1	
2	MISSISSIPPI ADVISORY COMMISSION ON MARINE RESOURCES
3	COMMISSION MEETING
4	
5	Tuesday, April 20, 2021
6	9:00 a.m.
7	Bolton Building Auditorium
8	1141 Bayview Avenue
9	Biloxi, Mississippi 39530
10	
11	
12	
13	COMMISSION MEMBERS:
14	Ronnie Daniels, Chairman
15	Natalie Guess, Vice Chairman
16	Cam Roberds
17	
18	
19	
20	Also Present:
21	Joe Spraggins, Executive Director DMR
22	Sandy Chesnut, Assistant Attorney General
23	
24	
25	

1	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Good morning everyone.
2	Welcome to our Tuesday, April 20 <sup>th</sup> meeting.
3	At this time, we would like to call the meeting
4	to order.
5	I would like to ask our newest member, Cam
6	Roberds, to lead the Pledge of Allegiance.
7	(Pledge of Allegiance was recited.)
8	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Thank you, Cam.
9	At this time, I am going to ask Director
10	Spraggins to lead us in a prayer.
11	JOE SPRAGGINS: Heavenly Father, we thank you
12	for the opportunity to be able to come back together and
13	meet again in open public forum. We know this has been a
14	long year that we have not been able to do this.
15	I know you gave us the ability, Lord, to do it
16	other ways, but we thank you so much to be able to sit
17	here and look at each other and shake hands and talk and
18	be able to meet in person, Lord.
19	We ask you to take this Commission and give them
20	the wisdom to do what you would have them to do and
21	everyone in this department, give them the wisdom to do as
22	you would have it done.
23	We ask this in Jesus Christ's name. Amen.
24	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Amen.
25	It is. It is great to be back in here and get

1	to see everybody's faces and move around a little bit.
2	We would also like to thank Biloxi Councilman
3	Paul Tisdale and Jackson County Administrator Brian Fulton
4	for joining us this morning. Thank y'all for coming out.
5	At this time, if we could get approval of the
6	minutes from the March $16^{ t th}$ meeting.
7	Do we have any discussion for that?
8	COMMISSIONER GUESS: I'll make a motion to
9	approve the minutes.
10	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: I'll second the motion.
11	All those in favor aye.
12	COMMISSIONER ROBERDS: Aye.
13	COMMISSIONER GUESS: Aye.
14	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Aye.
15	Motion passes
16	Approval of the agenda.
17	Do we have a motion to approve the agenda?
18	COMMISSIONER GUESS: I'll make a motion.
19	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: I'll second it.
20	All those in favor say aye.
21	COMMISSIONER GUESS: Aye.
22	COMMISSIONER ROBERDS: Aye.
23	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Aye.
24	Motion moves.
25	Mr. Joe, we are going to turn it over to you.

1	JOE SPRAGGINS: I feel kind of weird sitting in
2	these little cubicle things here. It looks like I'm back
3	in the third grade, or something. It is better than being
4	on zoom, or whatever we have been on the last few months.
5	Employment contracts. We have had quite a few
6	new employment things change over the last few months and
7	last month.
8	We had Megan Fleming who is our Biological
9	Program Coordinator from Finfish Bureau and she was an
10	internal move up.
11	We had Matt Murphy who is a Permitter IV and he
12	is with Coastal Wetlands and Mitigation Compliance Bureau
13	and he is also a move up into the agency.
14	Todd curry, a new Master Sergeant with our
15	Marine Patrol, a move up.
16	Wyatt Webb, a Corporal in Marine Patrol is also
17	a move up in the agency.
18	Rachel Kistler, Permitter II, Coastal Wetlands
19	and Mitigation Compliance. I'm not sure if that is a move
20	up, or not.
21	I've got to look. I would probably know that,
22	if I would look at it the right way.
23	Wouldn't I, Crystal?
24	CRYSTAL MATTA: Yes, sir.
25	JOE SPRAGGINS: Crystal probably put it in front

1	of me and I just don't remember.
2	I bet nobody is going to help me either.
3	Are they?
4	She is a new hire. Here we go.
5	Then, we've got Yvette Timmons also a Dispatcher
6	I and she is in Marine Patrol, a new hire there.
7	We've got quite a few people that have come
8	onboard and we've got some more new ones coming on this
9	month. We will have an update for you next month on that.
10	Any questions on that?
11	(No response.)
12	JOE SPRAGGINS: How about Agency Update?
13	The session is over and we got a budget and it
14	is signed by the Governor. FY22, we are going to be
15	working, I believe, July the $1^{st}$ . That is a blessing any
16	time that happens.
17	We got a good budget this year and it worked
18	out. Everything was pretty much level, except for we did
19	get some additional.
20	Our total budget this year is a little over
21	ninety-two million dollars, which is a good budget to
22	operate with.
23	We got Tidelands and we got the funds to operate
24	Tidelands with in the agency so that is great, too.
25	Then, we also have the GOMESA Funds and we have

some new GOMESA Funds that the Governor and the legislature have passed. There are about twenty million dollars in those funds that we are going to spend this year and we will be working with them.

Russell is working with the different counties and cities and others to be able to get the proper paperwork put together to get things kicked off.

We do have another seventeen million that the legislature gave me authority and the Governor to be able to spend in GOMESA this year. We will be looking at other GOMESA projects, also, that are out there.

If anyone has any, feel free to get with Russell, or myself, and we will look at it, and I will take them to the Governor and we will sit down and look at it and try to see what we can do with that, if he wants to spend that part of that money. It worked out pretty good.

We also had a very intense, but great council meeting, Gulf Counsel, last week. It was a very interesting meeting, but we came out of it on Thursday afternoon at the very end with a vote of, I think, eleven to four that we passed where the Red Snapper allocations for each state would basically stay the same until 2023.

That would mean that we can start our Red

Snapper season, and Rick and them are going to talk about
that later in the meeting, so I won't go into it, but it

looks like we won a great battle there and we will be able to keep our Red Snapper season going.

I know that is great for all of our fishermen that like to go out and enjoy those days of catching the snapper.

That will be until 2023, unless we -- the SSC is going to meet again and between them and the Greater Red Snapper Count if we can some kind of better understanding of what we are going to do and understand that it might change before then.

I met with the Mississippi River Commission last week, a great meeting with them. So far -- I don't want to say anything to jinx anything. If I could find some good solid wood -- it is looking like the Bonnet Carre may not have to open.

Now, that is great news. Here we are at the middle of April, toward the end of April, and we haven't had any issues so far, but we also keep our guard up because remember in 2011 and 2019 we had a late May opening, too.

We will just our guard up and keep praying that it will happen and we won't have anything happen to it.

I did brief them a couple of new diversions. We keep talking about the Barataria and Mid-Breton Sound, and I briefed them about the Union and the Ama, and they did

not know about this.

This was put together by a university of
Louisiana and I think it was Tulane University. A couple
of professors there put together a study and felt that if
we could open up some diversions north of the Bonnet Carre
and be able to move those diversions to the east and to
the west it could basically slow down the rate of the flow
of the water and, also, the amount of time we would have
to open up.

Just to give you an idea, it could stop the flow of the water about fifty-plus percent, as far as the pressure on it.

This is something that they are going to look at and Joey Windham, Chief of Watershed Division for the area for the Corps, said he was very interested and to send him the information.

Hopefully, we will get something done there.

That may be the silver lining because we have to do

something to be able to make this work.

They are dredging the Mississippi River. They are dredging at the mouth some. They are working on things to try to help us.

I know that is a big concern for everyone here about what we are going to do in 2021, 2022 and after about the Bonnet Carre because we are planting oysters and

oysters are growing and shrimp and everything is working 1 great right now, but, if that opens back up, it could 2 cause a lot of issues. 3 4 We are going to talk about the CARES Act in a 5 minute and the Bonnet Carre 2019. We did get another second round of CARES Act 6 7 COVID money that is coming in somewhere in the neighborhood of three million dollars and we do not have 8 the criteria of how to use it yet. I don't want you to 9 10 get upset that we are not telling you, but they are telling us that it has to be spent by September. At 11 least, they are pushing this and we will be able to move 12 13 forward with it. Any questions on the agency? 14 JOE SPRAGGINS: If not, I am going to go into 15 the CARES Act. 16 17 I just want to make sure y'all are okay with this. 18 19 (No response.) JOE SPRAGGINS: CARES Act. 20 21 Traci, could you come up and brief us real quick? 22 23 TRACI FLOYD: Yes, sir. 24 Good morning. I'm Traci Floyd, Deputy Director in the Fisheries Office. 25

we completed our first round, and we received 1 notification at the end of March that we will receive 2 another round, twice as much money, three million dollars. 3 4 I am of the understanding that we will use the 5 same percentages for payout, if we go that route, but we can look at other projects. 6 7 A lot of the projects we could do are being covered already with our Bonnet Carre 2019 proposal. 8 My understanding is we are looking at another 9 10 direct payment, direct assistance. JOE SPRAGGINS: Did you see what I sent out 11 yesterday? 12 13 TRACI FLOYD: Yes, sir. JOE SPRAGGINS: Any questions? 14 15 (No response.) 16 JOE SPRAGGINS: All of the payments are out. 17 Right? 18 TRACI FLOYD: All the payments are out and our proposal for the next round will be before you hopefully 19 before next month's meeting. 20 21 JOE SPRAGGINS: Good deal. That first round was one point five million and 22 this round is estimated to be three million. We don't 23 24 know if there is going to be some of that taken away from 25 NOAA, or whatever. We are still waiting on that answer, I

think. 1 2 TRACI FLOYD: Yes, we are waiting on that I imagine it won't be much, though, from my 3 answer. 4 experience. 5 JOE SPRAGGINS: Any questions for Traci? (No response.) 6 7 JOE SPRAGGINS: Thank you. TRACI FLOYD: Thank you. 8 9 Next up is the Bonnet Carre JOE SPRAGGINS: 2019. 10 Rick, will you come up and brief that? 11 RICK BURRIS: Yes, sir. 12 13 Good morning. Since last month -- well, just to give you an 14 overall, we submitted the scope of work back in November. 15 16 It has since been through two rounds of review from NOAA, NOAA Fisheries, and we just got the last round of review 17 back. We made all of the changes and we should be able to 18 19 submit it to OMB this week. Once we do that, then, it is up to Office of 20 21 Management and Budget to make the final determination, and they may have some tweaks and changes to it, as well, but 22 once that happens, then, it will be approved and we will 23 24 be awarded the money.

