## COMMISSION ON MARINE RESOURCES COMMISSION MEETING

Tuesday, March 31, 2020 9:00 a.m. Via Webinar by Zoom

\_\_\_\_\_

## Commission Members:

Mark Havard, Chairman
Ronald Daniels, Vice Chairman
Steve Bosarge
Natalie Guess

## Also Present:

Joe Spraggins, Executive Director DMR Sandy Chesnut, Esq., Assistant Attorney General

1	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Ronnie, if you would just
2	kind of take over, if my computer dies, or decides to get
3	offline. Ronnie, if you would just resume the meeting as
4	the chair, please.
5	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Roger that.
6	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: March the $31^{\rm st}$ , 2020, a
7	little after nine, I would like to call the meeting to
8	order.
9	First off, I would like to get approval of the
10	agenda.
11	Do we have any changes for the agenda today?
12	(No response.)
13	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: I don't think we do.
14	COMMISSIONER GUESS: I make a motion to approve
15	the agenda.
16	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: I've got a motion to
17	approve the agenda as written.
18	Do I have a second?
19	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: I'll second it.
20	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: I have a motion and a
21	second.
22	All those in favor say aye.
23	(All in favor.)
24	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: All those opposed?
25	(None opposed.)

1	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: So moves.
2	That brings us to the Executive Director's
3	report.
4	General Spraggins, what do you have for us
5	today?
6	JOE SPRAGGINS: Thank you.
7	Brian, are you on, Brian Sherwood?
8	BRADY SMITH: He is listening, but he is not on.
9	JOE SPRAGGINS: Brady, can you do this, then, if
10	Brian is not on?
11	Can you tell anyone out there, if they would
12	like to make public comment and they have not already sent
13	it to Crystal, how they could go online and do this?
14	Can you explain that to them at this time,
15	Brady?
16	BRADY SMITH: I'm going to connect Brian so he
17	can explain. Just one second.
18	JOE SPRAGGINS: Okay.
19	Where is Brian?
20	I'm not seeing him.
21	BRADY SMITH: Brian is good for talking.
22	BRIAN SHERWOOD: Good morning.
23	JOE SPRAGGINS: Brian?
24	BRIAN SHERWOOD: Yes, sir.
25	JOE SPRAGGINS: Can you explain to everyone if

they have not already sent a public comment to Crystal's email, or if they haven't already done one -- if they did last night, we've got them, but, if they have not, to go ahead and how they could go about being able to do a public comment?

BRIAN SHERWOOD: Certainly.

Anyone who wants to give public comment before the meeting is over who hasn't done so already, if you out to our website at dmr.ms.gov, there is a CMR link. If you guys go to dmr.ms.gov/CMR, it will take you directly to the page and from that page you will see a link that gives you information for the call as well as a public comment form.

As long as you put the phone number that you are calling in from, we will be able to identify you and we will unmute your call when it is time for your public comments.

You just have to submit that form and that form will get over to Director Spraggins and he can identify you at the proper time.

JOE SPRAGGINS: Okay, and what we will do, for anyone that has submitted one we have put it down to where we think it ought to be on the agenda and we will just call your name out at that time and Brady will unmute you to be able for you to add the public comment.

Mark and Commissioners, are y'all understanding 1 2 that? 3 Do you understand how we are doing it? Yes, sir. 4 COMMISSIONER HAVARD: 5 COMMISSIONER GUESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Yes. 6 JOE SPRAGGINS: The next thing is we did do an 7 8 oyster plant. Joe and them put together a big operation 9 and we put one million oysters into the water this week, 10 this past week, maybe a little over a week, but we put them in three different locations, at St. Joe, at Pass 11 Christian and in Biloxi Bay. These are market size 12 13 oysters that we have put in the water. 14 We are hoping that the Bonnet Carre won't open 15 and we have to lose any of them in the Western Sound. are giving it our best shot we can, but we need to go 16 ahead and put the oysters in the water at this time, due 17 18 to the fact that they were about to spawn and we want to 19 try to get them into the spawn season in the spring, if that is possible, to help regenerate our oyster population 20 21 that we lost. Any questions on that from the Commissioners? 22 23 (No response.) JOE SPRAGGINS: License. We suspended, as far 24

as taking any license, as far as commercial license in

25

person, and the reason for that, the Governor has issued an order of basically distancing, and we didn't want to have people standing all out in line and doing things outside.

Sandy, I am going to turn it over to you and let you tell them now what the regulation is and why we did what we are doing.

SANDY CHESNUT: The statute provides that those commercial licenses expire April  $30^{\text{th}}$  of each year. The agency typically starts selling the licenses April  $1^{\text{st}}$  of each year, but, because of the executive order and mandate on social distancing, we made the decision to suspend the in-person license sales until the  $17^{\text{th}}$  of April because that is the date that the executive order goes through.

If the Governor issues a subsequent executive order, we will revisit that and let the public know how we are going to deal with it.

At this time, we won't be selling in-person commercial licenses until April 17<sup>th</sup>. They can still apply by mail, but they can't be coming up here in person because we want to comply with the executive order.

JOE SPRAGGINS: Commissioners, what we may do if the Governor -- which I anticipate, but I don't want to speak for him -- extends this distancing the same as the President of the United States did to the 30<sup>th</sup> of the

month, then, what we would probably recommend at that time -- and we will know this before our Commission meeting, but we will probably recommend that we just extend the current licenses that we have and allow them not to have to renew until maybe one June, until we can find out what we need to do with that.

We will get you that information before the next meeting and, if we have to, we will do some type of special call.

Any questions from any of the Commissioners on that?

COMMISSIONER HAVARD: No, sir.

COMMISSIONER GUESS: No. It sounds good.

JOE SPRAGGINS: The other thing, just to give you a quick update, we are working about ninety percent at home. From DMR, everyone is doing very well. Brian and his team have done a fantastic job of getting everybody online.

Thank y'all for that, Brian, and each one of the IT team.

We are able to do ninety percent of what we have been doing to a point. As far as our testing, the only thing that I know of -- and, Joe, tell me if something has changed, but we did stop MRIP because of personal contact with people, but that is about the only thing that we have

stopped as far as testing.

Is that correct, Joe?

And I think we delayed the testing in the Fisheries part of it, as far as with USM, until later in the month.

Is that correct?

JOE JEWELL: That is correct.

I do want to point out that two other Gulf states have also stopped their MRIP surveys and one is considering it right now. So we are in line with the rest of the Gulf.

JOE SPRAGGINS: We are checking with every Federal agency to make sure that they are okay with doing what we are doing and so far, other than National Park Service and I still haven't heard back from NFWF, but we are waiting on it to be able to find out if we do have to put people on administrative leave, if they will allow us to continue paying off of that and, if not, then, we will check with the Governor and see if we can use other funds that we have in the state to be able to do it. We are also waiting on an answer from the state as far as Tidelands.

As we are doing now, everyone is working. We have some type of work for them to do. Whether it is at home, or whether it is in the field, we have something for

1 them to be able to operate, and we are going to continue 2 doing that until we see that we need to change things. Any questions from the Commissioners? 3 COMMISSIONER HAVARD: 4 Yes. 5 Mr. Levine, did I see him in the conference room? 6 7 JOE SPRAGGINS: Yes. COMMISSIONER HAVARD: How is enforcement 8 9 handling the social distancing, but still trying to do 10 their job? 11 How is that affecting you guys? PATRICK LEVINE: Good morning. 12 13 They have been doing a great job. We have 14 encouraged our guys to maintain a strong officer presence. 15 The only time they are getting close to somebody is when there is a violation that warrants them taking action. 16 Public safety is number one. We want to make 17 18 sure we look out for officer safety. They are out there looking for violations. They are keeping a safe distance 19 20 from everybody. We are encouraging only to take action if 21 it is something that is serious enough, but they are a hundred percent and they are working, and they are doing a 22 good job. 23 I know the weekend was pretty busy. There were 24 a lot of boats out this weekend, and they were out there 25

in full force. I know there were a few violations that were written. There were some people that took the advantage of the social distancing to go out there and maybe consume a little more alcohol than they should. So we are making sure we are keeping everybody safe on the water and still protecting the resource.

COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Very good. I appreciate it.

JOE SPRAGGINS: One of the things we are also doing -- I talked with Kyle about it -- we are going to make sure that if they are out here on the islands and they are starting to congregate together, that they warn the people the first time to get out of there and move on and get away from each other. If they don't, then, we are going to authorize them to give them a ticket for it because the Governor is very strong about that. He is very strong about it and I think we have the right to do it. Sandy says we can issue the ticket because of the executive order.

We don't want to issue tickets, but we want people to understand and we want to give them every chance we can. We want to get them to understand because the social distancing needs to operate.

SANDY CHESNUT: A governor's executive order has full force and effective law, and there are provisions for

1 violations of the executive order in the emergency 2 statutes. That is the authority we are going under. JOE SPRAGGINS: Please, if you see something on 3 there and it may be up in one of these areas north of I-4 5 10, we do not have jurisdiction of that. Understand that we are trying to do everything in our jurisdiction and we 6 will help anywhere Wildlife asks us to help them. 7 Any other questions? 8 9 (No response.) 10 JOE SPRAGGINS: The last thing I have is the GOMESA funds are out. I cannot tell you the number 11 because the Governor has not released it. I have six 12 13 I have you five, plus him. I know he is as busy as he can be, but he will release the GOMESA funds 14 15 probably this week, what we are talking about, or how much we got this year. I think everybody will be impressed. 16 It is very nice and I think we will be okay. We will be 17 18 able to do a lot of projects. 19 Any other questions? 20 COMMISSIONER HAVARD: No, sir. I appreciate 21 that. JOE SPRAGGINS: Commissioners, I will send y'all 22 23 a copy. 24 COMMISSIONER GUESS: Okay. 25 COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Very good.

1	That brings us along to Commissioners Report.
2	Do any Commissioners have anything they would
3	like to speak about?
4	COMMISSIONER GUESS: I don't have anything.
5	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I don't have anything
6	either, Mark.
7	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Ronnie?
8	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Sorry. I had to unmute.
9	No. I'm good.
10	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Well, that brings us on to
11	Office of Marine Fisheries, Mr. Joe Jewell.
12	Tell us something good, Joe.
13	JOE JEWELL: Good morning, Commissioners. It is
14	good to see all of y'all, even though it is from a
15	distance.
16	We have five items on the agenda for y'all's
17	consideration.
18	First up is the Charter For-Hire Reporting
19	requirements that we have been addressing over the last
20	two, or three, months.
21	Giving that presentation will be Mr. Matt Hill.
22	MATT HILL: I've got Trevor here with me. He
23	will try to help me with this technology, but we will try
24	to get through this.
25	A little bit of background.

1 BRADY SMITH: Matt and Trevor, you have control 2 of the power equipment. MATT HILL: A little background. At the 3 November 2019 CMR meeting, the following motions were 4 5 passed regarding a Charter For-Hire Reporting Program: Motion eleven of the meeting was to forego 6 moving forward with this particular project, and motion 7 thirteen of the meeting was for staff to come back at the 8 9 next meeting with language that requires reporting 10 pursuant to Title 22, Part 9, Chapter 11, Paragraph 101, and I will read that here in the next few slides. 11 Then, at the December 2019 meeting, the 12 13 following motion was passed regarding the Charter For-Hire 14 Program: Motion ten of the meeting was for staff to 15 review pertinent sections of Title 22, Part 9, and bring 16 back updated language and options to improve the reporting 17 18 system within ninety days. This presentation will outline potential options 19 and considerations for improvement to charter for-hire 20 21 reporting and potential language changes for pertinent sections of Title 22, Part 9. 22 It is going to delay. It is taking a minute. 23 The current regulation in Title 22, Part 9, 24 25 Chapter 11 reads:

1 "Section 101, Charter and Head Boat 2 Reporting. "Charter Boat and Head Boat captains 3 operating in Mississippi waters shall 4 5 be required to complete questionnaires furnished by the MDMR for each trip. 6 "Completed questionnaires shall be 7 furnished to an Information 8 9 Confidentiality Officer upon request. 10 "In addition to the reporting required 11 pursuant to this paragraph, the following reporting is also required" --12 13 We left his off to save some space. This refers to all the Tails n' Scales reporting for Red Snapper. 14 Here is what the effort portion of the current 15 survey looks like which we administer it, but it is 16 through the MRIP Program. It is a mailout survey to 17 collect the effort information. 18 The pre-evaluation is the sheet on the left hand 19 20 side. Ten percent of the fleet is randomly drawn, and we 21 physically have a technician that goes down to the harbors three days a week with the date, the time, the site, the 22 source where we got their information and the status of 23 that vessel. He is in. He is out fishing. He is out. 24

We don't know here he is.

25

Basically, we are seeing what they are doing for the week with our own eyes, before we send the postevaluation on the right-hand side.

This is more for validation of whether the data is correct, or not, but these are the two forms that we currently use. The one on the right-hand side is sent through the mail. The charter captain has the ability to either fax it back to us, or we call him on the phone and we will get the information from him.

Most of them have begun faxing these to us. It is actually a pretty efficient process.

For the harvest portion of it, we do not collect that through the mailout survey. We do that through our dockside intercept surveys, and here is the portion of the forms that we use. The site is there. Where they left from. The target species. Angler participation. Several things that we would ask any recreational anglers off of a pier, or the private recreational anglers coming, we ask the same of the anglers coming off of the for-hire vessels.

