.16.5), (17.6.4.5), (17.8.8), (17.9.3.5) (addition of previous three equations suggested by Slobodan Damjanović on 2019-10-17), (19.2.11.5) (suggested by Jan Mangaldan on 2019-08-26).

**Rearrangement** Some equations were moved between §19.16(i) and §19.23. In particular, (19.16.2\_5), which was previously (19.23.7), now serves as the definition of  $R_G(x, y, z)$ . Furthermore, (19.23.6\_5) was previously (19.16.3).

**Subsections 2.3(ii), 2.3(iv), 2.3(vi)** Clarifications regarding  $t$ -powers and asymptotics were added, along with extra citations.

**Subsection 3.2(vi)** The material for this subsection has been improved to be more explicit.

**Subsection 3.5(vi)** Clarifications were made to this subsection with the addition of Equations (3.5.30\_5), (3.5.33\_1), (3.5.33\_2), (3.5.33\_3) and Table 3.5.17\_5.

**Citations** Citations were added to  $\P$ Example (in §2.10(i)).

## Version 1.0.28 (September 15, 2020)

### Errata

**Equation (1.4.34)**

**1.4.34** 
$$\mathcal{V}_{a,b}(f) = \int_a^b |f'(x)| dx$$

The integrand has been corrected so that the absolute value does not include the differential.

*Reported by Tran Quoc Viet on 2020-08-11*

**Equation (14.6.6)**

**14.6.6** 
$$P_\nu^{-m}(x) = (1 - x^2)^{-m/2} \int_x^1 \dots \int_x^1 P_\nu(x) (dx)^m$$

The right-hand side has been corrected by replacing the Legendre function  $P_\nu(x)$  with the Ferrers function  $P_\nu(x)$ .

**Table 18.3.1** There has been disagreement about the identification of the Chebyshev polynomials of the third and fourth kinds, denoted  $V_n(x)$  and  $W_n(x)$ , in published references. Originally, DLMF used the definitions given in (Andrews *et al.*, 1999, Remark 2.5.3). However, those definitions were the reverse of those used by Mason and Handscomb (2003), Gautschi (2004) following Mason (1993) and Gautschi (1992), as was noted in several warnings added in of the DLMF. Since the latter definitions are more widely established, the DLMF is now adopting the definitions of Mason

and Handscomb (2003). Essentially, what we previously denoted  $V_n(x)$  is now written as  $W_n(x)$ , and vice-versa.

This notational interchange necessitated changes in Tables 18.3.1, 18.5.1, and 18.6.1, and in Equations (18.5.3), (18.5.4), (18.7.5), (18.7.6), (18.7.17), (18.7.18), (18.9.11), and (18.9.12).

### Equation (20.4.2)

$$\begin{aligned} & \theta_1'(0, q) \\ 20.4.2 \quad & = 2q^{1/4} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^{2n})^3 = 2q^{1/4} (q^2; q^2)_{\infty}^3 \end{aligned}$$

The representation in terms of  $(q^2; q^2)_{\infty}^3$  was added to this equation.

### Equations (22.14.16), (22.14.17)

22.14.16

$$\int_0^{K(k)} \ln(\operatorname{sn}(t, k)) dt = -\frac{\pi}{4} K'(k) - \frac{1}{2} K(k) \ln k,$$

22.14.17

$$\int_0^{K(k)} \ln(\operatorname{cn}(t, k)) dt = -\frac{\pi}{4} K'(k) + \frac{1}{2} K(k) \ln(k'/k)$$

Originally, a factor of  $\pi$  was missing from the terms containing the  $-\frac{1}{4} K'(k)$ .

*Reported by Fred Hucht on 2020-08-06*

### Equation (27.14.2)

$$27.14.2 \quad f(x) = \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} (1 - x^m) = (x; x)_{\infty}, \quad |x| < 1$$

The representation in terms of  $(x; x)_{\infty}$  was added to this equation.

### Other Changes

**Chapters 14, 15** The Gegenbauer function  $C_{\alpha}^{(\lambda)}(z)$ , was labeled inadvertently as the ultraspherical (Gegenbauer) polynomial  $C_n^{(\lambda)}(z)$ . In order to resolve this inconsistency, this function now links correctly to its definition. This change affects Gegenbauer functions which appear in §§14.3(iv), 15.9(iii).

**Subsection 17.2(i)** A sentence was added recommending §27.14(ii) for properties of  $(q; q)_{\infty}$ .

**Equations (15.2.3\_5), (19.11.6\_5)** These equations, originally added in and , respectively, have been assigned interpolated numbers.

## Version 1.0.27 (June 15, 2020)

### Changes

**Paragraph Inversion Formula (in §35.2)** The wording was changed to make the integration variable more apparent.

**Usability** In many cases, the links from mathematical symbols to their definitions were corrected or improved. These links were also enhanced with ‘tooltip’ feedback, where supported by the user’s browser.

## Version 1.0.26 (March 15, 2020)

### Changes

#### Equation (19.20.11)

$$\begin{aligned} & R_J(0, y, z, p) \\ 19.20.11 \quad & = \frac{3}{2p\sqrt{z}} \ln\left(\frac{16z}{y}\right) \\ & \quad - \frac{3}{p} R_C(z, p) + O(y \ln y), \end{aligned}$$

as  $y \rightarrow 0+$ ,  $p (\neq 0)$  real, we have added the constant term  $\frac{3}{p} R_C(z, p)$  and the order term  $O(y \ln y)$ , and hence  $\sim$  was replaced by =.

#### Paragraph Prime Number Theorem (in §27.12)

The largest known prime, which is a Mersenne prime, was updated from  $2^{43,112,609} - 1$  (2009) to  $2^{82,589,933} - 1$  (2018).

**Equation (35.7.8)** Originally had the constraint  $\Re(c), \Re(c - a - b) > \frac{1}{2}(m - 1)$ . This constraint was replaced with  $0 < \mathbf{T} < \mathbf{I}$ ;  $\frac{1}{2}(j + 1) - a \in \mathbb{N}$  for some  $j = 1, \dots, m$ ;  $\frac{1}{2}(j + 1) - c \notin \mathbb{N}$  and  $c - a - b - \frac{1}{2}(m - j) \notin \mathbb{N}$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, m$ .

**General** Several biographies had their publications updated.

## Version 1.0.25 (December 15, 2019)

### Errata

**Section 14.30** In regard to the definition of the spherical harmonics  $Y_{l,m}$ , the domain of the integer  $m$  originally written as  $0 \leq m \leq l$  has been replaced with the more general  $|m| \leq l$ . Because of this change, in the sentence just below (14.30.2), “tesseral for  $m < l$  and sectorial for  $m = l$ ” has been replaced with “tesseral for  $|m| < l$  and sectorial for  $|m| = l$ ”. Furthermore, in (14.30.4),  $m$  has been replaced with  $|m|$ .

*Reported by Ching-Li Chai on 2019-10-05*



**Equations (22.9.8), (22.9.9) and (22.9.10)**

$$22.9.8 \quad s_{1,3}^{(4)}s_{2,3}^{(4)} + s_{2,3}^{(4)}s_{3,3}^{(4)} + s_{3,3}^{(4)}s_{1,3}^{(4)} = \frac{\kappa^2 - 1}{k^2}$$

$$22.9.9 \quad c_{1,3}^{(4)}c_{2,3}^{(4)} + c_{2,3}^{(4)}c_{3,3}^{(4)} + c_{3,3}^{(4)}c_{1,3}^{(4)} = -\frac{\kappa(\kappa + 2)}{(1 + \kappa)^2}$$

$$22.9.10 \quad d_{1,3}^{(2)}d_{2,3}^{(2)} + d_{2,3}^{(2)}d_{3,3}^{(2)} + d_{3,3}^{(2)}d_{1,3}^{(2)} \\ = d_{1,3}^{(4)}d_{2,3}^{(4)} + d_{2,3}^{(4)}d_{3,3}^{(4)} + d_{3,3}^{(4)}d_{1,3}^{(4)} = \kappa(\kappa + 2)$$

Originally all the functions  $s_{m,p}^{(4)}$ ,  $c_{m,p}^{(4)}$ ,  $d_{m,p}^{(2)}$  and  $d_{m,p}^{(4)}$  in Equations (22.9.8), (22.9.9) and (22.9.10) were written incorrectly with  $p = 2$ . These functions have been corrected so that they are written with  $p = 3$ . In the sentence just below (22.9.10), the expression  $s_{m,2}^{(4)}s_{n,2}^{(4)}$  has been corrected to read  $s_{m,p}^{(4)}s_{n,p}^{(4)}$ .

*Reported by Juan Miguel Nieto on 2019-11-07*

**Other Changes**

**Subsection 1.9(i)** A phrase was added, just below (1.9.1), which elaborates that  $i^2 = -1$ .

**Usability** Poor spacing in math was corrected in several chapters.

**Section 1.13** In Equation (1.13.4), the determinant form of the two-argument Wronskian

$$\mathscr{W}\{w_1(z), w_2(z)\} \\ 1.13.4 \quad = \det \begin{bmatrix} w_1(z) & w_2(z) \\ w_1'(z) & w_2'(z) \end{bmatrix} \\ = w_1(z)w_2'(z) - w_2(z)w_1'(z)$$

was added as an equality. In ¶ *Wronskian* (in §1.13(i)), immediately below Equation (1.13.4), a sentence was added indicating that in general the  $n$ -argument Wronskian is given by  $\mathscr{W}\{w_1(z), \dots, w_n(z)\} = \det [w_k^{(j-1)}(z)]$ , where  $1 \leq j, k \leq n$ . Immediately below Equation (1.13.4), a sentence was added giving the definition of the  $n$ -argument Wronskian. It is explained just above (1.13.5) that this equation is often referred to as Abel's identity. Immediately below Equation (1.13.5), a sentence was added explaining how it generalizes for  $n$ th-order differential equations. A reference to Ince (1926, §5.2) was added.

**Section 3.1** In ¶ *IEEE Standard* (in §3.1(i)), the description was modified to reflect the most recent IEEE 754-2019 Floating-Point Arithmetic Standard IEEE (2019). In the new standard, single, double and quad floating-point precisions are replaced with new standard names of *binary32*, *binary64* and *binary128*. Figure 3.1.1 has been expanded to include the binary128 floating-point memory positions and the caption has been updated using the terminology of the 2019 standard. A sentence at the end of Subsection 3.1(ii) has

been added referring readers to the IEEE Standards for Interval Arithmetic IEEE (2015, 2018).

*Suggested by Nicola Torracca.*

**Equation (35.7.3)** Originally the matrix in the argument of the Gaussian hypergeometric function of matrix argument  ${}_2F_1$  was written with round brackets. This matrix has been rewritten with square brackets to be consistent with the rest of the DLMF.

**Version 1.0.24 (September 15, 2019)****Errata****Equation (33.14.15)**

$$33.14.15 \quad \int_0^\infty \phi_{m,\ell}(r)\phi_{n,\ell}(r) dr = \delta_{m,n}$$

The definite integral, originally written as  $\int_0^\infty \phi_{n,\ell}^2(r) dr = 1$ , was clarified and rewritten as an orthogonality relation. This follows from (33.14.14) by combining it with Dunkl (2003, Theorem 2.2).

**Other Changes**

**Paragraph Steed's Algorithm (in §3.10(iii))** A sentence was added to inform the reader of alternatives to Steed's algorithm, namely the *Lentz algorithm* (see e.g., Lentz (1976)) and the *modified Lentz algorithm* (see e.g., Thompson and Barnett (1986)).

**Subsection 19.11(i)** A sentence and unnumbered equation

$$R_C(\gamma - \delta, \gamma) \\ = \frac{-1}{\sqrt{\delta}} \arctan \left( \frac{\sqrt{\delta} \sin \theta \sin \phi \sin \psi}{\alpha^2 - 1 - \alpha^2 \cos \theta \cos \phi \cos \psi} \right),$$

were added which indicate that care must be taken with the multivalued functions in (19.11.5). See (Cayley, 1961, pp. 103-106).

*Suggested by Albert Groenenboom.*

**Subsection 33.14(iv)** Just below (33.14.9), the constraint described in the text " $\ell < (-\epsilon)^{-1/2}$  when  $\epsilon < 0$ ," was removed. In Equation (33.14.13), the constraint  $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 > 0$  was added. In the line immediately below (33.14.13), it was clarified that  $s(\epsilon, \ell; r)$  is  $\exp(-r/n)$  times a polynomial in  $r/n$ , instead of simply a polynomial in  $r$ . In Equation (33.14.14), a second equality was added which relates  $\phi_{n,\ell}(r)$  to Laguerre polynomials. A sentence was added immediately below (33.14.15) indicating that the functions  $\phi_{n,\ell}$ ,  $n = \ell, \ell + 1, \dots$ , do not form a complete orthonormal system.

**Version 1.0.23 (June 15, 2019)**

## Errata

### Equation (17.9.3)

$$17.9.3 \quad {}_2\phi_1\left(\begin{matrix} a, b \\ c \end{matrix}; q, z\right) = \frac{(abz/c; q)_\infty}{(bz/c; q)_\infty} {}_3\phi_2\left(\begin{matrix} a, c/b, 0 \\ c, cq/(bz) \end{matrix}; q, q\right) + \frac{(a, bz, c/b; q)_\infty}{(c, z, c/(bz); q)_\infty} {}_3\phi_2\left(\begin{matrix} z, abz/c, 0 \\ bz, bzq/c \end{matrix}; q, q\right)$$

Originally, the second term on the right-hand side was missing. The form of the equation where the second term is missing is correct if the  ${}_2\phi_1$  is terminating. It is this form which appeared in the first edition of Gasper and Rahman (1990). The more general version which appears now is what is reproduced in Gasper and Rahman (2004, (III.5)).

