	Page 1		Page 2
1	COMMISSION ON MARINE RESOURCES	1	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. Let's
2	COMMISSION MEETING	2	get started. Welcome everybody to our October
3	TUESDAY, October 17, 2017	3	meeting. I would like to thank the folks in Bay St.
4	10:00 a.m.	4	Louis for giving us this fine facility to have our
5	Hancock County Board of Supervisors Boardroom	5	meeting in.
6	854 Highway 90, Suite A	6	I would like to start the meeting. We will
7	Bay St. Louis, Mississippi 39520	7	ask Jamie Miller to lead us in the Pledge of
8		8	Allegiance.
9	Commission Members:	9	(THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE WAS RECITED BY
10	Steve Bosarge, Chairman	10	ALL IN ATTENDANCE.)
11	Ron Harmon, Vice Chairman	11	DIRECTOR MILLER: I would also like to
12	Richard Gollott	12	recognize Senator Seymour and thank him for coming
13	Mark Havard	13	and spending his time with us this morning. Would
14	Jolynne Trapani	14	you please Mr. Seymour?
15	Also Present:	15	(SENATOR SEYMOUR COMPLIES.)
16	Jamie M. Miller, Executive Director, DMR	16	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: There you go. Thank
17	Sandy Chesnut, Esq., Assistant Attorney General	17	you, sir.
18		18	On to approval of the minutes for the
19		19	September 19th meeting. Do we have any changes to
20		20	the minutes? Seeing none. Do we have a motion to
21		21	approve the minutes for the September meeting?
22		22	COMMISSIONER HARMON: Mr. Chairman, I make
23		23	a motion that we approve the minutes.
24		24	THE COURT: All right. We have a motion.
25		25	Do we have a second?
	2 2		
1	Page 3 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I will second it.	1	Page 4 ovsters? I thought we pretty well had gone through
1 2	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I will second it.	1 2	oysters? I thought we pretty well had gone through
1 2 3	<u> </u>	1 2 3	•
2	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I will second it. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All those in favor	2	oysters? I thought we pretty well had gone through all this at the last meeting.
2	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I will second it. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All those in favor Aye. (All in favor.)	2	oysters? I thought we pretty well had gone through all this at the last meeting. COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I requested it,
2 3 4	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I will second it. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All those in favor Aye. (All in favor.) COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed. Same sign.	2 3 4	oysters? I thought we pretty well had gone through all this at the last meeting. COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I requested it, Mr. Chairman.
2 3 4 5	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I will second it. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All those in favor Aye. (All in favor.) COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed. Same sign. (Motion carries.)	2 3 4 5	oysters? I thought we pretty well had gone through all this at the last meeting. COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I requested it, Mr. Chairman. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. Well, I
2 3 4 5 6	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I will second it. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All those in favor Aye. (All in favor.) COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed. Same sign. (Motion carries.) Approval of the agenda. Do we have any	2 3 4 5 6	oysters? I thought we pretty well had gone through all this at the last meeting. COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I requested it, Mr. Chairman. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. Well, I am not sure that we probably need to go there.
2 3 4 5 6 7	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I will second it. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All those in favor Aye. (All in favor.) COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed. Same sign. (Motion carries.) Approval of the agenda. Do we have any changes to the agenda?	2 3 4 5 6 7	oysters? I thought we pretty well had gone through all this at the last meeting. COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I requested it, Mr. Chairman. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. Well, I am not sure that we probably need to go there. Sandy, I don't know quite where to go with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I will second it. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All those in favor Aye. (All in favor.) COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed. Same sign. (Motion carries.) Approval of the agenda. Do we have any changes to the agenda? COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Hang on just a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	oysters? I thought we pretty well had gone through all this at the last meeting. COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I requested it, Mr. Chairman. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. Well, I am not sure that we probably need to go there. Sandy, I don't know quite where to go with this, but is it possible to take a vote on this?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I will second it. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All those in favor Aye. (All in favor.) COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed. Same sign. (Motion carries.) Approval of the agenda. Do we have any changes to the agenda? COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Hang on just a minute. Let me see this agenda again.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	oysters? I thought we pretty well had gone through all this at the last meeting. COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I requested it, Mr. Chairman. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. Well, I am not sure that we probably need to go there. Sandy, I don't know quite where to go with this, but is it possible to take a vote on this? MS. CHESNUT: Yes. You can make a motion
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I will second it. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All those in favor Aye. (All in favor.) COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed. Same sign. (Motion carries.) Approval of the agenda. Do we have any changes to the agenda? COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Hang on just a minute. Let me see this agenda again. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	oysters? I thought we pretty well had gone through all this at the last meeting. COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I requested it, Mr. Chairman. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. Well, I am not sure that we probably need to go there. Sandy, I don't know quite where to go with this, but is it possible to take a vote on this? MS. CHESNUT: Yes. You can make a motion to strike or add anything to the agenda that you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I will second it. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All those in favor Aye. (All in favor.) COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed. Same sign. (Motion carries.) Approval of the agenda. Do we have any changes to the agenda? COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Hang on just a minute. Let me see this agenda again. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: We were supposed to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	oysters? I thought we pretty well had gone through all this at the last meeting. COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I requested it, Mr. Chairman. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. Well, I am not sure that we probably need to go there. Sandy, I don't know quite where to go with this, but is it possible to take a vote on this? MS. CHESNUT: Yes. You can make a motion to strike or add anything to the agenda that you would like to.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I will second it. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All those in favor Aye. (All in favor.) COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed. Same sign. (Motion carries.) Approval of the agenda. Do we have any changes to the agenda? COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Hang on just a minute. Let me see this agenda again. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: We were supposed to make the report from marine fisheries an action item.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	oysters? I thought we pretty well had gone through all this at the last meeting. COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I requested it, Mr. Chairman. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. Well, I am not sure that we probably need to go there. Sandy, I don't know quite where to go with this, but is it possible to take a vote on this? MS. CHESNUT: Yes. You can make a motion to strike or add anything to the agenda that you would like to. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Okay. I would like
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I will second it. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All those in favor Aye. (All in favor.) COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed. Same sign. (Motion carries.) Approval of the agenda. Do we have any changes to the agenda? COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Hang on just a minute. Let me see this agenda again. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: We were supposed to make the report from marine fisheries an action item. Did we do that? I don't see it on here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	oysters? I thought we pretty well had gone through all this at the last meeting. COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I requested it, Mr. Chairman. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. Well, I am not sure that we probably need to go there. Sandy, I don't know quite where to go with this, but is it possible to take a vote on this? MS. CHESNUT: Yes. You can make a motion to strike or add anything to the agenda that you would like to. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Okay. I would like to make a motion that we take item 1(b) off the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I will second it. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All those in favor Aye. (All in favor.) COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed. Same sign. (Motion carries.) Approval of the agenda. Do we have any changes to the agenda? COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Hang on just a minute. Let me see this agenda again. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: We were supposed to make the report from marine fisheries an action item. Did we do that? I don't see it on here. COMMISSIONER TRAPANI: Item two.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	oysters? I thought we pretty well had gone through all this at the last meeting. COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I requested it, Mr. Chairman. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. Well, I am not sure that we probably need to go there. Sandy, I don't know quite where to go with this, but is it possible to take a vote on this? MS. CHESNUT: Yes. You can make a motion to strike or add anything to the agenda that you would like to. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Okay. I would like to make a motion that we take item 1(b) off the agenda.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I will second it. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All those in favor Aye. (All in favor.) COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed. Same sign. (Motion carries.) Approval of the agenda. Do we have any changes to the agenda? COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Hang on just a minute. Let me see this agenda again. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: We were supposed to make the report from marine fisheries an action item. Did we do that? I don't see it on here. COMMISSIONER TRAPANI: Item two. DIRECTOR MILLER: Commissioner Gollott, we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	oysters? I thought we pretty well had gone through all this at the last meeting. COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I requested it, Mr. Chairman. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. Well, I am not sure that we probably need to go there. Sandy, I don't know quite where to go with this, but is it possible to take a vote on this? MS. CHESNUT: Yes. You can make a motion to strike or add anything to the agenda that you would like to. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Okay. I would like to make a motion that we take item 1(b) off the agenda. COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Mr. Chairman, I would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I will second it. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All those in favor Aye. (All in favor.) COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed. Same sign. (Motion carries.) Approval of the agenda. Do we have any changes to the agenda? COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Hang on just a minute. Let me see this agenda again. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: We were supposed to make the report from marine fisheries an action item. Did we do that? I don't see it on here. COMMISSIONER TRAPANI: Item two. DIRECTOR MILLER: Commissioner Gollott, we did update the agenda. We had three amendments to the agenda yesterday. Two involved removing two affirmative action items off of the agenda. And then	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	oysters? I thought we pretty well had gone through all this at the last meeting. COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I requested it, Mr. Chairman. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. Well, I am not sure that we probably need to go there. Sandy, I don't know quite where to go with this, but is it possible to take a vote on this? MS. CHESNUT: Yes. You can make a motion to strike or add anything to the agenda that you would like to. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Okay. I would like to make a motion that we take item 1(b) off the agenda. COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Mr. Chairman, I would like to second that motion.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I will second it. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All those in favor Aye. (All in favor.) COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed. Same sign. (Motion carries.) Approval of the agenda. Do we have any changes to the agenda? COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Hang on just a minute. Let me see this agenda again. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: We were supposed to make the report from marine fisheries an action item. Did we do that? I don't see it on here. COMMISSIONER TRAPANI: Item two. DIRECTOR MILLER: Commissioner Gollott, we did update the agenda. We had three amendments to the agenda yesterday. Two involved removing two affirmative action items off of the agenda. And then we added from the Office of Marine Fisheries 1(b.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	oysters? I thought we pretty well had gone through all this at the last meeting. COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I requested it, Mr. Chairman. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. Well, I am not sure that we probably need to go there. Sandy, I don't know quite where to go with this, but is it possible to take a vote on this? MS. CHESNUT: Yes. You can make a motion to strike or add anything to the agenda that you would like to. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Okay. I would like to make a motion that we take item 1(b) off the agenda. COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Mr. Chairman, I would like to second that motion. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion and a second. Any further discussion?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I will second it. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All those in favor Aye. (All in favor.) COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed. Same sign. (Motion carries.) Approval of the agenda. Do we have any changes to the agenda? COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Hang on just a minute. Let me see this agenda again. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: We were supposed to make the report from marine fisheries an action item. Did we do that? I don't see it on here. COMMISSIONER TRAPANI: Item two. DIRECTOR MILLER: Commissioner Gollott, we did update the agenda. We had three amendments to the agenda yesterday. Two involved removing two affirmative action items off of the agenda. And then we added from the Office of Marine Fisheries 1(b.) Consideration to increase the Biloxi Bay Harvest	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	oysters? I thought we pretty well had gone through all this at the last meeting. COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I requested it, Mr. Chairman. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. Well, I am not sure that we probably need to go there. Sandy, I don't know quite where to go with this, but is it possible to take a vote on this? MS. CHESNUT: Yes. You can make a motion to strike or add anything to the agenda that you would like to. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Okay. I would like to make a motion that we take item 1(b) off the agenda. COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Mr. Chairman, I would like to second that motion. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion and a second. Any further discussion? COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Yes, sir. I think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I will second it. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All those in favor Aye. (All in favor.) COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed. Same sign. (Motion carries.) Approval of the agenda. Do we have any changes to the agenda? COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Hang on just a minute. Let me see this agenda again. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: We were supposed to make the report from marine fisheries an action item. Did we do that? I don't see it on here. COMMISSIONER TRAPANI: Item two. DIRECTOR MILLER: Commissioner Gollott, we did update the agenda. We had three amendments to the agenda yesterday. Two involved removing two affirmative action items off of the agenda. And then we added from the Office of Marine Fisheries 1(b.) Consideration to increase the Biloxi Bay Harvest Quota as an action.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	oysters? I thought we pretty well had gone through all this at the last meeting. COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I requested it, Mr. Chairman. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. Well, I am not sure that we probably need to go there. Sandy, I don't know quite where to go with this, but is it possible to take a vote on this? MS. CHESNUT: Yes. You can make a motion to strike or add anything to the agenda that you would like to. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Okay. I would like to make a motion that we take item 1(b) off the agenda. COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Mr. Chairman, I would like to second that motion. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion and a second. Any further discussion? COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Yes, sir. I think any commissioner has a right to put something on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I will second it. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All those in favor Aye. (All in favor.) COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed. Same sign. (Motion carries.) Approval of the agenda. Do we have any changes to the agenda? COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Hang on just a minute. Let me see this agenda again. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: We were supposed to make the report from marine fisheries an action item. Did we do that? I don't see it on here. COMMISSIONER TRAPANI: Item two. DIRECTOR MILLER: Commissioner Gollott, we did update the agenda. We had three amendments to the agenda yesterday. Two involved removing two affirmative action items off of the agenda. And then we added from the Office of Marine Fisheries 1(b.) Consideration to increase the Biloxi Bay Harvest Quota as an action. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Thank you, sir. Can	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	oysters? I thought we pretty well had gone through all this at the last meeting. COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I requested it, Mr. Chairman. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. Well, I am not sure that we probably need to go there. Sandy, I don't know quite where to go with this, but is it possible to take a vote on this? MS. CHESNUT: Yes. You can make a motion to strike or add anything to the agenda that you would like to. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Okay. I would like to make a motion that we take item 1(b) off the agenda. COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Mr. Chairman, I would like to second that motion. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion and a second. Any further discussion? COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Yes, sir. I think any commissioner has a right to put something on the agenda, and I think it should be heard if a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I will second it. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All those in favor Aye. (All in favor.) COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed. Same sign. (Motion carries.) Approval of the agenda. Do we have any changes to the agenda? COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Hang on just a minute. Let me see this agenda again. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: We were supposed to make the report from marine fisheries an action item. Did we do that? I don't see it on here. COMMISSIONER TRAPANI: Item two. DIRECTOR MILLER: Commissioner Gollott, we did update the agenda. We had three amendments to the agenda yesterday. Two involved removing two affirmative action items off of the agenda. And then we added from the Office of Marine Fisheries 1(b.) Consideration to increase the Biloxi Bay Harvest Quota as an action. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Thank you, sir. Can I is this speaker am I it doesn't sound like	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	oysters? I thought we pretty well had gone through all this at the last meeting. COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I requested it, Mr. Chairman. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. Well, I am not sure that we probably need to go there. Sandy, I don't know quite where to go with this, but is it possible to take a vote on this? MS. CHESNUT: Yes. You can make a motion to strike or add anything to the agenda that you would like to. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Okay. I would like to make a motion that we take item 1(b) off the agenda. COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Mr. Chairman, I would like to second that motion. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion and a second. Any further discussion? COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Yes, sir. I think any commissioner has a right to put something on the agenda, and I think it should be heard if a commissioner wants to do that. So I am opposed to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I will second it. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All those in favor Aye. (All in favor.) COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed. Same sign. (Motion carries.) Approval of the agenda. Do we have any changes to the agenda? COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Hang on just a minute. Let me see this agenda again. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: We were supposed to make the report from marine fisheries an action item. Did we do that? I don't see it on here. COMMISSIONER TRAPANI: Item two. DIRECTOR MILLER: Commissioner Gollott, we did update the agenda. We had three amendments to the agenda yesterday. Two involved removing two affirmative action items off of the agenda. And then we added from the Office of Marine Fisheries 1(b.) Consideration to increase the Biloxi Bay Harvest Quota as an action. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Thank you, sir. Can I is this speaker am I it doesn't sound like I am coming through here. Anyhow, could I ask a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	oysters? I thought we pretty well had gone through all this at the last meeting. COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I requested it, Mr. Chairman. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. Well, I am not sure that we probably need to go there. Sandy, I don't know quite where to go with this, but is it possible to take a vote on this? MS. CHESNUT: Yes. You can make a motion to strike or add anything to the agenda that you would like to. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Okay. I would like to make a motion that we take item 1(b) off the agenda. COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Mr. Chairman, I would like to second that motion. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion and a second. Any further discussion? COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Yes, sir. I think any commissioner has a right to put something on the agenda, and I think it should be heard if a commissioner wants to do that. So I am opposed to your motion. So go ahead.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I will second it. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All those in favor Aye. (All in favor.) COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed. Same sign. (Motion carries.) Approval of the agenda. Do we have any changes to the agenda? COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Hang on just a minute. Let me see this agenda again. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: We were supposed to make the report from marine fisheries an action item. Did we do that? I don't see it on here. COMMISSIONER TRAPANI: Item two. DIRECTOR MILLER: Commissioner Gollott, we did update the agenda. We had three amendments to the agenda yesterday. Two involved removing two affirmative action items off of the agenda. And then we added from the Office of Marine Fisheries 1(b.) Consideration to increase the Biloxi Bay Harvest Quota as an action. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Thank you, sir. Can I is this speaker am I it doesn't sound like	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	oysters? I thought we pretty well had gone through all this at the last meeting. COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I requested it, Mr. Chairman. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. Well, I am not sure that we probably need to go there. Sandy, I don't know quite where to go with this, but is it possible to take a vote on this? MS. CHESNUT: Yes. You can make a motion to strike or add anything to the agenda that you would like to. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Okay. I would like to make a motion that we take item 1(b) off the agenda. COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Mr. Chairman, I would like to second that motion. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion and a second. Any further discussion? COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Yes, sir. I think any commissioner has a right to put something on the agenda, and I think it should be heard if a commissioner wants to do that. So I am opposed to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I will second it. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All those in favor Aye. (All in favor.) COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed. Same sign. (Motion carries.) Approval of the agenda. Do we have any changes to the agenda? COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Hang on just a minute. Let me see this agenda again. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: We were supposed to make the report from marine fisheries an action item. Did we do that? I don't see it on here. COMMISSIONER TRAPANI: Item two. DIRECTOR MILLER: Commissioner Gollott, we did update the agenda. We had three amendments to the agenda yesterday. Two involved removing two affirmative action items off of the agenda. And then we added from the Office of Marine Fisheries 1(b.) Consideration to increase the Biloxi Bay Harvest Quota as an action. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Thank you, sir. Can I is this speaker am I it doesn't sound like I am coming through here. Anyhow, could I ask a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	oysters? I thought we pretty well had gone through all this at the last meeting. COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I requested it, Mr. Chairman. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. Well, I am not sure that we probably need to go there. Sandy, I don't know quite where to go with this, but is it possible to take a vote on this? MS. CHESNUT: Yes. You can make a motion to strike or add anything to the agenda that you would like to. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Okay. I would like to make a motion that we take item 1(b) off the agenda. COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Mr. Chairman, I would like to second that motion. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion and a second. Any further discussion? COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Yes, sir. I think any commissioner has a right to put something on the agenda, and I think it should be heard if a commissioner wants to do that. So I am opposed to your motion. So go ahead.