That is a draw. It is given to us through Gulf States each month, and we go to our assigned sites and we do the charter surveys.

Here are some results from 2019 just to give you an idea about how many of these that we do accomplish.

1 The requirement is to survey ten percent of the 2 charter for-hire vessels, and this is for the effort 3 survey. In that portion of the survey, we did three 4 5 hundred and twelve pre-evaluations, and that is where a technician goes down to the site and validates whether the 6 7 vessel is there, or not. We completed three hundred and twelve phone 8 9 surveys to determine fishing effort, targeted species and fishing locations. Like I said before, this portion does 10 not cover the harvest. 11 we completed eight hundred and sixteen dockside 12 13 surveys for the charter for-hire fleet to incorporate the harvest information and where these people come from. 14 Here are some of the options for the program to 15 continue, or to be modified. 16 Our current approach is through MRIP. 17 18 The program is currently not mandatory per NOAA. They do not have to participate in it. 19 It is just like when we interview a private 20 21 recreational angler, they have the ability to turn us So it is not mandatory. 22 down. If we continue to use this approach, we would 23 need to adjust the language to fit the program that we 24

25

were using.

It would need to read:

"Charter Boat and Head Boat captains operating in Mississippi waters may complete questionnaires furnished by the MDMR" -- and it is not going to be for each trip, as I said. We will strike that out because it is a random draw of ten percent each week -- "and completed questionnaires shall be furnished to an Information Confidentiality Officer upon request.

"In addition to the reporting required pursuant to this paragraph, the following reporting is also required" -- and that is the Tails n' Scales reporting which is our reporting program which is mandatory through regulation. So there will not be any changes that will need to be made, so we would continue to comply with the regulations.

The next option is to establish a Charter For-Hire Reporting component within the Gulf States Program.

Currently this action will be implemented January  $1^{st}$ , 2021. A little bit of explanation on this.

Currently we are swapping the commercial trip ticket program over to a new program which is called Vessel. Vessel is not an acronym. It does not stand for anything. It is just a clever name they came up with.

It is all electronic reporting. The dealers will be able to report electronically. They will have their own user name and password. It will be very similar to the Tails n' Scales reporting where they can see the information that they are entering.

Also, currently we are adding the oyster aquaculture fishermen to that. They requested that to make it easier on them.

The live bait operators have also requested it.

While we are doing it, we have explored the options of going ahead and adding a platform to the charter for-hire captains to begin reporting electronically.

There is currently no regulation for that, or how that would work, but while we have the funds available and we have the contractor available, that is the current preference of the staff is to continue with this to at least developing the platform similar to how we did with Tails n' Scales. We develop the platform, and, then, the Commission put regulations in place to make it mandatory.

Now, there will be a lot of things to work out

with that. All we would be asking here is to be able to continue with the platform, until we will be able to present it most likely to the Charter For-Hire Task Force and get some of their opinions, and, then, eventually to the Commission.

I know that is a little bit more complicated, but that is something that while we are changing some of these other platforms and formats, that we have been actively working on.

COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Matt, if I may, isn't it my understanding that y'all are already working on that Gulf States platform?

MATT HILL: Yes. Currently we are working with Gulf States. We are working with Bluefin Data right now. Gulf States has the overall contract on them and they are basically the keepers of the data and the keepers of the program for the commercial trip tickers. For all commercial activity, that is who we are using.

Then, we saw a need to -- we had a lot of paper tickets still out there, and we got a lot of requests from the fishermen and dealers to begin swapping to electronic and make it a much more user friendly platform.

what we have done is we have done the basics with the commercial fishermen and the dealers. While we were at it, like I said, we have added something that is

1 new that came along and we saw a need. Erik may can 2 elaborate a little bit more on that. They came to us and we have added, or began 3 adding the oyster aquaculture program to reporting into 4 5 that and the live bait dealers. We said what are some of our options in here? 6 South Carolina is currently going through a 7 similar platform. We are kind of perusing through that 8 9 and seeing what is good and bad about it. 10 Basically what it would be is the current 11 surveys swapped over to electronic reporting, and, then, whatever regulations would accompany that. 12 13 COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Over the past I don't know how many months we have been talking about this now. 14 15 It has been said repeatedly and now once again, there are other programs already in the works that we are waiting to 16 be implemented. 17 18 I don't see a need to make any changes right now, whenever we already have other programs being built 19 that we will have the ability to implement in the future. 20 21 Isn't that what I am understand from what y'all are doing here? 22 MATT HILL: Yes. We kind of saw the writing on 23 the wall several months back, with the Seafire Program 24

that NOAA is trying initiate. They are having a lot of

25

difficulties with it right now and it was my opinion -and this came up at the last Gulf States meeting. Joe was
there. A few other people were there. They may want to
chime in on this, but they had a lengthy discussion on
when that program is initiated, that program is mandatory
for all federally-permitted vessels. They will have the
VMS system on there and other requirements.

The question raised is once that program comes online, is there going to be any funding left for state for-hire guys to continue to report through MRIP, and the answer was more than likely not.

We are developing this program to take care of our federally-permitted vessels. So once that program, if it goes into effect, we would be stuck with nothing for the state guys to be able to report to.

Now, once they begin reporting to that system, they would not be required, in my mind, to report to the system that we are building with vessel right now, but it would give the ability for the state guys to continue to do some type of reporting, whether we would continue with the ten percent random draw, or the dockside surveys, or however we want to do it with the validation.

It is just allowing us to continue to build the platform so that once they swap over to the Seafire Program, we don't leave the rest of these vessels out in

the cold, if we need to continue with the effort and 1 2 harvest surveys form. 3 COMMISSIONER DANIELS: But you said it is allowing you to continue building this program. 4 5 Isn't that actively going on now? MATT HILL: Yes. We haven't started actually 6 building it. We are definitely -- like I said, we are 7 concluding finishing up with the oyster aguaculture which 8 9 was the most important thing that we needed to add, and, 10 then, we are going to move on to the live bait, and, then, after that, we will begin to start to develop and work 11 with Andrew and Bluefin on the charter for-hire. 12 13 COMMISSIONER DANIELS: But there is nothing that you need from us here today for you to be able to continue 14 15 doing what you are doing. Correct? 16 We will continue on as we are. 17 MATT HILL: No. 18 COMMISSIONER DANIELS: So, in that case, it 19 seems to me like we should leave things the way they are until y'all get your system built, and we are looking at 20 21 that January 2021 time frame and we can revisit it then. Did I make everybody get quiet? 22 COMMISSIONER HAVARD: I agree with you, Ronnie. 23 If it is all right, I 24 COMMISSIONER DANIELS: would like to make a motion that we just change the 25

1	current language and wait until the new program is built
2	to revisit adopting that.
	·
3	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Okay. We have a motion on
4	the table.
5	Do we have anymore questions, or discussion,
6	that needs to happen?
7	JOE JEWELL: Yes, Commissioner Havard.
8	Can I ask a quick question?
9	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Yes.
10	JOE JEWELL: Matt, if the Commission so votes to
11	delay until January 1 when the new program is online, will
12	that require a regulatory change to continue going on as
13	we are right now?
14	MATT HILL: In my opinion, it would not require
15	a regulatory change. We are just exploring options. It
16	is similar to the vessel program that we are implementing
17	for the commercial trip tickets. We are changing
18	programs. We are using a new platform, but we don't need
19	a regulatory change for them to continue reporting as they
20	are now.
21	JOE JEWELL: Okay. I just wanted to point that
22	out.
23	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: I'm not sure.
24	Are you referring to my comment about making the
25	language change?

1 In option one there, it said that there needed 2 to be a language change in what we are currently doing. JOE JEWELL: Yes. That is what I was referring 3 to which would mean sort of status quo that we are 4 5 continuing on with the ten percent survey that we are normally doing and as indicated, if we continued on with 6 that, it would require a regulatory change, and I was just 7 asking for clarification. 8 9 Hold on a second. I will pull that up. 10 That would be slide seven where Matt has 11 identified certain language that would have to be struck through and deleted from the current regulation for us to 12 13 continue on with our current program, and I was asking for clarification on that. Yes, that is the slide right 14 15 there. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Guys, I keep getting 16 kicked off. 17 18 Do you hear me, now? 19 JOE JEWELL: Yes. 20 COMMISSIONER GUESS: Yes. 21 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I'm sorry. There is a lot going on there and I'm getting bits and pieces of it, 22 but I'm trying to work with it as best I can. 23 I'm with Matt on trying to build a system for 24 the future that would try to capture some of the catch and 25

discard.

I mean, right now we are capturing effort, I believe, if I'm correct, Matt, but we are not actually capturing any of the species that are being caught and/or discarded, other than maybe those post-evaluations.

Is that correct?

MATT HILL: In the effort survey, we are capturing obviously the effort and target species, the length of the trip, how many anglers participated in that trip, and, then, we combine that with the dockside intercept. It's not the post-evaluations, but the actual dockside surveys where we send a surveyor down there to the assigned site and we possibly we will get between twenty-five and thirty surveys each time we go down there.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: And my concern, like it has always been, is for these guys to have that information for the future.

I know I've seen it on my end of the industry and not just in the State of Mississippi, but in different states and...

MATT HILL: Did we lose Steve again?

COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Sounds like we lost him.

Matt, just one thing to clarify right now.

Isn't effort what you need, as opposed to catch

25 data?

MATT HILL: Currently right now we rely heavily on the effort portion of the survey. Even if we instituted some type of newer electronic reporting, we would still have to go down -- we would still have to implement dockside surveys similar to what we do with Tails n' Scales to validate any type of data there, and that is where we continue to get our harvest data. We would continue to still get our length metrics, our weight metrics.

Obviously, that would not be any kind of census data. Basically we would be adding census effort data to survey harvest data. I don't see that changing. We don't have the staff to do that from state line to state line to capture all that and be able to validate it, and it would be very similar to me.

We are not talking about getting codes, or anything like that, but it would be very similar to me on how we come up with the harvest information for Tails n' Scales.

We have effort data and census data that is being reported on how many they caught and discarded, but we still have to go down to the docks and get the biological data to be combined to come up with these numbers.

COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Okay. Thank you.

(228) 396-8788

1 JOE SPRAGGINS: Mark has had to get off. 2 lost it. They are having tornado warnings. He is going to try to call in. 3 Ronnie, you need to take over, as far as that, 4 5 but one thing real quick. On the 101, Matt, do we need to change that 6 wording "shall be required to make" and, then, after the 7 "furnished by the MDMR for each trip"? 8 9 Do we need to do that for right now to satisfy 10 the issue? If we leave it as it is saying, then, everybody 11 should have to do it every time anyway. 12 13 MATT HILL: To Joe's point earlier is to that. We have done it this way for this long. Yes, for our 14 15 current program to follow the letter of the law, we would need to change it to how we have it written in there 16 17 because our current program does not meet these 18 requirements, or nor does it have the ability to meet these requirements. 19 20 COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Legally it can't. We 21 would be out of compliance, by requiring that. Correct, Matt? 22 MATT HILL: That is correct because technically 23 it is not our program. It is NOAA's program and it is not 24 25 a mandatory program.

1	JOE JEWELL: Sandy, I want to ask this question.
2	Ronnie, while you made that point, the motion
3	that is on the floor right now, the motion is to delay
4	implementation of a program until January $1^{\text{st}}$ , 2021, then,
5	that would, by default, require a regulatory change.
6	Is that correct?
7	SANDY CHESNUT: Yes.
8	JOE JEWELL: I just wanted to make that point
9	clear, as they proceed with the motion.
10	SANDY CHESNUT: If you are not doing the
11	questionnaires for each trip, that needs to be stricken
12	from the regulation.
13	JOE JEWELL: That's correct, and that is what
14	Matt has identified in option one.
15	SANDY CHESNUT: Right.
16	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: In my motion, I said go
17	ahead and adjust the language in option one there as well.
18	JOE SPRAGGINS: Okay, Ronnie, I'm not sure who
19	all is on.
20	Mark, have you been able to come on audio?
21	Mark is not able to come on audio.
22	Ronnie, we may not be able to do anything. We
23	only have two people right now.
24	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: I've got it buddy. Take
25	cover. Y'all be safe. Thank you.

1	That was Commissioner Havard that just called me
2	on the phone. They've got a tornado headed straight at
3	them at seventeen miles an hour. They are having to take
4	shelter.
5	Joe, it looks like we have lost Steve as well.
6	I don't know that we can vote on anything with two
7	Commissioners.
8	BRADY SMITH: I looks like Commissioner Bosarge
9	just dropped back in.
10	JOE SPRAGGINS: Steve, are you back on?
11	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes, sir, Mr. Joe, just
12	temporarily here. This whole issue, there is a lot of
13	conversation that needs to be had that we are not being
14	able to have here.
15	JOE SPRAGGINS: Why don't we just table this
16	until the April 21 <sup>st</sup> meeting?
17	Can we do that?
18	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Sure. That is fine with
19	me.
20	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes, sir, that is fine
21	with me, Mr. Joe.
22	JOE SPRAGGINS: Natalie, is that okay with you?
23	COMMISSIONER GUESS: That sounds good.
24	MATT HILL: This is Matt. I would like
25	clarification. I know we are tabling. We had a lot of

1 discussion about this, but I think we should continue the 2 program that we have in place. We have been doing it this long and I understand it doesn't meet the letter of the 3 law, but it is all we currently have. 4 5 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I agree, Matt. MATT HILL: I understand some changes need to be 6 made, but, in my opinion, we need to continue what we are 7 doing until we get this resolved so we will at least have 8 9 something. 10 JOE SPRAGGINS: Matt, I think if we don't do anything right now, we are going to continue as we have 11 been operating. 12 13 Does anybody have an issue with that? 14 COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Matt, are you worried 15 about us being out of compliance without making a vote to change that wording? 16 Is that what you are talking about? 17 18 MATT HILL: Yes, we would be out of compliance. We are in compliance with NOAA. We would be out 19 of compliance with our own regulation which we have been 20 21 for several years. I think if everybody can live with

JOE JEWELL: Ronnie, you can make that clear.

that, I don't think we should suspend the program because

it is not meeting the requirement in the regulation right

22

23

24

25

now.