25

We don't have a definitive time frame yet.

1	is still kind of up in the air. There is still a lengthy
2	review process, but that is currently where we are.
3	JOE SPRAGGINS: Rick, we are hoping by the
4	summertime to be able to disperse money?
5	RICK BURRIS: I would hope so.
6	We put a hard date on there for internal
7	purposes. Hopefully, they will get their review done by
8	then, but I can't speak for the Federal government.
9	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Rick, whenever you say
10	made changes, are those changes to the spend plan that we
11	submitted?
12	RICK BURRIS: No, sir. They asked for some more
13	clarification on some things, more specificity on things,
14	line item budget.
15	Instead of supplies, we had to get specific on
16	what supplies we were buying and things like that, but
17	there were not substantial changes.
18	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: So the payout to the
19	actual fishermen and everything, all of that is still the
20	same as what was submitted?
21	RICK BURRIS: Yes, sir.
22	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Okay. Thank you.
23	JOE SPRAGGINS: Thank you, Rick.
24	RICK BURRIS: Thank you.
25	JOE SPRAGGINS: On other thing. We do have an

1	oyster plan that we have been working on and that plan has
2	gone out, as far as us looking at it, and come back from
3	the Governor's office.
4	Rick, I think this week we will send it out.
5	Is that correct?
6	RICK BURRIS: Yes, sir.
7	JOE SPRAGGINS: It will go out public notice
8	this week. Be looking for it. It is a good twenty-year-
9	type oyster plan to be able to try to rebuild the oysters
10	in the Gulf of Mexico.
11	Anything else on that?
12	(No response.)
13	JOE SPRAGGINS: If not, we will move on to the
14	Action Update from the prior meeting, Sandy.
15	SANDY CHESNUT: Discussed at the March 20, 2021,
16	meeting was MDEQ's request for a Permit Modification to
17	modify an existing permit to construct subtidal reef
18	habitat in Point Aux Chenes Bay in Jackson County,
19	Mississippi, with the following additional conditions:
20	Marking of the reefs and the plan for
21	maintaining the markings must be approved by the MDMR
22	prior to the project completion.
23	Commission recommended approval of the request
24	and the Director approved it, and that was it.
25	JOE SPRAGGINS: Just one quick last note. If

you want to make public comment, please raise your hand 1 and TJ in the back will pick it up and he will make sure 2 that he gets that information to us as to when you would 3 like to do it. 4 5 We just ask you to put where on the agenda you would like to make public comment so we can make sure we 6 7 get it in the correct spot. Chairman Daniels, that is all I have, sir. 8 9 COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Thank you, Director. Do either one of our other Commissioners have 10 anything for the Commissioners Report today? 11 COMMISSIONER GUESS: No. sir. 12 13 COMMISSIONER ROBERDS: No, sir. COMMISSIONER DANIELS: I've got one thing I 14 would like to bring up just to get on record. 15 16 Spraggins and I talked about this in the past and, again, 17 recently. Ship Island, or Gulf Islands requires a permit 18 for any type of boats having any type of commercial 19 activity within one mile of the islands. My interest in 20 this is strictly for our charter boats. 21 I went through the process of trying to get that 22 permit several years back and I tried again a year, or two 23 ago, and I gave up. 24

I get several phone calls, or messages, each

year from these captains that are trying to get these 1 2 permits. Nobody has a problem with buying the permit. 3 Ιt is a reasonable price and it lasts for a while. 4 5 The problem is getting it is kind of like finding a Unicorn. 6 7 These guys are sending ten, or fifteen, emails, ten, or fifteen, phone calls, and getting zero response 8 back on that. 9 I would like for us to look into seeing what we 10 can do about that. If they are going to require us to 11 have it, they need to make it accessible to us. 12 13 If they are not going to make it accessible, then, we need to do away with it; one of the two. 14 JOE SPRAGGINS: Commissioner, trying to get them 15 16 to have a meeting with us which right now they will not meet in public pretty much, as far as the Federal 17 government is still that way. We will continue to talk to 18 19 them. We will continue to ask. I don't think we are the ones that have the 20 21 choice of whether we can take their permit in, or out. That is not ours. It is owned by the Federal government. 22 I have tried to hold off without having to get 23 24 with Congressman Palazzo, or one of the senator's offices

here, but we will with that, if we don't get an answer in

1 the next day, or two. 2 COMMISSIONER DANIELS: We would appreciate any help on that, Director. 3 Moving on, Office of Marine Patrol. 4 5 Chief, have you got a report for us today? KYLE WILKERSON: I do. Good morning, 6 7 Commissioners, Director, legal. As you can see, the report is pretty self-8 9 explanatory. There are a few that stand out. One may be 10 possession of illegal oysters. That was a man walking on 11 the seawall with a gallon of oysters. He was culling them 12 13 off of the seawall itself. That is a no no, as we know. Actually, that is the only one that really stands out. 14 The guys and girls have been busy, and, once 15 16 again, the stops are up -- not so much the stops. 17 contacts are up. They are staying busy, staying really 18 proactive. 19 Chief, real quick. We have had JOE SPRAGGINS: a couple of issues with something to do with oysters in 20 21 prohibited areas. I know that y'all through Fisheries and Marine 22 Patrol are working to get this straightened out. 23 24 Can y'all give the group an update and the

Commission an update where we are on it?

1	KYLE WILKERSON: I think we are right on the
2	cusp of having it out. We are still kind of going over
3	and tweaking it. It is rather lengthy, but I would say
4	within this month. I would hope.
5	JOE SPRAGGINS: What we are trying to do is we
6	are trying to help out the fishermen that are trying to
7	grow small oysters in a prohibited area, or something, to
8	be able to move to an area that is cleared, but there is a
9	lot of difference, folks, in a little bitty oyster about
10	the size of your thumb and one that is two inches ready to
11	eat. There is a lot of difference in that.
12	We are trying to make sure we get everything
13	correct, and I know y'all are working hard at it.
14	KYLE WILKERSON: Yes, sir.
15	JOE SPRAGGINS: We want to get this out to
16	everyone as soon as possible, so we can help them manage
17	it
18	Thank you.
19	KYLE WILKERSON: Yes, sir.
20	Any questions?
21	(No response.)
22	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Keep up the good work,
23	Chief.
24	KYLE WILKERSON: Thank you, sir.
25	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: I hear good things about

y'all all the time. 1 KYLE WILKERSON: Well, thank you. We like that. 2 COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Ms. Willa. 3 4 WILLA BRANTLEY: Good morning Commissioners, 5 Director, Sandy. I have three items to present this morning. 6 7 The first one is a request for a permit by the 8 Department of Environmental Quality. All three of my items I am presenting you have 9 seen at least the writeups for previously, but we put them 10 off because we felt the need for either commenters, or the 11 person they dealt with to have the chance to be here face-12 13 to-face. This was originally presented in 2019, at the 14 end of 2019, and was scheduled to be presented again in 15 16 March of 2020. 17 It is a project near Big Island in the Back Bay of Biloxi. It is the General Use District. Alane Young 18 19 with Covington Civil and Environmental is the agent. The structure that we are looking at is an eight 20 thousand linear foot breakwater. That is a total length. 2.1 There are two breakwaters -- you will see on the diagram 22 in a minute -- and they are not continuous. They will 23 24 have breaks in them.

The purpose. This is a NRDA Early Restoration

Project.

The intent of this specific project is to accelerate restoration of injured natural resources and their services. These were damaged by the oil spill.

This one is to reduce erosion by diminishing the energy of the waves prior to their impact with the shoreline and, also, to support secondary Productivity by serving ad high-profile reefs that lots of bivalve mollusks, annelid worms, shrimp, crabs, et cetera, can populate.

This is a diagram of the breakwaters. There is an inner breakwater that goes on the east and the south sides. This inner breakwater is going to be made out of some type of innovative materials that will encourage more diversity in the population of the organisms that inhabit it.

The second breakwater will go all the way from the west side down across the south side and back up the east side. This is the one that will be more substantial and be made out of rock.

The reason for this is because of the navigation channel right here. There are a lot of large waves that impact the southern side of Big Island.

If you can notice right here, there are a lot of shrimp boats in this marina (indicating diagram).

1 2

They really just needed that larger high-profile reef on the outside to protect and make sure that they met the requirements that the NRDA process requires.

Here is a diagram showing the inner breakwater on the bottom. It is smaller and lower profile, but, again, it will be made out of innovative materials that encourage more habitat, and the outer breakwater is on the top. It will be above the mean lower low water line. Sometimes it will be visible and it is made out of rock.

This is another side view of one of the potential materials that the inner breakwater would be made out of. This is called oyster break, and you can see it would be right at the mean lower low water line, and, then these are called wave attenuation devices. You may have heard them referred to as WADS. They are pyramid shaped and they have triangular holes in them, and, again, they encourage more habitat diversity, and, again, they would be installed so that the tops would reach the mean lower low waterline.

