## COMMISSION ON MARINE RESOURCES

## COMMISSION MEETING

Tuesday, June 19, 2018 9:00 a.m. Bolton Building Auditorium 1141 Bayview Avenue Biloxi, Mississippi 39530

\_\_\_\_\_

## Commission Members:

Steve Bosarge, Chairman
Ron Harmon, Vice Chairman
Mark Havard
Jolynne Trapani

## Also Present:

Joe Spraggins, Executive Director DMR Sandy Chestnut, Esq., Assistant Attorney General

1	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I would like to welcome
2	everybody to the June meeting of the commission on Marine
3	Resources. Looks like we've got a pretty lively crowd.
4	We will start it all off by saying the Pledge of
5	Allegiance. I would like Commissioner Trapani to lead us.
6	(Pledge of Allegiance was recited.)
7	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have incorporated a
8	moment of silence into our agenda, and we would like to
9	take a minute.
10	One of our Commissioners is actually having some
11	surgery today, so some of us want to say a prayer for him.
12	We would like to observe just a short minute of
13	silence.
14	(Moment of silence observed.)
15	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We will get started.
16	Next up on the agenda is approval of the
17	minutes. We have actually two sets of minutes.
18	Do we have any changes, or notes, to the
19	approval of the minutes on May 15?
20	(No response.)
21	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Hearing none, do we have
22	a motion to approve the minutes as written of the May 15 <sup>th</sup>
23	meeting?
24	COMMISSIONER HARMON: Mr. Chairman, I make a
25	motion that we approve the minutes for May 15 <sup>th</sup> .

1	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion.
2	Do we have a second for that motion?
3	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: I'll second that motion,
4	Mr. Chairman.
5	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion and a
6	second.
7	All those in favor say aye.
8	(All in favor.)
9	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed?
10	(None opposed.)
11	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Motion carries.
12	Next up will be approval of the Special Session
13	minutes for May 29 <sup>th</sup> .
14	Do we have any changes to those minutes?
15	(No response.)
16	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Hearing none, do we have
17	a motion to approve those minutes as written?
18	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: I'll make a motion that we
19	approve the minutes as written.
20	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion.
21	Do we have a second to that motion?
22	COMMISSIONER HARMON: I'll second it, Mr.
23	Chairman.
24	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion and a
25	second.

1	All those in favor say aye.
2	(All in favor.)
3	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed?
4	(None opposed.)
5	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Motion carries.
6	Next will be approval of the agenda.
7	Do we have any changes?
8	JOE SPRAGGINS: Yes, sir, Mr. Chairman.
9	If I could, I would like to make a motion that
10	we move K1, which is the James W. Sanders, III,
11	Resolution, to D1 and, also, that we would add, under F2,
12	a Spotted Seatrout report/unreported landings
13	investigation from February 1, 2018, to April 27, 2018.
14	Both of these are action items.
15	Then, also, if we could, I would like to move
16	K4, the Oyster Depuration Update, to the July meeting.
17	All of these will be action items.
18	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: So we are going to move
19	K1 to D1?
20	JOE SPRAGGINS: Yes, sir, and add F2, which is a
21	Spotted Seatrout report, and, then, remove K4.
22	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Where are we going to put
23	F2?
24	JOE SPRAGGINS: Put it right under F1 under
25	Marine Patrol.

1	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: You are confusing me this
2	morning.
3	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Mr. Chairman, I would like
4	to add something to the agenda, as well.
5	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right.
6	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Let's make it F2.
7	JOE SPRAGGINS: We've got an F2.
8	Make it F3?
9	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Make it F3. Haul seine
10	exclusions all around Cat Island.
11	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Can we actually add an
12	item to the agenda, Ms. Chestnut?
13	SANDY CHESTNUT: It would have to be voted on.
14	With the haul seine, it should go under Marine
15	Fisheries because they will be writing regulations.
16	Everyone would have to vote on it, if you want
17	to add it, or not.
18	JOE SPRAGGINS: So it would need to really go
19	under K8?
20	SANDY CHESTNUT: K8.
21	JOE JEWELL: Can we put it under K5, a very
22	similar issue on the agenda, maybe K5(b)?
23	SANDY CHESTNUT: K5(a).
24	JOE SPRAGGINS: They have to vote on this?
25	SANDY CHESTNUT: Right.

1	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I'm just a little
2	confused. I know we do a lot of modifying of the agenda,
3	but we don't do a lot of adding to it.
4	Commissioner Havard has asked to add to the
5	agenda.
6	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: The haul seine issue.
7	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Does there need to be a
8	motion made for that, or just take a vote?
9	SANDY CHESTNUT: Why don't we vote on the
10	amendments, and, then, we can vote on the addition?
11	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: The amendments to the
12	agenda.
13	COMMISSIONER TRAPANI: I'll go ahead and make
14	that motion to add the amendments to the agenda.
15	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion.
16	Do we have a second to that motion?
17	COMMISSIONER HARMON: So seconded, Mr. Chairman.
18	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion and a
19	second.
20	All those in favor say aye.
21	(All in favor.)
22	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed?
23	(None opposed.)
24	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Motion carries.
25	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: I would like to make a

1 motion that we modify the agenda to add K5(a), haul seine 2 exclusionary zone around Cat Island. COMMISSIONER TRAPANI: I'll second that. 3 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion and a 4 5 second. All those in favor say aye. 6 (Commissioner Harmon, Commissioner Havard, 7 Commissioner Trapani in favor.) 8 9 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed? 10 (Commissioner Bosarge opposed.) 11 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I would just ask one Because we have a lot of time to set the agenda, 12 13 if there is an issue you want to put on the agenda, let's try to do it before we get to the meeting, if possible. 14 Motion carries. 15 Next on the agenda would be Executive Director's 16 17 report. 18 JOE SPRAGGINS: Yes, sir, Mr. Chairman. A couple of things this morning. 19 We have moved the Resolution for Jimmy Sanders. 20 21 and we would just like to first off recognize Bree. Thank you for being here and the family and friends of Jimmy 22 Sanders that have come here today. We thank you so much 23 for taking the time to do this. You know how much he 24

25

meant to us.

We have a resolution that we would like to read.

Joe Jewell, I would ask if you would come

forward to read that resolution.

JOE JEWELL: Thank you Director Spraggins,

Commissioners.

As you know, we lost one of our beloved staff members on May the  $5^{\text{th}}$  of this year. Jimmy was a well respected and loved professional. He represented our agency very, very well.

The Commission has asked for the Marine Fisheries office to come forward with a resolution.

Before I get started, I want to thank Jimmy's family and friends for being here today to recognize not only Jimmy's career, but his life and the affect that it has had on all of our goals here at the DMR.

This is Resolution Number 061918-1:

"Whereas, the Mississippi Commission on
Marine Resources, a duly constituted
government entity created to serve the
great State of Mississippi, and,

"Whereas, said services includes the
conservation and wise management of the
marine resources of Mississippi, and,

"Whereas, the Mississippi Commission on
Marine Resources acknowledges contributions

1 to the betterment of the fisheries of the 2 Gulf of Mexico through significant biological, industrial, legislative, 3 enforcement, or administrative activities, 4 5 and. "Whereas, James W. Sanders, III, served 6 the Mississippi Department of Marine 7 Resources from 2001 to 2008, and, 8 9 "Whereas, James W. Sanders, III, served 10 as a Marine Fisheries technician and Marine Fisheries scientist and as the 11 Director of the Artificial Reef Bureau, and, 12 "Whereas, James W. Sanders, III, dedicated 13 14 seventeen years to the Mississippi 15 Commission on Marine Resources and the Mississippi Department of Marine Resources, 16 "Whereas, James W. Sanders, III, was a 17 18 loving husband, father, son, brother, uncle and friend, 19 "Whereas, James W. Sanders, III, passed 20 21 away on May  $5^{th}$ , 2018, "Now, therefore, in a duly constituted 22 and assembled meeting, the Mississippi 23 Commission on Marine Resources recognizes 24 and appreciates the long and valuable 25

1	service of James W. Sanders, III, to the
2	Marine Commission, the Department of
3	Marine Resources and the marine resources
4	of the State of Mississippi,
5	"Done and resolved in this duly constituted
6	and assembled meeting on this, the $19^{ exttt{th}}$ day
7	of June 2018."
8	We would need a motion to adopt the resolution.
9	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes, sir, Mr. Joe, by all
10	means.
11	I know it is sad for the family, but, if Jimmy
12	was here, he would want everybody out there to be laughing
13	and smiling.
14	I knew him through the Artificial Reef Program
15	and being in Houston at a summit. I knew him from around
16	here, but I really got to know him down there. He was one
17	of a kind.
18	Do we have a motion to approve this resolution?
19	COMMISSIONER HARMON: Mr. Chairman, I would like
20	to make a motion that we accept this resolution.
21	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion.
22	Do we have a second?
23	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: I'll second that motion.
24	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion and a
25	second.

1	All those in favor say aye.
2	(All in favor.)
3	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed?
4	(None opposed.)
5	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Motion carries.
6	JOE SPRAGGINS: Bree, if you could, please come
7	forward.
8	We want to give you this Resolution signed by
9	the Commission today in remembrance of Jimmy.
10	BREE SANDERS: Thank you.
11	JOE SPRAGGINS: Once again, there is nothing we
12	can say that will make it any better, but Bree and the
13	family, we thank you and we want you to know that every
14	day he is in our hearts.
15	We had a maroon-and-white day, and we will
16	probably have a maroon-and-white Friday every now and
17	then, and it will just be a Jimmy day.
18	He is probably watching right now, and I imagine
19	that's the reason they are in Omaha.
20	He pulled them out last time, and I was waiting
21	up last night to see if he was going to do it again, but I
22	guess they are playing today, so I won't get to see that.
23	We appreciate you very much and thank you.
24	BREE SANDERS: Thank you.
25	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Thank you.

1 JOE SPRAGGINS: I have one other thing. We have 2 a Commissioner that this is his last meeting. He has served with us long and hard, from April 2015 to today. 3 He has worked hard with us and we have enjoyed 4 5 having him. He has had to put up with a lot of hard times. There have been a lot of changes in the Department 6 of Marine Resources over the last few years. 7 Ron, we want to tell you we appreciate you and 8 9 you have done a great job. You have done a fantastic job. 10 I can't tell you how much of a joy it has been for me working with you and I can tell you, from the 11 Department of Marine Resources, they all have enjoyed 12 13 working with you and we thank you a lot for your service. We do have a little something for you. If you 14 15 could walk up front with us, we would appreciate it. JOE JEWELL: Ron, I would like to recognize you 16 for your dedicated service to the State of Mississippi, 17 18 the Department of Marine Resources, the Commission on Marine Resources and most of all, your service to the 19 marine resources themselves. 20 On behalf of the Department of Marine Resources, 21 we would like to present you with this small token of 22 appreciation for all that you have done. 23 JOE SPRAGGINS: That's that special picture. 24

JOE JEWELL: We are not going to let you go

1	without a remembrance.
2	COMMISSIONER HARMON: Thank you, Joe.
3	JOE SPRAGGINS: That's hand done by him.
4	COMMISSIONER HARMON: Oh, yes.
5	Thank y'all. Thank you very, very much.
6	Joe, coming from you, you know that is special
7	for me.
8	JOE SPRAGGINS: Sir, that concludes my part.
9	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I've got to admire Ron.
10	We serve in this position at the people's will.
11	Look how he is dressed. He is still serving the
12	public today. I don't know if I could do that all day
13	long every day. My hat is off to you. I really have
14	enjoyed having you here, and I'm going to miss you.
15	COMMISSIONER HARMON: Thank you, Steve.
16	If y'all don't mind, I would like to thank all
17	of the staff here. Y'all have been very, very patient
18	with me. There have been a lot of things that I didn't
19	understand. You have helped me through some very
20	difficult decisions. I would like to think that it was in
21	the best interest of the resources.
22	This is a very hard job sometimes, deciding what
23	is right and what is wrong, and doing a fair balance
24	between the fishermen and the resource.
25	I have enjoyed working with each and every one

1	of my co-commissioners up here, and I just want to thank
2	y'all for letting me serve with y'all. It has been an
3	absolute pleasure and I will miss you. Thank you.
4	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: It's a two-sided story.
5	We have enjoyed you, also, and we are going to miss you.
6	Thank you for your service.
7	We will continue on. The Commissioners report.
8	Do any of the Commissioners have anything they
9	would like to add, or say, or comment on?
10	(No response.)
11	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Hearing none, we will
12	move on to Office of Marine Patrol, Chief Davis.
13	KEITH DAVIS: Good morning Commissioners.
14	I find myself being in Joe Jewell's shoes today,
15	with as much as I've got to report.
16	You have the normal monthly report in front of
17	you for the second time.
18	Are there any questions about that report?
19	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I've gone through it and
20	was looking and circled a few things.
21	I see "dead Manatee". It's under "Assists with
22	Public Safety Concerns". I just thought that was one of
23	those things that you hate. Manatees, there are not many
24	of those and you don't see them too often.
25	KEITH DAVIS: That is true, and, as the Marine

1	Patrol Chief, I did not even know about it, until you
2	mentioned it.
3	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: That's okay.
4	Everything else looks like it's pretty much on
5	schedule.
6	Looks like we had quite a few "No Saltwater
7	Fishing License". It's that time of year. Everybody is
8	getting out fishing and folks just forget about that one
9	little part.
10	KEITH DAVIS: Yes, sir.
11	Any other questions for the Chief?
12	COMMISSIONER TRAPANI: I would just like to ask
13	you, how do you feel the Tails n' Scales is going?
14	KEITH DAVIS: I'm fixing to get into that real
15	deep. I think it is going real well. I think, with the
16	report I'm about to give you, you will feel the same way.
17	Let's go to the Red Snapper.
18	Thank you Commissioner Trapani for that question
19	into what we're talking about.
20	Red Snapper, in my opinion from an enforcement
21	standpoint, is going really well.
22	Marine Patrol and Marine Fisheries talk almost
23	daily either verbally, or through some type of mechanical
24	way.

25

This is a report that I gave you in February

and, if you remember, we talked about the documented stops were much higher than that because we were doing it manually. We were calling it into dispatch, when we were in radio communication, or we were not calling the stops in.

I personally was south without radio communications on many occasions where we stopped a lot of Red Snapper fishermen that never were recorded in our database.

This was in February where we said that we were stopping four point two percent of the fishermen, and I always maintained that that number was much higher.

Our IT Department created a means for us to capture that information and what you see here is a snapshot from a few weeks ago, a couple of weeks ago.

As you can tell, as the officers are making stops on the waterway, they are able to electronically capture where they are, who they are stopping, how many fish that they have onboard and whether, or not, they are compliant with Tails n' Scales.

This database has been tremendous for us, in terms of us keeping up with the records of how many stops that have been made, and Fisheries has access to this database to do the recording that they need to do, as well. It is working very well.

To date, we have seventeen hundred and forty
actual completed fishing trips for Red Snapper. Of those
seventeen hundred and forty trips, Marine Patrol has
stopped, or encountered, two hundred and thirty-nine of
those individuals.

Of those stops, we counted one thousand and eight fish. That's not pounds. That is actual fish that were onboard the vessels.

Forty-two of those fish were seized, as a result of failure to register with Tails n' Scales.

If you remember, you requested Marine Patrol to actually seize the fish. We have been doing that, and that generated seventeen citations.

That breaks down to we are now at thirteen point seven percent of stops of Red Snapper fishing trips, seized four percent of the inspected fish that we encountered, and that generated less than one percent, or zero point nine percent, of fishermen received an actual citation.

What I was pleased to note is there were no over limit, or undersized, violations during any of those stops. We believe that the fishermen are being responsible in that aspect, but there are some that, for whatever reason, just do not file the Tails n' Scales, but it is not as bad as it could be.

Any questions on Red Snapper? 1 2 COMMISSIONER TRAPANI: On the stops that you have had that they were not registering, were they not 3 aware, or were they aware and not doing it? 4 5 KEITH DAVIS: In law enforcement, you get many 6 reasons. I personally have been on several of those stops 7 where individuals said that they couldn't get the app to 8 9 work, or they didn't remember, but this long in the game 10 everyone knows that you have to register. 11 We have to take that up in court obviously, but we will see how that turns out. 12 13 COMMISSIONER TRAPANI: I would like to add, just 14 from talking with different anglers through my business, I am really surprised. They are being honest. There are 15 anglers that actually do not know of the Tails n' Scales 16 17 app. 18 I'm talking with them and telling them if they are snapper fishing, please use this app, and they did not 19 know about it. 20 21 I think we still have a little bit of a problem with people not being aware of this. I think we need to 22 work on trying to get that information out as much as we 23 can to these anglers. 24

25

I know y'all work so hard and we appreciate it.