Page 5 Page 6 1 but I think that on this particular item we really 1 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: It was a last 2 need to the get the consensus of the group to see if 2 minute. All right. Mighty fine. Motion passed. 3 this is something that we need to move forward with. 3 Okay. 4 We have a motion and a second. All of 4 Do we have a motion to approve the agenda? 5 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Mr. Chairman, I make those in favor for taking it off of the agenda. 5 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Mr. Chairman, would 6 6 a motion that we approve the agenda as modified. 7 you call the roll on it, please? 7 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. So we COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We will have a roll 8 8 have a motion. Do we have a second? 9 call vote. Yes, sir. 9 COMMISSIONER HARMON: I will second it, Mr. 10 All of those in favor Aye. 10 Chairman. 11 COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Aye. 11 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All of those in 12 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Mr. Mark Havard, 12 favor, Aye. 13 Commissioner Mark Havard. Aye, Steve Bosarge. All 13 (All in favor.) 14 14 of those opposed. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed? 15 15 COMMISSIONER HARMON: Aye. (None opposed.) 16 16 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Motion carries. COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Aye. 17 COMMISSIONER TRAPANI: Aye. 17 (Motion Carries.) COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: There's three 18 18 All right. Time for the executive 19 19 opposed. Motion carries. director's report. 20 (Motion fails.) 20 DIRECTOR MILLER: I have no report. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We will go to Office 21 COMMISSIONER TRAPANI: I would like to ask 21 22 22 of Marine Patrol. Chief Davis. something. Since this was added, you know, last 23 minute yesterday, I think it was discussed and it was 23 CHIEF DAVIS: Good morning. The Office of 24 added last minute yesterday. I think we need to be 24 Marine Patrol has been extremely busy since we well 25 able to discuss it. 25 last met. Page 7 Page 8 We had a little visitor call me and come to 1 1 Spotted Sea Trout. 2 2 the Mississippi Gulf Coast, and as you have heard in I would also like to point out on red 3 the news a lot of vessels have been misplaced. We 3 snapper that undersize Red Snapper for the season, if 4 have worked with the Executive Director's Office in 4 you want to highlight that, seven cases was made 5 coming up with a strategy in getting those vessels 5 under that category. 6 6 adequately approved. Since July 20th over the limit Spotted Sea 7 7 I have several reports that you will hear trout, has been three cases. And we continually 8 8 next month in the monthly report, but I do want you receive complaints of individuals keeping over the 9 to know that marine patrol has been actively involved 9 limit Spotted Sea Trout at our popular fishing piers 10 in identifying hazards and mitigating those hazards 10 as well as some in the back bay. 11 on the Mississippi Gulf Coast. But we will have that 11 So strategies are being implemented to 12 in the next month's meeting. 12 identify and make cases against those individuals 13 I did want to mention that in case anybody 13 that's involved in that activity. 14 had any questions about what was being done with 14 Officers are aware of known fishing piers 15 marine patrol in response to the Hurricane Nate. 15 where this most likely taking place. And those 16 16 If there is no questions I would like to strategies are being implemented as we speak. 17 talk a little bit about the report on page one. I 17 Those are the highlights of the report, but 18 18 I would be more than happy to answer any questions want to identify that we continually have complaints 19 19 of crab thefts over in Jackson County. And we were that you may have for any of the other categories. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I have one question. 20 able to make one case involving the theft of crabs 20 21 21 And it's under the same fishing violation. I see where a recreational fisherman was stealing from 22 22 "Possession of Shark Fins." another recreational fisherman. 23 23 CHIEF DAVIS: Yes, sir. There was a case On page two, under Fishing Violation 24 24 while doing a JA patrol that we found shark fins Citations, since July 20th a total of 21 cases have 25 25 onboard a vessel. been made in regards to possession of undersized

Page 10 Page 9 1 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: A commercial vessel? 1 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: And, Chief, one 2 CHIEF DAVIS: Yes. sir. 2 more, if I can get back to my notes. I think one of COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: And then the same 3 3 your -- yeah, Roy Lipscomb, I see where he got 4 thing for "Possession of fillets?" I know it's 4 Officer of The Year. That's guite an accomplishment. 5 5 probably hard to remember every case. CHIEF DAVIS: Yes, sir. I was going to let 6 6 CHIEF DAVIS: Yes, sir. our public relations person talk about that. Yes, 7 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I don't want to test 7 sir. That is a very distinguished accomplishment. 8 8 And we are very proud of him for being awarded that your memory up here. 9 CHIEF DAVIS: I don't remember that fillet 9 award. 10 case, but I can get you some information. 10 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes, sir. Very, 11 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Thank you, Chief. 11 very, good. Thank you, sir. 12 COMMISSIONER HARMON: Chief, I want to 12 CHIEF DAVIS: Thank you. 13 13 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Office of Marine thank you -- I know this is coming up in next month's 14 14 report, but there was an incident over here in Fisheries. 15 15 MIKE BRAINARD: Thank you. Good morning Hancock County this past week with a sail boat. 16 16 Had it not been for you guys and your staff Commissioners, Director Miller, Ms. Chesnut. 17 and how they responded, this would have been a 17 My name is Mike Brainard. Deputy Director 18 totally different incident. My hat is off to you 18 of Marine Fisheries. And I will just be giving you a 19 19 gentlemen for standing up and doing that. brief update on the oyster season so far. 20 CHIEF DAVIS: Commissioner, thank you very 20 As you remember from the last commission much for that. That was a hard decision to make, but 21 21 meeting we had an estimation of 1,651 sacks on Biloxi 22 it was the right decision to make. And we are 22 Bay, Area V "A." The commission had set a 30 percent 23 certainly going to be bringing something before you 23 sack limit of 495 sacks. We ended up harvesting 657 24 to recognize those officers that were involved in 24 sacks, which actually came out to 59.8 percent of that -- 39.8 percent. Did I say -- sorry about that. 25 that. 25 Page 11 Page 12 1 But, anyway, it was open from October 2nd to the 5th, 1 repaired today The Conservationist. So we plan on 2 2 but like I said, we harvested 657 sacks. going out tomorrow again and doing some more relays. 3 So the next slide, this is just kind of a 3 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Mike, what happened 4 reminder to the conditions of all of our reefs, that 4 to The Conservationist? 5 they are not in very good shape. And that's why we 5 MIKE BRAINARD: The steering messed up, the 6 6 are having to take some rather drastic measures to hydraulics, so we had to have it repaired. 7 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: My understanding we 7 try to protect them and to try to rebuild the stock. It's also a reminder that on 8 8 moved about 2,000 sacks. That's not true? 9 November 13th we are going to be opening up the 9 MIKE BRAINARD: No. it's 500. COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: 500 sacks? western reefs with a 30 percent harvest limit, which 10 10 11 comes out to 9.359 sacks. 11 MIKE BRAINARD: Like I said, we are going 12 Just a guick summary on the number of -- of 12 to try to go back out tomorrow as long as the boat 13 the activity that took place on Biloxi Bay Reef. We 13 gets fixed. 14 had 39 trips -- or 33 of Mississippi tongers, 40 14 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Okay. 15 trips for non-resident tongers for a total of 73 15 DIRECTOR MILLER: Commissioner Gollott, you 16 16 didn't make that number up. I did tell you 2,000 trips. 17 Sacks harvested, Mississippi tongers 17 sacks. 18 harvested 301 sacks and non-resident tongers 18 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Well, I wasn't going 19 harvested 356 sacks. 19 to put you on the spot. DIRECTOR MILLER: You had moved 500 sacks 20 And as you remember the Commission asked us 20 21 to conduct a relay from Pascagoula to Biloxi Bay 21 for the day. And I knew how long we had been 22 22 Area. We did that on the 11th. working, but I didn't realize that you had taken the 23 23 We relayed 500 sacks and then we had some boat off three of those four days to get it started, 24 24 so I did give you that number. I apologize. I boat issues. 25 25 didn't confirm it before I gave it to you. As a matter of fact the boat is getting

Page 15 1 quota and it would be 30 percent. And then that next 2 day we harvested another 150 over that. 3 That's how that happened. There's no way 4 to stop it exactly at that number, because we didn't 5 know exactly when we were going to shut down that 6 day, so. . . 7 COMMISSIONER HAVARD: I was under the 8 impression that we had ten sacks at that time. The 9 day before closure. We had to reach our quota and 10 then we opened it up whole another day and got an 11 additional 160 sacks. Right? 12 MIKE BRAINARD: That's correct. We were 13 averaging around 10 sacks --COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Commissioner, let me 14 15 say this: I was concerned about the out-of-state 16 people coming in here and harvesting the oysters. 17 But I have been assured that the oysters are being 18 sold to a Mississippi dealer before they leave the 19 state, so it's a big benefit to Mississippi to have 20 these people come in and harvest the oysters and then 21 sell them to a Mississippi dealer. 22 DIRECTOR MILLER: That is true. 23 MIKE BRAINARD: As far as the second part 24 of your agenda --COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: You have no further 25

Page 13

COMMISSIONER TRAPANI: How many sacks do we

MIKE BRAINARD: I think right now we are

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Wait a minute. Wait

MIKE BRAINARD: Yeah. Yeah, I'm sorry.