1	In your motion to table, you can motion to table and to
2	continue on with our current reporting program until the
3	Commission takes it back up.
4	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: We are going to make a
5	motion to table this until the April meeting.
6	I apologize for that. This is Mark again. We
7	are going to able the current one until next month. Thank
8	you.
9	For some reason, he is getting through to me and
10	not y'all.
11	I apologize for the interruption.
12	I make a motion to table this until the April
13	meeting without any changes to how we are currently
14	operating, or implementing, this program.
15	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I will second your
16	motion.
17	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: All in favor say aye.
18	COMMISSIONER GUESS: Aye.
19	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Aye.
20	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Aye.
21	The motion passes.
22	JOE SPRAGGINS: Ronnie, the next thing is
23	Mississippi For-Hire Task Force.
24	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: I am waiting for the
25	slide to change there.

1 JOE SPRAGGINS: Ronnie, just for your 2 information, too, we do have about seven, or eight, comments on this one. So before we make a motion, we will 3 need to be able to allow them to make comments. 4 5 COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Yes, sir. I understand that. 6 7 JOE SPRAGGINS: Okay. COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Matt, would you like to 8 go ahead with this? 9 10 MATT HILL: Yes, sir. 11 A little bit of background. I do want to make this clear. The reason I am giving this is the task force 12 passed a motion requesting a Fisheries representative --13 14 I am the Fisheries representative on the task force -- to 15 present recommendations passed at this meeting, and these are recommendations from the Charter For-Hire Task Force. 16 I will try not to ramble. I am just going to 17 18 read exactly what it says. The Mississippi For-Hire Task Force met on March 19 the 5<sup>th</sup> to discuss the upcoming Red Snapper season, captain 20 and crew limits, and future federal reporting 21 requirements. 22 The meeting was centered around the management 23 of the State Charter For-Hire component for the 2020 Red 24 25 Snapper season.

1 Topics covered included permit/endorsement for 2 participants, reviewing initial allocation between components, increase in allocation, setting a number of 3 days for the season, including state for-hire within the 4 5 private recreational sector. Minutes from the meeting are available to the 6 pubic on the MDMR website. 7 This presentation will outline the three motions 8 passed out of eight that were considered by the 9 10 Mississippi For-Hire Task Force. The first two motions address the State Charter 11 For-Hire Red Snapper season and allocation. 12 13 The third motion addresses captain and crew bag limits. 14 Motions one and two of the State Charter For-15 Hire Red Snapper season. 16 Motion one. The task force recommends an 17 18 endorsement to be developed with the cost of one hundred dollars per licensed captain and a designated cutoff date 19 of purchase to be February 1st. 20 21 Discussion of this motion focused on the date of cutoff for purchase, along the necessity to define the 22 universe of participating captains prior the start of the 23 24 season.

Captains also commented that the amount may not

25

1 be enough to deter low-level participation. 2 To proceed requires regulatory action. Motion two. The task force recommended an 3 increase in the State Charter For-Hire quota by fifteen 4 5 percent which recommends approximately an increase of sixteen hundred and fifty pounds, and season to be 6 weekends only concurrent with the private recreational 7 8 season. Discussion of this motion focused on the 9 10 structure, weekdays versus weekends, and a reasonable amount to recommend for an increase in quota. 11 This does not require regulatory action. 12 13 Motion three. This was something that we have been needing to fix and we brought it up because this 14 directly applies to them. It will be captain and crew bag 15 limits. To move Red Snapper and Amberjack to disallow 16 captain and crew from keeping their limit and to correct 17 18 the Spotted Seatrout placement. Discussion on this motion included how the 19 20 regulatory change for Spotted Seatrout was misplaced and 21 options for regulatory modification. To proceed, this would require regulatory 22 action. 23 Any questions? 24 25 COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Do we have any

1	discussion?
2	We have public comments on this.
3	JOE SPRAGGINS: We do have public comments.
4	Do y'all have any discussion, before the public
5	comments?
6	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: I would like to hear the
7	public comments before I bring up any discussion on it.
8	JOE JEWELL: Ronnie, could I make one statement,
9	please?
10	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Yes, sir.
11	JOE JEWELL: I just want to make clear that
12	these are recommendations that are coming from the Charter
13	For-Hire Task Force. It is not a recommendation by the
14	MDMR. It is coming from the task force, and I also want
15	to point out that the official quota for the State of
16	Mississippi has not been assigned yet. That may have an
17	impact on any decision y'all might make. I just wanted
18	top point that out.
19	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Yes, sir.
20	JOE SPRAGGINS: Ronnie, the first person that I
21	have on the list is Mark Wright.
22	Mr. Wright, can he be unmuted?
23	BRADY SMITH: Yes, sir. I am going to identify
24	Mr. Mark and I will unmute his mike. Hold on one second.
25	Director, I don't see Mark Wright on the call.

1	JOE SPRAGGINS: If Mr. Mark Wright is not on
2	there, then, the next one is Mr. Bryan Cuevas.
3	BRADY SMITH: I've got Bryan Cuevas.
4	BRYAN CUEVAS: Good morning, guys.
5	JOE SPRAGGINS: Good morning.
6	BRYAN CUEVAS: How are you guys?
7	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Yes, sir, Bryan. Good
8	morning. We are ready for your public comment on this.
9	BRYAN CUEVAS: Well, I think there has been a
10	lot of discussion about it, and the State For-Hire Task
11	Force is individuals that represent the fleet. Everyone
12	has a different opinion on this.
13	I agree that I think we all agree we need a
14	little bit more quota. We need a little bit more time.
15	We need to balance this in a fair and just way where we
16	could fish this season, we could earn a living, we can
17	bring more fishermen to the Coast.
18	Let's face it. Red Snapper is one of the most
19	desired fish in the Gulf and that is what people want to
20	catch.
21	We can now provide that to them, as a state for-
22	hire fleet, and I would think and hope that the Commission
23	would look at giving us a fair and just quota, or time
24	frame.

25

I think that we need a time frame because we

1 know then when we start and we know when we stop. 2 Quotas are a little iffy. It makes it hard to book trips late in the year, or later toward the tail end 3 of the quota. 4 5 Matt has done a great job in the past by giving us a buffer, or letting us know it looks like you've got a 6 few days left, but the general public is not going to be 7 aware of that. 8 9 The way we market, advertise and the way we 10 books trips, some of us book far out. I might book trips 11 more than sixty days out. It is just hard right now, and I know that we 12 13 are basically recreational fishermen and we are providing that recreational service. I know there were some things 14 15 done in the past that separated us into a separate sector. It is just done. It has been done. We are here now and I 16 think we want to move forward and go forward. 17 18 I have spoken to a lot of guys and for the most part a lot of people would like to be placed back in the 19 box in the recreational sector because we are a 20 21 recreational boat after all taking recreational fishermen. I'm open for options. 22 COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Is that all you have 23 there, Bryan, or did we lose him? 24

BRYAN CUEVAS: Yes. It is cutting in and out a

1 little bit on my end here. Sometimes my wifi is not super 2 great, and I'm on my tablet and it is wifi only, but I did hear you a little bit there, Ronnie. 3 I would like to ask the Commission to look into 4 5 possibly putting us in the recreational quota, if that is possible. I think that is where we should be. I think 6 that is where we belona. 7 We fish recreational quota on every fish in the 8 9 Gulf, every fish in the Sound, every fish in the river and 10 the bay. 11 Why exclude one species? COMMISSIONER DANIELS: All right, Mr. Cuevas. 12 13 We appreciate your time today talking with us. is up. I am sure everybody will take your comments into 14 consideration. 15 BRYAN CUEVAS: Thank you. 16 COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Joe, you said we've got a 17 18 couple of public comments on this issue? 19 JOE SPRAGGINS: Yes, we do. The next one is Mr. Mike Freeman. 20 21 Do we have him, Brady? BRADY SMITH: We are checking, now. 22 Mr. Mike Freeman, your mike is on. 23 MIKE FREEMAN: Good morning, Gentlemen. 24 25 COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Good morning.

1 How are you today? 2 MIKE FREEMAN: Doing well. I will go ahead and get to it. I know I'm on a 3 I can't see the clock. So, Mr. Ronnie, if I get clock. 4 5 down to, like, thirty seconds just give me a heads up. I don't have any idea where my time is. 6 7 Is that okay? COMMISSIONER DANIELS: It will cut you off 8 9 probably. 10 MIKE FREEMAN: My name is Mike Freeman and I'm an operator of Boat Trash Charters and the owner and I'm a 11 third generation fishing guide here on the Mississippi 12 13 Gulf Coast, and I would like to comment for the record about this snapper situation and the state allocation. 14 15 I really, really believe that it is the right thing to do to put state for-hire back into the 16 recreational quota. I am going to try to layout my 17 18 argument as logically as I can. State guides are recreational fishermen. There 19 is no other fishery in Mississippi where state for-hire 20 21 guides are separated from the recreational limits, or recreational quotas. 22 We have had issues with this already and I am 23 not trying to call you out, Mr. Hill, but you have stated 24

publicly at a task force meeting that separation was kind

of created arbitrarily and part of the reasoning was to protect the interest of federally-permitted charter boats.

You have also stated at a task force meeting that it was probably a mistake to separate us, but we are where we are was the comment.

It is my thought that we don't make regulations to protect one business at the detriment of others. This sector separation was flawed from the outset, and we are the only state separating state for-hire fleet from the recreational quota. This alone makes it unjustified at this point.

There has also been concern about increasing participation numbers from the state for-hire fleet, and I would counter that by saying DMR has created one of the most accurate and effective data collection tools I am aware of in the Tails n' Scales app.

We can easily track participation and catch numbers. If there is a problem, it can be addressed with real data in real time and not with suspected information about what may, or may not, happen.

In reality, it is doubtful there will be a huge increase in state for-hire boats because of a very limited access to a snapper fishery.

The process of obtaining a captain's license, increased insurance and permitting alone will continue to

1 limit these entries. Combined with a very limited 2 opportunity in state waters, it is unlikely there would be outlandish catch numbers from the state fleet. 3 COMMISSIONER DANIELS: You've got thirty 4 5 seconds. MIKE FREEMAN: We have to recognize that these 6 snapper aren't just there in the hottest months. They 7 move out. We are very limited in the area we can fish. 8 9 So it is going to limit our late season catch numbers. 10 Lastly, the task force is tainted on this issue. We are allowing half of the task force which are 11 federally-permitted vessels to have input on a fishery 12 13 they are not permitted to participate in. Those recommendations from the three federally-14 permitted vessels were ridiculous, punitive and an obvious 15 attempt to protect their own interests. 16 We need regulations based in science and factual 17 18 numbers in the interest of the fishery, not protecting sixteen boats that already have a forty-two percent 19 allocation of the overall quota. 20 21 Thank you for considering doing this. COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Mr. Freeman, we 22 appreciate you calling in and we appreciate your comments. 23

Again, I'm sure everyone will take your comments

We are out of time on that one.

