1	STATE OF MISSISSIPPI
2	
3	
4	
5	MISSISSIPPI ADVISORY COMMISSION ON MARINE RESOURCES
6	COMMISSION MEETING
7	Tuesday, August 15, 2023, 9:00 a.m.
8	Bolton Building Auditorium
9	1141 Bayview Avenue, Biloxi, Mississippi 39530
10	
11	
12	COMMITCATON MEMBERS.
13	COMMISSION MEMBERS: Ronnie Daniels
14	Jonathan McLendon Jason Osborne
15	Cammack "Cam" Roberds
16	
17	ALSO PRESENT:
18	Joe Spraggins, Director (Virtual) Patrick Levine
19	Sandy Chesnut, Esquire
20	Steven Eckert, Esquire
21	
22	
23	
24	REPORTED BY:
25	Melissa Burdine-Rodolfich

1	RONNIE DANIELS:
2	All right. Good morning, ladies and
3	gentlemen. Thank y'all for coming out today.
4	We're going to call our August 15th
5	meeting to order.
6	Do I have a motion to call the meeting
7	to order?
8	CAM ROBERDS:
9	I will make a motion to call the meeting
10	to order.
11	RONNIE DANIELS:
12	I'll second.
13	All in favor?
14	JONATHAN McLENDON:
15	Aye.
16	JASON OSBORNE:
17	Aye.
18	CAM ROBERDS:
19	Aye.
20	RONNIE DANIELS:
21	Aye.
22	All right. I'm going to ask
23	Commissioner Roberds to lead us in the pledge of
24	allegiance today.
25	(Pledge of allegiance recited.)

1 RONNIE DANIELS: 2 Thank you, Cam. 3 All right. The director is not in the building today, but he is going to be joining in 4 5 remotely on the meeting, so he is listening and 6 will have input. 7 Patrick Levine is in his spot today. 8 I'm going to ask Patrick to lead us in a prayer 9 this morning. 10 PATRICK LEVINE: 11 Well, you know, Commissioners, when we 12 go to prayer this morning -- I was thinking a lot 13 about the people in Maui, and there's a lot of 14 people today that are hurting in our nation. So I 15 want to think about those individuals who, you 16 know, they're grieving a lot of loss today. So 17 let's pray. (Moment of prayer.) 18 19 RONNIE DANIELS: 20 Thank you, Patrick. 21 Do we have any discussion on the 22 July 18th minutes? 23 (No response.) 24 RONNIE DANIELS: 25 Do we have a motion to approve the

```
1
      minutes?
 2
      JONATHAN McLENDON:
 3
                 I will make that motion.
 4
      CAM ROBERDS:
 5
                 I'll second.
      RONNIE DANIELS:
 6
                 Got a motion and second.
 7
 8
                 All in favor?
 9
      JONATHAN McLENDON:
10
                 Aye.
11
      JASON OSBORNE:
12
                 Aye.
13
      CAM ROBERDS:
14
                 Aye.
15
      RONNIE DANIELS:
16
                 Aye.
                 All right. Do we have a motion to
17
      approve today's agenda as written?
18
19
      CAM ROBERDS:
20
                 I will make a motion.
21
      RONNIE DANIELS:
22
                 I'll second.
                 All in favor? Aye.
23
24
      CAM ROBERDS:
25
                 Aye.
```

1 JONATHAN McLENDON: 2 Aye. 3 JASON OSBORNE: 4 Aye. 5 RONNIE DANIELS: All right. Mr. Patrick, I'm going to 6 7 turn it over to you. 8 PATRICK LEVINE: 9 Well, thank you. And as you mentioned, 10 Director Spraggins is online today. Just in case 11 it happens whenever we get started, DFA sent a 12 notification and said that they are working on the 13 fire alarms today. So if in the next few minutes, 14 the fire alarms go off, they said, do not be 15 startled. Nobody panic. It's just a test. And so let's hope it does not happen during the 16 17 meeting, but it may. And with that said, if you happen to see smoke, exit the building, all right, 18 19 even though they said it's just a test. So we 20 want to make sure everybody is safe, and we're 21 working on the system this morning. 22 Director Spraggins and Rick Burris are 23 both at Gulf Council this week. We know that red 24 snapper is closed, that we met the quota and I

know that they're diligently having conversations

25

on what it may look like in the future. So we're thankful for them working on our state's behalf and what they're doing. Lots of things to be done.

And so at any minute, be mentally prepared, Director Spraggins, if you have something to share, please do share it with us.

And I know a lot of folks have been waiting to hear about Bonnet Carré and I'm thankful to say that we have an update this morning.

Traci, could you give us an update on where we stand on Bonnet Carré?

TRACI FLOYD:

Good morning. I'm Traci Floyd.

I just want to tell you, Job 1 Financial Assistance applications for commercial fisheries, seafood dealers and charter operators opened on August 9th 8:00 a.m. It will close after 30 days, on September 7th at 11:59 p.m.

So we have a brief, streamline application on our website. We have a team in fisheries that's available to help anyone who needs that assistance and just give us a call and we will walk with you through it.

There -- of course, the eligible categories are commercial fisheries, seafood dealers, charter operators. You had to be licensed in 2019, which is the year of the disaster, and you had to have made contributions either by being -- having a license or being in the fisheries the previous five years, 2014 through 2018, the historical years prior to the event.

So for the application, you will just need your contact information, a valid e-mail address, your date of birth, your social or employer tax ID number and your applicable license numbers for the year of the disaster in any of those historical license years. And we can help you or anyone who needs help with that.

We will, of course, offer an appeals process for anyone found not eligible. And today, we've had 159 total applications received and those are under review.

RONNIE DANIELS:

One question that I have had come in a couple of times, I have had a few people ask me what the percentages were between the different sectors. Is that -- do we have that out there

1	online anywhere?
2	TRACI FLOYD:
3	That's not out yet. It's my
4	understanding, Director Spraggins has some more
5	decisions to make as we work through it, but
6	obviously part of it will be the number of
7	applicants we have to make it more fair across the
8	board, but then also based on the value of fishery
9	those historical years we talked about.
10	RONNIE DANIELS:
11	Okay.
12	TRACI FLOYD:
13	Does that make sense?
14	RONNIE DANIELS:
15	Yes, ma'am. That will work. Thank you.
16	TRACI FLOYD:
17	Any other?
18	JONATHAN McLENDON:
19	Traci, when where do the processors
20	fit into all this, the application, because I know
21	that there's like a different application process
22	that's coming online for them? Like, when do you
23	expect to open that up?
24	TRACI FLOYD:
25	So that should be one of our next

```
1
                 Our priorities are the financial
      programs.
 2
      assistance programs. This is the first one.
 3
      There will be one for processors, for new grant
 4
      technologies, and then there will be one for
 5
      commercial fishermen or charter fishermen to get
 6
      their safety gear up to date. And that's all
 7
      found on the DMR website if you would like to read
 8
      about those future jobs on the Marine Fisheries
 9
      homepage.
10
      RONNIE DANIELS:
11
                Anything else?
12
                 (No response.)
13
      TRACI FLOYD:
14
                Thank you.
15
      RONNIE DANIELS:
16
                All right. Thank you.
17
      PATRICK LEVINE:
                Thank you for that presentation. You
18
19
      know, we want to try to get that money where it's
      needed and to the hands of the people that are out
20
21
      there working and do it as quick as we can for
22
      sure.
23
                You know, we had a couple of employment
24
      updates over the last couple of weeks. First of
25
      all, Brian Hurst is listed as a new hire,
```

maintenance grounds supervisor out at the Lyman Fish Hatchery. He's listed as a new hire, but I want to say this. Brian was with the agency for a long time. He retired and he said, I like working so much I want to come out of retirement and go back to work. And so that says a lot about the agency and the people he works with, so we welcome Brian back to the agency.

