COMMISSION ON MARINE RESOURCES

COMMISSION MEETING

Tuesday, December 18, 2018 9:00 a.m. Bolton Building Auditorium 1141 Bayview Avenue Biloxi, Mississippi 39530

Commission Members:

Steve Bosarge, Chairman

Ronald Daniels

Richard Gollott

Natalie Guess

Mark Havard

Also Present:

Joe Spraggins, Executive Director DMR Sandy Chesnut, Esq., Assistant Attorney General

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I would like to welcome 1 everybody to our December meeting. 2 3 To start it all off, we will ask Commissioner Daniels to lead us in the Pledge of Allegiance. 4 (Pledge of Allegiance recited.) 5 Next on the agenda is a 6 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: moment of silence to reflect on where we are and be 7 8 thankful we are in this great country we are in. Let's 9 take a moment of silence. 10 JOE SPRAGGINS: Sir, if we could add Ms. Sandra in there. 11 I would appreciate that, 12 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Mr. Joe. 13 JOE SPRAGGINS: She has gone through a lot of 14 15 surgery and please keep her in your prayers. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Thank you, sir. 16 (Moment of silence observed.) 17 18 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Thank you much. 19 Next on the agenda is approval of the minutes. I think there are some changes that need to be 20 21 made to the minutes, Ms. Chesnut. COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I would like to make a 2.2. 23 motion that we add Cat Island netting to the agenda. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Can we hold that just for 24 a minute, Commissioner Gollott, until we get to the 25 26 agenda?

1 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Sure. I'm sorry. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Okay. It's no problem. 2 3 Ms. Chesnut, do you have some changes you need to make to the minutes? 4 SANDY CHESNUT: Yes, sir. I was reviewing the 5 6 tape and comparing the transcript. There are a couple of 7 changes I would like to note. Page twenty, line eighteen, indicates that 8 9 Commissioner Daniels asked a question. That was Commissioner Bosarge. 10 On page forty-three, line seven, it says, "lack 11 of clarify", and that is lack of clarity. 12 Then, on page sixty-two, line twenty, 13 Commissioner Guess said, "Well, I'm not comfortable on the 14 15 like contrivance" the way it is transcribed, and the tape revealed that it said, "Well, I'm not comfortable. Then, 16 I'll say no on the like contrivance." So that needs to be 17 18 added. I would just like to say that in reviewing the 19 transcript and the tapes, it is very, very hard to follow 20 because people were talking over each other, and I would 21 just like to remind you that the meetings are being 2.2. transcribed. 23 Lucille does a great job transcribing these 24 minutes, but she cannot transcribe accurately, when people 25

are talking over each other.

1	I would just like to remind you to be mindful of
2	that and try not to interrupt.
3	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. I'll see if I
4	can do a better job of maybe keeping it one person
5	speaking at a time.
6	SANDY CHESNUT: Thank you.
7	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Do we have a motion to
8	approve the minutes as amended?
9	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I'll make that motion,
10	Mr. Chairman.
11	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion.
12	Do we have a second for that motion?
13	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: I'll second that.
14	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion and a
15	second.
16	All those in favor aye.
17	(All in favor.)
18	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed like sign.
19	(None opposed.)
20	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Motion carries.
21	Next up on the agenda will be approval of the
22	agenda.
23	Do we have any modifications, or changes, to the
24	agenda?
25	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Yes, sir. I would like
26	to modify the agenda and add Cat Island Net Fishing.

1	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Where would you like to
2	put that?
3	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Let's see. Pick out a
4	place, Mr. Chairman, that is convenient.
5	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I guess we will put it
6	under Office of Marine Fisheries.
7	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Yes, sir.
8	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: How about K-3?
9	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Okay.
10	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Do we have any other
11	modifications, or additions, to the agenda?
12	(No response.)
13	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Seeing none, do we have a
14	motion to approve the agenda as modified?
15	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Mr. Chairman, I will make
16	a motion that we approve the agenda as modified.
17	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Do we have a second for
18	that motion?
19	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I'll second it, Mr.
20	Chairman.
21	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion and a
22	second.
23	All those in favor aye.
24	(All in favor.)
25	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed like sign.
26	(None opposed.)

1 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Motion carries. Next up will be Executive Director's report, Mr. 2 3 Joe Spraggins. JOE SPRAGGINS: Yes, sir. A couple of things 4 real quick. We talked --5 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: (Interposing) Just one 6 7 minute. Mr. Joe. I would like to recognize Senator Seymour for 8 9 taking time out of your day to come in here and listen to all of this here and see if we make any headway. 10 11 Thank you, sir. 12 SENATOR SEYMOUR: Thank you. 13 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Okav. JOE SPRAGGINS: We are calling it the Peer 14 Review Committee, but really it is just an oversight 15 review committee. We have talked about this a little bit 16 17 in the past, myself and you and others, and I just wanted 18 to bring you up to date. We still want to put together the teams that you 19 have recommended and try to get a way of operating and how 20 21 they should operate and what we do. Until then, I wanted just to give you an 2.2. overview, give you an overview of where we stood right now 23 so that the Commission can understand where we are. 24 What we are looking at now, I think you see the 25

names and these are the people that have the contracts and

what has been hired over the last year.

I can't see that thing. It's hard for me to see it, but anyway what I'm looking at here, I've got another cheat sheet so I can cheat.

If you will look at it, the contract workers, it shows who we have hired over the last six months, or a year, and the salaries they are making and the jobs that they are doing so you can look at that, if you have any questions at all about who might be in those positions.

If you look at your vendors, you will see the vendors that we have done and the type of option of how we did it, like, a reverse auction, or invitation for bid, or if they were exempt.

I think you will see the last two on there were Jerry Forte and Crystal Seas. They were exempt because they were buying live animals, so they didn't have to compete to bid on it. We give them a price that we are going top pay them anyway.

A lot of the things are done with reverse auction, and you can see that.

That service contract, we've got Mississippi State and J. Borries. That was an invitation for bid with him to do this oyster relay.

Then, you get the advertisement, and you can see the things that we did there with different ones, boats we bought and some vegetation stuff and also some beach storm outfalls and that is a Governor's act. He is doing that. I think y'all have been briefed on that.

That just gives you an idea of what, in the last six months, we have done.

We are in the first six months of the fiscal year 2019. I wanted you to see where we are and what we've done there.

If y'all have got any questions of anything, you can look at that.

Then, if we move over to the next page -- if I can get this computer to work, I will see where I'm at here, but it looks at the people that have been hired. Those are the ones that have been hired in the agency in 2018.

You look at it and you say, that's a lot of people. Well, there is a lot of turnover sometimes, and the turnover is because people are going -- some member of their family is being transferred, so they transfer with them, or someone getting a better job and going somewhere else and working and getting a better job.

As I have always said, most of the people working at DMR are not working for the money. They are working for the love of the job and to want to do something and maybe further advance themselves.

If you have any questions, that's who they are.

You can see the people and that way it will give you an

1 idea of what is going on. Once again, this is not to supercede at all 2 3 anything to do with what we were talking about before. will continue to do that and work on that group that you 4 were talking about. 5 6 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I appreciate the info. 7 Is that information that we can get off the 8 portal? 9 JOE SPRAGGINS: Yes, sir, it's on the portal. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. 10 Good. JOE SPRAGGINS: That's on there for you to look 11 12 at. What we will do is every month we will update 13 that, until we get all of this worked out, and we will 14 just continuously update it. Every month I will give you 15 a report of the contracts that were done that month and 16 any new hires. It will be a lot shorter list. 17 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: And we had talked about 18 putting a small, I guess, Commission meeting together to 19 go over this. 2.0 21 JOE SPRAGGINS: Yes, sir. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Do you see maybe being 22 23 able to do that in January? JOE SPRAGGINS: Yes, sir, we can probably do it 24

in January. I know right now there are a lot of things

going on with the holidays.

25

1	If it is all right with you, we will just look
2	at that in January.
3	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Sounds good to me.
4	Thank you, sir.
5	JOE SPRAGGINS: Kim Papania is going to brief on
6	the administrative penalties that we had. We had Logan
7	Stokes who is one of the last ones that we had, as far as
8	the fishing violations that we had with the speckled
9	trout.
10	KIM PAPANIA: Good morning Commissioners,
11	Director, Ms. Chesnut.
12	Today we have Mr. Logan Stokes.
13	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Kim, is the mike on?
14	Is that mike on?
15	KIM PAPANIA: I'm not sure. It looks like it
16	is.
17	JOE JEWELL: It's on.
18	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Just push the button
19	right down at the bottom.
20	KIM PAPANIA: There we go.
21	Today we have Mr. Logan Stokes, and he is being
22	represented by Mr. Ryan Bradley.
23	Mr. Bradley has requested a private hearing, so
24	I don't know that I need to do this presentation today,
25	but they are here to speak to the Commission.
26	At this time, Mr. Bradley.

RYAN BRADLEY: Commission, just to clarify, we 1 requested a hearing. We didn't specify as to private 2 3 hearing. It does state in the regulation that it is, by 4 default, a closed hearing. 5 If the Commission was willing to consider some 6 leniency for Mr. Stokes, we would definitely consider 7 8 repealing that request. 9 Mr. Stokes, he claims that during this time 10 these trip tickets were due, he was out fishing offshore working on an offshore boat. He was gone for weeks at a 11 time. His grandparents were responsible for filing these 12 trip tickets. 13 The closest trip ticket box is well over thirty 14 15 miles away from his grandparents' home. From what he indicated to me, I think one of the 16 ones he was late just by a couple of days getting those 17 18 in. we would definitely see if the Commission had 19 any interest in reducing that fine for Mr. Stokes. 20 21 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Just so I understand, you have asked for a hearing and/or a reduced fine with the 22 23 hearing dropped? I'm trying to wrap my head around it. 24 RYAN BRADLEY: Yes, we asked for a hearing. 25 26 From what we understand, it's a pretty detailed process.

Before we move on, maybe Sandy could brief the Commission a little bit on what is all entailed with a hearing. It will cost time and money, and I'm sure nobody wants to have to deal with it, but we would be willing to forego that hearing, if the Commission was willing to show some leniency to Mr. Stokes. I will just say I wanted to mention that there were several recreational fishermen that were cited for actual fishing violations with the Tails n' Scales. They had reported fish and, from our understanding -- it's in the public record in the meetings -- that they had received a warning violation. I think that sets a firm precedent. This wasn't an actual on-the-water fishing

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2.

23

24

25

26

violation. This is a clerical error, paperwork error, and we would like the Commission to consider that.

I would love to hear what the hearing procedures were because I'm really not clear on it myself.

KIM PAPANIA: Can I just remind the Commissioners that when you apply for --

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: (Interposing) Speak into the mike, please.

KIM PAPANIA: When you apply for the license to do this, you understand that you are responsible for filing those trip tickets.

whether they were late, or not, or where he

could take them was thirty miles from here, he was responsible for filing those trip tickets.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Is there any statute that says there is a set punishment for not filing those Trip Tickets?

KIM PAPANIA: Commissioners, I do believe it's in the Title 20 regulations, and this is the same fines and the same charges that we brought up on the October $16^{\rm th}$ meeting.

We just were not able to bring his to that meeting because he was offshore working, so we weren't able to serve him. It is the exact same as everyone that I presented in October.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: That has kind of always been my issue with it is that there is not a set procedure. It doesn't say first offenses, second offenses, third offences.

SANDY CHESNUT: The administrative penalties is set up so that any violation of regulation can be fined for ten thousand dollars, up to ten thousand dollars.

KIM PAPANIA: And it's up to the Commission to do that fine, whatever amount they want to ask for up to ten thousand dollars.

JOE SPRAGGINS: Commissioner, if I could, we did meet with Mr. Bradley and Mr. Stokes, and we talked to them, and one of the things I explained to them was that I

couldn't do any different than we have done in the past. 1 we had already looked at the other gentlemen, 2 3 ladies and gentlemen that had violations, and I had brought that to y'all and I had given you that this was 4 the number, and we had asked to reduce it down to another 5 6 number, and we offered the same thing to them, and that's all I could do. 7 I told him. I said, "I can't treat anybody any 8 9 different than I treated the others because that would be unfair." 10 11 That's where we are, sir. COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Excuse me, Mr. Chairman. 12 13 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes. sir. Could we get somebody to 14 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: 15 clarify this for the public? What was the fine? 16 What was it for? 17 18 There might be some people in the audience that don't know. 19 JOE SPRAGGINS: We have the report, if you would 20 21 like to see it there. KIM PAPANIA: Go to slide seven. 2.2. 23 COMMISSIONER DANIELS: If I'm looking at this correctly, his original fine was going to be fifteen 24 hundred and eighty-seven dollars, but we have already 25

reduced that down to six hundred and thirty-five dollars.

[] C

Correct?

KIM PAPANIA: That's correct.

If you do what you did at the October 16th meeting, then, his fine would be the six hundred and thirty-five dollars, you would give him forty-five days to pay that, and, then, allow legal to set up a payment plan for six months.

COMMISSIONER DANIELS: So we have already knocked over fifty percent off of the original fine.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: One more question.

Was there a recreational fisherman turned loose with just a slap on the wrist?

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Commissioner Gollott, I think what he is referring to is if you recall we had, I think it was four recreational fishermen that actually went fishing at two different periods of time when the season was closed, and they went ahead and filled out their Tails n' Scales, they went fishing, and, then, closed their trips.

That is what he is referring to, but, once again, in my opinion -- and it's the same thing, and I haven't had time to go back and research any of this, but when we set up any -- when you set up trip tickets -- and I've been involved in trip tickets in a bunch of different states and on the Federal level, and it was always understood that that would never be used as a tool for

enforcement.

And it's the same thing I say about the Tails n' Scales. That doesn't need to be a tool for enforcement. These guys, yes, they did wrong. They actually broke the law, but actually using that to write them a ticket, I don't think that's -- that's counterproductive, in that it is actually making them not want to do it, not want to fill it out.

Anyhow, It has always been understood that that would not be used as an enforcement tool the same way we are kind of doing it now. We are using this as an enforcement tool, a tool enforcement can use to levy fines.

RYAN BRADLEY: And there is one other thing I would like to bring to the Commission's attention, and this was never brought up during any of these proceedings and maybe y'all on the Commission had forgotten, or weren't aware of it, but, in 2017, there was a Notice of Intent, a regulation change that was passed, approved for final adoption I want to say in March, or April, of 2017, that changed the regulation where these fishermen had to report. They were responsible for reporting their out-of-state sales.

Up until last year, probably in May, or June, this wasn't the law. I don't think these fishermen were fully acquainted with this, that they had to report these

fish. This is a fairly new regulation.

I had asked before in previous meetings, did

regulation changed?

2.2.

I never got a response. I would like to know that.

these fishermen get mailed notifications, when this

Also, when they renewed their permit, their fresh product permit -- I have asked several of them -- they were not notified of the specific regulation change.

Now, granted, I know that ignorance of the law is not an excuse which I have always been told in my fishing career, but I think that there are some factors there that aren't being considered.

That's why I would be glad to ask for the Commission's leniency.

KIM PAPANIA: Again, Commissioners, it is the fishermen's responsibility to keep track of any title changes, or regulations, and we do publish that on our CMR website so that, at any time, they can go and look at that, but, when they apply for a permit, it is their responsibility to know what the regulations and the statutes are.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes, that's right.

I guess what Mr. Stokes is asking for and Mr. Bradley is asking for would be if the Commission feels like they want to reduce the fine.

If not, I guess you will proceed on with the 1 hearing. 2 3 RYAN BRADLEY: Yes. I would just ask the Commission if you are going to make a decision and give us 4 until the end of the day to decide if we are going to 5 accept that, or move forward with the hearing. 6 7 SANDY CHESNUT: I'm sorry. What are you requesting? 8 9 RYAN BRADLEY: If the Commission just wants to 10 proceed with the fine as is, let me confer with my client 11 and see if he is willing to accept what the current fine is, or he may want to proceed with the hearing in this 12 matter. That really depends on if the Commission takes 13 any action, or not, here. 14 15 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I guess I'm asking the rest of the Commissioners does anybody have anything they 16 17 want to say? 18 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: The only thing I don't understand is -- and you are the one who brought it up --19 that if somebody is breaking the law, we wouldn't use a 20 21 ticket method to make them obey. I mean, your Tails n' Scales is not any good, if 2.2. you don't have some enforcement behind it. 23 Am I looking at this thing wrong, Steve? 24 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes and no -- no, you're 25 not looking at it wrong. I guess if we wanted to bring 26

the Tails n' Scales under the Administrative Penalties 1 Act, then, we could do the same thing we are doing here, 2 3 and we could meet as a group and decide what we want to do. 4 Yes, you're right, but I still don't think that 5 is right. I don't think that -- in my opinion, it should 6 not be used, Tails n' Scales should not be used as an 7 enforcement tool, even though these people did -- they 8 9 broke the law. In other words, they went fishing during 10 closed season. 11 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: And it should apply to 12 everybody equal, commercial and recreational the same. Is there a way the recreational fishermen are 13 getting out of it and the commercial fishermen are getting 14 15 nailed? COMMISSIONER DANIELS: One thing I'm unclear 16 about, you said, confer with your client. 17 18 Are you implying that you are legal representation for him? 19 RYAN BRADLEY: No, absolutely not, and I want to 20 21 clarify that. I'm not an attorney and, in the regulations, it says that one doesn't have to be an 22 23 attorney to represent somebody in this administrative procedure process. 24

that we leave the fine where it is and let it go to a --

25

26

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Do we have an consensus

1	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: (Interposing) I'll make
2	that motion, Mr. Chairman, that we leave the fine where it
3	is and go forward with it.
4	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion.
5	Do we have a second for that motion?
6	COMMISSIONER GUESS: I'll second the motion.
7	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion and a
8	second.
9	All those in favor aye.
10	(All in favor.)
11	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed like sign.
12	(None opposed.)
13	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Motion carries.
14	SANDY CHESNUT: I do want to clarify. We did
15	in the past violations, we went with the lower amount,
16	upon payment of six hundred and thirty-five dollars.
17	Is that the fine that you are proposing that you
18	go forward with, or the fifteen eighty-seven?
19	KIM PAPANIA: With the previous ones, you guys
20	took out the have no new fishing violations in any
21	jurisdiction for a period of one year. Basically, what
22	you did with all the other ones was you just made them pay
23	the lower fine, gave them forty-five days, and, then, said
24	that legal could set up a six-month payment plan.
25	Everything else, you took off.
26	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Well, let's do the same