The applicant has requested a change to the Coastal Wetlands Use Plan just for the footprint of the proposed project.

The request was justified under Title 22, Part 23 because there is a significant public benefit in the activity, impacts to public access and adverse

environmental impacts have been minimized, the general public as well as governmental entities were notified of the project, and a public hearing was held on October  $17^{th}$ , 2019.

This would change from the General Use District to a Special Use District for preservation and restoration.

They have also requested a variance to the Coastal Program for permanent filling of Coastal Wetlands below the mean high tide line.

They have justified this variance request by stating that the impacts on Coastal Wetlands would be no worse than if the guidelines were followed. The project does meet several goals of the Coastal Program, and it is expected to be overall a beneficial project.

Notification appeared in The Sun Herald as required in August, September and October of 2019. We did run that notice twice. We are only required to run it three weeks. We ran it a second time because the first time we did not think they were going to need the Use Plan change, but, then, we realized that they would want to ask for that. So we ran it a second time to advertise that Use Plan change.

We did receive several public comments. We held a public hearing in October of 2019. I have summarized

the comments here (indicating slide). 1 2 You did receive, on the portal, a complete copy of all of the public comments that we received and DEQ's 3 4 detailed response to those comments. 5 If you have any questions about any of those in specific, I will try to answer those, and DEQ is here, 6 7 their agent is here and their engineer is also here to answer any questions. 8 9 DEQ issued 401 Water Quality Certification February 25<sup>th</sup> of 2020. 10 Archives and History requested a cultural 11 resources survey and, after reviewing that survey, they 12 13 stated they have no objections. Secretary of State has stated that the project 14 will require a rent-exempt lease. 15 16 Wildlife, Fisheries and Parks and the other offices and bureaus of DMR made no comments. 17 We did discuss this among DMR staff extensively 18 19 in the Restoration Coordination Team meetings. Different offices and bureaus with DMR were involved in the planning 20 21 and the design of the project. Based upon our review and evaluation, which is 22 based on the decision factors in the Coastal Program, it 23

has been determined that the project will have a

significant public benefit.

24

1 2

Therefore, we recommend approval of the variance request and the Use Plan change and that the permit be issued with the following conditions:

These are similar conditions to what we have put on all of the NRDA reef projects and breakwater projects, that the project area should be rechecked for the presence of SAV during the growing season prior to implementation of the project, that the survey report should be submitted to the Wetlands Permitting staff at least thirty days prior to project commencement and that if SAV is found in the project area, a final review and approval by DMR staff should be required prior to the project commencement.

Again, if you have any questions, we have several people here who can answer those.

JOE SPRAGGINS: I would like to thank everyone. This has been a going project for a long time and for the ones who want to speak for it, or against it, the reason we held this off all this time is we didn't want to do it on the virtual things where no one could really be able to express their opinion of what it was.

We are glad to have that today and that is exactly why we held it to the possibility that we could have an open meeting. We welcome any comments.

I do have one comment, sir.

COMMISSIONER DANIELS: We've got Mr. Andrew

Whitehurst. 1 2 ANDREW WHITEHURST: Good morning Director Spraggins and Commissioners. 3 4 I'm Andrew Whitehurst, Water Program Director for Gulf Restoration Network. We are now called Healthy 5 Gulf. 6 7 we commented in October of 2019, along with 8 Terez Collins, on of our members, who is also with the Gulf Islands Conservancy, and we join their comments. We 9 10 commented on this project and supported the comments of the Gulf Islands Conservancy. 11 The people who also commented that day were 12 13 residents who objected to having to live with and view another rock jetty project that was called a living 14 shoreline. None of those objections have changed. 15 16 This project required an adjustment to the Coastal Wetlands Use Plan and required variances so that 17 permanent filling of Coastal Wetlands could take place. 18 19 I will visit three of the decision factors: D, precedence setting. 20 21 H, alternative sites. J, the preservation of natural and scenic 22 qualities as specified in Section 6. 23 24 The first two were brought in focus by an 25 exchange that we received in a request of 2019, or 2020,

DMR Commission meeting, and it was an exchange between Commissioner Gollott and Willa Brantley.

Mr. Gollott asked, "Are there some alternatives out there that would be better?"

And this was the answer, "There are possible alternatives. They were looked at. Because this is a NRDA project, the credits have already been given to BP and DEQ is on the hook for creating a certain amount of positive impact to the area as far as erosion control and secondary productivity, and this is the project they came down with that they can guarantee these benefits from."

That is a fairly plain and open statement of what happened.

I think the precedent that this sets for another decade, or more, of NRDA projects is terrible. Public input is beside the point and, if there is only one alternative that can guarantee benefits to BP, then, it is impossible for DMR to follow its own procedures.

The basic conflict between NRDA and the Coastal Zone Program needs to be discussed and straightened out soon rather than later because there is another decade plus of this.

Many of the strongest objections in 2019 were about the scenic qualities, the look of another jetty project.

We wrote a comment letter and this is what we received in response to our comment about the scenic qualities. This is a quote:

"The entire surrounding area is commercially developed and it includes casinos, commercial seafood processing facilities and the I-110 bridge. Any impact of lighting for navigation would be minimal compared to casinos, billboards and bridge lighting."

It needs to be emphasized that Factor J, the scenic review, is titled Preservation of Natural Scenic Qualities as specified in Section 6.

This is a marsh island we are talking about, and I couldn't find, in Section 6, a paragraph that says preserving the scenic qualities is achieved by comparing the site under consideration with the disturbed surroundings nearby.

That is an easy out for whoever reviewed it, whether it was DEQ, or DMR, and, by that standard of analysis, not much would remain scenic on the Mississippi Coast.

Coastal Zone review doesn't seem to be built to deal with NRDA projects like this one very well and, if BP restoration credits have to be guaranteed at the outset, then, your constitution here for the Coastal Zone Management Program is going to be not well used, or go out

1	the window.
2	Those are the comments and they remain pretty
3	much the same from 2019 to now.
4	I appreciate the opportunity.
5	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Thank you for your time,
6	Mr. Whitehurst. We appreciate your comments.
7	Do we have any other comments?
8	JOE SPRAGGINS: I don't.
9	Mr. Whitehurst, I do have a question.
10	When we are talking about the scenic side of it,
11	is it because they are going to have a rock jetty?
12	What is it they are looking at?
13	Is it close to homes?
14	Do you have any background you can help me with?
15	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Step up to the mike, Mr.
16	Whitehurst, please.
17	ANDREW WHITEHURST: The objections were from
18	your residents and they are in your comments.
19	There were five people that spoke that just
20	didn't want to see this, didn't want the obstructions, and
21	thought that that marsh island wasn't well served.
22	Regardless of what is around it, the setting of
23	the marsh island wasn't well served by the big rock jetty
24	that sticks up.
25	It has been a long discussion with Gulf Islands

Conservancy about the nature of what a living shoreline 1 is. You-all have heard it before and I'm not going to 2 belabor it here. 3 The residents also brought up hazard to 4 5 navigation, running upon it. JOE SPRAGGINS: I can understand that. It would 6 7 have to be lit someway, or something, to be able to stop that. 8 I guess the other question is most of the stuff 9 to the west side of it is industrial. 10 If there is someone here that would like to 11 speak about it that lives in the area, I would love to 12 13 hear it, someone that lives close to it, or has to look at it every day. 14 That was one of the reasons they kind of picked 15 16 that site. They were trying to look at it because it was an industrial site mainly on the west side. 17 If we are speaking wrong, I would like to know 18 19 that. I would definitely love to know. People buy a place to live on the water because 20 21 of the beauty and, also, they have access to the water, and I understand both of those, and I'm not here to try to 22

If anybody is here that lives near it, I would

impede on either one of those.

love to have comments.

23

24

1

Thank you, sir.

2

3

ANDREW WHITEHURST: Thank you.

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Joe, if I may, I remember when we talked about this back in 2019 and two thoughts that have really come to mind with me on this is two of the big complaints about it are aesthetics and impact to the environment.

One thing I know. For the past thirty years, I have spent a lot of my time in the Louisiana marsh just south of the Mississippi Sound here, and the majority of the places that I grew up enjoying fishing out there are no longer there. My kids will never see it because they weren't protected.

The other thing that I know is I have watched this project that we did along the shoreline in Hancock County down to and around Heron Bay with the rocks that were put in there.

In the few short years that it has been there, you can see that marsh rebuilding. That marsh is building out to those rocks and instead of losing shoreline -- we can talk about the aesthetics all day long. If the island goes away, there is nothing there to look at, and that is a high traffic area with a lot of really big boats.

I'm just afraid you are going to lose the whole thing, if you don't do something about it.

JOE SPRAGGINS: Willa, y'all may help me with 1 2 this, too, or anybody from DEQ, or Covington. Obviously, when we build these jetties and put 3 there, the eventual goal is for them to be covered up, 4 5 also, with backfill, building itself up and making it to where it is back like an island. 6 7 Is that not correct? WILLA BRANTLEY: I think they would definitely 8 9 like that, but I will let them answer to any future plans. ALANE YOUNG: I'm not sure I understand exactly 10 the question, but the goal of the project --11 COMMISSIONER DANIELS: (Interposing) You need 12 13 to give your name. I'm Alane Young and I'm the permit 14 ALANE YOUNG: agent for the project. 15 16 The structure will be the two breakwaters, and, 17 then, the outer breakwater provides shoreline protection. The inner breakwater is for secondary productivity. 18 19 Any accretion that we could get inside would be great and we do expect to get some like we did at the 20 21 Hancock County marsh living shoreline. The credits are in secondary benthic 22 productivity, which we expect to grow on both structures, 23 24 as well as the protection of the salt marsh. 25 Accretion would be expected and would be

welcomed.