For promotions, Laura Moncrief. She was promoted to an environmental/natural resource specialist supervisor on August 1st, 2023 in Coastal Resource Management, so congratulations to Laura.

We do have two contracts. First, we have one with Silver Ships for a marine patrol vessel. And then later on in the presentation, I know Travis is going to be talking about reef deployments that are going on, but we have one with NFWF and some reef construction that's going on. Hear more about reef construction in the fisheries presentation later today. So that's all we have under employment contracts.

Last month, Director Spraggins mentioned the Neshoba County Fair and we had the opportunity to go up and do some seafood marketing at Neshoba

County Fair, and we had a reception with One Coast where we were able to let people sample some Gulf Coast flounder, some domestic shrimp, some oysters. We had plenty of food for people to try out. And we set up a booth for Coast Day and we had 600 people that came by the Coast booth where we promoted Mississippi Gulf Coast seafood. And so we were doing some seafood marketing promotions.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And thank you to everybody that helped make that possible. And it was hot, and, you know, one of the things that we had that we were giving out -- you know, I think about Charmaine and Franchesca and Rick. They were in the booth and they had to be the biggest fans at the Neshoba County Fair, you know, literally, because they were giving out fans. And whenever you would turn on the fan, it would circulate and it would say, "shrimp." It would say "oysters." It had little LED lights in it. And you were able to see people walking all over the fair promoting Mississippi Gulf Coast seafood. So such a wonderful way to market the resources we have on the Coast and just a good time. And we look forward to the opportunity to maybe do that in the future.

Another thing that's coming up between now and the next meeting that we want to encourage everybody to be a part of, on September the 9th, there will be the Biloxi Seafood Festival, and we are thankful for the heritage we have in seafood here on the Mississippi Gulf Coast. And if you get the opportunity, go down there, order you some seafood plates, walk around the booths, enjoy the music and we will be set up promoting the agency and promoting the domestic seafood and the wonderful resources that we have on the Coast, so be sure to do that.

I know in the past couple months, we have had conversations about the legislation, you know, designating the Dantzler Tract in Jackson County over by Indian Point as the Eric Clark Coastal Preserve and also Big Island being designated as Gollott Island and the point at the end being Godfather Point.

We want to be able to recognize those particular areas, and there is a possibility between now and the next meeting you may hear about us unveiling those properties and putting in the kiosks out there and having a day. So everybody is welcome to join us.

We'll make sure you know about it, make sure the Commission knows about it, if you want to come out and, you know, recognize the renaming of those properties and the efforts that individuals put in to be recognized and to be named after, you know. It will be a great event, so be sure to be looking for that coming up.

We're going to be closed on Labor Day, so if you show up here on Monday, September the 4th for work, you know, have fun. It will be a bonus day for you, but we're going to be closed on Monday, September 4th for Labor Day.

On derelict vessels, it's definitely a program that is continually moving. We have had a total of 117 derelict vessels reported to the program since it started. You know, it's amazing. 73 of those vessels have been removed. 73 of those vessels removed and an amazing team of individuals that's been working diligently on that, and so thank you to them.

We have 44 that are pending removal.

Zero that we have court orders for, and I know that the legal staff, they're going to be -
Steven, there is no doubt, he's like a bulldog on a postman and he'll be back out there with the

1 courts ready to go on the derelict vessels again. So we will be very soon looking at removing more 2 3 of those vessels that are pending. 4 The last thing --5 JOE SPRAGGINS: 6 Hey, Patrick. 7 PATRICK LEVINE: 8 Yes, sir. 9 JOE SPRAGGINS: 10 All right. Real quick, I just signed 11 something yesterday for \$1.9 million to be able to 12 give us federal funds to help us move the derelict 13 vessels. 14 PATRICK LEVINE: 15 That's fantastic news. So we, through 16 GOMA, had applied for a NOAA grant for marine 17 debris removal, and we have been awarded 18 \$1.9 million to be able to help move those 19 derelict vessels. So thank you to all the team 20 that helped put that together and make that possible. We will get some more vessels out of 21 22 there. Thank you, Director, for mentioning 23 24 that. The last thing I want to talk about, 25

last month there was the discussion about the opening on the on-bottom oyster leasing program. We talked about the portal being open, people being able to apply, and we had 80 applications come in. 80 people applied for on-bottom oyster leasing. A couple of those individuals may have put in two applications, but I tell you, that is phenomenal that we have that much interest and that many people that want to help rebuild oysters on the Mississippi Gulf Coast. That's good news.

I want to take a minute to explain the process because we've had a lot of people ask, what does the process look like. So those 80 applications that came in, they went through an RFP, a request for proposal, and that request for proposal, it outlined what's going to be required of individuals who are applying to lease those oyster bottoms. It had the criteria. They put in there their proposal on how they respond to it. It was submitted to our contract office where all of the names were redacted off of the applications.

And so there has been a committee that's been reviewing the applications. It's composed of two people from the DMR, one person from the

another agency and they have individually been scoring each of the applications based upon the information that the applicant received in the request for proposal. And everything was online. Everything was transparent. They knew what they were applying for and they said they were able to do X, Y and Z.

So the committee is reviewing those applications. Once they finish reviewing all 80 applications, they are going to rank them in order of score and then they will be able to start meeting with individuals and allowing them to lease the property.

And so that's the way it's being handled. The committee members do not know who the applicant is. They were given the information and they were reviewing it based on the information given to them without knowing who applied, and so it's a very equitable, transparent program. Of the 80 applications, all 80 of them have already been redacted. All 80 of the applications are in the hands of the committee and from I have been told, they're probably somewhere a little over 50 percent complete with the processing.