1	for him that we did for everyone else, if that is all
2	right.
3	RYAN BRADLEY: Thank you, Commission.
4	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Thank you.
5	JOE SPRAGGINS: Have you got something else on
6	that?
7	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: No, sir.
8	JOE SPRAGGINS: Let me get back to where I was
9	here.
10	We've got a couple of other things.
11	KIM PAPANIA: Director, I may have one other
12	thing. I just need to see if she is here, or not.
13	Melissa Ryan.
14	(No response.)
15	KIM PAPANIA: That's it for me. Sorry.
16	JOE SPRAGGINS: We had a Petition for
17	Reconsideration by the Mississippi Commercial Fisheries
18	United, Ryan Bradley, and the petition was to reconsider
19	the Title 22, Part 20.
20	If we could bring that up, there is the petition
21	you see for reconsideration.
22	The Commission has had an opportunity to grant,
23	or deny, a Petition for Reconsideration without further
24	argument, but the request is a response from the DMR prior
25	to making a final disposition.
26	They can set the matter for an evidentiary

hearing, or they can make such further orders as it deems 1 appropriate under the circumstance. 2 3 SANDY CHESNUT: Director, I think what we need to do, first, is let Ryan present his petition to the 4 Commission, and, then, the Commission will have these 5 6 options and, if they want the DMR to make a response, we 7 will be prepared to do that. 8 JOE SPRAGGINS: Okay. Mr. Bradley. 9 RYAN BRADLEY: Ryan Bradley, Director of 10 Mississippi Commercial Fisheries United. 11 First, I want to apologize to Ms. Chesnut 12 because the legal aids that helped draft this document have spelled Ms. Chesnut's name wrong throughout, and I do 13 apologize. We are going to have to fire those folks. 14 15 A couple of things I would like to get on the record is, first, I would like to say that we think that 16 any action here today short of full repeal of this 17 18 regulation would be unsatisfactory. 19 we do believe strongly that the current regulation's wording is unconstitutional. 20 21 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Excuse me just a minute, Mr. Bradley. 2.2. 23 RYAN BRADLEY: Go ahead. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Just so everybody is on 24 the same page, which regulation are you referring to? 25 26 If my memory serves me correctly, it would be

1 the one with the revocation of tags. RYAN BRADLEY: This is Title 22, Part 20. 2 Ιt 3 reads: "Administrative Procedures, Chapter 21, 4 Penalties, Modifications to Section 104 and adds 5 new Section 105." 6 7 Essentially, it added one word. In Chapter 21, Section 104, it added "endorsements". It reads: 8 9 "Suspension, or revocation, of licenses, permits, or endorsements" -- that was the word 10 that was added -- "may be commenced, in addition 11 to seeking administrative penalties." 12 Then, it added one section to Section 105: 13 "The Commission may revoke regulatory program 14 requirements such as, but not limited to, 15 endorsements, tags, permits, or similar 16 provisions, for violations related to that 17 18 particular program." That was the full scope of the regulatory 19 change. 20 21 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. Continue on. RYAN BRADLEY: We expressed some concern. I 22 guess each of you had seen our Petition to Reconsider that 23 24 we had filed. We expressed some concerns that the regulation 25

was so vague that it could warrant some seriously

discriminatory enforcement.

Just theoretically, if you have one speckled trout, one under-size speckled trout, you could receive a violation and be brought forth on administrative penalties and have your endorsement revoked.

The regulation really doesn't set standards of egregiousness, or classes of violations.

I know in some states they have certain classes of violations. You commit a certain class violation, you are subject to this and a different class you are subject to this.

Due to the vagueness of the regulation, it really puts it open to the discretion of the Commission, the discretion of the Marine Patrol, whether or not they want to bring that to the Commission for administrative penalties.

I just want to back up and say we fully support the revocation of people's permits, or endorsements, if they are egregiously violating the law. We fully support that, but we don't want to see people being picked on for whatever reasons.

If we look to Nichols versus the City of Gulfport which was adjudicated in the Mississippi Supreme Court, we see that a similar instance resulted in the Court striking down a very similar vague ordinance.

The Court stated, in that opinion, that the

Ordinance is vague in a sense that no standard of conduct is specified at all. 2 I would also like to add that the way the wording of the regulation is now, if an endorsement, or 4 permit, or tag, or anything, is revoked, that is an 5 indefinite revocation. 6 7 The wording doesn't say shall be for a year, or 8 three years, or five years. If we look to Mississippi Code 49-15-63 for 9 10 quidance, the Commission does have the authority to revoke licenses under very specific instances, in accordance with the administrative penalties. 12 For example, if a person has five seafood 13 violations within a five-year period, y'all can revoke 14 15 their license indefinitely. If they have a first offense, you know, you can 16 revoke it for five days. Second offense, no more than 17 18 thirty days. There is none of that in this revocation, this 19 regulatory requirement revocation that was changed here. 20 We would ask the Commission to consider repeal of this regulation today. It is already gone into effect. 2.2. I think the only reasonable option would be to repeal it. 24 We would love to work with the Commission to 25

1

3

11

21

23

1 a revocation be.

2.0

2.2.

I just hate to see these guys get picked on for one little thing.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I read the same thing into it that you did and, of course, I'm the commercial representative, so I have to kind of represent my crowd, too.

I felt like if we had first offense, second offense, third offense, it would be much better.

I know I don't have a law degree and I don't think anybody else up here does either, so none of us are qualified to be a judge. We might be a jury.

Excuse me. Except Ms. Chesnut. I have made that mistake twice.

Ryan, I tend to agree with you. I would love to see if the Commission would feel like we could sit down and talk about this, and come up with some first offense, second offense; in other words, put something in place to where we know what constitutes a revocation of endorsement, permit, whatever.

COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Sandy, is that something we could do, a schedule of offenses like other states have, on this?

SANDY CHESNUT: You certainly could.

The administrative penalties procedure, though, is set up on a very broad basis for a reason.

As you notice, the fine is up to ten thousand dollars per violation. It is not set up on first offense, second offense, third offense, and it is set up so that you can penalize for any regulatory violation, any statutory violation. It is not designed the way a statute is designed. The fishermen have knowledge of the regulations. They know the regulations. You are not establishing a new regulation. You are not establishing a new broad regulation like Ryan is saying that was overturned in Nichols. I'm not familiar with Nichols per se because it wasn't cited in his petition, but the cases that he did cite, they were all new regulations. there.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2.

23

24

25

26

These aren't new. These guys know what is out

The discretion is built into the administrative penalty procedure because you have a five-panel board that are considering these and due process is throughout the whole process.

If you go through the Administrative Penalties Procedure, you get a lot more due process than I have ever seen before.

To answer your question, that is something you can surely consider. I would not advise it, but that is

1

2 3

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

something that you do have the option of looking at.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I just know, from my experience up here, I don't like being put in that position to where I have to decide something that affects somebody else's life.

All through my history, it has always been it didn't matter if you were in a class in school and you got A, B, C on your paper and there was a set of guidelines that that professor, or teacher, had to go by to give you that grade. You had to miss this, that. You had to do this.

If you speed down the road, you get your first speeding ticket. You get your second one. It builds.

I mean, I honestly don't see where in this particular instance that -- it's like Mr. Bradley said. Somebody could have one fish undersize and just be a person that is not liked, and we could fine them up to ten thousand dollars. I don't think that is fair under the law, even though that person may have broken the law.

Is it egregious enough to be a ten thousand dollar fine?

I think personally we could, this group get together, set a penalty matrix for these endorsements, and I think we would all feel much more comfortable when somebody did violate it.

Can we have a motion for that?

COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Steve, could we table this and look into it a little more, since he has stated what he is looking for? COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I don't see why not. COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Come back next meeting and make a decision? COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes. COMMISSIONER DANIELS: I can see both sides of this, so I would like to learn a little more about it. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Do you want to put that
what he is looking for? COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I don't see why not. COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Come back next meeting and make a decision? COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes. COMMISSIONER DANIELS: I can see both sides of this, so I would like to learn a little more about it.
COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I don't see why not. COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Come back next meeting and make a decision? COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes. COMMISSIONER DANIELS: I can see both sides of this, so I would like to learn a little more about it.
COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Come back next meeting and make a decision? COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes. COMMISSIONER DANIELS: I can see both sides of this, so I would like to learn a little more about it.
and make a decision? COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes. COMMISSIONER DANIELS: I can see both sides of this, so I would like to learn a little more about it.
COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes. COMMISSIONER DANIELS: I can see both sides of this, so I would like to learn a little more about it.
COMMISSIONER DANIELS: I can see both sides of this, so I would like to learn a little more about it.
this, so I would like to learn a little more about it.
COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Do vou want to put that
in the form of a motion, to table this?
COMMISSIONER DANIELS: I would like to make a
motion to table this Petition for Reconsideration until
the January meeting.
COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion.
Do we have a second for that motion?
COMMISSIONER HAVARD: I'll second the motion for
Commissioner Daniels.
COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion and a
second.
Any further discussion?
(No response.)
COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All those in favor aye.
(All in favor.)
COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed like sign.
(None opposed.)

1	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Motion carries.
2	RYAN BRADLEY: Thank you, Commission.
3	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Mr. Joe.
4	JOE SPRAGGINS: One other thing we have is we
5	had a request to change the CMR meeting times by the CCA.
6	If you pull that up, you can see what was sent
7	to us.
8	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I think we've got some
9	public comment.
10	JOE SPRAGGINS: Yes. We haven't called them
11	yet.
12	Hang on, Mr. Eicke.
13	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Hang on, Mr. Eicke, just
14	a minute.
15	JOE SPRAGGINS: This gentleman was first, Mr.
16	Rhodes, I believe. You can do either one.
17	Anyway, just to give you a background of what it
18	was, I met with the CCA the other day and we talked about
19	this, and the question was to change it to 5:00 o'clock.
20	That does not work for the agency because we
21	would have to pay everybody overtime and do some work, and
22	it would really be a hardship for the agency, but they are
23	willing to look at some other things.
24	If that's all right with you, sir, I would like
	IT that's arringht with you, Sir, I would like
25	to turn it over and let Mr. Eicke and them talk.

1 Mr. Eicke, state your name. My name is F. J. Eicke. I'm the 2 F. J. EICKE: 3 chairman of the Government Relations Committee for --COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: (Interposing) Mr. Eicke, 4 5 could I interrupt you? F. J. EICKE: Yes. 6 7 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Is it iust me? I see people fanning themselves. 8 9 Have we got some air in here? 10 I see Commissioner Daniels taking his coat off and a bunch of people back there fanning themselves. 11 COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Thank you. 12 13 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes. sir. All right, Mr. Eicke, continue on. 14 15 F. J. EICKE: Thank you. I guess I've got to identify myself. F. J. 16 I'm the chairman of the Government Relations 17 18 Committee for Coastal Conservation Association of Mississippi. 19 what we are asking is based on requests from 20 21 some of our younger members who are fully employed and would love to have the opportunity to attend these 2.2. 23 meetings. Right now, in Title 22, Part 2, Section 5.1, 24 there is a specified time, 9:00 o'clock. This Commission 25

has varied from that time. Of course, they do at special

meetings, but also on regular meetings. In two cases, I
don't quite understand why we change the time to 10:00
o'clock, when we go to Jackson County, or Hancock County,
but anyway we do, so we have done this before.

what we would like to express to the Commission and to the Department is that the current transparency of this Department is really phenomenal. We are getting the agendas through emails, but also on the website we are getting the PDF's that are occurring on a regular basis soon after these meetings and the minutes after they are approved. That is a real gain for public information.

However, it doesn't solve the problem of people who want to attend the meeting and there are quite a few of our younger members who are quite passionate about many of the things that y'all address that would like to attend the meetings.

Based on our conversations with Director Spraggins, the 5:00 o'clock thing is, in all likelihood, not really something that can be done with ease.

However, many of our members have said that just having flexibility to where some meetings perhaps are set at 1:00 o'clock. Since they work, it gives them a chance to do a morning on the job, and, then, come to the meeting at 1:00 o'clock and have the rest of the day and not have to concern themselves with returning to work at a certain time.

1	What we are suggesting as an alternative to what
2	is in our letter which requested 5:00 o'clock, or
3	something like that, is that there be just strike the
4	term "9:00 o'clock" and let the Commission set the meeting
5	time from month to month, or somewhat in advance perhaps
6	over a period of two, or three, months so that the public
7	knows when these meetings will occur and would be able to
8	make some of them, and you might want to do it on a
9	selective basis, in terms of what is coming up and what
10	the nature of the agenda is.
11	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes, sir, Mr. Eicke.
12	That was my thoughts, and I talked to Mr. Joe about that a
13	little bit, and he was talking about the 5:00 o'clock
14	thing. That is just about impractical within reason. I
15	mean, you are asking everybody
16	F. J. EICKE: (Interposing) You ask for the
17	world, and, then, you back off.
18	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: To adjust the time, I
19	don't really see a big issue with that, other than maybe -
20	- because you see some of our meetings go a long time and
21	some of them are real short.
22	F. J. EICKE: Right.
23	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: This is one them.
24	F. J. EICKE: You are predicting this one to be
25	short?

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I don't know.

Ι

1	If the staff looks at it and sees that it is an
2	agenda that is not going to be a long meeting, then, yes,
3	maybe we could adjust that time some.
4	F. J. EICKE: The other thing is you need to
5	change the Administrative Handbook to get the 9:00 o'clock
6	out as the specified time. I don't know if that is
7	required. It seems to be. You are kind of nailed in with
8	9:00 o'clock in the Administrative Handbook.
9	I mean, I've got a calendar that is three, or
10	four, months out that had 9:00 o'clock on the third
11	Tuesday.
12	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Well it is structured.
13	It adds structure to everybody's life. They know what to
14	plan on.
15	F. J. EICKE: However it can be handled, we are
16	fine with it. We would just like to see some meetings at
17	other times and, if 1:00 o'clock will work, 1:00 o'clock
18	is acceptable.
19	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We will discuss it. We
20	will think about it.
21	We also have one more public comment on this
22	issue, I think, Mr. Chris Rhodes.
23	CHRISTOPHER RHODES: Good morning Commission.
24	I'm Christopher Rhodes with the Mississippi
25	Commercial Fisheries United.

26

I would like to object to a schedule change.

don't think it is fair to DMR. As you already said, this would require overtime and all of you people have lives. I don't think that would be fair to children at home and family activities. Work life balance is a real thing.

If the Commission is going to consider this, I also ask that some of the meetings be held in our larger cities, Hattiesburg and Jackson. In the fair and equitable process for our public resources, this would only be fair.

Currently, these people are the silent majority, and I believe they should have access to opinions and the public resources.

As far as I know, this isn't a thing, is it? That's all I have.

Comments?

2.2.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Mr. Joe just told me that in the handbook it says that the majority of the Commission can change the day and the time.

It would be a chore to move the meeting to Hattiesburg, but I would love to see what -- it would be nice to get the input of some folks that aren't on the Coast that have a different view maybe of things.

I agree with you, but I don't know if we can honestly do that. That's a big step for most people. Not that Hattiesburg is that far away, but, with the meetings here, everybody has got everything they need.

1 CHRISTOPHER RHODES: For the record, I just feel like people in the northern part of the state are a silent 2 3 majority, right now, when we talk about our marine resources, and that's the majority of the people that 4 consume our seafood. 5 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: 6 I agree. 7 CHRISTOPHER RHODES: Thank you. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Thank you. 8 9 JOE SPRAGGINS: Anything else on that, sir? 10 It does state that y'all can change it, and my 11 understanding is that they are going to look at this, and, then, we will address that maybe at the next meeting even. 12 We will address what we are going to do there. 13 The next thing up, sir, that I have is Gear Task 14 15 Force, and we have worked hard about trying to find the right mixture for that and the right amount of people and 16 who we need to put on it. 17 Since Commissioner Daniels is the one who 18 brought this up, I would like to turn it over to him at 19 this time. 20 21 COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Thank you, Director. This Gear Type Task Force, in my opinion, is an 22 23 integral part of making sure that everybody gets a fair playing field. Everybody knows what they can and cannot 24 do and everything is very clear. 25

A lot of the problems that we have run into, as

I stated before, were the result of vagueness in our definitions, our interpretations of what we have out there.

2.2.

The Director has presented me with a couple of options here, and I think we've got a list that I personally feel is fair to both sides.

It is going to allow for two commercial fishermen, two recreational fishermen, two MDMR scientists, one Marine Patrol representative, two scientists from outside universities being the University of Southern Mississippi and Mississippi State University, a NOAA representative, a gear representative and, of course, our two Commissioners that will be acting on there.

Do we need to read these names out?

JOE SPRAGGINS: My point is if y'all agree with that, if the Commission agrees with the names, we may not publicize it.

COMMISSIONER DANIELS: We agree to contact these people and ask for their participation.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Just to kind of go over it, I was looking at it and we have some names penciled in here, but a lot of these people haven't been contacted yet, at least some of them that I have talked to, so I don't really want to put their names out yet until we have talked with them.

1	I don't have a problem with the makeup of it.
2	It's a lot of folks, but that's good, the more
3	conversation the better.
4	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Just for clarification
5	purposes, all of these people would not be voting members.
6	None of our staff, or the Commissioners, would be voting
7	members.
8	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Who all would be your
9	voting members?
10	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: You would have your two
11	Commercial fishermen and two recreational fishermen,
12	university scientists
13	COURT REPORTER: (Interposing) I didn't hear
14	those last ones.
15	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: If you can speak in the
16	microphone.
17	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: The voting members would
18	be the two commercial fishermen, two recreational
19	fishermen, your university scientists and your gear
20	representative, as well as the NOAA representative.
21	JOE SPRAGGINS: Sir, if I could I would like to
22	ask if y'all would entertain making a motion to accept the
23	layout and the names that we have, if they agree.
24	COMMISSIONER GUESS: I'll make a motion.
25	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: And I'll second it.
26	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: The motion would be to

1	populate the Gear Task Force
2	COMMISSIONER GUESS: With the suggested names,
3	and give them the option to accept.
4	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Just for clarity so
5	everybody knows what they are voting on.
6	We have a motion and we have a second.
7	Any further discussion?
8	(No response.)
9	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All those in favor aye.
10	(All in favor.)
11	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed like sign.
12	(None opposed.)
13	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Motion carries.
14	JOE SPRAGGINS: Sir, I've just got one quick
15	thing. On the deal with the meetings, Brian has said that
16	we could possibly broadcast these live.
17	If that would be okay with the Commission, we
18	can work this up. It won't cost us hardly any money to do
19	this, and we could broadcast it live which might help your
20	people in Hattiesburg and other places to be able to do
21	it.
22	If y'all would agree to that, then, we will move
23	forward and try to do that.
24	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We might have some movie
25	stars up here. I don't know if we could do that, or not.
26	JOE SPRAGGINS: It's up to you, sir.