2.1

JOE SPRAGGINS: I guess I'm asking if I'm a resident and I'm sitting here and I'm looking there every day, I bought a home, or I built a home, or whatever, on the Back Bay and I want to look at it and I want to be able to look out there and I want to see what is going on, am I going to have to look at a rock jetty the rest of my life?

ALANE YOUNG: Yes, as long as the structure it there, but, again, we did do an extensive alternative analysis that is required under NRDA and this was ruled out in Deep Water Horizon Restoration Plan Number 4 for early restoration.

One of the reasons this site was selected was because there wasn't a great deal of neighborhood within the viewshed.

We looked at eleven other locations in Back Bay.

JOE SPRAGGINS: That's what I thought. I

thought the industrial area was a lot of it on the west

ALANE YOUNG: Yes.

side for sure.

JOE SPRAGGINS: My understanding was it was quite a distance from the east side to the shoreline where it is located.

Maybe I'm wrong. I don't live there every day.

I don't look at it. 1 2 I just want to be honest with the people and tell them a hundred percent what we are doing. 3 4 As long as we are honest and tell them a hundred 5 percent what we are doing and how we are doing it and what we are going to be able to do to build it up and make it 6 7 work, I just want people to know the truth. ALANE YOUNG: Yes, sir. 8 9 JENNIFER WITTMAN: Willa is going to try to get 10 an aerial image so that we can show everyone the distance from the residential area to where the project will take 11 place. Maybe that can help clear up some questions about 12 13 residents. COMMISSIONER DANIELS: We are trying to come up 14 with that, now. 15 16 Do we have anybody else who wanted to comment on this? 17 18 COMMISSIONER ROBERDS: Commissioner Daniels, I was just curious on the distance of the outer break to the 19 actual existing island for navigation purposes as Director 20 2.1 Spraggins was speaking of a minute ago. WENDELL MEARS: Wendell Mears with Anchor QEA. 22 23 We are the design engineers. 24 The north and western limits of the island,

pretty much you've got a vertical scarp line just due to

the proximity of the Federal navigation channel to the 1 island itself. 2 Where we had room between the Federal navigation 3 4 channel and the island, we pulled the breakwater offshore, 5 again, to create more habitat diversity across those two reefs and protect the existing island. 6 7 JOE SPRAGGINS: It will be marked in some way at 8 night? 9 WENDELL MEARS: Yes, sir. 10 Coast Guard has already issued its 11 determination. I think we are required to have seven danger boards that are also lit at night. 12 13 We did coordinate with Marine Patrol and they 14 felt that it was appropriate markings. COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Off the top of your head, 15 16 do you know at the closest point to that channel what the distance is off of the channel to where the breakwater 17 will be? 18 19 WENDELL MEARS: It is at least two hundred feet, sir, from the toe of the channel and that was an Army 20 2.1 Corps requirement. COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Is that what you were 22 23 trying to get to, Cam? COMMISSIONER ROBERDS: You said two hundred feet 24 from the channel? 25

WENDELL MEARS: From the toe of the channel, 1 2 yes. COMMISSIONER ROBERDS: From the toe of the 3 channel, okay. 4 5 It looks like the total height is only around five, or six, feet anyway. So it has to be in the 6 7 shallower section to begin with. WENDELL MEARS: Yes, and we are tucking it right 8 against that shelf where we've got that vertical scarp 9 line. We have already lost historically a good portion of 10 that northwest end of the island. 11 I know Willa is trying to pull JOE SPRAGGINS: 12 13 up a slide that will show us the proximity to any homes. 14 Here we go. WILLA BRANTLEY: I don't have the homes, or 15 16 Google Earth, but this is a zoning map from Biloxi, and 17 all of that pink and purple area is zoned Commercial, I believe. I can't see the upper left corner. 18 19 It is zoned Waterfront, which is for, like, casinos and things that need to be on the waterfront like 20 21 seafood processing plants and those kinds of things, and I do have the explanation of that, if you wanted to pull it 22 23 up. JOE SPRAGGINS: How far is it from the east side 24 25 of the island to the shore where it would not be

1	industrial?
2	WILLA BRANTLEY: Do you know that, Wendell?
3	WENDELL MEARS: I don't have any of my maps, but
4	it is probably over a thousand feet, and it would not be
5	visible from the north side just due to the island and the
6	marsh species, the marsh grass.
7	JOE SPRAGGINS: I couldn't hear him.
8	Ask him to come up here.
9	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: That was going to be one
10	of my questions.
11	With the existing grass and everything, if you
12	were looking from the north side, would you even be able
13	to see it?
14	WENDELL MEARS: No, sir.
15	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Thank you.
16	Any more comments?
17	JOE SPRAGGINS: I have no more from the public.
18	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: At this time, do we have
19	a motion to go with the staff's recommendation on this
20	project?
21	COMMISSIONER ROBERDS: I make a motion to move
22	forward with the breakwater of Big Island.
23	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: We have a motion by
24	Commissioner Roberds to take the staff's recommendation.
25	I will second that motion.

All those in favor aye.
COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Aye.
COMMISSIONER GUESS: Aye.
COMMISSIONER ROBERDS: Aye.
Thank you very much everyone.
WILLA BRANTLEY: Thank you.
COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Ms. Willa, you are still
up.
WILLA BRANTLEY: The second item is a
Violation/After-the-Fact General Permit by Shawn Gollott.
It is located at 3393 and 3387 Bay Shore Drive
on the Back Bay of Biloxi in D'Iberville. It is in a
General Use District.
I don't think the pointer is working here, but,
if you look just north of the bridge, there is a little
yellow dot to the west side of it and it is labeled
"Gollott" right there. That is where it is on the map.
The violation is unauthorized riprap length of
two hundred feet and he also, when he turned in his after-
the-fact application for that, proposed piers over that
riprap two hundred linear feet by ten feet in width.
This is a diagram of the proposed project. I
have contacted him. I'm not sure exactly where the two
hundred linear feet of piers is. It could be over the
riprap, or it could be the total length of those L-shaped

Either one of those, we would be fine with. I 1 just don't have an answer from him on exactly which it is 2 supposed to be, but where the squiggle line and the Xs are 3 4 is where he placed the riprap. There is a lot of information in the chronology, 5 but basically we received a General Permit application in 6 7 March of 2019. We made several attempts over the following 8 9

months to get the application fee and it was never submitted.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We sent a ten-day response letter asking for the fee and that ten-day response says if you don't respond within ten days of receipt of this letter, we will have to deny your application due to lack of information.

That is a denial without prejudice. We did that on June 3<sup>rd</sup> of 2019 because we still had not received the fee.

In January of 2020, it was discovered that he had gone ahead and placed the riprap, even though the application had been denied, and there was asphalt and rebar present in the riprap which is against our regulations.

If we had issued a permit, it would say specifically that those could not be present.

we informed him of the violation. Several of

the staff members met on site. We spent the next few months with him working on cleaning out the rebar and asphalt.

2.1

He would clean a little bit. We would go back. It wouldn't be completely cleaned. This happened over several months.

We placed it on the March 2020 Commission agenda, but that meeting being canceled we decided that the presentation should be delayed until Mr. Gollott could appear in person, if he wished to.

At that point, we would have had to present it as the fact that he had not removed the rebar and the asphalt, in March of 2020.

Staff visited the site a couple of weeks ago.

By now, he has removed enough of that asphalt and rebar.

We have the potential penalty range up on the slide. From January 22, when it was discovered, as of March 17<sup>th</sup>, which would have been the date of the Commission meeting in 2020, it was forty-seven days. We did cut off the accumulation of fine at that point because we could not present it.

The total maximum fine, being that this was a commercial development, was four hundred and seventy thousand dollars, and that is based on a ten thousand dollar per day for the range of the violation.

1	We recommend a fine of five thousand dollars be
2	issued to Mr. Gollott.
3	The decision factors are that we made multiple
4	attempts to complete the original application, the riprap
5	containing the rebar and the asphalt was placed after the
6	permit application had been denied and after a letter was
7	sent to him stating that and, as of the March 17 <sup>th</sup> planned
8	meeting, the asphalt and rebar had not been removed as
9	requested.
10	I don't know that Mr. Gollott is not here, but I
11	don't think he is. He was notified by both Certified and
12	regular postal mail.
13	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Mr. Gollott, if you are
14	here, could you stand up?
15	(No response.)
16	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Looks like he is not
17	here.
18	He entered an application, didn't finish it,
19	dumped everything anyway and just kept kind of moving
20	forward, and, then, delaying once you said to clean it up.
21	WILLA BRANTLEY: Right.
22	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Any discussion?
23	COMMISSIONER GUESS: Five thousand seems
24	generous.
25	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: I guess so, when you

staring down the barrel of four hundred and seventy 1 thousand. 2 WILLA BRANTLEY: That is our recommendation 3 based on the things that we look at. The Commission can 4 recommend something different. The Director can decide on 5 something different, but that was our recommendation in 6 7 March of 2020. So we stayed with it for this one. JOE SPRAGGINS: Willa, you did say that he has 8 moved a lot of this since then? 9 WILLA BRANTLEY: Yes. He has cleaned it up 10 enough to be acceptable, at this point. 11 JOE SPRAGGINS: Commission, I just ask you to 12 13 take one thing into consideration. This was during COVID. This was during the time with COVID when none of us were 14 able to sit down and meet that much and Willa and them 15 16 were doing everything they could. 17 As a matter of fact, I think we shut down right around that time and y'all were not able to. That's why 18 19 she shut the fine down at that point and I understand that, too. I totally understand what you are looking at. 20 Maybe look at it as the fact that the man has 21 tried to do what is right, even though he didn't do what 22 is right to start with. I don't agree with people doing 23

I don't know. Think about the fine maybe a

something wrong, but I learned a lesson long ago.