So hopefully in the next few days -- you 1 2 know, I know in due course, we'll be able to meet 3 with those individuals because we want people to 4 start getting -- building reef as guick as we can. 5 We know that the Gulf Coast needs it and so we're 6 excited about that. 7 RONNIE DANIELS: 8 Just a question I have got on that, so 9 if this process goes smoothly, I mean, is there 10 any possibility that they would potentially be 11 able to start working those reefs as early as 12 sometime this year or --13 JOE SPRAGGINS: 14 Well, when you define the possibility of 15 working that reef, for them to be able to cultch and plant and get out there working the reef, the 16 17 goal is to allow them the opportunity to be out 18 there this year. 19 RONNIE DANIELS: 20 Good. Great. 21 CAM ROBERDS: 22 Patrick, do you foresee another window 23 of applications being -- another round coming 24 soon? 25 PATRICK LEVINE:

1 Well, you know, like I said, we have 80 applicants and each applicant is allowed 2 3 2,500 acres. I personally don't know much acreage 4 each applicant applied for. I'm not on the 5 committee. But if there is some permitted bottoms that are still out there, I would expect that once 6 7 the dust settles and this first round goes 8 through, that if there is still some more out 9 there to be leased, I would think, by all means, 10 we can expect a second round. 11 JASON OSBORNE: 12 And are they applying for a specific 13 area or we're assigning the areas to them? 14 PATRICK LEVINE: 15 So no. What they're doing is they are 16 applying for a specific area. Inside of the RFP, 17 they have to give us the coordinates and they have to provide us a plat of the particular permitted 18 19 reef that they're interested in leasing, so they 20 are determining the property that they want. 21 JASON OSBORNE: 22 So theoretically, we could have 80 23 applicants for the same area? 24 PATRICK LEVINE: We could. We could. And now, you know, 25

once the committee finishes reviewing, what's going to happen is it's going to go to our GIS department. Our GIS department is going to take all 80 applications, they're going to create a map with overlays to see where that overlay exists and then start sorting the mill with the applicants based on how they were in the RFP.

JASON OSBORNE:

And so once they're done with that, if we have some applicants that have applied for the same area, are then -- are we then able to go back to them and say, okay, you didn't get this area, but we have this area over here available to you? PATRICK LEVINE:

Jason, you want to step up for just a second? Did you get that question?

JASON RIDER:

Yes. Good morning, first off. Thank y'all.

But yes, that's our intention, is to work with the applicants. If the first application has an area that is leased pre- -- or applicants after that, they will look at a map that's available at that point and then determine what they would like to lease moving forward.

1	JASON OSBORNE:
2	So just of this area, the 2,500 acres
3	you said 2,500 acres, Patrick, for one
4	application up to?
5	JASON RIDER:
6	Up to 2,500 acres, yes.
7	JASON OSBORNE:
8	How many if everybody asks for
9	2,500 acres, how many leases would we have?
10	RONNIE DANIELS:
11	How many total acres do we have
12	available?
13	JASON RIDER:
14	If each applicant asked for 2,500 acres
15	we would have less than about 10 leases
16	approximately.
17	RONNIE DANIELS:
18	Okay.
19	JASON OSBORNE:
20	And we don't know how many how much
21	they have asked for in each?
22	JASON RIDER:
23	I'm not aware of what each person asked
24	for. 2,500 acres is a large amount and the
25	requirements associated with them, they have to

1 cultivate and manage those reefs accordingly. if they get 2,500 acres, they're going to have to 2 3 put out a lot of money and a lot of time to 4 develop those acreages -- that acreage. 5 RONNIE DANIELS: Do we have a hand -- who determines when 6 7 that reef is harvestable? You know, I know 8 whenever we were controlling the reefs, we would 9 open and close seasons. 10 JASON RIDER: 11 So the harvester or the lessee will 12 determine when and how they want to harvest that 13 lease, but the DMR will maintain area 14 classification and open and close according to the 15 NSSP quidelines, so we still maintain that 16 control. 17 RONNIE DANIELS: 18 Okay. 19 JASON OSBORNE: 20 Last question. I should have looked at 21 this last meeting, but the area around 22 St. Stanislaus, because I know they do some oyster 23 bed work there, is that included in this, or is 24 that separated? 25 JASON RIDER:

So part of Stanislaus Reef is included 1 2 in this outside of the riparian right zone. Are you talking about St. Stanislaus school 3 4 specifically? 5 JASON OSBORNE: 6 Yeah. 7 JASON RIDER: 8 Yeah. So they have an oyster gardening 9 program. That is not affected with this, this 10 leasing process. 11 JASON OSBORNE: 12 Okav. 13 RONNIE DANIELS: 14 Very good. 15 PATRICK LEVINE: 16 Well, thank you. Thank you, Jason, for 17 addressing those comments. 18 But we are excited to see what's going 19 to happen. You know, I know that the off-bottom 20 aquaculture park, it's been a beauty to see those 21 oysters coming in, but, man, how nice will it be 22 when we start seeing oysters hit the dock again 23 and that's what we're hoping for and look forward 24 to in the future. 25 If you have a public comment today, TJ

1	is back in the back. TJ, raise your hand. He's
2	back in the back. You can get a public comment
3	form to him.
4	So thank you. That's all I have.
5	RONNIE DANIELS:
6	All right. We appreciate it.
7	Director, you don't have anything else?
8	JOE SPRAGGINS:
9	No, I'm fine. Thank y'all.
10	RONNIE DANIELS:
11	Okay. Thank you. Do we have anything
12	for our Commissioners to report today?
13	SANDY CHESNUT:
14	We have Actions Update.
15	RONNIE DANIELS:
16	Oh, I'm sorry. We jumped the gun.
17	Actions Update.
18	SANDY CHESNUT:
19	At the July meeting, the Commission
20	recommended and the Director approved a motion to
21	approve the requested variance and permit to be
22	issued to the MDMR for a beneficial use site.
23	They are going to fill approximately 660 acres of
24	Coastal wetlands in the Mississippi Sound on the
25	anythonotorn gide of Cat Taland

1 Also, Ronnie Daniels was elected as 2 chairperson, and Cameron Roberds was elected as 3 vice chair for FY 2024 period. And we had approval of state saltwater 4 5 finfish records. Conventional tackle: Whitebone 6 porgy, yellowtail snapper. Youth conventional 7 tackle: Rock hind, red snapper, Spanish mackerel 8 and crevalle jack. 9 And there was also a motion to approve 10 the procedures developed by MDMR staff to accept 11 requests for proposals for the on-bottom oyster 12 leasing in accordance with Senate Bill 2544 and 13 the recent changes to Title 22, Part 1 to conform 14 to the Senate Bill 2544 requirements. 15 And that's it. 16 RONNIE DANIELS: 17 Thank you, Ms. Sandy. 18 SANDY CHESNUT: 19 You're welcome. 20 RONNIE DANIELS: 21 Now, Commissioners report. 22 JONATHAN McLENDON: 23 So just want to give you an update on 24 what's going on in the domestic shrimp industry 25 here. Prices are just about the lowest that I've

seen them in the last 20 years. Give you an example, a fisherman comes up to the dock 26/30, head-on shrimp, he's getting 75 cents a pound.