24

1	in their advisement.
2	Joe, have we got another one?
3	JOE SPRAGGINS: Yes, Mr. Bill Hancock.
4	BRADY SMITH: Director, I don't see a Bill
5	Hancock in the call.
6	JOE SPRAGGINS: If not, Mr. Connor Knight.
7	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Joe, I just got a text
8	from him that he is not going to be able to comment. So
9	we will move past that one.
10	BRADY SMITH: I've got Connor Knight on the
11	mike.
12	JOE SPRAGGINS: Okay.
13	BRADY SMITH: Is that the one that is not going
14	to be speaking?
15	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: I received a text about
16	two minutes ago from him that said he is not going to be
17	able to comment. I think he's got his phone logged in,
18	but he is tied up doing something else.
19	BRADY SMITH: Okay.
20	JOE SPRAGGINS: Connor, you are talking about?
21	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Connor Knight, yes.
22	JOE SPRAGGINS: The next one I have is Terry is
23	all I have. It is TPRAT 25. It is a 6-0-1 number.
24	BRADY SMITH: I'm looking for him, now.
25	JOE SPRAGGINS: It is a 6-0-1 number where he

1	would be calling.
2	BRADY SMITH: I don't see his number, or his
3	name, on the list.
4	JOE SPRAGGINS: Do you see a 6-0-1 number?
5	BRADY SMITH: No, sir.
6	JOE SPRAGGINS: All right. The other one, then,
7	is Mr. Mark McDonald.
8	BRADY SMITH: I don't have a Mark McDonald
9	either.
10	JOE SPRAGGINS: Okay.
11	The next one is Mr. Barry Deshamp.
12	BRADY SMITH: Barry Deshamp, your mike is live.
13	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: His TV sounds good.
14	JOE SPRAGGINS: Yes, he's got good TV coverage.
15	I don't know if he is hearing us, or not.
16	BRADY SMITH: Barry, can you hear us?
17	(No response.)
18	JOE SPRAGGINS: We will have to do like Paul
19	Finebaum. Move on.
20	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Yes, sir.
21	JOE SPRAGGINS: Real quick, could you check Mark
22	Wright again and see if he is on?
23	BRADY SMITH: Mark Wright?
24	JOE SPRAGGINS: Yes. He was on earlier. I know
25	because I saw it, but I just didn't know if he might have

1	gone off and come back.
2	BRADY SMITH: I don't see his phone number, or
3	his name, on the list.
4	JOE SPRAGGINS: If not, those are the only ones
5	I have on that, Commissioner.
6	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: It sounds like we got
7	what we were going to get out of our public comments.
8	Now, discussion on this topic.
9	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: This is Mark. I finally
10	got back online.
11	We have plenty of fish out there to catch for
12	everybody. I agree with that.
13	Without knowing what our current year quota is,
14	whether it is going to increase, decrease, or stay the
15	same, that is a little bit concerning to me.
16	Everybody is a recreational fisherman. I
17	understand that and it does bring money to our economy
18	from a state level with all the fishermen coming in here
19	wanting to charter fish.
20	I am a little bit reluctant about naming a
21	poundage that we designate to this industry, without
22	knowing what our current quota is.
23	What kind of time frame are looking at, Joe
24	Jewell, to get our quota for the year?

JOE JEWELL: That generally occurs in mid to

late April, but it has been as late as the first, or second, week of May. Right around that late April, or early May, time frame.

JOE SPRAGGINS: This is Joe Spraggins. I was talking with Paul. We had talked to Roy and, Paul, did you not say that the council had said right now to use what we had last year, and, then, go with that and just try to use it as a baseline because we don't know the answer yet?

PAUL MICKLE: Sure. They released the federal fore-hire season and it was exactly the same amount of days as year two of the EFP which is last year.

when I approached Dr. Crabtree at Gulf States' meeting, I asked about the allocations, if they were going to be bumped up, or down, and he said that he is ninety-nine percent sure they would stay exactly the same.

So we should expect the allocation that we received in 2019 which I don't have it in front of me for the private sector, but I think it's a hundred and fifty-one thousand and change which would be the same from him orally telling me that verbally.

I think we can assume with some fairly strong confidence that the poundage will be within a percent, or two, of the last year's allocation.

He did mention other states that had overfished

their ACT in 2019 would have to go through paybacks. I will make it clear that Mississippi did not go through that. So we would not have a payback to endure like a couple of other states would.

COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Dr. Mickle, we get such a small portion of the allotted quota.

Is there any hope in the future, or anything we can do, to try to increase our quota in the future?

PAUL MICKLE: Sure. The federal process is divvying up the quota a hundred percent. Currently right now we have three point five five percent of the total recreational allocated quota which changes from year to year depending on the biomass that is measured out there.

Red Snapper is currently in a rebuilding plan.

Over the last few years we have seen small bumps go up for each state because the percentage has been agreed upon by Amendment 50, but, during the rebuilding plan, we can expect a couple of bumps each year to go up until the rebuilding plan is complete. That is how the stock assessments work.

Now, allocations can be changed. Anyone on Gulf Council representing a state, or a sector, can bring it up and make a motion to reevaluate the allocations for each state under Amendment 50.

It can be done at any amount of time, but I

don't want to make it sound like it is an easy thing. is a very aggressive process. It took us virtually five and a half, almost six years to get to Amendment 50. We had Amendment 39 which made it to final vote. and, then, failed all because of allocation. It is not a pretty process, but it can be brought up and started at any time. An allocation is just a formation of policy at the Gulf Council level. JOE SPRAGGINS: Mark, I sit on the council also

JOE SPRAGGINS: Mark, I sit on the council also and we have sat down as executive directors and talked this through, through the five states, and I can tell you we went through a lot of different renditions on what to do. Of course, every state wants more, and it doesn't matter what state it is.

I can say the number of days that we have had over the last couple of years have been pretty good compared to most of the states and we have not overfished our hundred and forty-something thousand the year before.

I think we have done very good with managing it, but we are always asking them if nothing else a small percent, but if gave us one more percent, you are not talking that many more pounds of fish.

Now, Texas only gets, like, six percent.

Isn't the right, Paul?

PAUL MICKLE: No. They get less than that.

1 JOE SPRAGGINS: Yes, right at six percent, and 2 we get three point five. That just gives you an idea of the size of Texas. 3 COMMISSIONER HAVARD: But our neighboring 4 5 states, General, Louisiana and Alabama, and they get a very large percentage, and that is where my question comes 6 from. 7 One concern that I do have is I have been 8 9 advised that Alabama's reefs are full of Red Snapper, the 10 resource is doing really well, and a lot of our reefs are 11 starting to get silted over, over the past couple of years due to storms and just natural flow. 12 13 This Red Snapper fishery is very important to 14 our economy, as we are hearing now and as most of us 15 already know. What are we doing to make sure that our reefs 16 remain exposed and can hold these fish, when they leave 17 18 the overcrowded reefs, some of the overcrowded reefs in our Alabama State waters? 19 Are we doing what we should be doing to hold 20 21 these fish in our waters to ensure that our fishery remains strong in our waters? 22 JOE SPRAGGINS: Joe, do y'all have anything to 23 24 say to that?

25

JOE JEWELL: I listened to the question. There

is not a lot of comparability between Alabama and Mississippi, as far as reefs.

Alabama has an extensive program both public and private to create reefs, and they also have a little bit different asymmetry that we do. Most of our state waters are very shallow waters.

The observation about the submersion of reefs, that has typically happened. There is not a lot of mitigation for that, other than to deploy new materials out there.

Does that help, Mark?

COMMISSIONER HAVARD: It does some, but I want to be proactive. I want to see our state be proactive in our reefs that we have out there. Depth is an important thing, but, as most of us know, we have snapper north of the islands. So depth is not the only factor that decides whether fish hold on a reef, or not.

I do know personally that a lot of our structure is silted over. I know that we've got plans to add additional structure out there, but I really think and I really would like to see -- Alabama has been doing this for many years and they have done some things that were not the best. They have taken approaches that were not the best approach, but they have learned from that and they have figured this out.

If we want to maintain a strong fishery that helps the state from the top to the bottom, we need to really pay attention to our reefs and make sure that we have adequate reefs out there for these fish to stay close to and to hold them.

JOE JEWELL: Sure. I understand that.

In light of your comments, I want to add a couple of additional statements for clarification.

We do have a pretty active program that is under the jurisdiction of Travis Williams. Travis is very proactive in working with the cities and counties here on the Coast in acquiring new materials. Our staging site is at maximum capacity right now waiting for deployment.

The main reason why we are waiting on deployment is our federal reef permits. Those have been held up by the feds' concerns they with the Endangered Species Act and our compliance with that act.

We are in the process of trying to mitigate that and address some of the concerns with those. Once those issues have been addressed, we do plan on continuing with our reef deployments.

The siltation of the reefs that you described, the strongest impact is on our low-profile reefs, the smaller materials that are deployed.

Our large-structure materials like the Rigs-to-

Reef Program and large vessels that are deployed, siltation and submersion has minimal impacts on those.

Our program is pretty proactive in addressing specific issues about reef loss, but our main hindrance right now is approval of the federal permits to deploy.

I hope that clarifies some of the issue.

JOE SPRAGGINS: Commissioner Havard, how about we sit down maybe between now and the next meeting which we have and we get you some information and send it to you on what we are doing to be able to maintain any of our reefs?

COMMISSIONER HAVARD: I would appreciate that, and what brought that to my attention was we went out in Pascagoula this weekend and I know that we have access to

COMMISSIONER HAVARD: I would appreciate that, and what brought that to my attention was we went out in Pascagoula this weekend and I know that we have access to a large sinking dock, if you will, that Ingalls has so greatly given us the opportunity to use as reef structure. It has been moved, and I just don't want it to -- I don't want us to sit on our hands and miss that opportunity to get such a great structure for our reefs out there.

JOE SPRAGGINS: We are working hard to be able to get any of those and that is part of that FS13, and I know that they are very close.

Paul, do you have the update on it?

PAUL MICKLE: On the Ingalls reefs?

JOE SPRAGGINS: Yes.

PAUL MICKLE: Currently, Ingalls is searching for a contract. I think they have their RFP out for the demolition, or breaking down the drydock.

Then, from an agency perspective, we have acquired the funding agreement from NFWF, we have acquired the permit from the Corps, the federal permit and that provides the money to acquire the permits for deployment, and we have acquired the contracts for the barges to deploy the material.

Once demolition occurs, it will actually happen very quickly and deployment will go, I guess, within a two-week period I think the contractor had mentioned.

So there is strong indication that this will be completed literally mid summer, I think, is what the last meeting we met with Ingalls and the contractor for deployment was for the fruition of the current contracts.

All the very difficult hurdles have been crossed, as far as funding and permitting, and we are excited to see the benefits of it once material starts hitting the water out there.

It is not a small amount of material, Commissioners. It is a massive structure.

when you think about the amount of material that has gone out in the past compared to what is going out now, it is truly sizeable of things we used to do and we

are currently doing.

It is worth mentioning and identifying it in a category of a very large deployment.

COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Very good. Thank you, Dr. Mickle.

COMMISSIONER DANIELS: That is great news, but back to the point which is our state for-hire charter industry, and Mark brought up a good point in what he was talking about in what we lose to Alabama and Louisiana in tourism dollars alone. We are obviously all in very uncertain times right now. We don't know if and when this year our tourism will come back.

I think it would be a great advantage to our state to have that offered to our state for our charter industry to be able to book these Red Snapper trips and retain some of these people that are going over to Alabama, or Louisiana.

At thirty-three hundred pounds, I think that is the one thing everybody has agreed on is we are severely undervaluing the impact that our state charter for-hire industry can have here and we are limiting them. We are tying their hands. I think we have got to get them an increase.

I know there was a recommendation of fifty percent that came out of the Charter For-Hire Task Force

and that is great. 1 2 In talking with a lot of people since then, it just really doesn't seem like enough to me. 3 COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Ronnie, I'm not sure that 4 5 it is ever going to be enough for anybody. It is just like they talked about the quotas 6 with each state. Every state wants a little more and that 7 is just the American way. 8 9 I'm with you guys. I think that it is hard to 10 book charters. It is hard to maintain a viable business, with such a small quota. 11 At this time, I think we ought to hear your 12 13 motion, Ronnie, whatever that poundage increase might be. COMMISSIONER DANIELS: I think something that 14 would be fair without encroaching too much on the 15 recreational sector. There has been a lot of talk about 16 just open access back into the recreational quota, and I 17 18 don't think that is the right thing at this time, but we have got to give these guys a fair shake at it. 19 Even if we doubled, or did a hundred percent 20 21 increase, that would put us at sixty-six hundred pounds. We are talking about a very small percentage of 22 the overall quota. We are talking about a minimal amount 23 of time, coming off of the recreational days. 24

25

I would like to make a motion that we do a

1	hundred percent increase to sixty-six hundred pounds for
2	the upcoming year, and we can assess that as needed at the
3	beginning of January.
4	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: I agree, Ronnie. I think
5	that we need to look at this every year and make sure that
6	we are continuing to get the quota that we have gotten in
7	the past couple of years through the RFP, but I see no
8	reason why we can't double the amount of quota that they
9	have. So I will second your motion.
10	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Any more discussion?
11	(No response.)
12	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: We have a motion on the
13	table to double the for-hire industry's quota to sixty-six
14	hundred pounds until the following year, and, then, it
15	will be readdressed at that time.
16	I have a motion and a second.
17	All those in favor say aye.
18	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Aye.
19	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Aye.
20	COMMISSIONER GUESS: Aye.
21	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Aye.
22	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: All those opposed like
23	sign.
24	(None opposed.)
25	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Motion moves unanimously.

1 Thank you guys. 2 That brings us on to our next point. COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Chairman, if we could 3 address the Red Snapper endorsement that we have talked 4 5 about doing? If you don't mind, I will just say little bit on 6 this. 7 This would be an endorsement that would have to 8 9 be purchased in addition to their license at the beginning 10 of each year, and the value of this endorsement is it will 11 give Matt the ability to know exactly what the participation is going to be in the upcoming year and it 12 13 quarantees that we have a set field of participants, not simply a lot of people jumping in and out of this fishery 14 15 and making it harder to manage and predict based on a pretty day. 16 These guys are basically going to have to state 17 18 at the beginning of the year that they are, or are not, 19 going to participate in the Red Snapper fishery, and I don't believe I have talked to one person yet that has 20 21 been opposed to this.

Anybody else?

22

23

24

25

JOE JEWELL: I just wanted to point out, Ronnie, your statement that the endorsements start at the beginning of each year.

The task force had recommended -- and, Matt, you might want to chime in on this -- that that be completed no later than February of each year. That would give staff enough time to accumulate those for the purchase of them.

