COMMISSION ON MARINE RESOURCES

COMMISSION MEETING

Tuesday, March 19, 2019 9:00 a.m. Bolton Building Auditorium 1141 Bayview Avenue Biloxi, Mississippi 39530

Commission Members:

Steve Bosarge, Chairman

Ronald Daniels

Richard Gollott

Natalie Guess

Mark Havard

Also Present:

Joe Spraggins, Executive Director DMR Sandy Chesnut, Esq., Assistant Attorney General

1 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. Let's see if 2 we can get this started. Everybody get a chance to get a seat and get settled down. 3 I would like to welcome everybody to the 4 5 regularly scheduled March meeting of the Commission on Marine Resources. 6 To start it all off, we are going to ask 7 Commissioner Gollott to lead us in The Pledge of 8 9 Allegiance. 10 (Pledge of Allegiance recited.) COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Next we will observe a 11 moment of silence just to reflect on where we are in this 12 13 great nation. (Moment of silence observed.) 14 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. Thank you. 15 Next up on the agenda is the approval of 16 minutes. 17 18 If it's okay with the rest of the guys, we've got some changes and stuff we want to make, if we could 19 iust table that until the next meeting. 20 21 If I could get a motion for that? COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I'm sorry, Mr. Chairman. 22 Would you explain? 23 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes, sir. I think Ms. 24 Lucille's sister has had some health problems and she has 25

1	been doing all she can do, and we had some changes we want
2	to make and we didn't quite get them all in.
3	If we could go ahead and give us a little more
4	time to make the edits and get them up for approval at the
5	next meeting?
6	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Okay.
7	So you want to table approval of the minutes?
8	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes, sir.
9	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Okay. I'll make that
10	motion, Mr. Chairman.
11	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion.
12	Do we have a second for that motion?
13	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: I'll second it.
14	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We've got a couple of
15	seconds, so we will take Commissioner Daniels' second.
16	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We've got a motion and a
17	second.
18	All those in favor aye.
19	(All in favor.)
20	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed like sign.
21	(None opposed.)
22	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Motion carries.
23	Next up is approval of the agenda.
24	Do we have any changes, or modifications, to the
25	agenda?

1	(No response.)
2	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Seeing none, do we have a
3	motion to approve the agenda?
4	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I'll make that motion,
5	Mr. Chairman.
6	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion.
7	Do we have a second for that motion?
8	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: I'll second the motion.
9	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Seconded by Commissioner
10	Havard.
11	All those in favor aye.
12	(All in favor.)
13	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed like sign.
14	(None opposed.)
15	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Motion carries.
16	Now, next up is the Executive Director's report.
17	JOE SPRAGGINS: Yes, sir. Just a couple of
18	things.
19	Number one, we are live streaming today on
20	Facebook. This is something new that we are starting and
21	I think you will see. He is putting it up here now. This
22	is a live stream on Facebook. People that are not able to
23	come can watch it on Facebook, if they would like to, and
24	they don't have to have an account, I don't think, to do
25	that. They can just kind of log in through our account.

That is something that we have different today.

One other thing. I would just like to make an announcement that I just found out that Lucille's grandson — his name is Grant Morgan — he got the first academic appointment to the United States Air Force Academy for the State of Mississippi this month. We appreciate her. I tell you what, that is an amazing feat there, and tell him congratulations and wish him the best.

LUCILLE MORGAN: Thank you.

JOE SPRAGGINS: Also, I didn't get a chance. We just got the latest deal on the Bonnet Carre. So I just wanted to give you an update real quick on it. It just came in this morning, and I didn't have time.

As we stand right now, the total bays that are open is a hundred and ninety-six. They closed a few.

Is that correct, Joe?

JOE JEWELL: Yes.

JOE SPRAGGINS: They closed about ten bays.

They had it up to two hundred and six. They are down to a hundred and ninety-six.

So we are around two hundred and seven thousand cubic feet per second coming across now which is holding the same thing we were at two hundred and six, but it is just a little bit more water. Being able to close bays and not open more is a good sign.

However, we have been given some reports that it 1 2 could be even getting worse and we just don't know because of all the water from Nebraska and everywhere else coming 3 down. 4 5 Once that happens, we will keep an eye on it as much as possible as we can and we will keep you updated as 6 7 much as possible as we can. Right now it's at the level -- Joe, tell me if 8 9

Right now it's at the level -- Joe, tell me if I'm wrong, but I think around 2016 now is what we are looking at, and we realize what happened in 2016 and we were okay. It wasn't as bad as we thought it was going to be, basically like 2018.

Let's see what happens, and we will keep you abreast of what is going on there as much as we get any information on it.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Does it look like they will be able to close all the gates before this next, I guess, crest you would say comes, Joe?

You see what I'm saying?

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Are they going to choke this thing on back and be able to close it, or is it going to continue to stay open, or do you know?

JOE JEWELL: Well, they are avoiding making any predictions now. The original prediction was for it to be open the entire month of March. So they are staying to

1 that prediction that they did. They are not going to 2 release any information out into the future, until they get more control over the variability into the river. 3 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right, and one other 4 5 question. Is this the only spillway open? 6 JOE JEWELL: Correct. That is the only spillway 7 Of course, there are three of them. 8 open. 9 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Correct. 10 JOE JEWELL: There is Bonnet Carre, Morganza and 11 Caernarvon. Of course, Caernarvon has no impact on us at all. 12 13 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. Thank you. JOE SPRAGGINS: I think it has to get to one 14 15 point five million cubic feet per second before they can open up the Morganza area, so that is what they are 16 looking at. 17 18 The other thing is, too, that we had some good word yesterday that it looks like the Pearl is coming 19 down, so that it better. It is down to around twelve feet 20 21 now. Is that right? 22 JOE JEWELL: That's correct. 23 JOE SPRAGGINS: So there is an opportunity that 24 25 it might get below where we think it was, you know, below

1	flood stage.
2	Things aren't looking as bad as they could, but,
3	then, I don't want to say too much because you know how
4	that happens.
5	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes, sir.
6	JOE SPRAGGINS: One other thing, is Carly here?
7	JOE JEWELL: She is at Gulf States in New
8	Orleans.
9	JOE SPRAGGINS: All right. I just wanted to let
10	you know that one of our scientists, Ms. Carly Somerset,
11	she received an award, American Fisheries Society and the
12	Mississippi Chapter, and she received a Fishery
13	Conservation Award this year.
14	Please let her know that we appreciate her and
15	thank her very much for that.
16	Now, let's see. I think one other thing that
17	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: (Interposing) Can I say
18	something, Mr. Chairman?
19	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes, sir.
20	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I messed up here. I
21	would like to modify the agenda and add HACCP and
22	Sanitation to the Marine Fisheries.
23	Would that be okay?
24	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I went too fast for you
25	there, Commissioner Gollott?

1	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Yes. I was sleeping.
2	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Ms. Chesnut, I'm going to
3	have to refer to you.
4	JOE SPRAGGINS: Can we do other business, if
5	not?
6	SANDY CHESNUT: I'm sorry?
7	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Commissioner Gollott is
8	requesting that we go back to approval of the agenda and
9	actually add something to the agenda.
10	SANDY CHESNUT: Yes. He can make that motion.
11	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Okay. All right.
12	Commissioner Gollott, could you make that motion
13	one more time for us?
14	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: That we add HACCP and
15	Sanitation under Marine Fisheries, Mr. Joe Jewell.
16	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. So we would
17	add it
18	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: (Interposing) K-7.
19	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes. I'm trying to get
20	to the second page. Yes, sir. We will put it in K-7.
21	All right. We have a motion.
22	Do we have a second for that motion?
23	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: I'll second his motion.
24	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. We have a
25	motion and a second.

1	All those in favor aye.
2	(All in favor.)
3	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed like sign.
4	(None opposed.)
5	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Motion carries.
6	So we have amended the agenda to add K-7.
7	All right. Continue on.
8	JOE SPRAGGINS: A couple other things real
9	quick. The Peer Review, you know, we had some questions
10	about the paperwork on it.
11	I think Sandy sent it out to each one of you.
12	If you get a chance, please review that and let us know if
13	there are any changes you would like to make on it as to
14	how we are going to do the Peer Review, as to the
15	structure of how we do it from inside here. If you could
16	do that and just give us some kind of information,
17	whatever you would like to.
18	I know you had some issues that you were looking
19	at, at one time.
20	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes, sir.
21	JOE SPRAGGINS: We won't bring it up this
22	meeting, but, if you can get it back to us, if you have
23	anything on it.
24	If you didn't get it, let us know. We will
25	resend it to you.

1 I know y'all have been busy and everything is 2 happening. One last thing on that, and, then, we will go 3 back into the other couple of things I have. 4 5 When you asked for the authority, in other words, whether we had the authority to do some things, we 6 asked for an AG's opinion. 7 Sandy, would you brief that to them real quick? 8 9 SANDY CHESNUT: Yes. As a result of the Special 10 Session we had on March the 1st, we sent up an AG's opinion request, basically, on the 49-15-304 authorities and the 11 constitutional authorities that Senator Seymour spoke 12 13 about at that meeting, and that opinion request is going to be in committee today and they said, if there are no 14 changes coming out of committee, that we should receive it 15 Friday, or Monday. If there are changes, it will take 16 longer to get it back. 17 18 As soon as we get that back, we will send that 19 out. 20 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Sandy, speak into that 21 mike just a little bit more. I know I'm having a hard time hearing you. I don't know about the rest of the 22 folks. 23 SANDY CHESNUT: Sorry about that. 24 25 The opinion request goes to committee today at

1 the AG's office and, if there are no changes, they can get 2 that back to us Friday, or Monday. If there are changes, of course, it will take 3 longer to get it back. 4 5 As soon as we get it back, we will send that out to everyone. 6 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. 7 Great. Thanks for the update. 8 9 Now, as far as the employment JOE SPRAGGINS: 10 contracts and procurement update, if we can on the slide 11 there, we have one new employee and that is Jennifer Stout who is a Coastal Resources Management Administrative 12 Assistant and she was just hired on the 18th of this month. 13 On the next slide, you will see the contracts 14 that we have. 15 We have the reverse auction for the two boats, 16 and, then, the Necaise Brothers contract was signed the 17 18 other day and that is for the invitation, and it had been signed, a hundred percent GOMESA for the outfalls, the 19 outfalls that we are fixing on the beach. That is four 20 21 point three million. That went out on approval and I think, as a matter of fact, they started this week. 22

Currently advertised, we have some procurement requests for, as you can see, Coastal Zone and Resource Management interns. We have interns from several

23

24

1 different agencies. We had quite a few people put in for 2 the intern slots this year, and we ought to be able to have something done on that shortly. 3 The other thing, looking down here, we have a 4 5 Botanist, and, then, obviously the request for qualifications and this is for architect engineering and 6 certification for a bulkhead program that we are working 7 That is the alternative bulkheads that we had 8 approved to do, and we are going to be able to go out for 9 10 that. 11

Then, two reverse auctions. The cultch, we have two different types, and those auctions are closed now. I don't know if it has here who won them, but they are basically -- I want to say it was thirty-eight dollars a cubic yard for putting out limestone.