1	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Mr. Chairman, I think
2	that beats the heck out of moving the meeting and changing
3	the time. I'm not in favor of changing the time. We have
4	had it that way for at least fourteen years I've been on
5	this Commission.
6	I think moving it to 1:00 o'clock we would be
7	here until 5:00 or 6:00 o'clock broadcasting it and
8	having the people participating would be great.
9	JOE SPRAGGINS: If I could, sir, what I would
10	like, if it's all right with you, is if y'all would give
11	us permission to go ahead to bring that, and we will let
12	y'all know if we can do it live and what it will cost. We
13	will entertain that, according to whatever y'all want to
14	do.
15	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes, sir. I think
16	definitely the Commission will move forward.
17	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: I'll make a motion for
18	that.
19	COMMISSIONER GUESS: Second.
20	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion and a
21	second.
22	All those in favor aye.
23	(All in favor.)
24	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed like sign.
25	(None opposed.)
26	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Motion carries.

1	JOE SPRAGGINS: Sir, that is all I have right
2	now.
3	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: You were busy today.
4	Next up is Commissioners Report.
5	Do we have any Commissioners who would like to
6	report anything?
7	(No response.)
8	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Seeing none, we will move
9	on.
10	Office of Marine Patrol, Chief Davis.
11	KEITH DAVIS: Good morning.
12	You have the report in front of you. I just
13	want to point out, on page one, you see where a citation
14	was issued to a local grocery store.
15	That involved an officer going into the grocery
16	store doing his person shopping and observed some oysters
17	out of place.
18	Other officers followed up and found several
19	violations that they cited the grocery store on.
20	Other than that, the report is pretty straight
21	forward, unless you have any questions.
22	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Actually, your report was
23	not in the packet, so I didn't really get a chance to look
24	at it too close.
25	I did have one question. Last month I asked
26	about the two tickets that we did for the no Tails n'

I would like some more information on that. 1 Scales. If could get you maybe to request a report. I 2 3 would like to know some information on that. In other words, we had the two tickets last 4 month for no Tails n' Scales, and the season had been 5 closed for two months. 6 7 Can you give us some more? would it be okay to come back next month at this 8 9 meeting, or send me some information on that? 10 I would like to know just a little bit more on what took place. 11 KEITH DAVIS: What kind of information would you 12 like to know. sir? 13 If it is pending in Court, if it is still 14 15 pending in Court which I'm pretty sure it is with the holidays upon us, I'm not sure what you are asking. 16 17 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I guess the who, when and 18 where. That would be my question. KEITH DAVIS: I can give you the when and where 19 for sure. I will check with the prosecutor on who because 20 21 of adjudication purposes. Are you looking for the disposition of what the 22 court is going to do? 23 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: No. I just need to see 24 what the information is. In other words, I would like to 25 26 know just a little bit more about those two violations,

1 just see where, when and how. KEITH DAVIS: Yes, sir. 2 3 I would like to point out to the Commission that just like DMR has a public records request, the courts 4 have a public records request as well. 5 Anytime you feel it necessary to file for one of 6 7 those, you can do that with respect to the courts. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes, sir. I felt like 8 9 surely we could go just between the agency and us and get the information we needed. 10 11 KEITH DAVIS: As long as it has been adjudicated, I don't have a problem with that. 12 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Mr. Chairman. 13 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes, sir. 14 15 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Would you include the recreational fishermen that were cut loose on this without 16 17 any tickets? 18 I would like to see what happened there, too. If I remember correctly, 19 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: we wrote them a letter. 2.0 21 Isn't that correct, Chief Davis? 2.2. KEITH DAVIS: Yes. 23 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: And basically informed them what they had done and what they had done wrong, and 24 I think that is about as far as we went with it, from what 25 26 I remember.

1 If you want the report, if Chief Davis is okay with that, then, I don't have a problem with it. 2 3 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I understand. We need to treat everybody the same. 4 JOE SPRAGGINS: I think that what happened there 5 this was not somebody not trying to -- they didn't try to 6 make a violation. They did not know, and they went out 7 and filed a report and even put it on the Tails n' Scales 8 9 and went to the point of saying that we are going out for Some didn't go to the trips is my understanding. 10 a trip. Is that correct, Chief? 11 Some did not even make the trips on this that we 12 talked about, and the others we had written a letter and 13 told them that this was not legal to do, but we can get 14 15 y'all all of that information. I think that is public record right there anyway 16 17 because we gave that out, and we can get you that 18 information, and I'm not real sure, sir, about the other two violations you are talking about, but we will get 19 together, the Chief and I, and we will get you some 20 21 information and get that back to you. 2.2. How about that? 23 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes, sir. KEITH DAVIS: One other thing. Last month you 24 asked me about enforcement within one mile of the barrier 25

26

islands.

1 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes, sir. KEITH DAVIS: I went back and did some checking 2 3 on that and I did find where we stopped a shrimp boat within one mile of Horn Island. 4 Is that the case that you were talking about? 5 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: No. I wasn't referring 6 7 to any particular case. I was just curious. Over the years, your knowledge builds on 8 9 enforcement. You are educated in it. We, the general public, have to learn as we go and because that is a 10 Federal park... 11 12 KEITH DAVIS: Yes, sir. Just so you are clear on it, that case involved 13 us observing the activity and because we work so closely 14 15 with our Federal partners, we didn't take any enforcement action, but informed the fishermen what the rules were and 16 notified the Park Service. 17 18 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: My question was more if you are just a State officer and you have no Federal 19 paperwork training, could you enforce fishery laws within 20 21 that one-mile boundary? I think most of your folks are deputized Federal 2.2. 23 Marshals, now, so that allows them to do their enforcement within that one mile. 24 Is that correct? 25

KEITH DAVIS: Deputized NOAA agents, not Federal

Marshals.

2.2.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I remember this back that boats in Federal waters, once the state people got outside of three miles, to board that vessel, they had to be a deputized Federal Marshal from what remember. This is years ago.

That's what I say. My knowledge builds with time. Some of it you remember. Some of it you don't.

I was wondering how it worked with being able to do fisheries enforcement inside of a Federal park?

KEITH DAVIS: We are required, under our JEA Enforcement Agreement, that our officers receive the training that a NOAA agent receives as well.

All TED inspections that we do, all Federal fisheries violations that are enforced by a NOAA agent, DMR officers are required to attend that training as well.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: That's fine. I think you answered it last time pretty good.

KEITH DAVIS: Yes, sir.

COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Just to be clear on that particular thing you were talking about, y'all had the ability to ticket them, but they just got a warning on it.

Correct?

KEITH DAVIS: Yes, sir.

Being a State officer enforcing state citizens, we try not to take those enforcement acts as much as we

can.

2.2.

If you remember, when we did the Red Snapper season, because the Commission opened our State waters, that put enforcement in a really, really bad situation.

We try not to take those actions, but we certainly can take those actions.

Before I sit down, it has been insinuated that Marine Patrol picks on one sector, or the other.

I want this Commission to know we enforce the law, period. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Chief, I disagree with you there. I have watched you over the years, and I think you are a lot heavier on commercial than you are on recreational. I'm sorry.

KEITH DAVIS: I would love to talk to you about that, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Okay.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Next up is Office of Coastal Resources Management, Mr. Jan Boyd.

JAN BOYD: Good morning Commissioners, Director, Ms. Chesnut.

We have one action item, and Greg Christodoulou will be presenting that, and, then, Dr. Paul Mickle will be giving a Seagrass Monitoring Proposal.

GREG CHRISTODOULOU: Good morning.

I'm going to be presenting a request for a

permit by the City of Ocean Springs. The location is on a manmade canal adjacent to Fort Bayou in the General Use District, and the agent is Compton Engineering.

The purpose of this project is to basically restore safe navigable depths to the property owners along this canal, as well as help restore the navigable depths to the public boat launch that is also on this canal.

The project would entail dredging approximately twenty-two hundred and fifty cubic yards of material.

This amount usually could be covered under a general permit and it would not need to come before you, but this project will impact about thirty-four square feet of submerged aquatic vegetation, so it kicks it into a category where they have to come before you and request a variance.

To compensate for the impacts to this SAV with the dredging, the applicant is proposing to restore approximately six hundred square feet of marsh and adjacent waterbottoms by removing storm debris from Hurricane Nate last year. There was some tin from the roofs of boathouses that had gotten into the marsh and other debris from piers that was shading the marsh and the adjacent waterbottoms which was inhibiting any growth of the submerged vegetation and the marsh.

We felt that that is a fair mitigation for this minor impact with the dredging.

They have requested a variance from the Mississippi Coastal Program, and they have justified the variance request.

Here is a map of the project area outlined in red. It starts here at Fort Bayou, cuts down this canal, the public boat launch is in this location, and, then, it extends all the way here, and, then, you have several homes and adjacent waterfront lots along the canal.

Here is a diagram just showing where this little impact is. It is going to be in this highlighted area right here. These are all just a little legend here showing the limits of the aquatic vegetation, the location of the side slope and the buffers that are setbacks for the dredging.

This project did require a lot of modification and downsizing of the dredge alignment and moving some things around, in order to basically accomplish the purpose of the project and to keep the impacts to the submerged vegetation to a minimum, and they did a really good job of doing that down to thirty-four square feet of impacts.

The project was advertised in The Sun Herald and on the DMR website. We did have a public hearing for the project. There were no public comments made at the hearing.

DEQ is reviewing the project.

Archives and History had no objections. 1 Wildlife, Fisheries and Parks had no comments. 2 3 Secretary of State's office, since the mitigation would be performed in State-owned waterbottoms 4 and State-owned property, wanted an approved mitigation 5 plan that was approved by DMR and the Corps which we have 6 done, and the removal of storm debris shouldn't cause any 7 8 undue damage to the State-owned property, and that the 9 monitoring plan was acceptable to the Corps and DMR. Based on our review, we found the project is 10 11 consistent with the Coastal Program, by restoring 12 navigable depths to public access and the adjacent homeowners, and we are recommending issuance of the permit 13 and approval of the variance request, pending water 14 15 quality certification and that the submitted mitigation plan by the applicants be followed. 16 17 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Do we have any questions? 18 (No response.) COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Seeing none, do we have a 19 motion? 2.0 21 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Mr. Chairman, I make a motion that we move forward with this application as the 2.2. staff's recommendation. 23 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion. 24 Do we have a second for that motion? 25

COMMISSIONER GUESS: I'll second it.

1	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion and a
2	second.
3	Any further discussion?
4	(No response.)
5	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All those in favor aye.
6	(All in favor.)
7	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed like sign.
8	(None opposed.)
9	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Motion carries.
10	Thank you, Greg.
11	GREG CHRISTODOULOU: Thank you.
12	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Next up will be Dr.
13	Mickle.
14	PAUL MICKLE: Good morning Commissioners,
15	Chairman Bosarge, Director Spraggins, Sandy Chesnut.
16	I really appreciate the time to present here.
17	Just like last month, this month we have part two of the
18	Seagrass motion request which is actually the response to
19	the CMR motion to propose a monitoring program budget for
20	seagrasses around Cat Island and expand from there.
21	This will be a very quick presentation. I am
22	just coming back to you with information you requested to
23	move forward because of your interest.
24	I will present spatial options for monitoring by
25	price and present possible funding streams.
26	The good news about seagrass is that it is

highly standardized all through the Gulf. All Gulf states do it. They use a certain technique, and I am going to present those three tiers here today. It is very standardized which is good. It gives a lot of powerful user ability to it. The data is compatible.

Also, we are not going to spend three, or four, years, refining our techniques down to be able to get useable data. Because it is so highly standardized, we are going to be able to use this stuff right away.

Tier one is a system-wide mapping using digital imagery, characterizing distribution over large scales. It's about every five years. It uses imagery acquisition, orthorectifying -- that is the scaling -- ground truthing, image delineation and interpretation, and there is some post-processing involved.

For Cat Island, it would be about a hundred thousand dollars, and the whole Sound which is our State waters would be three hundred plus.

Tier two is rapid assessment annual field surveys using fixed locations. This is the tier that I am going to recommend to the Commission we move forward on.

Characterized abiotic and biotic parameters of the ecosystem.

It is finer scale than Tier 1 which gives us some power to answer some concerns that you have voiced in the past.

2.2.

Stations selected using stratified random design.

It involves annual surveys for fixed stations for seagrass percent cover by species to get your species composition, canopy height, water depth, water temperature, salinity, dissolved oxygen, light availability. Those are water quality parameters that we use already for a lot of our different monitoring programs with our agency here. We are adding some capability there just by adding Tier 2 for seagrasses.

There are some cores you can do to look at seed densities.

Cat Island cost is twenty-five thousand dollars, and the whole Sound would be seventy-five thousand dollars plus.

Tier 3 is permanent transects. These involve location-intensive monitoring.

It enables identification of finer-scale changes and these are hypothesis-driven questions. This is like the world's worse version of what you would want to do with monitoring for seagrass.

You can see there is no price tag on here. As my mom told me, if there is no price tag, just run.

Tier 3 is designed and you really can't put a price tag unless you really understand the question that you want to ask, such as secondary production questions

dealing with drought and things. Really specific scientific questions, this data stream really fills in to meet those needs.

If we go forward with Tier 2 which is my recommendation, these questions will arise as we look at the Bonnet Carre Spillway, potential impacts from boating, potential impacts from types of gears we have all discussed here in the past. This gives us the ability to move forward, and Tier 3 might be that option down the road.

Again, with no price tag, it is difficult to extrapulate what the cost will be until we know those questions.

Really this is my last slide which is talking about the funding itself, as well as time lines.

With all the funding that we have pursued in the past, and I have had success in the past, along with a lot of other scientists and administrators at DMR, we have Tidelands, we have GOMESA, we have NOAA within Fisheries and Coastal, we have U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Army Corps of Engineers, and we have a huge host of NGOs, or non-governmental organizations that have shown a lot of interest of oysters and seagrasses. They contact me all the time on what can we do to help, what can we provide. They are very aggressive in the conservation.

These include the PEW Charitable Trust which I

have good relationships with, the Ocean Foundation, 1 Ecowatch. It is a very long list of NGOs. This is their 2 3 real speciality to get involved with, and they have a lot of funds. as well. 4 These charitable trusts, there is probably 5 6 twelve, or thirteen, that specify seagrass interest alone on their websites, and I have had a lot of contact with a 7 lot of them dealing with oysters and overall conservation 8 9 of endangered species research that I have done in the 10 past.

I am very confident we will get it funded very quickly, and, then, my recommendation is Tier 2 and filling in with Tier 3 down the line with more external funding, as funding arises on itself.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

We will most likely be sending out RFQ's within the state to academics to approach to take on this monitoring, and, then, they will help me and this agency pursue external funds down the road, so it just kind of builds upon itself.

Again, just to make it clear, Tier 2 is my recommendation.

I can answer any questions you have at this time.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Would it be possible to back up to that Tier 2 slide?

PAUL MICKLE: Absolutely.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I have looked at it and was just curious. I know we have all learned a lot about 2 3 seagrasses, and I'm sure that you have learned a lot, too. With today's technology and satellite, is there 4 a way we could capture some of that? 5 6 PAUL MICKLE: Yes, sir. 7 Tier 1 drives a lot of that, so it's got this satellite imagery. Those are the manuscripts I presented 8 9 last month, done by Patrick Biber and others, and they use satellite imagery, and, then, they would go to ground 10 truth to see actually what that color represented and what that meant. 12 13

1

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2.

23

24

25

26

The thing about satellite imagery is the water quality, as all of y'all know, varies. It is an estuary. During certain times of the year in the wintertime, the water is very clear, but that is actually when seagrasses have been grazed off and are not present. In the wintertime, the seagrasses kind of fall back. structures are still underneath, but you just can't see Ground truthing is really important.

Like you said, Tier 1, you can go more larger scale.

If y'all were screaming for a statewide monitoring program to start as soon as possible, I would probably recommend Tier 1 because of just the ability to use that satellite imagery and get data right away, and,

then, the ground truthing comes in. 1 what does that color represent? 2 3 Is there actually seagrass there? We have monitoring in Grand Bay which I haven't 4 talked about. They monitor that through Federal funds, 5 but it is highly variable in Grand Bay. I have presented 6 here it is highly variable in Cat Island. 7 Understanding that, ground truthing really 8 9 brings it home. 10 There is a lot of uncertainty with imagery, if you don't have the money to go out and actually truth it. 11 12 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: This proposal was actually more money than Tier 2 and it used the satellite 13 imagery. I couldn't quite figure out why this one would 14 15 cost more. PAUL MICKLE: Well, it is every five years, sir. 16 Tier 2 is pretty much constant every year. I 17 should have made that clear. This isn't around every five 18 It would have to be pretty intensive at first. 19 The post-processing is the expensive part. That 20 21 is where the GIS specialists get into the fine scale; this color represents this density, potentially this species, 2.2. and that post processing is just not a cheap thing. 23 Are there any Commissioners with any questions, 24 or interests, or have I gone astray? 25 26 COMMISSIONER GUESS: For Tier 2 that you are

recommending, are you recommending Cat Island, or the whole Sound, or both?

PAUL MICKLE: Well, we have Grand Bay already being monitored using Tier -- I just want to make sure I can give you the correct information. Grand Bay is Tier 3. That is the fanciest one.

I would recommend that we start with Cat Island and go statewide, and see what funds come, and I can give an update celebrating we got full funds to do Tier 2.

COMMISSIONER GUESS: Okay.

PAUL MICKLE: I recommend Cat Island because that's where the concerns for the seagrass were voiced so strongly, what I saw with the Commission's discussion, and, again, Tier 2, out of all three tiers, gives us the strongest capability of making damage claims for the Bonnet Carre Spillway, and I just don't see the Bonnet Carre Spillway going away anytime soon.