Is that not correct, Matt?

MATT HILL: They asked me what the drop-dead date, or month, would be, and I want to say I told them April, and, then, we started backtracking a little bit so we could get a little more consistent, but we said somewhere in the end of February, or possibly mid March range, would give us an idea and we could get out some pretty accurate projections. Obviously that can't happen this year. We would just have to kind of wing it and do what we could do, but from here on out, I think no later than mid March would be fair.

JOE SPRAGGINS: Commissioner Daniels, I think we need to let Sandy speak on this. She has some issues.

SANDY CHESNUT: In order for the Commission to establish a fee, it has to be a limited-entry management program and I'm not sure this qualifies as a limited-entry management program. We might need to look at that.

COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Okay. I was unaware of that. I have not been told that to this point, and that is why we've got you here.

JOE SPRAGGINS: Maybe we can put this part of the meeting to the April meeting, regular meeting, and we can look at it then.

Would that be okay?

COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Okay. So I guess, at that point, we would like to make a motion for Ms. Sandy to bring us back some information on that and let us know what that would look like?

JOE SPRAGGINS: Yes, sir.

JOE JEWELL: I want to point out that that was discussed at the task force. Sandy tried to meet that minimum requirement.

The initial fee that I heard the task force say was a thousand dollars, and the intent of that fee to be so high was to limit participation, or sort of restrict new entrants into the fisheries, but there was discussion at the task force and they thought that that might be unduly high, and, then, they resolved to a hundred dollar fee, and I think you are probably getting to the heart of it. At that low amount, it probably is not going to restrict entry into the fishery.

JOE SPRAGGINS: Plus, there are no qualifications for it, other than being a chart boat fisherman.

(228) 396-8788

JOE JEWELL: That's correct.

1 JOE SPRAGGINS: We need to look at that. 2 Let us look at it. If it is okay with the Commission, let us look at this and let Sandy come forward 3 at the mid April meeting that we are going to have and 4 5 maybe bring some information back to you. COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Ms. Sandy, one other 6 thing to keep in mind, one of the qualifications that has 7 been brought up a couple of times on that is that it be 8 9 Mississippi resident only. 10 SANDY CHESNUT: Okay. 11 MATT HILL: This is Matt and there were a couple of qualifications that -- I mean, I think it meets the 12 13 qualifications personally, but can you tell me where that is so I can look at it where it says the actual language? 14 15 SANDY CHESNUT: 49-15-16. 16 MATT HILL: Okay. SANDY CHESNUT: I will get with Fisheries and 17 18 get some more specific information about the parameters and look at it according to the statute and report back in 19 April. 20

21

22

23

24

25

JOE SPRAGGINS: We are going to have a hard time getting this permitted before the season this year anyway. So it might be something that we have to look at for the next season, but we can look at it and come back with some more information for y'all on it, if that will be okay.

1	I think the $21^{st}$ is our next meeting.
2	SANDY CHESNUT: Yes.
3	JOE SPRAGGINS: Commissioner Daniels, is that
4	okay with y'all?
5	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Yes. That would
6	absolutely be fine with me.
7	JOE SPRAGGINS: Do we need a motion on that,
8	Sandy, for you to come back?
9	SANDY CHESNUT: Yes.
10	JOE SPRAGGINS: Would y'all make that motion,
11	Ronnie?
12	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: I would like to make a
13	motion that legal staff bring back information pertaining
14	to a Red Snapper endorsement at the April meeting.
15	COMMISSIONER GUESS: I'll second it.
16	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: I have a motion and a
17	second.
18	All those in favor say aye.
19	(All in favor.)
20	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: All those opposed like
21	sign.
22	(None opposed.)
23	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Motion moves.
24	Before we get too much farther along the agenda,
25	I would like to get a full artificial reef update and the

1	upcoming efforts to do so inshore and offshore. That way,
2	we kind of know what is coming down the pipe. It kind of
3	talks to our fishery, tells what kind of reefs we've got
4	out there and what we are actively doing to maintain these
5	reefs.
6	I would like to make a motion that the
7	department come back with an artificial reef update,
8	including upcoming efforts for inshore and offshore.
9	JOE JEWELL: I just wanted to mention, Mark,
10	before you move forward, we have done that in the past and
11	we would be absolutely available to do that in April, or
12	whenever the Commission designates. We would enjoy that
13	opportunity to explain our inshore and offshore reefs.
14	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: I made a motion.
15	Do I have a second for the motion?
16	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: I'll second that.
17	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: I have a motion and a
18	second.
19	All those in favor say aye.
20	JOE SPRAGGINS: Can y'all do one thing for us?
21	We are having a hard time, as far as recording
22	this.
23	Could we do a roll call on each one of the
24	motions real quick?
25	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Sure.

1	Mark says aye.
2	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Aye.
3	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Ronnie says aye.
4	COMMISSIONER GUESS: Aye.
5	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Natalie says aye.
6	I have three ayes.
7	Is Steve still on the call?
8	BRADY SMITH: Steve is on the call, but his mike
9	is muted.
10	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Steve, did you want to
11	vote on this?
12	JOE SPRAGGINS: He is having trouble with his
13	internet, too.
14	BRADY SMITH: He dropped off.
15	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: I think there are four
16	Commissioners on here. So we have a quorum with three.
17	We have three ayes. So the motion moves.
18	JOE SPRAGGINS: If you don't mind, from now on
19	let's do that so we will be able to record it.
20	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Yes, sir. No problem.
21	That brings us to the Bonnet Carre Disaster
22	Grant Appropriation Update.
23	Trevor, are you with us?
24	TREVOR MONCRIEF: Yes. I'm here with Matt.
25	I'm just going to go over the Bonnet Carre

Disaster Grant Appropriation. I will give you a little bit of background.

At the February 18<sup>th</sup>, 2020, CMR meeting the following motion was passed. That motion was to authorize the MDMR to utilize 2011 Bonnet Carre Spillway Funds not to exceed one million dollars to distribute to oyster fishermen who qualify for the program as established by MDMR guidelines and approved by NOAA. This passed five to zero, unanimous.

This presentation will address the metrics of the program that will be established by the MDMR for the distribution of these funds.

We are going to go over a few different pathways for distribution of these funds to anglers.

The goal of this payout design is to create a standardized approach. As y'all know, we have had to deal with disasters recently and in the past.

We wanted to alleviate human input and potential biases associated with it, and, then, use historical catch data specifically from our trip ticket landings to inform proportional payback.

Really what we wanted to do was balance fairness and simplicity across the board.

The payout options, we are going to go over for your consideration. We've got basically three different

payment options for y'all to consider.

The first one is a licensed-based payout.

Essentially what this is, is just the total number of qualified license holders divided by the total award.

It is similar to what the crab payout will be, since we don't have trip tickets, or landings, for the crab fishery during this time period.

The next one will be an individual-based payout. This will mean that each individual is reimbursed based on their proportion of landings.

The other one will be a binned payout, which we are going to call the base plus method. Each individual is reimbursed at a base rate, based on their license and a plus payout for their binned proportion of landings. Whatever bin they fall into, they will be reimbursed for an amount, plus their base payout for their license.

Each one of these bins is just a representative range of values from the fishery that I grouped together.

Each option listed above has its own pros and cons, along with additional options for the CMR to consider. The following slides will provide a little bit more detailed information for each option, along with those pros and cons.

I will say, based on the CMR's decision, we can certainly bring back a more detailed explanation of the

specific method that is chosen and some options with that specific direction.

The first one we are going to go over real quick is the licensed-based payout. Remember this is the total award divided by the number of licenses.

The pros on this one is that it distributes funds equally across all license holders. Specifically, all license holders will receive the same payout.

This is the same as the crab payout, since we don't have any landings information for the crab fishery.

Some of the cons associated with this one is that it does not take into account any effort levels, or catch history, in the fishery, and anyone with a license receives a payout, even with no participation. So an individual with no landings during the time period will receive the same amount of money as the person with the highest landings in the fishery.

The next one I will talk about is the individual-based payout.

Some pros are that this awards individuals based on their catch history within the fishery, and it distributes the funds proportionally based on their past harvest. So their level of participation in the fishery will be linked to how much they actually get paid out from the award.

The cons are that it assumes the effort within the qualifying years will be the same as the disaster year. Specifically, the payouts for the 11-12 missing seasons. So we are making a critical assumption that their effort will be the same as it was for the previous years from 08 through 10. Then, a base payout will be needed if individuals with no catch are to be awarded.

This is simply based on catch at this moment. So individuals with zero catch would get zero for their award.

The last one we are going to talk about is a binned payout. Remember we called this one the base plus.

The pros are just like the individual-based payout. These bins are based on effort/catch history, all individuals receive a proportional payout based on their activity within the fishery, and, then, that base payout provides compensation for those with no catch. So everyone is getting a portion of the award.

The cons, once again, are the same as the one previous. It assumes that the anglers will fall within specific effort categories based on catch history. This does alleviate a little bit of that critical assumption of the individual-based payout. So we are grouping individuals based on effort levels.

Then, the biggest one is the disproportionate

1 effect within the first bin so that fishermen landing one 2 sack will be awarded the same amount of money as those who landed fifteen hundred sacks. 3 I will say that if we move forward with 4 5 something like this, we can look at minimum sack counts, or anything else that the Commission wants to consider. 6 Additional options for consideration. 7 Jewell will be able to explain a little bit more on this 8 9 one, if y'all have any questions. 10 Oyster Job I.6. Increase to approximately one million two hundred ninety two thousand five hundred and 11 forty-three dollars for eligible oyster fishermen, and 12 13 approximately ten percent, or a hundred and twenty-nine thousand will be allotted for the oyster processors. 14 Crab Job II-2. Approximately two hundred and 15 eleven thousand six hundred and seventy-two dollars 16 available for eligible crab fishermen, and approximately 17 18 ten percent, or twenty-one thousand one hundred and sixtyseven dollars would be allotted for the crab processors. 19 To proceed with these options, a motion and 20 21 approval by the CMR would be required. With that, I will take any questions. 22 JOE JEWELL: I will make a comment, while we are 23 thinking about the programs. 24 I certainly want to highly recommend the binned 25

approach to the Commission. It takes a lot of the arbitrariness out of decision making and it bases it simply on metric within the program that Trevor and his staff, the Finfish staff and the staff in the Marine Fisheries office have input a lot of data, effort and time. So sort of that arbitrariness of it will be eliminated. The human component of it would be eliminated.

There is no program that you can develop that takes into all potential accounts, but this one is the best based approach right now.

Then, can you back up one slide?

I would like to discuss the evaluation of the program and the recommendation on that slide to the Commission.

As y'all recall, Director Spraggins had approached the Commission and we had approximate numbers at that time. He mentioned to the Commission and the Commission so motioned for one million dollars.

We have evaluated the program a little bit more detailed and we have identified, in Job I.6 for the oyster program, an additional approximately two hundred and ninety-two thousand dollars and, in the crab program, around two hundred and eleven thousand dollars.

In order to capture those funds and to able to

make that part of the program, the Commission would have to make a second motion that would override the original motion.

when we evaluated the grant and the original program in 2011, we had made funds available for the processors. That would be taken into account, by capturing approximately ten percent of these funds.

As you can see, it is relatively minimum amounts to be reimbursed to processors for their loss during this time.

If the Commission would consider this updated information, it would require a motion to move forward.

Of the three approaches that are available for the Commission's consideration, it is just the staff's opinion that the bin-based approach would be the most equitable. It would take into account most of the biases, or questions, from the industry and, as Trevor mentioned, it does have the flexibility that the Commission can alter some of the bandwidth of the bin approach.

JOE SPRAGGINS: Commissioners, this is Joe Spraggins. That is just the Fisheries staff. That is not the DMR staff.

The DMR staff does not recommend any one of the options. We ask that you look at it, each Commissioner, and make your decision on what you are looking at.

The idea was not to give you three options, and, then, give you an option to choose.

We want you to understand that we are not asking you to choose any one of those options. As the Director, we want you to pick the option you think is best for the people.

JOE JEWELL: I absolutely agree with that, Director Spraggins. We have discussed this internally, Commissioners, and we want to present to you on equal basis all three options that are available to the Commission.

It is just an opinion -- and it is not an opinion the Commission has to take into consideration -- that the binned approach eliminates some of the biases, but, as Director Spraggins and Trevor pointed out, it has its unique biases, too. It is no better, or less, than the other options available.

I appreciate Director Spraggins pointing that out. I want to make that clear that we are not advocating one over the other. They are three approaches that are available to the Commission.

COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Trevor, do you mind sliding a slide back to where it shows the three options?

JOE SPRAGGINS: Commissioners, if I can real quick, Joe, we might want to explain it a little bit to

1 them.

2.0

On the license-based payout, we had decided that if that would be divided among it, it would be somewhere in the neighborhood of seven thousand and something each.

Is that correct?

JOE JEWELL: I think that was correct for the oyster fishermen.

JOE SPRAGGINS: The oyster fishermen at one point two million and, if you took out the hundred and twenty-something thousand for the processors, divided equally among the ones that we had that qualified that bought a license in those years it would be somewhere around seventy-five hundred, or seventy-seven hundred, dollars a person.

For the individual-based payout, Commissioners, it would be -- tell me if I'm correct -- after you subtracted the amount for the oyster processors, it was eight hundred and something as a base which was ten percent.