*Reported by Roberto S. Costas-Santos on 2019-04-26*

### Equation (23.12.2)

$\zeta(z)$

23.12.2

$$= \frac{\pi^2}{4\omega_1^2} \left( \frac{z}{3} + \frac{2\omega_1}{\pi} \cot\left(\frac{\pi z}{2\omega_1}\right) - 8 \left( z - \frac{\omega_1}{\pi} \sin\left(\frac{\pi z}{\omega_1}\right) \right) q^2 + O(q^4) \right)$$

Originally, the factor of 2 was missing from the denominator of the argument of the cot function.

*Reported by Blagoje Oblak on 2019-05-27*

## Other Changes

**Equations (15.6.1)–(15.6.9)** The Olver hypergeometric function  $\mathbf{F}(a, b; c; z)$ , previously omitted from the left-hand sides to make the formulas more concise, has been added. In Equations (15.6.1)–(15.6.5), (15.6.7)–(15.6.9), the constraint  $|\text{ph}(1-z)| < \pi$  has been added. In (15.6.6), the constraint  $|\text{ph}(-z)| < \pi$  has been added. In Section 15.6, the sentence immediately following (15.6.9), “These representations are valid when  $|\text{ph}(1-z)| < \pi$ , except (15.6.6) which holds for  $|\text{ph}(-z)| < \pi$ .”, has been removed.

**Subsection 25.2(ii)** It is now mentioned that (25.2.5), defines the Stieltjes constants  $\gamma_n$ . Consequently,  $\gamma_n$  in (25.2.4), (25.6.12) are now identified as the Stieltjes constants.

**Equation (25.11.36)** We have emphasized the link with the Dirichlet  $L$ -function, and used the fact that  $\chi(k) = 0$ . A sentence just below (25.11.36) was added indicating that one should make a comparison with (25.15.1) and (25.15.3).

**Usability** Additional keywords are being added to formulas (an ongoing project); these are visible in the associated ‘info boxes’ linked to the ⓘ icons to the right of each formula, and provide better search capabilities.

## Version 1.0.22 (March 15, 2019)

## Errata

**Subsection 14.2(iii)** Previously the exponents of the associated Legendre differential equation (14.2.2) at infinity were given incorrectly by  $\{-\nu - 1, \nu\}$ . These were replaced by  $\{\nu + 1, -\nu\}$ .

*Reported by Hans Volkmer on 2019-01-30*

**Subsection 18.15(i)** In the line just below (18.15.4), it was previously stated “is less than twice the first neglected term in absolute value.” It now states “is less than twice the first neglected term in absolute value, in which one has to take  $\cos \theta_{n,m,\ell} = 1$ .”

*Reported by Gergő Nemes on 2019-02-08*

**Equation (33.11.1)**

$$33.11.1 \quad H_\ell^\pm(\eta, \rho) \sim e^{\pm i\theta_\ell(\eta, \rho)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_k (b)_k}{k! (\pm 2i\rho)^k}$$

Previously this formula was expressed as an equality. Since this formula expresses an asymptotic expansion, it has been corrected by using instead an  $\sim$  relation.

*Reported by Gergő Nemes on 2019-01-29*

**Other Changes**

**References** Some references were added to §§7.25(ii), 7.25(iii), 7.25(vi), 8.28(ii), and to ¶Products (in §10.74(vii)) and §10.77(ix).

**Equations (33.11.2)–(33.11.7)** The arguments of

some of the functions in (33.11.2)–(33.11.7) were included to improve clarity of the presentation.

**Version 1.0.21 (December 15, 2018)**

**Errata****Equation (10.22.72)**

$$10.22.72 \quad \int_0^\infty J_\mu(at) J_\nu(bt) J_\nu(ct) t^{1-\mu} dt = \frac{(bc)^{\mu-1} \sin((\mu-\nu)\pi) (\sinh \chi)^{\mu-\frac{1}{2}}}{(\frac{1}{2}\pi^3)^{\frac{1}{2}} a^\mu} e^{(\mu-\frac{1}{2})i\pi} Q_{\nu-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}-\mu}(\cosh \chi),$$

$$\Re \mu > -\frac{1}{2}, \Re \nu > -1, a > b + c, \cosh \chi = (a^2 - b^2 - c^2)/(2bc)$$

Originally, the factor on the right-hand side was written as  $\frac{(bc)^{\mu-1} \cos(\nu\pi) (\sinh \chi)^{\mu-\frac{1}{2}}}{(\frac{1}{2}\pi^3)^{\frac{1}{2}} a^\mu}$ , which was taken directly from Watson (1944, p. 412, (13.46.5)), who uses a different normalization for the associated Legendre function of the second kind  $Q_\nu^\mu$ . Watson's  $Q_\nu^\mu$  equals  $\frac{\sin((\nu+\mu)\pi)}{\sin(\nu\pi)} e^{-\mu\pi i} Q_\nu^\mu$  in the DLMF.

*Reported by Arun Ravishankar on 2018-10-22*

**Subsection 26.7(iv)** In the final line of this subsection,  $Wm(n)$  was replaced by  $Wp(n)$  twice, and the wording was changed from “or, equivalently,  $N = e^{Wm(n)}$ ” to “or, specifically,  $N = e^{Wp(n)}$ ”.

*Reported by Gergő Nemes on 2018-04-09*

**Equations (31.16.2) and (31.16.3)**

$$31.16.2 \quad xy = a \sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \phi, \quad (x-1)(y-1) = (1-a) \sin^2 \theta \sin^2 \phi, \quad (x-a)(y-a) = a(a-1) \cos^2 \theta$$

$$31.16.3 \quad A_0 = \frac{n!}{(\gamma + \delta)_n} Hp_{n,m}(1), \quad Q_0 A_0 + R_0 A_1 = 0$$

Originally  $x, y$  were incorrectly defined by the set of equations (31.16.2), given previously as “ $x = \sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \phi$ ,  $y = \sin^2 \theta \sin^2 \phi$ ”. In fact,  $x, y$  are implicitly defined by the corrected set of equations. In (31.16.3), the initial data  $A_0$ , previously missing, has now been included.

**Other Changes**

**Equation (5.11.14)** The previous constraint  $\Re(b-a) > 0$  was removed, see Fields (1966, (3)).

**Paragraph Confluent Hypergeometric Functions (in §7.18(10))**  $-1, -2, \dots$ , was removed. A clarification regard-

A note about the multivalued nature of the Kummer confluent hypergeometric function of the second kind  $U$  on the right-hand side of (7.18.10) was inserted.

**Equation (25.14.1)** the previous constraint  $a \neq$

ing the correct constraints for Lerch's transcendent  $\Phi(z, s, a)$  has been added in the text immediately below. In particular, it is now stated that if  $s$  is not an integer then  $|\operatorname{ph} a| < \pi$ ; if  $s$  is a positive integer then  $a \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$ ; if  $s$  is a non-positive integer then  $a$  can be any complex number.

### Version 1.0.20 (September 15, 2018)

#### Changes

**Equation (4.8.14)** The constraint  $a \neq 0$  was added.

**Chapter 18** The reference Ismail (2005) has been replaced throughout by the further corrected paperback

version Ismail (2009).

**Section 36.1** The entry for  $*$  to represent complex conjugation was removed (see Version 1.0.19).

**Equation (36.2.18), Subsections §§36.12(i), 36.15(i), 36.15(ii)**

The vector at the origin, previously given as 0, has been clarified to read **0**.

**Graphics** A software bug that had corrupted some figures has been corrected.

### Version 1.0.19 (June 22, 2018)

#### Errata

**Equation (33.6.5)**

$$33.6.5 \quad H_\ell^\pm(\eta, \rho) = \frac{e^{\pm i\theta_\ell(\eta, \rho)}}{(2\ell + 1)! \Gamma(-\ell \pm i\eta)} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_k}{(2\ell + 2)_k k!} (\mp 2i\rho)^{a+k} (\ln(\mp 2i\rho) + \psi(a+k) - \psi(1+k) - \psi(2\ell + 2 + k)) - \sum_{k=1}^{2\ell+1} \frac{(2\ell + 1)!(k-1)!}{(2\ell + 1 - k)!(1-a)_k} (\mp 2i\rho)^{a-k} \right)$$

Originally the factor in the denominator on the right-hand side was written incorrectly as  $\Gamma(-\ell + i\eta)$ . This has been corrected to  $\Gamma(-\ell \pm i\eta)$ .

*Reported by Ian Thompson on 2018-05-17*

**Subsections 33.10(ii), 33.10(iii)** Originally it was stated incorrectly that  $\rho$  was fixed. This has been corrected to state that  $\eta\rho$  is fixed.

*Reported by Ian Thompson on 2018-05-17*

**Equation (33.11.1)**

$$33.11.1 \quad H_\ell^\pm(\eta, \rho) = e^{\pm i\theta_\ell(\eta, \rho)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_k (b)_k}{k! (\pm 2i\rho)^k}$$

Originally the factor in the denominator on the right-hand side was written incorrectly as  $(\mp 2i\rho)^k$ . This has been corrected to  $(\pm 2i\rho)^k$ .

*Reported by Ian Thompson on 2018-05-17*

#### Other Changes

**Notation** The overloaded operator  $\equiv$  is now more clearly separated (and linked) to two distinct cases: equivalence by definition (in §§1.4(ii), 1.4(v), 2.7(i), 2.10(iv), 3.1(i), 3.1(iv), 4.18, 9.18(ii), 9.18(vi), 9.18(vi), 18.2(iv), 20.2(iii), 20.7(vi), 23.20(ii), 25.10(i), 26.15, 31.17(i)); and modular equivalence (in §§24.10(i),

24.10(ii), 24.10(iii), 24.10(iv), 24.15(iii), 24.19(ii), 26.14(i), 26.21, 27.2(i), 27.8, 27.9, 27.11, 27.12, 27.14(v), 27.14(vi), 27.15, 27.16, 27.19).

**Notation** The notation and markup for complex conjugation has been made more consistent in §§1.17(iii), 9.9(i), 10.11, 10.34, 10.63(ii), 12.11(ii), 13.7(ii), 14.30(ii), 23.5(iv), 28.12(ii), 31.15(iii), 34.3(vii),

36.2(iii), 36.2(iv), 36.8, 36.11.

**Chapter 35** The generalized hypergeometric function of matrix argument  ${}_pF_q(a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q; \mathbf{T})$ , was linked inadvertently as its single variable counterpart  ${}_pF_q(a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q; \mathbf{T})$ . Furthermore, the Jacobi function of matrix argument  $P_\nu^{(\gamma, \delta)}(\mathbf{T})$ , and the Laguerre function of matrix argument  $L_\nu^{(\gamma)}(\mathbf{T})$ , were also linked inadvertently (and incorrectly) in terms of the

single variable counterparts given by  $P_\nu^{(\gamma, \delta)}(\mathbf{T})$ , and  $L_\nu^{(\gamma)}(\mathbf{T})$ . In order to resolve these inconsistencies, these functions now link correctly to their respective definitions.

**Version 1.0.18 (March 27, 2018)**

## Errata

**Table 5.4.1** The table of extrema for the Euler gamma function  $\Gamma$  had several entries in the  $x_n$  column that were wrong in the last 2 or 3 digits. These have been corrected and 10 extra decimal places have been included.

$n$	$x_n$	$\Gamma(x_n)$
0	1.46163 21449 68362 34126	0.88560 31944 10888 70028
1	-0.50408 30082 64455 40926	-3.54464 36111 55005 08912
2	-1.57349 84731 62390 45878	2.30240 72583 39680 13582
3	-2.61072 08684 44144 65000	-0.88813 63584 01241 92010
4	-3.63529 33664 36901 09784	0.24512 75398 34366 25044
5	-4.65323 77617 43142 44171	-0.05277 96395 87319 40076
6	-5.66716 24415 56885 53585	0.00932 45944 82614 85052
7	-6.67841 82130 73426 74283	-0.00139 73966 08949 76730
8	-7.68778 83250 31626 03744	0.00018 18784 44909 40419
9	-8.69576 41638 16401 26649	-0.00002 09252 90446 52667
10	-9.70267 25400 01863 73608	0.00000 21574 16104 52285

*Reported 2018-02-17 by David Smith.*

## Other Changes

**(10.9.26)** The factor on the right-hand side containing  $\cos(\mu - \nu)\theta$  has been replaced with  $\cos((\mu - \nu)\theta)$  to clarify the meaning.

**Paragraph Confluent Hypergeometric Functions (in §10.16)** Confluent hypergeometric functions were incorrectly linked to the definitions of the Kummer confluent hypergeometric and parabolic cylinder functions. However, to the eye, the functions appeared correct. The links were corrected.