DIRECTOR MILLER: 10,000 was the number we

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: That's 10,000

MIKE BRAINARD: No. We could look into

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: That would be very

looking at around 2.2 thousand. But it may go on

a minute. Wait a minute. Now, I am going to call

had come up with based on what the stock estimates

DIRECTOR MILLER: So 10,000.

everything. That's undersize oysters, shell material

and 3-inch oysters. What percentage -- do you have

you are relaying out of that 500 sacks? Do you have

any idea what percentage is over three inches that

MIKE BRAINARD: Yes.

the Director out. He told me it was going to be

yeah. That's what it is. It's not 2,000.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

intend on relaying?

longer, depending on. . .

right around 10,000 sacks.

for that was?

a breakdown?

that.

on the verge of being a little bit too big. And there are 220, roughly 220 count to the sack, which is ideal for box oysters and half shell stuff.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I talked to Joe Jenkins, Mike Cure and -- a real good tonger. And they said that it would be -- let me back up a minute. First of all, there is not a lot of small oysters attached to these oysters they was harvesting, no spat set, not many in the next year's range.

So we discussed it and decided there is roughly a thousand sacks left on this reef that we

Page 17 Page 18 1 was harvesting off of. The fishermen, the 1 we open the Biloxi Bay to harvest the remaining 2 processors, they all said it would be great to go 2 amount of oysters that's on this reef, letting the 3 ahead and harvest these oysters before they get too 3 executive director close it when he sees fit, but the 4 big. The fishermen got \$60 or \$70 a sack for these 4 goal is to get these oysters off of there and then 5 5 relay back to the same area where we have got a good oysters because they were ideal and are still ideal. 6 6 So we have set up a relaying program and hard bottom with Pascagoula oysters and letting them 7 7 what we was intending to do is let them harvest some grow out until next season. I think it will give --8 of these relay oysters. They said they would rather 8 this is what the dealers, the fishermen want to do 9 go ahead and harvest these oysters and let's relay 9 with this product. 10 back onto the reef that these are coming off of, and 10 So I would like to make that motion that we 11 let those oysters stay there until next season. 11 do that. 12 12 COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Mike, I have a quick Now, these oysters from Pascagoula there is 13 13 a lot of small oysters, nexial (phonetic) oysters, I question. 14 14 understand. This will give us -- and letting them MIKE BRAINARD: Um-hum. (Affirmative) 15 15 COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Last year was the sit there for nine months will give us a big growth 16 16 out. And loosen them up and getting them out of an first year in 30 years that we have opened that area. 17 overcrowded situation, will let these oysters grow. 17 Is that not correct, for oyster harvest? 18 People in Louisiana have been doing this 18 MIKE BRAINARD: Yes. 19 19 COMMISSIONER HAVARD: And then we went in for years and years and making a ton of money. I 20 would really love to see us do this and keep very 20 there and took a portion of 30 percent last year. 21 21 close tabs on it to see just how much increase we get And then this year we are taking a recommendation 22 for these oysters by letting them sit there enough 22 from the scientists and the governor from the State 23 time to grow out. 23 of Mississippi. 24 And that's my reasoning for this 1(b) on 24 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: No. sir. This is 25 this thing. And I would like to make a motion that 25 the first year that we have harvested any oysters. Page 19 Page 20 there? 1 And it took three and-a-half years to grow these 1 2 2 oysters to a perfect size. And that is the main MIKE BRAINARD: Well, you are moving from 3 3 reason that I want to relay some small oysters back one place to another place. 4 on top of these things to let them grow out and get a 4 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: But you can't 5 harvest the next year. 5 harvest them in Pascagoula. 6 6 If we leave it like it is we might get a MIKE BRAINARD: No, I understand that. 7 1,000 sacks off of this area next year, but if we put 7 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Yeah. 8 oysters from Pascagoula on top of it, we could have a 8 MIKE BRAINARD: But you have to understand 9 real good -- you know, I am not going to guess, but 9 that, you know, you have to look at each reef complex 10 we could probably -- depending of how many we put 10 individually. And that's all I know. 11 there, but we could probably get a 20 percent grow 11 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Okay. I have a 12 out or 30 percent grow out on these oysters and reap 12 motion. 13 a lot more out of it by doing it this way. 13 COMMISSIONER TRAPANI: I have something 14 COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Mike, are we in 14 that I want to add to that. What Commissioner 15 recovery mode and rebuild mode? Is that what I --15 Gollott is proposing is that we replace the oysters 16 MIKE BRAINARD: Yeah. And that is what our 16 from Pascagoula, which Pascagoula was never part of 17 staff recommendation was. Well that is how the 17 the 30 percent. Is that correct? 18 30 percent came about. 18 MIKE BRAINARD: Right. 19 19 COMMISSIONER HAVARD: And would that be a COMMISSIONER TRAPANI: We are replacing 20 harvest all of these oysters that are left and plant, 20 them. We are putting them back to the area that we

21

22

23

24

25

weren't pulling from.

that's not rebuilding, it's just shifting things

around, you know, instead of --

MIKE BRAINARD: Right. In the big picture

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We need to have

21

22

23

24

25

is that considered rebuild mode?

MIKE BRAINARD: No, it's not.

considered rebuilding if you are relaying oysters

COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Okay. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Why wouldn't it be

discussions like you said. One, Richard, I know you talked to different people.

I have got a young man that works for me and he actually came in on this trip when he was on his off time. And he made that season. And so I asked him about it. I said, "Tell me what you saw." He said, "Well, you know, there were some pretty oysters. And they were single oysters." He said "But we were having to move a lot of material to get them." And I asked him, I said, "So, if we were to leave it open how much longer do you think it would last?" He said "Two weeks and we would have cleaned it up." That would have been the end of it.

Now, we put a management plan in place at the last meeting that I feel like we need to stick to. And I made mention at the last meeting of the governor weighing in on this issue. And I would like to read into the record the letter.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I think we have already read that letter and we know what it says.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: You may have read it, but I want the people out there to be able to hear it.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Okay.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: And he has addressed

it to me: "Dear Mr. Bosarge: I am writing to encourage the Commission on Marine Resources to adopt the agency's recommendation for sustainable oyster harvest of 30 percent of the estimated 32,000 sacks available for the 2017-18 season.

This concept of sustainable harvest was the principle recommendation of the Governor's Oysters Counsel in 2015, and one that I support.

It is critical to the future of
Mississippi's oyster resources and the oyster
industry that the commission take action to allow the
restoration of our depleted oyster reefs.

This decision will be for the 2017-18 season, but it presents the Commission with a turning point decision to ensure the long-term sustainability for the oyster industry in Mississippi. I hope the Commission will exercise its leadership in making this difficult but necessary decision for the good of the Gulf Coast and Mississippi, Sincerely, Phil Bryant, Governor."

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: You have just proven my point. If we relay 10,000 sacks of oysters out of Pascagoula and we open it up this year and get 30 percent of those we will be taking a lot more oysters out of the bay, out of the resource, than if

Page 23

we just open this up and harvest 1,000 sacks, relay out of Pascagoula 10,000 sacks and let those things grown until we have a real good harvest, we could benefit greatly.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Logic would tell me that if we don't take any more oysters and we relay 10,000 sacks that we will have an exceptional harvest the following year.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: But the 1,000 sacks is there, just perfect size for half shell right now.

The fishermen are getting the maximum amount of money. And if it grows another year, it will be too big, is what I have been told.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I agree, but in my opinion we are doing the same thing we have done year after year after year after year and it doesn't work.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I don't think so. We haven't done anything in the Biloxi Bay in a long time, and in Pascagoula. But anyhow --

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: The way I see it, we have done what we can in the western sound and now we are moving to the middle of the state and we are starting to chew at what's on the east end of the state a little bit.

COMMISSIONER HAVARD: I don't want to

Page 24

disagree with you, but that may have been the strategy that we have used in the past year that's why we are in the shape that we are in now, just harvesting what's there. That does not work. It's proven.

And then now we are taking the only decent reef that we have left in the whole state and transplanting and pillaging through what little few ovsters we have left.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: The bright side to what the gentleman that works for me was telling me was that there is a lot of material in that reef.

That's good.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: There is a lot of material, sir, but it's no spats there or small oysters only, it's just a dead reef. The only thing we have really is the full grown oysters.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Well, hopefully, we will make what we transplant. That will help it.

But I don't see where going out and harvesting everything that is on there is something that is going to be good for the reef.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: But beg your pardon, we are only going to harvest 3-inch oysters and bigger off of that reef. The rest of it will still

Page 25

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Which is the same

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: -- up to this point.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Well, that's what

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Do we have a motion?

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: It really needs to

MS. CHESNUT: If I could verify the motion,

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Yeah.

was done for 200 years. That's the way we manage the

it's up there on the screen, if you could let me know

going to be any opening or closing dates, or if that

if that's what you want. And, also, if there is

authority is going to be left to the executive

be to left to the executive director, because we

don't know how long it will take them to harvest

of Pascagoula. We are up against a weather

those oysters. One of the things that we need to do,

is if we are going to do it, we need to go ahead and

harvest them, so we can relay on top of that reef out

winds and blow the water out, you won't be able to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Okay. 1,651. You have already harvested 1,657. So roughly a thousand sacks. Full grown oysters should be -- marketable oysters should be left there. And that's where we are coming up with that figure.

When we start getting these cold north

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

be there.

reefs, but. . .

director.

situation.

thing we have been doing --

MIKE BRAINARD: You have got to remember that that's an estimate too.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Well, and if the director sees that they are getting down to nothing he can close it if it's 800 sacks. You know what I'm saving. And that's the reason I want to leave it up to the director, but -- and he is going to know -- I mean, it only took them what, four days to harvest 600 sacks.

MIKE BRAINARD: Yeah, it was open the 2nd

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I mean, you're not talking about a week.

MIKE BRAINARD: They were averaging like ten sacks.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Yeah, I mean, you are not talking but about a week or two at the very most. You know, it's not a lot of oysters.

COMMISSIONER TRAPANI: Were they reaching their limits?

MIKE BRAINARD: I didn't personally, no. COMMISSIONER TRAPANI: So I just have

another question. I think what Commissioner Gollott is focusing on is replacing these oysters from the reef that is, like I said, where the 30 percent did come from, how is that not replacing and rebuilding, if you can explain that to me?

MIKE BRAINARD: Like I said, --

COMMISSIONER TRAPANI: I mean, if it is in the area that we haven't used in a hundred years, that is not even part of what we are taking into the number of what we have.

MIKE BRAINARD: Right. I understand what you're saying, but, like I said, you have to look at each reef individually. You are taking a resource from the Pascagoula reef, I mean, you are depleting that resource, moving it to another place where it could be harvested. And it is just -- you have at

Page 29 1 each -- the 30 percent is based on each reef complex. 1 2 So you have to kind of manage those individually. 2 3 So just to replace -- I mean, it's kind of 3 4 like, you know, I am not sure of a good analogy, but 4 5 5 it's just, you know, you are just taking the resource 6 6 from one place and putting it to another, so they can 7 7 harvest it, so you are depleting one place and 8 8 opening up it eventually. 9 COMMISSIONER TRAPANI: My point is that 9 10 that was --10 11 11 MIKE BRAINARD: Like I said, it's 12 12 resourcing around, it's not rebuilding it is actually 13 just shifting things around. 13 14 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: This is the way I 14 15 15 see it. We set up a plan where we are going to take 16 16 30 percent, because right now we are in a rebuilding 17 mode. And we are going to use our bonus area, which 17 18 18 is the Pascagoula area, to try to help build 19 19 resources. So we took our 30 percent, which is Mr. Chairman. 20 planned, we have documented it. And now we are going 20 21 to enhance what's left there with what we have in 21 22 22 Pascagoula. favor. Aye. 23 23

If it was the intention of us doing nothing more than what is in Pascagoula and bringing it over and harvesting it, then we are not -- do you see what

24

25

22

23

24

25

I am staying? It doesn't fit.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Well, Commissioner, I think if you look at the last motion at the last meeting, we are going to harvest oysters that was harvested or brought out of Pascagoula, relayed out of Pascagoula. And we are going to get 30 percent of

We are talking about leaving those there for a year and not harvesting them this year. so we are just trading off really.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I don't consider it a trade off. I consider robbing Peter to pay Paul.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I don't think so. I don't see it that way. But anyhow, I call for a vote on this thing. Let's vote on it.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Thank you. We have a motion. Do we have a second to the motion? COMMISSIONER HARMON: So second.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion and a second. Any further discussion? All those in

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Aye. COMMISSIONER HARMON: Aye.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed? Aye.