1 You think it's a commonsense thing that they 2 should know, but there really are people out there that still do not know. 3 I know we can't give them a pass on that, and I 4 5 appreciate y'all being so strict about it. That is the only thing that is going to get everyone's attention. 6 We do still have a percentage out there that are 7 not aware that this does exist. 8 9 KEITH DAVIS: Yes, ma'am. 10 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Just to echo her 11 sentiments, basically the same thing happened to me. is a friend of ours. As a matter of fact, he is one of 12 13 the guys in the office. He is borrowing his reels. They go through the whole scenario, and I asked 14 15 him, "Have you got everything you need? Did you register with Tails n' Scales?" 16 He says, "What?" 17 18 I said, "Tails n' Scales. You've got to get a trip number before you go fishing", and this is a guy that 19 it's not like he is in a corner somewhere and he doesn't 20 21 know what is going on. I guess my point is there are still those folks 22 out there that haven't heard of it. 23 We have done all the public outreach we can. 24 Ι

see the flyers at the launches, but there are still folks

1	that just don't know.
2	JOE SPRAGGINS: Mr. Chairman.
3	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes, sir.
4	JOE SPRAGGINS: We have done some other stuff.
5	Department of Wildlife has agreed to let us put
6	it on their website and on their pages so it goes across
7	the state and we get other people looking at it.
8	I know that we have run several ads.
9	We have billboards that are even showing to do
10	it.
11	We are trying every way in the world, and we
12	want to get everybody that we possibly can to get this
13	information.
14	Not speaking for an officer, but I can tell you
15	just from talking to them, when they look a person in the
16	face, they can pretty much tell if they are telling the
17	truth, or not, and they can tell you if that guy, or that
18	lady, is saying, yes, I really did know about it, and I'm
19	sure they are looking at that and looking at the way it
20	should be done, so I trust that.
21	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Just to kind of recap on
22	some of the info, I was trying to see how many stops we
23	did, intercepts.
24	KEITH DAVIS: Two hundred and thirty-nine.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Out of that, how many

1	didn't have their Tails n' Scales?
2	KEITH DAVIS: Seventeen. Less than one percent.
3	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I remember one of the
4	emails that was sent that indicated we did nineteen stops,
5	and I think you had three that didn't have Tails n'
6	Scales. That was when it first began.
7	KEITH DAVIS: Yes, sir.
8	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Any more questions?
9	(No response.)
10	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right, Chief. We
11	appreciate it.
12	KEITH DAVIS: Now we will go to Spotted
13	Seatrout.
14	If you remember, Commissioners, in the last
15	meeting, I informed you that Marine Patrol was working on
16	a case that involved underreporting of Spotted Seatrout,
17	and this is an update from that announcement.
18	In March of 2018, we received information from
19	the Alabama Marine Patrol that they were seeing an
20	increased amount of Spotted Seatrout sold to their seafood
21	dealers from Mississippi anglers.
22	We took that information and verified that some
23	of those fish were not reported to our trip ticket
24	program.
25	The investigation revealed thousands of pounds

of Spotted Seatrout sold in Alabama were never reported.

The sales receipts indicated Mississippi licensed commercial fishermen were selling their own catch directly to Alabama dealers.

We have interviewed the individuals we have identified that are responsible for the under reporting and non reporting, and that resulted in twenty-eight thousand six hundred and sixty-three pounds of Spotted Seatrout that has now been submitted to the trip ticket program.

As all of you know, as a result, it put us over the fifty thousand pound limit for the year.

Marine Patrol currently sits on receipts from seafood dealers that total twelve hundred and ninety pounds still not put into the trip ticket program. This is obviously an issue for the DMR.

We are not providing you today the names of the individuals involved in this, but, as you can see, if you look at subject A, Marine Patrol actually knows who this individual is, but we are going to get into why we are not reporting that in just a minute.

Subject A actually reported twelve thousand seven hundred and fifty-three pounds to the trip ticket program. He, or she, failed to report twelve thousand four hundred and eighty-five pounds, almost half of their

1 catch.

To date, we have receipts where this individual sold another three hundred and eighty-nine pounds that was never reported.

The other twelve individuals similar, all the way down to Subject K.

These are the seafood dealer shops that late reported, and we do have two dealers that have some issues with late reporting.

Depending on how you vote today, whether or not you consider our recommendation, we have thirteen individuals and/or seafood shops that are going to come before you.

We are going to have one individual for no Spotted Seatrout endorsement and two for no fresh product license, during this time period.

Here is where we are today. At the close of season, Marine Fisheries indicated twenty-three thousand six hundred and fifty-five pounds had been reported.

Marine Patrol was able to provide Marine
Fisheries with twenty-nine thousand one hundred and five
pounds that we had identified through our investigation
which brings us to a total caught of fifty-two thousand
seven hundred and sixty pounds.

(228) 396-8788

As you see, the twelve hundred and ninety pounds

that I mentioned just a little while ago is still not reported and you will get to hear that, which brings us to fifty-four thousand fifty pounds for the year.

Our recommendation is going to be for you to hear these cases, and I'm going to let our counselor give you the two options that we have going forward, whether we go criminally with these cases, or if we bring them through you for a civil disposition.

SANDY CHESTNUT: The penalties matrix, if we take those cases to court, is outlined in 49-15-63 and, for the first offense, the fine is a hundred to five hundred dollars, unless it is during a closed season, and, then, it's five hundred to a thousand.

The second offense, if it is committed within three years, the fine is five hundred to a thousand.

Third offense, or subsequent, is two thousand to four thousand.

If they have three offenses in three years, they have a one-year license revocation by the court.

In addition to those actions, the Commission can also give a five-day license suspension for the first offense, thirty-day license suspension for the second offense, and, then, they can have their license revoked, if they have five offenses in five years.

In 2005, the legislature gave the Commission the

authority to hear these violations administratively. It is the Administrative Penalties Act.

what happens there is it is a civil violation and the Complaint is issued to the alleged violator, and they have thirty days to respond to that Complaint.

The Executor Director, then, sends a recommendation to the Commission and to the alleged violator outlining what penalty he is recommending.

The fine, under the Administrative Penalties

Act, can be up to ten thousand dollars for each violation,

and they can have a license suspended, or a license

revocation, that is provided in 49-15-63.

Within fifteen days after the Executive Director sends his recommendation to the alleged violator, the violator has fifteen days to ask for an informal settlement conference.

After the informal settlement conference, based on what information he has received, the Executive Director may revise his recommendation to the Commission, but all of those recommendations will come before the Commission in an open public meeting, and the Commission will make the final decision as to what the penalty should be.

There is also a provision for a formal hearing.

If the informal settlement conference is not requested,

the alleged violator may request a formal hearing within twenty days after they receive the Executive Director's recommendation, and, then, the hearing officer would submit the recommendation to the Commission, but, again, the Commission would make the final decision at an open meeting.

If they are not pleased with the Commission's final decision, the alleged violator can appeal that judgment to the Chancery Court within thirty days.

There is a very limited scope of review in the Chancery Court, and the standard is whether it is not supported by any substantial evidence, it is arbitrary, or capricious, or it violates a statutory, or constitutional right.

The penalties are due to the Commission within forty-five days and, if it is not paid, we can enforce that in Chancery Court, and there is also a provision in 49-15-325 that the license can be revoked until they pay those fines.

KEITH DAVIS: With that understanding, Marine Patrol's recommendation is for the Commission to hear these cases.

Ms. Chestnut, am I correct that the Commission can revoke the Spotted Seatrout endorsement at any time, if they find it necessary?

SANDY CHESTNUT: At this time, they cannot. If they meet the criteria, they cannot revoke, but I think we are going to do some proposed language to change the regulations to provide for that.

KEITH DAVIS: Due to the egregiousness of this case, that is our recommendation.

If you choose for us to bring the cases before you, I would need this motion pursuant to Mississippi Code 49-15-401, that the Commission requests Marine Patrol to bring forward Spotted Seatrout violations occurring during the 2018 spring commercial fishing season to the Commission for processing under the Administrative Penalties Procedures.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: There are some bad players in the game, and I agree. I think we need to handle most of this in house and, if it has to go to a criminal court, after it passes through us and it gets appealed, or whatever, then, yes, let it go.

This department and this Commission knows how to take care of this problem, in my opinion, but I would like to put things just a little bit in perspective here.

If you look at your slides, we've got a fifty thousand pound quota. I think we are over the quota by four thousand and something pounds, and, then, what was failed to be reported was fourteen thousand pounds.

I just want everybody to think about this and get the whole picture, and the whole picture is fourteen thousand pounds of the commercial quota equates to about 3 four days of recreational fishing, if you average it out. 4 Just keep it in perspective. There are bad players not doing what they are supposed to do, but, if you look at 6 the whole big picture, what they went over, fourteen 7 thousand pounds, is about what the recreational sector 8 9 catches in four days. 10

I think Commissioner Havard wants to make a motion.

COMMISSIONER TRAPANI: I would like to just add something to that.

I agree that the percentages of the numbers, what you had said are there, but we don't need to forget that these are rules that were broken blatantly and the thing to me that is the shame is that the people that actually follow the rules are, now, punished and their season is over because of a few bad people, and that is a shame for the other hardworking fishermen that go by the rules, abide by them, and someone takes their season away.

It's not really a number thing. I understand that, but they did the wrong thing, and, now, other people have to be punished for it.

> COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I agree.

1

2

5

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: One quick question.
2	Does the Commission have the right to revoke the
3	endorsements, as well as the licenses?
4	SANDY CHESTNUT: The way the current regulations
5	are written, it does not provide for that.
6	There may be a way we can also revoke the
7	license under 49-15-63, but we would have to look at each
8	case individually.
9	JOE JEWELL: My understanding is the Commission
10	cannot revoke the endorsement, not because it's a license.
11	It is because there is no provision in the regulation that
12	will allow the Commission to do that.
13	If the Commission wanted to change that, they
14	would have to ask the staff to make a proposal, or
15	recommendation.
16	SANDY CHESTNUT: Correct.
17	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: I would like to propose
18	and ask the staff to make that change.
19	JOE JEWELL: I think the motion is for the staff
20	to come back next month with recommendations to provide
21	provisions for the revocation of the endorsement under
22	certain penalties.
23	Is that correct, Commissioner Havard?
24	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: That's correct.
25	COMMISSIONER TRAPANI: I'll second that.

1	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I'm just trying to wrap
2	my mind around this, Joe.
3	This wouldn't be something we would do in a
4	normal meeting. This would be something that we would
5	have the power to do at the end of the proceeding, in
6	other words, when this person has been charged, he has
7	been before the Executive Director.
8	Am I reading this correctly?
9	JOE JEWELL: I think so. I think I would prefer
10	our legal staff to join that conversation, but it could be
11	part of the penalty considerations for those that are
12	found in violation. The Commission could add to that
13	revocation of the endorsement that these recommended
14	changes go through.
15	SANDY CHESTNUT: Yes, that's correct.
16	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion and a
17	second.
18	Any further discussion?
19	(No response.)
20	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All those in favor say
21	aye.
22	(All in favor.)
23	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed?
24	(None opposed.)
25	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Motion carries.

1	KEITH DAVIS: If you want to hear the cases, we
2	need a motion.
3	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I think that's the best
4	way to proceed.
5	I will make the motion. I'm not going to read
6	it out, but, anyway, that we do what is on the slide.
7	COMMISSIONER HARMON: Mr. Chairman, I'll second
8	that motion.
9	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion and a
10	second.
11	Any further discussion?
12	(No response.)
13	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All those in favor say
14	aye.
15	(All in favor.)
16	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed?
17	(None opposed.)
18	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Motion carries.
19	KEITH DAVIS: I do want to add just one more
20	thing. I really want the Commission to consider the work
21	that the men of Marine Patrol did; not Chief Davis, but
22	the men of Marine Patrol did in uncovering this and
23	ensuring that, as Commissioner Trapani has eloquently
24	said, this is not about the numbers. It affects the
25	industry and the economics of Mississippi.

My hat is off to those men who went out and 1 2 diligently worked. We have met with counsel. Counsel likes the way 3 that the cases have been put together. 4 5 In my opinion, they did a tremendous job in bringing forth this information. 6 7 Thank you. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Thank vou. Chief. 8 We move on to Office of Coastal Resources 9 10 Management, Mr. Jan Boyd. 11 JAN BOYD: Good morning Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, General Spraggins, Ms. Chestnut. 12 13 We have two action items for your consideration this morning, and Willa Brantley will be presenting the 14 first one. 15 WILLA BRANTLEY: Good morning. 16 Before I get into my presentation, I did want to 17 18 give y'all an update on the General Permits that we have been waiting on the Corps to issue. 19 They did get those issued on May 21st, and the 20 21 permitters have been working hard to catch up on that backlog. 22 From May 21st until today, they had issued 23 seventy-eight General Permits. That doesn't count all the 24 other kinds of files that they have closed, but they had 25

1 done seventy-eight, and I believe all of the backup that 2 still hasn't been issued, they are waiting on information from applicants. 3 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: What was holding them up 4 5 before was the Corps? WILLA BRANTLEY: Yes. That was taken care of 6 May  $21^{st}$ . 7 We did, last Thursday, have a workshop here in 8 9 this room for marine contractors and consultants to go 10 over the changes in the General Permits, and we have updated the way that we issue our authorizations on DMR's 11 side just to make it a little clearer and easier for them 12 13 to follow. We had that workshop on Thursday last week, and 14 15 we had twenty-six attendees, I believe. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: That's good. Sounds like 16 good participation. 17 18 JOE SPRAGGINS: If I could comment real quick on that, I talked with the Colonel over the Corps and he was 19 very apologetic about what happened, and he said that it 20 was their fault. They made a mistake. Something in their 21 system was broken. They have fixed it. He promised us 22 that it will not happen again. It was their fault and not 23

WILLA BRANTLEY: And those General Permits are

our department. They wanted everyone to know that.

24

good until May  $17^{\text{th}}$ , 2023. We won't have to do this update again until then.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: That's good. I'm glad y'all jumped on it and kind of got caught up.

WILLA BRANTLEY: They worked really hard.

I did, a couple of years ago, bring this before the Commission. We thought we were ready to go out on public notice with this, but it turns out that NOAA wanted a few more changes made, so we need to bring this forward again to present a few of those changes.

This is Title 22 Part 23. This would be an adoption of a new part. This is basically taking our Mississippi Coastal Program that you hear about every month, our decision factors and processes and procedures, and making it a State Regulation. NOAA wants that and we want that, as well.

Just a real quick overview to remind you. We have both the Federal Law and the State Law that we work under. Both of those laws tell us to define a Coastal Zone and come up with a Coastal Program to manage that.

Those are both covered by our Mississippi Coastal Program. That's where you find those decision factors that you hear about every month, when we present proposed projects.

In March 2009, we had a 312 evaluation by NOAA.