**Equation (15.6.9)** It was clarified that  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ .

**Equation (19.16.9)** The original constraint,  $a, a' > 0$ , was replaced with  $b_1 + \dots + b_n > a > 0$ ,  $b_j \in \mathbb{R}$ . It therefore follows from Equation (19.16.10) that  $a' > 0$ . The last sentence of Subsection 19.16(ii) was elaborated to mention that generalizations may also be

found in Carlson (1977).

*Suggested by Bastien Roucariès.*

**Subsection 19.25(vi)** The Weierstrass lattice roots  $e_j$ , were linked inadvertently as the base of the natural logarithm. In order to resolve this inconsistency, the lattice roots  $e_j$ , and lattice invariants  $g_2, g_3$ , now link to their respective definitions (see §§23.2(i), 23.3(i)).

*Reported by Felix Ospald.*

**Equation (19.25.37)** The Weierstrass zeta function was incorrectly linked to the definition of the Riemann zeta function. However, to the eye, the function appeared correct. The link was corrected.

**Equation (27.12.5)** The term originally written as  $\sqrt{\ln x}$  was rewritten as  $(\ln x)^{1/2}$  to be consistent with other equations in the same subsection.

## Version 1.0.17 (December 22, 2017)

### Errata

#### Paragraph Mellin–Barnes Integrals (in §8.6(ii))

The descriptions for the paths of integration of the Mellin-Barnes integrals (8.6.10)–(8.6.12) have been updated. The description for (8.6.11) now states that the path of integration is to the right of all poles. Previously it stated incorrectly that the path of integration had to separate the poles of the gamma function from the pole at  $s = 0$ . The paths of integration for (8.6.10) and (8.6.12) have been clarified. In the case of (8.6.10), it separates the poles of the gamma function from the pole at  $s = a$  for  $\gamma(a, z)$ . In the case of (8.6.12), it separates the poles of the gamma function from the poles at  $s = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

Reported 2017-07-10 by Kurt Fischer.

**Section 10.37** In §10.37, it was originally stated incorrectly that (10.37.1) holds for  $|\operatorname{ph} z| < \pi$ . The claim has been updated to  $|\operatorname{ph} z| \leq \frac{1}{2}\pi$ .

Reported 2017-11-14 by Gergő Nemes.

#### Equation (18.27.6)

18.27.6

$$P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x; c, d; q) = \frac{c^n q^{-(\alpha+1)n} (q^{\alpha+1}, -q^{\alpha+1}c^{-1}d; q)_n}{(q, -q; q)_n} \times P_n(q^{\alpha+1}c^{-1}x; q^\alpha, q^\beta, -q^\alpha c^{-1}d; q)$$

Originally the first argument to the big  $q$ -Jacobi polynomial on the right-hand side was written incorrectly as  $q^{\alpha+1}c^{-1}dx$ .

Reported 2017-09-27 by Tom Koornwinder.

#### Equation (21.6.5)

$$\mathbf{T} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Originally the prefactor  $\frac{1}{2}$  on the right-hand side was missing.

Reported 2017-08-12 by Wolfgang Bauhardt.

#### Equation (27.12.8)

$$27.12.8 \quad \frac{\operatorname{li}(x)}{\phi(m)} + O\left(x \exp\left(-\lambda(\alpha)(\ln x)^{1/2}\right)\right), \\ m \leq (\ln x)^\alpha, \alpha > 0$$

Originally the first term was given incorrectly by  $\frac{x}{\phi(m)}$ .

Reported 2017-12-04 by Gergő Nemes.

### Other Changes

**Subsection 5.2(iii)** Three new identities for Pochhammer's symbol (5.2.6)–(5.2.8) have been added at the end of this subsection.

*Suggested by Tom Koornwinder.*

**Equation (7.2.3)** Originally named as a complementary error function,  $w(z)$  has been renamed as the Faddeeva (or Faddeyeva) function.

**Equation (7.8.8)** In §7.8, an inequality was added at the end of this section. This is Pólya (1949, (1.5)).

*Suggested by Roberto Iacono.*

**Equations (9.7.3), (9.7.4)** Originally the function  $\chi$  was presented with argument given by a positive integer  $n$ . It has now been clarified to be valid for argument given by a positive real number  $x$ .

**Subsection 9.7(iii)** Bounds have been sharpened.

The second paragraph now reads, “The  $n$ th error term is bounded in magnitude by the first neglected term multiplied by  $\chi(n + \sigma) + 1$  where  $\sigma = \frac{1}{6}$  for (9.7.7) and  $\sigma = 0$  for (9.7.8), provided that  $n \geq 0$  in the first case and  $n \geq 1$  in the second case.” Previously it read, “In (9.7.7) and (9.7.8) the  $n$ th error term is bounded in magnitude by the first neglected term multiplied by  $2\chi(n) \exp(\sigma\pi/(72\zeta))$  where  $\sigma = 5$  for (9.7.7) and  $\sigma = 7$  for (9.7.8), provided that  $n \geq 1$  in both cases.” In Equation (9.7.16)

$\operatorname{Bi}(x)$

$$\leq \frac{e^\xi}{\sqrt{\pi}x^{1/4}} \left(1 + \left(\chi\left(\frac{7}{6}\right) + 1\right) \frac{5}{72\xi}\right),$$

9.7.16

$\operatorname{Bi}'(x)$

$$\leq \frac{x^{1/4}e^\xi}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left(1 + \left(\frac{\pi}{2} + 1\right) \frac{7}{72\xi}\right),$$

the bounds on the right-hand sides have been sharpened. The factors  $\left(\chi\left(\frac{7}{6}\right) + 1\right) \frac{5}{72\xi}$ ,  $\left(\frac{\pi}{2} + 1\right) \frac{7}{72\xi}$ , were originally given by  $\frac{5\pi}{72\xi} \exp\left(\frac{5\pi}{72\xi}\right)$ ,  $\frac{7\pi}{72\xi} \exp\left(\frac{7\pi}{72\xi}\right)$ , respectively.

**Subsection 9.7(iv)** Bounds have been sharpened.

The first paragraph now reads, “The  $n$ th error term in (9.7.5) and (9.7.6) is bounded in magnitude by the first neglected term multiplied by

9.7.17

$$\begin{cases} 1, & |\operatorname{ph} z| \leq \frac{1}{3}\pi, \\ \min(|\operatorname{csc}(\operatorname{ph} \zeta)|, \chi(n + \sigma) + 1), & \frac{1}{3}\pi \leq |\operatorname{ph} z| \leq \frac{2}{3}\pi, \\ \frac{\sqrt{2\pi(n + \sigma)}}{|\operatorname{cos}(\operatorname{ph} \zeta)|^{n + \sigma}} + \chi(n + \sigma) + 1, & \frac{2}{3}\pi \leq |\operatorname{ph} z| < \pi, \end{cases}$$

provided that  $n \geq 0$ ,  $\sigma = \frac{1}{6}$  for (9.7.5) and  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\sigma = 0$  for (9.7.6).” Previously it read, “When  $n \geq 1$  the  $n$ th error term in (9.7.5) and (9.7.6) is bounded in magnitude by the first neglected term multiplied by

9.7.17

$$\begin{cases} 2 \exp\left(\frac{\sigma}{36|\zeta|}\right) & |\text{ph } z| \leq \frac{1}{3}\pi, \\ 2\chi(n) \exp\left(\frac{\sigma\pi}{72|\zeta|}\right) & \frac{1}{3}\pi \leq |\text{ph } z| \leq \frac{2}{3}\pi, \\ \frac{4\chi(n)}{|\cos(\text{ph } \zeta)|^n} \exp\left(\frac{\sigma\pi}{36|\Re \zeta|}\right) & \frac{2}{3}\pi \leq |\text{ph } z| < \pi. \end{cases}$$

Here  $\sigma = 5$  for (9.7.5) and  $\sigma = 7$  for (9.7.6).”

**Section 10.8** A sentence was added just below (10.8.3) indicating that it is a rewriting of (16.12.1).

*Suggested by Tom Koornwinder.*

**Equations (10.15.1), (10.38.1)** These equations have been generalized to include the additional cases of  $\partial J_{-\nu}(z)/\partial\nu$ ,  $\partial I_{-\nu}(z)/\partial\nu$ , respectively.

**Equations (10.22.37), (10.22.38), (14.17.6)–(14.17.9)**

The Kronecker delta symbols have been moved furthest to the right, as is common convention for orthogonality relations.

**Subsections 14.5(ii), 14.5(vi)** The titles have been changed to  $\mu = 0$ ,  $\nu = 0, 1$ , and *Addendum to §14.5(ii)* :  $\mu = 0$ ,  $\nu = 2$ , respectively, in order to be more descriptive of their contents.

**Equation (19.7.2)** The second and the fourth lines containing  $k'/ik$  have both been replaced with  $-ik'/k$  to clarify the meaning.

**Equation (25.2.4)** The original constraint,  $\Re s > 0$ , was removed because, as stated after (25.2.1),  $\zeta(s)$  is meromorphic with a simple pole at  $s = 1$ , and therefore  $\zeta(s) - (s - 1)^{-1}$  is an entire function.

*Suggested by John Harper.*

**Section 32.16** The title was changed from *Physical* to *Physical Applications*.

**References** Bibliographic citations and clarifications have been added, removed, or modified in §§5.6(i), 5.10, 7.8, 7.25(iii), and 32.16.

## Version 1.0.16 (September 18, 2017)

### Errata

**Equation (8.12.18)**

8.12.18

$$\left. \begin{matrix} Q(a, z) \\ P(a, z) \end{matrix} \right\} \sim \frac{z^{a-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-z}}{\Gamma(a)} \left( d(\pm\chi) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{A_k(\chi)}{z^{k/2}} \mp \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{B_k(\chi)}{z^{k/2}} \right)$$

The original  $\pm$  in front of the second summation was replaced by  $\mp$  to correct an error in Paris (2002); for details see <https://arxiv.org/abs/1611.00548>.

*Reported 2017-01-28 by Richard Paris.*

**Equation (14.5.14)**

$$\begin{aligned} 14.5.14 \quad Q_{\nu}^{-1/2}(\cos \theta) \\ = \left( \frac{\pi}{2 \sin \theta} \right)^{1/2} \frac{\cos\left(\left(\nu + \frac{1}{2}\right) \theta\right)}{\nu + \frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

Originally this equation was incorrect because of a minus sign in front of the right-hand side.

*Reported 2017-04-10 by André Greiner-Petter.*

**Equations (17.2.22) and (17.2.23)**

$$17.2.22 \quad \frac{(qa^{\frac{1}{2}}, -qa^{\frac{1}{2}}; q)_n}{(a^{\frac{1}{2}}, -a^{\frac{1}{2}}; q)_n} = \frac{(aq^2; q^2)_n}{(a; q^2)_n} = \frac{1 - aa^{2n}}{1 - a}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 17.2.23 \quad \frac{(qa^{\frac{1}{k}}, q\omega_k a^{\frac{1}{k}}, \dots, q\omega_k^{k-1} a^{\frac{1}{k}}; q)_n}{(a^{\frac{1}{k}}, \omega_k a^{\frac{1}{k}}, \dots, \omega_k^{k-1} a^{\frac{1}{k}}; q)_n} \\ = \frac{(aq^k; q^k)_n}{(a; q^k)_n} = \frac{1 - aa^{kn}}{1 - a} \end{aligned}$$

The numerators of the leftmost fractions were corrected to read  $(qa^{\frac{1}{2}}, -qa^{\frac{1}{2}}; q)_n$  and  $(qa^{\frac{1}{k}}, q\omega_k a^{\frac{1}{k}}, \dots, q\omega_k^{k-1} a^{\frac{1}{k}}; q)_n$  instead of  $(qa^{\frac{1}{2}}, -aq^{\frac{1}{2}}; q)_n$  and  $(aq^{\frac{1}{k}}, q\omega_k a^{\frac{1}{k}}, \dots, q\omega_k^{k-1} a^{\frac{1}{k}}; q)_n$ , respectively.

*Reported 2017-06-26 by Jason Zhao.*




Figure 20.3.1  $\theta_j(\pi x, 0.15)$ ,  $0 \leq x \leq 2$ ,  $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ .

**Figure 20.3.1** The locations of the tick marks denoting 1.5 and 2 on the  $x$ -axis were corrected.

*Reported 2017-05-22 by Paul Abbott.*

## Errata

### Equation (28.8.5)

28.8.5

$$V_m(\xi) \sim \frac{1}{2^4 h} \left( -D_{m+2}(\xi) - m(m-1)D_{m-2}(\xi) \right) + \frac{1}{2^{10} h^2} \left( D_{m+6}(\xi) + (m^2 - 25m - 36)D_{m+2}(\xi) - m(m-1)(m^2 + 27m - 10)D_{m-2}(\xi) - 6! \binom{m}{6} D_{m-6}(\xi) \right) + \dots$$

Originally the  $-$  in front of the  $6!$  was given incorrectly as  $+$ .

Reported 2017-02-02 by Daniel Karlsson.

## Other Changes

**Equation (8.12.5)** To be consistent with the notation used in (8.12.16), Equation (8.12.5) was changed to read

$$8.12.5 \quad \frac{e^{\pm\pi ia}}{2i \sin(\pi a)} Q(-a, ze^{\pm\pi i}) = \pm \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{erfc} \left( \pm i\eta \sqrt{a/2} \right) - iT(a, \eta)$$

**Equation (9.7.2)** Following a suggestion from James McTavish on 2017-04-06, the recurrence relation  $u_k = \frac{(6k-5)(6k-3)(6k-1)}{(2k-1)2^{16k}} u_{k-1}$  was added to Equation (9.7.2).