Page 32

Page 31

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Aye. 1 2 COMMISSIONER TRAPANI: Aye. 3 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Motion fails. All 4 right. 5 MS. CHESNUT: I think you need to continue 6 7 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Take a count on 8 that? 9 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Pardon? COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Get a count on who 10 11 voted which wav. 12 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have Commissioner 13 Gollott and Commissioner Harmon voting for it. And 14 we have Commissioner Bosarge, Tripani and Mr. Havard 15 voting against. COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: All right. Thank 16 17 you. 18 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Thank you. Let's go 19 on to Coastal Resources Management. 20 JAN BOYD: Commissioners, Director Miller, 21

Ms. Chesnut, we have four action items for your

will be our first presenter today.

consideration this morning. And Jennifer Wittmann

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Good morning.

JENNIFER WITTMANN: Good morning. I am

Jennifer Wittmann. And I will be presenting our first item on the agenda. And it is a very lengthy presentation. I know you have a very large packet of information in front of you. And I will do my best to give you all the information that you need to make your decision without giving you more information.

So we have a request for an exclusion by the Mississippi State Port Authority that is located on Mississippi Sound at the intersection of Highway 90 and 30th Avenue in Gulfport.

And in the Industrial Development and General Use District. And John Webb is the Mississippi State Port Authority Agent.

The applicant is seeking authorization to fill 196.5 acres of un-vegetated water bottoms. And that would be done using 4.5 mcy of sandy fill material. There has been some authorizations for several structures, including a pier 3,500 X 130 feet; 3,500 linear feet of bulkhead, 250,000 cubic yards of rip-rap and breakwater that totals 7,650 feet and the base will be no more than 102 feet.

For that breakwater the top width will be about ten feet and the elevation will be ten feet. And that would total approximately 18 acres of fill.

They are seeking authorization to dredge

7.68 million cubic yards of material. 560,000 cubic yards of material which is the debris and sediment, will be disposed of in Harrison County upland disposal site located on the Harrison County Industrial Seaway. Remaining dredged material would be available for beneficial use and would be donated to the DMR Biloxi Marsh Complex BU site, or ODMDS, which is Ocean Dredged Material Disposal Site or another DMR BU Site if one becomes available.

And the Port is seeking an annual maintenance dredging agreement, allowing them to dredge, between 486,000 cubic yards and 1.3 million cubic yards of material for a ten-year period.

A portion of the area that the Port is seeking to fill is currently designated as a General Use and so they are requesting a change in the coastal wetlands use plan from General to Industrial development.

And the applicant is also seeking four variances to Chapter VIII, Section 2, Part III.C.3. of the Mississippi Coastal Program.

The slide we are on shows the Port's location in regards to Highway 49, I-10 and Highway 90. This is a diagram showing the dredging area. The area in blue is the new work dredging that will

be going to the upland disposal site on the Harrison County Industrial Seaway full of debris. And then the area in orange is the new work dredging where they will go in and excavate material and then that's the area that they will be doing some filling in, so that's in the north harbor and then to the south of the west pier and the area in yellow will be the new turning basin and the berthing areas. Those will be the areas that will be continually maintenance dredged.

This is a typical section of what the breakwater will look like. It will be ranging from that 98 to 102 feet at the base. And what's above the water approximately ten feet and it will be approximately ten feet in width at the top.

This diagram shows all of the different structures and I think if you go -- moving forward there will be breakwaters here and here to leave some of the drop basin into the existing Federal Navigation Channel. There will be a realignment of the existing small craft channel, rip-rap piers and bulkhead.

And this is a diagram showing the Biloxi Marsh Complex, BU disposal site. This project is currently being evaluated by the Louisiana Department

Page 35

of Natural Resources and it is a DMR permit that we have put in. And they are currently -- as I said, reviewing our project. So Northwest Jack Williams Bay there will be some containment structures and some dredge material at Johnson Bay.

The expanded port will provide positive public benefits in terms of new jobs that will benefit the community along the Coast. The economic benefits were examined and summarized in the final Environmental Impact Statement that was prepared for this project by the Corps of Engineers.

We will be increased 3 foot of -- to 1.7 Million TEUs a year which is a 20 foot equivalent unit.

The EIS states that new employment and income opportunities created by the port's expansion includes temporary employment opportunities during construction and permanent jobs in both full and part-time, that wage earnings are anticipated to increase that, that overall this project alternative will have a benefit on all economic sectors and greater economic benefit on labor force, employment and the industrial sectors of the coast.

The applicant has requested a change, as I said, from a General Use to an Industrial Use

Page 36

District. And this change is being justified in accordance with Chapter 8, Section 2, Part 1.E.2.b.ii, which states there is a significant public benefit in the activity, impacts to public access and adverse environmental impacts have been minimized, and the general public as well as government entities were notified of the project and a public hearing has been held.

The applicant has requested four variances to the Mississippi Coastal program. First, that the vertical face structures shall be aligned no further water-ward than mean high tide and shall be constructed so that reflective wave energy does not destroy wetland habitats.

The second is that for breakwaters, groins and jetties, the vertical sloped surface area of the structure created at mean high tide shall be greater than the water bottom surface displaced by the structure.

The third is that access canals shall be designed to ensure adequate flushing and shall not create stagnant pockets. It also states that they shall be of uniform depth or become gradually shallower from the proceeding receiving body of water and shall be no deeper than the current body of

water; that in this case, because of the Mississippi Sound depth, the port basin has to dredge deeper to allow ships to come in.

And then finally, the permanent filling of coastal wetlands because of potential adverse and cumulative impacts is discouraged. The applicant has justified these variances in accordance with Chapter 8, Section 2, Part 1.E.2.c.iii, that feasible alternative sites or constructions techniques are available. There is a significant public benefit in the activity, and a public hearing has been held.

And they are also justifying the importance of Chapter 8, Section 2 Part 1.E.2.C.iv that the action requires a waterfront location, there is a significant public benefit, and a public hearing has been held. The filling of 196.5 acres of un-vegetated water bottoms, the construction of 7,650 linear feet of breakwater and the dredging of approximately 7.68 mean cubic yards of material for the port expansion could set precedent. However, as I said earlier, the port has undergone the Environmental Impact Statement through the US Army Corps of Engineers. And this project was determined to be the least damaging of the alternatives that were reviewed.

Extent to which the proposed activity affects biological integrity, there will be short-term impacts to water and air quality during construction and dredging. There will be a loss of benthic organisms. There are no SAVs or shellfish in the project area.

The potential impacts to threatened and endangered species as a result of the project and construction would be temporary and potential impact to federally listed species would be avoided or minimized by conservation measures formalized with the National Marine Fisheries Service in the Gulf Regional Biological Opinion and that greatly reduces the likelihood of adverse impact to sea turtles and the endangered Gulf sturgeon.

There are no specific secondary or future developments proposed with the project. However, development of an expanded maritime facility would expect to generate secondary development such as warehouses and value-added distribution centers off of the State port property and not necessarily affiliated with the port.

The original permit application for the expansion of the Mississippi State Port at Gulfport requested a permit to fill 678 acres of water bottoms

Page 39

Page 37

and to dredge 168 acres for a new turning basin. And based on meetings with agencies and public comments from the EIS process the port has reduced their footprint to the current 196.5 acres of fill and 85 five acres of dredging for the turning basin.

The footprint reduction has substantially minimized the impacts that were originally proposed with the project.

Approximately 7.68 million cubic yards of material will be dredged for the port Expansion Project and the port has proposed to place all the suitable dredge materials in a beneficial use site. There is currently not a beneficial use site in the State of Mississippi with the capacity for 7.68 million cubic yards of material. And as I said earlier DMR has submitted an application with the Louisiana Department of Natural Resources for it to be used within the Biloxi Marsh Complex.

A mitigation plan was developed in coordination with DMR and with support to mitigate some filling of the state water bottoms. The Port had consulted with DMR on several potential projects and has come to an agreement on a project that we feel is appropriate. The mitigation plan to increase the transfer of 148 acres of state -- Mississippi

Page 40

State Port Authority and property along Bayou Portage to Coastal Preserves Program, and purchase of three parcels of property in Jackson County with an estimated acreage of 180 acres that is contiguous with some additional properties that Coastal Preserves has. And on that site in Jackson County there will be some site improvements that the Port takes care of. They are going to remove a large stranded boat on the property. They will repair a culvert that was along the main access road. They will construct a boundary fence to insure that there's not recreational ATV riding and things on property that would potentially damage it. They will back-fill a small man-made ditch and remove some debris from Hurricane Katrina that is still there and unfortunately there is probably some additional debris from Nate.

There is a proposal for them to permit an existing man-made canal on the property to be used at the BU site in Jackson County. The site is located north of I-10, so it would be something that would be available to some of the smaller projects in the area for residential areas that are doing some maintenance dredging that may not be feasible to go up to one of our larger offshore sites.

And then after all of that is complete, the transfer of the property to the Coastal Preserves

Program for Conservation along with an endowment for maintenance on property.

This is an expansion of the Mississippi State Port and alternative sites were not considered. The Port is a water-dependent industry and, therefore, needs a waterfront location to expand its current footprint. The expansion of the State Port would increase the industrial land uses in the greater Gulfport metro area and there will be no major changes in land use adjacent -- land use to or adjacent to the port.

In respect to the national interest in November of 2015 the state port was designated a Strategic Seaport out of the Department of Defense. And US Seaports that are designated as Strategic Seaports based on their proximity to DoD installations and efficient transportation. Strategic Seaports are used to transport cargo and equipment from surrounding military installations to fight overseas during times of war and international emergencies.

And with the Port's central location to the southeastern defense corridor, it can provide

military installations with direct on-dock rail service and quick access to I-10. The Port will support the Mississippi National Guard at Keesler Air Force Base, Camp Shelby and the Naval Construction Battalion in Gulfport.

And the Mississippi State Port at Gulfport is one of 17 Strategic Seaports in the Country. And as being a strategic seaport the responsibility of a port is to ensure that specific facilities needed to conduct military deployment will be made available to the military within 48 hours of a request. And the Port, as in our case, is required to have 28 acres available and two berths available to the Department of Defense within 48 hours.

Notification of the project appeared in the Sun Herald as required and a public hearing was held at the Bolton State Office Building on September 14th. Public comments were received concerning several different things. And you received a copy of the public comments in the response matrix that was provided by the applicants. I believe that was probably provided to you as an addendum to the original had mail-out packets. But some of the high points were concerning the use of ODMDS thin-layer deposit for dredge material disposal, which would

Page 43

have impact to reef shrimp and fish habitats as well as potential impacts from sediment which may be moved beyond the disposal site.

The responses from the applicants states that for the Port expansion, EIS considered disposal options for placement of the dredge material both new and maintenance. The placement of the material is only allowed in ODMDS and thin-layer deposit sites that are approved and permitted by the Environmental Protection Agency or the Beneficial Use Sites.

The EPA is the one who permits the ODMDS sites. And prior to issuing those permits for the ODMDS the EPA conducts Environmental evaluations of potential impacts due to placement of dredge maternal.

And that concerns on impacts to habitats should be addressed through the EPA; that neither Mississippi State Port nor DMR have the authority to address concerns about the ODMDS site locations.

Public comments were also received concerning impacts -- or the use of the Biloxi Marsh Complex as a Beneficial Use Site, that there would be impacts to oysters reefs. And that there should have been a mitigation plan associated with the use of the Biloxi Marsh Beneficial Use Site. And as I said

Page 44

earlier, there is a permit application currently with the Louisiana Department of Natural Resources for the Biloxi Marsh Complex BU Site. And it provides all of the information about the project. And so any comments or concerns about that project, should be submitted to the Louisiana Department of Natural resources, as they are the permitting agency. And then we have the permit number for anyone in the public that would like to comment on that, and that is P20160163.

Public comments were also received concerning inadequate outreach, lack of transmitted materials and the lack of opportunities to comment on agency responses, public notice and public hearings were conducted in accordance with Mississippi Code 49-27-17. There were three public notices published in the Sun Herald and the public hearing date of September 14th was included in all three of those public notices.

And through the EIS process that the Port has been going through since the project started, there have been multiple opportunities for public outreach and public involvement. There were also comments about impacts to essential fish habitats. And essential fish habitat falls under National

Marine Fisheries jurisdiction. Their comments and impact on -- impacts to EFH are documented within the EIS. And then the biological assessment and biological opinions that go through the Port process, it's a federal process and they are coordinated with them throughout the entire permitting process.