1 That is where they come in and they evaluate our entire regulatory program. 2 They gave us a necessary action which means it 3 is something we have to get done by the next 312 4 5 evaluation which is actually coming up in September. We have already started that process. 6 We must complete an update of our Program 7 Document that addresses changes to regulations, changes in 8 9 development patterns and natural resource issues, and 10 reorganization of relevant state agencies and offices. 11 The Coastal Program was adopted in 1980. It was revised in 1988. 12 13 These changes that we are going through now are not any substantive changes. We are updating it. 14 15 bringing in statutes that have come into play, since 1988. We are updating agency names, and we are 16 rearranging it to better follow our workflow. 17 18 That is just kind of what this overview slide is. These are some of the changes we are making. 19 The three things I'm presenting today that have 20 21 changed since last time, we had talked about adding two new requirements for regulated activities. This was for 22 piers and boathouses and other structures like that. 23

such that a minimum of ten feet be maintained between

24

25

We wanted to add that they must be constructed

1 those structures and the adjacent property line, unless it 2 was authorized by the adjacent upland owners, and that no creosote, or asphalt, building materials be allowed. 3 We have since decided we don't want to add those 4 5 to the Coastal Program, mainly because that first one about the setback, we really don't have any legal 6 authority to do that. 7 From our understanding, the setbacks that the 8 9 cities and the counties have on land also apply to any 10 structures that are built out in the water. That is taken care of by other agencies, the local agencies. 11 Then, creosote and asphalt building materials, 12 13 we decided not to be so specific with that. DEQ requires that materials used in marine 14 environments follow certain rules, so we are just going to 15 let them go with that. It is more in their purview than 16 it is in ours. We decided to take those two out. 17 18 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Not to interrupt, but that is the case. You can't use creosote, or asphalt, 19 materials. 20 21 Correct? Right. We just don't have to 22 WILLA BRANTLEY: put it in our Coastal Program for that to still be true. 23 we had also talked about taking out one of our 24

requirements which is that vertical face structures

intended to replace failed erosion control structures -that would be bulkheads -- shall not extend more than
twenty-four inches waterward from the base of a failed
structure.

We have since decided to leave that allowance in the Coastal Program so that, in those cases where it is better for the environment not to pull out the old bulkhead, there is no requirement that they have to.

This is a change that was dictated by NOAA.

You've got a section that talks about applicants for

Federal licenses and permits, and we were saying that they
had to apply in accordance with our application

procedures.

In Chapter Four, that covers applications for State permits, and NOAA said that we needed to take that out because we actually don't have the legal authority to require them to follow a certain procedure, but, in practice, they will have to follow that procedure still because it's the same procedure that the Corps, Mobile and Vicksburg require.

We have a joint application form with them. They will use that form.

Even though we are taking this out and it won't be required, they are still going to follow that procedure.

1	What is required is a motion to proceed with our
2	Notice of Intent to adopt Title 22 Part 23. That will
3	allow us to put out the public notice and get any comments
4	that the public wants to make on it.
5	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Good job.
6	Do we have any questions?
7	(No response.)
8	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Do we have a motion?
9	COMMISSIONER HARMON: I'll make a motion to
10	proceed with Notice of Intent to adopt Title 22 Part 23.
11	COMMISSIONER TRAPANI: I'll second that.
12	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion and a
13	second.
14	Any further discussion?
15	(No response.)
16	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All those in favor say
17	aye.
18	(All in favor.)
19	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed?
20	(None opposed.)
21	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Motion carries.
22	WILLA BRANTLEY: Thank you.
23	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Thank you, Willa.
24	KRISTYN McGUIRE: Good morning. My name is
25	Kristyn McGuire.

1	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Can I interrupt one
2	second?
3	KRISTYN McGUIRE: Yes, you may.
4	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Mr. Joe, he is about
5	ready to slap me because Senator Seymour is out here in
6	the audience and I was supposed to recognize him at the
7	beginning of the meeting.
8	I knew if I let it go much longer, Mr. Joe was
9	going to lean over and knock me down.
10	I apologize. Thank you for being here Senator.
11	We appreciate it.
12	SENATOR SEYMOUR: Yes, sir.
13	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Go ahead.
14	KRISTYN McGUIRE: This is a request for a permit
15	by the Harrison County Government.
16	It is located on the Biloxi River just north of
17	I-10. It is adjacent to Lorraine Road in Biloxi.
18	It is in the General Use District, and the agent
19	is Covington Civil and Environmental, LLC.
20	The project includes a boat ramp that is thirty-
21	two feet wide, a hundred and seventy-five cubic yards of
22	concrete and stone bedding.
23	Approximately eighty-five square feet of
24	emergent marsh fringe will be impacted, but the applicant
25	proposes to mitigate at a three to one ratio.

1 There will also be two finger piers, a bulkhead 2 that is being replaced, a pier that is forty-by-six feet and another pier that is thirty-by-twelve feet, and, then, 3 two replacement piers that are already there. 4 5 This is the diagram. It's got the boat ramp, the two finger piers that support the boat ramp, and, 6 then, you can see the other piers that are being 7 reconstructed. 8 This is a location map. It is kind of zoomed 9 10 You can see where I-10 is, and, then, where Beach Boulevard is. 11 This is just kind of a zoomed-in aerial. 12 13 This is a picture of the site from the road, another picture of the site and another picture. 14 This is one of the piers that is already there 15 now that is being reconstructed. It sits in front of an 16 old building. I'm not sure if any of you are familiar 17 18 with where that is, but there is a building right behind it. 19 The decision factors. 20 21 The public will have access to a boat ramp and a natural resource. 22 The proposed project is allowable within the 23 General Use District. 24

The applicant has requested two variances.

The first is, "Boat ramps shall be located in areas which do not require the destruction of coastal wetlands."

Also, "Fill for boat ramp surfaces shall be the minimum necessary to meet project requirements and shall not exceed a hundred cubic yards of fill material."

They have justified this in stating that the activity requires a waterfront location, there is a significant public benefit and a public hearing was held.

Similar projects have been approved, so no precedent setting effects are anticipated.

Eighty-five square feet of emergent marsh fringe

Eighty-five square feet of emergent marsh fringe will be impacted by the construction of the proposed boat ramp.

The applicant is proposing to mitigate by transplanting the impacted vegetation and creating commensurate habitat at a three to one ratio, which should adequately compensate for the impacts.

They are also proposing construction of a driveway and a parking lot that is located in uplands, and that will support the boat ramp, and, then, they also have in the project a wooden walking path that is about thirty-five hundred linear feet.

The applicant has surveyed and chosen the narrowest portion of emergent marsh fringe to impact with

1 the boat ramp. 2 Best management practices will be utilized, during all phases of construction, to minimize adverse 3 impacts to coastal wetlands. 4 5 The applicant looked at two other alternative sites, but, upon review, they ruled out the sites because 6 the impacts to wetlands and marsh fringe would be far 7 greater than what the proposed site impacts are. Also, a 8 9 property owner was not willing to sell a parcel needed for 10 one of the sites. A boat ramp and a bulkhead both require a 11 waterfront location. 12 13 A boat ramp, building and piers currently exist on the proposed site. Therefore, the proposed project 14 should not impact scenic qualities. 15 Public notice appeared in The Sun Herald on May 16 13<sup>th</sup>, May 20<sup>th</sup> and May 27<sup>th</sup>, 2018, and no public comments 17 18 were received. A public hearing was held in this building June 19 7<sup>th</sup>, and no public comments were received. 20 21 The City of Biloxi passed a resolution in support of the project. 22 DEO had no comments. 23 Archives and History is requesting a Cultural 24

25

Resources Survey.

1 Secretary of State is requiring a rent exempt Tidelands lease. 2 Wildlife, Fisheries and Parks did not have 3 comments. 4 5 The staff of the Department of Marine Resources has conducted a thorough evaluation of the project and has 6 made findings on the decision factors in accordance with 7 Chapter VIII, Section 2, Part I.E.2. of the Mississippi 8 9 Coastal Program. 10 Based on the results of this evaluation, it has been determined that the project will have a significant 11 public benefit. Therefore, we recommend approval of the 12 13 requested variances and issuance of a permit contingent on clearance by DEQ and Archives and History. 14 15 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Do we have any questions? 16 (No response.) 17 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I have one question. 18 Is this Tidelands funding for this project? 19 KRISTYN McGUIRE: The agent says yes. 2.0 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Any other discussion? COMMISSIONER HARMON: Mr. Chairman, I do have 21 one thing that was left out. That particular part of the 22 Biloxi River is real hard to get emergency services to by 23 water. You have to launch in a remote area and have to 24 25 get there.

1	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: That's good.
2	Do we have a motion?
3	COMMISSIONER HARMON: Mr. Chairman, I would like
4	to make a motion that we accept the staff's recommendation
5	for this project.
6	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion.
7	Do we have a second?
8	COMMISSIONER TRAPANI: I'll second that.
9	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion and a
10	second.
11	All those in favor say aye.
12	(All in favor.)
13	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed?
14	(None opposed.)
15	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Motion carries.
16	Thank you.
17	KRISTYN McGUIRE: Thank you.
18	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: That closes Coastal
19	Resources Management, Mr. Jan?
20	JAN BOYD: Yes, sir.
21	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We will move on to Office
22	of Finance and Administration, Ms. Kacey Williams.
23	JOE SPRAGGINS: Kacey is out today. If you
24	don't know, she is pregnant with her second child and she
25	is having a little difficulty with that. Y'all keep her

1 in your prayers. 2 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: State your name, please. 3 SHAVAY GAINES: Good morning. My name is Shavay I'm the Finance Director, and I'm standing in for 4 5 Kacey Williams. As of May 31<sup>st</sup>, our State Revenue totaled twenty-6 eight million dollars, and our Agency Revenue totaled 7 forty point eight million dollars. 8 9 We ended with State Net Income totaling twenty 10 point eight million, and the Agency Net Income totaled 11 twenty-two point nine million. After eleven months of fiscal year 2018. 12 13 Operating Funds had sixty-three point two percent of budget remaining, and Tidelands Trust Fund had seventy 14 percent of its budget remaining. 15 Are there any questions? 16 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Sounds like good numbers 17 18 to me. I guess we will see you again, if Kacey is 19 getting that close to having a little one. 20 21 Thank you. Thank you. 22 SHAVAY GAINES: 23 JOE SPRAGGINS: Just one thing. The twenty million is kind of out of line, and that is because of 24 25 GOMESA.

1	SHAVAY GAINES: Yes, sir.
2	JOE SPRAGGINS: Don't think we've got an extra
3	twenty million dollars in the budget. There are GOMESA
4	funds that are in there.
5	SHAVAY GAINES: It is included in the numbers,
6	but it is separated.
7	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Ms. Melissa Scallan.
8	MELISSA SCALLAN: Good morning Commissioners,
9	Director Spraggins, Ms. Chestnut.
10	I also have a longer report today, and I'm happy
11	to report these things because it is all good news.
12	The agency was mentioned sixty-three times in
13	local, state and national media, since the last meeting.
14	Of course, some of the most popular items were
15	Red Snapper season opening, the opening of shrimp season,
16	the closing of commercial Speckled Trout season, and the
17	oyster relay program.
18	Marine Patrol has taught three boat-and-water
19	safety classes and certified fifty-five students, since
20	the last meeting.
21	So far this fiscal year, officers have taught
22	seventeen classes and certified three hundred and thirteen
23	students.
24	Officers also participated in a variety of
25	outreach events, education events and special marine
1	i e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e

events.

We had the annual Mississippi Seafood Cookoff on Saturday. It was held in Jackson this year. We had twelve chefs who participated from different areas of the state, and the winner was Louis LaRose who owns Lou's Full Serve in Jackson, and he will represent the state in the Great American Seafood Cookoff in August.

The agency recently has received several emails about employees who have done some spectacular work, not just with managing our marine resources, but helping the community.

We received an email recently from someone who told us how two Marine Fisheries employees helped her grandfather. We think he is about eighty.

Last month this gentleman had a flat tire on the I-110 bridge. He tried to change it himself and he couldn't. The battery on his cell phone died, so he couldn't call anybody. He was there for two hours in the hot sun.

Marine Fisheries employees Chris Spurlin and Scott Heggins were the only ones who stopped to help him change that tire.

His granddaughter said that when he got home he was dehydrated, and she said, "I hate to think what might have happened had they not come along."

Chris Spurlin also helped an elderly couple who
were landing their boat at Mary Walker Marina.

The woman attempted to disembark the boat before

it was completely stopped, and she fell between the pier and the boat. Her husband jumped in after her, and the two of them were holding onto the stern.

Another gentleman launching his boat saw what happened and jumped onto the boat to try to provide assistance.

Chris grabbed the boat and walked it down the pier toward the ramp as they held on because neither of them had the energy to swim.

The woman had some minor cuts and abrasions and they were both shaken up, but they ended up being okay.

Another Fisheries employee, Courtney Walker, was doing an MRIP survey, and she was approached by two teenagers who said someone had hit their vehicle, and, then, took off.

They asked her if she had any tools, and she gave them some zip ties to strap some things down, and, then, their battery died.

Chris Spurlin, I think we need to give an award to Chris. He seems to be helping the community a lot. He arrived to switch vehicles at that time, so he jumped them off, and they were able to get on their way.

A couple of weeks ago we got an email from a lady named Kristin Allen. Her husband and two children were fishing on a reef on June 2<sup>nd</sup>, when the bilge pump on their boat went out. They started making their way back to shore, but the boat was taking on more water.

This is a quote from her. She said, "I made the call to 911, and these three heroes showed up literally less than five minutes from the time I called. They immediately grabbed my children. Then, helped us get all the way back to the boat launch."

It was, of course, Marine Patrol who jumped in to help, and it was Bradley Byers, Matt Kasovich, Daphne Moore and Mike Strickland. Lieutenant Strickland was off duty, and he responded.

She said to us, "I am forever grateful to these four heroes for saving us. Thank you doesn't even begin to scratch the surface of my gratitude for all four of these relentless heroes. Keith Davis, your officers were amazing."

I always like to report things like that.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: That's great. That's the face that this DMR needs. I applaud all the folks that helped and all the ones that didn't because they are out there and, if the time ever comes, I know they will step up just like the rest of them did.

Thank you, Melissa. 1 2 MELISSA SCALLAN: One more thing. Chief Davis was asked to write an article about boat-and-water safety 3 for the July issue of the South Mississippi Living 4 5 magazine. That will be on the stands shortly I'm sure, if it's not already. He has an article and a picture in the 6 back of that, too. 7 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: That's good. 8 9 I understand you have some news, also. 10 MELISSA SCALLAN: I do have some news. will be my last meeting for a little while. I have the 11 opportunity to go to Jackson for a few months and help out 12 13 with the Cindy Hyde-Smith campaign. Joe Spraggins was gracious enough to let me do that, but my office will be 14 in good hands with Charmaine Schmermund and Francesca 15 Linthicum. You won't even notice that I'm not here. 16 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I doubt that. 17 18 I'm glad to hear we've got capable hands, but you will be missed. 19 2.0 MELISSA SCALLAN: Thank you. 21 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Good luck in your endeavor. 22 MELISSA SCALLAN: Thank you very much. 23 My phone number is the same. If y'all need 24 25 something, give me a call.

1	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We will.
2	Thank you, Melissa.
3	MELISSA SCALLAN: Thank you.
4	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Up next is Marine
5	Fisheries, Mr. Joe.
6	JOE JEWELL: Good morning again, Commissioners.
7	Before we move into the main section of our
8	presentations, I would like to give the Commission a brief
9	update on our 2018 shrimp season.
10	As you know, we have only been open a couple of
11	weeks, and we don't have a lot of data to report. That
12	data is usually reported through the trip ticket program,
13	and they are allowed to report the following tenth of each
14	month. We will start receiving data on, or at, July the
15	10 <sup>th</sup> .
16	We did open on June the $6^{th}$ at $6:00$ a.m. On
17	opening day, we counted about two hundred and fifty-four
18	boats. That is about average over the last couple of
19	years.
20	The count ranged between fifty and sixty per
21	pound.
22	I was out there on opening day, along with
23	Marine Patrol, and we interviewed a couple of the
24	fishermen there to validate that count number.
25	I remind you these are dockside prices. They

1	are averaging about a dollar ninety-eight, almost two
2	dollars a pound for the small shrimp. For medium shrimp,
3	it's about two fifty a pound. About six dollars a pound
4	for the jumbo shrimp. Again, this is dockside value.
5	This is the not what the dealers and processors are paying
6	for the shrimp.
7	Then, also, for the 2018 season, we have about
8	four hundred and fifty-nine licenses sold, as of July 4 <sup>th</sup> .
9	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: That's good.
10	Is that out of state, in state, recreational?
11	That is all shrimping licenses?
12	JOE JEWELL: Correct, that is all the licenses.
13	With that being said, we are going to move into
14	the main portion of the agenda.
15	First is reclassification of Area VI, Title 22
16	Part 1, by Mr. Charlie Robertson.
17	As you know, the Commission has requested this.
18	It has taken a little while. We had to do a lot of water
19	sampling and background confirmation with the FDA, but we
20	are ready now to move forward.
21	CHARLIE ROBERTSON: Good morning everyone.
22	Charlie Robertson with the Shellfish Bureau.
23	We are going to continue on with everyone's
24	favorite this morning, regulatory changes, Title 22 Part
25	1.