Is that right, Joe?

JOE JEWELL: Yes.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JOE SPRAGGINS: And it was right at sixty dollars for the shells.

Is that correct?

JOE JEWELL: Right.

JOE SPRAGGINS: And the shell is the four hundred thousand dollar project there, and most of that is going to go over to the east and be put in the area back to replenish what we took out when we did the oyster

1 relay. 2 Is that correct, Joe? JOE JEWELL: That's correct, the shell portion. 3 JOE SPRAGGINS: We made the vow that we would 4 5 replace it and we are. We are trying to put that back so we will have that cultch back in there. 6 Any questions on any of the employments, or 7 anything, sir? 8 9 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: No, sir. 10 JOE SPRAGGINS: Okav. I don't know if we have a slide on this next one, but the only two things, we only 11 have a couple of bills left open in the legislature, and 12 13 the one that is for off-bottom license, it has passed the 14 It passed the Senate. It is going to the House. 15 governor. We will be able to put the same fee that we have 16 for someone that does tonging, or dredging, for oysters. 17 18 Now, we will have an off-bottom license for their boat, the same fee. So that is going through. 19 The other one is still alive and it went to the 20 21 House and back to the Senate and probably will go into committee meeting sometime this week and conference, and 22 that is about the leasing of the bottoms for oyster reefs. 23 Both of those are the two that made it through 24

everything, all the other fights in the Senate and the

1 House. 2 Other than that, sir, that's all I have. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. Sounds good. 3 Next up is Commissioners report. 4 5 Actually, I had a little something I wanted to speak about. I wanted to talk about a couple of reef 6 zones that the State is procuring, or in the process of 7 8 procuring. 9 I know that it has been a long process, some of 10 which I was involved in, in the early stages, but have kind of been left out of it since, and I just wanted to --11 I've got some material here that I wanted to give the rest 12 13 of the Commissioners, just so they could be looking at it. 14 I think we are going to have probably a special 15 meeting on it coming up? JOE SPRAGGINS: Yes, sir, we would like to do 16 It is probably going to be an hour, or so, meeting. 17 18 We would like to call a special meeting for it and maybe the Peer Review Committee at the same time. 19 20 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. That sounds 21 good, and all I want to do is just pass this out where you folks will have it where you can kind of get a look at 22 what is going on. 23 (Document handed to Commissioners by 24

Commissioner Bosarge.)

1 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I don't know if any of 2 the rest of you Commissioners are even aware that it is 3 going on. All right. That's just some -- to give you an 4 5 idea of where they are and some of the -- it's very productive trawl bottom there and, if you look at the -- I 6 know you guys don't have the pictures, but I just wanted 7 to make these guys up here aware of it. 8 9 If you look at the one with all the dots, that's 10 electronic logbook data. That is just points collected 11 off of the industry, shrimp trawlers in the industry, and it will kind of give you an idea of some of the trawling 12 13 grounds for the shrimpers that are within those bottoms that are going to be -- that are proposed to be artificial 14 reefs. 15 All right. That's all I wanted to do is just 16 make you aware of it. 17 18 All right. Up next -- is there any more Commissioners who want to report anything? 19 (No response.) 20 21 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Up next will be Office of Marine Patrol, Chief Davis. 22 KEITH DAVIS: Good morning. 23 The report is pretty straight forward this month 24 again, not a whole lot of activity, with the exception of 25

twenty-nine citations issued for courtesy, just notifying 1 2 individuals about the litter campaign. Any questions on the report? 3 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: No, Chief. I don't see 4 5 any. KEITH DAVIS: The other thing is we are prepared 6 to discuss this morning a little bit -- Commissioner Guess 7 asked us to look at giving out sanitation devices. 8 In our meetings with Director Spraggins, he 9 actually caught this in Title 22, Part 10, Section 100 10 where it identifies what a vessel is. 11 It says: "Shall mean any boat, barge, or 12 13 other vehicle, operating in marine environment from the largest 14 15 supertanker to the smallest recreational craft." 16 So our discussions were if we gave out buckets 17 18 to individuals with Bay boats up to a supertanker, what will be do for kayakers and things like that? 19 So the fairness of it is that it may be a better 20 21 approach just to educate more and what our plans are, if you will agree to this, is this. During the month of 22 April, we will have outreach events across the Coast and 23 we will put these out in the media circuit on where Marine 24

Patrol will be, and what we will be talking about is

actually identifying what the law is and what is required, and we will have stickers that are required by State Law that we will hand out, and these can also be picked up in our foyer. The normal DMR rule, the notice is actually printed on that (indicating).

In our discussions with Director Spraggins, this is not about writing a bunch of tickets, but just last week in the Philippines, there was a whale that was beached dead with eighty pounds of plastic in its stomach.

This is a very important issue and we do take it serious, but I would ask you to reconsider on the buckets.

I have talked to several guys about putting buckets on their boats, and a lot of them have said that it wouldn't do them any good.

That's our report to you. If that is what you want to see us do, we will certainly look into it further, but I just think that in fairness, if we're not giving it to the kayakers and we're not giving it to the paddle boarders, it's just not fair.

COMMISSIONER GUESS: No. I understand. I didn't know that we would be issuing them to everyone, or if they were able to be picked up at certain locations during education pieces, but, I mean, I understand.

KEITH DAVIS: The other thing is looking at the cost of them. We looked at everything from department

1 stores. I won't mention which ones. We looked at 2 department stores all the way up to a professional bucket that is made for fishing, and those were somewhere between 3 thirteen and twenty dollars apiece, and the ones in the 4 5 department stores are like four to five dollars, but the lids are not as secure. 6 If we give the cheaper buckets and the lids fly 7 off, then, DMR becomes a part of the problem. 8 looked at all of those avenues as well. 9

I think the education route and telling the public what they are required to have is the better route to go with.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER GUESS: Do we offer them suggested, or recommended, trash buckets, I mean, to kind of direct them to where they can get sanitary buckets?

KEITH DAVIS: We can do that during the events, and we can have some different types on hand to share with individuals what is required.

COMMISSIONER GUESS: Okay. I appreciate you looking into it.

JOE SPRAGGINS: One thing about it, Chief and them are looking at this as an education thing more than anything to be able to just go out and get the people to understand that you have got to carry something onboard. You need to make sure that it is marked in the way that

1 it's done. It is an educational process, and I think it's 2 great. I think it's fantastic because, if we save one piece of trash, we have done our job, but I think we will 3 save a lot of it. I think if they do what I know that 4 5 they are capable of, it will be a great operation for us. KEITH DAVIS: The last thing I want to add to 6 that is I have been in several events, since we made this 7 announcement, and there are a lot of organizations that 8 are interested in participating. 9 10 I was contacted last week by an organization out of Gulfport that is wanting to participate in this effort. 11 As I said, since January 1st of this year, we 12 13 have issued twenty-nine courtesies, just identifying, hey, this is a problem. You need to get it fixed. 14 As far as we know, all of those have taken heed 15 of those warnings, and we will be doing it, of course. 16 All of you are invited, during the month of 17 18 April, to participate in those outreach events. 19 COMMISSIONER GUESS: Okay. Thank you. COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Chief, a quick question. 20 21 You said the ordinance is printed on that ruler. So does that work, as opposed to having the 22 other sticker? 23 If they've got that ruler on there, they are 24 covered? 25

1	KEITH DAVIS: If you have the ruler, the actual
2	sticker is in the corner of the ruler, so yes, sir
3	(indicating).
4	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Okay. I didn't realize
5	that.
6	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: And just looking down
7	through the report, I see three dead dolphins in the
8	report.
9	KEITH DAVIS: Yes, sir. Those were all handled
10	by IMMS, obviously, but there were three reports of dead
11	dolphins during the month.
12	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: That's a lot.
13	Any other questions?
14	(No response.)
15	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. Chief, Thank
16	you.
17	KEITH DAVIS: Thank you.
18	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. Up next is
19	Office of Coastal Resources Management, Mr. Jan Boyd.
20	JAN BOYD: Good morning Mr. Chairman,
21	Commissioners, Director Spraggins, Ms. Chesnut.
22	We have one action item for your consideration
23	this morning, and Greg Christodoulou will be presenting
24	that.
25	GREG CHRISTODOULOU: Good morning everyone.

1 This is a permit request by the City of Ocean 2 Springs. It is located on Front Beach in Ocean Springs. This is a living shoreline project. It is in 3 the General Use District. The agent is Allen Engineering. 4 5 The project's purpose is four; reduce beach erosion, create some marsh and oyster habitat along the 6 existing outfall structure in that location, improve the 7 water quality around that outfall, and mitigate upstream 8 9 flooding. 10 Here is just a description of the project. is a living shoreline project, kind of an alternative to 11 more hardened shoreline protection. 12 This particular project involves four tenths of 13 an acre for beach renourishment and marsh creation. 14 Now, there will also be some deployment of 15 oyster balls, or reef balls, around the outfall structure 16 to help attract some attaching organisms, filtering 17 18 organisms, oysters, barnacles, muscles, that sort of thing, to help improve the water quality around these 19 outfalls. 20 21 The applicant was required to request a variance from the Coastal Program from Chapter 8, Section 2, Part 22 111.0.1, which says: 23 "Permanent filling of Coastal wetlands 24

because of potential adverse and

1 cumulative environmental impacts is 2 discouraged." And the applicant has justified the variance 3 based on Chapter 8, Section 2, Part 1.E.2.c.i: 4 5 "The impacts on Coastal Wetlands will be no worse than if the guidelines 6 were followed." 7 Basically, this is replacement -- part of this 8 project is replacement of the existing sand beach that is 9 10 in that location and, also, the area that is not going to 11 be beach right now is unvegetated waterbottoms, and that is going to be replaced with some productive marsh 12 13 habitat. Here is an aerial imagery of the project. 14 15 yellow thumbtack marks the location. You can see that that section of beach is 16 probably the most heavily eroded along the Front Beach 17 shoreline. 18 The reason for that is the sediment tends to 19 move in this direction and you have this little spit of 20 21 land here that kind of helps starve that particular section (indicating). 22 Here is a diagram of the proposed project. 23 a beach renourishment area here, and this is going to be 24 the marsh sill. This sill is going to be composed of like 25

1 a geotextile fabric that will hold the sediment in place, 2 but also serves as a planting medium for the marsh that they are going to use to plant this area, and, then, you 3 have the oyster balls that will be deployed here at the 4 5 end of this existing outfall structure. This is a pilot project, so the hope is if this 6 is successful, it can be utilized in other areas, 7 especially along Front Beach and maybe in other locations 8 9 as well. 10

Notification of the project did appear in the Sun Herald and on the DMR Website.