And then finally, questions about water quality in the small craft harbor. And the small craft harbor is not part of the Port Expansion Project, and they have their own water quality monitoring. And they are working with DEQ for that.

Agency comments: DEQ issued water quality certifications on October 5th of this year.

Department of Archives and History requested a Cultural Resources Survey on a portion of the mitigation plan that details the removal of the stranded boat. If Archives and History determines that the boat can't be removed that is something we will work out with the port, but we believe that once Archives and History see the condition of the vessel that it is not in a position where it can be repaired or floated; that they won't have a problem with the removal of that vessel.

The Secretary of State's office states that they do not agree with the removal of State owned

sediments from the State-owned water bottoms for beneficial use of the destination outside of the State of Mississippi or the State's territorial waters. And that if State-owned sediment qualifies for a beneficial use project, they should be used to benefit the State of Mississippi.

In the Department of Wildlife Fisheries and Parks stated that they had no comment.

With regards to the Secretary of State's comments, the port works with DMR in the Beneficial Use Group. And the Beneficial Use Group is made up of representatives from several different state and federal entities.

They worked for over two years to try to find a project that was suitable within the State of Mississippi and based on depth, they would need to have an area that is approximately 400 to 900 acres. To avoid taking the sediments out of the system and go to the ocean disposal site, or trying to go upwind, the Port and the DMR are going to both look to other options. They looked at two reports including Governor's Oyster Counsel report for 2015 and the 2013 Gulf Coast 2020 plan, both of which located that the Biloxi Marsh Complex and stabilization of the Biloxi Marsh Complex would have

Page 47

benefits to the State of Mississippi. Creating a BU site and placing Mississippi sediments within the Biloxi Marsh Complex would restore and stabilize one of the most productive oyster habitats in the region that are utilized by Mississippi oystermen. And they have also concluded that will provide storm surge protection for Hancock County.

And in this case while the Biloxi Marsh Complex is the preferred alternative for the disposal and expansion project, as it is stated in the environmental impact statement, if the new BU site becomes available in the State of Mississippi that has the capacity needs, that the Port would evaluate it's potential at the BU Site.

With all of this then being said, we have conducted a thorough evaluation of this project and have determined that it will have significant public benefit. We recommend approval of the variance request, approval of the use district changes and approval of the project. And, as I said, should a Beneficial Use Site in Mississippi be permitted prior to execution of the project or any of the maintenance dredging projects. The minute the project gets started and they take the first set of material out of the state or use ODMDS, if at any time in the next

Page 48

Page 46

several years we get the site permitted, we would prefer that the material stay within the State. So we would prefer that maintenance dredging material be placed there in preference to Biloxi Marsh or ODMDS.

And then it should be noted that there were recent changes to Mississippi Code §49-27-29 that limits the amount of time that a permit can be valid to three to five years with the option of requesting one two-year extension. In this case the Port has requested a ten-year permit. The Statute limits that. So should the statute change the Port would have the option to come back in and request an extension to the amount of time the permit would be valid to coincide with the current laws and regulations. And I have been working on the project since 2008. So this is not something that they have very quickly come up with and, as I said, the EIS process is a very long and detailed process that the actual client EIS is I think close to 600 pages. So it is --

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Jennifer, isn't this the second time we have heard about this? What year was it y'all came before us with this thing? I think we had some different commissioners at that time.

JENNIFER WITTMANN: Several years -- well,

back in probably '98 or '99 they did the 84-acre expansion on the west pier. And then since then there have been some extensions to that side. But this actual expansion project hasn't been before the commission yet.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Oh, okay.

JENNIFER WITTMANN: They are just dredging.

It is ultimately going to be related to the project

about the Louisiana Marsh and to my recollection it was not going to help the Mississippi reefs, because where the Marsh is opened up and caused the salt water intrusion into the Mississippi Sound, you are not closing up, you are just closing up some convenient bayous over there.

I am not against this project, but I think
we are missing something here. If we look at -- if
we look at the Mobile Bay when they dug that channel
through there, it killed all their oysters reefs.
Lake Calcasieu in Cameron, Louisiana, they dug a deep
water canal through there. It killed all their
oyster reefs, or a big part of them. Apalachicola,
they don't have any oysters anymore. They used to be
one of the capitals, you know, we had so many

oysters.

And salt water intrusion is what has wiped these oysters out, bringing a deeper channel into Gulfport is a good idea, but I personally agree with Delbert Hoseman. I think we ought to build an Island or something to keep that salt water out of the Cat Island and the Pass Christian area if there is a way to do it.

JENNIFER WITTMANN: There are some preliminary projects that are very early on in the planning process within the beneficial use group. And within the coastal preserves program that we hope to be able to pull this material. But at this point in time those have not been permitted. They have to go through the entire federal permitting process. And we don't want to delay approval of the Port's permit for expansion based on a project that we don't have a permit for.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Then, in other words, you are going to sacrifice the oyster industry in Mississippi for Port expansion?

JENNIFER WITTMANN: No, sir.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Well, how are you not, if you are bringing more salt into the Pass
Christian area we are killing -- I mean, we are

Page 51

putting millions of dollars down there trying to bring the oyster reefs back.

And we are just going to open this up and let them wipe the oysters out by running more salt water in it?

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I don't quite understand. We are bringing more salt water in by building a bigger turn basin. Is that what you are saying Commissioner Gollott?

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: When you dig a deeper channel it's bringing more salt water in.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Deepening the channel by how many feet?

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I understand we need to mitigate --

JENNIFER WITTMANN: I was conferring about that with the Port.

The channel is not getting deeper. It is just going to be the deepening of the area that is currently not part of the turning basin.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Correct. That's what I thought.

JENNIFER WITTMANN: But the actual ship channel will not be deeper.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: All the way in to

Page 52

the Gulfport channel, that's the way I understood it, y'all was going to deepen it down to.

MS. HARRIS: The Gulfport channel deepening has been presented to the Commission, and has been approved.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: So you are deepening it.

MS. HARRIS: It has already been deepened.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: It's already been deepened.

JENNIFER WITTMANN: That's not part of this project. The only area that's going to be made deeper is this area where the turning basin is being expanded. And it's not going to...

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Okay. What about the Beneficial Use. Let's look at Louisiana marsh where you are planning on using the Beneficial Use, because my understanding is if you close Three Mile up it would give us back the oysters reefs.

JENNIFER WITTMANN: They have looked at and Melissa Calvet with CH2 held research on a beneficial -- participates in the beneficial use group and may be able to speak to some of those concerns.

I know that they have looked at several different configurations within the Biloxi Marsh

Page 53
Complex and this was deemed to be the most probable for success.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: The easiest, huh?

JENNIFER WITTMANN: Well, it's not

necessarily the easiest, but it's the land loss in the area right now is so great that you can go out to an area this year and next year it could be marsh now, and in a year it may be minus too. So we have to look at areas that it is feasible to do restoration.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Okay. If you do restoration there what guarantees you that it is not going to keep deteriorating at the same rate?

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Let George come up. George is familiar with that.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I am just concerned about the oyster industry. Like I said, we have poured millions of dollars into it trying to rebuild it.

GEORGE RAMSEUR: George Ramseur. And Commissioner Gollott, you are exactly right. We need to be building Islands in that entire chain that keeps the salt water out. And that barrier so-to-speak really runs Lake Borgne all the way to Mobile Bay and we are definitely going to have

opportunities to build islands directly, but in this particular case, we have a Section of the Biloxi Marsh that has been opening up significantly, and we have lost thousands of acres in there. So this is a chance to put some of that acreage back.

Now Louisiana has larger plans for some extensive barriers to go on the outside of Biloxi Marsh. And we would like to see those progress at some point. I don't know if they are going to do it on their own or not. That's the only ultimate solution that I aware of, that we will permanently protect Biloxi Marsh.

But in the meantime, instead of putting this material in the ocean where it would be no good we will get the back stock of some of the interior build up, sort of resiliency, in the Biloxi Marsh area.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: George, have you looked at putting an island or something to block the salt water on maybe this side of Cat Island between

GEORGE RAMSEUR: Yes, sir.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: -- the channel in the Pass Christian area?

GEORGE RAMSEUR: Yes, sir. The main thing

Page 55

is this option is the only one that is fully funded at this point and fairly close to being permanent.

Now, the alternatives that we would like to have in the future to take advantage of the additional dredging of this complex at a minimum are going to be ten to \$20,000,000 additional to do that kind of stand-alone. And those are definitely on track and I mean, they moving out concept and looking into various stages of permitting, but, you know, they have got to be permitted and that's a long haul right now. And we have got to figure out where those tens of millions of dollars are going to come from to pay for these. So, if we get something before this site is used that's fine, but this is the best chance we have to avoid having to haul that into deep water and lose it, if the Port's schedule dictates they need to dredge.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: So what are you going to do with Delbert Hoseman's being against this thing, moving material out of Mississippi?

GEORGE RAMSEUR: Well, I think there is several things. There is funding that can change the face of this and the timing of the Port activity. So depending on how this comes together, we will just --- I think we will just have to make a decision as a

state.

I think it is fairly complicated to look at all of the dynamics with the Biloxi Marsh. But one thing for sure, as you noted, that complex controls a lot of the salt and fresh water flow in particularly in the Western Sound. Three-Mile Pass is a totally different -- I mean, even if we put all of this material in Three-Mile Pass it wouldn't close it. That's going to be a project that probably -- if it's even permittable from the Louisiana side it's going to take a lot engineering, a lot of probably hard structure or something like that, because you have really got -- you've got deep water in there and it's really moving.

Now, on the bright side they finally closed off MRGO and the salinities in Lake Borgne have dropped a lot and in the western sound the trend has been down for the last six years. So there is some encouraging things coming from that Louisiana side. And, you know, this is not -- this is not the ultimate project, but it's a good way to start working on that complex, and maybe build it up a little bit instead of losing this resource.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: George, you don't think by going to Louisiana and saying, "Hey, we have

Page 56

got this material we would like to put it in your marsh, by helping us here and closing up Three-Mile it would go a long way to close up -- you might have bulk head Three-Mile or something to close it, but it would give us such a big boost on our oyster industry in the western sound down there if they would close Three-Mile.

GEORGE RAMSEUR: Oh, sure. And to me this gets everybody -- this gets Mississippi and Louisiana on the same table playing the same game. And I think, you know, this kind of multi-state thing is hard to put together. There is a lot of political and agency interactions. And it's -- you know, this permit has been in process for three years at this point, and it's still not done.

So it -- this gives us a working relationship that we can build on. And as I say, they have got the lines of defense that they want to -- the part of the 2012 master plan, they have been held out of the '17, the 2017 master plan. But I think they are reevaluating some parts of that. One of the other things about Biloxi Marsh is that it is actually one of the most stable marsh complexes in the entire Mississippi Delta. There are no active faults under it, so it's not subsiding like the rest

of that delta area. So it's really -- it's really one of the best places for them to restore marsh.

And that would be another hope here is if we say, "Hey, look, this is really not that hard to do. Why don't you guys" -- because they are having some trouble capturing their smaller amounts of dredge material, which for Louisiana, I wouldn't say this is a small amount, but it's the kind of project they have been focusing more on, diversions and that sort of thing. This is also kind of far out from New Orleans and their interest in funding tends to -- tends to center on stuff that is closer to, you know, their urban center and stuff. So we are kind of pulling them a little bit east with this project, and we are obviously stretching west with it as well, but. . .

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: George, if we table this until the next meeting, do you think you could go to Louisiana and come back with some answers or something? I mean. . .

GEORGE RAMSEUR: No. I wish I could tell you that, but, I mean, as I say, we have been -- we have been going through one hurdle after the other for three years. And, you know, it has been a pretty dynamic -- I won't say it has been frustrating, but

Page 59

it's been, it's been pretty dynamic and changing.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Well, once we do this permit it's over with as far as the Commission having any say on what's happening or --

GEORGE RAMSEUR: Well, I think the way it is put in here is what we would all like to see. I think the primary thing that I think almost everybody agrees with is we don't want to see 7.5 million yards of Mississippi resource dumped in the ocean. And so -- right.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Are you telling us that's the alternative right now on --

GEORGE RAMSEUR: If they dredge -- if they dredged this month or this year, yeah, that's about what it is.

DIRECTOR MILLER: The concept to permit a federal use site plus the marsh was in response to the primary disposal, which was deep water ocean disposal. And so we agree -- I agree. I have spoken with Secretary Hoseman. We both prefer that this not leave Mississippi at the initiation of the permit application for the dredge material. The object is for no one to get it, for it to go in the deep water and no one benefits. And so we have looked at what could be funded, what could be permitted, what could

Page 60

benefit us and we landed in the Biloxi Marsh.

Now, George is right and Secretary Hoseman has been adamant. Let's find an even better place. The issue with those better places are the cost of those go up, you know, a hundred fold, because you have to build containment around them. But we are pursuing those. And we will have those moving into the future. So we may be back here in a year or two with another alternative beneficial use site around Cat Island or somewhere in Mississippi waters. But we don't have the permit for the Biloxi Marsh yet. And there's no guarantee we will get it.

GEORGE RAMSEUR: Right.