**Subsection 15.2(ii)** The unnumbered equation

$$\lim_{c \rightarrow -n} \frac{F(a, b; c; z)}{\Gamma(c)} = \mathbf{F}(a, b; -n; z) = \frac{(a)_{n+1} (b)_{n+1}}{(n+1)!} z^{n+1} F(a+n+1, b+n+1; n+2; z), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

was added in the second paragraph. An equation number will be assigned in an expanded numbering scheme that is under current development. Additionally, the discussion following (15.2.6) was expanded.

**Subsections 15.4(i), 15.4(ii)** Sentences were added specifying that some equations in these subsections require special care under certain circumstances. Also, (15.4.6) was expanded by adding the formula  $F(a, b; a; z) = (1-z)^{-b}$ .

Report by Louis Klaunder on 2017-01-01.

**Subsection §11.13(i)** A bibliographic citation was added.

## Version 1.0.15 (June 1, 2017)

### Changes

**Section 1.14** There have been extensive changes in the notation used for the integral transforms defined in §1.14. These changes are applied throughout the DLMF. The following table summarizes the changes.

Transform	New Notation	Abbreviated Notation	Old Notation
Fourier	$\mathcal{F}(f)(x)$	$\mathcal{F}f(x)$	
Fourier Cosine	$\mathcal{F}_c(f)(x)$	$\mathcal{F}_c f(x)$	
Fourier Sine	$\mathcal{F}_s(f)(x)$	$\mathcal{F}_s f(x)$	
Laplace	$\mathcal{L}(f)(s)$	$\mathcal{L}f(s)$	$\mathcal{L}(f(t); s)$
Mellin	$\mathcal{M}(f)(s)$	$\mathcal{M}f(s)$	$\mathcal{M}(f; s)$
Hilbert	$\mathcal{H}(f)(s)$	$\mathcal{H}f(s)$	$\mathcal{H}(f; s)$
Stieltjes	$\mathcal{S}(f)(s)$	$\mathcal{S}f(s)$	$\mathcal{S}(f; s)$

Previously, for the Fourier, Fourier cosine and Fourier sine transforms, either temporary local notations were used or the Fourier integrals were written out explicitly.

**Subsection 1.16(vii)** Several changes have been made to

- (i) make consistent use of the Fourier transform notations  $\mathcal{F}(f)$ ,  $\mathcal{F}(\phi)$  and  $\mathcal{F}(u)$  where  $f$  is a function of one real variable,  $\phi$  is a test function of  $n$  variables associated with tempered distributions, and  $u$  is a tempered distribution (see (1.14.1), (1.16.29) and (1.16.35));
- (ii) introduce the partial differential operator  $\mathbf{D}$  in (1.16.30);
- (iii) clarify the definition (1.16.32) of the partial differential operator  $P(\mathbf{D})$ ; and



- (iv) clarify the use of  $P(\mathbf{D})$  and  $P(\mathbf{x})$  in (1.16.33), (1.16.34), (1.16.36) and (1.16.37).

**Subsection 1.16(viii)** An entire new Subsection 1.16(viii) *Fourier Transforms of Special Distributions*, was contributed by Roderick Wong.

**Equation (9.5.6)** The validity constraint  $|\text{ph } z| < \frac{1}{6}\pi$  was added. Additionally, specific source citations are now given in the metadata for all equations in Chapter 9.

**Section 34.1** The relation between Clebsch-Gordan and  $3j$  symbols was clarified, and the sign of  $m_3$  was changed for readability. The reference Condon and Shortley (1935) for the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients was replaced by Edmonds (1974) and Rotenberg *et al.* (1959) and the references for  $3j$ ,  $6j$ ,  $9j$  symbols were made more precise in §34.1.

**Usability** The website’s icons and graphical decorations were upgraded to use SVG, and additional icons and mouse-cursors were employed to improve usability of the interactive figures.

**Version 1.0.14 (December 21, 2016)**

**Errata**

**Equation (8.18.3)**

$$I_x(a, b) = \frac{\Gamma(a+b)}{\Gamma(a)} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} d_k F_k + O(a^{-n}) F_0 \right)$$

The range of  $x$  was extended to include 1. Previously this equation appeared without the order estimate as  $I_x(a, b) \sim \frac{\Gamma(a+b)}{\Gamma(a)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} d_k F_k$ .

*Reported 2016-08-30 by Xinrong Ma.*

**Equation (17.9.2)**

$${}_2\phi_1 \left( \begin{matrix} q^{-n}, b \\ c \end{matrix}; q, z \right) = \frac{(c/b; q)_n b^n} {(c; q)_n} {}_3\phi_1 \left( \begin{matrix} q^{-n}, b, q/z \\ bq^{1-n}/c \end{matrix}; q, z/c \right)$$

The entry  $q/c$  in the first row of  ${}_3\phi_1 \left( \begin{matrix} q^{-n}, b, q/c \\ bq^{1-n}/c \end{matrix}; q, z/c \right)$  was replaced by  $q/z$ .

*Reported 2016-08-30 by Xinrong Ma.*

**Errata**


**Figures 36.3.9, 36.3.10, 36.3.11, 36.3.12** Scales were corrected in all figures. The interval  $-8.4 \leq \frac{x-y}{\sqrt{2}} \leq 8.4$  was replaced by  $-12.0 \leq \frac{x-y}{\sqrt{2}} \leq 12.0$  and  $-12.7 \leq \frac{x+y}{\sqrt{2}} \leq 4.2$  replaced by  $-18.0 \leq \frac{x+y}{\sqrt{2}} \leq 6.0$ . All plots and interactive visualizations were regenerated to improve image quality.



Figure 36.3.9: Modulus of hyperbolic umbilic canonical integral function  $|\Psi^{(H)}(x, y, 0)|$ .



(a) Density plot.




(b) 3D plot.

Figure 36.3.10: Modulus of hyperbolic umbilic canonical integral function  $|\Psi^{(H)}(x, y, 1)|$ .




(a) Density plot.




(b) 3D plot.

Figure 36.3.11: Modulus of hyperbolic umbilic canonical integral function  $|\Psi^{(H)}(x, y, 2)|$ .



(a) Density plot.



(b) 3D plot.

Figure 36.3.12: Modulus of hyperbolic umbilic canonical integral function  $|\Psi^{(H)}(x, y, 3)|$ .

**Figures 36.3.18, 36.3.19, 36.3.20, 36.3.21** The scaling error reported on 2016-09-12 by Dan Piponi also applied to contour and density plots for the phase of the hyperbolic umbilic canonical integrals. Scales were corrected in all figures. The interval  $-8.4 \leq \frac{x-y}{\sqrt{2}} \leq 8.4$  was replaced by  $-12.0 \leq \frac{x-y}{\sqrt{2}} \leq 12.0$  and  $-12.7 \leq \frac{x+y}{\sqrt{2}} \leq 4.2$  replaced by  $-18.0 \leq \frac{x+y}{\sqrt{2}} \leq 6.0$ . All plots and interactive visualizations were regenerated to improve image quality.



Figure 36.3.18: Phase of hyperbolic umbilic canonical integral  $\text{ph } \Psi^{(H)}(x, y, 0)$ .



Figure 36.3.19: Phase of hyperbolic umbilic canonical integral  $\text{ph } \Psi^{(H)}(x, y, 1)$ .



Figure 36.3.20: Phase of hyperbolic umbilic canonical integral  $\text{ph } \Psi^{(H)}(x, y, 2)$ .



Figure 36.3.21: Phase of hyperbolic umbilic canonical integral  $\text{ph } \Psi^{(H)}(x, y, 3)$ .

Reported 2016-09-28.

## Other Changes

**Subsections 1.15(vi), 1.15(vii), 2.6(iii)** A number of changes were made with regard to fractional integrals and derivatives. In §1.15(vi) a reference to Miller and Ross (1993) was added, the fractional integral operator of order  $\alpha$  was more precisely identified as the *Riemann-Liouville* fractional integral operator of order  $\alpha$ , and a paragraph was added below (1.15.50) to generalize (1.15.47). In §1.15(vii) the sentence defining the fractional derivative was clarified. In §2.6(iii) the identification of the Riemann-Liouville fractional integral operator was made consistent with §1.15(vi).

**Subsections 8.18(ii)–8.11(v)** A sentence was added in §8.18(ii) to refer to Nemes and Olde Daalhuis (2016). Originally §8.11(iii) was applicable for real variables  $a$  and  $x = \lambda a$ . It has been extended to allow for com-

plex variables  $a$  and  $z = \lambda a$  (and we have replaced  $x$  with  $z$  in the subsection heading and in Equations (8.11.6) and (8.11.7)). Also, we have added two paragraphs after (8.11.9) to replace the original paragraph that appeared there. Furthermore, the interval of validity of (8.11.6) was increased from  $0 < \lambda < 1$  to the sector  $0 < \lambda < 1, |\text{ph } a| \leq \frac{\pi}{2} - \delta$ , and the interval of validity of (8.11.7) was increased from  $\lambda > 1$  to the sector  $\lambda > 1, |\text{ph } a| \leq \frac{3\pi}{2} - \delta$ . A paragraph with reference to Nemes (2016) has been added in §8.11(v), and the sector of validity for (8.11.12) was increased from  $|\text{ph } z| \leq \pi - \delta$  to  $|\text{ph } z| \leq 2\pi - \delta$ . Two new Subsections 13.6(vii), 13.18(vi), both entitled *Coulomb Functions*, were added to note the relationship of the Kummer and Whittaker functions to various forms of the Coulomb functions. A sentence was added in both §13.10(vi) and §13.23(v) noting that certain generalized orthogo-

nality can be expressed in terms of Kummer functions.

**Equation (14.15.23)** Four of the terms were rewritten for improved clarity.

**Equation (15.6.8)** In §15.6, it was noted that (15.6.8) can be rewritten as a fractional integral.

**Equation (16.15.3)** In applying changes in Version 1.0.12 to (16.15.3), an editing error was made; it has been corrected.

**Section 34.1** The reference for Clebsch–Gordan coefficients, Condon and Shortley (1935), was replaced by Edmonds (1974) and Rotenberg *et al.* (1959). The references for  $3j$ ,  $6j$ ,  $9j$  symbols were made more precise.

**Section 36.3** Images in Figures 36.3.1, 36.3.2, 36.3.3, 36.3.4, 36.3.5, 36.3.6, 36.3.7, 36.3.8 and Figures 36.3.13, 36.3.14, 36.3.15, 36.3.16, 36.3.17 were resized for consistency.

**References** Meta.Numerics (website) was added to the Software Table at <http://dlmf.nist.gov/software/>.

## Version 1.0.13 (September 16, 2016)

### Other Changes

**Equation (13.9.16)** In applying changes in Version 1.0.12 to (13.9.16), an editing error was made; it has been corrected.

---

## Version 1.0.12 (September 9, 2016)

### Errata

**Equations (25.11.6), (25.11.19), and (25.11.20)** Originally all six integrands in these equations were incorrect because their numerators contained the function  $\tilde{B}_2(x)$ . The correct function is  $\frac{\tilde{B}_2(x) - B_2}{2}$ . The new equations are:

$$25.11.6 \quad \zeta(s, a) = \frac{1}{a^s} \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{a}{s-1} \right) - \frac{s(s+1)}{2} \int_0^\infty \frac{\tilde{B}_2(x) - B_2}{(x+a)^{s+2}} dx, \quad s \neq 1, \Re s > -1, a > 0$$

*Reported 2016-05-08 by Clemens Heuberger.*

### 25.11.19

$$\zeta'(s, a) = -\frac{\ln a}{a^s} \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{a}{s-1} \right) - \frac{a^{1-s}}{(s-1)^2} + \frac{s(s+1)}{2} \int_0^\infty \frac{(\tilde{B}_2(x) - B_2) \ln(x+a)}{(x+a)^{s+2}} dx - \frac{(2s+1)}{2} \int_0^\infty \frac{\tilde{B}_2(x) - B_2}{(x+a)^{s+2}} dx, \quad \Re s > -1, s \neq 1, a > 0$$

*Reported 2016-06-27 by Gergő Nemes.*

### 25.11.20

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^k \zeta^{(k)}(s, a) &= \frac{(\ln a)^k}{a^s} \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{a}{s-1} \right) + k! a^{1-s} \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \frac{(\ln a)^r}{r!(s-1)^{k-r+1}} - \frac{s(s+1)}{2} \int_0^\infty \frac{(\tilde{B}_2(x) - B_2)(\ln(x+a))^k}{(x+a)^{s+2}} dx \\ &\quad + \frac{k(2s+1)}{2} \int_0^\infty \frac{(\tilde{B}_2(x) - B_2)(\ln(x+a))^{k-1}}{(x+a)^{s+2}} dx - \frac{k(k-1)}{2} \int_0^\infty \frac{(\tilde{B}_2(x) - B_2)(\ln(x+a))^{k-2}}{(x+a)^{s+2}} dx, \quad \Re s > -1, s \neq 1, a > 0 \end{aligned}$$

*Reported 2016-06-27 by Gergő Nemes.*

### Other Changes

**Notation** The symbol  $\sim$  is used for two purposes in the DLMF, in some cases for asymptotic equality and in other cases for asymptotic expansion, but links to

---

the appropriate definitions were not provided. In this release changes have been made to provide these links.