The theme of this presentation has been brought up numerous times in the last few Commission meetings, and we have been working diligently on moving forward and bringing this to you today.

Essentially what we are going to be doing here, in Title 22 Part 1, is upwardly classifying some of the prohibited waters in Area VI from prohibited to restricted to allow for harvest by relay, or transplant, and, also, we are going to be creating a conditionally approved area around the vicinity of Round Island and we will also be naming those areas.

You are probably familiar with how we do regulatory changes in Fisheries, but just to recap, the text in white will remain unchanged. The text that you are going to see in yellow are the proposed changes that we are going to make to Title 22, and, then, strike throughs are deletions and underlines are going to be the proposed additions to the regulations.

Here in Chapter 17, Management Plan for Shellfish Growing Waters, we are going to be establishing closing and reopening criteria.

Subsection 103.01.05.05, Area VI "B", Conditionally Approved Waters:

"When one inch, or more inches, of rainfall occurs in any twenty-four consecutive hours, as measured

1	at the rainfall gauge located at Jackson County Public
2	Works Department in Gautier, Mississippi."
3	Subsection 104.07.04, Area VI "B", Conditionally
4	Approved Water:
5	"The microbiological analysis of the seawater
6	samples indicate that the seawater is again acceptable and
7	the shellfish have gone through a sufficient time interval
8	to permit natural biological cleansing."
9	From here forward in Chapter 18, these are going
10	to be the geographical descriptions of the water bodies in
11	Area VI.
12	There is a lot of description here. Towards the
13	end of the presentation, we will have a map that is going
14	to summarize all the changes that we have made.
15	Chapter 18, Classification and Description of
16	Shellfish Growing Waters.
17	Subsection 100.05.01:
18	"All waters of the Pascagoula River system north
19	of the CSX railroad bridge to the boundary of Area VI."
20	Subsection 100.05.02:
21	"All waters east of the Singing River Island
22	Bridge to the western most boundary of Area VI."
23	Chapter 18 continued.
24	Subsection 102.04:
25	"The following waters of Area VI are

restricted."

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 | Subsection 102.04.01:

"Area VI "A" enclosed by the following described line."

"The line commences at the Lake Mars Boat Launch

Subsection 102.04.01.01:

(Latitude thirty point three six zero eight nine three, Longitude negative eighty-eight point seven six four two two zero) running due east following the meanderings of the mainland shoreline to a point (Latitude thirty point three seven seven six one five, Longitude negative eighty-eight point six one zero nine three three) on the CSX Railroad Bridge following the CSX Railroad Bridge east to a point (Latitude thirty point three seven six two nine three, Longitude negative eighty-eight point six zero four one eight five); thence proceeding south along the meanderings of the mainland shoreline to a point (Latitude thirty point three six one five four one, Longitude negative eighty-eight point five eight four three three six) on the Singing River Bridge; thence proceeding south along the western boundary of said Bridge to a point (Latitude thirty point

24

25

three three eight five one zero, Longitude negative eighty-eight point five eight two one one four); thence proceeding south following the meandering shoreline of Singing River Island to a point (Latitude thirty point three two three eight one five, Longitude negative eighty-eight point five seven four seven two three); thence running southeasterly to a point (Latitude thirty point two seven nine zero one three Longitude negative eighty-eight point five three four nine five); thence proceeding south to a point (Latitude thirty point two two three eight five seven, Longitude negative eighty-eight point five two eight seven four one); thence proceeding west to a point (Latitude thirty point two two three eight five eight, Longitude negative eighty-eight point five three seven one two one); thence proceeding north to a point (Latitude thirty point two five seven four four four, Longitude negative eighty-eight point five four two nine two eight); thence proceeding northwest to a point (Latitude thirty point three two two six zero four, Longitude negative eighty-eight point five eight three three one five); thence running west to a point (Latitude

1	thirty point three three zero two one eight,
2	Longitude negative eighty-eight point seven six
3	five five eight seven); thence running north to
4	the point of beginning."
5	This area includes the public reefs knows as
6	Pascagoula Causeway and West Pascagoula.
7	I hope that was all very clear. I'm sure you
8	know exactly where I was talking about. That will all be
9	in the map at the end.
10	Chapter 18 continued. The text here is going to
11	remain the same. It is unchanged. We are just
12	renumbering the subsections here.
13	Chapter 18, Classification and Description of
14	Shellfish Growing Waters.
15	Subsection 102.05.
16	Subsection 102.05.01.
17	Subsection 102.05.02.
18	Subsection 102.05.03.
19	Subsection 102.05.04.
20	Chapter 18 continued.
21	Subsection 103.02.06, Area VI "B" enclosed by
22	the following described line:
23	Subsection 103.02.06.01.
24	"The line commences at the northwestern most
25	point (Latitude thirty point three two seven

1 three six seven, Longitude negative eighty-eight 2 point six nine eight one eight three); thence running east to a point (Latitude thirty point 3 three two two six zero four, Longitude negative 4 5 eighty-eight point five eight three three one five); thence proceeding southeasterly to a 6 point (Latitude thirty point two seven seven 7 four three zero, Longitude negative eighty-eight 8 9 point five four four seven zero two); thence 10 running west to a point (Latitude thirty point two eight four one four two, Longitude negative 11 eighty-eight point six nine eight one one four); 12 13 thence running north to the point of beginning."

As I mentioned before, here is the map of what the proposed changes to Area VI would look like, and I want to point out the changes that we made.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

This area was previously prohibited, and the proposal would upwardly classify that area to restricted, and we renamed this entire restricted area, Area VI "A", and we have also established the conditionally approved area here around Round Island and named that area, Area VI "B".

What would be required from the Commission, if they choose to proceed with these proposed changes to Title 22 Part 1, would be a motion to proceed with a

1 Notice of Intent for Title 22 Part 1, Updated Regulatory 2 Language for Shellfish Program. If you have any questions, I will be glad to 3 answer them. 4 5 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Any questions? COMMISSIONER TRAPANI: Yes. 6 Do you happen to have a slide of the old area of 7 the before and after? 8 9 CHARLIE ROBERTSON: I do not. 10 COMMISSIONER TRAPANI: From my memory, it just 11 goes straight across. CHARLIE ROBERTSON: Yes. If you look really 12 13 close, there is a line that goes from the tip of this little island here straight down to about right there and 14 15 east of that line was the prohibited area, or is the prohibited area, unless we choose to change it today 16 (indicating map). 17 18 COMMISSIONER HAVARD: How many additional acres are we opening up for harvest areas, if this is approved? 19 CHARLIE ROBERTSON: The reef that this line 20 21 bisects is approximately two hundred and thirty-three 22 acres. I don't know the exact number of acres we are 23 talking about, but I would guesstimate probably two thirds 24 of that reef is currently prohibited waters, and I think 25

we would probably be opening up between a hundred and 1 2 fifty and a hundred and eighty acres to restricted classification. 3 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I think, if I'm correct, 4 5 that restricted classification is strictly for transplanting. It's not for harvest. 6 Is that correct? 7 CHARLIE ROBERTSON: Correct. It's not for 8 9 direct harvest. 10 If anything was moved from that area, it would have to be harvested for relay, or transplant, and it 11 would have to be moved to an area where it could be 12 13 depurated for a specified number of days, before they could be harvested directly for commercial consumption. 14 15 COMMISSIONER TRAPANI: I have a question. while doing this, did y'all come upon any other 16 reefs around there, since we're not usually out there a 17 18 lot? CHARLIE ROBERTSON: We did not. We had some 19 really good side scan sonar from this area. We've got the 20 21 majority of the reefs in here well defined and we know exactly where they are located. 22 The only area I think that would be outside of 23 the area that we looked at would probably be up inside 24 these smaller marsh areas. There may be some resource in 25

1 there, but I don't think it would be anything significant, 2 compared to what we see in Pascagoula Bay. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Commissioner Havard. 3 COMMISSIONER HAVARD: In your opinion, do you 4 5 think this is the right thing to do for our resource, open up additional waters for harvest for depuration? 6 JOE JEWELL: Commissioner, what we are doing 7 here today is the request. It was voted and a motion 8 9 request by the Commission to upwardly reclassify this 10 area. 11 what the Commission proceeds to do afterwards, will really be a resource decision. All this is really 12 13 doing is reclassifying a portion of prohibited area to restricted. 14 That doesn't mean this area is open for harvest, 15 for consumption harvest. Like Mr. Robertson said, it is 16 only open for relays, or transplant activities. 17 18 Reclassifying this area has no direct 19 consequence on resource management. It is really what we do after the area classification changes that has 20 21 consequences on the resource. Does that make sense? 22 COMMISSIONER HAVARD: It does. 23 My concern is this reef is down over sixty 24 percent, since we did our first relay. 25

If we open up an additional hundred and eighty
acres, in doing our relay, what kind of destruction are we
going to do?

Is this reef going to be able to rebound?

My point is should we even open the area at all?

JOE JEWELL: At the last workshop, the

Commission voted for a relay program, and it had several specifications in that.

In one of them, I think the Commission was trying to be mindful of those very parameters on the marine resource.

The Commission voted for approximately a thirty percent relay to occur and that was just over eighteen thousand sacks, but they also were very aware that previous relays had occurred, and they subtracted from that a little over three thousand sacks that had been harvested on a previous relay.

I think the Commission was trying to be mindful of those very concerns that you are expressing and, in doing so, they established what we feel is a sustainable practice of thirty percent.

They did take into consideration our previous relay, so it would be a little less than thirty percent.

Now, if the Commission so chooses to vote otherwise, they would have to override that relay program

1 that they have already passed and are moving forward on. 2 COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Thank you, Joe. CHARLIE ROBERTSON: I guess I would just like to 3 follow up a little on what Joe was saying. 4 5 We are not recommending to harvest out of here per se. 6 We are just upwardly classifying this area, 7 according to what the water quality samples that we have 8 9 been collecting over the last numerous years are indicating for the ability to reclassify this area. 10 11 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I had one quick question. Joe, why did we go so far south? 12 13 I mean, that is all the way out there to Sand Island. 14 15 JOE JEWELL: There are a couple of parameters you've got to consider, when doing area reclassification. 16 This area here was part of our water sampling 17 18 routine, and it could be upwardly classified to conditionally approved waters, but, if you also look, 19 Round Island is included in that area, and that is one of 20 21 the areas that the Commission and the Department of Marine Resources has designated as an area for our off-bottom 22 It would be very important for us to move 23 aquaculture. forward with upwardly classifying that as conditionally 24

approved waters.

1	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I agree.
2	I'm talking about the Area VI "A" and how it
3	runs down and out and south all the way to Sand Island.
4	In other words, you will never see oysters in
5	ninety percent of that long strip.
6	Why did we go out so far with it?
7	Why was that included?
8	CHARLIE ROBERTSON: Are you talking about this
9	line here (indicating map)?
10	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: No. To the right. Come
11	on down.
12	CHARLIE ROBERTSON: This line (indicating map).
13	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All the way down, yes.
14	CHARLIE ROBERTSON: I think that area borders
15	the channel and, again, these are based off of the water
16	samples that we collect routinely. We are just
17	classifying them.
18	JOE JEWELL: It's similar classification that we
19	have over in Gulfport. If you notice, it follows the
20	contour of the channel.
21	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I've got you. It sounds
22	good.
23	We need a motion.
24	Do we have a motion?
25	COMMISSIONER TRAPANI: I'll make that motion to

1	proceed with the Notice of Intent for Title 22 Part 1,
2	Updated Regulatory Language for the Shellfish Program.
3	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion.
4	Do we have a second for that motion?
5	COMMISSIONER HARMON: I'll second that motion,
6	Mr. Chairman.
7	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion and a
8	second.
9	Any further discussion?
10	(No response.)
11	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All those in favor say
12	aye.
13	(All in favor.)
14	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed?
15	(None opposed.)
16	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Motion carries.
17	Thank you.
18	CHARLIE ROBERTSON: Thank y'all.
19	JOE JEWELL: Our next presentation is on hand
20	dredges. Mr. Erik Broussard will give that presentation.
21	As you may be reminded, at the special workshop
22	that we had, it was not a motion, but it was a
23	recommendation by the Commission to come forward with some
24	defining language of the hand dredge.
25	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: At some point during

1 this, we have a request for public comment. 2 I will let you go ahead, Erik. ERIK BROUSSARD: Good morning Commissioners, 3 Director Spraggins, Ms. Chestnut. 4 Again, Erik Broussard on behalf of the Shellfish 5 6 Bureau. The Commission requested hand dredge language at 7 the special session last month, and I wanted to start off 8 9 with what is currently available in Title 22 Part 1 10 Chapter 7, which is our dredge specifications. In Subsection 100, it kind of gives us the 11 parameters of what a legal dredge is in the State of 12 13 Mississippi. What it basically says is it cannot exceed a hundred and fifteen pounds, cannot have more than sixteen 14 15 teeth, and the teeth cannot be any longer than five inches. 16 17 As far as those parameters are concerned, a hand 18 dredge would fall within those parameters, as long as it did not exceed any of those outlines there. 19 How we kind of got here was when the Commission 20 21 was considering hand dredge as an option for the work program, if the Commission chose hand dredge specifically, 22 it would have excluded what was currently on the books 23

and, therefore, we would have had to provide a definition

24

25

for the hand dredge.

Since that did not occur and the Commission adopted tonging and dredge only, it is not necessary to move forward, at this point, with a hand dredge definition, unless the Commission changed and wanted to go back to a hand dredge for the work program, or they felt for the future that they wanted to have something on the book.

At this point, as far as the work program is concerned, we don't have to move forward with a definition today.

Should we talk about moving forward, again, we contacted a local dredge maker and kind of got what his specs would be on a hand dredge, if somebody came forward and asked for him to build a hand dredge, and that would be cannot exceed thirty pounds, tooth bar cannot exceed ten teeth and the teeth cannot be longer than five-and-a-quarter inches and, also, cannot be deployed, or retrieved, with any mechanical assistance.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Before we go any further, let's have a couple of public comments. We've got some people who want to speak.

Mr. Ryan Bradley.

RYAN BRADLEY: Good morning Commissioners, Director Spraggins, Ms. Chestnut.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: State your name.

RYAN BRADLEY: Ryan Bradley, Director of Mississippi Commercial Fisheries United.

At the May meeting, the Commission passed a motion unanimously to allow tonging and hand dredging, and there was some misclarification that there wasn't a motion.

Joe, maybe you can clarify that for us, but obviously the Commission can come back and rescind any decision they make.

The fishermen have put that proposal forward because we felt like that was the best method of take for this relay, if we ever get a chance to do the work, at conserving that reef in Pascagoula which is a big concern for a lot of folks. Maybe we can reconsider using that hand dredge.

Now, there is no minimum size dredge. If you use it during the dredging portion of this relay, it's no big deal.

what the fishermen want to know is can they use this hand dredge, if there is a tonging portion of this relay. Can they use it around tongers.

We have talked with a lot of tongers. We have talked with some guys that would use these hand dredges, and they don't feel like there would be a conflict, and they feel like it would be very safe and there won't be any problems.

I would like y'all to please consider that if, in fact, we do get a chance to participate in this relay.

The specifications that you put up there on the slide, we feel like that is awfully small for a hand dredge, and remember that any type of hand dredge will be retrieved manually without any mechanical assistance.

We would like to see those specs a little bit bigger, and maybe some of the other fishermen can comment on what a good size would be.

I will leave that there. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Thank you.

Mr. Joe, do you want to address that issue?

JOE JEWELL: A couple of things. He is correct that, at the last Commission meeting, this issue was discussed, but there was no recommendation, or request, directed to the staff.

This was made at the special oyster workshop that the Commission had. It was not a motion approved. It was a request that the Commission made for the staff to come forward with some language, potential language for a definition of a hand dredge.

As Mr. Broussard stated, if we proceed the way that we are doing now, all dredges that meet the minimum requirements as defined in Title 22 Part 1, which is a

hundred a fifteen pounds, sixteen tooth bar and five inches would qualify.

Now, the recommendation that we provided from Balius Welding, the only difference in that that wouldn't meet that minimum qualification is five-and-a-quarter inches they are recommending for a hand dredge.