JENNIFER WITTMANN: And we don't -- and we would absolutely condition the permit such as if a BU site within the State of Mississippi is permitted that can be such a material that that is the preference.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Let met stay this: And I appreciate y'all's hard work. It looks like there you have put in a lot of hard work. And, you know, I am not here to -- I am just trying to protect the oyster industry. Thank you, Jennifer.

MS. HARRIS: Yes, sir. I understand. And I think everybody does.

1 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: There is a 2 biological opinion any EIS will be long drawn out and 3 takes a long time. 4 JENNIFER WITTMANN: They do. 5 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: And they cover most 6 of their bases. Any more discussion? If not we have 7 got some public comment on the subject, if we could, 8 please, move on. 9 THE WITNESS: Ms. Thao Vu. 10 THAO VU: Good morning. Director Miller, 11 Commissioners. Tao Mu with the Mississippi Coalition 12 for Vietnamese-American Fisher Folks and Families. 13 I am here to reiterate the letter that was 14 submitted to the agency regarding this proposed 15 project to expand the Port of Gulfport. It was dated 16 September 17th of this year. And we are here to 17 implore the Commissioners to deny it. 18 To deny the port expansion. And here are 19 the reasons why: Just because this EIS Environments 20 Impact Statement has been conducted for years. And I 21 know there's been many years that doesn't mean it is 22 thorough, comprehensive or factors everything that it 23 should. And the one thing that has greatly factored 24 in is the impact to the shrimping industry. Decades 25 ago when the Port initially expanded it devastated an Page 63 2, Part I.E.2. "The extent to which the proposed 1 2 activity would directly and indirectly affect the 3 biological integrity and productivity of costal 4 wetlands communities and eco-systems." 5 It's very important you abide by that. I 6 am not here to attack the Commission, but the Port, 7 they don't need this expansion. Their current 8 activities has already taken a significant amount of 9 their salary base -- over 300 areas. Please do the 10 right thing and deny the permit. Thank you for the 11 opportunity. 12 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Thank you, Ms. Vu. I 13 think we have presented everything in 14 recommendations. Is there any further discussion? 15 Do we have a motion? 16 COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Mr. Chairman, I would 17 like to make a motion that we move along with taking 18 the staff's advice. 19 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Okay. Go with the

staff's recommendations if I understood you

COMMISSIONER HAVARD: That's correct.

There's been a lot of work. And I feel

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Do we have a second?

20

21

22

23

24

25

correctly.

I will second the motion.

Page 61

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

area that was a prime shrimping area near Cat Island. And since then all the old timers fishermen and shrimpers can attest to that. And the shrimp has never come back, because they have basically destroyed and impacted the sediment there, the habitat that should have been there. It needs good stable habitat. And it moved away and never came back. And that is something -- that is something that is mentioned in the document, the National Marine Fisheries Service has serious concerns about. It's in this 2,000 page document that they expressed concerns about the impacts to essential fish habitat and shell fish.

And it's not to the public benefit if there is a group that's really been seriously struggling, starving right now, okay, because of all the environment issues, you know, due to all of the disasters that they are going to have to feel the impacts of this too. How is that of public benefit?

Public benefit means benefiting everyone. And not one who has to spare the disproportionate impact, the adverse impact to it.

And I want to reiterate something here that is one of your regulations under Coastal Wetlands. It says: Under Costal Wetlands, Chapter 8, Section

Page 64

like that even though there will be some detriment,
 there is benefit without greater detriment.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Mr. Chairman, with all due respect, tabling it or taking it up at the next meeting is not killing it.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I understand, Commissioner Gollott, but I don't think that tabling it or kicking the can down the road is going to get us any further. I understand a lot it hinges on what Louisiana is going to do and...

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Is there any way to find out what Louisiana is going to do before we go forward?

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I think we built it into the recommendations that if Louisiana doesn't then it is going to go back to exactly where we are now.

In other words, we will have to find another use of another ocean dumping. So it's either -- it's either put it in the Biloxi Marsh where it will do some good, or bring it out and dump it right into the Pascagoula channel. I think that's the only site they have. Anyhow, we have a motion and a second.

Any further discussion? All those in favor

Page 65

say Aye.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Wait a minute. Wait

a minute. Wait a minute. Wait a minute. If you are

going to do a motion, you need to insert you're

coming back if Louisiana doesn't, because what we are

talking about is their motion right now.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: No. It is in there. It is in their recommendation.

JENNIFER WITTMANN: That should a beneficial use site in the State of Mississippi be permitted prior to the execution of the project or any of the maintenance dredging that the materials should be placed there in preference to Biloxi Marsh or ODMDS.

And I think at this point the Commission has to either issue or deny or the Port would have to agree to an extension.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: In other words, everything that you've asked, Commissioner Gollott, I believe is actually in that motion, in that if it appears to me that there is work moving towards building barriers and then if that does materialize before this thing kicks off and takes place then that's where that beneficial use material will go.

JENNIFER WITTMANN: Or even after it takes

it off. That's why we added in the special about the maintenance dredging. We are requesting the maintenance agreement. We didn't want to say everything can go to Biloxi Marsh and then we get something permitted to the State and then we lose that material as well.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: She is not. That's us trying to come up with something at different stages of dredge material. Have you got somebody working to it?

JENNIFER WITTMANN: Yes, sir.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Who?

JENNIFER WITTMANN: Jared Harris, who is the Beneficial Use Coordinator. And we have had some bathymetric studies done. We are actively moving forward with other projects. But at this point in time we are not in a position to really talk about this in depth, because they have not even begun their permitting process.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Well, I am inclined to table it. And then, like you say, if we table it, it's not going to do any good.

JENNIFER WITTMANN: I don't know that because you can table it, if the Port will not agree to an extension, because of the timing constraint on

Page 67

the project. It will be issue, deny or the Port would have to agree to an extension. And I know that the Corps of Engineers is on a very tight timeline right now trying to get that done. They are anxiously awaiting our decision today.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: All right. Okay.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Any further discussion?

All right. All those in favor, Aye.

COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Aye.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed. Like sign. Motion carries.

(Motion carries.)

Thank you, Jennifer.

JENNIFER WITTMANN: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Good morning.

GREG CHRISTODOULOU: Good morning,

Commissioners. I am Greg Christodoulou and I will be presenting the next two items on Coasts agenda. The first is a modification request by the City of Biloxi. Okay. Modification request by the City of Biloxi located at Point Cadet, Mississippi Sound in Biloxi. It's in the Commercial and Fishing and Recreational Marinas Use District and the Agent is Burk-Kleinpeter Incorporated.

Page 68

Currently the Point Cadet Marina has been authorized to maintenance dredge 12,100 cubic yards to 9.5' below Mean Low Water and currently they are requesting to dredge an additional 30 -- close to 3,500 cubic yards of material. And the material will be placed at the Deer Island Beneficial Use Site. Location of Point Cadet Marina.

Here's a diagram showing what's currently authorized in the green and what is proposed in the yellow. And just some pictures. Again, this is the area between the Margaritaville Resort and the Golden Nugget Casino.

The project would serve a higher public purpose by restoring safe navigational and berthing depths as well as providing public access to and use of the marina.

Project is allowable within the commercial fishing and recreational marinas use district.

Similar projects have been approved by the Commission, so no negative precedent is expected.

There will be a temporary increase in turbidity and loss of benthic organisms during the dredging. As we said, an increase in turbidity is expected, however, it will not exceed DEQ's guidelines.

Page 69 Page 70 1 Best Management Practices such as turbidity 1 COMMISSIONER HARMON: So second, Mr. 2 streams during construction, will minimize the 2 Chairman. 3 impacts to coastal wetlands. This is a maintenance 3 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. Motion 4 dredging project, so no alternative sites were 4 is seconded. 5 5 considered. Maintenance dredging does require a Any further discussion? 6 6 waterfront location. All of the work will be All those in favor, Aye. 7 7 COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Aye. conducted below the water service surface. And it's 8 in a existing marina so scenic quality should not be 8 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Ave. 9 impacted by the project. 9 COMMISSIONER TRAPANI: Aye. 10 The project was advertised as required. No 10 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Oppose, same sign. 11 public comments were received. 11 Motion carries. 12 12 DEQ has no comments. Archives and History, Thank you, Greg. 13 no objections. Wildlife Fisheries and Parks has 13 GREG CHRISTODOULOU: And my final item will 14 14 recommended Best Management Practices be implemented. be a modification request by Huntington-Ingalls 15 15 And the Secretary of State has no objections. Industries located on Pascagoula Bay at 1000 Jerry 16 16 And based on review, staff recommends St. Pé Highway in Pascagoula. It's in the Industrial 17 approval of the project contingent on clearance from 17 Use District. Aerial of Ingalls. 18 DEQ and with the condition that the applicant be 18 The applicant is currently authorized to 19 19 required to use a DMR approved Beneficial Use Site in continually maintenance dredge the areas at the 20 accordance with Mississippi Code 49-27-61 if 20 facility including the existing ship berth, a launch 21 available. 21 pit and a sonar pit. This request for modification 22 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Mr. Chairman, I make 22 is only for the launch pit. Currently is authorized 23 a motion that we approve this project as recommended. 23 to be dredged to 74 and they are requesting an 24 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. We have 24 increase to 78 feet below Mean Low Water to help with 25 a motion. Do we have a second? 25 the repair of a damaged naval destroyer. Page 71 Page 72 1 Approximately 88,500 cubic yards of additional 1 Gain, an increase in turbidity is expected but should 2 2 material will be removed. The yellow highlighted not exceed Mississippi Department of Environmental 3 area is the location of the launch pit. 3 Qualities Guidelines. 4 And the project has a public benefit by 4 Best Management Practices will reduce 5 allowing Ingalls to accommodate repairs to this 5 adverse impact and the proposed depths are necessary 6 6 damaged naval vessel. The project is allowable to allow Ingalls to meet the contractural 7 7 within the industrial development use district. requirements to repair the vessel. 8 8 Variance is for the project -- previously No off-site alternatives have been 9 was granted a variance for Chapter 8, Section 2, Part 9 considered since this is an existing launch pit and 10 III.G.4., which states an "Access canals shall be of 10 only the launch pit will be deepened under this 11 uniform depth or become gradually shallower 11 modification. 12 proceeding from the receiving body of water and they 12 The dredging requires a waterfront location 13 shall be no deeper than the parent body of water." 13 and the project is located in an area that has been 14 No precedent setting effects are expected 14 historically used as an industrial area. So scenic 15 since this site has been historically utilized as an 15 qualities should not be adversely impacted. 16 16 industrial site, and similar projects have been National interests, the coast program 17 approved by the Commission. 17 states that State agency shall consider the following 18 Dredging activities, there will be a 18 aspects of the national interest. The national need 19 19 temporary increase in turbidity and loss of benthic for transportation including ports and navigation and 20 organisms and Best Management Practices will be 20 a need for national defense and to establish and 21 21 utilized during all phases of construction. maintain facilities necessary to accomplish this.

22

23

24

25

Public notice advertised as required. No

public comments were received. DEQ reviewed the

project. Archives and History has no comments.

Secretary of State has no issues. And Wildlife,

Project should not have any adverse impact

other than loss of benthic organisms and will not

affect the natural supply of sediments, nutrients,

temperature, salinity, water flow or circulation.

22

23

24

25

Fisheries and Parks has no comments.

And based upon review, project will have a public benefit by allowing the Ingalls Pascagoula Facility to accommodate repairs to a damaged U.S. Navy vessel; therefore, staff recommends approval of the project contingent on clearance from DEQ and with the conditions that the applicant be required to use a Beneficial Use Site in accordance with the Mississippi Code 49-27-61 if it is available. And that no negative comments are received by the end of the comment period, which is tomorrow.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right.

COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Mr. Chairman, I would like to make a motion that we accept these staff recommendations on this project.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: And I will second it.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: We have a motion and a second. Any further questions? I have one comment.

GREG CHRISTODOULOU: Okay.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Talking about that

what we call shipyard hold. For years and years before the Navy base came in the bay fishermen were allowed or work that area to trawl that area. It's closed now, but that used to be a productive spot whenever. Whenever the weather would get real cold and the water would get cold, man, those shrimp for whatever reason went to that hole and those guys really caught a lot of shrimp out of that, of course, we called it a shipyard hole. Anyhow, it just reminded me talking about it. All right. We have a motion and a second. All of those in favor, Aye.

COMMISSIONER TRAPANI: Aye.
COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Aye.
COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Aye.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed. Same sign.

Motion carries.

(Motion Carries.)
Thank you.

KRISTYN McGUIRE: Good morning. I am Kristyn McGuire and I will be presenting violation by Taylor Norton.

The St. Louis Bay at 417 First Avenue in Pass Christian. It's in the General Use District. The agent as of yesterday, Mr. Larry Lewis was authorized, but the power point was finalized, so that's why it's not on here.

The structures are an access pier, three different piers: An uncovered boat lift, worn

Page 75

pilings and a jetty.

This is the location of the violation (indicating.)

This is Mr. Norton's submitted application. I took and darkened it, so you can kind of see a little better.

These are the pictures of the location, just his access pier, jetty as it appears at the access pier.

Okay. So if you see where it says 417 and goes straight out, that is Mr. Norton's pier. I believe that is 2007. I'm sorry. I can't do this.