**Subsection 2.1(iii)** A short paragraph dealing with asymptotic approximations that are expressed in terms

of two or more Poincaré asymptotic expansions has been added below (2.1.16).

**Equation (2.11.4)** Because (2.11.4) is not an asymptotic expansion, the symbol  $\sim$  that was used originally is incorrect and has been replaced with  $\approx$ , together with a slight change of wording.

**Equation (13.9.16)** Originally was expressed in term of asymptotic symbol  $\sim$ . As a consequence of the use of the  $O$  order symbol on the right-hand side,  $\sim$  was replaced by  $=$ .

**Equations (13.2.9), (13.2.10)** There were clarifications made in the conditions on the parameter  $a$  in  $U(a, b, z)$  of those equations.

**Equation (14.15.23)** Originally used  $f(x)$  to represent both  $U(-c, x)$  and  $\bar{U}(-c, x)$ . This has been replaced by two equations giving explicit definitions for the two envelope functions. Some slight changes in wording were needed to make this clear to readers.

**Section 17.9** The title was changed from *Transformations of Higher  $r\phi_r$  Functions to Further Transformations of  $r_{+1}\phi_r$  Functions*.


**Chapter 25** A number of additions and changes have been made to the metadata to reflect new and changed references as well as to how some equations have been derived.

**Subsections 18.15(i) and 18.16(ii)** Bibliographic citations, clarifications, typographical corrections and added or modified sentences appear.

## Version 1.0.11 (June 8, 2016)

### Errata

**Figure 4.3.1** This figure was rescaled, with symmetry lines added, to make evident the symmetry due to the inverse relationship between the two functions.



*Reported 2015-11-12 by James W. Pitman.*

**Equation (9.7.17)** Originally the constraint,  $\frac{2}{3}\pi \leq |\text{ph } z| < \pi$ , was written incorrectly as  $\frac{2}{3}\pi \leq |\text{ph } z| \leq \pi$ . Also, the equation was reformatted to display the constraints in the equation instead of in the text.

*Reported 2014-11-05 by Gergő Nemes.*

**Equation (10.32.13)** Originally the constraint,  $|\text{ph } z| < \frac{1}{2}\pi$ , was incorrectly written as,  $|\text{ph } z| < \pi$ .

*Reported 2015-05-20 by Richard Paris.*

**Equation (10.40.12)** Originally the third constraint  $\pi \leq |\text{ph } z| < \frac{3}{2}\pi$  was incorrectly written as  $\pi \leq |\text{ph } z| \leq \frac{3}{2}\pi$ .

*Reported 2014-11-05 by Gergő Nemes.*

**Equation (23.18.7)**

$$s(d, c) \\ \mathbf{23.18.7} \quad = \sum_{r=1}^{c-1} \frac{r}{c} \left( \frac{dr}{c} - \left\lfloor \frac{dr}{c} \right\rfloor - \frac{1}{2} \right), \quad c > 0$$

Originally the sum  $\sum_{r=1}^{c-1}$  was written with an additional condition on the summation, that  $(r, c) = 1$ . This additional condition was incorrect and has been removed.

*Reported 2015-10-05 by Howard Cohl and Tanay Wakhare.*

**Equations (28.28.21) and (28.28.22)**

**28.28.21**

$$\frac{4}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi/2} \mathcal{C}_{2\ell+1}^{(j)}(2hR) \cos((2\ell+1)\phi) \text{ce}_{2m+1}(t, h^2) dt \\ = (-1)^{\ell+m} A_{2\ell+1}^{2m+1}(h^2) \text{Mc}_{2m+1}^{(j)}(z, h)$$

**28.28.22**

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{4}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi/2} C_{2\ell+1}^{(j)}(2hR) \sin((2\ell+1)\phi) \operatorname{se}_{2m+1}(t, h^2) dt \\ & = (-1)^{\ell+m} B_{2\ell+1}^{2m+1}(h^2) \operatorname{Ms}_{2m+1}^{(j)}(z, h), \end{aligned}$$

Originally the prefactor  $\frac{4}{\pi}$  and upper limit of integration  $\pi/2$  in these two equations were given incorrectly as  $\frac{2}{\pi}$  and  $\pi$ .

*Reported 2015-05-20 by Ruslan Kabasayev*

**Other Changes**

**Subsection 1.2(i)** A sentence was added after (1.2.1) to refer to (1.2.6) as the definition of the binomial coefficient  $\binom{z}{k}$  when  $z$  is complex. As a notational clarification, wherever  $n$  appeared originally in (1.2.6)–(1.2.9), it was replaced by  $z$ .

**Equation (5.11.8)** It was reported by Nico Temme on 2015-02-28 that the asymptotic formula for  $\operatorname{Ln}\Gamma(z+h)$  is valid for  $h \in \mathbb{C}$ ; originally it was unnecessarily restricted to  $[0, 1]$ .

**Subsection 13.8(iii)** A new paragraph with several new equations and a new reference has been added at the end to provide asymptotic expansions for Kummer functions  $U(a, b, z)$  and  $\mathbf{M}(a, b, z)$  as  $a \rightarrow \infty$  in  $|\operatorname{ph} a| \leq \pi - \delta$  and  $b$  and  $z$  fixed.

**Equation (18.15.22)** Because of the use of the  $O$  order symbol on the right-hand side, the asymptotic expansion for the generalized Laguerre polynomial  $L_n^{(\alpha)}(\nu x)$  was rewritten as an equality.

**Section 27.20** The entire Section was replaced.

**References** Bibliographic citations have been added or modified in §§2.4(v), 2.4(vi), 2.9(iii), 5.11(i), 5.11(ii), 5.17, 9.9(i), 10.22(v), 10.37, 11.6(iii), 11.9(iii), 12.9(i), 13.8(ii), 13.11, 14.15(i), 14.15(iii), 15.12(iii), 15.14, 16.11(ii), 16.13, 18.15(vi), 20.7(viii), 24.11, 24.16(i), 26.8(vii), 33.12(i), and 33.12(ii).

**Clarifications** Clarifications, typographic corrections, added or modified sentences appear in §§1.2(i), 1.10(i), 4.6(ii), 5.11(i), (11.11.1), (11.11.9), (21.5.7), and (27.14.7).

**Version 1.0.10 (August 7, 2015)****Errata**

**Section 4.43** The first paragraph has been rewritten to correct reported errors. The new version is reproduced here.

Let  $p (\neq 0)$  and  $q$  be real constants and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{4.43.1} \quad & A = \left(-\frac{4}{3}p\right)^{1/2} \\ & , \quad B = \left(\frac{4}{3}p\right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

The roots of

$$\mathbf{4.43.2} \quad z^3 + pz + q = 0$$

are:

- (a)  $A \sin a$ ,  $A \sin(a + \frac{2}{3}\pi)$ , and  $A \sin(a + \frac{4}{3}\pi)$ , with  $\sin(3a) = 4q/A^3$ , when  $4p^3 + 27q^2 \leq 0$ .
- (b)  $A \cosh a$ ,  $A \cosh(a + \frac{2}{3}\pi i)$ , and  $A \cosh(a + \frac{4}{3}\pi i)$ , with  $\cosh(3a) = -4q/A^3$ , when  $p < 0$ ,  $q < 0$ , and  $4p^3 + 27q^2 > 0$ .
- (c)  $B \sinh a$ ,  $B \sinh(a + \frac{2}{3}\pi i)$ , and  $B \sinh(a + \frac{4}{3}\pi i)$ , with  $\sinh(3a) = -4q/B^3$ , when  $p > 0$ .

Note that in Case (a) all the roots are real, whereas in Cases (b) and (c) there is one real root and a conjugate pair of complex roots. See also §1.11(iii).

*Reported 2014-10-31 by Masataka Urago.*

**Equation (9.10.18)****9.10.18**

$$\operatorname{Ai}(z) = \frac{3z^{5/4}e^{-(2/3)z^{3/2}}}{4\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{t^{-3/4}e^{-(2/3)t^{3/2}} \operatorname{Ai}(t)}{z^{3/2} + t^{3/2}} dt$$

The original equation taken from Schulten *et al.* (1979) was incorrect.

*Reported 2015-03-20 by Walter Gautschi.*

**Equation (9.10.19)****9.10.19**

$$\operatorname{Bi}(x) = \frac{3x^{5/4}e^{(2/3)x^{3/2}}}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{t^{-3/4}e^{-(2/3)t^{3/2}} \operatorname{Ai}(t)}{x^{3/2} - t^{3/2}} dt$$

The original equation taken from Schulten *et al.* (1979) was incorrect.

*Reported 2015-03-20 by Walter Gautschi.*

**Equation (10.17.14)**

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{10.17.14} \quad & |R_\ell^\pm(\nu, z)| \\ & \leq 2|a_\ell(\nu)| \mathcal{V}_{z, \pm i\infty}(t^{-\ell}) \\ & \quad \times \exp\left(|\nu^2 - \frac{1}{4}| \mathcal{V}_{z, \pm i\infty}(t^{-1})\right) \end{aligned}$$

Originally the factor  $\mathcal{V}_{z, \pm i\infty}(t^{-1})$  in the argument to the exponential was written incorrectly as  $\mathcal{V}_{z, \pm i\infty}(t^{-\ell})$ .

*Reported 2014-09-27 by Gergő Nemes.*

**Equation (10.19.11)**

$$10.19.11 \quad Q_3(a) = \frac{549}{28000}a^8 - \frac{1}{6} \frac{10767}{93000}a^5 + \frac{79}{12375}a^2$$

Originally the first term on the right-hand side of this equation was written incorrectly as  $-\frac{549}{28000}a^8$ .

Reported 2015-03-16 by Svante Janson.

**Equation (13.2.7)**

$$13.2.7 \quad \begin{aligned} U(-m, b, z) &= (-1)^m (b)_m M(-m, b, z) \\ &= (-1)^m \sum_{s=0}^m \binom{m}{s} (b+s)_{m-s} (-z)^s \end{aligned}$$

The equality  $U(-m, b, z) = (-1)^m (b)_m M(-m, b, z)$  has been added to the original equation to express an explicit connection between the two standard solutions of Kummer's equation. Note also that the notation  $a = -n$  has been changed to  $a = -m$ .

Reported 2015-02-10 by Adri Olde Daalhuis.

**Equation (13.2.8)**

$$13.2.8 \quad \begin{aligned} U(a, a+n+1, z) &= \frac{(-1)^n (1-a-n)_n}{z^{a+n}} \\ &\quad \times M(-n, 1-a-n, z) \\ &= z^{-a} \sum_{s=0}^n \binom{n}{s} (a)_s z^{-s} \end{aligned}$$

The equality  $U(a, a+n+1, z) = \frac{(-1)^n (1-a-n)_n}{z^{a+n}} \times M(-n, 1-a-n, z)$  has been added to the original equation to express an explicit connection between the two standard solutions of Kummer's equation.

Reported 2015-02-10 by Adri Olde Daalhuis.

**Equation (13.2.10)**

$$13.2.10 \quad \begin{aligned} U(-m, n+1, z) &= (-1)^m (n+1)_m M(-m, n+1, z) \\ &= (-1)^m \sum_{s=0}^m \binom{m}{s} (n+s+1)_{m-s} (-z)^s \end{aligned}$$

The equality  $U(-m, n+1, z) = (-1)^m (n+1)_m \times M(-m, n+1, z)$  has been added to the original equation to express an explicit connection between the two standard solutions of Kummer's equation. Note also that the notation  $a = -m, m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  has been introduced.

Reported 2015-02-10 by Adri Olde Daalhuis.

**Equation (18.33.3)**

$$18.33.3 \quad \phi_n^*(z) = z^n \overline{\phi_n(\bar{z}^{-1})} = \kappa_n + \sum_{\ell=1}^n \bar{\kappa}_{n, n-\ell} z^\ell$$

Originally this equation was written incorrectly as  $\phi_n^*(z) = \kappa_n z^n + \sum_{\ell=1}^n \bar{\kappa}_{n, n-\ell} z^{n-\ell}$ . Also, the equality  $\phi_n^*(z) = z^n \overline{\phi_n(\bar{z}^{-1})}$  has been added.

Reported 2014-10-03 by Roderick Wong.

**Equation (34.7.4)**

$$34.7.4 \quad \begin{aligned} &\begin{pmatrix} j_{13} & j_{23} & j_{33} \\ m_{13} & m_{23} & m_{33} \end{pmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} j_{11} & j_{12} & j_{13} \\ j_{21} & j_{22} & j_{23} \\ j_{31} & j_{32} & j_{33} \end{Bmatrix} \\ &= \sum_{m_{r1}, m_{r2}, r=1,2,3} \begin{pmatrix} j_{11} & j_{12} & j_{13} \\ m_{11} & m_{12} & m_{13} \end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad \times \begin{pmatrix} j_{21} & j_{22} & j_{23} \\ m_{21} & m_{22} & m_{23} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} j_{31} & j_{32} & j_{33} \\ m_{31} & m_{32} & m_{33} \end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad \times \begin{pmatrix} j_{11} & j_{21} & j_{31} \\ m_{11} & m_{21} & m_{31} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} j_{12} & j_{22} & j_{32} \\ m_{12} & m_{22} & m_{32} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Originally the third  $3j$  symbol in the summation was written incorrectly as  $\begin{pmatrix} j_{31} & j_{32} & j_{33} \\ m_{13} & m_{23} & m_{33} \end{pmatrix}$ .