The last time that I have seen that, fuel was about 1.50 a gallon. It's running about 3.25 right now, you know. And even if they do come back with a boatload of shrimp, these guys are running into processing backlogs. Processors are running into cold storage issues.

So, you know, we appreciate everything that everybody has done at the DMR from a marketing perspective to turn around and get this Bonnet Carré money turned out for them so fast.

But, you know, I mean, the people of
Mississippi can make a difference, so I think that
there is enough shrimp processors right here in
this state to get every man, woman and child in
this state 10 pounds of shrimp a year. So, you
know, right now we're running about five or
six percent of the total market. So, you know,
roughly 95 percent of the shrimp that's consumed
in this country come from overseas, so it really
doesn't take much for a state like Mississippi or
a state like Louisiana to keep moving the needle
for everybody.

So, you know, keep asking for domestic shrimp. Support your local shrimpers, and my thanks to everybody at the DMR for their continued support.

JOE SPRAGGINS:

Hey, Commissioners, Joe Spraggins. All right. Can you -- what is -- is it the price of the imported shrimp that's causing us the issue there because of the -- you only being able to pay like 75 cents a pound for the domestic shrimp? Is it because of the low price of the imported?

JONATHAN McLENDON:

So the landings in the Gulf of Mexico last year were about 100 million pounds. The imports into the country ran about 1.7 billion pounds. So you see the total demand, the forecasted demand is somewhere a little bit below a billion and a half pounds.

So last year the flood of imports, you know, was due to a lot of things, you know, the devalue of the dollar, weak demand from China, you know, stringent testing in other countries. So, you know, a lot of that product just flooded over here to the market and, you know -- and they continue -- you know, even at these prices, they

are continually underselling the domestic industry, you know. A lot of these foreign governments are offering assistance to the shrimp farmers.

And, you know, it's not only -- like in the domestic industry, like you're not really competing with each other. Like, you're competing -- you know, we've got domestic industries who are competing against foreign governments and that's what makes it so tough.

JOE SPRAGGINS:

Yeah. That's something we need to look at our legislators more about and the possibility of, you know, having our restaurants and others to look at the possibility of saying if they do serve the domestic shrimp or -- you know, then at least put it on the menu that way. If not, that it's imported. I know that's happening in Louisiana, and that might be something we need to look at.

JONATHAN McLENDON:

It is. From what I had seen from Louisiana, I think Louisiana -- there is an article stating that they had 2,500 violations of that law, and that's just coming from the health inspectors, but they've had zero fines. So I

1 think that, you know, while the law is good, you 2 know, the enforcement of it is severely lacking. 3 And, you know, I travel all around the 4 country. I see a lot of restaurants, a lot of 5 menus representing things as United -- you know, a 6 product of the United States or Gulf or local 7 caught, you know, is a prevailing problem no 8 matter where you go. 9 But like I mentioned, it really doesn't take -- you know, just a few Gulf states to get in 10 11 on this thing and turn the tide. 12 JOE SPRAGGINS: 13 And I was talking to Louisiana 14 yesterday, and they have given more money to be 15 able to enforce some of that and maybe to enforce more finds. So they're optimistic as to whether 16 17 that's going to happen or not. 18 JONATHAN McLENDON: 19 That's great. 20 RONNIE DANIELS: 21 All right. We got anything else? 22 (No response.) 23 RONNIE DANIELS: 24 All right. Office of Marine Patrol, 25 Chief.

KYLE WILKERSON:

Good morning, Commissioners, Legal, Director.

I'm going to let Captain Strickland go over the citation report. It was an extremely busy month last month, very hot. Our people and everybody else in general, we have to stay hydrated out there. We have to stay safe and we have to mitigate this heat until we can make fall.

All right. So Captain Strickland, go ahead with the citation report.

MICHAEL STRICKLAND:

All right. Thank you. Good morning.

As Colonel Wilkerson stated, July was a very busy month for us. The Office of Marine Patrol, one of the reasons that it was a busier month, we were blessed with good weather. I know it's been hot, but for recreational boating, it was a superb month.

Also, we had red snapper season during that time frame, but the big thing is, is that our agency participates in a national campaign called Operation Dry Water every year. That campaign is a national awareness campaign to bring a sense of heightened awareness to operating vessels on the

1 water under the influence of alcohol. 2 During the month of July in Operation 3 Dry Water, the Office of Marine Patrol effect 15 4 boating-under-the-influence arrests with another 5 six negligent operations involving alcohol, so 6 very busy. The guys are very diligent in 7 removing -- identifying these people and removing them from operating a vessel, you know, and 8 9 ultimately preserving safety and human life. 10 So totaled a little over 3,500 vessel 11 contacts for the month of July, so 3,500 people 12 that we stopped, talked to. And part of that 13 campaign is also educating the public on the 14 dangers of operating a vessel under the influence 15 of alcohol. So it's not only a detection, but 16 it's also an education process, so 3,500 stops 17 where we talked to people and brought them aware of it. 18 19 So questions? 20 (No response.) 21 MICHAEL STRICKLAND: 22 Thank you very much. 23 RONNIE DANIELS: 24 Thank you.

25

Coastal Resources Management, looks like

we're going to have Willa up. 1 2 WILLA BRANTLEY: 3 Good morning. I have one presentation this morning 4 5 from our office. It is a violation, an 6 after-the-fact exclusion for Jay Rubenstein. 7 was some piers built out of compliance and does 8 not meet the general permit guidelines, but it 9 does qualify under a certificate of exclusion from 10 our office. 11 It's located at 6201 Porteaux Road in 12 Ocean Springs. It's in the General Use District. 13 The agent was Doug DeLuca for the original general 14 permit that was issued, and Doug DeLuca and On the 15 Water, LLC, for the current after-the-fact 16 application. 17 So this is a pin showing the location of 18 the address. Okay. This is Washington in Ocean Springs. This is Old Fort Bayou, and the property 19 20 is over here. 21 Okay. This is a closeup of the actual 22 parcel of property before the construction of the 23 current structures. 24 So the applicant is requesting

25

constructed out of compliance with the issued general permit.

On June 10th, 2021, the applicant submitted the original application for a reconstruction of piers within the existing footprint of those derelict piers that you saw in the aerial photo.

On July 16th, that permit was issued as proposed. This was the diagram that they submitted showing the existing access pier out to the structures, a rectangular structure at the end and then over to the left, this L-shaped uncovered boat slip area.

On April 18th of 2023, DMR was informed of a possible violation at the address, performed a site visit that showed that several of the piers were constructed out of compliance with what was issued for those terminal structures and some were constructed in another location without any authorization.

On April 19th, the next day, we sent notice of violation, notifying the applicant and the agent of the noncompliance issue.

And this is a diagram of the structures. You have got the terminal structure out here at

the end of the existing access pier. It was built larger than what was permitted, and then you have got piers down here along the bulkhead that were not included in the permit at all.