We received no public comments.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DEQ is currently reviewing the project.

Archives and History has no objections.

Wildlife, Fisheries and Parks wants best management practices be properly implemented, monitored and maintained.

Secretary of State's office had no comment.

Based on staff's findings, we think the project is consistent with the Coastal Program because it restores sand beach in this location to allow for greater public access and, also, it creates marsh habitat which is expected to reduce erosive forces and increase the aquatic productivity in the area.

The staff recommends approval of the variance

1 request and permit, contingent on water quality 2 certification from the DEO. Do we have any questions? 3 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: (No response.) 4 5 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I had one, Greq. That outfall, that would be a street drainage 6 outfall? 7 GREG CHRISTODOULOU: Yes, it's a street drain. 8 It drains from the street. 9 10 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: And you would think that that would actually bring a lot of sediment with it at 11 Instead of deteriorating, you think it would be 12 times. 13 building, at least I would. 14 GREG CHRISTODOULOU: It does to a small degree, 15 but the problem is you are going to get more from that migration of the sand and, with that little spit that is 16 out there which it is privately owned -- it's not part of 17 18 the City -- it kind of blocks it, and you are also getting a lot of actual sheet flow runoff from the street and from 19 the sidewalk that runs along the seawall as well. 20 21 One of the big problems that Ocean Springs has found is with the streets and the sidewalks right there, 22 when you get these heavy rains, you get a lot of this sand 23 that is actually blowing offshore and so you have erosion. 24

You are losing sand from the beach that way, as well as

1	from erosion.
2	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes, that sand is easily
3	moved. Definitely.
4	Any further questions?
5	JOE SPRAGGINS: That is a natural shoreline type
6	deal that they are doing.
7	Is that right?
8	GREG CHRISTODOULOU: Yes, it is a living
9	shoreline type of thing.
10	JOE SPRAGGINS: And they've got another one also
11	over there that is being done by DEQ that they've got
12	funds.
13	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: That's good. It will be
14	good to see how it turns out.
15	All right. Do we have a motion?
16	COMMISSIONER GUESS: I'll make the motion.
17	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right, and your
18	motion would be to accept the staff's recommendations?
19	COMMISSIONER GUESS: Yes.
20	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. We have a
21	motion.
22	Do we have a second for that motion?
23	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: I'll second the motion.
24	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion and a
25	second.

Any further discussion?
(No response.)
COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All those in favor aye.
(All in favor.)
COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed like sign.
(None opposed.)
COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Motion carries.
Thank you, Greg.
GREG CHRISTODOULOU: Thank you.
COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Up next will be Office of
Finance and Administration, Ms. Shavay.
SHAVAY GAINES: Good morning Director Spraggins,
Commissioners, Ms. Chesnut.
I will be presenting financials for the month
ending February 28 th , 2019.
At the end of February, our State Revenue was
four point seven million. We are still awaiting transfer
from State Treasury for a million for appropriations.
Our Agency Revenue totaled nineteen point five
million.
Our State Net Income was a negative one hundred
and four thousand, and our Agency Net Income was four
point one million.
After eight months of fiscal year 2019,
Operating Funds had seventy-three percent of our budget

1	remaining, and our Tidelands Trust Fund had fifty-one
2	point five percent of our budget remaining.
3	Any questions?
4	JOE SPRAGGINS: The negative is because it
5	hasn't been paid by the State yet.
6	Right?
7	SHAVAY GAINES: Exactly. That is the one
8	million that we are waiting on from the treasury which
9	will affect that balance, and, then, we usually get it
10	maybe May, or June. They usually true it up by the end of
11	the fiscal year.
12	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. Sounds good.
13	Any questions?
14	(No response.)
15	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. Thank you,
16	Shavay.
17	SHAVAY GAINES: Thank you.
18	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Up next will be Sonja
19	Slater to talk about Tidelands.
20	SONJA SLATER: Good morning.
21	I'm following up on my Tidelands presentation I
22	did a couple of months ago.
23	Per your request, you wanted to know how the
24	funds have been expended for 2019, so we are just going to
25	do a real quick overview of 2019's Tidelands Funds.

Basically, our Public Access Funds, they were awarded four point three million. Three point seven million of that went to the cities and municipalities, and four hundred and seventy-five thousand of that went to the Board of Supervisors in Jackson and Harrison Counties and Hancock County, and, then, seventy-five thousand of it was spent on a project that we would consider to be a miscellaneous project because it's a nonprofit organization which is the Maritime Seafood Museum. They received seventy-five thousand.

So the Public Access Projects for fiscal year 19, there were seventeen and they awarded four point three million dollars.

The other half of the funds are awarded through the DMR and the Director, and they are for managed projects.

In FY19, we had four point five million to administer. We administered two point two million to the DMR for DMR projects that are handled in house. Three hundred and twenty-seven thousand went to the universities for research projects. Three hundred and forty-one thousand went to five different miscellaneous projects. One point one million went towards the payment of our bond, and we still have one point six million left to award that has not yet been awarded.

1 Our Miscellaneous Projects under the Managed 2 Projects were the YMCA, Lynn Meadows. We gave the City of Biloxi money, Jackson County and Hancock County. The 3 reason why those three agencies are not back under Public 4 5 Access is because they were paid for out of Managed Project Funds. 6 7 Any questions? JOE SPRAGGINS: Before anybody hits me up for 8 9 the one point six, it is already there. We are just 10 waiting on the price to come in on a couple of projects. That's the reason it hasn't been awarded. 11 12 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Any questions? 13 (No response.) SONJA SLATER: Thank v'all. 14 15 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Thank you. All right. Next up is Public Affairs, and I 16 think Mr. Joe Spraggins is going to take care of it. 17 JOE SPRAGGINS: Ms. Charmaine and Francesca are 18 19 up at the Boston Seafood Show and they are representing us up there. So I will take care of this for us. 20 21 Also, we got approved in our budget for our appropriations next year right at ten point eight million 22 for Tidelands. So we got a little bit extra. 23 24 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: It went up.

JOE SPRAGGINS: Yes.

1 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. That's good. 2 That is always good. JOE SPRAGGINS: Mississippi Department of Marine 3 Resources was mentioned nineteen times in local, state and 4 5 national media since our last meeting. Most popular was Bonnet Carre announcement and 6 7 Red Snapper season. Marine Patrol taught four boat-and-water safety 8 9 classes and certified eighty-five students. So far in fiscal year 19, officers have taught fifteen classes and 10 certified three hundred and seventeen students 11 Marine Patrol gave a presentation to the Boy 12 13 Scout Troop 425 and participated in Career Days at Pass Christian Middle School, D'Iberville High School, North 14 15 Bay Elementary School and Central Elementary School. Fisheries and Marine Patrol took part in the 16 Biloxi Boat Show February 22 through 24 at the Coast 17 18 Convention Center. The Artificial Reef Bureau manned the public 19 outreach booth and shared insight on fishing reefs and 20 21 fishing management. Fisheries volunteers from the Finfish Bureau and 22 Seafood Technology Bureau, along with Marine Patrol, 23 presented at the kids' area of the boat show, sharing 24 educational information. 25

1 The Fisheries Office also participated in 2 Mississippi American Fisheries Society meeting in Jackson. At the meeting, that is where Carly received her award, so 3 we appreciate that. 4 5 Also, Mr. Eric Gigli of the Finfish Bureau presented his master's research, "The Effects of 6 Incubation Salinity on Egg Quality Traits and Early 7 Development of Spotted Seatrout". That must be a heck of 8 9 a paper there. He did this at the World Aquaculture Society Conference in New Orleans on March 8th and 9th.

Jason Rider gave a presentation on his program of Off-Bottom Oyster Aquaculture at Aquaculture America 2019.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Seafood Technology Bureau's Jessica Rankin passed the State Standardization Evaluation and has accomplished the training, standardization and certification for State Shellfish Standardization Officers administered by the Food and Drug Administration, Office of State Cooperative Programs, Division of Shellfish Sanitation. Jessica also gave an oyster dissection demonstration to students at Harrison Central High School.

Looks like we have been in a lot of things going on. Very busy, sir.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes.

COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Joe, if I may, I would

like to say thank you to everybody from the department that came out for the kids' program at the Biloxi Boat It was a huge success and everybody that donated Show. their time did a phenomenal job. There were a lot of thank you's going around for that. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. Good deal. Good deal. It sounds like everybody has been busy.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Joe, some of that was a tongue twister. I'm glad that was you and not me.

Up next will be Office of Marine Fisheries, Mr. Joe Jewell.

JOE JEWELL: Thank you. I would also like to congratulate Ms. Lucille. We have been friends for quite a number of years, and that is quite an honor for her grandson and her family. Congratulations.

On the Marine Fisheries agenda, we have seven items now. First up is Final Adoption of Title 22, Part 20. I will be making that presentation this morning.

As you may recall, the Commission passed the Notice of Intent on February the 19th, 2019. The Notice of Intent was filed with the Secretary of State's office on February the 20^{th} . On February the 20^{th} , it was posted on the DMR web page. The legal notice appeared in the Sun Herald on February the 22nd.