We are getting better with communication, when it does get open and things like that, but there are just no near-term solutions.

Giving our state civilians the most scientific capability of justifying disaster funds, in my opinion, is the best way to go and that is Cat Island seagrass monitoring.

COMMISSIONER GUESS: This activity around Cat Island during the research, is that going to affect it by

people being there, fishing there? 1 PAUL MICKLE: I don't think so just because of 2 3 the Tier 2 being carried out through the Gulf of Mexico in much higher activity areas for humans. I can come back, 4 but I don't really think it is. 5 Again, as soon as the first year of data comes 6 7 in, I will make sure to circle back to show y'all this very powerful tool that you have helped create with your 8 authorization. 9 10 COMMISSIONER GUESS: So you are looking for a motion for Tier 2? 11 12 PAUL MICKLE: A motion, yes, providing me with the green light to proceed with the establishment of a 13 monitoring program. Yes, ma'am. 14 15 COMMISSIONER GUESS: I'll make that motion. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: A motion to proceed --16 17 PAUL MICKLE: (Interposing) With the Tier 2 18 monitoring program. 19 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Funding? PAUL MICKLE: Yes, establishment and funding. 2.0 21 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion. 2.2. Do we have a second for that motion? COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Mr. Chairman, I'll second 23 24 that motion. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion and a 25 26 second.

1	Any further discussion?
2	(No response.)
3	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All those in favor aye.
4	(All in favor.)
5	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed like sign.
6	(None opposed.)
7	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Motion carries.
8	PAUL MICKLE: Thank you, Commissioners.
9	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Thank you, Dr. Mickle.
10	Next up on the agenda is Office of Finance and
11	Administration, Ms. Shavay Gaines.
12	SHAVAY GAINES: Good morning.
13	I'm Shavay Gaines, Finance Director, and I will
14	be presenting the financials for the month ended November
15	30 th , 2018.
16	At the end of November our State Revenue was
17	four point one million. Our Agency Revenue was sixteen
18	point one million.
19	Our State Net Income was one point three
20	million, and our Agency Net Income was seven point nine
21	million.
22	After five months of fiscal year 2019, we ended
23	with Operating Funds having eighty-five percent of budget
24	remaining and Tidelands Trust Funds have seventy-six point
25	five percent of budget remaining.
26	Also, our audit was completed. We don't have

the final draft, but we have seen it and spoken with the Director about it. We will let you know there were two findings. The findings related to the grant schedule.

We do have to work with DFA. Even though the Grants Bureau prepares the schedule, we have to work with DFA in order to get their approval in for GAP packet.

what DFA requires because they just see us as a baby, not like a separate entity where they saw the numbers. When it comes to the agency, we like to see them in house. Even though they saw it, we still got pinged on it because it's not a place for it in the report.

We are working to change that. We are going to fight that battle over the next twelve months, and I will win this one.

The second one comes from accruals. We try to make sure instead of having under, or over, inflated numbers, we try to have actuals.

We have a time process where we have to track everything that we think we are going to have to pay out to people, as well as what we will be reimbursed.

In the second finding which I argued that one, but didn't win that. It was because it was double booked.

what happens is if we end up having a threshold of a certain amount when our funds are limited down to the ones that were audited this year, it makes our percentage smaller.

Where last year it would not have been a finding, our funds limited us to what our numbers should be. However we booked, there was a double booking of what we were going to receive. We captured it when we got the payroll estimating we were going to get the money back and, when the actual check came in because it came during the lapse period, it was picked up again because it was actually in the details.

I told them that the main thing we can do on that is one, to make sure before we close out the end of the fiscal year that I will be able to document everything that we are going to have going out. When it comes to the reimbursement side that we look at the details and not at just the checks that come in. That way, we can make sure that there aren't any double accruals.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I think Mr. Joe wants to say something.

You said the audit is in and they pinged you on a couple of things, and it is hard for me to follow you on everything you are talking about.

Is there any way that you could attach your answers to their pings?

SHAVAY GAINES: I actually attached my answer. I responded. Inside the audit you will see our response because, even though I didn't agree with them finding us on it, at the end of the day we still are going to get

pinged on it. Inside the audit, you will see my response 1 combined with what Grant stated, as well as our procedures 2 3 in what we do. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: It gives us a chance to 4 look at it and be able to flip back and forth and 5 understand. 6 7 SHAVAY GAINES: It's on one page. 8 JOE SPRAGGINS: I did speak with the audit group 9 yesterday and they are going to give a final. It will 10 come out this week. 11 SHAVAY GAINES: Yes. 12 JOE SPRAGGINS: I can tell you, just from talking to them, that the two things that we are talking 13 about, one was the way DFA told us to do it. That is the 14 15 only way we can do it, so we had to do it their way, and 16 they agreed that it wasn't that DMR did anything. It's 17 DFA and now we are correcting that. 18 The other thing was just a little small oversight, but it was not anything that was anybody doing 19 anything against the agency. 20 21 I can assure you that from their report -- we will get you a final copy as soon as we get it. Everyone 2.2. 23 of you will get one. In that report, you will out that the agency has been by the book. 24

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Any questions?

SHAVAY GAINES: Any questions?

25

(No response.) 1 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Thank you, Shavay. 2 3 SHAVAY GAINES: Thank you. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Up next is Public 4 Affairs, Charmaine. 5 CHARMAINE SCHMERMUND: Good morning 6 7 Commissioners, Director Spraggins, Ms. Chesnut. The Mississippi Department of Marine Resources 8 9 was mentioned twelve times in local, state and national 10 media since the November CMR meeting. 11 Popular news items included the beginning of oyster season, off-bottom oyster aquaculture and the 12 oyster relay in Pascagoula. 13 Marine Patrol taught two boat-and-water safety 14 15 classes and certified forty-four students. So far in fiscal year 19, officers have taught 16 eight classes and certified one hundred and fifty 17 18 students. Marine Patrol participated in the Sail-a-bration 19 waterless boat parade in Long Beach and the Anniston 20 21 Avenue Elementary School Career Fair. 2.2. Marine Patrol, along with the Grand Bay NERR, participated in Pathways 2 Possibilities in November at 23 the Mississippi Coast Coliseum. The event featured 24 approximately six thousand eight grade students from 25

across south Mississippi learning about various career

paths.
and Ba

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2.

23

24

25

26

Our agency was also represented at the 2018 Bays and Bayous Symposium in Mobile, Alabama.

The Office of Coastal Restoration and Resiliency hosted the Charnley-Norwood Christmas open house held this past weekend, as well as attending the Coastal Resilience Team Fall Meeting in Austin, Texas.

The office has begun planning the 2019 State of our Coast which will be held in May.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Looks like you have been busy. Thank you.

CHARMAINE SCHMERMUND: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Next up is Office of Marine Fisheries, Mr. Joe Jewell.

JOE JEWELL: Thank you, Commissioners.

I want to wish everybody a Merry Christmas and a Happy New Year. It is probably the last time we will be together, before the new year.

I want to give the Commission an update on a couple of items.

First is the shrimp season. We are getting close to closing north of the Intracoastal Waterway December $31^{\rm st}$ which traditionally ends most of the shrimp season.

For the month of November, the landings were seven hundred and one thousand and some change. That is

about sixty-two thousand pounds above where we are for the month of November. However, for the year, 2018 to date, we usually land about nine point one one million pounds.

We are about nine hundred and sixty thousand pounds below that. It is going to be a slightly below average season this year.

The next thing I would like to mention is the oyster season, our oyster relay, as Ms. Charmaine mentioned.

2.2.

The thirty percent total was eighteen thousand eight hundred and sixty-four. We harvested eighteen thousand five hundred and fifty-eight sacks. That left roughly three hundred sacks on the table which is a very good response by our team.

Marine Fisheries worked really closely with Marine Patrol, Office of Finance, the Bureau of Grants, our Executive Office to make this an extremely successful relay.

We averaged about twenty-six hundred sacks a day. As you know, we were open for seven days. It was only tonging the first three days, and, then, the remaining four days both dredgers and tongers were allowed to participate.

The first three days, as y'all know, were twenty sacks for the tongers, and, then, days four through seven were fifty sacks for the dredgers.

Overall it was a very successful relay, and I 1 would like to thank everyone who was responsible for that 2 3 success. We appreciate that. JOE SPRAGGINS: If I could, I would just like to 4 thank the staff. They did an outstanding job. I tell you 5 what, they worked hard and lot of them worked a lot of 6 7 hours out there and everyone there working hard in the 8 cold. It wasn't exactly the most beautiful weather. 9 They made a very great safety call last week around Wednesday, and I want to thank them for that, and 10 they just did an outstanding job. 11 12 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I think there was a lot of work going on in a lot of different places. 13 The fishermen seemed like they were glad to get 14 15 out there. I'm sure they all got their exercise. Anyhow, good job. 16 17 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Can I ask a question? 18 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes, sir, Commissioner Gollott. 19 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Joe, in hindsight -- of 20 21 course there is nothing you can do about hindsight -- do you think we would have been better off to slow it down to 2.2. 23 maybe fifty percent, harvest half the oysters and use the Conservationist, and we would have been able to move more 24 oysters? 25

JOE JEWELL: Well, in hindsight you can make all

24

25

26

kinds of options that were beneficial, but the purpose of the grant was to employ the fishermen. It was a specific job title that was specifically to employ the fishermen.

From the fishermen's perspective, what they lost during the Bonnet Carre, they gained during this relay.

Now, as we stated before, the Conservationist was our commend center. It was a little bit remote site. This is not like in the Western Sound where you have Bayou Caddy, or Pass Christian, where we can administer the field activities from. This had to be out in the field. The oyster sites, the Causeway Reef and the Pascagoula Reef are sort of remote set.

We used the Conservationist as a command center and fortunately so because we had some bad weather days a couple of times out there and we had to postpone on one of the days and canceling the following day, also.

Having that vessel out there and being able to work from it was a great asset for us.

Splitting those duties during that time would have been very difficult for us.

Like Director Spraggins said, we had staff on the Conservationist twenty-four hours a day for all seven days, so it worked out really well.

The purpose of the grant was achieved, the purpose of the Commission was achieved and I think overall it was a very successful program.

1	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: What have you got in mind
2	opening
3	JOE JEWELL: (Interposing) I'm sorry.
4	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: What have you got in mind
5	after the depuration period, open it up and let them
6	harvest some of those oysters?
7	JOE JEWELL: That is not on the table, right
8	now. The Commission certainly could consider that.
9	I would recommend that those be left alone for a
10	while in order to establish themselves, but at a minimum,
11	if the Commission chooses, it would be fourteen days from
12	the last date deployed.
13	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Looking at it, Joe, I
14	think we should harvest some of those oysters while they
15	are still alive and make sure
16	COURT REPORTER: (Interposing) I can't hear
17	Commissioner Gollott.
18	JOE JEWELL: I'm sorry, Commissioner. It is
19	very hard to hear you.
20	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: You don't need spawning
21	stock. There is plenty in Back Bay and there will be
22	plenty of spat.
23	I would like to harvest some of that product and
24	let the fishermen make some money out of it, before they
25	have a chance to die, or anything.
26	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Is there any indication

that these oysters are going to die, the ones that are there now?

2.2.

Do we know that that is going to happen?

It seems to me that leaving those oysters there
and allowing a spat cycle with them, since we put all this
effort into rebuilding our reefs and moving these oysters
to do that, would be the sensible way to go.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Well, that's what I just told you. You don't need those oysters to get a spat set in that area. There are millions of oysters in areas that you can't move and you can't touch them in the Back Bay. On a falling tide, those spat sets are going right over that reef. That reef is --

JOE JEWELL: (Interposing) I'm going to Solomon here. I'm going to say that both of y'all are correct.

The advantage of relaying is putting those oysters in place and allowing them to go through a couple of spawn sets and to have spat set.

I think what Commissioner Gollott is referencing is, during the 2016 relay from St. Joe to the Shearwater Reef, there was a lot of mortality in that process, but it was a lot longer move. It was a little bit warmer weather. It was in January. It was a little bit warmer weather. They were exposed a lot longer. There was some significant mortality that occurred during that process.

We had some pretty cold weather which encourages

oysters to survive, during that time. They were on the 1 deck for relatively short periods of time. We are 2 3 anticipating that the mortality -- there is always mortality in relays. There is no way around that. We are 4 anticipating that it will be slightly less than what 5 happened with the St. Joe relay. 6 COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Joe. if I remember 7 correctly, we are in a rebuilding stage of oyster 8 9 restoration. 10 JOE JEWELL: Absolutely. 11 COMMISSIONER HAVARD: So I think we need to keep 12 that in mind as we move forward. I think you guys have done a good job with the 13 relay. We have taken a lot of oysters out of the 14 15 Pascagoula area. I would like to see the staff come back with 16 immediate plans to put cultch material back in Pascagoula 17 to help regrow that reef, the oysters. I would like to 18 see immediate plans to bring cultch material back to the 19 Pascagoula reefs. 20 21 JOE JEWELL: We appreciate that, Commissioner 2.2. Havard. If you will make that in the form of a motion, I 23 think in January, or February, we can come back and 24 identify some funding sources and we can work on that. 25

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: And I agree with you a

hundred percent. I'll second that motion. 1 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Could we add a little bit 2 3 to that motion? In other words, would you consider adding to 4 your motion that you come back and give us just a sampling 5 on those oysters that we moved and let us know how they 6 7 are doing? JOE JEWELL: The mortality rate, sure. 8 9 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Do you see what I'm saying, just give us an idea? 10 11 Could you add that to your motion? 12 COMMISSIONER HAVARD: I agree. I would like to 13 see us do some square meter sampling to see what the survival rate and success of the relay was from Pascagoula 14 to Back Bay, as well as I would like to see --15 JOE JEWELL: (Interposing) I can't hear. A guy 16 was mumbling in the background. January and February are 17 18 the coldest water temperatures, and we would have to get some additional gear to achieve that, but just a quick 19 look at the reef, one-minute dredge tows and an analysis 20 21 of all those in there would pretty much give you what you are asking for. You will know right away what the 2.2. 23 survivability and mortality rate is with those. COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: That relay should give us 24 several year classes of oysters coming out of there. You 25

had all sizes of oysters that you relayed from, say, a

half inch up to four inches probably.

2.2.

remember the sacks of oysters were anything that had an oyster on it. There was a lot of other material that was moved, also. It wasn't one hundred percent legal size oysters, or one hundred percent the year classes that we traditionally see in a relay. We moved all the material that was available, all the material that had spat, or oysters, on it.

COMMISSIONER HAVARD: It is vital that we do this.

JOE JEWELL: We can do an analysis of that, absolutely, and, then, we will work with our Executive Director and identify some funding sources. We do have a little bit left on the table to possibly do cultch planting on the Pascagoula Reef.

COMMISSIONER HAVARD: I will attempt to put this in a motion.

Have you got something?

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: No.

I was just going to say, Joe, can you speak into that mike just a little bit more, maybe pull it to you?

Maybe you can go to the staff as to how they want to go about this, instead of the one-meter dive, maybe they can still dive in that cold weather, or do one-minute dredges. Leave it up to them as to how they want

to go about assessing the oysters that we moved. 1 JOE JEWELL: The way I understand the motion is 2 3 to direct the staff to come back in January, or February, with an analysis of the mortality on the oysters that were 4 relayed and identify sources of potential funding for 5 cultch planting on the Pascagoula Reef. 6 7 COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Yes. I think we need to find funding to reestablish the cultch material back in 8 9 Pascagoula by the January meeting. 10 I don't think that in a thirty-day window we have to see the survivability of the relay. I would say 11 12 within the next ninety days would be a good time frame, to give you guys time to prepare and do some square meter 13 sampling, or some dredges. 14 15 That's up to you guys, how you want to accomplish it, but I think it is very important that we 16 see whether it was successful, or not successful, so we 17 18 can possibly make adjustments in future relays. 19 JOE JEWELL: I appreciate that. I know our staff will appreciate that. 20 21 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion. Do we have a second for that motion? 2.2. COMMISSIONER GUESS: I'll second it. 23 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I had already seconded 24

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Okay. Commissioner

it, Mr. Chairman.

25

1	Gollott seconded the motion.
2	We have a motion and a second.
3	Any further discussion?
4	(No response.)
5	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All those in favor aye.
6	(All in favor.)
7	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed like sign.
8	(None opposed.)
9	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Motion carries.
10	JOE SPRAGGINS: Sir, I can tell you we will have
11	the funding. Right now the only thing that is keeping me
12	from saying I've got it to hand it to you is I'm waiting
13	on additional spending authority from the House and the
14	Senate to give you that, but we have the funds on hand to
15	do it.
16	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I think we've got some
17	oyster fishermen back in the back.
18	Were you guys okay with the relay?
19	FROM THE FLOOR: Thank you, sir.
20	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Thank you.
21	Continue on, Mr. Joe.
22	JOE JEWELL: Well, I have one other item I would
23	like to mention, before we get into our agenda items. We
24	only have two agenda items this morning.
25	JOE SPRAGGINS: Joe, real quick before we get
26	off of oysters, there were some thought about the

possibility of opening the season again in the Western 1 Sound. 2 3 JOE JEWELL: That's correct. I think we got some updated 4 JOE SPRAGGINS: information on that, that it is probably not going to be 5 what we want. 6 7 Would you brief that real quick? JOE JEWELL: Sure. We have been approached 8 9 about possibly opening the oyster season in the Western 10 Sound for a couple of days this week to give the fishermen a little bit more time before Christmas. 11 12 We advised Director Spraggins that even if we had the ability to do that, the Pearl River right now is 13 at thirteen point three six, and the management criteria 14 for the inshore reefs is ten feet, and for the offshore 15 reefs it is twelve point five feet. 16 It is not projected to go below twelve point 17 18 five until early Sunday morning possibly. 19 We are going to get a little bit more rainfall on Wednesday and Thursday, but that will be in the 20 21 watershed. It will take a little time for that to get down near the gauge. 2.2. 23 Right now, even if the Director had the authority to open the season, he could not do that until 24 after the Christmas holidays unfortunately. 25

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: And we are pretty much at

1 our thirty percent now? No, sir. We are not even fifty 2 JOE SPRAGGINS: 3 percent of that, but the point was it is not necessarily just trying to harvest the oysters themselves. It was to 4 give them a little bit of harvesting right before 5 Christmas, but I just don't think -- and I guess I would 6 7 ask, just in case something worked out perfectly, if you 8 would you give me the authority to be able to open it up

and not exceed the thirty percent?