Finally, I believe legal counsel, we discussed this in session last time that if we proceed like we do now, it is only for tonging and dredging. That is what the Commission voted on and approved as part of the potential relay program.

If the Commission so wishes to amend that to include hand dredges, it is my understanding by legal counsel that we would actually have to address that and have a definition for hand dredge for that gear type to take place for the relay program.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have had so much discussion on this, and I'm kind of like Mr. Bradley in a way. I don't really remember. At one point in the several meetings we had, I recall, as you do, that we said hand dredge and tonging only, and, then, it went back and forth, and we wound up at tong and dredge.

JOE JEWELL: That's correct.

RYAN BRADLEY: Can I respond briefly?

(228) 396-8788

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes, sir.

RYAN BRADLEY: It's in the May Commission 1 2 meetina. It's not the workshop. Commissioner Bosarge, you made the motion to 3 take option two, tonging and hand dredge, it was seconded 4 5 by Commissioner Gollott, and it was approved unanimously. At the workshop, it was represented that there 6 was no motion that was ever made to that affect. 7 Second, it has come up that when the Commission 8 9 selected tonging and dredging at the workshop, that 10 somehow it will be split into two separate days of harvest. Tongers during one set of days and dredgers 11 during a set of days. 12 13 That was really never discussed in the open meeting, so we really don't know if that is the case, or 14 15 not. The question here is, if it is going to be 16 separated, can these hand dredgers use their hand dredge 17 18 during the tonging portion? That's what we want to know. We know they can 19 use it during the dredge portion, but can they use it 20 21 during the tonging portion being that it is not going to be mechanically retrieved. 22 JOE JEWELL: At the workshop, that was a public 23 meeting, and the Commission did vote on tonging and 24

dredging only.

1 If the Commission so wishes, they would have to 2 amend that motion to include hand dredges. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: At which time, we would 3 have to define a hand dredge. 4 5 JOE JEWELL: Correct. COMMISSIONER TRAPANI: Mr. Chairman, I think 6 when we were talking about we approved that -- maybe I'm 7 wrong -- the reason why we went back is because we didn't 8 9 have a definition of a hand dredge. We couldn't approve 10 that, if we didn't even have a definition to be able to let y'all do that. I think that's where we are, right 11 I think none of us realized that we never had a 12 13 definition. RYAN BRADLEY: I just wanted to reiterate one 14 thing on using a dredge on the reef. I don't think that 15

RYAN BRADLEY: I just wanted to reiterate one thing on using a dredge on the reef. I don't think that there is any science to back up one way is better than the other. I think it's simply a fact that some of these larger boats haven't been on this reef and don't realize how shallow it is, and it's more of a contact-with-the-bottom type issue over which type of gear is better, or worse. I'll leave that there.

Thank y'all.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Thank you, Mr. Bradley.
Mr. John Livings.

JOHN LIVINGS: Good morning. My name is John

25

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 Livings. 2 That's what I was coming to ask about was the hand dredges. 3 I actually brought one today. If y'all want to 4 5 see one, I brought one. I have one in my truck. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We would love to see it. 6 JOHN LIVINGS: The weight limit --7 8 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: (Interposing) Now, you 9 are a big man, John. 10 JOHN LIVINGS: Well, the weight limit on the dredges, if we make it too light, it can do more damage 11 than less because it doesn't have enough weight to 12 13 actually get in the oysters to work. It just skips across the top and bounces over. That's with too low of a weight 14 limit. 15 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: What are you suggesting? 16 JOHN LIVINGS: I mean, nobody is going to pull 17 18 and hundred pound dredge in by hand. 19 Normally, a hand dredge, with the chain bag and the rope, is anywhere from forty to fifty pounds, and it 20 21 will be about a hundred and fifty pounds when it's full maybe, or a hundred pounds maybe. 22 If you go to thirty pounds, or something like 23 that, it is just going to skip all over the place until it 24 starts to get some weight in it. That's why we don't use 25

them.

The one I brought is the lightest one I have, and I can go get it, if you want to see it.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes, but I don't want to put everybody here waiting on you.

Will it take you very long?

JOHN LIVINGS: No.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Go ahead, Mr. Joe.

JOE JEWELL: At this point, I do want to remind the Commission that a lot of these commercial oyster fishermen, they have hand dredges, and the language that we decided on was from a reputable welding shop that builds these apparatuses, and this is sort of the minimum standards that they have for it.

The Commission has to consider that these fishermen, they are not all like Mr. Livings. He has a certain set that he considers the standard to go by, but all the rest of the fishermen have different standards to go by.

In establishing definitions of a hand dredge, all they have to do is meet the minimum requirements on the books right now for a dredge.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: But if we set the weight limit at thirty pounds, then, they have to meet that requirement.

1	JOE JEWELL: They would. The hand dredge at
2	that point, the definition would not be able to exceed
3	thirty pounds.
4	I'm imagining that all the commercial fishermen
5	are going to try to get language that incorporates all of
6	their dredges, not just one, or two, individuals.
7	The Commission will have to decide on some
8	minimum standards for the hand dredge.
9	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I agree, and the thirty
10	pounds, even when you presented it, sounded a little bit
11	light to me, as far as to set a maximum it can't exceed.
12	If we set it at fifty and a guy wants to use a
13	thirty, well, that's good. That's his prerogative.
14	If it's somebody like John that can handle a
15	fifty pound hand dredge, then, I think he should be
16	allowed to do it.
17	JOE JEWELL: Sure, but as you allow more and
18	more weight, then, a hand dredge is not much different
19	from a regular dredge.
20	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I agree.
21	Set the number of teeth.
22	Correct?
23	JOE JEWELL: Correct.
24	COMMISSIONER TRAPANI: If you do that, can it
25	cause damage if it's too heavy, the same way like you said

1	about a dredge?
2	Is that right?
3	Is that what you are saying?
4	JOE JEWELL: Correct.
5	COMMISSIONER TRAPANI: Then, we need to have
6	some way to put a parameter on that. It just can't be
7	open ended.
8	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: What does that dredge
9	weigh, John?
10	JOHN LIVINGS: That one there is about forty
11	pounds.
12	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: That's a pretty good size
13	dredge for a hand dredge.
14	JOHN LIVINGS: That's dredge right there is
15	about it's under half the size of my tong heads.
16	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Can you put the
17	requirements back up that you have?
18	JOE JEWELL: Sure.
19	JOHN LIVINGS: But it's not finished with the
20	chain bag in it either. That's why I was saying fifty
21	pounds.
22	JOE JEWELL: We pull a hand dredge as part of
23	our normal field assessments and sampling, and it is much
24	smaller than that dredge. I think ours will probably meet
25	that thirty pound requirement.

1	JOHN LIVINGS: The dredge that y'all pull, Joe,
2	the pattern come off of mine. Dan Balius got the pattern
3	from me.
4	JOE JEWELL: But it's a lot smaller.
5	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Commissioner Havard.
6	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: I would like to ask the
7	staff to come up with a definition for a hand dredge.
8	JOE JEWELL: I'm sorry.
9	Could you repeat that?
10	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: I would like to ask the
11	staff to come back with a recommended definition for a
12	hand dredge.
13	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I think that's what we
14	had.
15	JOE JEWELL: I think what Commissioner Havard is
16	saying is that, after input from our commercial fishermen,
17	to come back with incorporation of those comments into the
18	change, but the Commission can do that, now, if they so
19	choose.
20	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I think, if I'm correct,
21	everything you had there most of us agree with, other than
22	the weight limit.
23	You say that is forty pounds?
24	JOHN LIVINGS: I have never weighed it.
25	JOE JEWELL: We have a scale right across the

1	hallway. We could probably weigh that real quick, if the
2	Commission wants.
3	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes, sir.
4	JOHN LIVINGS: We just don't want it to be too
5	light because it's not going to work and, if you say it's
6	got to be thirty pounds and somebody's got one thirty-five
7	pounds, five pounds is not going to make a difference.
8	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Forty-five pounds?
9	JOHN LIVINGS: I guess. I mean, I don't know
10	exactly what the weight should be either.
11	I know every hand dredge is going to be as light
12	as possible.
13	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Would you be okay with
14	everything like it is, but change the weight of the dredge
15	to a maximum of forty-five pounds?
16	JOHN LIVINGS: Yes, because I'm not sure what it
17	is going to weigh. I still have to put the necklace, the
18	rest of the bag and the rope bag. I don't know exactly
19	what it will weigh finished.
20	This one right here is probably a little lighter
21	than mine.
22	JOE SPRAGGINS: If I could ask one question real
23	quick?
24	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes, sir.
25	JOE SPRAGGINS: Joe, is there any scientific

1 data, or anything else, that you can give us as a 2 department? If the weight is changed from thirty to 3 whatever, is there anything that we can say as to why it 4 5 would do any more damage, or anything, to the reef, or is there anything to state that it would cause us to have an 6 issue? 7 Looking at a hand dredge, my understanding is 8 9 the reason we are doing that is to make sure that we do 10 the minimum damage possible to the reef, if we allow the hand dredge to be in there. 11 I'm just asking you, as a scientific side of it, 12 13 is there anything that we have, Erik, or anybody, that we can give the Commission before they make a decision? 14 15 JOE JEWELL: I have no knowledge of any scientific data that says it does more, or less, damage 16 than a regular dredge. 17 18 JOHN LIVINGS: The only thing I can tell you --19 COMMISSIONER TRAPANI: (Interposing) You are saying the weight doesn't matter, in hour opinion? 20 21 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I don't think that is what he is saying. 22 I was asking Mr. Jewell. 23 COMMISSIONER TRAPANI: You don't have any evidence? 24 JOE JEWELL: There is no scientific evidence 25

1 that I'm aware of. 2 JOHN LIVINGS: The really ultra light dredges that were used in the Biloxi relay, we had to put pipe on 3 top of them so they could catch because all they did was 4 5 bounce. If you bounce and bounce and bounce, it is going 6 7 to tear the oysters up. It might weigh thirty pounds. I don't know. Τ 8 9 don't know what it weighs. I don't have a clue. 10 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: But you still have to put 11 the bag on it? 12 JOHN LIVINGS: Yes. 13 JOE JEWELL: Twenty-seven and a half pounds. 14 COMMISSIONER TRAPANI: I would just like to say I feel like this is something that we do want to 15 incorporate and we do need a definition because we haven't 16 had one, and we didn't realize that. 17 I would like to make a motion to table this and 18 research a little bit more, and try to find some more data 19 on does the weight make a difference. 20 21 You are saying you don't have anything on it. I would like to look at this a little further 22 before voting on it, at least until next meeting. 23 I think this is an important decision because, 24 once this goes down, this is the definition of what we are 25

1 going to have as a hand dredge for the State of 2 Mississippi. JOE JEWELL: I understand your motion is to 3 table this issue until the staff can provide additional 4 5 information. Correct? 6 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Correct. 7 8 JOHN LIVINGS: Can I say one thing? 9 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes, sir. 10 JOHN LIVINGS: It really doesn't matter what it 11 is going to weigh. The boats that are going to be pulling these are light tonging boats. When it's full, they are 12 13 going to stop anyways. They are not going to move. They don't have any chance of plowing the reef because the 14 boats are too light. It's a light boat. It's not a heavy 15 boat with a lot of draft. 16 17 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: You are saying versus a 18 large vessel even when the dredge is full? 19 JOHN LIVINGS: Yes. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Most people don't 20 21 understand that, most of time, where you pull that dredge from pulls the boat around. 22 23 JOHN LIVINGS: Yes, sir. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Once it stops, then, all 24 25 you are doing is circling.

JOE JEWELL: To address Commissioner Trapani's request, I have got to be honest. I don't think there is a lot of science, or scientific data, or literature, out 3 there that would address that specific issue. I really 4 don't because we have looked at a lot on this issue, when the Commission requested us to determine if there was any 6 science, or data, out there between a basket and a regular 7 dredge. 9 If the Commission wants to define a definition, 10 I think this is a good start. Since that dredge is only twenty-seven pounds,

1

2

5

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you could say forty pounds, instead of thirty, and you would still meet that minimum requirement. It looks like it would get most of the dredges in there.

The whole point of a hand dredge, as we stated before, is to try and do as minimal damage to the reef as possible.

If the Commission's intent is to move forward with a Notice of Intent, you could take our recommendations, but modify it to forty pounds, and we can do that and come forward with a Notice of Intent at the next meeting.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Commissioner Havard.

COMMISSIONER HAVARD: I've got one question, just for clarification on my end.

1 You set your anchor in your boat, and, then, you 2 start throwing this hand dredge? 3 JOHN LIVINGS: No. COMMISSIONER HAVARD: How does it work? 4 5 JOHN LIVINGS: You pull it with the boat. You pull it in by hand. 6 7 COMMISSIONER HAVARD: So you are pulling it with the boat? 8 9 JOHN LIVINGS: You tie it off, you pull in a 10 circle, and you pull this dredge in by hand with a rope. COMMISSIONER HAVARD: So it's no different than 11 a normal dredge, except you don't have a winch to pull it 12 13 up in the boat. JOHN LIVINGS: What it did do in Biloxi is it 14 15 helped the tongers because we were able to find oysters quicker and they came and worked right beside me. 16 nine, or ten, boats beside me every day working, and we 17 18 worked one spot the whole relay, with no damage to the reef. 19 20 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I guess the biggest 21 difference, Commissioner Havard, if you can imagine a larger vessel with enough volume, or mass, of the vessel., 22 once that dredge fills up on a large vessel, if they are 23 not through, or if they are overloaded on deck, or 24

whatever, if they don't pull it up, they can continue to

1 tow the dredge. 2 with a small boat, these are skiffs. Most of them are twenty foot and under, and, once that thing gets 3 any amount of weight in it, it's like an anchor and they 4 5 can't pull it straight behind the boat. They have to pull to one the side whether it's forward, or stern. As soon 6 as it anchors, they have to stop and they have to pick it 7 8 up. 9 There is way less damage, or anyway you want to 10 put it, done with this on a small skiff. JOHN LIVINGS: And you're not going to let it 11 fill up all the way anyway. 12 13 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: You've got to be able to lift it out. 14 JOHN LIVINGS: I actually pulled mine off the 15 bow and I just left the motor going, when it was finished. 16 I didn't stop. We just pulled it up and dumped it and 17 18 threw it back over and went again. That was the cleanest way, without catching 19 shells and grit, or anything. 20 21 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Thank you, John. JOHN LIVINGS: Thank you, sir. 22 23 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Ms. Trapani has a motion. Do you want to amend your motion? 24

25

JOE JEWELL: Well, I think because it wasn't

1	seconded, it's not effective.
2	You can make another motion, or someone can
3	second the motion.
4	COMMISSIONER TRAPANI: Do we have any other
5	public comment?
6	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: That's all the public
7	comment.
8	COMMISSIONER TRAPANI: I still stand with my
9	first motion. I would like to table it until next month
10	so I myself can do some more research before voting on
11	this issue, since it is something that is going to define
12	what a hand dredge is in the State of Mississippi.
13	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion.
14	Do we have a second for that motion?
15	COMMISSIONER HARMON: I'll second Ms. Trapani's
16	motion.
17	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion and a
18	second.
19	Any further discussion?
20	(No response.)
21	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All those in favor say
22	aye.
23	(Commissioner Harmon, Commissioner Havard,
24	Commissioner Trapani in favor.)
25	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed?