The public comment period was from February the

 21^{st} to March the 18^{th} . That is a total of twenty-six days. 1 2 we have received no public comments. If you will recall, we made the regulatory 3 change that included all endorsements to the language and 4 5 added Section 105, and we are now undoing those changes and reverting back to the way this language appeared prior 6 to the implementation date of November the 16th of 2018. 7 So the regulation will now read, in Chapter 21, 8 9 under Penalties, the original language in 104 will be: 10 "Suspension or revocation of licenses, or permits -- we will 11 strike out the language 'or 12 13 endorsements' -- may be commenced in addition to seeking administrative 14 15 penalties". And, then, it will strike out the Section 105 16 that was previously added. 17 What would be required is a motion to move 18 forward with the final adoption. 19 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. 20 21 Do we have any questions? (No response.) 22 Do we have a motion? 23 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I'll make a motion that we proceed with Final 24 Adoption of regulatory changes to Title 22, Part 20, 25

1	Chapter 21, regarding the removal of the endorsement
2	language in Section 104 and the deletion of Section 105.
3	Do we have a second for that?
4	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I'll second it.
5	COMMISSIONER GUESS: Can I just make one
6	comment?
7	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes. I'm sorry.
8	COMMISSIONER GUESS: So this is still going back
9	to we still need to come up with particular penalties for
10	specific actions.
11	Right?
12	We are going back, but we still haven't figured
13	out what is going to be this is just the in-between.
14	Right?
15	JOE JEWELL: Well, I think legal may need to
16	chime in, but I think what we are doing is we are going
17	back to the starting point.
18	The Commission's will was to withdraw it, but we
19	had exceeded that thirty-day adoption period, and so the
20	most efficient way to do it is to refile it as it was
21	before all the changes happened to it.
22	So what we are doing effectively is going back
23	to the starting point, and, then, we will consider all of
24	that.
25	COMMISSIONER GUESS: Okay.

1	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: That's correct.
2	COMMISSIONER GUESS: I'll second it.
3	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Commissioner Gollott had
4	seconded it.
5	COMMISSIONER GUESS: Oh, I'm sorry.
6	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. We have a
7	motion and a second.
8	Any further discussion?
9	(No response.)
10	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All those in favor aye.
11	(All in favor.)
12	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed like sign.
13	(None opposed.)
14	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Motion carries.
15	JOE JEWELL: Thank you, Commissioners.
16	Next up for your consideration is Notice of
17	Intent for Title 22, Part 7, Federal Regulations for
18	Spanish Mackerel, Hogfish and Swordfish. Mr. Trevor
19	Moncrief will be doing that presentation.
20	COMMISSIONER GUESS: I'm sorry, Joe. Before you
21	go real quick, what is our plan of action moving forward?
22	JOE JEWELL: What is going to happen after this
23	meeting, we will do the filing notices for this. It will
24	be filed today, tomorrow. Thirty days from that filing
25	date, it will become effective and it will revert back to

1 what it was in the original language. 2 So from that point forward, the Commission will have to consider -- I think what was up for original 3 consideration was a penalty matrix. 4 5 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Right. JOE JEWELL: So at that point once -- I would 6 recommend that once it becomes finalized -- and it should 7 be finalized before the April Commission meeting -- that 8 the Commission direct staff to come up with, or work with 9 10 legal to come up with a penalty matrix for consideration. JOE SPRAGGINS: Joe, if I'm not mistaken, we 11 talked about Ryan Bradley, I think, and his group were 12 13 going to get with our legal. JOE JEWELL: He was part of that process. 14 SANDY CHESNUT: But I think that was before. 15 JOE JEWELL: That's correct. 16 SANDY CHESNUT: That was the original plan, but 17 18 Mr. Bradley represented at the last meeting that his role in this is done. So, if they want to be a part of it, 19 that's fine. If not, we will just go with whatever the 20 21 Commission instructs us to do. JOE JEWELL: And I would say that instruction 22 come after this becomes final. 23 24 SANDY CHESNUT: Okay. JOE JEWELL: We reinitiate the starting point, 25

1 and, then, we will take some direction. 2 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: And I think most of it was -- as far as for me, it was getting to a point to 3 where we are not judge and jury here, this panel. 4 5 In other words, to set up a penalty matrix, but also a class of violations. There are a lot. 6 If you look at other states and the way other 7 states do it, it is pretty well spelled out. In other 8 9 words, it is spelled out. When somebody does something 10 wrong, it is spelled out what class violation that is and what the penalty for that violation will be. 11 If we are going to go down this road, that is 12 13 the way I would rather see it, instead of this group trying to decide what that violation was and what class of 14 15 violation it was and what the penalty should be for that violation. Anyhow, that's where I would like to see it 16 17 go. 18 JOE JEWELL: Are there any more questions? 19 COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Is there a reason why we have to wait until this is finalized before we can start 20 21 working on this? JOE JEWELL: I don't think there is. 22 Commission can start developing a process now, if they so 23 choose. 24

25

COMMISSIONER DANIELS: I think we've already --

1 haven't we already started working a little bit on that? 2 JOE JEWELL: My only preference to the process is before you start modifying the current regulation, that 3 we wait until it is finally adopted, so we don't get in 4 5 that situation that we were when it started back in August. 6 7 COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Okay. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. Okay, Joe. 8 9 Continue on. 10 JOE JEWELL: Mr. Trevor will be up here giving 11 the next presentation. TREVOR MONCRIEF: Good morning Commissioners, 12 13 Executive Director, Ms. Chesnut. This is going to be on the Notice of Intent for 14 15 Federal Compliance for Spanish Mackerel, Hogfish and Swordfish. 16 A brief background. In the February 2019 CMR 17 18 meeting, the following motion was made regarding state and federal compliance. It was for the staff to prepare a 19 Notice of Intent to bring back at the March meeting to 20 21 bring State commercial and recreational limits into compliance with Federal regulations for Spanish Mackerel, 22 Hogfish and Swordfish. 23 This presentation will outline the specific 24

changes to ensure compliance in these selected fisheries.

25

The changes for this will take place in Title 22, Part 7, Chapters 8 and 9.

We will go over the Chapter 8 changes first. It is going to be in 102. It will start out with 102.14. We include Spanish Mackerel (Scomberomorus maculatus). It will be twelve inches fork length.

We go down to 102. We change the subjects after that. So 102.15, we included the scientific name for Spanish Mackerel for consistency in the regulation.

We go all the way down to 102.25 where Hogfish (Lachnolaimus maximus) changes from twelve to fourteen inches fork length, and, then, we add in 102.28 which is Swordfish (Xiphias gladius) and it is forty-seven inches as measured from the tip of the lower jaw to the fork of the tail. That is the lower jaw fork length.

In Chapter 9 which is Commercial Size,
Possession and Catch Limits, 100. So 100.06, Spanish
Mackerel (Scomberomorus maculatus), we changed from
fourteen, strike through, to twelve inches fork length.

Then, 100.23, Hogfish, we added the scientific name (Lachnolaimus maximum), and strike through twelve and it is changed to fourteen inches fork length.

What will be required is a motion to proceed with a Notice of Intent for regulatory changes to Title 22, Part 7, Chapters 8 and 9, regarding changes to Spanish

1	Mackerel, Hogfish and Swordfish size limits for Federal
2	compliance.
3	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right.
4	Any questions?
5	(No response.)
6	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Trevor, when we started
7	all of this, we talked about also looking at sharks.
8	Is it coming up?
9	TREVOR MONCRIEF: Yes. It will be on the
10	agenda.
11	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Okay. All right. Good
12	deal.
13	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: At this time, I would like
14	to make a motion to proceed with Notice of Intent for
15	regulatory changes to Title 22, Part 7, Chapters 8 and 9,
16	regarding changes to Spanish Mackerel, Hogfish and
17	Swordfish size limits for Federal compliance.
18	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. We have a
19	motion.
20	Do we have a second for that motion?
21	COMMISSIONER GUESS: I'll second it.
22	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion and a
23	second.
24	Any further discussion?
25	(No response.)

1	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All those in favor aye.
2	(All in favor.)
3	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed like sign.
4	(None opposed.)
5	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Motion carries.
6	Thank you, Trevor.
7	TREVOR MONCRIEF: Thank you.
8	JOE JEWELL: Next up on the agenda will be the
9	Pascagoula Oyster Relay. That presentation will be done
10	by Mr. Charlie Robertson.
11	CHARLIE ROBERTSON: Good morning everyone.
12	Charlie Robertson with the Shellfish Bureau.
13	I am pleased to give you a brief update on the
14	Pascagoula Oyster Relay and Assessment.
15	Just a little bit of background on this issue.
16	During the December 2018 Commission meeting, the
17	Commission made a motion for the staff to come back within
18	ninety days with the potential funding options and plans
19	for a cultch plant for the Pascagoula reefs in the area
20	that the relay was done and, also, for staff to do an
21	assessment of the survival, or the mortality, of the
22	relayed oysters.
23	Just briefly. I think Director Spraggins had
24	alluded to it earlier regarding the cultch plant for the
25	Pascagoula relay. We are in the process of the final

approval processes of securing the funds to do the cultch plant.

Right now, those funds will be coming from the Bonnet Carre Disaster Fund which is the same fund that

As it stands right now, we are looking at an eighty acre area that we identified as the most heavily fished area during the relay that we are going to put only oyster shell in.

The cultch plant for that area, we are kind of designing it to be a little lighter than we normally would. So we will put about half of the normal cultch material that we do during traditional deployments.

The barges. As you guys know in that area it is pretty shallow. So we will expect the barge to be loaded pretty light and be as delicate to that area as possible during the deployment process.

Looking at the mortality estimates from the relayed oysters, our staff, we went out about three weeks post deployment of the oysters into the relay deployment area.

We conducted two rounds of one-minute dredge tows at seven different locations. They were randomly selected within that deployment area.

In considering the Commission's primary goal of

funded the relay.

doing this assessment, we considered that the Commission was most interested in looking at the mortality from the oysters as a result of stress from the relay. Therefore, we were looking at just the recently dead oysters.

A recently dead oyster would be an oyster that exhibits characteristic white inside the shell, with little to no fouling. It may also be hinged as well, or have meat, or tissue, still intact inside of the oyster.

If you look at the table to the right, you will notice in the smallest size class that we didn't recover any individuals in that small size class, just the time of the year and when we typically see spat sets, we wouldn't expect to see any oysters in that size class.

Moving to the next size class up, the ten to twenty-four millimeter size class range, that's the larger spat. We saw forty-seven percent mortality in that size class.

Then, moving on down, we saw a slight decrease in mortality as the oysters increase in size which is what we would have hypothesized going into this.

Looking at the market size class, we saw a seventeen percent mortality in that size class.

So considering all of the size classes of oysters as a whole, we saw twenty-one percent mortality of the oysters that were relayed from this relay event.