So as we progress you can just watch the years up top. You will see that the structures are built on the end. Those were re-decked. And this year you can look at the beginning of the access pier and see that it is completely gone. And then you can see that more pilings were driven and the access pier was re-added along with a portion up at the top.

And you can see where that was actually a floating deck. Mr. Norton has removed that. He is going to put in an uncovered boatlift there. But if you watch from this side to the next you can see more pier was added to it. Obviously, more pilings were added. The decking is not -- you know, they have to

Page 76

have something to hold them up. This is kind of a picture showing -- if you look at where the red line is, that's where it was in the previous years. So under that red line is what was added.

In July 13, 2017 the violation was reported to DMR that a pier was constructed without a permit on the property line and a jetty was constructed without a permit as well.

July 13th the staff spoke with Mr. Norton and informed him of his potential violation. The staff informed Mr. Norton that he would need to submit an after-the-fact application to keep any unauthorized structures. Mr. Norton was emailed an application on that date as well.

July 17 the site visit was performed confirming the construction of piers without a permit and measurements of all the structures were taken.

August 3rd a notice of violation was mailed to Mr. Norton informing him again about the need for either an application or a plan to remove the unauthorized piers and that would be submitted by August 21st, 2017.

On October 4th Mr. Norton submitted an after-the-fact application. In your write-up you can see the documentation of the emails and phone calls,

Page 78 1 KRISTYN McGUIRE: So we are authorizing all 2 of the structures for Mr. Norton including the jetty, 3 but within this general permit that we are going to 4 issue, he is not to reconstruct the jetty as it is. 5 So what we are going to do is we are going to give 6 him the year for the general permit, within that year 7 he can apply for a modification to reconstruct the 8 jetty at our measurements, which was 56 feet I 9 believe, 40 plus 16, yeah. 10 So he will have a year within that permit 11 date to, you know, put in a modification and then we 12 are going to have to do it as a waiver, because we do 13 not allow jetties to be reconstructed under a general 14 permit anymore. 15 So if he does not come back within the year 16 of that general permit, say it is a year and 30 days, 17 we are then going to have to go out and measure what 18 his jetty is as existing, you know, as functioning. 19 So, say, you know, it may have been 40 feet when we 20 measured it back in July. Now, you know, if we go 21 out and measure it and it's only 20 feet functioning, 22 that's what we are going to be able to give him to 23 rebuild it. 24 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Why not? What's the 25 difference? I mean, if he had a jetty there before, Page 80 1 just said, "that we don't do it anymore." 2 WILLA BRANTLEY: Once the jetty had stopped 3 functioning as a jetty we don't allow it to be 4 rebuilt is our policy. 5 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: How does it stop 6 being a jetty? 7 WILLA BRANTLEY: It actually falls apart 8 and is no longer in existence. 9 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Is this rise or what 10 is it? 11 WILLA BRANTLEY: No, no, no. Most of these 12 are wooden jetties. 13 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yeah, that's what I 14 was going to say. If you look at that picture, and I 15 know you were looking over there. I was looking over 16 here, but if you at the picture the jetty is 17 basically -- it looks like a bulkhead. 18 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Bulkhead. 19 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yeah. Right. WILLA BRANTLEY: Yes. 20 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Where is picture to 21 22 the shoreline? 23

WILLA BRANTLEY: Which picture was that in?

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Okay. It goes down

you know, suggesting that Mr. Norton turn in application as soon as possible. But from July to October is when we finally

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

got the application. So violation was discovered to July 17 so the duration is 79 days. We recommended a \$250 fine to the applicant. This is his first violation, so he has no previous violations.

The recommendation is that the CMR grant the after-the-fact authorization, because the project would have been authorized by general permit if construction had not been completed prior to authorization.

Also issue of fine of \$250 to the applicant to pay within 30 days of the October Commission meeting. Forward the matter to the AG's office if this deadline is not met.

Mr. Norton is here today if you guys have any questions for him or myself.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Mr. Chairman, I would like to make a motion that we take the staff's recommendation on issuing the after-the-fact permit and charging the applicant \$250.

KRISTYN McGUIRE: Oh, and actually I need to add this, on the record. Sorry. Can I do that? COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Yes.

Page 79

Page 77

why would he have to --

KRISTYN McGUIRE: Because it's not

functioning. And --

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: And is this part of the recommendation from the --

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: We will need you to say it again.

WILLA BRANTLEY: No, I just wanted to add a little bit more. My name is Willa Brantley. I just wanted to add a little bit more. We actually have a guideline on jetties, that they can only be built now around public access points with public boat ramps and dredged -- dredged navigation channels that are for use of the public. So he would actually have to come before the commission and get a variance to that guideline to be able to rebuild the jetty once --

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: But he would have an option -- if it is only 20 we can give him a variance to build it 40?

WILLA BRANTLEY: You could.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: When did we change the rules on jetties?

WILLA BRANTLEY: We haven't changed. Those rules have been in place since I have worked here.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Okay. Well, you

24

25

beside the pier?

Page 81 WILLA BRANTLEY: Yes. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Okay. It looks like just about everybody there has one. WILLA BRANTLEY: A lot of people do, but what we have limited people to rebuilding what is functioning at the time that they ask to rebuild it. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: And Mr. Norton was okay with this? MR. NORTON: All I want to do is rebuild in the same time --COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: State your name. MR. NORTON: Taylor Norton. They were all built in 1970 before I was even born actually. They were all built at the same time approximately the same length if you will look at the Google Earth aerials. They all have approximately an 80 foot, you know, jetties going out there, because the stand almost passes around up at Henderson Point and basically continues to preserve, you know, the natural beach front that still exists. We have come in with the parallel bulkheads, the two of them and we hope adding to it. So along our block we still

of which you can see is exposed above the water surface. And then as a lot of the other jetties do, is the balance of which is another approximate 30 feet for a total of a 70-foot jetty that basically extends water ward out to an old creosote-soaked piling, you know, that was installed, you know, originally basically, and is sort of like the land marker, if you will.

And from the 40-foot marker to the 70-foot marker is where majority of structure is submerged under water all still in the ground.

Ideally, I would like to, you know, eventually replace that, hauling out the old creosote stuff and putting in environmentally friendly wood and so forth as opposed as what is in there today, just because of all the stuff I have read about leaching et cetera and so forth.

I have four children that play in that water and on a daily basis, you know. So those structures, you know, exist, and have existed, you know, since the beginning of time as I know it. And so that's, you know, the history of --

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: My question to you is you are okay with basically doing what the staff recommends within a year?

Page 83

there?

MR. NORTON: Yes, sir. My understanding -- I mean, y'all can, you know, correct me, or correct any of us, but my understanding from the receipt explanation is that, because they can't authorize -- because the DMR can no longer authorize jetties under a general permit, it then has to be a waiver, an application for a waiver as I understand. And so if that is the case, I will follow the new ruling and apply for a waiver for the 70 feet and to whether or not it is functioning, I mean, is that -- I mean, it's apparently functioning because it works every year. I mean, you see the same boat over here, and it depending on which way, you know, wind is blowing basically.

have the National Beaches and everyone has the same

And so, basically, there is about 40 feet

80-foot jetties out there.

And I think that while essentially what I would like to do is file, you know, an amended application asking for a waiver for the same 70 feet of each of my neighbors, which is just north of me applied just last year and received authorization and north of them as you see in the aerial --

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: You bring it up before this board and we will look at it, as long as you understand the procedure and ultimately come back in a timely manner with your waiver, and then we will make a decision on that. Is that good?

Page 84

MR. NORTON: I mean, is that -COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I think, if I am -well, if I am understanding correctly.

MR. NORTON: Unless y'all have the authority to approve it then I would accept that, but, you know.

 $\label{eq:commissioner} \mbox{COMMISSIONER BOSARGE:} \quad \mbox{Uh-huh.} \\ \mbox{(Affirmative)}$

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: You are going to have to put in an formal permit for us to approve it.

MR. NORTON: Oh, I most definitely have made application.

WILLA BRANTLEY: Right.

MR. NORTON: And it is the application that is before you today, but, you know, the jetty is in the application that is before you today, so, I mean, you tell me if you have the authority for it --

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Is the waiver in

MR. NORTON: Not the waiver. I mean, it's just an application.

WILLA BRANTLEY: Let me answer. It's our policy.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Okay. Yes. Clarify, please.

Page 86 Page 85 1 WILLA BRANTLEY: We cannot authorize the 1 when it would get kicked up to a permit and he would 2 jetty under a general permit. General permits are 2 have come before the Commission. the Corps general permits --COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Good. I am glad we 3 3 4 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Um-hum. 4 have cleared that. 5 5 (Affirmative). MR. NORTON: It's my impression that since 6 6 we have documented the 70 feet including the DMR's WILLA BRANTLEY: -- do not authorize 7 7 jetties out, rebuild or new. So that's why we have offer to document it at 70 feet, you know, is she 8 to handle it separately. We haven't had time to send 8 then authorized to issue the certificate of waiver 9 that out to the other agencies that have to review 9 for the 70 feet? You know, because that is already 10 that, because if anything other than a general permit 10 in the ground today. COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I think what we are 11 we have state agencies, and we have to forward it to 11 12 12 the Corps and they have to write a separate permit. trying to do is encourage you to go ahead and file if 13 13 So we haven't been through that procedure yet. So that's what you want to do. And if we pass it today 14 14 that's why we can only approve today what we can we are going to mess you up on the other permit. 15 15 approve under the general permit, which is the piers. So stating that, Mr. Chairman, I would like 16 16 Now he rebuilds - if he applies to rebuild to make a motion that we accept the staff's 17 the 40 feet that we show that's functioning, then we 17 recommendation on this project. 18 can -- I can write a certificate of waiver without 18 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. Yeah. 19 19 that having to come to the Commission. That's And I think we all pretty well understand it now. 20 considered a minor impact because it's already there 20 WILLA BRANTLEY: I just want to make sure 21 functioning. 21 we -- we have seen that 40 feet were functioning 22 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Uh-huh (indicating 22 prior to Hurricane Nate. I'm not sure they are still 23 23 functioning, but we are willing to allow that to yes). WILLA BRANTLEY: He is just replacing 24 24 stand that we have documented it before. There are 25 what's there. If he wants to rebuild more that's 25 pieces of an old jetty out to 70 feet. Page 87 Page 88 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Seventy feet. 1 COMMISSIONER HARMON: Aye. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Opposed. Like sign. 2 WILLA BRANTLEY: But they are just individual pieces that aren't acting as a jetty to 3 Motion carries. interrupt any sediment transport anymore. 4 (Motion Carries.) COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: And if that is the 5 Thank you. part he wants to continue he will have to come back. 6 All right. I think that brings us to Ms. 7 ask for a variance. Kacey Williams. WILLA BRANTLEY: Yes. 8 JOE SPRAGGINS: Well, you are not going to COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Bring it before us 9 get Kacey, you are going to get something else. and we will go up or down. 10 WILLA BRANTLEY: And that's why we don't 11 good as Ms. Williams.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 have typically let private individuals put in jetties 13 anymore. It's because they do interrupt the sediment 14 transport processes severely. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Thank you. Do I 15 16 have a motion? Thank you, sir. 17 MR. NORTON: Thank you. THE WITNESS: We have a motion. Do we have 18 19 a second? 20 COMMISSIONER TRAPANI: I will second that. 21 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: The motion is 22 seconded. Any further discussion? All those in 23 favor, Aye.

COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Aye.

COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Aye.

24

25

get Kacey, you are going to get something else.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: You don't look as good as Ms. Williams.

JOE SPRAGGINS: Well, you know, I apologize for that. I am doing all I can do here. Good morning, Mr. Bosarge and all the Commissioners and Jamie and Ms. Chesnut. Just to give you a little bit of an idea of where we are at today, the financials are coming up. Kacey is at another meeting so I am helping her out here. Are you ready? Okay.