This -- the dimensions are a little bit different than what we got on our final measurement, but I couldn't remove those. The green shows an estimation of what was permitted. The yellow was the existing access pier, and the red shows an estimation of the piers that were constructed either out of compliance with what was issued or with no authorization at all.

This is a picture of the site showing the construction. It's not complete. They stopped work once we notified them of the compliance issues.

This is a picture from the shore line showing out across the access pier to the terminal structure.

On April 20th, which was one day after we notified them of the compliance issue, we did receive requests for after-the-fact authorization for the piers as they were constructed.

On April 21st, the next day, we did send that out to all of the other Coastal program

1 agencies, the Secretary of State's office and the 2 Army Corps of Engineers because the footage was 3 such that it no longer qualified for a general permit. We could not authorize it on behalf of 4 5 the Corps of Engineers, so they are looking at 6 this for a separate certification. 7 We have looked at this and because 8 they -- the existing piers would have been 9 eligible for a certificate of exclusion if they 10 had been applied for properly, they don't fall 11 outside of any kind of precedent that's set with 12 square footage, we do recommend approval for the 13 piers as constructed. 14 If you have any questions, I can answer 15 what I can, and representatives from On the Water, 16 LLC are also here. 17 JASON OSBORNE: 18 So how long -- I mean, it looks like 19 they applied for this permit in '21. 20 WILLA BRANTLEY: 21 Yes, sir. 22 JASON OSBORNE: 23 How long is that permit valid? 24 WILLA BRANTLEY:

It was valid until May of 2023.

25

JASON OSBORNE:

So they started construction right before -- I mean, a month or two before permit was --

WILLA BRANTLEY:

Shortly before.

RONNIE DANIELS:

I guess the biggest question I always have with these is, why are you building something that wasn't originally permitted? Why the change after the fact without following the proper channels to get the proper authorization on what you're building? I mean, whenever we're building something, there's a set of plans and we build to those plans and if there is changes, you deal with those changes. I just don't understand.

AUSTIN CLARK:

Good morning, Commissioners, Director,
Counsel. My name is Austin Clark. I represent
the contractors, DeLuca and On the Water. I do
not represent Mr. Rubenstein, the owner, but my
clients are Mr. Rubenstein's agent, so I can speak
on their behalf. But I'll let my client answer
your question.

DOUG DeLUCA:

1 Yes, sir. Can you repeat your question, 2 please? 3 RONNIE DANIELS: I guess what I'm trying to get at, you 4 5 submitted a plan for one thing. 6 DOUG DeLUCA: 7 Correct. 8 RONNIE DANIELS: 9 But we ended up with something totally 10 different. How does that happen and why does that 11 happen? 12 DOUG DeLUCA: 13 Well, it basically happened because, 14 Number 1, while we were out there building that 15 project, there were certain days that I was not on 16 that job site. And at the request of the 17 homeowner or the property owner, there were some 18 changes that were made and when I came out to the 19 job site, those pilings had already been slightly 20 wider than what the original position should have 21 been, which I know that falls back on me. 22 And we chose to move forward with that 23 project at that point in time because the pilings 24

were already there in the ground. So that is how it ended up being slightly larger than what it had

25

intended to be.

AUSTIN CLARK:

And we do dispute -- there is an issue of whether the square footage is over 2,000 square feet or not. We have a surveyor-stamped drawing showing that minus the access pier, it is less than 2,000 square foot, so there is a dispute there. And I have a copy of that survey, if y'all would like it.

CAM ROBERDS:

I think the access pier is included in the total square footage, though, in the permitting process.

WILLA BRANTLEY:

No, sir. The access pier is not counted to whether it qualifies for a general permit or not.

And the measurements that I presented were done in Mr. DeLuca's presence by the Corps Of Engineers. Since we issue the general permits on their behalf, we asked them to come to the site to determine whether it qualified or not. They said that it did not. They gave us the square footage of 2,4- -- I would have to go back to my diagram -- 2,466, I think, and stated that it did

not qualify for a general permit, so we couldn't issue it on their behalf.

I did notify Mr. DeLuca and his attorney that if they had different measurements by an engineer -- they indicated that they did. I asked them to submit those. Those were never submitted, and I stated that in order for us to issue a general permit on behalf of the Corps after the fact, we would have to have a statement from the Corps of Engineers that it did qualify for a general permit because as of right now, their statement is that it does not.

AUSTIN CLARK:

And just to clarify, that's a -- our surveyor, our licensed surveyor who surveyed the land versus the Corps who had -- there were two guys just pulling a tape and -- from random points. So if there is any discrepancies in what they measured versus our surveyor, we would ask the Commission to rely on the survey.

JASON OSBORNE:

Okay. So then I have, I guess, a couple of problems here. One, is that we're -- you're asking us to approve a permit after the fact based on a 2,467-square-foot footprint, but yet, you're

1 giving us something that says it's less than 2,000 2 square feet. 3 So what is the permit that we're 4 actually approving, that you want us to approve? 5 AUSTIN CLARK: We dispute the 2,400 square feet. 6 7 Again, our position is that the square footage out 8 there is what it says on that survey, 1,989.1. 9 RONNIE DANIELS: 10 Do we have the ability to verify that? 11 AUSTIN CLARK: 12 Again, if you want to have another 13 licensed survey --14 JASON OSBORNE: 15 Okay. Then, here's the problem, if we're approving you for what's on our agenda 16 today, for 2,467, that puts you over the 2,000. 17 18 You then have to go to the Corps to get their 19 approval on it. So then if we've given the approval for the 2,467, then the Corps is going to 20 21 look at that and say, hey. Then we need to come 22 out and do our own measurements on that. So --23 AUSTIN CLARK: 24 And they have measured it. We would ask 25 that we are allowed to either amend the

application and that you take our survey today and approve that number that we had our surveyor do.

And we were working on it. We got the survey late last night, which is why Willa didn't get a copy of it, but that's what we're relying on. We're going to stick with our licensed surveyor and his survey.

RONNIE DANIELS:

Well, and that's what I was about to ask. This is the first time you have seen this, Willa?

12 | WILLA BRANTLEY:

Yes, sir.

AUSTIN CLARK:

And we just received those.

16 | WILLA BRANTLEY:

We can verify measurements again. But I measured. I got 2,466, I think, and the Corps got 2,467. We actually were less than half a square foot off. They carried theirs out to, like, three decimal points, measured precisely to the inch. Some of mine was based on estimation, but we were still less than half a square foot off on the total square footage between the measurements. AUSTIN CLARK:

And, again, I was there. We were all there to witness. I believe the Corps was -- I know that they did measure the access pier. It's unclear to us whether they included that square footage in their total. But when you remove the square footage of the access pier, you get to our number, which is 19 -- the 1,989.1.

WILLA BRANTLEY:

They did not include the access pier.

Chris Pickering is the project manager for the Corps, and he sent me an e-mail and specifically stated that he excluded the access pier square footage from his total.

AUSTIN CLARK:

And again, they're walking, hand tape measurements. I'm not sure what Willa did or her certification as far as surveying, but we're going to rely on our licensed surveyor on the square footage.