Then, I think some of the factors that influenced the survival of oysters during this relay, if you guys remember, the temperatures were pretty cold during that time. The water temperatures were also very cold. These things were beneficial to the oysters during the relay because, when it's colder, they can withstand a lot more stress and also the handling techniques through the harvest.

The Commission chose tonging only and the hand dredge only harvest techniques, and I think those were a little bit more delicate in handling the oysters which probably helped them survive a little bit more, and also the contractors did a really good job in coming up with methods for handling the loading and unloading process of the oysters that really, I think, contributed to the overall success and survival of the oysters from this relay.

I think with that, that concludes my presentation.

I'm glad that the Commission took interest in looking at these issues. I think it is important for the efficient and effective management of these kinds of programs.

With that, any questions?

COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Yes. I have one question.

Twenty-one percent of mortality, is that an acceptable percentage, or were you guys expecting less, or more?

TREVOR MONCRIEF: This is the first time that we have actually looked at this, as far as I'm aware of, the mortalities from a relay event.

Obviously, when you are handling oysters this much there is going to be some mortalities.

In my experience with the previous relays, I think we have seen probably a little bit more mortality. I think this would be relatively low, in my opinion, but I don't have any data to really back that assumption up, but I expected it to be a little bit higher than twenty percent.

COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Very good.

Do you guys have plans to go back in ninety days and recheck these reefs?

CHARLIE ROBERTSON: It will probably be a little bit more than ninety days, but we do have plans. This area is part of the assessment area that we will conduct our normal square meter assessments during the summer, and this area will be included in that assessment.

So we will have some hard numbers with the square meters which is a little bit different sampling method, but I think it's a little bit more refined

1 assessment. We will have better detail of what oysters we 2 actually have on the bottom still in that area. 3 COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Sure. I agree. Good job. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I'm just curious. 4 IS 5 there -- maybe I should address this to Joe. Is there -- not so much in this state, but in 6 Louisiana, they do a lot of moving oysters. 7 Is there a set standard for what they consider, 8 you know, loss of dead oysters from moving them? 9 10 I'm just curious. 11 Do you know that, Joe? JOE JEWELL: I don't think there is. I don't 12 13 think I know of any state that has a standard, a minimum standard of mortality rate when we they do relays. 14 Like Charlie said, this was one of the first 15 ones we actually did some pretty intensive documentation 16 of the mortality rate almost immediately after the move, 17 18 so this is really sort of a first. A lot of the estimates that we do are based on 19 sort of a cursory field observation once we are out there, 20 21 and we do a little bit of laboratory analysis on the samples that we get, but nothing as intensive, or as 22 thoroughly, that we did on this one. 23 We do have plans in the future to continue that 24

and conduct those types of assessments once we relay.

25

1	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: That's good. It gives us
2	some baseline data. All right.
3	Any more questions?
4	(No response.)
5	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Thank you, Charlie.
6	CHARLIE ROBERTSON: Thank y'all.
7	JOE JEWELL: Next up is Tarpon recommendations,
8	modifications to Title 22, Part 7, and Mr. Trevor will be
9	doing that one, also.
10	TREVOR MONCRIEF: Good morning again.
11	This presentation will cover some Tarpon
12	recommendations, specifically to Title 22, Part 7.
13	Background. In the February 2019 CMR meeting,
14	CMR made a motion regarding Tarpon, announced for the
15	staff to come back with some recommendations, possibly
16	some potential regulations at the March meeting.
17	This presentation will provide potential
18	regulation options for the CMR's consideration.
19	A little bit about Mississippi's Department of
20	Fisheries. This was given in detail by Mr. Jim Franks
21	last month, which we were all happy to have him here.
22	Juveniles inhabit marsh, creeks and canals along
23	the Coast. There is a potential for angler
24	misidentification of these individuals. If they are cast
25	netting for bait, or anything else, they don't recognize

1 what species they are.

Adults have been rarely caught/reported in the last decade. Those studies have shown a migratory pattern south of the barrier islands.

when we say rarely caught/reported, those numbers are well below usually twenty, or thirty, per year.

Then, with more information becoming public about Tarpons specifically in these meetings, there is a potential increase in fishing pressure for the species now that people recognize that they are south of our islands.

If we look at some of the other states' size and bag limits, for us currently we have no size, or bag, limit.

Florida has a no take, and, then, a tag is required for take overall. Looking down there at the asterisks, they also have an additional provision where any fish that is caught over forty inches isn't allowed to be taken out of the water.

If we look at Alabama, they have a minimum size limit of sixty inches and a tag required for take as well. If we look at the asterisk at the bottom, the tag cost is sixty-one dollars per tag.

Louisiana currently has no size, or bag, limit.

Texas has a size limit of eighty-five inches and

1 one per person. 2 In Federal waters, since this is a coastal species, there are no regulations on Tarpon. 3 Some management options. Some things to 4 5 consider for the Commission. Size limit of seventy-five inches fork length. 6 That was calculated specifically using information from 7 our previous State record that is on file. 8 9 Bag limit, one per vessel per day. That is 10 similar to Texas. Then, some additional catch requirements so we 11 can also take into account that Florida provision where 12 13 any Tarpon over forty inches that is not intended to be harvested must remain in the water at all times, and that 14 helps curb a little bit of release mortality, post-release 15 mortality of these larger individuals. 16 Any tag requirements to be considered must be 17 18 approved through the Mississippi Legislature. Then, any of these options could be configured a 19 different way, given the Commission's choice. 20 21 The regulations themselves will be housed in Title 22, Part 7, Chapter 8, and, if we move forward with 22 the exact options that were listed on the previous slide, 23 it will be in 100.21: 24

"It shall be unlawful for recreational

25

1	fishermen to possess more than one
2	Atlantic Tarpon (Megalops atlanticus)
3	per vessel per day."
4	Down to 102. 102.28 would list:
5	"Atlantic Tarpon (Megalops atlanticus)
6	seventy-five inches fork length."
7	Then, that provision for the catch requirement
8	will be in 105, and it will state:
9	"It shall be unlawful for any
10	fisherman to remove an Atlantic
11	Tarpon (Megalops atlanticus) over
12	the length of forty inches from
13	the water, unless the intent is to
14	harvest within the limits defined
15	in Sections 100 and 102 of this
16	chapter."
17	If you wish to move forward with the options as
18	they are, what will be required is a motion to proceed
19	with a Notice of Intent for regulatory changes to Title
20	22, Part 7, Chapter 8, regarding the size limit, bag limit
21	and catch requirements for Atlantic Tarpon.
22	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Trevor, just trying to
23	wrap my head around all of this, we had one presentation
24	on this from Jim Franks and that was one of the

presentations that was not given to us as Commissioners.

1 we had one chance to look at it, and it would be nice to 2 have just a little more information before we dive into making regulations. 3 I'm all for protecting Tarpon, but me, I don't 4 5 know much about it. I guess some questions I've got is do we know 6 anything about the stock? 7 TREVOR MONCRIEF: Across the Gulf of Mexico, as 8 9 Mr. Jim Franks stated last month, there is no directed 10 commercial fishery, nor is there a really targeted harvest 11 for recreational fishery. when you speak about sport fish, this is really 12 13 one of those where it is targeted mainly by the recreational sector as a catch-and-release fishery. 14 15 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: And by setting the fork length at seventy-five inches, basically you're -- I guess 16 that is almost saying that it would be no take, if that's 17 18 the State record. In other words, I'm just trying to make sure we 19 get some of this correct. 20 21 TREVOR MONCRIEF: Yes. So essentially the only time an individual could keep a Tarpon would be if it was 22 over the State record that was previously defined which 23 would be seventy-five inches. 24 COMMISSIONER HAVARD: There is no table value 25

1 there. There is no commercial value, or recreational 2 value. All they would be doing would be killing a fish 3 to take a picture, if you allowed fish to be kept under 4 seventy-five inches. 5 We just stand the chance to have a big economic 6 impact with growing this sport fish in our waters. 7 Like Dr. Jim Franks said, before we had Tarpon 8 9 clubs. We had Tarpon places to stay. It was a big Tarpon 10 fishery at one time, and I dare to say that it was 11 probably the second largest in the southeast at one time. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes, and I think he said 12 13 back in the thirties mostly. A lot of changes since then. 14 Yes, I'm all for trying to take care of Tarpon. 15 Just make sure that we don't go way too restrictive on it. Like I say, it appears to me that if you are 16 going to go seventy-five inches, you might as well say no 17 18 take. Is that correct? 19 20 COMMISSIONER HAVARD: You know, the only reason 21 seventy-five inches came up was just in case it was a State record, that it was a true trophy fish is why we put 22 that in place, but there is no value in keeping the fish, 23 killing the fish. 24

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Oh, I agree. I agree.

25

JOE JEWELL: That's correct. The reasoning for that, of course, other states have done a very similar program, but any fish that is taken, it would be a trophy fish and if you take under seventy-five inches, you haven't broken a record. So there would be no purpose in establishing a rule that is less than that regulatory process.

The only purpose you would want to do if you are

The only purpose you would want to do if you are trying to maintain, or sustain, that fishery is to have a regulation that is at that level, or above.

Both of y'all are right. Y'all hit it right on the head. You want to establish that fishery and you want to establish a bar so high that it recovers. So essentially it is almost a no take.

You would have to catch a fish so large it would have to be a State record, and it would be almost impossible in our waters.

So the answer to both of y'all's question is yes.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right.

MATT HILL: It necessarily wouldn't be a State record. It would be a possible State record just because the same fish is seventy-five inches, but it would give them the opportunity to bring that fish in and, also, the research that Trevor and Dr. Franks and everybody, we have

talked with a lot.

The tag requirement is a very viable option. It is just something that will have to go through the legislature. So this would be a temporary conservation method until we can possibly put this in front of the legislature, and that would also make people think a little bit more.

At that point, you may want to consider dropping the size limit some, if you want to be a little less restrictive, but there would also be a tag required that the fisherman would have to think about it a little bit. He is going to have to pay a little money and he can get one a year.

So there are some other things that we can add to this, as we move through the process, but we don't have the ability as a department to initiate a tag.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: And the restriction of not removing the fish from the water, is that going to be an issue?

MATT HILL: I don't believe it's an issue. We talked to Florida. They say they are very successful with it. They say most people, that's about the size limit that they want to remove, if it's just for a picture, a picture opportunity and put it back in the water.