1	(Commissioner Bosarge opposed.)
2	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Motion carries.
3	JOE JEWELL: The motion is three to one?
4	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Three to one, yes, to
5	table it until next meeting.
6	JOE JEWELL: Commissioners, we have removed item
7	K4, the Oyster Depuration Update from the agenda.
8	With that being said, the agenda was modified to
9	consider a proposal by Commissioner Havard, K5(a).
10	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Commissioner Havard.
11	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: I would like to make a
12	motion, but I want to explain my motion, first.
13	I would like to put a one-mile boundary around
14	Cat Island that would be an exclusion zone for all haul
15	seine nets.
16	This will mirror the other islands that are out
17	there that already have this one-mile exclusion.
18	What I would like to do, at this time, is make a
19	motion for the staff to come back next month with a Notice
20	of Intent to establish a one-mile haul seine exclusionary
21	zone around Cat Island.
22	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We've got a couple of
23	questions.
24	Just to clarify, there is no one-mile exclusion
25	for haul seines around the rest of the islands. What

1 happens around the rest of the barrier islands is there is 2 no commercial activity allowed. There is no law that says you can't use a haul seine. 3 You are asking for the staff to come back and 4 5 actually put a law in effect that says there will be no haul seines used within one mile around Cat Island. 6 I'm going to ask you what is your science? 7 In other words, if you look at state statute, 8 state statute says we have to make regulations based on 9 10 best available science. Can you explain to us your science for this? 11 COMMISSIONER TRAPANI: Are you saying that you 12 13 are not able to use the haul seines after the mile? I think that Mr. Havard means beyond that point 14 15 vou can still use haul seines. JOE JEWELL: I think both of y'all are correct. 16 The regulations around the Federal management of 17 18 the islands, like, Horn Island and Ship Island is all commercial activity. 19 I think what Commissioner Havard is saying is 20 21 that he wants to establish the same boundary, the one-mile mark, but just exclude haul seines. 22 There are a lot of other commercial activities 23 that occur within that one-mile boundary. There is 24 shrimping and oystering. 25

1	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Charter boat fishing.
2	JOE JEWELL: Correct.
3	I think he is trying to understand all of those
4	commercial activities, but he also understands the issue
5	with the haul seines, and he has recommended a one-mile
6	exclusion just for haul seines.
7	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: That's correct, just for
8	haul seines.
9	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Can you explain to us
10	your reasoning?
11	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: I do not have any
12	scientific proof, other than the eyes that are seeing what
13	has gone on with the destroying of the grass beds and they
14	are bringing these haul seines all the way up to the sand,
15	on the sand.
16	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: If we are serious about
17	grass beds, then, we need to close it to everybody, if we
18	are going to protect them.
19	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Only the nets are
20	destroying the grass beds.
21	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Propellers going through
22	the water and over those grass beds didn't damage them?
23	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: What's that?
24	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: The propellers. I know
25	you've been out there. You see the cuts through the grass

1	beds with propellers.
2	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: I don't want to hinder any
3	additional commercial activity.
4	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I know.
5	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: I just want to exclude
6	haul seines.
7	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Here's where I am with
8	it. I see it as discrimination against one class of
9	people. We've got eighteen commercial fishermen and
10	eighty-two thousand recreational fishermen.
11	This reminds me of the time when we have a
12	certain sector that has to go to the back of the bus
13	because the majority outweighs the minority, and that is
14	kind of where we are now.
15	You are going to take out the right of eighteen
16	people.
17	Am I right?
18	Is it eighteen haul seiners?
19	MATT HILL: Yes, for now.
20	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Eighteen haul seiners.
21	You are going to take their right away from them to fish
22	in a place.
23	I'm asking you the reason?
24	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Just to remind you, eleven
25	of those eighteen are currently under investigation.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: And I don't have a problem, and we are going to do whatever we need to do to correct that, but, at the same time, we had thirty-six recreational fishermen that didn't have a fishing license. There is good and bad on both sides.

COMMISSIONER HAVARD: It's a gear issue. It's not recreational. It's not commercial. It's about preserving these grass beds and making sure that they don't get completely destroyed by these nets.

what I would like to do is make a motion for the staff to come back with a Notice of Intent to establish a one-mile haul seine exclusionary zone around Cat Island.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Matt.

MATT HILL: If the Commission so desires and if the Commission votes for us to do that, we will bring back the Notice of Intent. We will also bring back the information, again, that we presented before with the harvest numbers, and we can pinpoint it to these areas, not just for the recreational fishery, but for all commercial gear and just see kind of what we are dealing with here.

We already do have a pretty substantial closure in this area, May  $15^{\rm th}$  through September  $15^{\rm th}$ , so it is closed right now in that area.

We can bring back some other options.

Obviously, status quo would be the first option. The second option would be the one-mile closure, but we could also look at some other seasonal things, or some other way to approach this problem, without a complete regulatory change in the fishery.

COMMISSIONER TRAPANI: I have a question.

It was called to our attention. We didn't realize they could go inside the one-mile zone.

Explain to us why Cat Island -- I think I know, but I think the general public should know why Cat Island was actually not included.

I think Commissioner Havard is saying it's not that we think that it is discriminatory to a certain group. It's that all the other islands are protected like this, and this one island is not.

Can you explain to us why and how this one island was left out?

MATT HILL: Cat Island is not part of the National Park Service.

All of these islands that we talk about, when we are talking about Horn and Ship and Petit Bois, they are part of the National Park Service, and these are Federal guidelines, and there is zero, no commercial activity allowed within that one-mile boundary. No shrimping can go in there. Charter vessels actually have to have a very

special permit that is not the easiest thing to get anymore to get in there.

The Department ourselves is rarely allowed to go into that area to do sampling.

Those are Federal guidelines that we have to follow, but Cat Island is not part of the National Park Service. It is actually divided up into three entities. The National Park Service does own a small portion of it. The state owns a small portion of it. Some of it is still privately owned.

It has never been inside of the National Park Service purview, so these regulations have not been in place for them.

what we're talking about is if we do this, it's not a complete -- if I understand Commissioner Havard right, it wouldn't be a complete closure of the commercial activity, or even the for-hire guys wouldn't have to get this special permit, but it would be a very specific gear restriction in this area.

JOE JEWELL: When these areas were established, these one-mile exclusionary areas for commercial activities around the barrier island, they are heavily Federally managed islands, but the State of Mississippi negotiated and worked with Gulf Islands National Seashore to give us jurisdiction of that one-mile boundary.

Now, on Cat Island, we have the same type management system for the island itself, only the State of Mississippi did not give up the management of those areas.

The Commission would have the authority to establish rules and regulations, or establish an exclusionary zone around Cat Island.

I have heard both of the Commissioners discuss that. I do agree with both Commissioner Havard and Commission Bosarge to some extent.

If we are going to talk about just grass beds, if we are only talking about grass beds, I know Gulf Islands National Seashore has restricted, during very sensitive times, the grass beds recreational activities, or all boat activities, because prop damage to the grass beds can be pretty significant and they need time to come back and regrow.

Cat Island is a pretty sensitive area for submerged grass and grasses. There is a substantial amount of those on both the north and south sides of the island. That is a consideration.

I think the last time that we had a lot of significant calls from the recreational community about the haul seine activities on Cat Island earlier this year, there were probably at most four, or five, haul seiners out there, and there was a significant number of

1 recreational fishermen. 2 That is the balance that the Commission has to try and find, when establishing, or not establishing, this 3 boundary. 4 JOE SPRAGGINS: Joe, one other thing, too. 5 Ι think there is a little bit of miscommunication on 6 something here. 7 Deer Island is not a mile. 8 9 Right? 10 MATT HILL: That is correct. JOE SPRAGGINS: Deer Island is fourteen hundred 11 feet? 12 13 MATT HILL: Fifteen. JOE SPRAGGINS: Fifteen hundred feet. 14 15 It's not like every island is the same, and I wanted to clarify that, and that island is owned mostly by 16 the State. I just wanted to clarify that. 17 18 JOE JEWELL: It is owned by three different 19 entities. The State owns a portion, the feds own a portion, and some of it is still in private hands. 20 21 COMMISSIONER TRAPANI: At one point, somebody had to also include Deer Island? 22 JOE JEWELL: Well, Deer Island has several types 23 of management restrictions on it. It is within the one-24

mile tonging line, it is part of our Coastal Preserves

that has management restrictions on it, and it does have 1 2 that fifteen hundred foot buffer to the south. several things that are working on it. 3 COMMISSIONER HAVARD: I'm not aware of Deer 4 5 Island having any grass beds. JOE JEWELL: Historically it has had some grass 6 beds, but because of its proximity close to the mainland, 7 8 they come and go. 9 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Here again, just to kind 10 of reiterate, this Commission makes management decisions 11 using State statute as the guidelines. Correct? 12 13 COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Correct. 14 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We are trying to take politics out of it. 15 Right now, this is a political issue -- Senator 16 Seymour, I'm sure your phone is ringing off the hook --17 18 but, at the same time, if we are truly worried about the grass beds, then, let's fix the problem. 19 That's what has happened with the commercial 20 industries all along. 21 You presented, at the last meeting, one mile 22 around the islands, half a mile from the beaches, not 23 around any pier, not around the mouth of a river, on and 24 on and on and on and on. 25

1 Let's don't discriminate. If we are truly 2 worried about the resource and we are truly worried about the grass beds, then, let's fix it for everybody. You 3 can't discriminate. 4 5 In other words, we've got to base management decisions on best available science, and I think -- I 6 don't know how this Commission -- I have always looked at 7 different commissions and even senators. 8 9 When you don't follow State statute, are we 10 liable for that, Ms. Chestnut? SANDY CHESTNUT: You can't be more restrictive 11 than the State statute. 12 13 JOE JEWELL: I will address some of those 14 comments by Commissioner Bosarge. 15 As you know, there are thirty State statutes that govern either directly, or indirectly, netting 16 activity; gill netting, haul seines, but we also have 17 18 Commission regulations that mirror some of those statutes that provide additional guidance, like Mr. Hill said, the 19 seasonal closure around Cat Island. 20 21 In 49-15-78, there is a provision that you cannot fish a gill net within half a mile of the 22

decisions on the best science available.

Then, as you said, 49-15-2(a), you have to make

shoreline.

23

24

Also, in 49-15-2(b), as the Commission has been reminded -- I have said this myself, and some of the Commissioners have stated this -- the statute reads:

"If it becomes necessary to assign allocation, those allocations shall be based on fair and equatability to those fishermen reasonably calculated for conservation and carried out so that no particular individual, corporation, or other entity, acquires excessive shares of the privilege."

I think that's what you are referencing, but there are a substantial amount of State statutes that govern this activity.

COMMISSIONER TRAPANI: Mr. Chairman, I would just like to comment. Actually, when you are talking about discriminating against recreational and commercial fishermen, you want to look at it in another term.

The discrimination to me is actually on Cat Island itself. It is one of the only islands that is not protected. That is discriminating against a natural island, not against the fishermen. It is the only one not protected, and I think that is what is bothering us.

Let's just remember what got us here. What got us here were the numbers, and I hate to say it for the good people that don't break the law, but the numbers are from the people that abused it. These are the people that

were using it and going that close and broke the law, and that's what has caused the attention to all this. wouldn't be here, if that wouldn't have been done. The discrimination is against the island, right now, because it is the only island not protected. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Nobody broke any laws fishing that I know of. MATT HILL: No. They did not break any fishing laws. They broke reporting laws. COMMISSIONER TRAPANI: Not reporting, they did. 

COMMISSIONER TRAPANI: Not reporting, they did.

I'm not saying using the haul seines. I'm not saying

that, but their further actions at that point caused our

attention to this island.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I disagree with you.

COMMISSIONER TRAPANI: Yes, it did because a lot of people did not realize that this island was not protected and didn't have that barrier. I know. I got the calls, and I told them I'm sorry. They are not breaking the law. They weren't breaking the law by catching the fish. They were breaking them afterwards, and they are the ones that caused the attention on themselves.

You are trying to protect those very ones that caused the attention on themselves and broke the laws. We wouldn't be here without that.

Like I said, the discrimination is on the 1 2 island. JOE JEWELL: Commissioners, I do want to remind 3 y'all of a couple of things. 4 5 Cat Island does have an exclusionary period of time that haul seiners are not allowed. September through 6 March of the following year. That is in effect. 7 What the Commission is considering, or what the 8 9 motion on the floor is which doesn't have a second, is 10 that we would have to figure out how we would do that because the boundary would now be extended year round one 11 mile out. 12 13 You may have to make a couple of modifications to the regulations to incorporate that change for the 14 Commission to move forward. 15 I think what Steve is trying to point out is 16 that it is a resource issue, but it is slighted towards 17 18 one group versus the other. 19 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes. 20 To answer your question, in your opinion, the 21 way that we fix the problem is just to outlaw it. If we did that in every instance where somebody 22 broke the law, well, we'll fix this. We will make a law 23 where they can't do that anymore. 24

COMMISSIONER TRAPANI: We are trying to protect

1	Cat Island. Cat Island is the only island that is not
2	protected.
3	I would like to second Commissioner Havard's
4	motion.
5	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I have a question.
6	Because we added this motion to the agenda and
7	because it's not an action item, can we actually make a
8	motion on an item on the agenda that is not an action
9	item?
10	MATT HILL: I believe they can make it for us to
11	bring back a Notice of Intent for this, but, right now, we
12	cannot move forward on that Notice of Intent, but we can
13	be instructed to bring back the language for this one-mile
14	boundary and possibly other options for the next meeting.
15	JOE JEWELL: If we come forward with a Notice of
16	Intent at the next meeting, it will give due process and
17	the public time to comment on the process.
18	If we did it today, they would not be able to
19	have that legal right to comment publicly on that
20	recommendation.
21	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion and a
22	second.
23	Any further discussion?
24	(No response.)
25	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All those in favor say

1 aye. (Commissioner Harmon, Commissioner Havard, 2 Commissioner Trapani in favor.) 3 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed? 4 5 (Commissioner Bosarge opposed.) COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Motion carries. 6 MATT HILL: I do want to make one comment. I 7 think something was said, and I'm going to try to be as 8 9 respectful as possible about how I say this. 10 It came from the bench that we are protecting these fishermen. 11 Chief Davis and his investigators opened up a 12 13 full investigation and we brought this to light. brought it to light. They brought it to our attention, 14 and I feel like, in the end, there will be some very harsh 15 penalties. There will be some privileges taken away from 16 certain individuals. 17 18 I do take exception, when that statement is being made that the department is protecting these people. 19 We are here for the resource and something did 20 21 happen, and it was caught by Marine Patrol and it took a little twisting of some arms, but Marine Fisheries did 22 begin to cooperate on a daily basis with Marine Patrol. 23 we did shut down the fisheries, so it is not a 24

resource issue. They went over the quota. Right now,

it's about twenty-seven hundred pounds, and we did shut the fishery down, and it will not open again until February  $2^{nd}$ .

I feel like in the end we are going to do what is right, and we are not protecting the fishermen. We have uncovered something and, once Chief Davis is comfortable that his investigation is thoroughly complete, I believe the correct penalties will be given to these people, and the entire industry is onboard with that and we will continue to be onboard with it. I did want to make that clear.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I agree, Matt. If there are folks out there not doing what they are supposed to do, take care of it.

I have said this to Chief Davis and I will say it again. My concern is targeting.

The reason that we are able to do what we do, catch these individuals, is because the tools are there. They have to report their catch. They are restricted as to what they do, when they do and where they do. So there are tools there.

With eighty-two thousand recreational fishermen, there are things that go on within that sector, too.

We just need to take the time to look at both sides. Let's get it right.

1	MATT HILL: Yes, sir.
2	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Thank you, Matt.
3	MATT HILL: We will go on to the two other
4	items. We have combined this. These were some
5	recommendations that we would like to give. The
6	Commission has requested this, and this is a direct
7	consequence of some of the actions that have taken place.
8	Please stop me, if there are any questions
9	throughout this.
10	We will first address the Spotted Seatrout
11	endorsement.
12	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Matt, can I stop you for
13	one minute?
14	MATT HILL: Yes, sir.
15	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Commissioner Harmon has
16	asked for a break.
17	Can we take a quick break?
18	MATT HILL: Yes, sir.
19	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Ten minutes, and we will
20	be back.
21	MEETING STANDS IN RECESS
22	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Let's try to get things
23	back to order.
24	Mr. Harmon had to report for work, so he is
25	gone.

1 MATT HILL: Let's try again. 2 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Okay, Matt. MATT HILL: These are some of the things that 3 directly came out of some of the events that have been 4 5 happening, and the Commission has identified a few problems and the staff has identified a few problems. 6 We are going to give some options to the 7 Commission to begin to consider. 8 The first is some changes to our Spotted 9 10 Seatrout endorsement. 11 Recently stakeholders have expressed concern over the current number of Spotted Seatrout endorsements 12 13 and the qualifications required to participate in the commercial finfish net fisherv. 14 This presentation will provide a synopsis of the 15 Spotted Seatrout endorsement and proposed modifications to 16 the finfish net fisherv. 17 18 Talking about the endorsement, you can see here when we first enacted the endorsement, we had forty-four 19 individuals that qualified for the endorsement and, of 20 21 course, that number began to rise. Now, we have reached a plateau, or peaked, and 22 we believe it will stay around one fifty. However, we do 23 believe that this fishery will not support that many 24 25 individuals with the quota that we currently have.