24

little bit on it. 1 COMMISSIONER GUESS: Well, it looks like this 2 all started in 2019 pre COVID, but I don't really know, a 3 five thousand dollar fine 4 5 If he doesn't pay it, then, what? WILLA BRANTLEY: It would actually be forwarded 6 7 to the Attorney General's office. Sorry. I didn't go as far as the 8 recommendation. 9 The fine would be five thousand dollars, should 10 be paid within forty-five days in accordance with 49-15-11 415, or it should be forwarded to the Attorney General's 12 13 office for further enforcement action. We do recommend approval of the existing riprap. 14 as he has placed it and as he has now cleaned it up, and 15 16 approval of the two hundred by ten feet of proposed piers. 17 At his request, a payment plan can be agreed upon to allow more time than that forty-five days for 18 19 payment, if he comes to that agreement with out legal staff and the Director. 20 21 JOE SPRAGGINS: One of the things that I think that we need to do more than anything here at the 22

Department of Marine Resources, we need to uphold the law

and we need to do what we are supposed to do, but the

biggest achievement that we get is getting people to

23

24

correct what they have done wrong, and that is our biggest achievement that we have.

If a fisherman is doing something illegal, stop that fisherman from doing that. If a person is selling illegal oysters, stop that person from doing that. If a person is putting something out in the water and not supposed to be doing it, correct it and make it right.

To me, that is the biggest thing that we can do because what we are doing is we are achieving our goal, number one. Our goal is to get things done and our goal is go get things put back the way they should be, or either stop any violations.

I do appreciate what Mr. Gollott went back and did. I know it may have taken a lot more time than we thought, but I do appreciate that he did what he did.

COMMISSIONER GUESS: I agree with you.

I just hate to set a precedent that it is okay to not follow what our laws are.

If this person gets away with it and doesn't get charged, then, the next person is like, well, I'm going to clean it up in two years, so I shouldn't have to pay a fee either.

COMMISSIONER ROBERDS: I see, being in the construction industry my whole life, that a lot of people feel like it is a simple permit. I'm throwing some riprap

in the water, but they don't understand that rebar and the other things that are in the riprap is an environmental situation and it is very expensive to remove it; probably more expensive than it was to put it in to begin with and haul it off. I'm taking that into consideration as well.

He could have been thinking in the beginning that it would have been an easy permit, moved forward, and, then, realized that he had made a big mistake with that rebar.

COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Does anybody want to make a motion to go with the staff's recommendation, or make an alteration to the recommendation?

COMMISSIONER GUESS: I don't really know what to do. It seems like he was unresponsive. If he felt like it was expensive and he needed more time, that should have been communicated versus lack of response altogether.

Correct?

WILLA BRANTLEY: That would have been preferable, yes.

COMMISSIONER GUESS: That is the tough part, no communication to say this is going to cost me more money to pull out; can I have more time. It was just no response to the multiple attempts.

WILLA BRANTLEY: We have a difficult time deciding what to recommend as the fine, too, because if

they haven't done anything previously, and maybe they 1 didn't know and didn't understand; maybe they have put 2 money into the restoration. 3 4 It is one of those balancing acts, but, then, at 5 the same time, we hear from people that sometimes it is said, oh, I'll just do it and take the small fine and more 6 7 on. SANDY CHESNUT: One of the decision factors that 8 9 the staff uses is cooperation of the applicant and they 10 give a lot of deference to those applicants that do cooperate with them, when they are deciding on what to 11 recommend. 12 13 COMMISSIONER GUESS: I mean, I appreciate that he has removed most of it, but I still don't think it is 14 right to set an example that it is okay, and five thousand 15 16 dollars versus four hundred and seventy thousand dollars 17 is rather generous. I'm going to make a motion that we go with the 18 staff's recommendation on the five thousand dollar fine. 19 COMMISSIONER DANIELS: We have a motion for the 20 21 recommendation. Do we have a second? 22 Just due to the 23 COMMISSIONER ROBERDS: circumventing of the process, I will second that motion. 24 25 COMMISSIONER DANIELS: All those in favor aye.

1	COMMISSIONER GUESS: Aye.
2	COMMISSIONER ROBERDS: Aye.
3	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Aye.
4	Motion passes.
5	Next up, Willa.
6	WILLA BRANTLEY: John was very excited about the
7	number of support documents he had to upload for me, or
8	had to arrange for me.
9	We have another Violation/After-the-Fact
10	application. This one would be for a Certificate of
11	Waiver and that just means that the proposed project, or
12	the completed project and the proposed project both do not
13	qualify for the general permits that we can issue on
14	behalf of the Corps. It doesn't necessarily mean it is
15	anything outside of our typical guidelines.
16	This is located on El Camino Real Road in Ocean
17	Springs. It is on Bayou Porteaux. It is in a General Use
18	District.
19	The agent for the original general permit that
20	was issued several years ago was Cleo Benward and the
21	current agent for the expansion project, which is the
22	application that led to the site visit where we realized
23	there was a violation of the old permit, is Mike
24	Arguelles.

If you can see the yellow thumbtack in almost

the center, that is the Barclay's project, or project site 1 (indicating slide). 2 The applicant is requesting after-the-fact 3 4 approval for an existing boathouse, as well as approval for construction of a new boathouse. There will be two 5 boathouses total. 6 7 In 2016, we received an application. This fit the General Permit guidelines. There is a lot of detail 8 here, but we didn't have a diagram. 9 when we finally received it and the site visit 10 was done, the project manager which was Greg back in 2016 11 realized that the proposed construction would exceed the 12 13 allowed twenty-five percent with the waterway that is allowed under the General Permits. 14 The agent was notified of this and turned in a 15 16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

revised diagram for a twenty-five-by-twenty-five foot boathouse that fit within the twenty-five percent of the width of waterway.

The General Permit was issued for construction of that boathouse.

It was later amended to lengthen the boathouse along the shoreline, but not further out into the water. It would still only go twenty-five feet into the waterway.

We received a new application in late 2019 for construction of a second boathouse.

When that site visit was done, we came back,
looked at the old permit that was issued in 2016 and
realized that the existing boathouse that had been
constructed did not fit that original permit and it
crossed more than twenty-five percent of the width of the
waterway, which meant that it would have needed

authorization from the Corps of Engineers as well.

2.1

We informed the acting agent and the applicant of the noncompliance issues.

This goes back to what Sandy said about the compliance of the person who is found in violation and how they work with the staff.

We actually discovered this around 4:00 o'clock on a Friday afternoon. We contacted the agent and the property owner, let them know about the issues -- there were also some unauthorized access piers over the marsh -- and they sent an email before 5:00 o'clock that day that they would do whatever it took to either ask for after-the-fact authorization, or bring the structures into compliance. They were very cooperative and responsive to us.

The middle of January which was about two weeks, we visited the site again. They had removed the unauthorized access piers already and by the end of January we had an application for after-the-fact

authorization and a final diagram for the boathouse that had been constructed out of compliance with the 2016 permit.

Again, this was delayed because we felt like it should be done in person.

This is the Barclay's property. You can see the boathouse that had been constructed. I believe this was taken at some point when the roof was damaged by storm damage (indicating aerial photograph).

This is the original 2016 diagram that was approved, twenty-five feet across the width of the waterway. They could cross up to twenty-seven feet to stay within that twenty-five percent with the waterway.

This was signed by the agent, Cleo Benward at the time in August of 2016. This is the modification diagram. You can see it was expanded along the shoreline, but not further out into the water.

This is actually what was constructed. The boathouse on the right in the picture is the one that was constructed. You can see it was built thirty-one feet out into the width of the waterway, which is more than the twenty-five that was authorized and more than the twenty-seven that is the allowed twenty-five percent of width of waterway under the general permits.

The other boathouse on the left, the thirty-

(228) 396-8788

four-by-eighteen boathouse is the one that they are proposing to build now.

If we authorize it, it will be through a Certificate of Waiver and not through a General Permit and the Corps will issue their own separate certification.

I put these aerial photos on so you could see how it could potentially impact any other property owners. That is what we like to look at, when we are deciding whether we will approve something to cross more than twenty-five percent of the width of waterway.

The blue outline is the parcel directly across from the Barclay's, and I put another one without the blue outline so the box wouldn't cover it up.

You can see that almost to the right of the screen, if you can notice that boathouse without the roof, with the damaged roof, you can see that straight across from that is only marsh. Even if the owner of that parcel who really only owns the part that is above mean high tide, even if they did come in and say that they wanted to build a structure, we would ask them to build it on the portion that is over to the left top of the screen where you can see the trees and scub. That indicates that that is above mean high tide. We would ask them to avoid the marsh area and build any structures over there.

The Barclay's boathouse should not impede

anyone's access.

This was discovered on November  $\mathbf{1}^{\text{st}}$  of 2019. They responded that day that they would submit an after-the-fact application, or bring the boathouse into compliance.

We cut the fine off at one day, which the maximum potential fine for residential is five hundred dollars per day. That maxed theirs out at five hundred.

We do not recommend a fine for the property owner. They don't live at this house. This is a second home and they were unaware. They stated that they were unaware of any of the changes to the permit, or the construction being out of compliance with the written permit.

We do recommend a five hundred dollar fine for the original agent, Mr. Cleo Benward. He is very familiar with the permitting process. He has served as the agent for many applications.

We have had some previous compliance issues.

None of those have been presented to the Commission. He brought them back into compliance, but we have had those issues.