You have a very select group of people that

1 target these fish, and usually they are pretty proficient 2 at that do and they are very mindful when they take these fish in and out of the water, how important it is to get 3 them back in there, and we watched a few things. 4 5 I think education outreach is going to be extremely important, if something like this goes into 6 7 place. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes. 8 I mean. I recall 9 Dr. Franks saying they caught three in one day, I believe, 10 some of those guys. Personally, I don't know that I have ever laid 11 eyes -- I have seen pictures, but, as far as physically 12 seeing one up close, no, and I've got a lot of hours. 13 JOE SPRAGGINS: The only one that I've ever seen 14 was in the channel out here last year, and it floated up 15 there and that was it. 16 17 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I have seen them in 18 different states, but never in Mississippi. 19 COMMISSIONER DANIELS: I can attest. They are there. I have seen them there. 20 21 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I don't doubt it. they caught three in one day, yes, they've go to be there. 22 Definitely. All right. 23 COMMISSIONER HAVARD: So at this time, I would 24 25 like to make a motion to proceed with the intent of

1	regulatory changes to Title 22, Part 7, Chapter 8,
2	regarding the size limit, bag limit and catch limits of
3	the requirements for Atlantic Tarpon.
4	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. We have a
5	motion.
6	Do we have a second for that motion?
7	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: I'll second that motion.
8	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion and a
9	second.
10	Any further discussion?
11	(No response.)
12	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All those in favor aye.
13	(All in favor.)
14	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed like sign.
15	(None opposed.)
16	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Motion carries.
17	Thank you, Trevor.
18	TREVOR MONCRIEF: Thank you.
19	JOE JEWELL: Next up for the Commission's
20	consideration is Recommendations for the Viability of a
21	Species-Specific State Commercial Shark Fishery. Matt
22	Hill.
23	MATT HILL: Good morning.
24	I will try to be as brief as possible. I think
25	there are a few other questions that need to be answered

before we go down this road.

Commissioner Bosarge brought up one of them a little earlier that I would like to touch on, once we get to the end.

This is a presentation based on the recommendations for the viability of a species-specific state commercial shark fishery.

A motion was made in the January CMR meeting for staff to look at the viability of establishing a species-specific commercial state shark fishery.

This presentation will describe the Mississippi shark fishery findings from current monitoring and potential options for a state commercial shark fishery for the CMR to consider.

A little bit about Mississippi's shark fishery. Historically, it has been a multi-species fishery divided into two management groups. These two groups included the small coastals which consisted of Atlantic Sharpnose, Finetooth, Bonnethead and Blacknose, and large coastals including Blacktip, Spinner, Bull, Lemon, Nurse, Silky and Tiger.

when we begin to look at the commercial harvest of these species, there has been no state season on record of a commercial state season on record since 2010 for various reasons.

A little bit about the makeup of the sharks 2 found within the Mississippi Sound.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

First, we will look at the juveniles found in the Mississippi Sound in the left-hand column which includes Atlantic Sharpnose, Blacktip, Spinner, Bull, Bonnethead, Finetooth, Blacknose, Scalloped Hammerhead and occasionally we have some other species that roam through the area, but here are the main ones.

Some of the fishery independent species composition from 2018. The top graph on the right, this is from the Gulfspan Species Richness. This is more of a juvenile-based survey, but you can see the lion's share that come from this sampling are Atlantic Sharpnose, followed by Blacktip and Finetooth.

There is also a Mississippi Shark Monitoring Species Richness Program. This includes both juveniles and adults. This is targeted for both age classes and, again, we see almost the same breakdown, Atlantic Sharpnose, Blacktip, Finetooth, and, then, it begins to drop off pretty sharply after that, when we are talking about numbers.

Little bit about the mean catch per unit effort On the top left we see the Shark Sportfish Study and the CPUE of the dominant species of Atlantic Sharpnose, Blacktip and Finetooth.

Overall there is a decline. However, we do not consider it a significant decline. You have peaks and valleys in all fisheries.

When you look at this overall, it is a pretty stable fishery, with a slight decline towards the latter years.

On the Gulfspan Survey which I also said is more of a juvenile-based survey, it is a little bit more erratic, but, if you put everything together, it is a fairly stable fishery, with an accidents-like uptick in the juveniles when we get to the later years.

There is also a Sportfish Handline Study and, again, the dominant species is Atlantic Sharpnose, Blacktip and Finetooth, and besides the uptick from 2011 to 2013, Atlantic Sharpnose, it is a very stable fishery, a very stable catch per unit effort that we have seen over the years.

A little bit about the Federal commercial shark regulations.

You do have a Directed Shark Permit that is issued from the HMS. This allows fishermen to target non-smoothhound sharks.

It is a limited access fishery. Persons wishing to enter this fishery may only obtain these permits by transferring the permit from a permit holder who is

leaving the fishery.

There is also what we call an Incidental Shark Fishery. It's a permit-based harvest number. It is not even across the board. The permit dictates how many -- when you are talking incidental, what incidental is for each vessel.

This does allow fishermen who fish for other species to retain a certain number of non-smoothhound sharks. Again, it is a limited-access fishery, with persons wishing to enter this fishery may only obtain these permits by transferring the permit from a permit holder who is leaving the fishery.

when we talk about trip limits -- and, again, the incidental shark permit is variable. However, the directed shark permit from HMS is forty-five large coastal sharks, other than Sandbar, per vessel per trip.

A few commercial shark management options to consider:

Status Quo. Allow harvest in Federal waters for Federal permit holders only.

State season. Allow harvest in State and Federal waters from Federal permit holders.

State season with shark endorsement which would be attached to the State harvester's license. This is similar to Louisiana, and it would introduce a State shark endorsement which allows individuals to harvest sharks within State waters during the Federal/State season for sharks.

Some additional considerations the CMR may want to consider, when making some of these decisions:

Possibly have the same length as the Federal season, same bag limit of forty-five large coastals per vessel per day.

The one that seems most appeasing to the staff would be size and bag limits same as the recreational fishery.

We would include small coastal sharks as well.

You can only choose to do certain species. So
we would have limited access to the species you would be
able to harvest.

Any other options considered by the Commission.

when I was talking about putting the cart before the horse, this all began with the compliance for the recreational shark fishery, and I do want to point out and if the Commission so desires, I think this is an appropriate time. A resolution was introduced and passed by our Federal For-Hire Task Force pertaining to both the recreational and the commercial shark fishery, and the Chairman of that task force is here and, if the Commission so desires, he would like to present that resolution to

1 you before we begin the questions and talks about some of 2 our options. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: And that would be Mr. 3 Clarence Seymour? 4 5 MATT HILL: Mr. Clarence Seymour. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes, sir. He has asked 6 for public comment so, if you would like to take that now, 7 that's fine with me. 8 9 MATT HILL: I think it would be appropriate to 10 do it now. CLARENCE SEYMOUR: Thanks for having us today. 11 Clarence Seymour, Charter Boat SYL here in 12 13 Biloxi, Chairman of the Federal For-Hire Task Force. will go ahead and get started with this. 14 "At the duly convened meeting of the 15 Mississippi Charter For-Hire Task Force 16 on February 13th, 2019, the task force 17 18 voted unanimously to have Charter For-19 Hire Chairman, Clarence Seymour, to make a public comment to the Mississippi 20 Commission on Marine Resources. 21 "As chairman of the Charter For-Hire Task 22 Task Force, I, Clarence Seymour, on behalf 23 of the task force, the for-hire industry 24 requests the Commission to keep recreational 25

1 State shark regulations status quo." 2 Any questions on that? COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes. 3 I'm just wondering if you can give us some 4 5 background and reasoning? CLARENCE SEYMOUR: My twenty-one years of 6 charter fishing has basically been Federal offshore 7 pelagic reef and State Red Fish, sharks, King Mackerel, 8 9 Cobia. 10 We basically discussed this because we were kind 11 of trying to compare Mississippi with Louisiana and Alabama. We are kind of lacking some oil rigs nowadays. 12 13 We are lacking a lot of structure and some days that shark could be part of the category that we possibly would need 14 for clients. They actually enjoy the small coastal sharks 15 more than anything. 16 Back in the day when I first started, Mr. Joe 17 18 Fountain with the Baja 31, a well-known charter boat captain, his clients loved small coastal Atlantic 19 20 Sharpnose. 21 There are a lot of people that still come today and ask us, "Can we still do the shark fishing?" 22 "Yes, sir, we will try it, if you want. We will 23 go do whatever." 24 All in a nutshell basically -- status quo was 25

basically -- I was self-regulating myself actually for at least eighteen, or nineteen, years, of a three shark small coastal per person. I knew -- I mean, four is too many. Basically the whole fleet was using three as limits for years. I wouldn't have a clue the last time somebody kept four per person.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: And the reason for

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: And the reason for keeping them?

CLARENCE SEYMOUR: They eat them.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: They eat them?

CLARENCE SEYMOUR: They love them. Especially folks in North Mississippi, they come down to shark fish, and the Blacktip is a pretty good fare. We send it to the local restaurants for Mickey to cook and The Fillin Station. So it is quite a resource.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: My concern has always been just how many fish we are taking out because this is the nursery area for those sharks.

CLARENCE SEYMOUR: Oh, most definitely. That's why I think for the guys to self-impose a three bag limit per person was pretty ingenious in the day because I remember in our charter boat meetings with Tom Becker and Jim Twiggs when they were in charge and involved back in the day, it was pretty important.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: And do you see -- you

know, as things go and this becomes more of a tourist 1 2 destination and the charter for-hire fleet growing, I see this maybe becoming a problem down the road where we are 3 taking too many small sharks. 4 5 CLARENCE SEYMOUR: Right. You are talking about at the limit of twenty-6 four, or twenty-seven, inches on small coastal and large, 7 the thirty-seven fork? 8 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: There is a big difference 9 10 between what we are allowing here in the state and what 11 the -- I mean, twenty-seven up to fifty-four. CLARENCE SEYMOUR: That's fifty-four, yes. 12 13 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: That's a big gap. 14 CLARENCE SEYMOUR: I personally don't like to keep a fifty-four. It don't hardly fit in my ice chest. 15 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Well, I mean, that's a 16 pretty good fight when you get to a fifty-four inch shark. 17 18 CLARENCE SEYMOUR: I recommend -- as an industry 19 leader, I would say a stock assessment. Let's give this a little breathing time. 20 21 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I see Mr. Jim Franks. He is still back in the back of the room there and he has 22 done a lot of research on sharks. I would like to have 23 somebody's opinion on it, other than you and I. 24 25 CLARENCE SEYMOUR: Yes, sir. Totally.