Ts that correct?

TREVOR MONCRIEF: Yes. The base will be approximately eight hundred and thirty dollars.

Director, I can run through the range of each option.

JOE SPRAGGINS: Let me just give them a base on

it, and, then, we will do that for you.

At eight hundred and something, that would mean that everybody that bought a license -- and we are talking roughly a hundred and fifty-five.

Is that correct?

TREVOR MONCRIEF: Yes, roughly.

JOE SPRAGGINS: If they bought a license, everyone had that license during the requirement, the same way we did in 2016 when we did the relay, they would be able to qualify. At that point, they would be given eight hundred and whatever dollars as a base.

Then, if you caught one sack of oysters, we estimated it at somewhere around three dollars a sack. So you would get paid that plus three dollars. If you caught fifteen hundred, then, you would get another forty-five hundred. It is based on what you caught, according to what you got paid back.

Then, the bin payout would be a base, the same thing around eight hundred, and, then, if you caught from one sack to fifteen hundred sacks, you would get another eight hundred and, if you caught from fifteen hundred to three thousand, you would get another eight hundred added to that. So it would be three eight hundreds and it would keep going up every fifteen hundred up to six thousand, and, then, I think the last one, the numbers of eight

thousand and something were the maximum amount of sacks 1 2 that were caught. So, basically, everybody that caught one sack to 3 fifteen hundred would get the same amount. Everybody that 4 5 caught from fifteen hundred to three thousand would get the same amount. Everybody that caught from three 6 thousand to forty-five hundred would get the same amount. 7 Everybody that caught from forty-five hundred to six 8 9 thousand would get the same amount. Everybody that caught 10 over six thousand would get the same amount. 11 Is that correct, the way I am stating all of this? 12 13 JOE JEWELL: Yes. Exactly. 14 JOE SPRAGGINS: So that gives you an idea, 15 Commissioners, of what we are talking about. COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Now, one thing, just so I 16 can be clear on it -- I'm not sure I'm clear on it --17 whenever you say what they caught, are we basing off of 18 19 one year's landing, or is it an average over a number of vears? 20 21 JOE SPRAGGINS: Average over the number of It is the total amount they caught over the number 22 years. 23 of years. Is that correct? 24 TREVOR MONCRIEF: It is the cumulative sum of 25

1 the three years prior to the 11-12 season. 2 COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Okay. Thank you. COMMISSIONER HAVARD: I don't believe this is 3 anything that we have to make a decision on today. I can 4 5 probably speak for the masses here. We don't want to just hand out a check just because you own a license. We are 6 not about that, but we do want to make sure the money goes 7 to the appropriate spot where it is needed and where it is 8 9 earned and deserved, or where it is missing in the income 10 side of their business. I would like to not make a decision on this 11 I would like to give us a little bit of time to do 12 13 a little bit more research and gather up the general consensus of each area that we represent. 14 At this time, I would like to table this maybe 15 until the April meeting, if possible. 16 17 COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Joe, is that going to 18 hinder getting this money out? 19 JOE SPRAGGINS: No. We have the money. Here is the one thing I want y'all to look at. 20 21 I want y'all to understand this and maybe we can do this at the April meeting and that is fine. 22 We have this money left over in the 2011 money. 23 We are at a trying time with people in the United States 24 and everybody is hurting just as bad as anyone else. 25

1 This would be a chance for us to be able to help 2 the fishermen at this time and be able to help them and get them through a little bit of a trying time. 3 Also, I want to know if we spent the 2011. 4 5 We are looking at our 2019 allocation coming out in the next few weeks, I hope, and I would like for them 6 not to hold that over my head. I don't know they will, 7 but I would like for them not to. 8 9 We are trying to end out the 2011 allocation and 10 move forward to the 2019. Understanding Commissioner Havard's statement, I 11 think we could live to the 21<sup>st</sup> without a problem, but we 12 13 do need to make a decision pretty much, if possible. 14 COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Okav. 15 JOE SPRAGGINS: Once we make this, we have to go back and get NOAA to approve the actual payout, the way we 16 are doing it. 17 18 We have been given approval from them to do what we are doing. We would have to back -- Joe, am I correct? 19 -- and sit down and actually explain how we are doing it. 20 21 JOE JEWELL: That's correct. Once the Commission decides on the actual program they want to 22 establish and the actual amount, we would update our 23 submission to the feds for their consideration. 24

I do want to point out there are actually two

25

parts of this presentation. One is selection of a management program which is what this slide describes, and, then, the second part is a potential motion on the additional monies that are available because, right now, we can't exceed that one million dollar threshold the Commission established.

JOE SPRAGGINS: Joe, what you are saying is correct. Thank you for that.

We do need to make a motion, if you can

Commissioners, to at least raise -- if we can go to that
slide, the last slide?

If we could raise it for the oysters to not exceed one point three million, and, then, authorize the crab payout to not exceed two hundred and fifty thousand, we will be okay with those two numbers I believe.

Is that correct, Joe?

JOE JEWELL: Yes, that's correct.

These are sort of two separate motions the Commission can consider independently. You don't have to make a decision on the actual payout program right now, but it would help us in our coordination with the feds to have an amount available.

COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Have we polled, or discussed this with the Oyster Task Force and, if so, what were their recommendations?

1 JOE JEWELL: Well, as the Commission should 2 recall, the Oyster Task Force expired in February of this year. We were in the process of trying to reestablish the 3 permanent task force. We were not able to do that before 4 5 the pandemic occurred. So that is still pending. actually did not present this to the task force because we 6 had those logistical problems. 7 COMMISSIONER HAVARD: These task forces are 8 9 very, very important and we know when the expiration dates 10 are going to happen, are going to occur, and, in the future, we need to make sure that they do not expire 11 because those are the folks that are in the industry every 12 13 day and they know better than most. So, in the future, I would like to work very hard not to let these task forces 14 expire, and I would really love to hear them weigh in on 15 what we have on the table today. 16 COMMISSIONER DANIELS: I would have to agree 17 with you, Mark. I have a lot of faith in all of our task 18 forces. 19 If I could interject for just one second, we 20 21 approved a million dollars. Have we given any of that money to any fishermen 22 23 yet? 24 JOE JEWELL: No.

25

COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Director, one question

that I've got, these guys have taken a beating for several years and I'm sure they are not in any better position than any of the rest of us are right now.

Could we go ahead and get them a base payout out as immediate as possible, and, then, figure out which one of these options we are going to go from and subtract that base payout from wherever we end up in the future?

JOE SPRAGGINS: We would have to get NOAA's approval to do that, and I appreciate all of the Commissioners' thoughts.

We have talked about this for several months now and being able to get this money to the fishermen, and they have been asking daily, when are we going to be able to get something.

The situation right now, whatever we do, we have still got to get through NOAA. So it is going to take a couple of weeks after that to get an answer from them to give us whatever we need to do.

Every day that we wait, it is just adding a couple of weeks to whenever we get something done for the fishermen.

The money has been sitting there. I think it was the February meeting that we approved to move this money into this fund up to a million dollars and we only ask, if you could, to raise it to the one point three for

the oyster and also to include the crab fishermen up to two hundred and fifty thousand. That is because that is approximately what we have in there and we want to make sure.

I don't think we will go over one point three

I don't think we will go over one point three and I don't we can exceed two hundred and fifty thousand. I don't think we have the money.

This money has been reallocated. We have moved GOMESA funds to be able to take care of this from what we were going to use for oyster cultch for the oyster part of it to be able to help pay the fishermen.

Anything that y'all could do to expedite this, I would appreciate it.

COMMISSIONER DANIELS: I understand that y'all have been working on it for months, but I haven't been seeing this but just for a few days and I agree with Mark's statement on looking at it a little bit more.

I am kind of hung up between two of the options here, but I would like to see us get them some money as soon as possible.

So, if that is a possibility, I would like to say let's get them a base payout as quick as possible, and, then, make a final decision on this thing in April.

JOE SPRAGGINS: The only question I have there, sir, is the one thing that Commissioner Havard had, do we

want to pay people that didn't even participate.

That is in the base payout.

COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Well, I think you would have to limit that because, if we don't choose an option, then, we would not pay them. I think you would have to start with just people that participated and, if we ended up on the option that pays everybody -- which I will tell you I'm not for -- if we were to end up at that option, then, we could make that retroactive and go back and pay that portion of the industry as well.

COMMISSIONER HAVARD: General Spraggins, is there a way that we can narrow down these options?

In my opinion, I am torn personally between options two and three, not so much option one, and I've got a general consensus here from Commissioner Bosarge because he is in and out on this meeting, that he would like to see it narrowed down possibly. He likes two, or three.

With those options two, or three, it talks about each individual is reimbursed based on their portion. At least they had to participate in the fishery to get some kind of payout and, if we went with option two, or three, or narrowed it down, that would eliminate that statement of total number of qualified license holders and that would allow some money to go ahead and get in these

1 fishermen's pocket, and, then, at the time April comes 2 along, then, we can narrow it down to option two, or 3 three. Is that a possibility? 4 5 JOE SPRAGGINS: Anything is a possibility. I think the way it is stated right now is two and three both 6 7 include everyone. Trevor, tell me if I'm wrong, but I think two 8 9 and three both included everyone. It just did a base for 10 everyone, and, then, an option of paying what they 11 participated with after that. Is that correct, Trevor? 12 13 TREVOR MONCRIEF: Yes. I will clarify real auick. 14 15 Option two, as it is written in the actual presentation, does not include a base payout. I included 16 a bullet in there that if we wanted to pay out everyone 17 18 for their license itself, we would have to include that within there. 19 20 what I tried to do with these three is just lay 21 out three overarching directions we could go with. It is up to the CMR as to whether they want to 22 include that base payout, or not, within each individual 23 option. 24

25

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Brady actually got me on

the phone here so I am able to have a little input here. 1 2 I appreciate it, Brady. I was texting Mark trying to let him know kind 3 of what my feelings were, but I do like the option three 4 5 in that it brings everybody in, but it also gives an incentive for those guys that went out and actually 6 fished. 7 In listening to it, the only problem I kind of 8 9 had with it was, Mr. Joe, I think you said from zero to 10 three thousand sacks was, like, eight hundred dollars? COMMISSIONER GUESS: No. Zero to fifteen 11 hundred. 12 13 JOE SPRAGGINS: Zero to fifteen hundred would pay an additional eight hundred dollars. 14 15 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Okay. That is better because I know from zero to three thousand, we've got 16 years now that we don't have much more than that for the 17 total catch. That was kind of a concern of mine that that 18 19 might be a pretty big hill to climb. 20 Of course, I'm sure Matt and you guys have looked at all of it. 21 Does that makes sense to go that big a step, 22 zero to fifteen hundred? 23 JOE JEWELL: I will comment on that because I 24 don't want my comments to confuse y'all. I want to make 25

it clear that all three programs are options for the Commission to consider.

This particular one, my comments were directed on biases. This one clearly would give an advantage in reducing the biases, but it also has that big bandwidth between bins that you are discussing.

The reason why I made those comments was because this sort of eliminates all the human interaction in the payout so that it is just an arbitrary mechanical thing that is based on a formula, the inputs to the formula, and that is what my comments were addressing.

Now, specifically, my statement to the Commission when I made that statement was that the Commission does have the ability to reduce, or expand, that bandwidth, but that eliminates my comment.

The beauty of this particular system -- and I'm not advocating the Commission to go towards this one. You still have three options -- is that it eliminates that human interaction with it and it is a decision based on the inputs.

Now, the Commission can decide that that one to fifteen hundred, that is a little big because there may be a handful of people that have one and most of them are congregated towards fifteen hundred. That is not fair.

The Commission could choose, and I'm not

advocating that you do. If you choose option three, you could say, well, we want to reduce that bandwidth to one to a thousand, or one to five hundred, and the Commission absolutely has the ability to do that, but I do just want to point out, in doing that, it takes away the beauty of this one which is it doesn't have that human component to it.

Is that clear, Steve?

JOE SPRAGGINS: Joe, isn't that done off of -Trevor, I think that was a standard deviation?

TREVOR MONCRIEF: Yes. It is a formula that is based around standard deviation. It is a common practice within creating bins.

JOE SPRAGGINS: I think everybody is under it. Anything that we do off of the standard deviation puts human input into it, and I think that is what Joe is trying to say in his statement there.

JOE JEWELL: Yes.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I've got you, and I just felt like that was a pretty long stretch from zero to fifteen hundred.

I think that option three, like you say, it does a good job in that it captures every fisherman with some payout, and, then, rewards the other ones that fished hard, but I would like to see us, if we do that -- and I

understand. I am kind of like Mark. I wish we had a little more time, but, then, at the same time, right now there is really no time. These guys, if they ever needed help, this is the time they need it.

So I feel like we probably need to do something

So I feel like we probably need to do something at this meeting to try to move this on down the line.

If we could, could we reduce that and go from zero to five hundred, and, then, five hundred to fifteen hundred, and fifteen hundred to three thousand?

In other words, that kind of, I think, would make a little more sense.

TREVOR MONCRIEF: Can I make a quick comment on that?

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes, sir.

TREVOR MONCRIEF: Really what this presentation was meant is to give you those overarching directions that we could go.