Based on all of the decision factors that we look at, we recommend approval for the boathouse as constructed, approval for the newly proposed boathouse, no

1	fine issued to Mr. Barclay, but a five hundred dollar fine
2	issued to the original agent, Mr. Benward, and, again,
3	should be paid within forty-five days, or forwarded to the
4	Attorney General's office for further enforcement action,
5	but Mr. Benward can make a payment plan with the Director
6	for more time to make that payment, if he needs it.
7	I believe Mr. Benward may be here.
8	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Mr. Benward, are you
9	here?
10	CLEO BENWARD: Yes, sir.
11	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Okay.
12	CLEO BENWARD: Can I come up?
13	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Yes, come on up.
14	One quick question I've got, while he is coming
15	up. I am assuming this is a no wake zone through here.
16	Do we know?
17	WILLA BRANTLEY: I don't know that it is a
18	designated no wake zone. I know there are rules about no
19	wake within so many feet, I believe, of a boathouse.
20	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: I'm just thinking that is
21	a tight corner right there.
22	COMMISSIONER ROBERDS: I am very familiar with
23	that corner. I live very close to it, Commissioner
24	Daniels, and I will tell you there is nobody going more
25	than idle speed in that area.

COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Excellent.

CLEO BENWARD: Good morning. My name is Cleo

Benward.

I didn't build that boathouse. When I put in

the application for it, he was going to have a wedding

supposedly in that boathouse within a month and a half,

and I told him it was normally thirty days.

He said, well, if it is going to be thirty days, he said, go ahead and do it, but it took two months to get the permit. At that time, he had lost interest and so he told me that I could build it, but he wanted it to be built, like, two months at a time come and do something. He said it wasn't on his first priority list anymore.

and he asked me how long would it take to get a permit,

I told him I couldn't do that because it took too long, if I had to come back two months from now and do a little something.

So he told me, well, you know, he had some carpenters in Jones County that could beat my price anyway because I think I charged him, like, forty-five thousand dollars to build that boathouse at the time.

I told him, well, if that is what he wanted to do, he could it, and I think basically he tried to punish me because his wife was on him because she wanted to have the wedding in that boathouse and it just didn't happen

because we couldn't get the permit quick enough. So he 1 2 let me qo. I don't know what happened after that. I don't 3 4 know who built the building, the boathouse. 5 COMMISSIONER DANIELS: So you were the original person for the permit? 6 7 CLEO BENWARD: Yes. I pulled the permit and I 8 got some of the material, but it was done after the wedding was supposed to be. I didn't get the permit 9 10 until, like, two weeks after the wedding was done, and he said he wasn't really interested in building it right now. 11 He let me start, and, then, he told me if I can 12 13 come back in two months and do a little bit and come back in two months and do a little bit. 14 I told him no. I said, I can't do that because 15 16 I can't just keep coming in and coming out like that. If 17 I'm going to build it, let me build it. He said, well, he had two patients because his 18 clinic is in Jones County, and he said he had two patients 19 that were retired carpenters. They wanted to come down 20 21 and look at it. I guess they built it. I don't know. I went back several months later and they had 22 started working on it. It took about a year for them to 23 24 build it, but it was out there.

I didn't have anything to do with it. I don't

1	even know why he even told you-all that I built the
2	boathouse and I didn't even build it.
3	COMMISSIONER ROBERDS: Mr. Cleo, did you install
4	any of the pilings, or anything?
5	CLEO BENWARD: We started the poles, and, then,
6	he stopped us.
7	COMMISSIONER ROBERDS: You installed a portion
8	of the poles?
9	CLEO BENWARD: Yes, a portion of the poles, and,
10	then, he just stopped us cold and told me that, you know,
11	it's not on his first priority list, that he is fixing to
12	buy some house up in Gulf Shores and he didn't want to put
13	no money on the boathouse.
14	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: How many poles did you
15	put in?
16	CLEO BENWARD: I think I put in about six poles
17	I believe it was.
18	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Do you remember how many
19	total the job was?
20	CLEO BENWARD: Pardon me?
21	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Do you remember how many
22	total posts the job was?
23	CLEO BENWARD: About forty-something poles.
24	COMMISSIONER ROBERDS: Did you install any of
25	the poles that were in the farthest distance from the edge

1	of the property?
2	CLEO BENWARD: No. I didn't get a chance to
3	because he stopped me and I was going to let somebody
4	because I don't have a barge and I was going to get
5	someone to come out and put the ones further out, but he
6	didn't want to go through with it.
7	COMMISSIONER ROBERDS: So the work you did was
8	basically off his property, land, not on a barge?
9	CLEO BENWARD: Yes. Right, with a backhoe.
10	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Land based?
11	CLEO BENWARD: Yes.
12	Like I say, he let me go, and I had been working
13	for him for, like, twenty years almost.
14	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Willa, if one person
15	pulls a permit and doesn't do the job, can the job
16	continue?
17	I'm not sure about that.
18	CLEO BENWARD: Normally, it does.
19	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: They can still do the
20	job, even though his name was on the permit?
21	CLEO BENWARD: It will be on the homeowner to
22	whoever they want to get to do it. I can pull the permit
23	and he can turn around and let somebody else do the job,
24	if he wants to.
25	WILLA BRANTLEY: That is true and we don't have

physical proof that Mr. Benward did construct the boathouse, but we do have an receipt that Mr. Barclay provided to us that they paid Mr. Benward four thousand dollars for the construction of the boathouse. CLEO BENWARD: Right, and four thousand dollars ain't going to build no boathouse. JOE SPRAGGINS: If it is, I've got a couple of them I need built.

them I need built.

COMMISSIONER DANIELS: I assume that was the few pilings you did and moving equipment?

CLEO BENWARD: Yes, for the pilings. That's what it was. I don't know why he even said I built it. I don't know.

JOE SPRAGGINS: I've got a problem. First, we are going to look at something here and, Sandy, we are going to have to get into this because there is something wrong when I can go pull a permit and yet I'm held responsible for it and I didn't do hardly anything on that permit, and, then, somebody else comes in and builds it and they build it out of specifications, and, then, I turn around and I'm punished and I didn't do it.

Maybe we need to look at this. I think we need to look at this and see what we can do to change the laws on what we are doing here because it sounds like to me we need to have the owner in here and we need to have the

owner in here to talk with us about this and tell us why 1 he continued to do what he did. 2 I've got another problem. We want to sit here 3 and talk about Mr. Gollott and what he did, but yet this 4 person he violated, and, if you tell me that you didn't 5 know because you did not understand the rules, well, 6 7 ignorance of the law comes back to you. If you go down the highway, the ignorance of the 8 9 law comes back to you whether you knew it, or not. I've got a problem with this. I think we ought 10 to look at it more. 11 COMMISSIONER DANIELS: All right. I agree with 12 13 you. If I rent a car and return it and the detail guy 14 wrecks it, I shouldn't have to pay for that. 15 16 JOE SPRAGGINS: I bet Cam doesn't want to pull a 17 permit and somebody else build it and him get punished for it. 18 19 COMMISSIONER ROBERDS: Absolutely not. The owner had to see the drawing in the 20 21 beginning was twenty-five feet and there was a reason for that twenty-five feet. 22 Even if he changed it later on, he knew he was 23 24 violating the existing permit. 25 WILLA BRANTLEY: We also have another receipt

1	for seventeen thousand three hundred and eighty dollars.
2	That brings it up to -
3	JOE SPRAGGINS: (Interposing) Sir, I would
4	recommend that if nothing else, let me meet with the owner
5	and let me meet with the gentlemen who built the
6	boathouse, whoever they are. Let's sit down and get this
7	laid out with straight facts.
8	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: At this point, I would
9	like to make a motion to table this until the Director can
10	do a little more investigating.
11	COMMISSIONER GUESS: I'll second that.
12	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: All those in favor aye.
13	COMMISSIONER GUESS: Aye.
14	COMMISSIONER ROBERDS: Aye.
15	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Aye.
16	Motion passes.
17	WILLA BRANTLEY: Thank you. I'm done, and Chris
18	Pickering is up next.
19	CHRIS PICKERING: Good morning. My name is
20	Chris Pickering.
21	I'll be presenting this is actually two
22	dredging projects. We are doing it as one PowerPoint to
23	save some time. The decision factors are the same for
24	both of them.
25	This is a request for a permit by the Jackson

County Board of Supervisors, DMR170270 and DMR170271.

It is dredging of Catherine Roberts Bayou near
North Drive in Moss Point and Dutch Bayou near Dutch Bayou
Road in Moss Point, Jackson County.

Both of these are in the General Use District and the agent is Michael Baker International.

They are proposing maintenance dredging in Catherine Roberts Bayou for three thousand one hundred and eighty feet in length, ranging in width from five to thirty feet to a depth of six feet below mean low water. There will be a total of three thousand nine hundred and twenty-five cubic yards. They are proposing to impact zero point five two acres of submerged aquatic vegetation.

In Dutch Bayou, it is seven thousand five hundred and fifty-five feet in length, ranging from five to thirty feet in width to a depth of six feet below mean low water. Nineteen thousand total cubic yards for this one, and they are proposing to impact one point three eight acres of SAV.

The sediment samples were taken and tested and reviewed by DEQ. It was determined that the dredged material is not suitable for BU. So they will be taking this to a upland disposal location.