1 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Somebody with hopefully a 2 lot more knowledge than what we have. Mr. Franks, if you wouldn't mind? 3 CLARENCE SEYMOUR: Thank you. 4 5 JIM FRANKS: Well, as I told you the last time when I was here --6 7 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: (Interposing) Mr. Franks, you will have to step to the mike. I'm sorry. 8 9 Our young lady here can't keep the minutes. 10 JIM FRANKS: Commissioners, Executive Director 11 Spraggins, you asked me a similar question when I was here last month. 12 13 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes, sir. 14 JIM FRANKS: And my comment was that I think --15 I sort of liked what Seymour has said, the Captain who just spoke. Perhaps there is definitely some need for an 16 assessment to really determine the viability of a state 17 18 specific commercial shark fishery and taking the recreational fishery as well. 19 We have a lot of work that has been done at the 20 laboratory on assessing and understanding the shark 21 populations in our coastal waters. Most of those, of 22 course, are juveniles, but it seems to me the self-imposed 23 bag limit that has been expressed by Captain Seymour seems 24

to be reasonable at this time, but I do think a broader

25

assessment of our local shark population, the multiple species that do occur in the Mississippi Sound, and those species that might be available for harvest. I think that probably is a good idea to go forth and look at those species on an individual basis and try to develop some sort of an assessment of those stocks.

The laboratory would be more than welcome to help in that assessment.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I'm trying to follow what you are saying.

You are saying to continue on with the twentyseven inch and even to consider a State season?

JIM FRANKS: I'm saying that perhaps the DMR staff and the fishery staff and our research personnel could get together and discuss this further and come up with some ideas, as far as the needs for an assessment, and present that information to the Commission.

If you feel there isn't enough information at this point to justify consideration of a fishery managed by the State, then, I think perhaps there is a need to evaluate what we have to a further extent to come up with some good recommendations for you to consider.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I agree. If this is and it is the nursery ground for these fish, then, by all means we need to be sure we are protecting those fish in

1 these nursery grounds. 2 JIM FRANKS: That's correct, and I do think this self-imposed limit that Captain Seymour spoke about, I 3 think that was a wise conservation effort. It allows 4 5 their business to proceed. At the same time, it is a protective measure for the sharks, but I think probably a 6 more detailed assessment of these species would be in 7 order. 8 9 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. Thank you, 10 Mr. Franks. JIM FRANKS: You're welcome. 11 12 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I think Marcus Drymon is 13 also here in the audience. I believe he is the expert on sharks. 14 15 JIM FRANKS: Sure. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Thank you, Mr. Franks. 16 COMMISSIONER HAVARD: I think Dr. Marcus Drymon 17 18 just came back from meeting with the HMS in DC last week 19 and he can probably give us a good perspective on kind of what is going on with our fishery and the opportunity that 20 21 we have because we don't have the regulations that match the Federal, or whatever case we might go. 22 Dr. Marcus, would you like to speak on this just 23 a moment? 24

25

From what I understand, the HMS is willing to

come down here at any time to meet with us and tell us all about sharks in our area and their perspective.

MARCUS DRYMON: Good morning, y'all. Thanks for giving me a chance to speak. My name is Marcus.

Like Commissioner Havard said, I'm one of the representatives on the HMS Advisory Panel for sharks that are a highly migratory species, and I have spoke with them preemptively just to kind of get their thoughts on what they think about an action like this, just kind of picking their brains.

Of course, my thought on it is that the sharks in this area, particularly the small coastal sharks, but also species like Blacktip, have recovered well in accordance with management that has been enacted over the past couple of decades.

I certainly feel like there is room for harvest.

I think a sustainable harvest of some of these species is absolutely possible.

We have had recent stock assessments both for Gulf of Mexico Blacktip and Atlantic Sharpnose as recently as 2013 and I contributed data from this area, the northern Gulf of Mexico, to those assessments.

It is important to remember that while areas of Mississippi Sound certainly function as nursery grounds for these species, they are highly migratory obviously, so

it is important to consider the entirety of their range, the entire northern Gulf of Mexico.

Interestingly, we note that they make very distinct inshore-offshore migrations.

Particularly these Atlantic Sharpnose sharks, they are inshore certain times of the year, but, then, they very clearly move offshore, and this has implications for how those species can be managed.

Just like the folks at GCRL, we have had a longterm fishery independent monitoring program for the past fifteen years and we have been able to document many of these movements inshore and offshore.

Again, all this data goes to HMS to contribute to the assessments for these species.

I think it is notable that HMS can come down at any time just to answer questions from you guys because it is kind of a complicated issue with regards to the Federal versus the State fishery.

I will point out -- this is just kind of an interesting tidbit -- that fifty-four inch fork length restriction was based on Sandbar sharks, and that is a species that we don't tend to see, certainly not the adults, in the Mississippi Sound, but we do see a lot of Blacktips, and there won't be a whole lot of Blacktips that reach fifty-four inch fork length size.

1 The dominant shark that we heard spoken about 2 earlier, the Sharpnose shark, that is an extremely healthy stock. It's a small coastal shark that grows relatively 3 rapidly, compared to other sharks, and, thus, can 4 5 withstand a higher level of harvest. Certainly limited take of species like Sharpnose 6 sharks for consumption because they certainly are edible 7 seems very reasonable. 8 9 Of course, I'm happy to provide any data that we 10

Of course, I'm happy to provide any data that we have, any long-term trends and catch per unit effort for any of these species, distributions by sex, seasonal movements, anything like that.

I'm just here to offer anything that you guys would like to see.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Good. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Thank you.

MARCUS DRYMON: Thank you.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MATT HILL: I would just to say that these are some of the same things that our staff has looked at. Fifty-four inches, that is a fairly large animal, especially when you are talking about a Blacktip shark and it was based on a species that we don't commonly see.

what we have done is try to analyze as much data as we could and just see if there is some viable harvest, possibly not under the regulations that we currently have, but there may need to be some modifications to the regulations.

As they say, they are self-imposed. Well, maybe those need to be the actual regulations, but we do believe, as I believe Jim and Marcus both said, there is an opportunity to continue to harvest sharks at some level in the Mississippi Sound and we just need to figure out what that level is.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: And I would like to see if there is a possibility for a commercial fishery, but a very limited fishery, yes.

MATT HILL: And I think what we have heard from the commercial industry, it's not obviously forty-five large coastal sharks per vessel. I don't think that is on anybody's mind.

what is on their mind and what we have thrown out there is some type of incidental catch and something to be in line with whatever we come up with for the recreational size and bag limit. So, if those become reduced in the future, they will basically have an incidental permit based on whatever the recreational size and bag limits are which will be minimal.

Obviously, they are minimal now, in my opinion, in some degree, but, if we just hash that out first, that compliance issue first and see what we are going to do

with that, I think that would give us a starting point for some type of limited commercial fishery.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Which compliance issue are you talking about?

MATT HILL: We are talking about the Federal -- I mean, obviously we are not in Federal compliance with the shark fishery recreationally. We are commercially, but we are not recreationally.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Well, it appears that most of the charter boat fleet would like to leave it where it's at.

MATT HILL: That is correct -- not necessarily leave it where it's at. They would like to -- from the task force meeting, they just would like the opportunity to continue to harvest them, maybe not at the levels that our regulations allow them to harvest, but, if we need to drop the bag limit, if we need to adjust the size limit, based on things from our meetings with GCRL and possibly Marcus, and come up with a compromise.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: That's what I would like to see you do, come back with a compromise to this Commission that -- okay. I see Paul. I'm going to catch you Paul. Give me just a second -- anyhow, maybe some recommendations for size and bag limits, and, then, very, very limited commercial fishery.

1

MATT HILL: Yes, sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right.

Paul.

PAUL MICKLE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I just wanted to provide some information about the tools that you have available to you as a Commission to kind of simplify.

This is a complicated issue. These are multiple species and multiple life histories, those big migratory patterns. There are multiple user groups that we have discussed here today.

The for-hire sector, as the Chairman mentioned, he is absolutely right. It is finally growing. charter fleet is growing again. It has been knocked back since Katrina and it has been hard to really get that sector back, that inshore for-hire fleet back and it is finally coming back with the good economy that we are enduring right now.

I just have to mention. I'm sitting here thinking that you have the stock assessment panel which is built with GCRL scientists, as well as DMR scientists. They are the purveyors of the tools that you have to look at all the data because we talked about two separate places where data exists, not to mention multiple data sets within each place of the Mississippi State Extension Center, as well as GCRL, to kind of control that and to

bottle it up to present to you in a very organized manner.

I would recommend that the Stock Assessment

Panel be tasked with looking at species. Exactly what you

just said, Chairman, to look at these different species,

to come back and potentially look at the Stock Assessment

priorities list that you identified two years ago of

species that you would like to see in the stock

assessments that come out.

There are limited funds for these. That is why we spend time on prioritizing, or asking you to prioritize the species list, but, just to bottle it up very quickly, as kind of my spin on it, these are juvenile sharks that we are looking at, multiple species. They migrate offshore in the wintertime and coming back in is more of a component, but stock assessments have multiple tools to look at this, but to boil it down to a conservation-based strategy using the best available science is looking at escapement rates, looking at what type of harvest you can see by each sector to look at what that identified sustainable harvest level is, and, then, the user groups come to the table and the equity goes through as far as harvest.

I like what the Federal Government does on a Gulfwide basis, but I think Mississippi, in a way, can lose out because our Mississippi Sound is so productive.

I truly believe that a state fishery can exist at a sustainable level.

When you are looking at a stock Gulfwide was a stock of the state of the sta

When you are looking at a stock Gulfwide with lower areas of production, I think we are missing out on some things. I feel like I have to say that.

A nice scientific-based approach using stock assessment metrics is a very good tool to use here, and I recommend that the Stock Assessment Panel be that tool.

Thank you.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. Matt.

MATT HILL: I completely agree with that.

I have had that conversation with Reid and I believe we can come together and use our historical knowledge of the fishery, how it is growing, what we see on both the recreational and commercial side, blend that with the scientific metrics that can possibly come out of working with Marcus and Reid and go through the Stock Assessment Panel and come up with some regulations that will allow the fishery to continue to exist at a sustainable level.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: And what would be your suggestion for a first step?

MATT HILL: I believe we need to gather all this data up and we should convene a Stock Assessment Panel meeting within the next month and begin hashing this out

and possibly come back within possibly three months with some preliminary recommendations on what we see.