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I will ask the rest of the Commissioners.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Can I make a statement, Mr. Chairman?

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes, sir, Commissioner Gollott.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: What everybody seems to forget is things happen. The Bonnet Carre opens in the spring of the year and it wipes the oysters out.

why not let these people harvest some of these ovsters?

Not all of them, but let them harvest what they can without hurting the reef, and, then, take that money and put it in their pocket, and, then, they are further ahead. A bird in the hand is worth two in the bush. I still believe that.

COMMISSIONER HAVARD: I think if we ask the

fishermen what they want to do, they want to ensure that they have a season next year.

At this point, we have deplenished our resource to the level that they recognize there are not a lot of oysters left.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Well, we don't know.

How many sacks do you think are down there, Joe?

Of course ask the fishermen what they want to

do. We've got some fishermen in the back of the room.

JOE JEWELL: The estimate for the season, based on the thirty percent by area, was around a little over eight thousand sacks and, as Director Spraggins mentioned, we are not fifty percent there, but we did have a slow start. There were some weather conditions that played into that factor, and, then, the Pearl River went up and it has remained up for quite a while.

The overall picture, as y'all know -- and I have said this before and I am reluctant to say it again, but we are in a rebuilding stage.

When we look back in our traditional years where we were harvesting two and three hundred thousand sacks of oysters for over ten years, the replenishment rate was sustainable, but, when we dropped from thirty thousand to twenty-two thousand, and, now to eight thousand, we have to consider the resource.

Allowing more and more of the adult population

to be removed without replenishment is not a success plan 1 for next year, for the fishermen, for their longevity, for 2 3 their participation in this industry. COMMISSIONER DANIELS: If I may, I believe 4 whenever we first talked about that second season, that 5 6 was kind of contingent on whether or not we were able to 7 get the relay done and provide them work --JOE JEWELL: (Interposing) That's correct. 8 9 the October meeting, the consideration was for seven days before Thanksgiving and, in lieu of the seven days before 10 Christmas, the consideration was for the relay. 11 COMMISSIONER DANIELS: What is the number of 12 sacks we have gotten off of those reefs? 13 14 Do you know that exact number? 15 JOE SPRAGGINS: I want to say thirty-eight hundred, but I'm not exactly sure. 16 JOE JEWELL: That's about right, a little under 17 four thousand sacks. 18 19 COMMISSIONER DANIELS: I went down and actually spoke with some of the oystermen at Pass Harbor whenever 20 21 they were coming in and they were struggling just to get what they were getting, and the sentiment that was 2.2. expressed to me was we would be better off just leaving it 23 closed and hope that they have a season next year. That's 24 just what was told to me, whenever I went down and spoke 25

26

with them.

JOE JEWELL: Well, I think I would agree with 1 that, with those fishermen that were on the tonging reefs, 2 3 Pass Marianne and Pass Christian. we identified, in our square meter grid 4 sampling, there was very little harvestable resource out 5 6 there, legal size oysters. 7 The only significant area that had any 8 production on it would be St. Joe. 9 I think the fishermen were finding, in the field, our analysis was correct. 10 11 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Correct and. if I understand our conversation back and forth, right now --12 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: (Interposing) One thing, 13 My mind keeps going back to the NRDA area. 14 Mr. Chairman. Remember we had over a hundred thousand sacks of 15 16 (Interposing) We did, and we had a 17 JOE JEWELL: 18 significant low DO event, combined with a lot of other things, that caused almost a hundred percent mortality. 19 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Our fishermen could have 20 21 make a good living on that NRDA area, but they wouldn't let us open it and it was all wiped out in the spring of 2.2. 23 the year, and I just keep thinking about that. It's up to the Commission. We will look at it. 24 It doesn't look like we COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: 25

are going to have an opening, even if we could, prior to

Christmas because of the stage of the river. 1 Correct? 2 3 JOE JEWELL: The management criterial, absolutely. That's correct. 4 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: And we did get these guys 5 6 some work with the relay. 7 If any of them want to speak? COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: George, would you like to 8 9 say something? 10 GEORGE STORRS: My name is George Storrs. an oyster tonger, have been for forty-five years maybe. 11 I do want to say thank you and thank you. 12 was a good thing that you did for us. You actually did 13 really, really help us out. 14 15 I did ask General Spraggins if he was open to talk to you about maybe getting some days before Christmas 16 and maybe even before New Years, but I understand the 17 18 management plan. It is hard on us, the management plan, and it has never been in my favor. I never did like it. 19 but it is what it is and it is what it is supposed to be 20 21 according to the State law. So much for that. 2.2. There are plenty of oysters left over there on that reef that we took oysters off of; I mean, tons of 23 them. It doesn't need to be replanted with shells, or 24 anything else. All you would do is smother what is there. 25

You just let that thing alone and let it grow, and it will

be fine.

It has been there for -- I have a friend whose granddaddy used to work that reef, and it was legal back in them days. It was a legal place to work. They never could burn that reef out, and they were catching thirty, forty, fifty sacks a day off of it, and it was maybe twenty, or thirty, of them, Bayou LeBatre boys and Mississippi boys working it. Actually, they were just old men. Most of them are gone, now.

Once that thing got shut down and taken away from actual harvest and it never did open back again, obviously it just sprung out of itself.

If that thing gets worked, it won't hurt it. It didn't hurt it this time, and I've got witnesses. I've got people that know exactly because they were catching these things.

There were just tons and tons there, but to replant it -- to replenish it is a good idea, if it needed it, but I don't think we need to do anything to it. Just leave it alone.

I do want to thank you guys for what you have done for the benefit of the fishermen. You are doing well. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: George, I think the question before was more related to the Western Sound.

GEORGE STORRS: Well, I understand that. You

guys have the management plan and the plan says we can't 1 do it right now, and I understand that, but I'm glad that 2 3 General Spraggins spoke about it and you let me at least ask if we could do this if and when it was available to do 4 It is not going to hurt. 5 this. Obviously, we haven't gotten what we were going 6 7 to get, as far as the limit, the quota, and it is not going to hurt if we go back there and get some more. That 8 9 is just not going to hurt it because there's just not -they may be scattered, but they are there. 10 The dredgers particularly could do well over on 11

St. Joe. There are plenty of oysters on St. Joe. didn't get killed, and they could really make some good money over there, if you would consider opening that for them.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2.

23

24

25

26

I don't know if they are under the same criteria as we are, but whatever you do for them, that would be great. That would help them, too.

If you can help us, I would appreciate the help. That would be on the Western Sound.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Thank you, George.

GEORGE STORRS: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Mr. Chairman.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes, sir.

Joe, one of the things COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: that needs to be pointed out, he said there are some areas that are so thick over there that they don't need planting, but there are areas there it that do need planting.

2.0

2.2.

Put cultch material there. You don't have to put it on top of where there are oysters -- you can put it on particular areas.

JOE JEWELL: That's right. If we do cultch planting in Pascagoula Bay, as you know, that is a riverine reef. It is in a much different environment. It has a very strong footprint, and it doesn't operate very well outside of that footprint.

If we did cultch planting over there, it would have to be targeted. We sure don't want to place it right on top of reef. We would place it in the areas where the footprint would allow.

We have had that discussion before. I mean, the Pascagoula Reef, if nature intended it to and provided the correct environment and the sediment and everything else, would be three, or four, times as big as it is, but it doesn't traditionally grow.

It contracts and recedes according to environmental conditions, but it doesn't get as large scale as the ones in the Western Sound because it's in a riverine system.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Do any of the Commissioners want to make a motion, or leave it like it

is, as far as giving the Executive Director the authority? 1 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Yes. T'll make that 2 3 motion that we give the Executive Director the authority to open, let's say, the St. Joe Oyster Reef if he feels 4 like the reef can handle it and there is no problem. If a 5 flood is projected, or something, or predicted, then he 6 7 can open it up and let the people make some money before those oysters die. 8 COMMISSIONER HAVARD: I think that we need to 9 have a meeting by phone like we have in the past few 10 weeks. That worked out very well. I think we need to do 11 that for the Commission to make that decision. 12 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: He doesn't want to give 13 the Executive Director the authority? 14 15 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I think he does, but he wants to involve the Commission in that decision. 16 17 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I make the motion to give 18 the Executive Director the authority. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion on the 19 table to give the Executive Director the authority to open 20 21 the season, if conditions present are approved. Do we have a second for that motion? 2.2. 23 JOE SPRAGGINS: The question I have on that is we are only talking about this time of year, between now 24 and the end of the year. That's the only thing I was 25

looking at, and I don't think it is going to work anyway,

1	but just in case it happens, and I don't want look at it
2	after that. After that, I think you are a hundred percent
3	right, Commissioner, that you need to be brought in on
4	this, but we were just talking in the short term.
5	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Correct, between now and
6	the end of the year.
7	JOE SPRAGGINS: Yes. That is the only thing we
8	were talking about.
9	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion on the
10	table.
11	Do we have a second for that motion?
12	COMMISSIONER GUESS: I'll second it.
13	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion and a
14	second.
15	All those in favor aye.
16	(Commissioner Daniels, Commissioner Gollott in
17	favor.)
18	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed like sign.
19	(Commissioner Bosarge, Commissioner Daniels,
20	Commissioner Havard opposed.)
21	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: So the motion fails.
22	Continue, Joe.
23	JOE JEWELL: Before we get into the agenda item,
24	I just want to take a brief moment to mention one of our
25	senior staff members not only in Marie Fisheries, but in
26	the Department of Marine Resources.

5 agency

Mr. Mike Brainard has announced his retirement. His last day will be December the $31^{\rm st}$ with our agency. He has put in over thirty years of management and scientific application into the duties and responsibilities of this agency.

Mike is the oldest employee at our agency. He began his career when we were the Bureau of Marine Resources.

As we were established, he started with DMR. Somewhere around 1997 he was named the Shrimp and Crab Bureau Director in which he performed an exceptional job, and, then, around 2011 he was appointed the Deputy Director of the Marine Fisheries Office which he exceedingly excelled at.

He also saw us through some of our most challenging times, Katrina, the BP oil spill, several major audits that have occurred in our agency. Because of his long-term knowledge and skill, he was able to address that and assist our agency and pull her out of the hole.

He has been a valued, dedicated employee to our agency, and unfortunately he is not here this morning. He is working on some grant documentation material prior to him leaving, but I just wanted to bring that to the Commission's attention and thank him for his long service here at our agency.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes, sir.

1	JOE SPRAGGINS: Is Mike here?
2	JOE JEWELL: No. He's not in the office today.
3	He is working on some grant documentation before he
4	departs before the holidays.
5	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I was scanning the
6	audience to see if I could pick him out.
7	JOE JEWELL: He has led such a low profile
8	career in our agency, but he is so valuable at what he
9	does and what he provides.
10	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: A lot of knowledge.
11	JOE JEWELL: Yes, sir.
12	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I'm glad he is retiring,
13	but I hate to hear he is leaving. Hopefully we can get
14	somebody in there, or move somebody up, that can fill his
15	shoes.
16	JOE JEWELL: We plan to move pretty quickly on
17	that.
18	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Good for Mike.
19	JOE JEWELL: Thank you.
20	We are going to move right into the Marine
21	Fisheries agenda.
22	First up, the Commission asked us to come back
23	with some management recommendations for Spotted Seatrout
24	to try and increase the SPR. Mr. Matt Hill is going to
25	present that to you.

MATT HILL: Good morning Commissioners, Director

Spraggins, Sandy.

2.2.

I'm a little under the weather this morning, so try to bear with me. Joe wouldn't cut me any slack.

What we are going to discuss today is management recommendations for the increase in the rate of percent SPR for Spotted Seatrout. This was discussed at the last Commission meeting when you asked us to bring back some, I hate to say recommendations, but some options.

A little bit of background. Staff identified, in order to increase SPR, fishing mortality must be reduced.

The first step that was taken in this process was the CMR adopted a twenty percent SPR target for the Spotted Seatrout fishery and implemented a fifteen inch minimum size limit for the recreational fishery.

In the next few slides management options to accelerate this target will be listed and discussed in the subsequent following slides.

This is a brief list of the management options and I will touch a little bit on them, but each slide hereafter will get a little bit more in-depth.

First is obviously status quo, and I do want to remind the Commission and caution them that the current management regime of a fifteen inch minimum recreational size limit has been in place for only less than two years.

The second option to consider would be minimum

size changes in both the recreational and the commercial 1 industry. 2 3 The third option will be to consider seasonal closures. We have considered these in the past whenever 4 we did raise the size limit. 5 Also, we can look at per person bag limit 6 7 changes, explore recreational quotas, or TAC's. These are two separate issues, and we will discuss those later in 8 9 the presentation. 10 Institute vessel bag limits. Institute size limits. 11 We will talk in a little bit further detail 12 about the size limits, but the last benchmark stock 13 assessment says, basically, that anything above eighteen 14 inches will be our threshold when we are looking at size 15 limits. 16 Possible gear restrictions and possible area 17 18 closures. The first thing we will discuss is minimum size, 19 or bag limit, changes. 2.0 21 Option one. Raise the commercial minimum size limit to fifteen inches and that would make it consistent 2.2. with the current recreational size limit. 23 Increase the minimum size limit in 24 Option two. both the recreational and the commercial industry. 25

Option three. Bag limit change from fifteen

1	fish to ten fish per person, or lower, and I will say to
2	make any significant impact on the fishery the bag limit
3	will have to be less than five.
4	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Matt.
5	MATT HILL: Yes, sir.
6	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I had a request for a
7	real quick break.
8	MATT HILL: All right.
9	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I hate to stop you in the
10	middle of your presentation, but is there any way we can
11	take a quick break?
12	MATT HILL: I wanted a break, too. I was asking
13	Dr. Mickle. I said surely we will get to take a break.
14	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I know you are on a roll,
15	but can we just start back at the top of that when we come
16	back, and let's just take a quick ten-minute break?
17	MATT HILL: That sound perfect to me.
18	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. Thank you,
19	sir.
20	MEETING STANDS IN RECESS
21	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I call the meeting back
22	to order, and we will let you begin where you left off.
23	MATT HILL: Where we left off, or start over?
24	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Commissioner Havard, our
25	court reporter asked that you try to lean over and speak
26	into that mike where she can hear you a little better.

92
COMMISSIONER HAVARD: No problem.
COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Thank you, sir.
MATT HILL: At the beginning?
COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: No. Just where you left
off about the size limit slides.
JOE SPRAGGINS: I think you were talking about
the options.
MATT HILL: I will try to get a train of thought
back. We will just start at the beginning and begin with
the management options.
Option one will obviously be status quo. The
current management regime of fifteen inch minimum
recreational size limit has been in place for less than
two years. I think that is an important point for the
Commission to consider.
Option two would be minimum size change for both
the recreational and the commercial fishery.
COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Matt, excuse me.
Can I ask you a question, before I forget it?
MATT HILL: Yes, sir.
COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: On this quota, status
quo, in the last two years, have we increased our SPR?
Has it gone up at all?
MATT HILL: Yes, we have increased our SPR.
The purpose of this presentation was to find
ways in which we can possibly increase it at a faster

rate.

2.0

2.2.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: What is our SPR now? What percentage?

MATT HILL: Currently with the update I believe it was seventeen point two. However, I did point out with the Commission that there were two outliers in there and if we take those out, we are hovering around the fourteen percent SPR mark which is an increase.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: What was it, when we raised it?

MATT HILL: When we first started this, we were looking at an SPR of around seven point two. However, as additional inputs were put into the model, it changes throughout time for various reasons, for technical reasons that I prefer not to get into here. It will take a lot of time, but it is increasing. The stock is holding its own.

We feel like we are righting the ship, but it is slow. When you look at it in a holistic picture and you just take some of the numbers out of it, the trends are turning around, but they are slowly turning around.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Please forgive me for interrupting you, but I wanted those answers. It is in my mind. Thank you.

MATT HILL: Yes, sir.

Obviously with the minimum size change that would apply, the option would apply to both the

recreational and commercial for the CMR to consider.

Also consider seasonal closures, per person bag limit changes, recreational quotas and TAC's which we can have a more in-depth conversation later in the presentation, institute vessel bag limits, look at size limit options which we will see what one other state has particularly done and show the Commission some of the options that we have come up with. Possible gear restrictions and area closures.

We will go slide-by-slide on each option here, and we are talking about if the minimum size, or bag limit, changes, one option will be to raise the commercial minimum size limit to fifteen inches to become consistent with the recreational size limit.

Another option will be to increase minimum size limit in both the recreational and commercial size limit. However, I would caution the Commission we did have a pretty drastic size limit change fairly recently from thirteen inches to fifteen inches.

Bag limit change from fifteen to ten fish per person, or lower. However, scenarios did show to make a significant impact, when we are talking about bag limits, the bag limit would have to be less than five per person.

Vessel bag limits is something we didn't touch on a lot, when we did the base assessment. Vessel bag limits with allowances, or slot limits, and this would

include under size and over size, or both, and fish per person bag limits with allowances and, again, this could include under size allowances, over size allowances, or both.

2.2.

We talk about size limits. One strategy that we will put up just for demonstration that Florida has implemented is five fish with one over twenty inches per person.

Some data for the Commission to consider when we are looking at size limits would be to maintain the bag and size limit of fifteen fish per person, fifteen inches and one over twenty inches.

We could possibly go to fifteen fish per person, or raise the size limit to sixteen inches with one over twenty inches.

We can drop the bag limit to ten fish per person and remain at fifteen inch size limit with one over twenty inches, or any other recommendations that the Commission would like to consider.

However, I would like to point out also that revisiting the baseline assessment, the threshold that gave you the most bang for your buck when you were considering size limits, or slot limits, was not twenty inches. It was an eighteen inch maximum.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Matt, didn't you say it would take five fish, or less, to make a change?

MATT HILL: Yes. Any drop in the bag limit would make a change.

What I'm trying to say is it would take five fish, or less, to make a significant change.

When you are looking at bag limits, ninety to ninety-five percent -- and I don't have the number directly in front of me -- harvest actually less than three fish per person on the trip. You have the five precentors that we would be trying to deal with and that just wouldn't make a large impact in the holistic view of the fishery.