JASON OSBORNE:

So, I mean -- and I guess based on what I'm hearing, then, is we may not want to approve this today. We may want to shelve it because it's certainly -- Willa, you need time to look at this and verify if this is accurate or not?

WILLA BRANTLEY:

We need time to verify. We need time to check with the Corps about what -- the official square footage that they are going to accept, because at this point, it doesn't matter what we approve, even if you -- even if the Commission and Director decided to approve it at the 1,900 square feet, I still can't issue a general permit on behalf of the Corps because I have a statement from them stating that it does not qualify.

AUSTIN CLARK:

And we would ask -- we can get with the Corps and ask that they also verify, perhaps with their own survey versus their tape -- pulling a tape measure.

RONNIE DANIELS:

Is there a reason that there aren't any measurements on here or dimensions of the boathouse or --

AUSTIN CLARK:

Again, no. We're just relying on his total square footage pulled from his survey.

JASON OSBORNE:

I would imagine that he would have to have that mapped out because there's no

measurements on anything on the boat -- I mean, on the dock, pier, whatever, but there are plenty of measurements on the application that we're looking at.

AUSTIN CLARK:

Right. And again, if we need to have the surveyor bring those measurements into this particular survey map, we can do that. We can get with him and try to resolve that, which may be a better reason to table this matter for the next —so that we can clear this up.

JASON OSBORNE:

Yeah. And then I also, I mean, I -look, I just got on this commission last month
right? But in two months, I have seen your name
twice and I understand there is three times. And
it concerns me that your statement that the
original plan was going forward and you weren't on
site and then all of a sudden you came back and
oh, gee, the piers are longer.

DOUG DeLUCA:

22 Yes.

23 JASON OSBORNE:

Is that what happened on the other two, because you're not helping your case much if

you're, like, coming in here and saying that it happened without your knowledge.

DOUG DeLUCA:

No, the other two, that is not what happened at all. Number 1, the first one that we had received the violation on and a stop work order, which we shut down our equipment, was for a resident in Fort Bayou, which the portal said the permit was issued as proposed. But our job was shut down and we were told that we had to move our equipment, and we did not go finish that job. So we relocated. But the portal said the permit had been issued and our client and I were notified that it had not. So --

AUSTIN CLARK:

And we'd also like -- clarify what you did for the Marine Patrol on this project.

DOUG DeLUCA:

This particular site here, we've had visits from the agency that came out and they notified us that probably the peak of the roof, from what they gathered by boat -- they came up and visited us, which you did not know. You were not informed of that. But they said the roof might be too high.

1 We realized that it was -- 25 feet is 2 the max that you can be at depending on the tide. 3 So we went and deconstructed several of the 4 joists, lowering the roof three feet to be 5 within -- well within the compliance of the elevation, the overall height. 6 7 As far as that, they also came and 8 visited the site, tied the boat up to the pier and 9 walked through the property and nothing was said 10 of any violations at that point in time. So we 11 figured that everything was okay. 12 JASON OSBORNE: 13 Right. And I'm not trying to 14 re-litigate the other two. I'm just saying --15 DOUG DeLUCA: 16 No, sir. I understand, yes, sir. 17 JASON OSBORNE: 18 -- the perception is like this seems to be a recurring problem, right, and it's causing a 19 20 lot of issues for the staff to have to come out 21 and continually redo this. 22 And I know last month, we had tabled 23 this because there was new information coming in. 24 Is that right, Willa? 25 WILLA BRANTLEY:

1 Yeah. 2 JASON OSBORNE: 3 And now the night before, you come in 4 with this. We want to be sympathetic and we 5 want -- I mean, you've already constructed the 6 thing. I'm sure there's some constraints the 7 owner is putting on you. 8 DOUG DeLUCA: 9 Yes, sir. 10 JASON OSBORNE: 11 But I mean, moving forward, you have got 12 to work with us a little bit better, quite 13 frankly. I mean, it's --14 DOUG DeLUCA: 15 And I totally accept that 16 responsibility. I do, and we want to move forward within the agency who has -- they are very 17 beneficial to us as contractors, and we rely on 18 19 them. So when things like this occur, you know, I 20 accept that responsibility. So if it is a fact of 21 what we need to do forward from here, I'm amicable 22 to whatever is necessary to make it go forward. 23 RONNIE DANIELS: 24 Willa, are you agreeable in tabling this 25 one more time so y'all can take a look at this new

1 information or --2 WILLA BRANTLEY: 3 Yes, sir, that's fine. 4 Another thing about the Marine Patrol 5 visit, I did check with Officer Strickland and he 6 has no record -- and checked with his staff, I 7 believe. They have no record of stopping by, so 8 we don't know who that was that stopped by and 9 discussed the height. 10 RONNIE DANIELS: 11 Well, they don't do measurements or 12 anything like that anyhow, correct? 13 WILLA BRANTLEY: 14 Typically, not. Typically, they forward 15 it to us if they have any kind of complaint or 16 question and we go and investigate. 17 RONNIE DANIELS: 18 Well, I think that at this point the 19 best way to move forward is let's just go ahead 20 and table this thing again, let the staff review 21 what y'all have submitted. I personally would 22 like to see something with some dimensions on the 23 actual boathouse and the piers other than just the 24 number. 25 WILLA BRANTLEY:

Can we also get the Commission to 1 2. request a deadline for when those dimensions have 3 to be submitted because --4 RONNIE DANIELS: 5 What would be your preference? 6 WILLA BRANTLEY: 7 -- the month before it was pulled off at 8 the last minute because we got information at the 9 last minute, and now we're getting information at 10 the meeting that I had requested at least two 11 weeks ago. 12 AUSTIN CLARK: 13 And we appreciate that. I think the 14 general rule is 10 days or so before a hearing. 15 We seek to comply and we're going to work diligently to get all this information submitted 16 to the Commission before the next hearing. 17 RONNIE DANIELS: 18 19 That would be my next question. How much time do you need to review it 20 21 before the next meeting? Would 10 days give you 22 enough? 23 WILLA BRANTLEY: 24 I would say at least two weeks ahead. We set the agenda approximately 10 days prior to 25

the meeting. So if we could have it 14 days prior to that, that would give us several days to look at it and review it. Actually, that would put us into the week -- two of those days would be weekend days. So August 29th would put us at two weeks prior to the next meeting.

AUSTIN CLARK:

And I don't know that that gives them or the Corps time to do what they need to do. We're fine with whatever the Commission tells us to do, but they're going to need their time to get out there and check on this.

JASON OSBORNE:

But our issue isn't the Corps. The Corps relies on us for the -- I mean, the Corps will look at what we decide to do.

AUSTIN CLARK:

Right.

JASON OSBORNE:

So they can continue doing what they're doing, but in terms of what we and our staff need to do, like I think the 29th is applicable. At least from my perspective, if it's not in by that time, like I'm not prepared to support an application at the next meeting unless everything

is in on time. I mean, this is -- it's kind of ridiculous if we're getting information the day before or even the night before and you're asking us to make a decision on this.