Reported 2015-01-19 by Yan-Rui Liu.

**Other Changes****Equations (5.9.10), (5.9.11), (5.10.1), (5.11.1), (5.11.8)**

To increase the regions of validity the logarithms of the gamma function that appears on their left-hand sides have all been changed to  $\text{Ln}\Gamma(\cdot)$ , where  $\text{Ln}$  is the *general logarithm*. Originally  $\ln\Gamma(\cdot)$  was used, where  $\ln$  is the *principal branch* of the logarithm. These changes were recommended by Philippe Spindel on 2015-02-06.

**Section 17.1** The notation used for the  $q$ -Appell functions in Equations (17.4.5), (17.4.6), (17.4.7), (17.4.8), (17.11.1), (17.11.2) and (17.11.3) was updated to explicitly include the argument  $q$ , as used in Gasper and Rahman (2004).

**Equation (22.20.5)** A note was added after (22.20.5) to deal with cases when computation of  $\text{dn}(x, k)$  becomes numerically unstable near  $x = K$ .

**Section 26.6** The spelling of the name Delannoy was corrected in several places. Previously it was misspelled as Dellanoy.

**Chapter 27** For consistency of notation across all chapters, the notation for logarithm has been changed to  $\ln$  from  $\log$  throughout Chapter 27.

**References** Bibliographic citations have been added or modified in §§2.4(vi), 3.8(v), 5.6(i), 5.10, 5.11(i), 5.11(ii), 5.18(ii), 7.21, 8.10, 10.21(ix), 10.45, 10.74(vi), 11.7(v), 13.7(iii), 14.17(iii), 14.20(ix), 14.28(ii), 14.32, 15.8(v), 15.13, 15.19(i), 16.6, 16.13, 17.6(ii), 17.7(iii), 18.1(iii), 18.3, 18.15(iv) and 18.24.



## Version 1.0.9 (August 29, 2014)

### Errata

#### Equation (9.6.26)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{9.6.26} \quad \text{Bi}'(z) &= \frac{3^{1/6}}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{3})} e^{-\zeta} {}_1F_1\left(-\frac{1}{6}; -\frac{1}{3}; 2\zeta\right) \\ &+ \frac{3^{7/6}}{2^{7/3}\Gamma(\frac{2}{3})} \zeta^{4/3} e^{-\zeta} {}_1F_1\left(\frac{7}{6}; \frac{7}{3}; 2\zeta\right) \end{aligned}$$

Originally the second occurrence of the function  ${}_1F_1$  was given incorrectly as  ${}_1F_1(\frac{7}{6}; \frac{7}{3}; \zeta)$ .

Reported 2014-05-21 by Hanyou Chu.

#### Equation (22.19.6)

$$\text{22.19.6} \quad x(t) = \text{cn}\left(t\sqrt{1+2\eta}, k\right)$$

Originally the term  $\sqrt{1+2\eta}$  was given incorrectly as  $\sqrt{1+\eta}$  in this equation and in the line above. Additionally, for improved clarity, the modulus  $k = 1/\sqrt{2+\eta^{-1}}$  has been defined in the line above.

Reported 2014-05-02 by Svante Janson.

#### Paragraph Case III: $V(x) = -\frac{1}{2}x^2 + \frac{1}{4}\beta x^4$ (in §22.19(ii))

Two corrections have been made in this paragraph. First, the correct range of the initial displacement  $a$  is  $\sqrt{1/\beta} \leq |a| < \sqrt{2/\beta}$ . Previously it was  $\sqrt{1/\beta} \leq |a| \leq \sqrt{2/\beta}$ . Second, the correct period of the oscillations is  $2K(k)/\sqrt{\eta}$ . Previously it was given incorrectly as  $4K(k)/\sqrt{\eta}$ .

Reported 2014-05-02 by Svante Janson.

### Errata

#### Equation (34.3.7)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{34.3.7} \quad & \begin{pmatrix} j_1 & j_2 & j_3 \\ j_1 & -j_1 - m_3 & m_3 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (-1)^{j_1 - j_2 - m_3} \left( \frac{(2j_1)!(-j_1 + j_2 + j_3)!(j_1 + j_2 + m_3)!(j_3 - m_3)!}{(j_1 + j_2 + j_3 + 1)!(j_1 - j_2 + j_3)!(j_1 + j_2 - j_3)!(-j_1 + j_2 - m_3)!(j_3 + m_3)!} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

In the original equation the prefactor of the above 3j symbol read  $(-1)^{-j_2 + j_3 + m_3}$ . It is now replaced by its correct value  $(-1)^{j_1 - j_2 - m_3}$ .

Reported 2014-06-12 by James Zibin.

### Other Changes

**Chapters 7, 25** Pochhammer symbols have been introduced in Equations (7.12.1), (7.12.2), (7.12.3), (7.12.4), (7.12.5), (25.5.7), (25.8.3), (25.11.10), (25.11.28), and (25.11.43) to make the notation more concise.

**Equation (14.2.7)** The Wronskian was generalized to include both associated Legendre and Ferrers functions.

**Subsection 15.9(iv)** A cross-reference has been added.

**Equations (22.19.6), (22.19.7), (22.19.8), (22.19.9)** These equations were rewritten with the modulus (second argument) of the Jacobian elliptic function defined explicitly in the preceding line of text.

**References** Bibliographic citations have been added in §§4.13, 4.48(iv), 6.21(ii), 8.28(ii), 9.16, 10.77(viii), 12.21(ii), 14.28(ii), 14.34(ii), and 16.13.

**References** An addition was made to the Software Table at <http://dlmf.nist.gov/software/> to reflect the addition of a multiple precision (MP) package written in C++ which uses a variety of different MP interfaces.

## Version 1.0.8 (April 25, 2014)

### Errata

#### Equation (22.19.2)

$$\text{22.19.2} \quad \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}\theta(t)\right) = \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}\alpha\right) \text{sn}\left(t + K, \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}\alpha\right)\right)$$

Originally the first argument to the function sn was given incorrectly as  $t$ . The correct argument is  $t + K$ .

Reported 2014-03-05 by Svante Janson.

#### Equation (22.19.3)

$$\text{22.19.3} \quad \theta(t) = 2 \text{am}\left(t\sqrt{E/2}, \sqrt{2/E}\right)$$

Originally the first argument to the function  $\text{am}$  was given incorrectly as  $t$ . The correct argument is  $t\sqrt{E/2}$ .

*Reported 2014-03-05 by Svante Janson.*

**Other Changes**

**Subsections 9.6(iii), 22.19(i)** Minor additions have been made.

**Equation (10.13.4)** has been generalized to cover an additional case.

**Notation** We avoid the troublesome symbols, often missing in installed fonts, previously used for exponential  $e$ , imaginary  $i$  and differential  $d$ .

**Version 1.0.7 (March 21, 2014)**

**Errata**

**Table 3.5.19** The correct headings for the second and third columns of this table are  $J_0(t)$  and  $g(t)$ , respectively. Previously these columns were mislabeled as  $g(t)$  and  $J_0(t)$ .

$t$	$J_0(t)$	$g(t)$
0.0	1.00000 00000	1.00000 00000
0.5	0.93846 98072	0.93846 98072
1.0	0.76519 76866	0.76519 76865
2.0	0.22389 07791	0.22389 10326
5.0	-0.17759 67713	-0.17902 54097
10.0	-0.24593 57645	-0.07540 53543

*Reported 2014-01-31 by Masataka Urago.*

**Table 3.5.21** The correct corner coordinates for the 9-point square, given on the last line of this table, are  $(\pm\sqrt{\frac{3}{5}}h, \pm\sqrt{\frac{3}{5}}h)$ . Originally they were given incorrectly as  $(\pm\sqrt{\frac{3}{5}}h, 0), (\pm\sqrt{\frac{3}{5}}h, 0)$ .

Diagram	$(x_j, y_j)$	$w_j$	$R$
	$(0, 0)$ $(\pm h, 0)$ $(0, \pm h)$	$\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{8}$ $\frac{1}{8}$	$O(h^4)$
	$(\pm\frac{1}{2}h, \pm\frac{1}{2}h)$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$O(h^4)$
	$(0, 0)$ $(\pm h, 0), (0, \pm h)$ $(\pm\frac{1}{2}h, \pm\frac{1}{2}h)$	$\frac{1}{6}$ $\frac{1}{24}$ $\frac{1}{6}$	$O(h^6)$
	$(0, 0)$ $(\pm\frac{1}{3}\sqrt{6}h, 0)$ $(\pm\frac{1}{6}\sqrt{6}h, \pm\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}h)$	$\frac{1}{4}$ $\frac{1}{8}$ $\frac{1}{8}$	$O(h^6)$
	$(0, 0)$ $(\pm h, 0), (0, \pm h)$ $(\pm h, \pm h)$	$\frac{4}{9}$ $\frac{1}{9}$ $\frac{1}{36}$	$O(h^4)$
	$(\pm\frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3}h, \pm\frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3}h)$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$O(h^4)$
	$(0, 0)$ $(\pm\sqrt{\frac{3}{5}}h, 0), (0, \pm\sqrt{\frac{3}{5}}h)$ $(\pm\sqrt{\frac{3}{5}}h, \pm\sqrt{\frac{3}{5}}h)$	$\frac{16}{81}$ $\frac{10}{81}$ $\frac{25}{324}$	$O(h^6)$

*Reported 2014-01-13 by Stanley Oleszczuk.*

**Equation (4.21.1)**

**4.21.1**

$$\sin u \pm \cos u = \sqrt{2} \sin(u \pm \frac{1}{4}\pi) = \pm\sqrt{2} \cos(u \mp \frac{1}{4}\pi)$$

Originally the symbol  $\pm$  was missing after the second equal sign.

*Reported 2012-09-27 by Dennis Heim.*

**Equations (4.23.34) and (4.23.35)**

**4.23.34**

$$\arcsin z = \arcsin \beta + i \operatorname{sign}(y) \ln(\alpha + (\alpha^2 - 1)^{1/2})$$

and

**4.23.35**

$$\arccos z = \arccos \beta - i \operatorname{sign}(y) \ln(\alpha + (\alpha^2 - 1)^{1/2})$$

Originally the factor  $\operatorname{sign}(y)$  was missing from the second term on the right sides of these equations. Additionally, the condition for the validity of these equations has been weakened.

*Reported 2013-07-01 by Volker Thürey.*

**Equation (5.17.5)**

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Ln} G(z + 1) & \sim \frac{1}{4}z^2 + z \operatorname{Ln} \Gamma(z + 1) \\ \mathbf{5.17.5} \quad & - \left(\frac{1}{2}z(z + 1) + \frac{1}{12}\right) \operatorname{Ln} z - \ln A \\ & + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{B_{2k+2}}{2k(2k + 1)(2k + 2)z^{2k}} \end{aligned}$$

Originally the term  $z \operatorname{Ln} \Gamma(z + 1)$  was incorrectly stated as  $z\Gamma(z + 1)$ .

*Reported 2013-08-01 by Gergő Nemes and subsequently by Nick Jones on December 11, 2013.*

**Table 22.4.3** A correction was made in the online portion of this table.

*Reported 2014-02-28 by Svante Janson.*

**Table 22.5.2** The entry for  $\operatorname{sn} z$  at  $z = \frac{3}{2}(K + iK')$  has been corrected. The correct entry is  $(1+i)((1+k')^{1/2} - i(1-k')^{1/2})/(2k^{1/2})$ . Originally the terms  $(1+k')^{1/2}$  and  $(1-k')^{1/2}$  were given incorrectly as  $(1+k)^{1/2}$  and  $(1-k)^{1/2}$ .

Similarly, the entry for  $\operatorname{dn} z$  at  $z = \frac{3}{2}(K + iK')$  has been corrected. The correct entry is  $(-1+i)k'^{1/2}((1+k)^{1/2} + i(1-k)^{1/2})/2$ . Originally the terms  $(1+k)^{1/2}$  and  $(1-k)^{1/2}$  were given incorrectly as  $(1+k')^{1/2}$  and  $(1-k')^{1/2}$ .

*Reported 2014-02-28 by Svante Janson.*

**Equation (22.6.7)**

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{22.6.7} \quad \operatorname{dn}(2z, k) & = \frac{\operatorname{dn}^2(z, k) - k^2 \operatorname{sn}^2(z, k) \operatorname{cn}^2(z, k)}{1 - k^2 \operatorname{sn}^4(z, k)} \\ & = \frac{\operatorname{dn}^4(z, k) + k^2 k'^2 \operatorname{sn}^4(z, k)}{1 - k^2 \operatorname{sn}^4(z, k)} \end{aligned}$$

Originally the term  $k^2 \operatorname{sn}^2(z, k) \operatorname{cn}^2(z, k)$  was given incorrectly as  $k^2 \operatorname{sn}^2(z, k) \operatorname{dn}^2(z, k)$ .