1 what we did was we took a hard look at the 2 endorsement that was passed and some of the qualifications that it took to possess the endorsement. 3 I have some language here, just something for 4 5 the Commission to consider, and we can make changes to it as we go. 6 The requested regulatory changes would happen to 7 Title 22 Part 7 Chapter 09, Commercial Size, Possession 8 9 and Catch Limits, Section 110. 10 I will try to paraphrase this as much as 11 possible, but one thing the endorsement would remain as a ten dollar endorsement. It would cost the individual ten 12 13 dollars. To qualify for the endorsement, an application 14 15 must be completed, and we currently do that. However, this is where we begin to start seeing 16 some changes, or some changes that we would recommend, or 17 18 request the Commission consider. 19 we all know the 2018 fishing season has currently come to an end, so we are looking for an opening 20 21 on February 1<sup>st</sup> of 2019 for the Spotted Seatrout season. This is where we began with the regulation. 22 For the 2019 fishing season, the fisher must 23

present documentation that a minimum of thirty-five

percent of their earned income came from commercial

24

fishing activities in a previous tax year between 2013 through 2018.

Documentation shall be a copy of the appropriate filed IRS tax form showing the applicant's earned income for one of those years.

A Marine Fisheries Trip Ticket officer will then run a sales summary for the year chosen, using trip tickets to determine if the eligibility requirement has been met.

This endorsement will be valid for a one-year period, at which time a new application with qualifying documents for the previous tax year shall be submitted for a new endorsement.

The endorsement will be valid from January  $\mathbf{1}^{\text{st}}$  through December  $3\mathbf{1}^{\text{st}}$  of each year.

A little bit of background. Currently, an individual may come into the office and we do have a form that they can bring to their tax preparer, and their tax preparer can sign it and say that ten percent of their income came from commercial fishing activities, or they are allowed to bring receipts up here from dealers, or the public, or sometimes we can go into the Trip Ticket system and see if they have had five thousand dollars worth of sales in any consecutive twelve-month period.

What we are trying to eliminate is it is

mandatory for the fishermen, or the dealers, to turn in trip tickets for the fishermen.

what we would like, or what we would recommend to the Commission is that, in the future, the fisherman will be required to bring his filed tax form, his summary form, with his income on it, and we will file that, and, then, a Marine Fisheries trip ticket officer will run a summary of the trip tickets that this individual has turned into the system.

This takes away all of the outside presence.

This will be all done in house, and we will be able to compare the income with the summary that this individual has sold. If he, or she, meets the thirty-five percent requirement, then, they would be eligible for the endorsement.

We will allow the historical fishermen -- and that's why we are giving that five-year window right there for 2019. We would allow them to pick one of those years for their qualification. However, after that, they would have to show us their income, and we would run the trip ticket summary for them on an annual basis for the year before that.

They would have to show that they have continued in the fishery to be able to commercially harvest, or possess, Spotted Seatrout.

1 I know there are a few changes in there, so I 2 will stop right there with this one, if we have any questions on that. 3 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I see where you're going 4 5 and I see, by the trip ticket system, you will be able to possibly back up what their tax return says. 6 7 Can you legally do the tax return? MATT HILL: Yes, we can legally require them to 8 9 we need bring in -- we don't need their whole tax form. 10 the summary of their tax form. We have required tax forms 11 in the past for the disaster programs that we have administered. It is something that we have done in the 12 13 past. We did get away from it. It is a very 14 15 cumbersome process, but I think we will be dealing with a small enough group of individuals here that we can handle 16 it. 17 18 If Sandy wants to add something to that, she 19 can. 20 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. 21 SANDY CHESTNUT: It's just a means of telling the percentage of their income. Like Matt said, we don't 22 actually take their tax records. I think they get a 23 statement form their accountant saying what percentage 24 25 they are earning from that endeavor.

1 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. 2 JOE JEWELL: To meet the current ten percent requirement, they have to bring that summary tax form in. 3 It's not the whole form. It's not the complete filing. 4 5 It's just the summary form. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: And/or the sales tickets 6 for five thousand dollars? 7 JOE JEWELL: That's correct. That would be the 8 9 current system that we are under. 10 If the Commission passed this, it would be a 11 different strategy that we use to establish those bench marks. 12 13 MATT HILL: We would go strictly from the Trip Ticket system. If John Doe comes in and his earned income 14 for 2017 is a hundred thousand dollars, the trip ticket 15 officer would go into the trip ticket system and, at that 16 time, he would have to show that thirty-five thousand 17 18 dollars of that is in the Trip Ticket System. No matter what his taxes say, he is required to 19 fill out those trip tickets so we will have two forms of 20 21 verification to see this. We will no longer accept just what the tax 22 professional says, or the receipts that they bring in. 23 will solely base this on what is in the Trip Ticket 24

25

System.

1	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Do you foresee this
2	affecting many people?
3	MATT HILL: Absolutely. I believe we will get
4	this number below fifty quickly.
5	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Looking at the Spotted
6	Seatrout endorsement and looking at a total of fifty
7	thousand pounds, if you divide it between a hundred and
8	fifty hook-and-line fishermen and eighteen other net
9	fishermen
10	MATT HILL: It doesn't add up.
11	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: It doesn't add up.
12	Any further discussion?
13	(No response.)
14	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right.
15	MATT HILL: The next issue we are tackling is
16	very similar language. However, this is dealing strictly
17	with the commercial net fishery.
18	When I'm talking about that, I'm talking about
19	the gill nets, trammel nets and haul seines and,
20	basically, the endorsement would also be ten dollars.
21	The qualifications would be the same. However,
22	for the qualifications in this, it could not be all
23	seafood product. It would have to be thirty-five percent
24	of income came from the commercial harvest of finfish.
25	The reason we have done this is we went back and

looked and, if we allow it to be from all seafood product, then, there are several vessels out there, and I hate to bring this, but most shrimpers would qualify for this and they are not necessarily in the finfish fishery. A lot of crabbers would qualify for it.

What we are trying to do is pinpoint these fishermen that have been in this fishery. They understand this fishery, and they solely use their nets for the harvest of finfish. That is basically the big change in there.

I also do want to add the reason we are going from January  $\mathbf{1}^{\text{st}}$  -- we know the commercial license is a little different, but the January  $\mathbf{1}^{\text{st}}$  through December  $3\mathbf{1}^{\text{st}}$  allows them to only fish one quota year. If we do it through the license year, then, these endorsements would allow them to fish two quota years because of the way the quota system is set up.

We would recommend that, even though the commercial licenses run at a different period, the endorsements will begin to run on a calendar year which would only allow them to fish one quota year at a time under the endorsement.

Did you want to ask a question?

I've got one more on this one.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Here we are. We are

putting more and more restrictions on -- anyhow. Go ahead.

JOE JEWELL: I want to address that because I understand your concerns. I want to talk about the original endorsement.

The issue that we had was we had about forty, or fifty, legitimate full-time commercial fishermen and, as the Commission recognized, we had a whole lot of recreational fishermen in that group that were using that to pay for fuel and other things.

The Commission established that endorsement, and it had two requirements; ten percent, or that five thousand, in any calendar year, or any combination of twelve months.

what is happening is that stayed relatively steady, if you saw that graph, for a few years, but, now, the staff is sort of hand strung because we have to accept the documentation that is provided to us. There is no way around that.

That same instance that the Commission addressed four, or five, years ago, that same scenario is happening again.

We don't anticipate these rules that we are implementing to affect the true one hundred percent working commercial fishermen. It will address the issues

that the Commission originally set forth with the endorsement. It certainly will do that.

I think I'm sort of on the fence with that. I don't want to harm an industry, as Commissioner Trapani has already pointed out. I don't think it's the Commission's intent to harm those honest hardworking fishermen, commercial fishermen, but we do have an issue and it's an issue I think the Commission should address.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I'm with you, Joe. Just some of it, it kind of rubs you a little bit.

Go ahead.

MATT HILL: I guess we are going to try to do these in phases. We have several things that we would like the Commission to consider, but just a little bit at a time, right now.

The last one is one thing that I have had an issue with for a long time. It's the actual tagging of these nets, and I'm talking about all nets.

Right now, we have no mechanism. These nets, once they are tagged -- and I'm talking about any net -- that tag is good for life.

I require them loosely to bring these nets in on a yearly basis because I want to see them and I want to see the tags on them, and they have agreed to this, so it hasn't been a problem, but we do not have any language.

What we are proposing is individuals qualifying 1 2 for the finfish net endorsement must have nets inspected and tagged on an annual basis. The tags associated with 3 each net will be valid from January 1<sup>st</sup> through December 4 5 31<sup>st</sup> of each year. The big question we always have is how many nets 6 do we have out there, how people do we have using them 7 and, right now, it's a process to actually figure out who 8 9 is out there. 10 We have them that sell nets to each other, that trade nets to each other, and there is really no mechanism 11 to keep up with all this, but, if we can have some type of 12 13 language like this on the books, this would definitely 14 make it much easier on the agency to keep up with this. 15 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I agree. COMMISSIONER TRAPANI: I have a question. I may 16 be unclear about the nets. 17 18 MATT HILL: Yes, ma'am. 19 COMMISSIONER TRAPANI: If they have a license, then, you know they have a net, but you are saying they 20 21 have a license and they might have three nets? MATT HILL: Yes. 22 COMMISSIONER TRAPANI: You are just trying to 23 figure out how many nets are out there in general? 24 MATT HILL: Well, technically, the license that 25

covers the nets that we are specifically talking about is called the Type 11 license. It's your fishing boat license, or your net boat license. This license covers all nets, including shrimp trawls. It also covers cast nets.

What we have to do is go down through there and decipher which ones of these are not shrimpers, that they are finfish fishermen with some type of net.

Obviously, cast nets do not have to be tagged. That is one issue that we do have. We don't necessarily need to tag the cast nets, but these other nets that we are talking about, we would like to tag them.

The question is asked up here all the time, how many nets do we have out there.

We would like to know that, and this also allows us to better put our eyes on them and see if tags have been swapped because we do take pictures of the nets as they come in so we know what that net looks like and we know the length of the net.

They do call and say, "I'm going to repair this net, Matt", and we allow that, but, at least, on a yearly basis, we can say, no, that net was destroyed. I don't have it anymore, and they will return their tags to me.

Right now, we don't have that mechanism. They do it for the most part, but they are not required to do

1 it. 2 This would require for us to inspect these nets 3 every year. COMMISSIONER TRAPANI: How would you control 4 5 that? With their license, you say I need to see your 6 net, or they are just responsible for having a tagged net? 7 MATT HILL: What we would do is we would have a 8 9 color-code system. Maybe 2019 would be red rags. 10 would be blue tags. We would order new sets of tags every 11 year. That net would come in with the 2019 tags on it, 12 13 and I would take those tags off and I would put the 2020 Marine Patrol would obviously know the colors 14 15 that the tags were supposed to be that year, and, then, they are unique numbers for each tag for each individual. 16 We would do some type of system like that. 17 18 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Any more questions? 19 (No response.) MATT HILL: This is not an action item, or 20 21 anything. This is just something that the Commission 22 requested to see, and these are some recommendations. This is something that we can bring back. If the 23 Commission would like to see these changes, or some 24

modification to these changes, we can bring these back for

25

Notice of Intent at the July meeting.

COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Matt, it just seems like to me that we are spending a lot of effort and a lot time to dance around and combat one net that they found a loophole and it's a gill net renamed to be a haul seine net.

These guys know it's just a matter of time that we are going to outlaw the net, but everybody is scared to say it's gill nets, or used as a gill net.

It just seems like we are putting a lot of effort into something to try to go the back way around, when we could just say, hey, look guys, give me a definition of the net which we don't have.

Our definition is very, very broad.

MATT HILL: And that is something that we began to look into, but I would say gill nets and trammel nets are still legal in the State of Mississippi, as long as they are half a mile off the shoreline and they meet the biodegradable standards for the webbing.

COMMISSIONER HAVARD: which these haul seine nets are not biodegradable.

MATT HILL: When I wrote this, I lumped them all together because I'm also not required to inspect gill nets on an annual basis. Once that net comes in front of me, that net is good for life, and I have no idea where

that net goes.

Not just haul seines, but, as long as all these nets continue to be legal, we need a way to be able to keep up with them because, if something happens with the haul seines, they will begin to use gill nets again. They will begin to use the biodegradable gill nets that are legal in State statute half a mile off the shoreline, and the Commission will also need to know how many of those are out there.

This is just a blanket thing for us to be able to keep up with this.

The reason I can say that we have no active gill netters in the State of Mississippi is because we look at the Trip Ticket System and the gear type is not on there, but I still have twelve gill nets in historical files from individuals that I don't know where those nets are because I tagged them once.

We can call and find out, but, if we had something like this in place, if the individual didn't bring me that net for the next year, well, that net is gone. It is illegal wherever it is.

It's not just looking at the haul seines. We are trying to look at all nets universally, or any nets that may come up in the future.

COMMISSIONER HAVARD: I think it's a good start.

1	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I agree. If it's coming
2	from the staff and the staff needs these changes made,
3	then, I think we need to do it.
4	I will make the motion that we take those
5	requested regulation changes to Notice of Intent.
6	MATT HILL: Bring it back for Notice of Intent
7	in July.
8	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Correct, Notice of Intent
9	in July.
10	We have a motion.
11	Do we have a second for the motion?
12	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Mr. Chairman, I'll second
13	that motion.
14	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion and a
15	second.
16	Before we vote on it, we have Mr. Ryan Bradley
17	that actually put in for public comment on this, also, K5.
18	RYAN BRADLEY: Thank you. Ryan Bradley,
19	Director of Mississippi Commercial Fisheries United.
20	I appreciate you giving me a chance to speak on
21	this, before you vote on it.
22	One question, or concern, about the income
23	requirement. We support that, and I think that needs to
24	be done.
25	It does get a bit restrictive, when you talk

about having to match up verified income with trip 2 tickets. For example, a fisherman could be a Mississippi resident, but maybe he commercial fished in Louisiana, or 4

7

guys out.

8

1

3

5

6

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

17

16

19

18

20 21

22

23 24

25

I would recommend that we would increase that income qualifier to fifty-one percent, but allow a little bit more leniency on the commercial fishermen that are eligible, not just finfish, but a broader range of commercial fishermen.

maybe he fished in the Gulf, under a system that is not

required to report to Mississippi. That would cut these

That was one concern I saw with that, but we do support that there needs to be an increase to that qualifier. There is no doubt about it.

I just wanted to throw out some other options. There are other tools in the tool bag that we can use to address this issue.

There has been a lot of controversy around the nets. If you want to ban nets around Cat Island, go for it. That's your prerogative.

I don't support blaming the nets, saying that they are killing the grass. I don't think we have seen the evidence of that.

There have been plenty of pictures on social

media showing these guys pulling their nets in, and never do you see grass.

If you want to talk about killing grass, what about anchoring in grass. When you pull that anchor in, you've got a big chunk of grass.

Wading in the grass stomping all over, I'm sure that may cause some damage.

If that's the road y'all want to go down, bring some science to the table. I think that will benefit everybody.

Other options in the tool bag that we would support -- we have talked with some of these guys that have participated in this fishery -- include a two hundred pound, or something in that area, vessel trip limit. We used to have a two hundred pound trip limit on Red Snapper in State waters.

That seems to be the big outcry about the nets, that they are catching a ton of fish. I have seen a lot come in with hook-and-line. It goes both ways.

If you wanted to slow down the harvest and extend the season, seems like to me a vessel trip limit would be an option. We would support that.

Another idea that came to my attention was requiring some of these commercial finfish vessels to have to check in and check out using these Tails n' Scales.

1 If there was some way we could adapt that Tails 2 n' Scales to where these fishermen let everybody know when they are going and when they are coming, it will make 3 everybody more accountable and, hopefully, that will help 4 5 increase our access down the road one day. Another option that I've heard is requiring 6 these fishermen that transport out of state to have to 7 notify the DMR before they transport out of state. 8 9 It is currently done for Red Fish in Louisiana. 10 If you transport Red Fish from Mississippi to Louisiana, you have to call Louisiana Wildlife before you do that. 11 Some of the guys have been vocal about splitting 12 the quota among the net and the hook-and-line fishermen. 13 That would be an option. 14 I had one question I was going to ask the Chief 15 before he left. 16 Is the DMR patrol allowed to look into the fish 17 sales to the restaurants? 18 Is that in the purview of the Marine Patrol? 19 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes, because it has to be 20 21 a trip ticket. Anything that a restaurant purchases directly from a fisherman, then, that restaurant owner, to 22 my knowledge, has to fill out a trip ticket because they 23

RYAN BRADLEY: Are the restaurants actively

are the first point of sale.