RONNIE DANIELS:

Well, here's the other thing, too, I would like to see them get it sooner than later, be able to look at it. If you will get it to them -- if they don't get it done, that's fine. We don't have to put it on the agenda for next month. We can move it one more month without having to bring everybody back in here.

AUSTIN CLARK:

Understood.

RONNIE DANIELS:

But let's go ahead and get them the information that they need as quickly as possible. That way they can look into it. And if we're ready for it on the next meeting, we will add it back to the agenda.

AUSTIN CLARK:

And I will say, I believe the surveyor is out of town, but as soon as he gets back into town, we can get him to add this information to the survey and we will submit it to Willa

1	immediately.
2	RONNIE DANIELS:
3	Okay.
4	JASON OSBORNE:
5	And then, lastly, for the record, just
6	if you look at the measurements there on that, it
7	does not include the existing pier in those
8	measurements. If you add up the totals of all the
9	measurements on there, that's 2,467 square feet.
10	AUSTIN CLARK:
11	And again, we're going to rely on our
12	licensed surveyor for the square footage.
13	JASON OSBORNE:
14	I'm just telling you, if you map it out,
15	that's what the measurements are.
16	RONNIE DANIELS:
17	Okay. Well, let's get it all in and
18	let's let the staff review it.
19	AUSTIN CLARK:
20	Right.
21	RONNIE DANIELS:
22	I will make the motion to table this
23	until we bring it back after the staff has had
24	time to review it.
25	JONATHAN McLENDON:

```
1
                 I second it.
 2
      RONNIE DANIELS:
 3
                 All in favor?
 4
      JONATHAN McLENDON:
 5
                 Aye.
 6
      JASON OSBORNE:
 7
                 Aye.
 8
      CAM ROBERDS:
 9
                 Aye.
10
      RONNIE DANIELS:
11
                 Aye.
12
                 Thank you, guys. Thank you, Willa.
13
      WILLA BRANTLEY:
14
                 Thank you.
15
      AUSTIN CLARK:
                 Thank you, Commissioners.
16
17
      RONNIE DANIELS:
                 All right. Finance and Administration,
18
19
      Ms. Leslie.
20
      LESLIE BREWER:
                 Good morning, everyone. I'll present
21
22
      the financials for the end of July, July 31st,
23
      2023.
24
                At the end of the month, our state
25
      revenue was $3 million. Our agency revenue was
```

1 also \$3 million. 2 Our state net income was 2.7, and agency 3 net income was 2.5. After one month of our new fiscal year, 4 5 99.8 percent of our budget remaining for operating funds, and Tidelands, we have 99.05 percent. 6 7 Anyone have any questions? 8 RONNIE DANIELS: 9 No, ma'am. 10 LESLIE BREWER: 11 Thank you. RONNIE DANIELS: 12 13 All right. Ms. Charmaine. 14 CHARMAINE SCHMERMUND: 15 Good morning. The Mississippi 16 Department of Marine Resources had 10 media 17 mentions since the July MACMR meeting. News items included the announcement of Commissioner Osborne 18 19 to the MACMR, the opening of proposals for 20 on-bottom molluscan shellfish leases and financial assistance applications being accepted for the 21 22 2019 Bonnet Carré Spillway Fisheries Disaster 23 Recovery Program. 24 The office of Marine Patrol took part in 25 the City of Gulfport's turning 125 years old

celebration July 29th and the National Night Out
Against Crime with the City of Moss Point on
August 1st.

Rick Burris gave an agency presentation to the Biloxi Lions Club on August 2nd at the Maritime and Seafood Industry Museum.

The third class of the 2023-2024 off-bottom Aquaculture Training Program was held on July 29th at the Bolton Building and the Biloxi Small Craft Harbor with 18 participants.

Shellfish Bureau staff gave a presentation on marketing and branding strategies, distribution tactics, permitting 101 and oyster tumbling operations. The staff of Eagle Point Oyster Company followed with a workshop where participants received hands-on training on the tumbling process of seed-size and market-size oysters and provided the class with aquaculture tips and tricks.

MDMR staff participated at One Coast Day at the Neshoba County Fair. On July 25th, we fed gulf-fresh seafood to an estimated 600 guests. We also promoted the Mississippi Seafood Program with a booth featuring promotional materials the morning of July 27th. We had approximately 500

1 visitors to our booth that morning. Many agencies 2 and organizations from the Gulf Coast attended One 3 Coast Day to promote everything our Coast has to 4 offer. 5 And we will be at the Seafood Festival 6 in the Biloxi Town Green on Saturday, 7 September 9th if anyone would like to stop by our booth and visit us. 8 9 RONNIE DANIELS: 10 Thank you. A lot going on. 11 Bear with me. Excuse me. Just one 12 second. 13 All right. Where are we at? Marine Fisheries, artificial reef update. 14 15 COURTNEY WALKER: 16 Morning, Patrick, Legal, Commissioners. 17 I'm not Travis. I'm Courtney doing the artificial 18 reef update. 19 I just have a brief presentation on same 20 recent projects that we have going on. Our first 21 one is NFWF Barrier Island Reef Project. This is 22 a project that is -- we used eco disks as our 23 structures, and we deployed 860 reef modules FH-8

9/11, 10, and Cat Island. These deployments took

a total of four days. April 18th and 19th, we

24

25

deployed about half of them and then again on July 17th and 18th for the remainder. These are constructed of Florida limestone on a pedestal that's made of a concrete base with rebar.

As you can see here, these are the units that we deployed. This design was specifically chosen because of the rings. It creates a space for these juvenile fishes, for our habitat protection and for forging opportunities. On Fish Haven 8, we deployed 200 units, Fish Haven 9/11, 215 units; Fish Haven 10, 210 units, and on Cat Island, 235 units all in water depth of 12 to 18 feet.

This project, now that the construction phase is over, it will start monitoring in March of next year for two years.

This is some pictures from the recent deployments. As you can see, each structure is going to be individually picked up and placed on the bottom. We want them all to be vertical and standing.

DMR in conjunction with the Mississippi Gulf Fishing Banks and Omega Protein also deployed two retired fishing vessels. The first one is Mermentau, which is a 149-foot fishing vessel

built in 1989. We deployed this one on July 26th in 90 feet of water on Fish Haven 13. These are some recent pictures from the recent deployment.

We also deployed GP Chauvin, which was a 147-feet-long fishing vessel built in 1989. It was deployed the next day on July 27th and it's also located on Fish Haven 13 in 90 feet of water. And this is some pictures from that deployment as well.