The county is proposing to obligate funds to mitigate for the total one point nine zero acres of

submerged aquatic vegetation impacts. 1 2 As you can see by the yellow thumbtacks, you've got Dutch and Catherine Roberts just south of I-10 in Moss 3 Point (indicating slide). 4 There is a zoomed-in aerial of Dutch Bayou and a 5 zoomed-in aerial of Catherine Roberts Bayou. 6 7 This is a like a plan profile and you can see the turbidity screen proposed at the mouth of Dutch Bayou. 8 Those are the upper limits of Dutch (indicating slide). 9 Here is a plan profile of Catherine Roberts and 10 the southern limits of Catherine Roberts (indicating 11 slide). 12 13 The applicant is requesting three variances to the Coastal Program, Mississippi Administrative Code Title 14 22, Part 23, Chapter 08, Part 106.03, which states: 15 16 "Alignments must avoid shellfish beds, 17 areas of submerged and emergent vegetation, and archeological and 18 19 historical sites." Another one to Chapter 08, Part 117.01: 20 "Dredging, or excavation, of sensitive 21 coastal wetlands if not authorized." 22 Part 117.03 states: 23 24 "A minimum ten-foot buffer must be 25 maintained between dredging/excavation

and any wetlands." 1 2 The applicant has justified these variance requests under Title 22, Part 23, Chapter 08, 118.03 and 3 118.04, and both of these state: 4 "No feasible, alternative sites, or 5 construction techniques are available; 6 7 there is a significant public benefit in the activity; a public hearing has 8 been held; and the activity requires 9 a waterfront location." 10 This project will set a precedent by allowing 11 dredging of significant amounts of submerged aquatic 12 13 vegetation. These specific amounts have not been allowed before. 14 we have had some projects where some 15 16 municipalities impacted SAV, but not to this degree. 17 Some of the justifications that could allow this would be the Jackson Board of Supervisors is a public 18 19 entity. Avoidance and minimization to impacts has been 20 done to the maximum extent practicable. 21 They have minimized the impacts to SAV from what 22 was originally proposed. 23 24 Both bayous are historically navigable channels. Storms and construction have caused the build-up 25

of sediment. 1 Jackson County did not previously have the money 2 to maintain these two channels. 3 The project will not adversely affect the 4 natural supply of sediments or nutrients, temperature or 5 salinity regimes, water flow, or circulation. 6 7 It will result in the destruction of one point nine zero acres of SAV, which is considered essential fish 8 habitat. 9 Sediments in these bayous are contaminated with 10 dioxins and support few, if any, aquatic species. 11 Booms should be placed around he active dredging 12 13 sites until the disturbed sediments have settled. The applicant is proposing mitigation for these 14 SAV impacts at a nine-to-one ratio. 15 16 Funding for management and removal of invasive aquatic species in Jackson County waters is what they are 17 18 proposing. Basically, at least seventeen point one acres of 19 SAV habitat is what they calculated to be restored, and 20 this would be for a period of five years. 21 They did some calculations and they are 22 obligating thirty thousand four hundred and fifty dollars 23 24 to DMR's Coastal Preserves Bureau to manage giant

Salvinia.

Again, this is specifically planned for giant Salvinia management and removal, but, if current conditions of giant Salvinia change, funds can be used for other aquatic invasive species within the same waterways.

The project did appear in The Sun Herald on May  $3^{\rm rd}$ ,  $10^{\rm th}$  and the  $17^{\rm th}$  of 2019, and, then, it appeared again on March  $21^{\rm st}$ , 2021. That was a public hearing notice, and the public hearing was held on March  $30^{\rm th}$  of 2021.

We received no comments.

DEQ has had no comments.

Archives and History has no objections.

Secretary of State has no objections.

wildlife Fisheries and Parks stated that best management practices must be followed.

Based upon departmental review and evaluation, staff recommends approval of the applicant's requests for the dredging of twenty-two thousand nine hundred and twenty-five yards of material, impacts to one point nine zero acres of SAV, mitigation at a nine-to-one ratio when calculated for a five-year period equals that thirty thousand four hundred and fifty dollars to be used for our Coastal Preserves Bureau for the management and removed of giant Salvinia, or other aquatic invasive species, and approval of the three variance requests.

we would like to add a condition that booms

1	should be placed around the active dredging site until the
2	disturbed sediments have settled.
3	Any questions?
4	(No response.)
5	CHRIS PICKERING: We do have the agent for the
6	project here and members of Jackson County.
7	COMMISSIONER GUESS: I have a question.
8	I know that it said due to storms and other
9	things.
10	Is there anything in place for monitoring over
11	time to see that this doesn't happen again, or is there
12	any continuous dredging that will have to take place over
13	the next fifteen to twenty years?
14	CHRIS PICKERING: I will state that they will be
15	getting a ten-year permit for this, so they will be
16	authorized to do dredging on it for ten years at least,
17	until this permit expires, but it will be up to them if
18	they have the funds, or not.
19	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Yes, sir.
20	BRIAN FULTON: Good morning Commission. Thanks
21	for having us today.
22	We certainly appreciate the partnership that we
23	have with DMR, and I just wanted to say that.
24	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Would you mind stating
25	your name, please?

BRIAN FULTON: My name is Brian Fulton and I am the County Administrator for Jackson County.

We have been trying to work on this project for at least five years now. We have a lot of natural bayous and waterways in Jackson County, probably more than the other two counties combined.

We have a pretty robust system, or program, that we do for maintenance dredging projects all throughout our county.

The problem is when you get a hurricane event like Hurricane Katrina, or one that brings in a significant amount of storm surge and sedimentation, it takes us a while to be able to afford and be able to get to all of those bayous and get them cleaned back out.

I think this is an example of that. This is one of those areas that got significant siltation in those areas probably during Katrina and it is one that we have been trying to get back to. So it has taken a while.

I think the SAV that is there is a result of us not being able to do that dredging work.

In this project we have kind of struggled with figuring out how to mitigate that and we have tried to avoid it as much as we can. We have reduced the alignments and shifted things around in our best possible way to avoid as much of it as possible, but, really, in

order to get in there and do a dredging project, you have 1 2 got to disturb those areas. I think our plan and our proposal that we have 3 4 with DMR is a good one and I think it will help us help 5 mitigate some of the SAV and create a better environment for SAV to grow in other areas. That is kind of the 6 7 intent. Just to answer your question, I know this is a 8 long way around, but we do have a regular maintenance 9 10 dredging program in Jackson County. We spend anywhere 11 from a million on a small year to four or five million in some years in doing maintenance dredging. 12 The problem is we've just got so much of it that 13 we can only budget a certain amount each year to do that. 14 We are working now with our GIS format in being 15 16 able to track these even better and track our permits for 17 the length of those and track the dates of when they were maintained and what funding source was used and what depth 18 and all of that. 19 I hope that answers your question. 20 21 COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Mr. Fulton, I'm just curious. 22 These two bayous, have they become unnavigable? 23 24 How shallow are they? 25 BRIAN FULTON: Depends on how far you get up in

1	there.
2	At the mouth, they are probably more navigable,
3	but, in the upper reaches, it gets really shallow and the
4	boats that are there have a real hard time getting in and
5	out.
6	It not only benefits them, but from a drainage
7	perspective, it clogs up some of those drainage ways in
8	there, too. So we think this project benefits both access
9	to the water, as well as drainage issues.
10	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Okay. Thank you.
11	BRIAN FULTON: Thank you.
12	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Do we have any more
13	comments on this?
14	(No response.)
15	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Do we have a motion to go
16	with the staff's recommendation?
17	COMMISSIONER GUESS: I'll make a motion.
18	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: I'll second the motion.
19	All those in favor aye.
20	COMMISSIONER GUESS: Aye.
21	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Aye.
22	COMMISSIONER ROBERDS: Aye.
23	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Motion passes.
24	CHRIS PICKERING: Thank you.
25	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Thank you.

Office and Finance, Ms. Leslie Brewer. 1 2 LESLIE BREWER: Good morning Director, Commissioners, legal. 3 My name is Leslie Brewer, CFO, and I will be 4 presenting the financials for March 31st, 2021. 5 At the end of March, our State Revenue was four 6 7 point two million. Our Agency Revenue was fifteen point 8 two million. 9 Our State Net Income was four hundred and 10 twenty-four thousand and our Total Agency Net income was a negative two million. 11 After nine months of fiscal year 2021, we have 12 13 seventy-six percent of our Operating Budget remaining, while we have our Tidelands Budget at fifty point three 14 15 percent. 16 We are also still waiting on our state 17 appropriations from DFA to get transferred and that actually doesn't happen until after the fiscal year ends. 18 19 Do you have any questions? JOE SPRAGGINS: Can you state why we are negative 20 21 on those? LESLIE BREWER: Well, we haven't gotten our 22 appropriations yet, a million of that, and, also, the 23 24 We were spending on GOMESA, but that revenue is GOMESA.

actually booked in a prior fiscal year. So it is not

really matching up our revenue with our spending. 1 makes us look like we are in the negative, but we are 2 okay. 3 4 COMMISSIONER DANIELS: You got the money last 5 year. It just -LESLIE DANIELS: (Interposing) Correct, for prior 6 7 years before that. COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Thank you. 8 LESLIE BREWER: You're welcome. 9 COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Ms. Charmaine. 10 CHARMAINE SCHMERMUND: Good morning 11 Commissioners, Director Spraggins, Ms. Chesnut. 12 The Mississippi Department of Marine Resources 13 was mentioned eighteen times in local, state and national 14 media since the March MACMR meeting. 15 16 News items included a local Girl Scout troop 17 helping clean one of the Coastal Preserves, our newly appointed Commissioner and projects expected to come to 18 19 the Mississippi Gulf Coast from GOMESA Funds. The Shellfish Bureau's Alicia Carron taught two 20 virtual harvester training classes held April 12th and 14th, 21 which are required by the Interstate Shellfish Sanitation 22 Conference. The seminar covered basic oyster handling, 23 24 hygiene and regulations involving oyster harvesting

practices. There were eight participants who took part in

the classes. 1 That's all I have. 2 COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Thank you, Charmaine. 3 4 Red Snapper. We all like talking about this. 5 RICK BURRIS: Good morning, again, Commissioner, Director Spraggins, Ms. Chesnut. 6 7 I will be standing in for Joe today. I'm Rick 8 Burris. 9 Director Spraggins went over it a little bit, 10 but this is the process that we go through to get to our Red Snapper season. 11 The Gulf Council's Science and Statistical 12 13 Committee met a few weeks ago and they recommended the over-fishing limit for the Gulf Red Snapper stock. That 14 limit is twenty-five million six hundred thousand pounds, 15 16 and they also recommended the acceptable biological catch, which would be fifteen million four hundred thousand 17 18 pounds. 19 As we are under State management, the Gulf Council still sets those catch limits and they set those 20 catch limits last week and, as Director Spraggins 21 mentioned, we don't have to deal with calibrations just 22 Hopefully, we will be able to get that fixed within 23 24 the next two years.