Like I said in the beginning of the presentation, I think if y'all select, if y'all want to hear more on option two, or three, we can really kind of give you some more detailed options regarding those. That was one of the concerns specifically, Commissioner Bosarge, of that big gap, and we kind of looked at ways to be able to go through that with the data itself by establishing some minimum sack count, or minimum harvest

1 count, to be able to adjust for all those kinds of things. 2 If y'all want to go a specific direction, we can certainly provide more details on additional options, 3 depending on which direction y'all want to go on. 4 COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Go ahead, Ronnie. 5 COMMISSIONER DANIELS: I would like to make a 6 I have got to say I agree a hundred 7 recommendation. percent with everything that you said, but instead of 8 doing zero to five hundred, or zero to fifteen hundred, 9 10 could we do ten to five hundred, or ten to fifteen hundred? 11 12 That way, you are not giving extra money to 13 somebody that didn't participate at all. JOE SPRAGGINS: What is the lowest number we 14 have, Trevor? 15 TREVOR MONCRIEF: The lowest number as far as 16 17 positive catch goes I think is two sacks, and there are 18 only ten total license holders that have harvest below a hundred sacks. 19 COMMISSIONER HAVARD: It sounds to me like if 20 21 you harvested less than fifty sacks, you didn't put forth a whole lot of effort, in my opinion. 22 I'm with Commissioner Daniels. If we can modify 23 the option three to an agreeable bottom number, I think we 24 are prepared to make a motion and move forward. 25

COMMISSIONER DANIELS: What was the sack limit in the year whenever they were harvesting that we are looking at?

JOE SPRAGGINS: Joe, I guess what he is asking there is, in the years they were harvesting prior to 2011, how many sack limits did they get per day?

JOE JEWELL: Well, there are a couple of caveats to that. I can find that out. There is no one number because the data is based on three years, 2008, 09 and 10, those three years prior.

Within each of those years, the average daily sack count could have changed and absolutely did change. It is sort of a roving number. I don't know that number right now, but we can find that out.

COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Joe, do you remember what it was in 2010?

what I am trying to get at here, the one thing that I am thinking about is if we've got a guy, or two, out there that was ready to go, went out and worked the first day, had a boat issue -- I know over the years we have had a couple of boats sink. We have had some problems with boats. I would hate to cut that guy out that was trying to work, but he had a major boat issue that put him out for the rest of the season. I would hate to set the minimum too high, but I would like to see it

high enough where we know that they were trying to make 1 2 money. JOE JEWELL: As I recall, it was around thirty 3 sacks, a range between thirty and thirty-five. It would 4 5 be under that fifty sack count threshold that Trevor talked about. 6 COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Mark, would you be okay 7 with saying thirty? 8 9 COMMISSIONER HAVARD: I am good with that. 10 TREVOR MONCRIEF: Just one more quick comment. 11 One of the things we did look at that me and Matt kind of went over while we were there, essentially what it does is 12 13 establish some percentage. So it was one percent of the maximum value of sacks and it put it pretty close to one 14 hundred being the minimum. In effort to eliminate human 15 decision, that is kind of something to be able to go with. 16 Rather than putting a hard number, you can put some sort 17 18 of percentage basis around the total. 19 That is just a quick added comment. 20 JOE SPRAGGINS: So we are looking at it. Commissioners, that if you had less than whatever number 21 y'all come up with, if we had less than that number, then, 22 no one under that gets any buyout. 23 24 Right?

25

COMMISSIONER DANIELS: It was my understanding

1 that they get some type of base money, but the extra 2 money, or the bonus money comes in above that number. 3 Right? JOE SPRAGGINS: That's what I'm asking. I don't 4 5 know. I'm getting mixed-in information here. I guess the question is do we want to say that 6 if you bought a license, that you participated? 7 We are going to have to do this with the crabs. 8 9 I can tell you that is the only way we can do it, but, if 10 we want to do that, if we can agree to, number one, if we 11 are going to pay a base, if that is the case, do we want to set a base, or how do we want to do that? 12 13 COMMISSIONER DANIELS: I would say if they didn't participate, let's give them their license fee back 14 and everybody that participated, let's get them some 15 16 money. 17 COMMISSIONER HAVARD: I'm in agreement. 18 JOE SPRAGGINS: Participated means what, if they 19 had one sack, or if they had a hundred sacks? COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Thirty. Thirty sacks is 20 21 the minimum. Everybody that caught less than 22 JOE SPRAGGINS: thirty sacks, but bought a license, they get -- but, now, 23 we've got to go back -- when you say that, all three years 24 we would give them their license, or four years, or what 25

do we say?

JOE JEWELL: Can I put out one thing here, also?

The thirty sack limit that we were discussing,

this is what I assumed and I want to point this out to the

Commission, that was for the dredgers which is the vast

majority of the fishery.

The tonging fishery had a separate sack limit, and I want to say that was somewhere between ten and fifteen.

COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Can we say thirty for dredgers and fifteen for tongers?

JOE SPRAGGINS: It would be hard for us to go back.

Can I add something real quick?

The staff is very limited right now because we are all separated into fifteen hundred different places, but could we possibly say that if you participated at all and maybe work at it?

Trevor could help me here. If we want to go back, if y'all want to use some kind of standard deviation as numbers and you want to say from this sack limit to this sack limit, this sack limit to this sack limit, could we possibly, rather than try to just shoot from the hip here, give us time to sit down as a team here and develop something for you and give it to you under that option

1 three that you are looking at and give you three different 2 options for different levels? I think that we can figure it out because what I 3 am trying to get at right now we are trying to find out do 4 5 we pay a base, or do we not pay a base. If everybody is agreeable to that, fine. 6 If they are not, fine. I understand, but we 7 need to get some kind of guidelines to work off of. 8 9 I think maybe what Mark said earlier, let's come 10 back and maybe we can do a phone one and do this and do it in a week and that way we don't hold them up two weeks. 11 Ι can understand that, but I'm hard to put things together 12 13 as a director as to where we want to go with this walking off of three different angles. 14 15 COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Can we have a telephone meeting in a week, if y'all get that information together? 16 JOE SPRAGGINS: We can, if the Commission agrees 17 18 to it. 19 COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Are y'all good with that? COMMISSIONER HAVARD: This is Mark. Yes, I am. 20 21 COMMISSIONER GUESS: That sounds good to me, as well. 22 Do we have to make a motion to increase those 23 dollar amounts from the original slide? 24 JOE SPRAGGINS: We do need to do that. 25

1 COMMISSIONER GUESS: Okay. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Mr. Joe. 2 3 JOE JEWELL: As Director Spraggins pointed out, this would be a separate motion here and it would be 4 5 increasing oyster to approximately one point three, and, then, it is including the crab fishery up to two hundred 6 7 fifty thousand. JOE SPRAGGINS: Sandy has some proposed wording, 8 if we could let her read it. 9 10 SANDY CHESNUT: Going off of the slide, the proposed motion would be motion to authorize additional 11 funding of up to three hundred thousand for a total of one 12 13 point three million for eligible oyster fishermen and an additional funding up to two hundred and fifty thousand 14 15 for eligible crab fishermen. COMMISSIONER HAVARD: I think Commissioner 16 17 Bosarge had a comment. 18 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes. I appreciate that, Mark. 19 I'm with you. We can have a telephone meeting, 20 but I was just curious -- and this may be a question for 21 Matt -- it appears that Matt has a pretty good running 22 total of who caught what. 23 Matt, would it be too difficult just to say so 24

much a sack for whatever you produced?

25

1	In other words, is that a possibility, or is
2	that just too much work?
3	JOE SPRAGGINS: That is option two, Steve.
4	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I'm sorry. I must have
5	missed that.
6	JOE SPRAGGINS: Option two is a base, and, then,
7	you get, like, three dollars per sack for every sack you
8	caught.
9	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Okay. All right. I
10	understand now. Sorry about that.
11	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Motion to increase to
12	what Sandy just read, I will make that motion.
13	COMMISSIONER GUESS: I'll second that.
14	I know we are spoiled to having the motion typed
15	up on the board for us, but that was a lot to try and
16	remember.
17	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: The biggest thing is,
18	guys, we have a motion and a second.
19	We just want to be good stewards of the money
20	and make sure it goes to the right places. That is the
21	bottom line.
22	At this time, I have a motion and a second.
23	All those in favor say aye.
24	COMMISSIONER GUESS: Aye.
25	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Aye.

1 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Aye. 2 COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Aye. I had Natalie with an aye. I had Steve with an 3 I had Mark with an aye. 4 aye. 5 What about Ronnie? COMMISSIONER DANIELS: 6 Aye. 7 COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Motion moves. COMMISSIONER GUESS: We are increasing the 8 9 dollar amount for oysters, we are including a dollar 10 amount for crab and we are going to have a call in a week to discuss how we are going to roll out the money. 11 COMMISSIONER HAVARD: That's correct. 12 13 JOE SPRAGGINS: What I will do, Commissioners, 14 if it is okay with y'all, we will sit down, Trevor, Joe and Matt, and let's get together. We will sit down and we 15 will come up with an option three with different levels, 16 we will give you the exact wording for option two if you 17 18 want it, and, then, we will go from there, and that would say how much per dollar. 19 We will give y'all that and we will send it to 20 21 you several days in advance before this next meeting so you will have time to look at it and think. 22 23 COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Sounds great, General, and I appreciate that. Options two and three, we will have 24

additional verbiage on those within the next few days.

25

1	That sounds good.
2	Let's move on to the next agenda item.
3	JOE SPRAGGINS: Sandy said she needs a motion on
4	that.
5	COMMISSIONER GUESS: I'll make a motion that we
6	set up the call and have the information on options two
7	and three expanded a few days prior.
8	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Natalie has a motion on
9	the table.
10	Do I have a second for Natalie's motion?
11	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Ronnie seconds it.
12	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: I have a motion by Natalie
13	and a second by Ronnie.
14	All those in favor say aye.
15	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Aye.
16	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Aye.
17	COMMISSIONER GUESS: Aye.
18	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Aye.
19	So that is an aye from Ronnie, Natalie, Steve
20	and Mark. So motion moves.
21	JOE SPRAGGINS: Thank you.
22	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: That brings us on to item
23	four, Gear Type Task Force Resolution, Frank Parker.
24	Do we have Frank on the line?
25	BRADY SMITH: Frank is on the line. Give me a

1 second and I will unmute his mike. 2 JOE SPRAGGINS: Commissioners, we do have two public comments on this, before we make any decisions. 3 BRADY SMITH: Frank, can you hear us? 4 5 FRANK PARKER: Yes. Can you hear me? 6 BRADY SMITH: Yes. You mike is live. 7 COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Go ahead. Frank. 8 9 FRANK PARKER: This is Frank Parker. I'm a commercial fisherman. I'm the chairman of the Gear Type 10 Task Force. 11 I'm assuming y'all have the resolution, a copy 12 13 of it in front of you. I have to apologize. I moved and don't have internet service. So I'm over the phone. 14 With that being said, the Gear Type Task Force 15 consists of commercial and recreational fishing 16 representatives, university scientists and several 17 18 agencies. We unanimously voted to oppose two legislative 19 bills that would basically ban commercial haul seine 20 21 fishing within one half mile around Cat Island. These bills are not consistent with the best 22 available science and it would make it more difficult for 23 the commercial fishing industry to provide sustainable 24 seafood to the general public. 25

1 This is especially important during the times of 2 this pandemic when our local seafood production is essential to our nation's ability to produce our own food 3 domestically. 4 5 These bills have been promulgated in spite of the fact that the task force made recommendations over a 6 year ago defining haul seine gear and those 7 recommendations were duly adopted by this Commission. 8 9 In doing so, this has put all but a handful of 10 commercial net fishermen out of business. We feel it is important that we maintain our 11 local ability to commercially harvest seafood using 12 13 traditional methods at traditional harvesting locations as a matter of national food security. 14 15 The Gear Task Force respectively asks the Commission to approve this resolution in opposition of 16 these two pieces of legislation. 17 18 Any questions? 19 COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Frank, I do not have any questions. 20 Do any other Commissioners have any questions? 21 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Frank, just to be clear, 22 what are you asking the Commission to do? 23 FRANK PARKER: To approve this resolution. 24 25 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I agree with you and I

think it's -- this has been an ongoing issue for some time and we all know and we have all fought it and we all came to kind of the same conclusion, and I find it kind of -- I don't know -- strange that there is a group in Jackson that feels that they know more than this group.

Anyhow, I agree. I think we need to support yours resolution.

FRANK PARKER: I think that was the basis of everybody that was on the Gear Type Task Force. Here we have all these so-called industry leaders, professionals, scientists, the people who are supposed to know what is going on and they make these recommendations and the people in Jackson -- why do we have two bodies doing management decisions. I think that was the whole consensus of the entire group.

JOE SPRAGGINS: Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Go ahead, Mr. Joe.

JOE SPRAGGINS: If I may, first off let me explain that this is not a DMR bill. Neither one of these bills were introduced by DMR, or supported for introduction by DMR, at the capitol.

These were both brought up. A bill was brought up out of the Senate, the Senate Bill, the 2720, and it was brought up, and, then, the 561 was brought up out of the House and that one has been discussed over and over.

and we have discussed it hard with them.