*Reported 2014-02-28 by Svante Janson.*

**Errata**

**Table 26.8.1** Originally the Stirling number  $s(10, 6)$  was given incorrectly as 6327. The correct number is 63273.

$n$	$k$										
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
0	1										
1	0	1									
2	0	-1	1								
3	0	2	-3	1							
4	0	-6	11	-6	1						
5	0	24	-50	35	-10	1					
6	0	-120	274	-225	85	-15	1				
7	0	720	-1764	1624	-735	175	-21	1			
8	0	-5040	13068	-13132	6769	-1960	322	-28	1		
9	0	40320	-1 09584	1 18124	-67284	22449	-4536	546	-36	1	
10	0	-3 62880	10 26576	-11 72700	7 23680	-2 69325	63273	-9450	870	-45	1

*Reported 2013-11-25 by Svante Janson.*

**Errata**

**Equation (31.8.5)**

$$\mathbf{31.8.5} \quad \Psi_{1,-1} = (z^2 + (\lambda + 3a + 3)z + a) / z^3$$

Originally the first term on the right side of the equation for  $\Psi_{1,-1}$  was  $z^3$ . The correct factor is  $z^2$ .

*Reported 2013-07-25 by Christopher Küstler.*

**Equation (31.12.3)**

$$31.12.3 \quad \frac{d^2w}{dz^2} - \left(\frac{\gamma}{z} + \delta + z\right) \frac{dw}{dz} + \frac{\alpha z - q}{z} w = 0$$

Originally the sign in front of the second term in this equation was +. The correct sign is −.

*Reported 2013-10-31 by Henryk Witek.*

**Errata****Equation (34.4.2)**

$$34.4.2 \quad \left\{ \begin{matrix} j_1 & j_2 & j_3 \\ l_1 & l_2 & l_3 \end{matrix} \right\} = \Delta(j_1 j_2 j_3) \Delta(j_1 l_2 l_3) \Delta(l_1 j_2 l_3) \Delta(l_1 l_2 j_3) \\ \times \sum_s \frac{(-1)^s (s+1)!}{(s-j_1-j_2-j_3)! (s-j_1-l_2-l_3)! (s-l_1-j_2-l_3)! (s-l_1-l_2-j_3)!} \\ \times \frac{1}{(j_1+j_2+l_1+l_2-s)! (j_2+j_3+l_2+l_3-s)! (j_3+j_1+l_3+l_1-s)!}$$

Originally the factor  $\Delta(j_1 j_2 j_3) \Delta(j_1 l_2 l_3) \Delta(l_1 j_2 l_3) \Delta(l_1 l_2 j_3)$  was missing in this equation.

*Reported 2012-12-31 by Yu Lin.*

**Other Changes**

**Equations (4.45.8), (4.45.9)** These equations have been rewritten to improve the numerical computation of  $\arctan x$ .

**Subsection 13.29(v)** A new Subsection *Continued Fractions*, has been added to cover computation of confluent hypergeometric functions by continued fractions.

**Subsection 14.5(vi)** A new Subsection *Addendum to §14.5(ii)*  $\mu = 0$ ,  $\nu = 2$ , containing the values of Legendre and Ferrers functions for degree  $\nu = 2$  has been added.

**Subsection 14.18(iii)** This subsection now identifies Equations (14.18.6) and (14.18.7) as Christoffel's Formulas.

**Subsection 15.19(v)** A new Subsection *Continued Fractions*, has been added to cover computation of the Gauss hypergeometric functions by continued fractions.

**Table 18.3.1** Special cases of normalization of Jacobi polynomials for which the general formula is undefined have been stated explicitly in Table 18.3.1.

**References** Bibliographic citations have been added in §§4.13, 5.6(i), 5.11(iii), 7.25(iii), 8.13(i), 10.37, 12.18, 14.11, 15.12(ii), 16.6, 18.16(ii), 18.16(iv), 18.24, 18.27(iv), 18.27(v), 18.28(i), 24.13(i), 28.36(iii).

**Usability** Cross-references have been added in §§1.2(i), 10.19(iii), 10.23(ii), 17.2(iii), 18.15(iii), 19.2(iv), 19.16(i).

**Other** Several small revisions have been made. For details see §§5.11(ii), 10.12, 10.19(ii), 18.9(i), 18.16(iv), 19.7(ii), 22.2, 32.11(v), 32.13(ii).

**References** Entries for the Sage computational system have been updated in the online Software Cross Index.

**Usability** The default document format for DLMF is now HTML5 which includes MathML providing better accessibility and display of mathematics.

**Graphics** All interactive 3D graphics on the DLMF website have been recast using WebGL and X3DOM, improving portability and performance; WebGL it is now the default format.

**Version 1.0.6 (May 6, 2013)**

Several minor improvements were made affecting display and layout; primarily tracking changes to the underlying LaTeXXML system.

**Version 1.0.5 (October 1, 2012)****Errata**

**Subsection 1.2(i)** The condition for (1.2.2), (1.2.4), and (1.2.5) was corrected. These equations are true only if  $n$  is a positive integer. Previously  $n$  was allowed to be zero.

*Reported 2011-08-10 by Michael Somos.*

**Subsection 8.17(i)** The condition for the validity of (8.17.5) is that  $m$  and  $n$  are positive integers and  $0 \leq x < 1$ . Previously, no conditions were stated.

*Reported 2011-03-23 by Stephen Bourn.*

**Equation (10.20.14)**

$$10.20.14 \quad B_3(0) = -\frac{959\,71711\,84603}{25\,47666\,37125\,00000} 2^{\frac{1}{3}}$$

Originally this coefficient was given incorrectly as  $B_3(0) = -\frac{430\,99056\,39368\,59253}{5\,68167\,34399\,42500\,00000} 2^{\frac{1}{3}}$ . The other coefficients in this equation have not been changed.

*Reported 2012-05-11 by Antony Lee.*

**Equation (13.16.4)** The condition for the validity of this equation is  $\Re(\kappa - \mu) - \frac{1}{2} < 0$ . Originally it was given incorrectly as  $\Re(\kappa - \mu) - \frac{1}{2} > 0$ .

**Subsection 14.2(ii)** Originally it was stated, incorrectly, that  $Q_\nu^\mu(x)$  is real when  $\nu, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $x \in (1, \infty)$ . This statement is true only for  $P_\nu^\mu(x)$  and  $Q_\nu^\mu(x)$ .

*Reported 2012-07-18 by Hans Volkmer and Howard Cohl.*

**Equation (21.3.4)**

$$21.3.4 \quad \theta \begin{bmatrix} \alpha + \mathbf{m}_1 \\ \beta + \mathbf{m}_2 \end{bmatrix} (\mathbf{z} | \Omega) = e^{2\pi i \alpha \cdot \mathbf{m}_2} \theta \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix} (\mathbf{z} | \Omega)$$

Originally the vector  $\mathbf{m}_2$  on the right-hand side was given incorrectly as  $\mathbf{m}_1$ .

*Reported 2012-08-27 by Klaas Vantournhout.*

**Subsection 21.10(i)** The entire original content of this subsection has been replaced by a reference.

**Figures 22.3.22 and 22.3.23** The captions for these figures have been corrected to read, in part, “as a function of  $k^2 = i\kappa^2$ ” (instead of  $k^2 = i\kappa$ ). Also, the resolution of the graph in Figure 22.3.22 was improved near  $\kappa = 3$ .

*Reported 2011-10-30 by Paul Abbott.*

**Equation (23.2.4)**

$$23.2.4 \quad \wp(z) = \frac{1}{z^2} + \sum_{w \in \mathbb{L} \setminus \{0\}} \left( \frac{1}{(z-w)^2} - \frac{1}{w^2} \right)$$

Originally the denominator  $(z-w)^2$  was given incorrectly as  $(z-w)$ .

*Reported 2012-02-16 by James D. Walker.*

**Equation (24.4.26)** This equation is true only for  $n > 0$ . Previously,  $n = 0$  was also allowed.

*Reported 2012-05-14 by Vladimir Yurovsky.*

**Equation (26.12.26)**

$$26.12.26 \quad \text{pp}(n) \sim \frac{(\zeta(3))^{7/36}}{2^{11/36} (3\pi)^{1/2} n^{25/36}} \times \exp\left(3(\zeta(3))^{1/3} \left(\frac{1}{2}n\right)^{2/3} + \zeta'(-1)\right)$$

Originally this equation was given incorrectly as

$$\text{pp}(n) \sim \left(\frac{\zeta(3)}{2^{11} n^{25}}\right)^{1/36} \exp\left(3\left(\frac{\zeta(3)n^2}{4}\right)^{1/3} + \zeta'(-1)\right).$$

*Reported 2011-09-05 by Suresh Govindarajan.*

**Other Changes**

**Organization** On August 24, 2012 Dr. Adri B. Olde Daalhuis was added as Mathematics Editor. This addition has been recorded at the end of the **Preface** (p. ix et seq.).

**References** Bibliographic citations were added in §§5.5(iii), 5.6(i), 5.10, 5.21, 7.13(ii), 10.19(iii), 10.21(i), 10.21(iv), 10.21(xiii), 10.21(xiv), 10.42, 10.46, 10.74(vii), 13.8(ii), 13.9(i), 13.9(ii), 13.11, 13.29(iv), 14.11, 15.13, 15.19(i), 17.18, 18.16(ii), 18.16(iv), 18.26(v), 19.12, 19.36(iv), 20.7(i), 20.7(ii), 20.7(iii), 20.7(vii), 25.11(iv), 25.18(i), 26.12(iv), 28.24, 28.34(ii), 29.20(i), 31.17(ii), 32.17, and as a general reference in Chapter 3.

**Subsection 21.2(i)** A cross-reference was added.

**Chapters 8, 20, 36** Several new equations have been added. See (8.17.24), (20.7.34), §20.11(v), (26.12.27), (36.2.28), and (36.2.29).

**Equations (18.16.12), (18.16.13)** The upper and lower bounds given have been replaced with stronger bounds.

**Clarifications** Textual clarifications were made in §§1.5(ii), 7.13(ii), 15.6, 19.12, 20.7(iv), 21.2(i), 30.13(i), 30.14(i), and 31.17(ii).

**References** Other minor changes were made in the bibliography and index.

**Version 1.0.4 (March 23, 2012)**

Several minor improvements were made affecting display of math and graphics on the website; the software index and help files were updated.

**Version 1.0.3 (Aug 29, 2011)****Errata****Equation (13.18.7)**

$$13.18.7 \quad W_{-\frac{1}{4}, \pm \frac{1}{4}}(z^2) = e^{\frac{1}{2}z^2} \sqrt{\pi z} \operatorname{erfc}(z)$$

Originally the left-hand side was given correctly as  $W_{-\frac{1}{4}, -\frac{1}{4}}(z^2)$ ; the equation is true also for  $W_{-\frac{1}{4}, +\frac{1}{4}}(z^2)$ .

**Other Changes**

**References** Bibliographic citations were added in §§3.5(iv), 4.44, 8.22(ii), 22.4(i), and minor clarifications were made in §§19.12, 20.7(vii), 22.9(i). In addition, several minor improvements were made affecting only ancillary documents and links in the online version.

**Version 1.0.2 (July 1, 2011)**

Several minor improvements were made affecting display on the website; the help files were revised.


**Version 1.0.1 (June 27, 2011)**

**Errata**

**Subsections 1.15(vi) and 1.15(vii)** The formulas in these subsections are valid only for  $x \geq 0$ . No conditions on  $x$  were given originally.

*Reported 2010-10-18 by Andreas Kurt Richter.*

**Figure 10.48.5** Originally the ordinate labels 2 and 4 in this figure were placed too high.



*Reported 2010-11-08 by Wolfgang Ehrhardt.*

**Equation (14.19.2)**

$$\begin{aligned}
 & P_{\nu-\frac{1}{2}}^{\mu}(\cosh \xi) \\
 \mathbf{14.19.2} \quad &= \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-\mu)}{\pi^{1/2}(1-e^{-2\xi})^{\mu}e^{(\nu+(1/2))\xi}} \\
 & \times \mathbf{F}\left(\frac{1}{2}-\mu, \frac{1}{2}+\nu-\mu; 1-2\mu; 1-e^{-2\xi}\right), \\
 & \mu \neq \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{5}{2}, \dots
 \end{aligned}$$

Originally the argument to  $\mathbf{F}$  in this equation was incorrect ( $e^{-2\xi}$ , rather than  $1-e^{-2\xi}$ ), and the condition

on  $\mu$  was too weak ( $\mu \neq \frac{1}{2}$ , rather than  $\mu \neq \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{5}{2}, \dots$ ). Also, the factor multiplying  $\mathbf{F}$  was rewritten to clarify the poles; originally it was  $\frac{\Gamma(1-2\mu)2^{2\mu}}{\Gamma(1-\mu)(1-e^{-2\xi})^{\mu}e^{(\nu+(1/2))\xi}}$ .

*Reported 2010-11-02 by Alvaro Valenzuela.*

**Equation (17.13.3)**

**17.13.3**

$$\int_0^{\infty} t^{\alpha-1} \frac{(-tq^{\alpha+\beta}; q)_{\infty}}{(-t; q)_{\infty}} dt = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(1-\alpha)\Gamma_q(\beta)}{\Gamma_q(1-\alpha)\Gamma_q(\alpha+\beta)}$$

Originally the differential was identified incorrectly as  $d_q t$ ; the correct differential is  $dt$ .