25

Mr. Matt Hill will come up and give you the

season framework for our recommendations for the 20-21 Red 1 2 Snapper season. JOE SPRAGGINS: Real quick on that, too. 3 4 raised the over-fished limit by ten million pounds, but 5 only raised the catch limit by three hundred and something thousand pounds. 6 7 We all thought that something is not right here. So we asked to go back and SSC to look at it again. 8 So that is one of the things that we are looking at. 9 10 MATT HILL: Good morning Director Spraggins, 11 Commissioners, Sandy. I am going to give a brief outline of the 12 13 recommendations for the 2021 Recreational Red Snapper Season Update with a little brief background. 14 If any of you did listen last week, Director 15 16 Spraggins was being very kind in describing the Council 17 meeting as interesting. It was quite painful. We did get through it with some things that we 18 19 needed. So we will start going through the presentation. A little bit of background, just a short 20 21 version. We are in our second year of Amendment 50. 22 are allowed the State management of the Recreational Red 23 24 Snapper Season.

This gives us authority to manage the Red

Snapper fishery out to two hundred nautical miles. 1 Each state does receive an allotment of the 2 overall recreational Red Snapper quota. 3 Our current allocation is three point five five 4 5 percent. We have had a lot of discussions about this and 6 7 we feel pretty good about the next two years. There is a little bit of uncertainty going to 2023. So we do still 8 have a few discussions to have with Director Spraggins and 9 10 my staff, but currently the way we see it Mississippi's 2021 ACL will be approximately between a hundred and 11 twenty thousand to a hundred and fifty thousand pounds. 12 13 Now, they will give us whatever pounds they give us, which will probably be on the higher end of that, but 14 we do have some decisions to make in reference to the 2023 15 16 season. 17 The 2021 recreational season will begin the Friday of Memorial Day weekend, May 28<sup>th</sup>, and close Monday, 18 July 5<sup>th</sup>, at midnight. July 5<sup>th</sup> is the official holiday for 19 July 4<sup>th</sup> this year. It is a Monday. So we will stay open 20 21 until midnight. owever, the season will close before this 22 date. if the ACT is met. 23 24 Obviously, if the ACT is not met, we will still close on July the 5<sup>th</sup>, this will be what we call the mid 25

season closure, and we will entertain opening the second season.

In order to do this, we will continue to analyze landings throughout the season to develop recommendations which will provide our anglers with the most opportunity and greatest flexibility for Red Snapper harvest.

That is all we've got for you.

JOE SPRAGGINS: Commissioners, one of the main things is now that people can kind of plan their trips in July. We have always done that.

Of course, this last year we pretty much had to shut down in July because under COVID everybody had a boat and they were going fishing.

COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Cheap gas, too.

JOE SPRAGGINS: Yes, and we had great water.

We will evaluate this very strongly. The big thing is a lot of the people, especially charter boat fishermen and all, they can go out now and be able to book their trips and try to make something work.

It will be unlike Alabama. I think most of theirs are weekends. We are staying open the whole time because a lot of our people are not able to fish on the weekend. If the weather is bad on the weekend, they lose out. So we are trying to make sure people have a chance to be able to go out and enjoy themselves and catch these

fish. 1 We feel strongly that the abundance is there. 2 We do not feel like we are over fishing at all. We don't 3 feel like we were close to being over fished with our 4 5 three point five five percent in Mississippi. It is a great work by the staff to get this done 6 7 and I appreciate them for working hard on it. COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Thank you, Matt. 8 9 Looking forward to this year. 10 JOE SPRAGGINS: We will put out a press release this week on that, if that is all right with the 11 Commission. 12 13 COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Yes, sir. Other business. 14 Do any of our Commissioners have other business? 15 16 JOE SPRAGGINS: I would just like to do one quick 17 thing. This Last year with all of the things that have 18 gone on, I want to thank the staff at DMR. They have 19 stood up and they have managed to keep this agency running 20 2.1 one hundred percent. I don't think we backed up one inch and, if nothing else, we have moved forward. 22 I just want put it on the public record and 23 24 thank them for everything we have done.

COMMISSIONER DANIELS: I agree.

(Applause.)

COMMISSIONER DANIELS: We do have a public comment, Mr. Gilbert Ramsey.

GILBERT RAMSEY: Good morning. My name is Gilbert Ramsey. I have been recognized as a Military Veteran's Outreach Specialist.

Development Authority, Mr. Jamie Miller, introduced the economic development opportunity, veterans bottled water company and with this veteran bottled water company, what we are doing is we have a nonprofit status and what we have done is January 11<sup>th</sup>, Harrison County Board of Supervisors, I reached out to be recognized for the discussion National Park Service Conservation Trust Assistance Program, historic conservation, preservation, academic and achievement regardless of physical impairments and enhanced recreational opportunities for all citizens.

What I'm meaning is we have recognized internship opportunities. We recognize education opportunities. We recognize marine technology.

what we are doing in the ocean in Action conference coming up, we are coming forward with a new commercialization opportunity for Southern Mississippi.

I know you are partnered with the University of

Southern Mississippi. I will be partnering with the University of Southern Mississippi, and various entities within that organization.

All of these people know me. I am representing this appropriately with the Restore Council funds as well. Restore Council funds, they authorized me to partner up with everybody and that's what I have done. I have partnered up with everybody to make sure it is implemented appropriately location within our capability.

what we have done is we have retrofitted the boats and all terrain vehicles.

The park in D'Iberville, I implemented with the City of D'Iberville, the launching systems for the disabled community.

Mississippi Land Trust, they donated two hundred more acres in that location to incorporate options for the disabled community.

We are doing amenities for caretakers with Harrison County Board of Supervisors, with Hancock County, Jackson County. They all know me. I reached out to all of them before the pandemic to introduce my paraplegic fishing tournaments.

What I have done is I have reached out to introduce it more appropriately internationally.

Internationally, I have met with the World Leaders

Conference in 2014 in Mobile, Alabama. What that was I introduced tourism opportunities, opportunities to assist the tourism, assisted tourism opportunity for Europe and everybody else to come to us and incorporate our opportunity for this community for this vision.

Here we go ladies and gentlemen. I have this for you to delegate appropriately to assist me with this vision. I'm a disabled veteran.

The City of D'Iberville, with Senator Roger Wicker included, because I'm going after another initiative when Amtrak comes to town for manufacturing opportunity in retaining students. I will be paying for every student's education.

Here we go ladies and gentlemen. Thank you very much for your time and assistance. Have a blessed day and stay safe

Thirty-two acres we will be developing on the Bay here. We are looking at developing it with GOMESA funds. We are developing cabins with ADA compliance. We are developing gas stations. We are developing bait shops. We are developing all of this organic gardening to feed the homeless and everything. Aquacultural education opportunities. We have the appropriate program and location. We are coming forward for this initiative.

1	I am pleased to stand tall and proud to
2	represent Mississippi more appropriately instead of
3	Mississippi burning.
4	Thank you very much for your time and
5	assistance.
6	Any questions?
7	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: No, sir.
8	GILBERT RAMSEY: Thank you very much.
9	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Thank you, Mr. Ramsey.
10	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Joe, do we have any other
11	public comments for today?
12	JOE SPRAGGINS: No, sir, I do not.
13	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Looks like we made it
14	through.
15	JOE SPRAGGINS: And welcome to Cam.
16	OMMISSIONER DANIELS: Yes. Welcome Cam. We
17	Care happy to have you on board. We know you
18	are going to do a great job for the resource.
19	Do we have a motion to adjourn?
20	COMMISSIONER GUESS: I'll make a motion.
21	COMMISSIONER ROBERDS: I'll second the motion.
22	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: All those in favor aye.
23	COMMISSIONER GUESS: Aye.
24	COMMISSIONER ROBERDS: Aye.
25	COMMISSIONER DANIELS: Aye.

Meeting is adjourned. 1 2 (whereupon, at 10:43 o'clock, a.m., the April 20, 2021, meeting of the Mississippi Advisory Commission 3 on Marine Resources was concluded.) 4 5 6 **CERTIFICATE** 7 I, Lucille Morgan, Certified Shorthand Reporter, 8 do hereby certify that the above and foregoing 78 pages, 9 10 include this page, is a true and correct transcript of the April 20, 2021, meeting of the Mississippi Advisory 11 Commission on Marine Resources, as taken by me at the time 12 13 and place heretofore stated in the aforementioned matter in shorthand, with electronic verification, and later 14 reduced to typewritten form to the best of my skill and 15 16 ability; and, further, that I am not a relative, employee, 17 or agent, of any of the parties thereto, nor financially interested in the cause. 18 19 20 21 22 COURT REPORTER 23 24