I had the House to the point that they were ready to say, okay, we understand. I told them that the Commission had made a ruling last year on what a haul seine net was and we had basically eliminated any uses that were there before, and asked them to please allow DMR to run the operation, but it seems right now that we have a couple of new legislators that are very hard to understand this at this point and they are looking hard at it and they are getting a lot complaints from their constituents, and the whole thing is about Cat Island. That is what it is about, Cat Island and the half a mile around it.

We also do have, sir, two comments, two public comments that would like to be made.

I just wanted you to know that we have fought hard for you on this and we have not been able to move forward.

COMMISSIONER HAVARD: At this time, let's take it up for comments.

JOE SPRAGGINS: The comment that I have is Mr. Martin Young, if he is on.

BRADY SMITH: I believe Martin Young is on. Let me identify him.

COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Mr. Young, are you with

us?
MARTIN YOUNG: Hello.
COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Go ahead.
MARTIN YOUNG: Am I there?
COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Yes, sir, you are live and
with us. So go ahead and make your comment, please.
MARTIN YOUNG: I sent y'all the copy of the
letter of my comments that I made and I just have no
written copy. I thought I saved it, but evidently my
computer still ain't good enough. I didn't save it, but
for my comment to be said, someone there would have to
read it, what I sent y'all because I don't have a copy of
it.
JOE SPRAGGINS: Commissioners, I have a copy.
If you would allow, Sandy says I could read it, if that is
all right with Mr. Young.
MARTIN YOUNG: It's fine with me, anybody who
wants to read it.
JOE SPRAGGINS: Commissioners, if it is okay, I
will read it.
It says the item that you desire to talk about,
and it talks about the agenda.
Public Comments. The Gear Task Force was
appointed to define a haul seine, voted by a unanimous

vote. This was by state experts, NOAA experts,

1	recreational fishermen and commercial fishermen. All
2	agreed on their definition and it was approved by the
3	Commission.
4	This eliminated all but two nets at Cat Island,
5	solving the gill net issue.
6	These bills have no scientific data to support
7	them. They discriminate against the net fishermen.
8	The Commission was appointed to handle seafood
9	law on the Coast in the early nineties. So let the voice
10	of the Commission speak loud to uphold their decision.
11	Please kill House Bill 561 and Senate Bill 2720.
12	If the subject is not on today's agenda, please
13	state the topic that you will comment, and that is this.
14	Mr. Young, is that what you thought it was?
15	MARTIN YOUNG: I couldn't hear you. Excuse me.
16	JOE SPRAGGINS: I read it as you had sent it to
17	us, Mr. Young.
18	MARTIN YOUNG: Oh, yes, sir. That was just
19	right, yes, sir.
20	I feel like this bill is driven by hate and hate
21	alone that is introduced in Jackson. That is the only
22	other comment I would like to make about it.
23	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Any more comments?
24	JOE SPRAGGINS: Yes, we do have one.
25	Mr. Tommy O'Brien, is he on?

1	BRADY SMITH: I'm looking for him now.
2	I've got a Tommy listed. I'm going to allow his
3	microphone and we will see if that is Tommy O'Brien.
4	Tommy, you mike is live.
5	Is this Tommy O'Brien?
6	MRS. O'BRIEN: This is his wife.
7	JOE SPRAGGINS: Would you like to make a public
8	comment?
9	MRS. O'BRIEN: Well, he asked me to ask you,
10	General, if you could just read the comment that he made,
11	that he submitted online, if that would be okay.
12	JOE SPRAGGINS: I don't have it.
13	MRS. O'BRIEN: Oh, you don't have it?
14	JOE SPRAGGINS: Hang on. We are trying to find
15	it.
16	Brian, do you have that by any chance, or
17	Crystal, do you have it?
18	Does anybody have it?
19	BRADY SMITH: We can get it for you. We will
20	put you on hold for a second.
21	JOE SPRAGGINS: If you would read it for us, we
22	would appreciate it, if that is okay.
23	BRADY SMITH: Director, I've got the comment
24	here.
25	Would you like me to read it?

1	JOE SPRAGGINS: Yes, if you could, please read
2	it, if you don't mind.
3	BRADY SMITH: Tommy O'Brien's public comment.
4	I'm opposed to Bills 561 and 2720 for the
5	following reasons:
6	The Gear Type Task Force was formed to define
7	haul seines to the satisfaction of all members of the task
8	force.
9	These bills are not based upon the decision made
10	by the task force, or on scientific evidence. They are
11	based upon the greed of the recreational sector and are
12	not fair and equitable to the commercial net fishermen.
13	Let's not forget that there are only two
14	licensed haul seine fishermen in the state and I am one of
15	them.
16	Once again, it will take away from us the most
17	lucrative fishing grounds we have.
18	That's all.
19	JOE SPRAGGINS: That's the comment.
20	Is she still on?
21	BRADY SMITH: Her mike is still on.
22	MRS. O'BRIEN: Yes, sir, I'm still here.
23	JOE SPRAGGINS: Is there any additional comment?
24	MRS. O'BRIEN: No, sir. It's is just kind of
25	like a vicious circle that we go through here. I seems

like somebody is always trying to kick you out of making a little bit of money. Right now money is tight. We need all the help we can get.

Any input that you can have in Jackson to help quash these bills will be greatly appreciated.

JOE SPRAGGINS: Commissioner Havard, those are the only two comments that we have at this time, and I would like to tell you also that House Bill 561 and Senate Bill 2720 both passed on each individual floors, the Senate Bill on the Senate floor and the House Bill on the House floor.

The Senate Bill has been sent to the House Marine Resources.

The House Bill has not been sent anywhere at this time.

Sandy says that as far as the Gear Type resolution, we could not adopt their resolution.

Sandy, go ahead and repeat that, please.

SANDY CHESNUT: The Gear Type Task Force is an advisory committee to the Commission. If the Commission wants to take action on this, they would need to make their own resolution. They could incorporate the resolution of the Gear Type Task Force, or mention it somehow, or support it, whatever they need to do, but the Commission would need to make their own resolution.

1 Sir, did you get that? JOE SPRAGGINS: 2 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes, I got that, Mr. Joe. 3 I guess what Sandy is saying is that we can't adopt the Gear Type Task Force resolution. We would have 4 to make our own resolution basically. 5 Correct, Sandy? 6 SANDY CHESNUT: That's correct. 7 In your resolution, you can adopt the wording of 8 the Gear Type Task Force resolution. You just can't adopt 9 10 the Gear Type Task Force resolution itself. 11 COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I mean, I think this Commission, we talked about task forces and how important 12 13 task forces are and the good work they do, and this task 14 force has been put together and has a very, very -- the 15 constituency comes from all sides, recreational fishermen, commercial fishermen, law enforcement. 16 With that being said, I think that we, as a 17 18 Commission, need to make a statement that we should be the 19 ones making the laws and not the state legislature. we do ask the state legislature to make laws for 20 us, and sometimes they do, sometimes they don't, but I 21 can't ever remember a time when they did it on their own, 22 at least in my time being here. 23 With that being said, yes, I would like to make 24

a motion that we have the staff construct a resolution and

25

1 bring it back to us maybe at this meeting we are going to 2 have, telephone meeting. 3 COMMISSIONER GUESS: Yes, I agree, Steve. I think what Sandy says is that we can adopt the wording 4 5 from the Gear Type Task Force resolution. We just can't adopt their resolution. 6 7 Correct? SANDY CHESNUT: Yes. It's just to keep that 8 9 separation of the Gear Type Task Force advisory committee 10 to the Commission. 11 COMMISSIONER GUESS: So can we go ahead and make a motion to adopt the wording from the resolution? 12 13 SANDY CHESNUT: Yes. 14 COMMISSIONER GUESS: Steve, does that sound good 15 to you? COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Yes, that sounds good to 16 17 I'm glad you understood. I'm having a hard time me. 18 hearing Sandy. I always have a hard time hearing Sandy. 19 Would you make the motion? COMMISSIONER GUESS: Yes, I make the motion that 20 21 we adopt the wording from the task force resolution. COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: And I will second your 22 motion. 23 COMMISSIONER HAVARD: We have a motion and a 24 second. 25

1	All those in favor say aye.
2	COMMISSIONER GUESS: Aye.
3	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Aye.
4	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: So I've got Natalie and
5	Steve.
6	All those opposed like sign.
7	Aye, Mark.
8	Ronnie, are you still with us?
9	BRADY SMITH: I don't see Ronnie on the call.
10	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: So Ronnie is out?
11	JOE SPRAGGINS: Yes. I don't see his name on my
12	list. I don't know. We have lost him.
13	не said he had to step out.
14	Right now, we only have three Commissioners. So
15	the vote is two to one.
16	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: So the motion moves.
17	JOE SPRAGGINS: We will get that back to you,
18	some type of wording for y'all to adopt this resolution at
19	the special meeting.
20	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: That was what I kind of
21	asked for, Mr. Joe, but I believe what we actually did was
22	just to adopt the wording.
23	Natalie, correct me if I'm wrong.
24	Is that right?
25	COMMISSIONER GUESS: That is correct.

1	I think they are going to have that wording in
2	our form at the call.
3	JOE SPRAGGINS: I just want to make sure I'm
4	correct here and, Sandy, tell me if I'm correct, or wrong,
5	here.
6	What Natalie has asked for is for us to put this
7	into a resolution that they can adopt.
8	Is that correct?
9	SANDY CHESNUT: That's correct.
10	JOE SPRAGGINS: We are to word a resolution for
11	them to adopt at that next called meeting?
12	SANDY CHESNUT: Once they have the resolution in
13	front of them, they will adopt the resolution.
14	Her motion was for the staff to prepare a
15	resolution for the Commission with the wording of Gear
16	Type Task Force to be considered by the Commission.
17	JOE SPRAGGINS: Did everybody hear that?
18	COMMISSIONER GUESS: Yes.
19	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Yes.
20	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: I've got a lot of noise
21	on my end.
22	Natalie, your motion I thought was to adopt the
23	language that we have now.
24	Correct?
25	COMMISSIONER GUESS: Basically Sandy is going to

1	put the resolution in a format that is from the
2	Commission, and, then, once we have that on the call, we
3	can all vote to pass that resolution as a Commission.
4	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: All right. Good deal.
5	JOE SPRAGGINS: Good. I just wanted to make
6	sure we are correct.
7	Commissioner, I think we are up to number five,
8	unless you needed more on that one, sir.
9	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: No. I think we will move
10	forward.
11	JOE SPRAGGINS: Ronnie is not on.
12	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Is that all that Frank
13	had?
14	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Yes.
15	I think we are done. I think we are moving on
16	to State Saltwater Finfish Record, Mr. Matt Hill.
17	MATT HILL: This will be easy. We have one
18	record for the Commission's consideration.
19	It is in the All Tackle Division and the gear
20	used was spear.
21	It is a Red Hind at a new record of four pounds
22	seven point three six ounces. The angler is Mr. Harley J.
23	Havard.
24	Here is the fish. Here is Mr. Havard with the
25	Red Hind (indicating photographs).

1	What is required is a motion to adopt this new
2	state record.
3	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: It is always good to see
4	when these George County boys come down here and get down
5	underneath the water and take advantage of our resource.
6	That's a good thing. That's a long travel.
7	At this time, I would like to make a motion to
8	adopt the new state record.
9	COMMISSIONER GUESS: I'll second that.
10	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Motion and a second.
11	All those in favor say aye.
12	COMMISSIONER GUESS: Aye.
13	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Aye.
14	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Aye.
15	All three ayes. Motion moves.
16	JOE SPRAGGINS: Steve, Mark and Natalie.
17	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Do we have any other
18	business to discuss?
19	(No response.)
20	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Doesn't look like we have
21	any other business.
22	Are there any additional public comments?
23	JOE SPRAGGINS: No, sir.
24	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: All right, guys. I
25	appreciate everybody taking time out of their day and

1	coming on I guess this website here. That way we can all
2	get together and make some good educated decisions.
3	We will look forward to hearing a date and a
4	time for the phone meeting next week, or later on this
5	week.
6	JOE SPRAGGINS: Is everything okay with the
7	tornado?
8	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Yes. Everything is good.
9	It was about two miles down the road and it was headed our
10	way. That is the reason I had to jet out of here real
11	quick, but I don't really know what happened. I have been
12	kind of stuck in my hole. It is probably the safest place
13	where I am in the back corner somewhere.
14	Anyway, guys, I appreciate that and we will talk
15	later on this week.
16	JOE SPRAGGINS: Make a motion to adjourn,
17	please, sir.
18	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Thank you guys. Y'all be
19	safe.
20	JOE SPRAGGINS: Mark, make a motion to adjourn.
21	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: I make a motion that we
22	adjourn.
23	COMMISSIONER GUESS: I'll second it.
24	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: I've got a motion and a
25	second.

1	All those in favor say aye.
2	COMMISSIONER GUESS: Aye.
3	COMMISSIONER BOSARGE: Aye.
4	COMMISSIONER HAVARD: Aye.
5	Motion moves. See y'all later.
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

25

1	<u>CERTIFICATE</u>
2	
3	I, Lucille Morgan, Certified Shorthand Reporter,
4	do hereby certify that the above and foregoing is a true
5	and correct transcript of the March 31, 2020, meeting of
6	the Commission on Marine Resources via Webinar by Zoom, to
7	the best of my ability; and, further, that I am not a
8	relative, employee, or agent, of any of the parties
9	thereto, nor financially interested in the cause.
10	
11	
12	
13	COURT REPORTER
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	