The other seventy-five thousand that are catching three fish, or less, that is who we would be impacting.

That is the type of change that we would need to make to the bag limit to see any type of significant rate of increase in the SPR, and that is the purpose of this presentation.

COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Matt, if I may, one question that I've got about this, when you are talking about that decline in the SPR, it's hard to stop that decline, but, once we start going up, does it snowball as it goes up?

As you are getting more reproducing fish, does it increase faster than it takes us to stop a decline?

MATT HILL: Well, it has taken us two years to

begin to stop the decline. We have not stopped the decline. As we showed in the last presentation, the steepness of the decline has decreased. For lack of a better word, it is less negative than what it was.

We are approaching a static fishery, a neutral fishery.

Now, when we begin to talk about the rebuilding of the fishery, it is not only some regulatory factors as to what we can control. It is obviously going to be some environmental factors.

Dr. Mickle and I have had multiple conversations and said time and time again whenever CMR chose to institute the fifteen inch minimum size, we did see a fairly drastic change. However, we also had the Bonnet Carre Spillway open.

Anytime an environmental factor like that happens, something that we are not in control of, we have always seen an increase in our independent sampling that pushes year classes over here that we were not expecting, but we don't like to count on that all the time.

Theoretically what you are saying, yes. Once you become neutral and the effort remains as it is -- that is all we have to go by is the effort numbers -- if they remain like they are, they usually expect to begin to see a slight increase in SPR over time with the current regulations.

1	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: It just seems to me like
2	if you take the environmental factors out, once it starts
3	going up, you have essentially got a snowball affect there
4	because the more fish that are in the water are going to
5	produce more and it is just going to get exponential
6	MATT HILL: (Interposing) As long as the effort
7	remains constant.
8	If the effort continues to go up, then, that
9	begins to negate some of it. That is why we are very
10	cautious in saying that it will exponentially go up. It
11	will go up according to the pressure that is actually put
12	on the fishery.
13	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Okay.
14	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: And what is the curve of
15	the effort?
16	MATT HILL: The curve of the effort?
17	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes.
18	MATT HILL: In the last
19	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: (Interposing) I guess
20	the short answer.
21	MATT HILL: In the last eighteen years, it has
22	tripled.
23	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Right now it is still on
24	the incline?
25	MATT HILL: It is still on the incline. It's
26	not on as steep of an incline as we saw five years ago,

but it is still on the increase.

2.2.

two?

Some other options that the Commission may want to consider is gear restrictions. We were talking about that. We could explore prohibiting treble hooks and/or multiple rigs, in both the commercial and recreational hook-and-line fishery, and institute line, or gear, material requirements, or any other options recommended by the Commission that the staff may not have identified.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Matt, can I ask you a question, please?

MATT HILL: Yes, sir.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: What would happen if we just closed down the speckled trout fishing for two, or three, months out of the year and you reduce it down to five fish?

What would that give you?
Would that give us what we need in a year, or

MATT HILL: That is right here on this slide. We can't quantify what it would give us, but what we could do is when we are talking about closures, we already have a commercial Spotted Seatrout closure in place from November 1st through January 31st. The possible recreational closure to consider would be during the spawning period which has been identified. The apex of spawning period has been identified between April and May.

This is something we have never done before. It is something extremely difficult to quantify.

We do feel like if this did happen though it obviously would have an impact on the fishery. How much of an impact it would have on the fishery, we don't know.

However, the big question we have in fisheries management right now is when you start implementing closures like this, or short closures, or anything like that -- and the Red Snapper fishery is a very good example. I hate to use it. It is also a fishery -- you begin to alter the thinking and the fishing habits of the user group.

Just because we close it during this time doesn't mean they are not just going to ramp up their fishing effort during the other time of the year. It is not a guarantee that the effort will be reduced across the board. It is a tool in the toolbox to consider, but it's not the silver bullet. It obviously will have an impact, but it may have a neutral impact. It possibly may have a negative impact. It is something that only time will tell.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Well, one of the things we need to think about is your bait shops and your fishing stores and stuff like that. I mean, they do survive on this.

I don't know what it would do them, if we closed

it down for a couple of months.

2.2.

It might be worth a try to close it down for April and May altogether, commercial and recreational, and treat them both alike.

MATT HILL: I would say that -- I mean, we can run the scenarios and we have run them before, and obviously the model did produce an increase. It did obviously produce an increase. However, what the model cannot take into account and what staff, or the Commission for that matter, cannot take into account is how do closures alter the fishing habits of the fishermen.

Is it going to be become a derelict fishery right before, or right after?

What extreme impact is this going to have on the fishery?

That is honestly a question when I don't know, I don't know, and I'm going to tell you I just don't know.

COMMISSIONER DANIELS: It seems to me that that upper end slot limit would have the most potential for doing good during these spawning months. Historically, guys go out when these fish are spawning, they are in big numbers, they are congregating, and that's whenever they are going to catch their bigger fish.

I have always been an advocate of let's put an upper end slot limit and let's put those big females back in the water.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2.

23

24

25

26

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Let me say this, Matt.

MATT HILL: And I do want to encourage the

Commission that we are trying to categorize these for ease

of understanding. However, any combination of what we put

time of year, or in a certain area, or anything like that,

up here, as you just said, a slot limit during a certain

is obviously going to have some type of impact, but as a

manager, my issue when we talk about short-term closures

is we just don't know what the fishing habits -- how this

is going to alter the fishing habits of the recreational

fishery and the for-hire fishery. That is a huge impact

that you are putting on these industries.

Louisiana has a very good finfish section in their Wildlife and Fisheries, and I was talking to the head guy, and I ask him why didn't they do an upper slot limit in Lake Calcasieu known for its big trout.

He said because they have proven by the time they wrestle these big fish to the boat, they die anyhow. They get more mortality because they are big and they run out of oxygen and it kills them when you land them, so that's the reason they don't put a slot limit in Louisiana.

COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Matt, I think you have done exactly what we asked you to do, come back with some options.

At this point, I think we need to take bits and

2

3

4 5

> 6 7

8 9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

2.2.

23

24

25

26

pieces of a lot of these options and come up with some good conclusions and move forward.

I think at this time you should move forward with your presentation. We have talked about this multiple times, so let's move forward with your presentation, and, then, we will get with you to piecemeal a good option.

MATT HILL: And that is what I do want to encourage is we don't just have to pick one. If the Commission so desires, a combination of factors would probably the best in certain situations.

The next thing we talk about will be potential area closures, obviously north of the CSX, specific bays and environmental closures, and this is something that has intrigued me.

Actually, the Office of Marine Patrol brought this to me, when we were talking about some other things, and I didn't know some of the things that were going on on the east coast, but they have programs in place and they have independent monitoring in place for such events -and I'm going to try to relate it to an event we have here is when the Bonnet Carre opens up -- where they can shut down a fishery, they can modify a fishery, they can modify the gear within the fishery, they can modify bag limits, they can modify vessel limits, within a thirty-six to forty-eight hour period.

This is something that I thought was more of a progressive management style that we may want to start considering, when we see some environmental factors that we know are going to affect our fishery and possibly push large amounts of fish into our area that we would not normally see is not to necessarily shut the fishery down, but maybe take a more progressive approach and say, let's get some buy-in and drop some limits for certain periods of time.

How long does it take those fish to get over here?

What do we actually see?

We are instituting some pretty strenuous monitoring. As Paul said with the seagrasses, we are also instituting some pretty strenuous independent monitoring around Cat Island. Obviously that is the first area that we do see affected.

It would be nice in the future and I think this is something a few years down the road, but that the Commission may want to begin to consider as a more progressive approach to look at some things that have worked for other states, and they have been very successful in the fisheries, the user groups and the fishermen have buy-in and, through my conversation with Marine Patrol, it seems to be that it has been a very successful program, and it is something that I am

exploring a lot more deeply. I just don't have a lot of the details that I really need to right now.

And, also, as an offside, any other options recommended by the Commission.

when we start to talk about recreational quotas, or TAC's, when we start talking about establishing these things, we are talking about setting the yield -- this in kilograms, and I will give it to you in pounds in just a second -- set a yield and close the recreational fishery when it is reached, set a quota based on landings history and also have a monitoring strategy in place.

Currently without that, this would be a projection based on historical catch-per-unit effort and just effort overall.

The ultimate goal would be in-season monitoring, but through the stock assessments and the updates, the yield would be based on the most current assessment, or update.

For an example, we have highlighted for the year 2019, the Commission obviously has set a percent SPR of twenty. If you convert the four hundred and seventy-six thousand four hundred and forty-two kilograms, if you would establish a recreational quota, you would then subtract the -- this is the total allowable catch, so you would subtract the current commercial quota and that is what your quota would be. You would be left with

approximately one million pounds of recreational harvest 1 for the 2019 season. 2 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Say that last sentence 3 again. 4 You would be left with what? 5 MATT HILL: If we went down this road, or the 6 7 commission so chooses to explore this, the yield would be 8 based on the most current assessment, or update. 9 what would happen is every year we are required 10 to give the Commission an update. This shows right here what the allowable biological catch would be for the year 11 2019, and I'm just using that as an example. 12 For better words, after everything is said and 13 done, the recreational quota would be set at roughly one 14 15 million pounds for 2019. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: And that would be to try 16 17 to get to a twenty-six percent SPR in five years? 18 MATT HILL: Yes. That would be to move from -currently you percent SPR, your goal is twenty, so that 19 would not be to get to twenty-six. That would give you a 20 21 twenty-six percent increase over where you currently are over a five-year period. That would not be to get to 2.2. 23 twenty-six. That would just show that it would be a twenty-six percent increase. 24 25 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Okav.

26

MATT HILL: If the Commission would lower the

percent SPR, which I do not recommend, it would show an 1 eighteen percent increase, and that is the five-year 2 3 change with size. The more aggressive you go, I would say the higher the increase would be over a period of time. 4 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have had this 5 discussion and in my experience in dealing management 6 7 plan, they always give a TAC, or a quota. That, to me, is the easiest way to manage a 8 9 fishery, but do you have the ability to? 10 MATT HILL: We currently do not have the ability for in-season monitoring. We do currently have the 11 ability to give projections on what the season will be, as 12 how NOAA, or NMFS, was doing for the Red Snapper fishery. 13 We have the ability in many different ways to do 14 It would be the Commission's guidance that we would 15 seek on how we would do that, whether it would be a ten-16 17 year historical catch-per-unit effort, a five year 18 historical catch-per-unit effort. We would have to analyze the actual effort coming into the fishery every 19 year. There would be a lot of moving parts. 20 21 Can we do it? I believe we can do it. 2.2. 23 Can we do it efficiently at this time? I do not believe we can do it efficiently at 24 this time. 25

Can we get there in the next couple of years?

I do believe that we can.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I see a bunch of things in here. I'm sure everybody has got ideas of what we could do to try to help this along to speed up the recovery.

I do like the idea of a quota. In my opinion it is only fair if we've got a quota on the commercial side, either take that quota off, or let's get everybody on the same page. Let's do the same management across the board.

It's up to you and the staff, though, to be able to calculate landings to know when that quota is reached, and I know that is going to be a tough thing to do.

MATT HILL: It is extremely difficult, and we are working very diligently on it to try to come up with something that we are -- we probably will never have a system -- and I will be honest -- that we will ever be as confident in as the Tails n' Scales system because it is dealing with a very specific species, in a very specific universe and it's a very specific time.

This is going to be a combination of a combination of projections and some type of monitoring within the season. It is going to be something that I have never seen done before. We are looking into it.

We can project all we want, but if I had to project -- I got the numbers in for the first wave of 2018, and I'm just going off the top of my head, but, if I

1	had to use that as a projection for the rest of the year,
2	I believe the 2018 season would have closed sometime at
3	the end of May because there were so many fish harvested
4	which is expected, but that is the only data we would have
5	to go by to make in-season modifications to the projection
6	that we made prior to the season.
7	We would want it to be a dynamic fluid process.
8	However, it's just not something that I'm comfortable with
9	that it will be accurate for that particular user group
10	now.
11	However, I do feel like something will we can
12	come up with a system in the near future that we can all
13	be comfortable with, if we decide to go down this road.
14	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: To me, Matt, that is the
15	road. That's the road everybody else is on, so I would
16	like to see you work towards that road.
17	I know there is no way we can do it now, but
18	that's how you manage a fishery.
19	Continue on.
20	MATT HILL: I was going to try to be brief.
21	Are there any questions?
22	Is this what the Commission was looking for,
23	asking for?
24	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I had one more question,
25	and I'm sure some of the others do.
26	Treble hooks. At one time in Mississippi were

110
treble hooks outlawed, or not, legal to use?
MATT HILL: Correct.
COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Why?
Give me some background because I don't recall.
MATT HILL: That was before my time, and I'm not
really sure why they were actually outlawed.
COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I think I can help you
there. I was in the fight with the treble hooks and Mr.
Eicke and different people. They proved that treble hooks
didn't make any difference. The fish didn't swallow them.
They didn't kill any more fish with a treble hook than
they did with a straight hook, and NOAA and the State of
Louisiana and different people had come out with it, so we
changed the law on treble hooks.
At the same time, we dropped it down to thirteen
inches.
Treble hooks are not going to help.
COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Well, I was thinking more
of bycatch mortality. If you see a trout that swallows a
treble hook, whereas if a that trout swallows a straight
single hook, it is a bunch of difference.
COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Science says it was the
same. That's the reason we changed it.
MATT HILL: I do believe that with all the
options presented I like what Commissioner Havard and

Commissioner Daniels have said that possibly some type of

combination, some type of seasonal -- some things that 1 would possibly accomplish what we are looking for here, 2 until we can come forward with a -- whether the Commission 3 chooses to adopt a quota, or a TAC, until we can come 4 forward with a program that everybody will be comfortable 5 with and we would be comfortable with as a staff that we 6 7 are giving this accurate data. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Well, I think 8 Commissioner Havard made a valid point and you see it, 9 Matt. Take a couple of outliers out, look at the trend 10 11

lines, and you've got to wonder where are we and, of course, we don't have enough years to really know where we are.

In an effort to guarantee some success, I feel that what you presented, we need to move on some of it, and I want to leave it up to the rest of the crowd.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

I was just looking at what you could do that would make a difference.

Anyhow, we do have some public comments.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: What I was wondering is if we shouldn't just leave status quo, we're building, and it wouldn't hurt any of the industries, bait camps and tackle shops and everything, if we left things status quo.

If we are going to do it, let's do it radically. Let's do it for a short period of time and get it over with.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: When you talk about bait camps, I have given that a lot of thought, too.

Just because you close Spotted Seatrout and that's another one, I'm sure, of your concerns, closing for Spotted Seatrout, people are still going to fish.

My worry with that is bycatch mortality because you are still going to fish in those same places within reason and you are still going to catch Spotted Seatrout.

There are bunch of things you have to --

MATT HILL: (Interposing) And that is our biggest consideration lately.

We had a large conference call two days ago. I lose track of time, but it was about when you start to change fisheries and it was dealing with the Red Snapper fishery, when we start looking at it is when you have seasonal closures. We were starting to analyze the two-week closure that we had, what type of affect did it have on it, did they begin to target other inshore species during that two weeks.

People are still going to fish, and we realize that. When we do some of these things, we are trying to begin taking into consideration where are we going to sift that effort, which species is next because every action has a reaction and we have seen that in several fisheries so far.

We know, obviously, that Spotted Seatrout is

1	number one on the list, but just because you take it away
2	for a short period of time and you put some type of
3	different management regime on that particular species,
4	that we see it in the offshore fisheries. We did it
5	with snapper. Now we are moving with the Triggerfish and
6	now we are at Gray Snapper, Amber Jack. They are not
7	going to stop. That is just the nature of the game, but
8	until we begin to start to develop techniques to
9	understand what pressure is going to do, once you shut
10	down fisheries, or change management regimes on a fishery,
11	unfortunately we are going to be spinning our wheels.
12	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I would like to see maybe
13	Commissioner Daniels and Commissioner Havard look at your
14	list and come back next meeting with maybe some
15	suggestions. Let them talk to their constituents and some
16	changes we could make that would make a difference.
17	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: I think that's a good
18	idea, Steve.
19	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Are there any other
20	questions?
21	(No response.)
22	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Thank you, sir.
23	MATT HILL: Thank you.
24	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Wait a minute. We've got
25	one public comment. Mr. Eicke.
26	F. J. EICKE: I'm okay.

1	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: You are okay?
2	F. J. EICKE: Yes.
3	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right.
4	JOE JEWELL: Our next agenda item is
5	consideration for the 2019 Derelict Crab Trap Removal
6	Program. Mr. Rick Burris will give that presentation.
7	RICK BURRIS: Good morning Mr. Chairman,
8	Commissioners, General Spraggins, Ms. Chesnut.
9	Today I want to present for the Commission's
10	approval a proposal for the 2019 Mississippi Derelict Crab
11	Trap Removal Program.
12	If you were not aware, state statute grants the
13	Commission the authority to close down the crab trap
14	season, or establish a closed season for the use of crab
15	traps in the public waters of the state for no less than
16	ten days and no more than thirty days, specifically for
17	the purpose of derelict trap removals.
18	That language is also found in Title 22, Part 4.
19	If you are not familiar with a derelict crab
20	trap, a derelict trap is defined as a lost trap which is
21	typically unbuoyed, unmarked and not actively fished.
22	These can become lost is a variety of different
23	ways. You have high water events, tropical storms,
24	hurricanes, theft and most notably vessel propellers
25	cutting the float line.

26

Once the float line is cut on these traps, they

immediately become derelict and, once they are out there, they propose a host of problems. They are navigational hazards, they cause a lot of user groups conflicts and they continue to entrap organisms, or ghost fish.

2.2.

They will catch blue crabs, a variety of finfish species, and you really can't see on this, but, in that picture, that is a bird, so they catch more than just fish and crabs.

Anything we can do to get these traps out of the water is a positive for the environment and the fishery as a whole.

I also want to add that it is a part of the fishery that these traps are lost, just because there are so many boats out there and there are so many events that these traps can become lost with, but the agency does a lot to set regulations to keep these things from becoming lost and the fishermen, by all means, don't want to lose their traps. They lose a lot of time and a lot of money when they lose their traps. They are valued at about fifty dollars each, so they do everything they can to keep these traps from being lost, but unfortunately that is part of the fishery.