This is the financial result for September

30th, 2017. As you can see that we have 3.4 -- the state revenue was 3.4 million. I guess I am suppose to punch a button. Nope. All right. There we go. And then the total agency revenues is 4.3 million and our state's net income is 1.7 million. Total agency net income is 1.3 million. Any questions on that

Page 89 Page 90 1 part? 1 Commissioners, Director Miller, Ms. Chesnut. 2 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I have been trying 2 Commissioner Bosarge asked about Roy Lipscomb. And I 3 to think of a good one. 3 was going to tell y'all about his award. 4 JOE SPRAGGINS: After three months of 4 Master Sgt. Roy Lipscomb was named the 2016 5 5 fiscal year of 2018 we have 90.3 percent of the Law Enforcement of the Year by the Gulf of Mexico 6 6 operating budget remaining and 96.3 percent of the Fishery Management Council. He was chosen from 7 7 titling budget remaining. And I will take any nominees submitted by the five Gulf States' Law 8 8 Enforcement agencies, the U.S. Coast Guard and the questions you have. 9 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Are we going to make 9 NOAA Fisheries Office. And he received the award in 10 it to the end of the year? 10 Biloxi at the council's meeting a couple of weeks 11 11 JOE SPRAGGINS: I think so. Are you ago. And it was presented by Council Chairwoman 12 12 talking fiscal or calendar? Leann Bosarge. 13 13 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Both. And he began working at the DMR as a 14 14 JOE SPRAGGINS: No. We are good. fisheries technician at the Shellfish Bureau and he 15 15 was a reserve officer for Marine Patrol at the same Everything is good. Everything is good. 16 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: You are doing good. 16 time. And he became a full time Marine Patrol 17 JOE SPRAGGINS: Thank you. 17 Officer in 2014. 18 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. And we 18 In addition to his work at the agency he 19 19 have public -- wait a minute. I'm sorry. You are serves as a sergeant first class in the Army National 20 right. Ms. Melissa come on up. 20 Guard. He has been deployed to Iraq and Afghanistan. 21 COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: Let's get it 21 In addition to that he is married and has 22 22 six children. together Mr. Chairman. 23 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yeah. I'm telling 23 So it was a great honor for him to get 24 you. 24 this. He said how much he appreciated it. And it 25 Melissa Scallan: Good morning. 25 was good for the agency. Page 91 Page 92 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: To do all of that 1 1 Conservation Expose in Jackson County. And we have 2 2 and six children. Yes. members of our Seafood Technology Bureau at the 3 Melissa Scallan: From, you know, 20s to 3 Jackson County Fair all week. 4 little bitty, so. . . 4 So Marine Patrol has had one boater safety 5 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: He has worked his 5 class since the last meeting, which was the St. 6 6 Martin High School. And I believe the Chief is way up the ladder. I tell you what, that's an honor 7 7 to be named out of all the states and all of the working to get those kids younger and younger so that 8 8 different agencies, yeah, that's an honor. they can have that knowledge that they need at a 9 Melissa Scallan: That's definitely an 9 young age. So far this year they have held five 10 honor. So we are very proud of him and all of our 10 classes and certified 39 students. Any questions? COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: That's Great. Thank 11 Marine Patrol officers. 11 12 And were -- the Department of Marine 12 you, Melissa 13 Resources has been in the news quite a bit lately. 13 Any other business? 14 Seventy-one times since the last commission meeting. 14 DIRECTOR MILLER: Chairman, I have one 15 We had the opening and closing of oyster 15 matter of other business. I would like to ask the 16 16 season. We had our re-nourishment of the beach at Commission to consider moving the November meeting to 17 Cat Island, the Oyster Season at Biloxi Bay. We had 17 Jackson County to the Board of Supervisors Room in 18 the permits, waiving the permit fees for piers and 18 Pascagoula for the November meeting. 19 19 CRYSTAL MATTA: I think it is the 21st. other things so, you know, that has gotten a lot of 20 interest locally, nationally, regionally also. 20 Twenty-first. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: And we will move it 21 21 We also have had quite a few events. Megan 22 22 to ten o'clock. Fleming and Andrew Meinzinger from Fisheries 23 23 participated in the Port of Gulfport of Environmental CRYSTAL MATTA: Ten o'clock. 24 24 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. Do we Day at Lynn Meadows Discovery Center. Megan also 25 participated and is a member of Marine Patrol, 25 have a motion for that?

	Page 02	Page 04
1	Page 93 COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Yeah, Mr. Chairman, I	Page 94 1 (UNANIMOUS, AYE.)
2	would like to make a motion that we move November's	2 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Motion carries.
3	meeting to November 21st to Jackson County at ten	3 Meeting adjourned.
4	o'clock.	4 (WHEREUPON, at 11:54:46 A.M., THE OCTOBER
5	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Ten o'clock, We	5 17, 2017, MEETING OF THE COMMISSION ON MARINE
6	have a motion. Do we have a second?	6 RESOURCES WAS CONCLUDED.)
7	DIRECTOR MILLER: So second.	7
8	COMMISSIONER TRAPANI: I will second.	8
9	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. We have	9
10	amotion and second. All in favor, Aye.	10
11	(UNANIMOUS, AYE.)	11
12	Like sign, opposed. Motion carries.	12
13	We do have one public comment. I have got	13
14	to apologize. I didn't get to Mr. Harold Strong. I	14
15	don't know if he is still here or not. He is not?	15
16	Okay.	16
17	All right. Seeing that there is no other	17
18	business. Do we have a motion to adjourn?	18
19	COMMISSIONER GOLLOTT: I make a motion to	19
20	adjourn, Mr. Chairman.	20
21	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Do we have a second?	21
22	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: I will second the	22
23	motion?	23
24	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All those in favor	24
25	say, Aye.	25
_	Page 95	
1	Page 95 COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	
2	COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	
2	COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF MISSISSIPPI	
2 3 4	COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	
2 3 4 5	COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF MISSISSIPPI COUNTY OF JACKSON	
2 3 4 5 6	COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF MISSISSIPPI COUNTY OF JACKSON I, CANDACE C. LECHLER, Certified Court Reporter, do	
2 3 4 5 6 7	COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF MISSISSIPPI COUNTY OF JACKSON I, CANDACE C. LECHLER, Certified Court Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing NINETY-FOUR (94) pages	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF MISSISSIPPI COUNTY OF JACKSON I, CANDACE C. LECHLER, Certified Court Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing NINETY-FOUR (94) pages contain a full, true and correct transcription, to the best of	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF MISSISSIPPI COUNTY OF JACKSON I, CANDACE C. LECHLER, Certified Court Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing NINETY-FOUR (94) pages contain a full, true and correct transcription, to the best of my ability, of my stenographic notes and/or electronic	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF MISSISSIPPI COUNTY OF JACKSON I, CANDACE C. LECHLER, Certified Court Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing NINETY-FOUR (94) pages contain a full, true and correct transcription, to the best of my ability, of my stenographic notes and/or electronic recording, of the testimony and proceedings of COMMISSION ON	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF MISSISSIPPI COUNTY OF JACKSON I, CANDACE C. LECHLER, Certified Court Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing NINETY-FOUR (94) pages contain a full, true and correct transcription, to the best of my ability, of my stenographic notes and/or electronic recording, of the testimony and proceedings of COMMISSION ON MARINE RESOURCES, on the 17TH day of OCTOBER, 2017.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF MISSISSIPPI COUNTY OF JACKSON I, CANDACE C. LECHLER, Certified Court Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing NINETY-FOUR (94) pages contain a full, true and correct transcription, to the best of my ability, of my stenographic notes and/or electronic recording, of the testimony and proceedings of COMMISSION ON MARINE RESOURCES, on the 17TH day of OCTOBER, 2017. I do further certify that my certificate annexed hereto	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF MISSISSIPPI COUNTY OF JACKSON I, CANDACE C. LECHLER, Certified Court Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing NINETY-FOUR (94) pages contain a full, true and correct transcription, to the best of my ability, of my stenographic notes and/or electronic recording, of the testimony and proceedings of COMMISSION ON MARINE RESOURCES, on the 17TH day of OCTOBER, 2017. I do further certify that my certificate annexed hereto applies only to the original and certified transcript. The	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF MISSISSIPPI COUNTY OF JACKSON I, CANDACE C. LECHLER, Certified Court Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing NINETY-FOUR (94) pages contain a full, true and correct transcription, to the best of my ability, of my stenographic notes and/or electronic recording, of the testimony and proceedings of COMMISSION ON MARINE RESOURCES, on the 17TH day of OCTOBER, 2017. I do further certify that my certificate annexed hereto applies only to the original and certified transcript. The undersigned assumes no responsibility for the accuracy of any	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	STATE OF MISSISSIPPI COUNTY OF JACKSON I, CANDACE C. LECHLER, Certified Court Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing NINETY-FOUR (94) pages contain a full, true and correct transcription, to the best of my ability, of my stenographic notes and/or electronic recording, of the testimony and proceedings of COMMISSION ON MARINE RESOURCES, on the 17TH day of OCTOBER, 2017. I do further certify that my certificate annexed hereto applies only to the original and certified transcript. The undersigned assumes no responsibility for the accuracy of any reproduced copies not made under my control or direction.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF MISSISSIPPI COUNTY OF JACKSON I, CANDACE C. LECHLER, Certified Court Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing NINETY-FOUR (94) pages contain a full, true and correct transcription, to the best of my ability, of my stenographic notes and/or electronic recording, of the testimony and proceedings of COMMISSION ON MARINE RESOURCES, on the 17TH day of OCTOBER, 2017. I do further certify that my certificate annexed hereto applies only to the original and certified transcript. The undersigned assumes no responsibility for the accuracy of any	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	STATE OF MISSISSIPPI COUNTY OF JACKSON I, CANDACE C. LECHLER, Certified Court Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing NINETY-FOUR (94) pages contain a full, true and correct transcription, to the best of my ability, of my stenographic notes and/or electronic recording, of the testimony and proceedings of COMMISSION ON MARINE RESOURCES, on the 17TH day of OCTOBER, 2017. I do further certify that my certificate annexed hereto applies only to the original and certified transcript. The undersigned assumes no responsibility for the accuracy of any reproduced copies not made under my control or direction.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	STATE OF MISSISSIPPI COUNTY OF JACKSON I, CANDACE C. LECHLER, Certified Court Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing NINETY-FOUR (94) pages contain a full, true and correct transcription, to the best of my ability, of my stenographic notes and/or electronic recording, of the testimony and proceedings of COMMISSION ON MARINE RESOURCES, on the 17TH day of OCTOBER, 2017. I do further certify that my certificate annexed hereto applies only to the original and certified transcript. The undersigned assumes no responsibility for the accuracy of any reproduced copies not made under my control or direction.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	STATE OF MISSISSIPPI COUNTY OF JACKSON I, CANDACE C. LECHLER, Certified Court Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing NINETY-FOUR (94) pages contain a full, true and correct transcription, to the best of my ability, of my stenographic notes and/or electronic recording, of the testimony and proceedings of COMMISSION ON MARINE RESOURCES, on the 17TH day of OCTOBER, 2017. I do further certify that my certificate annexed hereto applies only to the original and certified transcript. The undersigned assumes no responsibility for the accuracy of any reproduced copies not made under my control or direction. This the 8TH day of NOVEMBER, 2017.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	STATE OF MISSISSIPPI COUNTY OF JACKSON I, CANDACE C. LECHLER, Certified Court Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing NINETY-FOUR (94) pages contain a full, true and correct transcription, to the best of my ability, of my stenographic notes and/or electronic recording, of the testimony and proceedings of COMMISSION ON MARINE RESOURCES, on the 17TH day of OCTOBER, 2017. I do further certify that my certificate annexed hereto applies only to the original and certified transcript. The undersigned assumes no responsibility for the accuracy of any reproduced copies not made under my control or direction.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	STATE OF MISSISSIPPI COUNTY OF JACKSON I, CANDACE C. LECHLER, Certified Court Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing NINETY-FOUR (94) pages contain a full, true and correct transcription, to the best of my ability, of my stenographic notes and/or electronic recording, of the testimony and proceedings of COMMISSION ON MARINE RESOURCES, on the 17TH day of OCTOBER, 2017. I do further certify that my certificate annexed hereto applies only to the original and certified transcript. The undersigned assumes no responsibility for the accuracy of any reproduced copies not made under my control or direction. This the 8TH day of NOVEMBER, 2017.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	STATE OF MISSISSIPPI COUNTY OF JACKSON I, CANDACE C. LECHLER, Certified Court Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing NINETY-FOUR (94) pages contain a full, true and correct transcription, to the best of my ability, of my stenographic notes and/or electronic recording, of the testimony and proceedings of COMMISSION ON MARINE RESOURCES, on the 17TH day of OCTOBER, 2017. I do further certify that my certificate annexed hereto applies only to the original and certified transcript. The undersigned assumes no responsibility for the accuracy of any reproduced copies not made under my control or direction. This the 8TH day of NOVEMBER, 2017.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	STATE OF MISSISSIPPI COUNTY OF JACKSON I, CANDACE C. LECHLER, Certified Court Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing NINETY-FOUR (94) pages contain a full, true and correct transcription, to the best of my ability, of my stenographic notes and/or electronic recording, of the testimony and proceedings of COMMISSION ON MARINE RESOURCES, on the 17TH day of OCTOBER, 2017. I do further certify that my certificate annexed hereto applies only to the original and certified transcript. The undersigned assumes no responsibility for the accuracy of any reproduced copies not made under my control or direction. This the 8TH day of NOVEMBER, 2017.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	STATE OF MISSISSIPPI COUNTY OF JACKSON I, CANDACE C. LECHLER, Certified Court Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing NINETY-FOUR (94) pages contain a full, true and correct transcription, to the best of my ability, of my stenographic notes and/or electronic recording, of the testimony and proceedings of COMMISSION ON MARINE RESOURCES, on the 17TH day of OCTOBER, 2017. I do further certify that my certificate annexed hereto applies only to the original and certified transcript. The undersigned assumes no responsibility for the accuracy of any reproduced copies not made under my control or direction. This the 8TH day of NOVEMBER, 2017.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	STATE OF MISSISSIPPI COUNTY OF JACKSON I, CANDACE C. LECHLER, Certified Court Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing NINETY-FOUR (94) pages contain a full, true and correct transcription, to the best of my ability, of my stenographic notes and/or electronic recording, of the testimony and proceedings of COMMISSION ON MARINE RESOURCES, on the 17TH day of OCTOBER, 2017. I do further certify that my certificate annexed hereto applies only to the original and certified transcript. The undersigned assumes no responsibility for the accuracy of any reproduced copies not made under my control or direction. This the 8TH day of NOVEMBER, 2017.	