*Reported 2011-04-08.*

**Table 18.9.1** The coefficient  $A_n$  for  $C_n^{(\lambda)}(x)$  in the first row of this table originally omitted the parentheses and was given as  $\frac{2n+\lambda}{n+1}$ , instead of  $\frac{2(n+\lambda)}{n+1}$ .

$p_n(x)$	$A_n$	$B_n$	$C_n$
$C_n^{(\lambda)}(x)$	$\frac{2(n+\lambda)}{n+1}$	0	$\frac{n+2\lambda-1}{n+1}$
$T_n(x)$	$2 - \delta_{n,0}$	0	1
$U_n(x)$	2	0	1
$T_n^*(x)$	$4 - 2\delta_{n,0}$	$-2 + \delta_{n,0}$	1
$U_n^*(x)$	4	-2	1
$P_n(x)$	$\frac{2n+1}{n+1}$	0	$\frac{n}{n+1}$
$P_n^*(x)$	$\frac{4n+2}{n+1}$	$-\frac{2n+1}{n+1}$	$\frac{n}{n+1}$
$L_n^{(\alpha)}(x)$	$-\frac{1}{n+1}$	$\frac{2n+\alpha+1}{n+1}$	$\frac{n+\alpha}{n+1}$
$H_n(x)$	2	0	$2n$
$He_n(x)$	1	0	$n$

*Reported 2010-09-16 by Kendall Atkinson.*

**Subsection 19.16(iii)** Originally it was implied that  $R_C(x, y)$  is an elliptic integral. It was clarified that  $R_{-a}(\mathbf{b}; \mathbf{z})$  is an elliptic integral *iff* the stated conditions hold; originally these conditions were stated as sufficient but not necessary. In particular,  $R_C(x, y)$  does not satisfy these conditions.

*Reported 2010-11-23.*

**Errata**

**Table 22.5.4** Originally the limiting form for  $\text{sc}(z, k)$  in the last line of this table was incorrect ( $\cosh z$ , instead of  $\sinh z$ ).

$\operatorname{sn}(z, k) \rightarrow \tanh z$	$\operatorname{cd}(z, k) \rightarrow 1$	$\operatorname{dc}(z, k) \rightarrow 1$	$\operatorname{ns}(z, k) \rightarrow \coth z$
$\operatorname{cn}(z, k) \rightarrow \operatorname{sech} z$	$\operatorname{sd}(z, k) \rightarrow \sinh z$	$\operatorname{nc}(z, k) \rightarrow \cosh z$	$\operatorname{ds}(z, k) \rightarrow \operatorname{csch} z$
$\operatorname{dn}(z, k) \rightarrow \operatorname{sech} z$	$\operatorname{nd}(z, k) \rightarrow \cosh z$	$\operatorname{sc}(z, k) \rightarrow \sinh z$	$\operatorname{cs}(z, k) \rightarrow \operatorname{csch} z$

Reported 2010-11-23.

## Errata

### Equation (22.16.14)

$$22.16.14 \quad \mathcal{E}(x, k) = \int_0^{\operatorname{sn}(x, k)} \sqrt{\frac{1 - k^2 t^2}{1 - t^2}} dt$$

Originally this equation appeared with the upper limit of integration as  $x$ , rather than  $\operatorname{sn}(x, k)$ .

Reported 2010-07-08 by Charles Karney.

### Equation (26.7.6)

$$26.7.6 \quad B(n+1) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} B(k)$$

Originally this equation appeared with  $B(n)$  in the summation, instead of  $B(k)$ .

Reported 2010-11-07 by Layne Watson.

### Equation (36.10.14)

36.10.14

$$3 \left( \frac{\partial^2 \Psi^{(E)}}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2 \Psi^{(E)}}{\partial y^2} \right) + 2iz \frac{\partial \Psi^{(E)}}{\partial x} - x \Psi^{(E)} = 0$$

Originally this equation appeared with  $\frac{\partial \Psi^{(H)}}{\partial x}$  in the second term, rather than  $\frac{\partial \Psi^{(E)}}{\partial x}$ .

Reported 2010-04-02.

## Other Changes

**Notation** The definition of the notation  $F(z_0 e^{2k\pi i})$  was added in **Common Notations and Definitions** on p. xiv.

**Clarifications** were made in §§5.18, 7.1, 9.2(iii), 10.15, 10.38, 14.13, 15.8(i), 15.10(i), 16.11(ii), 19.2(iv), 19.16(ii), 19.16(iii), 22.16(ii), 27.16.

**References** Bibliographic citations were added in §§1.13(v), 10.14, 10.21(ii), 18.15(v), 18.32, 30.16(iii), 32.13(ii), and as general references in Chapters 19, 20, 22, and 23.

**Usability** The general references for each chapter were inserted under the  $i$ -symbol on the chapter title pages. Originally these appeared only in the References sections of the individual chapters in the Handbook.

**Notations** The definition of  $R_C(x, y)$  was revised in **Notations** beginning on p. 1017.

**References** Additions and revisions were made in the Cross Index for Computing Special Functions at <http://dlmf.nist.gov/software/>.

To see the effect of these changes, see <https://dlmf.nist.gov/>.

## Version 1.0.0 (May 7, 2010)

The Handbook of Mathematical Functions was published, and the Digital Library of Mathematical Functions was released.

## Bibliography

- Y. Ameur and J. Cronvall (2023). *Szegő Type Asymptotics for the Reproducing Kernel in Spaces of Full-Plane Weighted Polynomials*. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **398**(3), pp. 1291–1348.
- G. E. Andrews, R. Askey, and R. Roy (1999). *Special Functions*, Volume 71 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- H. M. Bui, B. Conrey, and M. P. Young (2011). *More than 41% of the zeros of the zeta function are on the critical line*. *Acta Arith.* **150**(1), pp. 35–64.
- B. C. Carlson (1977). *Special Functions of Applied Mathematics*. New York: Academic Press.
- A. Cayley (1895). *An Elementary Treatise on Elliptic Functions*. London: George Bell and Sons. Republished by Dover Publications, Inc., New York, 1961. Table erratum: *Math. Comp.* v. 29 (1975), no. 130, p. 670.
- A. Cayley (1961). *An Elementary Treatise on Elliptic Functions*. New York: Dover Publications. Second edition of Cayley (1895), unabridged and corrected.
- H. S. Cohl and R. S. Costas-Santos (2020). *Multi-Integral Representations for Associated Legendre and Ferrers Functions*. *Symmetry* **12**(10).

- E. U. Condon and G. H. Shortley (1935). *The Theory of Atomic Spectra*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. Reprinted with corrections in 1991. Transferred to digital reprinting, 1999.
- C. F. Dunkl (2003). *A Laguerre polynomial orthogonality and the hydrogen atom*. Anal. Appl. (Singap.) **1**(2), pp. 177–188.
- A. R. Edmonds (1974). *Angular Momentum in Quantum Mechanics* (3rd printing, with corrections, 2nd ed.). Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press. Reprinted in 1996.
- J. L. Fields (1966). *A note on the asymptotic expansion of a ratio of gamma functions*. Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. (2) **15**, pp. 43–45.
- D. Frenkel and R. Portugal (2001). *Algebraic methods to compute Mathieu functions*. J. Phys. A **34**(17), pp. 3541–3551.
- G. Gasper and M. Rahman (1990). *Basic Hypergeometric Series*, Volume 35 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. A revised and updated edition, with three new chapters, was published in 2004 by Cambridge University Press (*Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*, vol. 96).
- G. Gasper and M. Rahman (2004). *Basic Hypergeometric Series* (Second ed.), Volume 96 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. With a foreword by Richard Askey.
- W. Gautschi (1992). *On mean convergence of extended Lagrange interpolation*. J. Comput. Appl. Math. **43**(1-2), pp. 19–35. Orthogonal polynomials and numerical methods.
- W. Gautschi (2004). *Orthogonal Polynomials: Computation and Approximation*. Numerical Mathematics and Scientific Computation. New York: Oxford University Press.
- I. S. Gradshteyn and I. M. Ryzhik (2015). *Table of integrals, series, and products* (Eighth ed.). Elsevier/Academic Press, Amsterdam. Translated from the Russian, Translation edited and with a preface by Daniel Zwillinger and Victor Moll, Revised from the seventh edition [MR2360010].
- M. N. Huxley (2003). *Exponential sums and lattice points. III*. Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **87**(3), pp. 591–609.
- IEEE (2015, June). *IEEE Standard for Interval Arithmetic: IEEE Std 1788-2015*. The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc.
- IEEE (2018, Jan). *IEEE Standard for Interval Arithmetic: IEEE Std 1788.1-2017*. The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc.
- IEEE (2019, Aug). *IEEE International Standard for Information Technology—Microprocessor Systems—Floating-Point arithmetic: IEEE Std 754-2019*. The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc. IEEE Std ISO/IEC/IEEE 60559, Revision of IEEE Std 754-1985.
- E. L. Ince (1926). *Ordinary Differential Equations*. London: Longmans, Green and Co. Reprinted by Dover Publications, New York, 1944, 1956.
- M. E. H. Ismail (2005). *Classical and Quantum Orthogonal Polynomials in One Variable*, Volume 98 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- M. E. H. Ismail (2009). *Classical and Quantum Orthogonal Polynomials in One Variable*, Volume 98 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. With two chapters by Walter Van Assche, With a foreword by Richard A. Askey, Corrected reprint of the 2005 original.
- G. A. Kolesnik (1969). *An improvement of the remainder term in the divisor problem*. Mat. Zametki **6**, pp. 545–554. In Russian. English translation: Math. Notes **6**(1969), no. 5, pp. 784–791.
- W. J. Lentz (1976). *Generating Bessel functions in Mie scattering calculations using continued fractions*. Applied Optics **15**(3), pp. 668–671.
- N. Levinson (1974). *More than one third of zeros of Riemann's zeta-function are on  $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$* . Advances in Math. **13**(4), pp. 383–436.
- F. Marcellán, M. Alfaro, and M. L. Rezola (1993). *Orthogonal polynomials on Sobolev spaces: Old and new directions*. J. Comput. Appl. Math. **48**(1-2), pp. 113–131.
- F. Marcellán and Y. Xu (2015). *On Sobolev orthogonal polynomials*. Expo. Math. **33**(3), pp. 308–352.
- J. C. Mason (1993). *Chebyshev polynomials of the second, third and fourth kinds in approximation, indefinite integration, and integral transforms*. In *Proceedings of the Seventh Spanish Symposium on Orthogonal Polynomials and Applications (VII SPOA) (Granada, 1991)*, Volume 49, pp. 169–178.



- J. C. Mason and D. C. Handscomb (2003). *Chebyshev Polynomials*. Boca Raton, FL: Chapman & Hall/CRC.
- Meta.Numerics (website). *David Wright's software package for .NET programming language*. Includes software for some special functions and linear algebra.
- K. S. Miller and B. Ross (1993). *An Introduction to the Fractional Calculus and Fractional Differential Equations*. A Wiley-Interscience Publication. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York.
- G. Nemes (2016). *The resurgence properties of the incomplete gamma function, I*. Anal. Appl. (Singap.) **14**(5), pp. 631–677.
- G. Nemes (2017). *Error bounds for the asymptotic expansion of the Hurwitz zeta function*. Proc. A. **473**(2203), pp. 20170363, 16.
- G. Nemes (2021). *Proofs of two conjectures on the real zeros of the cylinder and Airy functions*. SIAM J. Math. Anal. **53**(4), pp. 4328–4349.
- G. Nemes and A. B. Olde Daalhuis (2016). *Uniform asymptotic expansion for the incomplete beta function*. SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl. **12**, pp. 101, 5 pages.
- A. F. Nikiforov and V. B. Uvarov (1988). *Special Functions of Mathematical Physics: A Unified Introduction with Applications*. Basel: Birkhäuser Verlag. Translated from the Russian and with a preface by Ralph P. Boas, with a foreword by A. A. Samarskiĭ.
- R. B. Paris (2002). *A uniform asymptotic expansion for the incomplete gamma function*. J. Comput. Appl. Math. **148**(2), pp. 323–339.
- G. Pólya (1949). *Remarks on computing the probability integral in one and two dimensions*. In *Proceedings of the Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability, 1945, 1946*, pp. 63–78. University of California Press, Berkeley and Los Angeles.
- M. Rotenberg, R. Bivins, N. Metropolis, and J. K. Wooten, Jr. (1959). *The 3-j and 6-j Symbols*. Cambridge, MA: The Technology Press, MIT.
- Z. Schulten, D. G. M. Anderson, and R. G. Gordon (1979). *An algorithm for the evaluation of the complex Airy functions*. J. Comput. Phys. **31**(1), pp. 60–75.
- J. B. Seaborn (1991). *Hypergeometric Functions and Their Applications*, Volume 8 of *Texts in Applied Mathematics*. New York: Springer-Verlag.
- I. J. Thompson and A. R. Barnett (1986). *Coulomb and Bessel functions of complex arguments and order*. J. Comput. Phys. **64**(2), pp. 490–509.
- H. Volkmer (2023). *Asymptotic expansion of the generalized hypergeometric function  ${}_pF_q(z)$  as  $z \rightarrow \infty$  for  $p < q$* . Anal. Appl. (Singap.) **21**(2), pp. 535–545.
- G. N. Watson (1944). *A Treatise on the Theory of Bessel Functions* (2nd ed.). Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press. Reprinted in 1995.