Derelict trap removals have been highly successful across the Gulf of Mexico, but especially in the State of Mississippi. We have such a small coastline that we can effectively remove traps in a very short

1 2 2

period of time with the help of our commercial fishermen and a lot of volunteers.

This chart shows previous derelict trap removal efforts going back to 1999 when the program started. It is the first of its kind in the Gulf of Mexico.

The chart shows the years with the asterisk are when had actual closures with cleanup events as we are requesting today with volunteers and commercial fishermen going out and doing all, or most, of the effort.

You can see that the years where there are no asterisks, those were just staff efforts.

Overall we have removed, since 1999, twenty-one thousand six hundred and seventy-one traps which says a lot for our agency and the volunteers and commercial fishermen that have helped us remove these traps.

I also wanted to note that the program has been recognized numerous times by the EPA, the Gulf of Mexico Program, the Mississippi Recycling Coalition and the Mississippi Chapter of American Fisherman Society, documenting all the success that we have had.

This slide is a summary of the logistics of the program that we are proposing.

I do want to add that last month at the
Mississippi Crab Task Force there was a formal motion made
for us to come forth to the Commission and request a crab
trap closure for early February for all State waters, and

1 that motion passed unanimously.

What we are proposing is a crab trap closure for all coastal waters from February 7^{th} through the 16^{th} .

We looked at the tides for this week. They are very good, very low tides which is what you need when you are going to get these traps out of the water.

The fishermen will have the first seven days of the closure to remove their own traps from the closure areas, and, then, the final three days which will be February 14^{th} through the 16^{th} , any traps left in the water will be subject to removal.

The cleanup will be open to volunteers from the general public. We typically have a lot of NGO's involved, commercial fishermen of course and anybody from the general public that wants to go out and help, they will be advised to remove these traps.

We will notify all licensed commercial and recreational crab fishermen ahead of the closure. We give them ample time to remove their traps. We will also get with our Public Affairs Office and do as much recruitment with social media and our website to try to get more volunteers, as many as we can.

During those three days, February 14th through the 16th, we will have drop-off sites located at historical locations in Bayou Caddy, Ocean Springs Harbor and the Pascagoula point where the volunteers can bring traps that

1	they get to us, we will accept them and we will get some
2	data off of them, and, then, we will put them in a
3	dumpster where they will later be hauled out to a local
4	scrap facility, once the cleanup has ended.
5	What we will need to move forward is approval
6	from the Commission to establish a closed season for the
7	use of crab traps in public waters of this state for the
8	purpose of conducting a derelict crab trap cleanup from
9	February 7 th through the 16 th of 2019.
10	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I have got to say Rick,
11	he heads up the Crab Task Force now and does a heck of a
12	job.
13	I'm actually a member of that task force and he
14	has done a lot of good, a lot of good.
15	RICK BURRIS: Thank you.
16	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Do we have a motion?
17	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I'll make that motion,
18	Mr. Chairman, that we go with the staff's recommendation.
19	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion.
20	Do we have a second for that motion?
21	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: I'll second the motion.
22	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion and a
23	second.
24	All those in favor aye.
25	(All in favor.)
26	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed like sign.

(None opposed.) 1 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Motion carries. 2 3 RICK BURRIS: Thank y'all very much. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes. sir. 4 JOE JEWELL: Commission, the final item on 5 Marine Fisheries section of the agenda is a motion that 6 Commissioner Gollott made earlier in the meeting to have a 7 discussion on Cat Island Net Fishing. 8 9 Commissioner Gollott. COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Thank you, sir. 10 As all of y'all know, we had a legislative forum 11 on December the 5th where our legislators came in and we 12 gave them our wishes and they gave us theirs. 13 The one thing that they made very clear is they 14 15 wanted the commercial nets, finfish nets off of Cat Tsland. 16 What I would like to do, and we need to do that 17 18 this month. We need to get this started because the legislature goes in session in January, and they told us 19 flat out if we didn't do something, they were going to do 20 21 it, and the last thing this Commission should want is the legislature to get involved in this. 2.2. 23 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Commissioner Gollott, if I could ask you, this is what, the third time now we have 24 had this issue before us and, once again, what new science 25

are you presenting that --

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: (Interposing) Mr.

Chairman, please let me finish. I started this. Let me

finish it, and, then, you can go ahead with your input. I

understand where you are coming from.

The legislators gave us an ultimatum to do it, and I think that we need to move forward with it.

I would like to make a motion that -- well, two of them, as a matter of fact.

First of all, the first motion would be that no new nets could be introduced into the fishery, unless the Commission approved it. In other words, they couldn't come up with a new net and say, this is something else and we want to fish, like gill nets and such as that. The stuff that they are coming up with is to get around the law.

The second one is to form a half a mile zone around Cat Island for no commercial finfishing with nets, with the exception of brill nets, shrimp nets and cast nets.

Now, Mr. Chairman, you can open it up for discussion, if you want to, please.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Well, once again, this will be the third time we are here trying to close Cat Island down.

It is our charge to make management decisions based on best science available.

1 I ask you once again what science do you have available that we don't already have? 2 3 I understand where you are coming from. Your reasoning is that the legislature says if we don't do it, 4 they are going to do it. 5 Well, in my opinion, I'm sorry, but we have no 6 7 right, or no authority, to do it. If you can give me some authority, I'm a hundred 8 9 percent behind you, but, if the legislature thinks this is something that needs to be done, then, let them do it. 10 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I'm sorry, Mr. Chairman. 11 I don't look at it like that. I think that is something 12 we need to do. 13 Cat Island was recreational fishermen for 14 generations, and we just had this one little problem over 15 there, and it doesn't sound like you want to solve this 16 problem, but I think this motion that I would make will 17 solve the problem. It will put it back just like it was 18 last year and the year before that where only recreational 19 fishermen mostly wade fish around Cat Island and catch the 20 21 fish. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Commissioner Gollott. 22 23 that island was a commercial fishery long before it was a recreational fishery. 24

logical scientific reason, I'm with you.

25

26

Once again, I mean, if you can give me a good

1 we are here. Once again, this will be our third time with this. 2 3 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Yes, sir, and I would like it the last time. 4 I would like to make the motion that we put the 5 half-a-mile zone around Cat Island as no commercial 6 7 fishing and eliminate the nets that I said and let the ones I also included in that. 8 9 Mr. Chairman, I would like for you to go out for 10 a second and, if it fails, it fails. If it passes, it 11 passes. 12 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. COMMISSIONER GUESS: May I ask something really 13 quickly? 14 15 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: COMMISSIONER GUESS: In everyone's opinion on 16 the Commission, by us making this regulation versus 17 18 legislation, what is, I guess, really the positives and negatives? 19 If we leave it up to them make this under their 20 21 legislation, then, it is completely out of our control. If we make this regulation under the Commission, 2.2. then, it is something that we can modify and change as we 23 24 qo. Is that correct? 25 26 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: That is correct, but

understand this --1 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: (Interposing) And the 2 3 legislature has all the authority in the world to do anything they want to. 4 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: That's correct. 5 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: They don't need any 6 scientific evidence. 7 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I will say one thing and 8 9 I'm going to say it again. The resources of the State of Mississippi belong to all the people in Mississippi. 10 11 They will have to take it to the legislature 12 where the whole state will have to vote on it. opinion, that would be where you would need to go with it 13 and let all the people of the State of Mississippi vote on 14 15 it and, if they think that is what needs to be done, then, we have no choice. Let it happen. 16 17 For us to do something with no basis, I would 18 like to have legal's opinion. We are charged with the way we make management decisions and the information we use to 19 make those decisions, and I hear none. 20 21 COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Richard, when you are referring to nets around Cat Island, is this recreational 2.2. 23 and commercial, all nets? COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: All nets, except the 24

COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Okay.

three that I excluded.

25

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I don't think we are 1 discriminating. 2 3 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Can I get an opinion from legal, please? 4 SANDY CHESNUT: I think the Commissioners are 5 6 all aware of the requirements, the statutory requirements 7 that they have to base their decisions on, and, then, from there, there has been a lot of information introduced over 8 9 the past few months, and the Commissioners have to determine whether that information fits into the statutory 10 11 requirement. COMMISSIONER HAVARD: I think the first motion 12 we have resolved with our Gear Task Force. 13 Is that correct? 14 15 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Well, I don't think it is wise to wait for the task force because somebody in the 16 17 legislature is going to introduce the bill, and, then, it 18 is going to be out of our control. If we do it, like Natalie said, we can come back 19 and modify it. There are a lot of things we can do, if it 20 21 is unjust, or whatever. Once the legislature gets ahold of it, God only 2.2. 23 knows. I agree. I think that 24 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: the task force will take care of the nets, the gear issue. 25 26 That's what we formed it. I don't think there is going to

1 be anything happen between now and then. COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Sir, you don't know the 2 3 legislature very well, do you? A senator looked at Natalie and said. "Either 4 take care of it, or we will." 5 Now, that's about as plain as you can get. 6 7 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes, sir, and, in my opinion, if Natalie takes care of it without a reasoning, 8 9 having some basis for making that decision, then, she is going to held liable for her decision. 10 11 I say if the legislature wants to do it, well, 12 let them be held liable for their decision. In other words, why put it on us? 13 If the legislature is so hard wanting to do 14 15 this, let them do. COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Once they do it, it is 16 over and done with. 17 18 COMMISSIONER GUESS: Ronnie, I was going to ask what your thoughts were, after having conversations about 19 our task force. 20 21 Do you feel like some of their fears were pushed down a little bit, in terms of creating the Gear Net Task 22 Force? 23 COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Well, part of what I was 24 fixing to address is this task force is critical, and I 25 26 think they will handle the first part of that motion.

2.2.

We have got to get them in place. We have got to agree on the names and let them work.

As far as political pressure, I have spoken with some of the legislators and, in giving them my wholehearted faith that the steps that we are taking are going to make a change in the problems that we have had, I have been told that that has alleviated some of the concerns.

I certainly can't speak for everyone that is in Jackson, or anything like that, but we talked about this last month. This has never been a political, a Cat Island recreational-versus-commercial issue for me.

My problem with it is the fact that we have had illegal nets working in our waters.

COMMISSIONER HAVARD: I would agree with Commissioner Daniels. That has been the whole fight all along, the illegal net that was being used.

I think the task force will take care of that issue.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: The only basis I see -- and I agree with you. I think it will. I think really and truly it is already taken care of, but the task force is going to finalize it.

We are going to define some things. We are going to put it in writing, and we are going to make it to where, okay, now there is no loopholes. If Cat Island is

that hot an issue, then, to me, it's let negotiate. 1 will give you Cat Island. You give us this, but just to 2 3 say -- and most people because you're not a commercial fisherman, I can draw a picture in my mind of the whole 4 coastline, islands and everything, and know every place 5 that is closed, and Cat Island is one of the few places. 6 7 You are just going to take this for no reason. 8 You have got no basis, no nothing, but just because we 9 want it. 10 If Cat Island is that important to you, then, 11

let's do some negotiating. Let's see what you can give us back, something that is already closed that maybe is not that important to you.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

In other words, that would be my answer for possibly closing Cat Island and doing it ethically and legally.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: What are you going to do when the legislature bans all nets and they stop the people from fishing in Jackson County where they have been fishing and making a halfway decent living for the last few years?

Are you willing to gamble that over Cat Island?

I mean, they don't hit Cat Island, but when the

Bonnet Carre Spillway opens and pushes all those trout in
there, and it may never happen again.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Well, Commissioner

Gollott --

2.0

2.2.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: (Interposing) I have said what I wanted to.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Go ahead.

COMMISSIONER DANIELS: One thing to keep in mind, I think part of what Commissioner Gollott is saying and where a lot of this is coming from is back to the point that we had an illegal net and Cat Island was brought to the forefront because it was a hot bed for the illegal activity going on out there with these particular gear types.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have some folks.

SANDY CHESNUT: If I could just point out that these would be regulatory changes, so this can only be a motion for a Notice of Intent.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Correct.

We have some folks that would like to speak on this, Mr. Chris Rhodes.

CHRISTOPHER RHODES: Christopher Rhodes,
Mississippi Commercial Fisheries United.

The first thing I want to do is point out that if we are going to take concerns over bait shops and other businesses that are going to be concerned, what is the concern for commercial fisheries.

I feel like this has been taken from these fishermen. The tags have already been revoked.

1	I mean, can we not live with two to three
2	fishermen that are going to be governed by a task force?
3	I mean, me, as a young fisherman, you see it all
4	the time. There is grant money out there for young
5	fishermen.
6	Here we are just adding more and more
7	restrictions.
8	Can we not live with two to three?
9	The task force will take care of the loopholes,
10	as we say, but the task force also needs to look at the
11	fisheries, how the net affects things, what they are doing
12	with it.
13	It clearly says "commercial nets". I see
14	nothing on this about recreational.
15	That's all I have.
16	Any comments?
17	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Thank you.
18	Next will be Mr. Martin Young.
19	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Excuse me on minute.
20	I will modify my motion to make it for
21	recreational fishermen, also.
22	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Recreational nets.
23	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Recreational net
24	fishermen, yes.
25	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: I'll second it.
26	SANDY CHESNUT: So on the second motion it would

l	
1	read "nets for commercial and recreational fishing".
2	Is that correct?
3	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: That's correct.
4	SANDY CHESNUT: Okay.
5	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: State your name, please.
6	MARTIN YOUNG: Martin Young.
7	I say change all of that to commercial gear. If
8	you are going to put us out, change it to commercial gear,
9	not a brill net left, or a cast net, or a shrimp net. All
10	commercial gear.
11	Be fair to me one time.
12	I fished that island when I was ten years old
13	with a net with old men that are dead and buried.
14	I ought to have as much right as a citizen to
15	fish that island. If I can't fish that island like I
16	should be able to do because I have done it my whole life,
17	here is my proposal.
18	Find a way to make all these people happy
19	because I'm the only net man in the building. Find a way
20	to make them all happy.
21	I wrote a letter. Y'all used my letter, but
22	y'all didn't tell the part that I wanted told the most.
23	Buy me out. Let me go on with my life and stop
24	destroying me. Do something to help one time.
25	I can't get answers from y'all. I have asked
26	y'all to tell me who caused with all this. There was no

I fished that island for fifteen years and there 1 was no complaints, until gill nets were tagged. 2 3 I didn't ask for nets to be tagged. That come straight from this building right over here. I didn't ask 4 People had done used them several years before I 5 for it. was even knowledgeable of it. 6 7 Why should I be penalized and attacked, and that's what this is. 8 9 Mr. Gollott, this is an attack. This is a personal attack to me, the way I perceive it. 10 11 I have fished my whole life for my living and I did catch red fish for my living. I caught Sheepshead at 12 Cat Island. I caught drum at Cat Island and I caught 13 mullet at Cat Island. I picked hardheads until my fingers 14 15 bled out of gill nets at Cat Island. No, it's not just a recreational island. 16 Here's what I want to ask all of you. Please be 17 18 as fair as Florida, or Alabama. Buy me out. Let me live my life in peace. 19 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Mr. Young, when you talk 20 21 about gear, changing that from commercial nets to commercial gear --2.2. 23 MARTIN YOUNG: (Interposing) That right there -- I won't call names, but I could -- that leaves a 24 loophole for a certain little small group that's got 25

family over here in this building to go throw their cast

nets and still fish Cat Island commercial, but I can't go. 1 I'm not able. I'm fifty-five years old and I'm 2 3 wore out. I have had a rough life trying to survive with what I do. 4 I fished the same for fifteen years, before 5 6 these monofilaments were tagged that I promise you ninetynine percent of the normal men could not do what I did for 7 fifteen years to survive because I was left with nothing 8 9 else, and I called it a haul seine because that's what it was, a real haul seine. 10 11 Now, it's being called a traditional haul seine, when it was a gill net that got tagged by this building 12 over here. 13 And another thing. Y'all said y'all were going 14 15 to appropriate money. Y'all told the boys to go get the nets and build them and get them tagged. I ain't heard 16 17 y'all mention paying us for all them nets we built. 18 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We've got you. Don't 19 worry. MARTIN YOUNG: In '97, when y'all banned us, I 20 21 lost thirty-five nets, every one of them worth two thousand and three thousand dollars a piece. They rotted 2.2. 23 in the dirt, and I give them away to people to use the ropes and the corks and the lead lines off of in Alabama. 24 I've done lost my life one time. I'm asking for 25

26

help.