24

25

being looked at to see if there is compliance?
COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I can't answer that
question, Ryan.
RYAN BRADLEY: Well, I'll leave it at that.
JOE SPRAGGINS: I think I can answer it.
Chief, you are right there, if you want to come
up.
I think we look at everything that we can every
day of anything that may be done illegally.
KEITH DAVIS: Thank you, Director.
Yes, sir, we do, and we welcome any information
that anyone would have.
We have created an intelligence gathering
division within Marine Patrol, and we take all of that
information as it comes in very seriously.
If your group has any information, I will gladly
take it.
RYAN BRADLEY: Just for the record, I wanted to
say we don't have any specific information. It's pretty
common talk that there are a lot of illegal sales going on
within the local restaurants.
If you look at why are these fish being shipped
out of state, maybe it's because the commercial fishermen,
the legitimate ones have a hard time selling for

1	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Thank you, Mr. Ryan.
2	KEITH DAVIS: If I may, sometimes from a law
3	enforcement perspective, when we have these types of
4	discussions in an open forum that way, it has an adverse
5	affect on what is occurring.
6	I would like the Commission to know that Marine
7	Patrol does aggressively go after all violations of the
8	fishery. I just want to point that out.
9	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. Thank you.
10	JOE JEWELL: Before y'all take your vote, I know
11	you have a motion on the floor
12	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: (Interposing) I have one
12	
13	more public comment.
13	more public comment.
13 14	more public comment.  JOE JEWELL: Okay. I'm sorry.
13 14 15	more public comment.  JOE JEWELL: Okay. I'm sorry.  COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Mr. F. J. Eicke.
13 14 15 16	more public comment.  JOE JEWELL: Okay. I'm sorry.  COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Mr. F. J. Eicke.  F. J. EICKE: My name is F. J. Eicke. I'm the
13 14 15 16 17	more public comment.  JOE JEWELL: Okay. I'm sorry.  COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Mr. F. J. Eicke.  F. J. EICKE: My name is F. J. Eicke. I'm the chairman of the Government Relations Committee for Coastal
13 14 15 16 17 18	more public comment.  JOE JEWELL: Okay. I'm sorry.  COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Mr. F. J. Eicke.  F. J. EICKE: My name is F. J. Eicke. I'm the chairman of the Government Relations Committee for Coastal Conservation Association of Mississippi.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	more public comment.  JOE JEWELL: Okay. I'm sorry.  COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Mr. F. J. Eicke.  F. J. EICKE: My name is F. J. Eicke. I'm the chairman of the Government Relations Committee for Coastal Conservation Association of Mississippi.  Really what I would like to do and we presented
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	more public comment.  JOE JEWELL: Okay. I'm sorry.  COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Mr. F. J. Eicke.  F. J. EICKE: My name is F. J. Eicke. I'm the chairman of the Government Relations Committee for Coastal Conservation Association of Mississippi.  Really what I would like to do and we presented to this board for consideration and no action has been
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	more public comment.  JOE JEWELL: Okay. I'm sorry.  COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Mr. F. J. Eicke.  F. J. EICKE: My name is F. J. Eicke. I'm the chairman of the Government Relations Committee for Coastal Conservation Association of Mississippi.  Really what I would like to do and we presented to this board for consideration and no action has been taken. We are working with a non-defined net, in the case
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	more public comment.  JOE JEWELL: Okay. I'm sorry.  COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Mr. F. J. Eicke.  F. J. EICKE: My name is F. J. Eicke. I'm the chairman of the Government Relations Committee for Coastal Conservation Association of Mississippi.  Really what I would like to do and we presented to this board for consideration and no action has been taken. We are working with a non-defined net, in the case of the haul seine. What we are calling a haul seine.

1	with.
2	The assertion that has come out, Commissioner
3	Bosarge, about recreational versus commercial, this is not
4	what it is all about. This is about a net, and we will
5	leave it at that.
6	Thank you.
7	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Thank you, Mr. Eicke.
8	We had a motion and we had a second.
9	Any further discussion?
10	(No response.)
11	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All those in favor say
12	aye.
13	(All in favor.)
14	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed?
15	(None opposed.)
16	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Motion carries.
17	MATT HILL: Thank you.
18	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Thank you.
19	JOE JEWELL: Our next agenda item is
20	Recreational Bag Limit for Flounder. This is a final
21	adoption. Trevor will be presenting this.
22	TREVOR MONCRIEF: We will be going over the
23	final adoption for flounder.
24	CMR passed the Notice of Intent on May 15 <sup>th</sup> ,
25	2018.

1 Right after that, we also filed with the Secretary of State's office on May 15th, 2018, as you see 2 here on the right (indicating slide). 3 We also posted a public notice on our web page 4 5 on May 16<sup>th</sup>, 2018, as you can here below. We had a legal notice appear in The Sun Herald 6 May 23<sup>rd</sup>, 2018. You can see it here on the right. 7 Since the Notice of Intent was published on May 8 15<sup>th</sup>, 2018, the public comment period was from May 16<sup>th</sup> 9 through June 18<sup>th</sup>, thirty-four total days. 10 Six public comments have been received to date. 11 All six comments are opposed to the regulatory change. 12 13 Next I will summarize these comments. Commissioners, you have the entire comments in 14 your packets. I will read these into the record. 15 On June 5<sup>th</sup>, we had a comment from Mr. Michael 16 Miller: 17 18 "I would like to express my personal opposition to this reduction, unless the limit was only going to be 19 applied when gigging flounder. Flounder are hard enough 20 21 to target, and it is more common not to catch a limit of fifteen per person by hook and line than to catch a 22 limit." 23 The second comment June 5<sup>th</sup> from Matt Capenter: 24 "What is the basis for this? 25

"Have there been any studies done on this 1 2 species that shows a reduced catch is needed? "Did I miss the proposed change to the 3 commercial take on flounder? 4 "I would assume it would be for the same percent 5 reduction as the proposed recreational change 6 (approximately thirty-three percent reduction)." 7 The third comment June 5<sup>th</sup> from Al Simm: 8 "I am strongly opposed to the proposed change. 9 "I am a hook-and-line recreational fisherman who 10 primarily fishes for flounders. I flounder fish all the 11 time and have never had a BMR agent check my catch. 12 13 Therefore, I don't believe that checking the catches of hook-and-line fishermen would have played into the 14 equation, so what science brought about this proposed 15 change. I have not seen a big decline in flounders in 16 recent years." 17 The fourth comment came on June 5<sup>th</sup> from 18 Nathaniel Kyle Miller: 19 "Since the net fishermen are killing our 20 21 speckled trout and flounder population, what kind of change will you propose to the net fishermen? 22 "If you are going to reduce the recreational bag 23 limit, you need to reduce the commercial quota." 24 The fifth comment on June 7<sup>th</sup> from Ralph 25

Humphrey:

"I attended the CMR meeting when this change was proposed. I firmly support the rules to protect finfish, when they are proposed by scientists using scientific research to support them. I am firmly and adamantly opposed to a proposal that will do absolutely nothing."

Our last comment, sixth comment, June the  $8^{\text{th}}$  is from Josh who did not give his last name:

"This proposed change in the flounder bag limit is beyond absurd. There is absolutely nothing wrong with the flounder population. There is no reason for this, and it is ridiculous that recreational fishermen are continuing to have fishing for food taken away from us by the very state agency that is supposed to support us and that we, the recreational fishermen, support with our tax dollars."

The language change, if we go through today, will read:

"Chapter 8 Section 100 Subsection 100.08, Recreational Bag, Possession and Size Limits.

"100.08, Ten (10) flounder (Paralichthys sp.)."

What will be required now is a motion for final adoption of regulatory changes to Title 22 Part 7 Chapter 8 Section 100 Subsection 100.08, Recreational Bag, Possession and Size Limit Change for Flounder.

1	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Any questions?
2	(No response.)
3	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Do we have a motion?
4	COMMISSIONER TRAPANI: I would like to make a
5	motion for final adoption of the regulatory changes to
6	Title 22 Part 7 Chapter 8 Section 100 Subsection 100.08,
7	Recreational Bag, Possession and Size Limit change for
8	Flounder.
9	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: I'll second that motion.
10	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion and a
11	second.
12	Any further discussion?
13	(No response.)
14	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All those in favor say
15	aye.
16	(All in favor.)
17	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed?
18	(None opposed.)
19	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Motion carries.
20	TREVOR MONCRIEF: Thank you.
21	JOE JEWELL: Our final consideration for the
22	Commission is a new State record. It's in our Youth
23	category. Mr. Jonathan Barr will be presenting that.
24	JONATHAN BARR: Good morning.
25	We have one state record for consideration this

1 month. It is conventional tackle. 2 As Joe mentioned, it is a New Youth State 3 Saltwater Fishing Record, Hardhead Catfish, Ariopsis 4 5 felis. It is one pound twelve point nine seven ounces. 6 The angler is Mr. Greyson Borries. 7 We all know this beloved creator (indicating 8 9 photograph). 10 There is Mr. Greyson with his catch (indicating 11 photograph). Required is a Motion to Adopt this new State 12 13 record. COMMISSIONER HAVARD: I think it's great to see 14 these kids involved. It gets them excited about fishing. 15 It gets them excited about our resource. There are lots 16 of opportunity for these kids to get involved, and it 17 18 makes the parents bring them. I would like to make a motion to adopt the new 19 State record for Hardhead Catfish. 20 COMMISSIONER TRAPANI: I would like to second 21 that. 22 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I'll make one comment. 23 There are plenty more of them out there for them to catch. 24 We have a motion and a second. 25

1	All those in favor indicate by saying aye.
2	(All in favor.)
3	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed?
4	(None opposed.)
5	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Motion carries.
6	JONATHAN BARR: Thank you.
7	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Thank you.
8	Any other business?
9	F. J. EICKE: I want to make a comment.
10	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes, sir, Mr. Eicke. You
11	are going to have to step to the podium, now. This young
12	lady has to get your comments.
13	F. J. EICKE: I didn't know if you were at that
14	point.
15	These are not agenda items that I'm going to
16	comment on very briefly.
17	One is that the Administrative Handbook for the
18	Commission specifics that this meeting will occur at 9:00
19	a.m. the third Tuesday of every month.
20	We have a group of recreational fishermen,
21	members who are employed and they have a hard time getting
22	here, just about an impossible time getting here at 9:00.
23	I would like to propose that the Commission
24	consider possibly even changing that to some flexible-type
25	thing where at least on occasions, if not all the time,

1 the Commission is meeting perhaps later in the day, 4:00 2 o'clock, or 5:00 o'clock, whatever. I just want to put 3 that on the record as a suggestion. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We appreciate this, Mr. 4 5 Eicke, and I did get that email. This agency also has business hours, and I know 6 that your group -- I see you here every meeting, so you 7 are pretty well represented, and I imagine you've got a 8 9 bunch of retired folks that surely can get here. 10 It's hard for me to imagine changing it to 4:00 o'clock, or 5:00 o'clock, where all these people have 11 children, and, then, they have to get babysitters. 12 13 I'm sure everybody will listen. It's just my It is going to affect a bunch of people. 14 15 F. J. EICKE: I'm well aware of the complexity and, if you were to meet at 4:00 o'clock, staff would have 16 to be dealt with in some type of compensatory situation, 17 18 or whatever, and it would change things, but, of course, 19 we have meetings later in the day very often and staff attend as well. 20 21 I'm making a suggestion, putting it on the floor 22 and see what happens. 23 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Thank you. F. J. EICKE: The second item is there have been 24 25 at least two, I think, Red Fish kills that have been

1 reported in the Sound by members on the water. 2 One of them had associated with it, within the fairly large Red Fish that were floating around in certain 3 areas, one dead turtle as well, dead Sea Turtle. 4 5 I'm just going to put it on the record that those kills did occur. I hope the Department is looking 6 into them to the extent that they can. 7 This, of course, has happened over the years, 8 9 both in terms of Red Fish, as well as other type 10 situations, so we need to know the source of those kills. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Did those kills get 11 reported to the agency? 12 13 F. J. EICKE: Yes, they did. I'm sure that they were reported to the Marine Patrol. 14 15 JOE SPRAGGINS: They were reported, and we are looking at them very hard trying to find out. 16 The fish have been dead for several days and 17 18 they float in, and we have tried every way in the world to find an answer. I promise you that. We just haven't been 19 able to get a hundred percent answer on what happened yet. 20 21 F. J. EICKE: That's kind of the situation that we have had in the past where we couldn't identify exactly 22 what happened. There is a thing called "by association". 23 We will leave it at that. 24

25

The third thing is that I would like to commend

the Department for being involved in the State management of Red Snapper, and the development and implementation of the Tails n' Scales. I think it has real possibilities.

CCA is totally in support of that, and we have sent a letter to Director Spraggins indicating the support that we have for what has happened with the management plan.

We are in the first year. I hope it bears fruit and we can have the second year, and hopefully we can continue that into the future because we think, as an organization, that the State management of Red Snapper in this case is much better than we would have gotten from a Federal agency.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I agree, Mr. Eicke. It seems to be working pretty fair.

I will make one comment. You were talking about fish kills and association. There is a lot of fish floating, Red Snapper, that we might want to look at where that is coming from, also.

F. J. EICKE: We are well aware of the problem with discards, both in terms of the recreational fishery and in terms of the commercial fishery.

I personally had a trip out to Fort Morgan a week, or so, ago with my five grandkids, grandsons. The granddaughter was excluded because she doesn't like to

1 fish. 2 Myself and my five grandsons fished, and we did release a number of legal Red Snapper. It was a charter 3 trip. 4 5 Unfortunately, we were fishing in fairly shallow water, Alabama, and we were able, as far as I could 6 observe, pretty much release every fish that had a chance 7 to survive. That's what it's all about. 8 9 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes, unless you got back 10 off of one from out of Venice. I did observe the same thing. There were quit a few discards, especially out at 11 12 sea. 13 when you poke a hole in their bladder, and, then, poke a hole in their stomach and you release them., 14 they go down, but I don't know if I could survive. 15 F. J. EICKE: Well, I really didn't observe 16 trauma in any of the fish that were released, in this 17 18 case, but we were probably fishing in forty, or fifty, feet of water. We were off the bottom. 19 20 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: That's good. F. J. EICKE: It varies, and I can tell you that 21 this particular charter captain was very aware of trying 22 to release fish in a way that they would survive. 23 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: That's good. 24 25 Thank you, Mr. Eicke.

1	F. J. EICKE: Thank you.
2	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Any other business?
3	(No response.)
4	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Hearing none, do we have
5	a motion to adjourn?
6	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Mr. Chairman, I'll make a
7	motion that we adjourn.
8	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Do we have a second for
9	that motion?
10	COMMISSIONER TRAPANI: I'll second that motion.
11	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All those in favor say
12	aye.
13	(All in favor.)
14	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Meeting adjourned.
15	(Whereupon, at 12:15 o'clock, p.m., the June 19,
16	2018, meeting of the Commission on Marine Resources was
17	concluded.)
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

## $\underline{\mathsf{C}} \ \underline{\mathsf{E}} \ \underline{\mathsf{R}} \ \underline{\mathsf{T}} \ \underline{\mathsf{I}} \ \underline{\mathsf{F}} \ \underline{\mathsf{I}} \ \underline{\mathsf{C}} \ \underline{\mathsf{A}} \ \underline{\mathsf{T}} \ \underline{\mathsf{E}}$

I, Lucille Morgan, Certified Shorthand Reporter, do hereby certify that the above and foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the June 19, 2018, meeting of the Commission on Marine Resources, as taken by me at the time and place heretofore stated in the aforementioned matter in shorthand, with electronic verification, and later reduced to typewritten form to the best of my skill and ability; and, further, that I am not a relative, employee, or agent, of any of the parties thereto, nor financially interested in the cause.

COURT REPORTER