JOE JEWELL: So one of the recommendations that I would make, Commissioner Bosarge, is that we work with Dr. Drymon and Dr. Franks and get some of their input and some of their data that they mentioned here today, and, then, work with that and make some suggestive recommendations for regulatory input for the Commission to consider, and that will give us time to reevaluate the Stock Assessment Panel, maybe convene the panel and see what we have that we can consider because the Commission, as Dr. Mickle pointed out, would have to reevaluate that species list that they prioritized a few years ago.

If the Commission wants to consider a species of shark that they want to consider targeting for commercial fishery, we might be able to work with the Stock Assessment Panel and identify several that we have enough data to complete a stock assessment on.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: And I'm with you as far as working with the Stock Assessment Panel, but, if I remember correctly, that is a long drawn-out procedure.

Correct?

JOE JEWELL: It is.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: That's my point. When you say long drawn-out, you are talking a year, or so.

I mean, if -- I know that Mississippi State, as well as USM, have done most of this work.

JOE JEWELL: Well, sort of the way that I itemized that the way that I did is if they have enough data that we feel comfortable making some very species-specific seasonal recommendations for the Commission to consider, y'all could probably implement some rules pretty quick because it is a nursery area and we want to try and protect that juvenile class of fish.

So that's why I say just give us a little bit more time. I would prefer y'all not take any action.

Just give us general direction to come back and present more information that y'all can make an assessment on.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: That's what I say. We will leave it at status quo for now and have you guys meet, get together and see what kind of information they have, see what we can pull together, Dr. Mickle, without - I mean, they should have pretty much a stock assessment done.

JOE JEWELL: They've got a lot of data. They don't have a stock assessment. We would have to get together and convene that panel.

First step first, we will meet with Dr. Drymon and Dr. Franks and see what type of information that we could have to put it in our management approach first.

The next couple of months, we will come back before the Commission, and, then, in the interim, that will give us the opportunity to convene the panel, see what type of data and see if we can do a stock assessment on certain species.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. That will work.

MATT HILL: And I would like to encourage the Commission, even if we cannot do a full stock assessment, peer reviewed stock assessment, we could possibly -- I mean, we have made decisions before based on conservation measures, based on the best data that we have available at that time, and we can present that as that data, and that will be the best that we can do.

If the data is not there, it's not there. We have data for stock assessments all the time and, to me, you might as well not do them.

If it's going to be another data-poor stock assessment, then, we should make some educational professional decisions based on the data that we do have in front of us, or based on conservation.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes. I mean, you know, there is a lot of information. Especially Dr. Glenn Parsons up at Ole Miss, he has done a lot of work. There is a lot of work done on sharks here in Mississippi.

1	MATT HILL: Yes, sir.
2	Thank you.
3	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Thank you.
4	JOE SPRAGGINS: Sir, do we need a motion on this
5	to have the staff to come back and do this?
6	JOE JEWELL: Commissioner Bosarge
7	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: (Interposing) If you
8	want it in the form of a motion, Joe, that's
9	JOE JEWELL: (Interposing) That is
10	traditionally the way we do that, at the direction of the
11	Commission.
12	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I'll make a motion that
13	the staff
14	JOE JEWELL: Within ninety days.
15	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: collect all the data
16	from the various agencies to look at the viability of a
17	commercial shark fishery for Mississippi.
18	All right. I have a motion.
19	Do we have a second for that motion?
20	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: I'll second your motion.
21	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion and a
22	second.
23	Any further discussion?
24	(No response.)
25	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All those in favor aye.

1	(All in favor.)
2	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed like sign.
3	(None opposed.)
4	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Motion carries.
5	JOE JEWELL: Next up for consideration by the
6	Commission is the State Saltwater Fishing Records. It
7	will be presented by Mr. Jonathan Barr.
8	JONATHAN BARR: Up for consideration, we have a
9	State Finfish record. This is a new entry. So this will
10	be up for both the conventional tackle and also the Youth
11	Fishing Records.
12	` It is a Sand Perch, Diplectrum formosum.
13	Contrary to its name, if is not a perch. It is one of the
14	smaller members of the Seabass family.
15	Like I said, it is a new record, two point four
16	ounces. The angler is Mr. Julien Barr.
17	That is the Sand Perch. These things are very
18	vibrant and colorful when you first pull them out of the
19	water. Beautiful fish (indicating photograph).
20	There is Mr. Julien and his trophy (indicating
21	photograph).
22	As required, a motion to adopt this new State
23	record.
24	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: I love to see those kids
25	catch fish and get involved, and somebody had to take him

1	fishing. So that is even better.
2	At this time, I would like to make a motion to
3	adopt the new State Record.
4	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion on the
5	floor.
6	Do we have a second for that motion?
7	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: I'll second that motion.
8	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes, that's a good
9	looking young man there. He looks a lot like you.
10	JONATHAN BARR: He's good looking.
11	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion and a
12	second.
13	All those in favor aye.
14	(All in favor.)
15	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed like sign.
16	(None opposed.)
17	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Motion carries.
18	Congratulations.
19	JONATHAN BARR: Thank you.
20	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Now, K-7, HACCP and
21	Sanitation.
22	JOE JEWELL: Commissioner Gollott, I'm all ears.
23	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Okay.
24	JOE JEWELL: I know a little bit about HACCP and
25	Sanitation.

1 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: After reviewing Title 22, 2 Parts 17 and 21, I would like to make a motion for the staff to review all regulations that contain language 3 describing HACCP and Sanitation responsibilities and come 4 5 back to the Commission in April with a NOI that makes a clear distinction between the HACCP and Sanitation roles 6 of the Seafood Technology Bureau, of the role of law 7 enforcement and seafood processing plants. 8 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. 9 10 JOE JEWELL: Can I ask for a little more 11 clarification because those two regulations that you

Is that correct?

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Correct.

mentioned are our plant inspections, as I understand.

JOE JEWELL: Okay. So those roles are pretty well defined in there. Our plant inspectors are trained and certified by the FDA. There are certain processes, roles, procedures that they go through when they do this inspection.

Is there any specific thing that you are requesting that they do, or provide?

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Well, the way I understand it, I have heard from some processors that enforcement is wanting to come in and inspect plants now, and that is just totally against everything that has been

1	carried out for the last seventy years that I'm aware of,
2	and I would just like for you to come back with some clear
3	guidelines.
4	Does that make sense?
5	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I guess as to who can do
6	what?
7	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Who is doing what.
8	JOE JEWELL: So you want guidelines to you
9	want us to describe the current procedures, or do you want
10	us to establish new procedures between the roles of
11	Seafood Technology and Marine Patrol?
12	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Well, what it should be
13	currently, yes, and what it has been for the last decades.
14	Does that make sense, Joe?
15	JOE JEWELL: A little bit.
16	So you want to make a clear distinction between
17	the roles and responsibility of Seafood Technology and the
18	roles and responsibility of Marine Patrol?
19	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Exactly.
20	KEITH DAVIS: Excuse me, Mr. Chairman. I don't
21	know that that is Fisheries' role. I think our attorneys
22	need to be involved in that.
23	We have worked with both of our attorneys to get
24	Attorney General's opinion from your last meeting.
25	JOE SPRAGGINS: Sir, if I could, can we make

1	that an Executive Director deal, that we bring it back to
2	you from the Executive Director?
3	That includes all agencies, all departments.
4	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Commissioner Gollott.
5	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Yes, I guess that would
6	be all right. Yes, work with it.
7	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: So the motion would be
8	the same, other than to ask the Executive Director to
9	clarify it?
10	Am I following you?
11	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Yes. The Executive
12	Director can get with Fisheries and see how it has been
13	done in the past and everything.
14	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right.
15	We will give her just a minute, and she is going
16	to put the motion up on the screen for us.
17	JOE JEWELL: Should it be staff, or the
18	Executive Director?
19	JOE SPRAGGINS: If you are going to put staff in
20	there, should you put it Executive Director come back with
21	that for you because if it is going to be staff, we will
22	work with the staff. I can promise you that.
23	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Just add Executive
24	Director.
25	JOE JEWELL: So, in reading the motion, I think

1	I agree with Chief Davis. I think this is probably
2	something legal needs to review.
3	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Well, the industry wants
4	it carried out the way it has been done for years and not
5	add new language into the ordinances, or rules. So keep
6	that in mind, please.
7	JOE JEWELL: Yes, sir.
8	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right.
9	Is that clear enough for you, Commissioner
10	Gollott?
11	The motion is okay?
12	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Yes.
13	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. So we have a
14	motion.
15	Do we have a second for the motion?
16	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: I'll second his motion.
17	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. We have a
18	motion and a second.
19	Any further discussion?
20	(No response.)
21	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All those in favor aye.
22	(All in favor.)
23	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed like sign.
24	(None opposed.)
25	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Motion carries.

1	JOE JEWELL: If there are no other questions,
2	that concludes Marine Fisheries.
3	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Thank you, Mr. Joe.
4	All right. Next up is other business.
5	Does anybody have any other business to bring
6	before the Commission?
7	(No response.)
8	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Seeing none, do we have
9	any public comments, Mr. Joe?
10	JOE SPRAGGINS: No, sir, we have no further ones
11	that I see.
12	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Well, this has been a
13	quick meeting. Good deal.
14	All right. Hearing none, do we have a motion to
15	adjourn?
16	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I make a motion we
17	adjourn, Mr. Chairman.
18	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right.
19	Do we have a second for that motion?
20	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: I'll second the motion.
21	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All those in favor aye.
22	(All in favor.)
23	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Motion carries. Thank
24	you.
25	(Whereupon, at 10:52 o'clock, a.m., the March

19, 2019, meeting of the Commission on Marine Resources was concluded.) $\underline{\mathsf{C}}\ \underline{\mathsf{E}}\ \underline{\mathsf{R}}\ \underline{\mathsf{T}}\ \underline{\mathsf{I}}\ \underline{\mathsf{F}}\ \underline{\mathsf{I}}\ \underline{\mathsf{C}}\ \underline{\mathsf{A}}\ \underline{\mathsf{T}}\ \underline{\mathsf{E}}$ I, Lucille Morgan, Certified Shorthand Reporter, do hereby certify that the above and foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the March 19, 2019, meeting of the Commission on Marine Resources, as taken by me at the time and place heretofore stated in the aforementioned matter in shorthand, with electronic verification, and later reduced to typewritten form to the best of my skill and ability; and, further, that I am not a relative, employee, or agent, of any of the parties thereto, nor financially interested in the cause. COURT REPORTER