1	Mr. Spraggins, I sent you a letter.
2	JOE SPRAGGINS: And I can tell you that we did
3	make it in the last meeting, or the meeting before
4	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: (Interposing) We did.
5	JOE SPRAGGINS: that I am asking for help
6	from the State to be able to give me money, if we do take
7	these nets away, that we can buy them from people.
8	MARTIN YOUNG: Y'all just as well have took
9	them. I brought my tags over here. I turned them in.
10	They are gone.
11	I wasn't going to go and intimidate Chief Davis
12	and say, "Come get me. I'm still going to use this." I
13	didn't do that.
14	I ain't been with them, since I brought my tags.
15	I have been a law-abiding citizen.
16	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes, sir.
17	Thank you, Mark.
18	
	Is there any further comment?
19	Is there any further comment? COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: You need to ask for a
19 20	·
	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: You need to ask for a
20	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: You need to ask for a second on that motion.
20 21	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: You need to ask for a second on that motion. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes, sir. I'm getting
202122	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: You need to ask for a second on that motion. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes, sir. I'm getting there. We have two motions up there.
20212223	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: You need to ask for a second on that motion. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes, sir. I'm getting there. We have two motions up there. On the first motion, do we have a second for

1	immediate fix before we get this Gear Task Force in place,
2	I will second the motion, the first motion.
3	JOE JEWELL: So the first motion, could I ask
4	for some clarification?
5	Is this for commercial fishermen, recreational
6	fishermen, or both fishermen?
7	Unlike the second motion, it doesn't distinguish
8	that.
9	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Just as the first motion
10	says, it is any new nets period. It's not a
11	recreational/commercial issue.
12	JOE JEWELL: Okay. All I was asking was some
13	clarification.
14	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion with a
15	second.
16	Any further discussion?
17	(No response.)
18	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All those in favor aye.
19	(Commissioner Daniels, Commissioner Gollott,
20	Commissioner Havard in favor.)
21	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed like sign.
22	(Commissioner Bosarge, Commissioner Guess
23	opposed.)
24	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Three for. Two against.
25	Motion carries.
26	On the second motion, Commissioner Gollott, are

you willing to make the change that Martin Young asked 1 for? 2 3 I agree with him. You are opening up a loophole. 4 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Well, I'm not against 5 6 Mark, or any fishermen. What I'm thinking is if the legislature gets ahold of it, they are going to ban all 7 nets, and some of these people are fishing in Jackson 8 9 County with these nets, and they will still be able to 10 fish in Jackson County, if we just put this ban around Cat Island. That's my way of thinking. It's not that I'm 11 trying to hurt anybody. 12 What was Mr. Young asking for on this motion? 13 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: You really need to get in 14 15 the boat one time and see just what you've got available. What he was asking for was where you said 16 commercial and recreational fishing nets, he wants it to 17 18 be commercial gear period. 19 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Can he explain the difference? 20 21 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes, sir. If Martin Young wants to get up here, he can 22 23 probably do a better job. I can explain it to you. Can you come forward, Martin, because our court 24 reporter can't hear you? 25 26 MARTIN YOUNG: Yes, sir. I did not graduate

from high school, but I do know commercial means you are 1 going to sell it. That's all gear you can catch stuff to 2 3 sell right there. If you are going to sell it, I don't care if you 4 are going with a stick with a hook on it, it is still 5 commercial gear, so let's make it commercial gear. Let's 6 don't let nobody sell nothing off Cat Island. I can't go. 7 Let's don't let nobody sell nothing off Cat Island. 8 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I agree with you a 9 10 hundred percent. SANDY CHESNUT: As far as the use of the brill 11 nets and cast nets, the Commission can't prohibit the use 12 of brill and cast nets in the waters. in the marine waters 13 of the State. That's by statute, so we can't pass a 14 15 regulation prohibiting brill and cast nets. MARTIN YOUNG: What about the sell of the fish? 16 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: That could be 17 18 recreational gear, though, couldn't it? 19 SANDY CHESNUT: No. MARTIN YOUNG: What about the sell of the fish. 20 21 if you are going to sell them? I'm going to go over there and I'm going to 22 throw a cast net all day and have a thousand pounds of 23 fish in the boat, and I'm going to tell Chief Davis I'm 24 going to eat these? 25

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Mark, do people really do

that?

MARTIN YOUNG: Yes, sir, they do. They do it up the Pascagoula River. They have been doing it for countless amount of years.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Up the Pascagoula River?

MARTIN YOUNG: Every winter, they go to Petit

Bois and Horn Island. Half our red fish quota gets caught

up in cast nets because they are selling the fish.

If they get them outside of that mile line, who is the wiser to where they caught them?

I mean, all these laws are getting made that can't even been enforced.

I don't hold it against Chief Davis because I know they do a good job. They have checked me four million times. Anybody that says they don't do their job, I will disagree with them.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: It is not my intent to let the recreational people sell fish ever. They should never be able to sell fish. Only commercial people should be able to sell fish.

MARTIN YOUNG: If they've got the gear, it's just like when Tony Beckham was the chief over here. It was just like Tony Beckham told me years ago.

He said, "Son, if I walk up to you and you've got a bag of nails in your apron and a hammer in your hand, I'm going to tell you, you are fixing to build

something."

2.2.

He said, "If I catch you up that river and you've got a net in that boat, I'm going to tell you, you are fixing to try to catch some fish to go sell."

And it is no different. They were trying to make a prima facial law at the time. They were trying to get them to pass a prima facial law where, if you had prima facial evidence up the river, that they could fine you.

I didn't start this last week. It's hard for me to do this, but, if anybody knows it, believe me I know it because I have lived it.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Well, I haven't and I will admit that.

MARTIN YOUNG: I have lived in that Bay. If you wanted to put a percent, I have probably got seventy-five percent of my breathing life on that water.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Well, let me --

MARTIN YOUNG: (Interposing) I have crabbed some when I was a kid with daddy. I have shrimped some out of my skiff for an opening and maybe a week, or two, or to get something to eat.

I have oystered. We run an oyster shop, when I was a kid. We would go to the Pass before there were any limits. We would catch twenty barrels a day and bring them home and open them.

I have done all of that, but I primarily -- not 1 primarily, but I predominantly, just like I wrote in my 2 3 letter, have fished my lifetime. If you are going to take me away from that 4 island, take everybody. 5 We lost Horn and Petit Bois and Ship. They took 6 7 them away from us, but that cast net, certain ones are still profiting from that, but I don't because I would 8 9 have to tote that man killing ton-and-a-half net up, a real seine on my boat and stay legal, but I'm not being 10 rewarded for it. I'm being penalized for it. 11 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Are you willing to let 12 the legislature have this and they might ban all nets, or 13 just lose that little bit around Cat Island? 14 MARTIN YOUNG: Mr. Gollott, I'm setting here all 15 but on my knees begging you. When you take that, that is 16 all that's left. 17 There are too many recreational. It ain't 18 enough area left in just Jackson County. 19 Tourism rules Harrison and Hancock counties. 20 21 ain't got the means to go fish Pearl River and one little stretch of beach on the other side of Bayou Caddy. That's 2.2. 23 all over here, so I ain't got nothing left. If you are going to take Cat Island --24 (Interposing) Give me a 25 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT:

26

minute.

Mr. Chairman, I would like to withdraw my 1 motion. Thank you. 2 3 MARTIN YOUNG: Thank you, Mr. Gollott, but I would like to say one more thing. 4 Just like I wrote in that letter, the best thing 5 that y'all could do to end all of this, you can stop your 6 7 grass studies, you can do all of it and go away. give me the option. 8 9 I heard you talk to one of the oystermen here at 10 the last meeting. He said he was speaking for himself. 11 Well, I'm speaking for myself. Give me an 12 option to take the proposed buyout, to refuse, or take, and just go ahead and get me out of my miseries. Let me 13 live my life in peace. 14 15 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Excuse me. The Director just told you we are pursuing that, 16 so that's about as much as we can do. 17 18 MARTIN YOUNG: I ain't talking about my gear. I wanted to fish my whole life. This is all I've done. 19 is all I know. I'm uneducated. I wanted to fish. 2.0 21 CCA members and all these groups want us gone. Just like I wrote to Mr. Spraggins in that 2.2. 23 letter, raise the price of a license however many years you need to and buy me out and let me go on with my life. 24 I'm tired of fighting my whole life. 25

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Thank you, sir.

	- 1-
1	MARTIN YOUNG: I want to be a peaceful, God
2	fearing, law abiding citizen, but y'all are making it
3	harder and harder to do that.
4	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Thank you.
5	JOE SPRAGGINS: Can I say one thing?
6	MARTIN YOUNG: Yes, sir.
7	JOE SPRAGGINS: You may not have a doctorate
8	degree, but you are not uneducated.
9	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: And not unintelligent. I
10	know that. I have fished with you.
11	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: I would like to back that
12	up, as well.
13	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Commissioner Gollott
14	withdrew his motion. Therefore, we move on with the
15	agenda, if I can find my agenda again.
16	Next up is Other Business.
17	Does anybody have any other business?
18	I think we've got somebody who wants to speak on
19	other business, Mr. Gilbert Ramsey.
20	GILBERT RAMSEY: Good morning. My name is
21	Gilbert Ramsey. I'm a veterans outreach specialist.
22	What I'm doing is, I went to the Restore Summit
23	the other day and met with the official Wildlife
24	Federation Fisheries, and what I'm doing is I recognized
25	the Gulf Coast Heritage Program, for the outpost, all six

counties.

Well, what I'm doing is I recognized restore council and everyone else, MDEQ and everyone else to partner up with y'all and y'all managing the program.

well, with this six counties, I think the whole state of Mississippi. I'm coming across the whole state of Mississippi with the outreach outpost program for mobility impaired citizens.

what I've done is I have educated everybody with my outreach capabilities, and I recognize this outreach capability to inform people appropriately, do not neglect, or disrespect, our community for disability programs.

What y'all have done is, y'all have got a

Celebrate Waterway Grant that has been applied for video,
but this is the connection. This is a video. What I want
to do, I'm going to do FANCAM for all the programs, family
program and outreach program to make sure it is
implemented appropriately to allow capabilities for these
people.

I have applied across American and at all the universities and I have international recognition.

I'm not here to mislead y'all. Within sixty days, we will be back to present it appropriately on the agenda because the Chamber of Commerce is helping me on my proposal with the City of D'Iberville.

Thank everyone for my Tidelands funds for the park y'all have initiated on the Tchoutacabouffa River,

and thank you very much for your obvious capabilities. 1 I was with General Joe Spraggins when I was at 2 3 the bicentennial event at the Gulfport VA Hospital, and I have been working on this since the Vernon Aspen days. 4 It has happened and it is coming forth. 5 park will be open in March, so I will be back before then. 6 7 Thank you very much for your attention. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes, sir. Good job, Mr. 8 9 Ramsey. 10 Next up is Mr. George Storrs. He has already gone? 11 12 FROM THE FLOOR: Yes, sir. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Next up will be Frank 13 Parker. 14 FRANK PARKER: Good afternoon Commissioners. 15 My name is Frank Parker. I'm a commercial 16 fishermen from Biloxi here. I'm also President of 17 18 Mississippi Commercial Fisheries United. Two things I would like to present to y'all for 19 future consideration. 2.0 21 The first one is a commercial shark fishery. think if we get with the biologists here in the state, I 22 think we could have a very viable sustainable and 23 responsible shark fishery. 24 As many of you know, I'm a multi species 25

fishermen and these sharks, they are pretty thick now.

1 2

We've had a lot of management of them over the years that has worked. The population is growing. They cost us thousands of dollars a year in gear loss.

I think if we did some type of program, whether it's direct targeting, or indirect targeting, such as bycatch because the way the law is written now you have to have a Federal permit, a shark endorsement to keep fish that would be caught in state waters, but there is a loophole there, too, because the charter boats can maintain these fish without that endorsement. I think it's one way, or the other.

If I'm fishing state waters, the steward in me, it churns my stomach to see me throw a dead fish overboard that I could sell for profit.

Like I said, I think we need to get together and talk about what type of fishery it should be; whether it is direct targeting, or indirect.

The second thing I have is the Trip Ticket
Program. As many of you know, it was probably seven, or
eight, years ago I came before this Commission and I asked
for a Trip Ticket system here in Mississippi for proper
management of our fisheries.

when it first passed, I was pretty upset. It wasn't what I wanted. I didn't feel like it was what we needed, but, as time went on and we went through the hurdles and the growing pain, I think it has gotten

better, but there are still a lot of problems with the
Trip Ticket system.
The main thing is the reporting. I'm pretty

thorough with computers and things like that, but a lot of us aren't, but it's a lot of paperwork. It takes a lot of time. Me personally, I try to fill mine out every day. It makes it easier if you can do that, but filling out a paper form every day is kind of -- it's a more convenient world now. Things have to be convenient for people to do it.

We are going in the right steps with it, but we need a little more convenience in our Trip Ticket Program.

That's about it, if you have any questions.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I do have one. The Trip Ticket Program is a good thing and what most people don't realize a lot of times is commercial fishermen, they are not much on filling out paperwork.

Just like Mr. Young said, a lot of them are not educated. That doesn't mean they are not intelligent.

They are just not educated and they don't do paperwork.

I think our grace period of ten days after it's time to fill out a report is pushing them, pushing pretty tight at times.

FRANK PARKER: Absolutely. I have a wife that is very good with paperwork, so she does a lot of that for me, but a lot of these guys don't.

1 2 3

4

6 7

5

8 9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

23

2.2.

24

25 26

It's hard when you are fishing. I fished all night last night and I hurried up and came to the meeting this morning.

Time means something to us, and it does take some time to fill these papers out. I'm not saying that we need to get rid of it, but we need to make it a little more convenient.

I understand the importance of fisheries that have quotas because it is very important to turn those trip tickets in on time so we know exactly how many fish are caught, or whatever species is caught, to maintain proper management, but some of these species like shrimp, that's more seasonal.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: That's right, but some of these fisheries that have a quota, it's important, but, anyhow, we will get there where maybe it's not guite so important and we can predict a little more.

And the other question?

You said there is a loophole in the sharks.

FRANK PARKER: Yes. With the commercial shark, say I am commercial fishing in state waters and I catch a shark, I cannot possess that shark for sale because state law says I have to have a Federal permit to keep that shark, even if I'm in state waters.

Well, charter boats, they are required to have a Federal permit, but the state law says they do not have to

1	have a shark endorsement to keep their fish.
2	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Is that correct?
3	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: I'm not sure about that,
4	but a charter boat is not considered a commercial vessel.
5	FRANK PARKER: Okay.
6	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: But you do have a Federal
7	shark permit?
8	FRANK PARKER: Yes, you have a Federal charter
9	permit, though.
10	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: So you are talking about
11	federally permitted charter boats?
12	FRANK PARKER: Yes. A charter boat is a charter
13	boat. I mean, some of them have the Federal permits and
14	some of them don't, but, if you are fishing out by the
15	islands and you are fishing inside the three miles inside
16	state waters, that could be both state, or federal.
17	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Don't we pretty much
18	follow the Federal guidelines on all the different
19	species?
20	JOE JEWELL: Individual species of sharks, is
21	that your question?
22	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Correct.
23	JOE JEWELL: The answer is no. The State of
24	Mississippi does not comply with the Federal shark
25	regulations.
26	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I know, but on all other

1	species.
2	JOE JEWELL: On all other species, we do.
3	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: And why don't we on
4	shark?
5	JOE JEWELL: Well, that was a little bit before
6	my time, but my understanding was to provide a fishery for
7	the charter-for-hires in state waters.
8	As you know, in the Mississippi Sound we are
9	really a juvenile nursery area for most of the sharks, so
10	they are relatively small in size and they don't meet the
11	minimum Federal requirements for most of the species.
12	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I know, Joe, but if the
13	Federal government wants to protect them, we are following
14	the guidelines on everything else. I don't understand why
15	we haven't adopted the Federal guidelines on shark.
16	JOE JEWELL: I don't disagree with that. If the
17	Commission requests us to comply with the Federal rules
18	for shark species, we absolutely will do that.
19	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I would request it. I
20	don't know if you need it in the form of a motion.
21	JOE JEWELL: I would defer to counsel Chesnut
22	for that.
23	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Mr. Chairman, that's not
24	on the agenda. Let's bring it up at the next meeting.
25	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I don't know.

Commissioner Gollott, doesn't seem like it matters.

SANDY CHESNUT: You could make a motion to add 1 it to the agenda, and, then, you could make a motion to 2 3 take whatever action you want on it. COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I think we need to look 4 at it. We don't need to follow --5 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: (Interposing) That's all 6 I want to know if that is the only species that 7 we are not complying with the Federal guidelines and why 8 9 we are not. 10 JOE JEWELL: Matt corrected me. I think it's Spanish Mackerel that we don't and for the same reasons. 11 COMMISSIONER HAVARD: I think what you are 12 asking, Chairman, is for them to come back --13 14 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: (Interposing) That's 15 what I want. JOE JEWELL: I think I would feel more 16 17 comfortable with that so we can get a little more detail. 18 Like I was unaware about the Spanish Mackerel. We will be able to do a species-by-species analysis of which ones we 19 are in conformity with and which ones we're not, and, 20 then, the Commission can make a decision. 21 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: My reasoning is it is 2.2. 23 always the Federal Government that the species is named an offshore species, so the Federal Government is trying to 24 manage that species and, if we don't comply with what they 25 26 ask for, then, the management plan doesn't work. That is

1 my only reason. COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Mr. Parker, just so I'm 2 3 clear on this, you are saying that in order for you to keep a shark, you have to have a special permit? 4 FRANK PARKER: Yes, I have to have that Federal 5 permit, even if I fish in state waters. 6 7 COMMISSIONER DANIELS: So you have to buy a secondary permit to be able to keep a shark? 8 9 FRANK PARKER: Yes, for commercial harvest. Realistically, I probably throw hundreds of 10 sharks over a year per season that are dead. They are 11 being wasted. I think this is a very unutilized resource 12 and a very wasteful resource, as far as the indirect 13 14 targeting. 15 I have been in several fish markets where plenty of my bycatch I was able to keep, and this would be 16 17 another way for fishermen to make money. 18 I mean, why throw these fish over, if they are dead? 19 COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Mr. Parker, if you don't 20 21 mind, before you leave today, I would like to give you one of my business cards so you have my number. I would like 2.2. 23 to talk with you a little more about it. FRANK PARKER: Certainly. Thank you. 24 25 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Joe, are you okay with

26

that?

	20.
1	JOE JEWELL: Yes, sir.
2	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We will just ask for him
3	to come back.
4	You don't need it in the form of a motion, Joe?
5	JOE JEWELL: I think the preference would be to
6	have it in the form of a motion.
7	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Then, I will make a
8	motion that you come back to the next meeting with some
9	recommendations about shark and other species that don't
10	follow the Federal guidelines.
11	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I'll second it for you,
12	Mr. Chairman.
13	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion and a
14	second.
15	All those in favor aye.
16	(All in favor.)
17	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed like sign.
18	(None opposed.)
19	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Motion carries.
20	I think that pretty much concludes it. We have
21	no more public comment.
22	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I make a motion we
23	adjourn, Mr. Chairman.
24	JOE SPRAGGINS: Just one quick thing. If you
25	can, Commissioners, we have our annual Christmas party

here, our luncheon, on Thursday, at 11:30. You are more

1	than welcome to come, if you can. We would appreciate it.
2	Merry Christmas to everyone.
3	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I would like to add one
4	thing. The staff sent a beautiful plant to my wife in
5	Houston, and I just want to say thanks to everybody.
6	Meeting adjourned.
7	(Whereupon, at 12:27 o'clock, p.m., the December
8	18, 2018, meeting of the Commission on Marine Resources
9	was concluded.)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

CERTIFICATE

$^{\sim}$
/
_

I, Lucille Morgan, Certified Shorthand Reporter, do hereby certify that the above and foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the December 18, 2018, meeting of the Commission on Marine Resources, as taken by me at the time and place heretofore stated in the aforementioned matter in shorthand, with electronic verification, and later reduced to typewritten form to the best of my skill and ability; and, further, that I am not a relative, employee, or agent, of any of the parties thereto, nor financially interested in the cause.

COURT REPORTER