This is the drone footage provided by our IT department. As you can see here, the contractor is already detached from the vessel. We have the bow anchored. The stern is already filled with water. The hatches were removed. All plastics, oil-based products, everything removed from the boat. The intent here is you want the boat to land up -- upright on the bottom. So filling the stern full of water first helps this, and this deployment, with the water flooding and everything, only took about 30 minutes to -- for it to sink. And after each vessel was deployed, Artificial Reef staff site scanned over it and got the coordinates for each vessel.

And here's a map of just some locations that I discussed, the eco disks that went on the

1 Cat Island and FH-8, 9/11 and 10, and then the fishing vessels that were deployed on Fish Haven 2 3 13. Any questions? 4 5 RONNIE DANIELS: Great work. That's exciting stuff. 6 7 COURTNEY WALKER: 8 Thank you. 9 JOE SPRAGGINS: 10 Hey, Commissioners. This is Joe. Too, 11 I would like to thank Gulf Fishing Banks and Omega 12 for helping us with this in being able to put 13 those two big boats out there. This is a big deal 14 for us. 15 RONNIE DANIELS: 16 Yes. Absolutely, Joe. Thank you. 17 All right. So we're going to back up 18 just a little bit here. I would like to amend my 19 motion that I made a few minutes ago on the permit. I left a part off there. I would like to 20 21 amend the motion to add the August 29th date of 22 when the documentation, the additional 23 documentation needs to be submitted to our staff. 24 Is that good? 25 SANDY CHESNUT:

```
1
                 Yes, that's good. We just need a
 2
      second.
 3
      RONNIE DANIELS:
                 Anybody second it?
 4
 5
      JONATHAN McLENDON:
                 I will second it.
 6
 7
      RONNIE DANIELS:
 8
                 All in favor?
 9
      JONATHAN McLENDON:
10
                 Aye.
11
      CAM ROBERDS:
12
                 Aye.
13
      JASON OSBORNE:
14
                 Aye.
15
      RONNIE DANIELS:
16
                 Aye.
17
                 All right. Thank you.
18
      JENNIFER WITTMANN:
                 Commissioner Daniels --
19
20
      RONNIE DANIELS:
                 Yes, ma'am.
21
      JENNIFER WITTMANN:
22
                 -- I believe that the DeLucas left.
23
24
      RONNIE DANIELS:
25
                 Their attorney came back in.
```

1 AUSTIN CLARK: 2 Yeah, I have got it. 3 JENNIFER WITTMANN: 4 Okay. We just want to be sure. We have 5 had some -- want to be sure that communication is clear, so we will send that to them in writing and 6 7 also an e-mail as well just so there is not any confusion. 8 9 RONNIE DANIELS: 10 Yes, ma'am. Thank you. We appreciate 11 you coming back in. 12 **AUSTIN CLARK:** 13 Yes, sir. 14 RONNIE DANIELS: 15 All right. Rodie Armes must not be 16 fishing. We don't have any records. Hope he 17 isn't sick. All right. Do we have any other 18 19 business? 20 JASON OSBORNE: 21 I just had a question about -- given the 22 fires that have gone on this last week, if there 23 has been any sort of damage or anything 24 affecting --25 JENNIFER WITTMANN:

So we do have the Grand Bayou Coastal Preserve that is adjacent to Buccaneer State Park. We had about 32 and a half acres of state property that were burned during that fire. We did not have any structures on the site, so there were no kiosks or lookouts or anything like that, so there was no significant damage. Our staff was able to go out the day after and make sure that, you know, it wasn't anything where there were any safety issues.

The fires that we had in Hancock County over the weekend, there was not any state property that was affected. Most of our property in that area is to the east and the south of I-10 and at the Bay St. Louis exit, so we are hopeful that, you know, the wildfires will stay contained. I know the Forestry Commission has been out doing a lot over the last couple of days. I think their estimation is between 1,400 and 1,500 acres have been burned.

But at this point, the only thing that we have seen with the recent fires has been the Grand Bayou Preserve that is adjacent to Buccaneer State Park.

JASON OSBORNE:

1 Got you. Thank you. 2 JENNIFER WITTMANN: 3 You're welcome. RONNIE DANIELS: 4 5 All right. Thank you. 6 Public comments, we have got one public 7 comment today, Mr. Clarence Seymour talking about cobia. 8 9 Welcome. 10 CLARENCE SEYMOUR: 11 Good morning, Commissioners, Executive 12 Director Spraggins. Clarence Seymour, Charter 13 Boat SYL, Biloxi, Mississippi federally permitted, 14 also charters out of state and also a private 15 angler of the state of Mississippi for quite a 16 long time. 17 My discussion today on the cobia is the 18 state regulations. It concerns me with two per person considered by the federal regulations to 19 20 one -- you know, two per boat. 21 So I did my research before I came here. 22 So Texas bag limit is two at 40 inches, and it 23 didn't say if it was fork or total length. 24 Florida is one per person or two per vessel and 25 Louisiana is two per boat, 36-inch fork and

Alabama and Mississippi have the same regulations, two per person, 33 inches to the fork.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Years ago, I was -- it was about three or four years ago, I was a public comment with the Gulf Council about when they started the regulations of going to a two-per-boat, and we was concerned about raising the length of 33 inches --I mean, 33 to 36 inches to the fork because a lot of private anglers are new to the Gulf, and such as, and see a cobia and they act like they've seen the boogie man. And it would be best to have a nice net to be able to put a 36-inch fork cobia because they are really hard to judge. So that was my concerns of sticking a gaff in something that you have to throw back. And that's one thing I hate about any type of throwback rules, is any type of injury and not placing it correctly back into the Gulf.

So my recommendation would be that we may go to public comment about the guideline change or regulations. I know this staff could -- I spoke to Mr. Daniels about it a couple of times, and I reached out to Marty Wilson's group, One Gulf. And so -- and Kyle Johnson with CCA, I never got a response back from him to see how the

Mississippi CCA felt about this idea, but I would think that maybe we can get it out to -- put it out to the public and see what the private anglers in Mississippi think and maybe some charter guys, and such as.

But two fish per person in my career of 35-plus years of running 100 miles back and forth in the Gulf, we killed a lot of cobia in the day and I don't see near the amount of fish that there is today. And I'm worried about preserving for all — the future of our younger anglers that's going to enjoy the Gulf of Mexico and the Mississippi Sound and the state adjacent waters.

My career in cobia fishing, I have won three cobia tournaments in the past 25, 30 years at Gorenflo's, several other events, placed, first, second and third in all. I've been fishing my whole life, so I would like to see the heyday come back of the '90s and the 2000s.

And then we started getting a decline, which I'm sure that -- the other states, they pulled the oil rigs up, so there is a lot involved in this whole cobia deal, which public testimony goes a long way and the last four or five years on -- at the Gulf Council level is the reason they

went to, you know, two fish per boat, which we put -- we went to the podium and asked for it as a federal for-hire fleet basically.

So and the other thing I had was just I appreciate y'all doing what you do. And Director Spraggins, thanks for all the good, hard work he does. And that's all I have got.

Any questions?

RONNIE DANIELS:

I have got one for you, Clarence.

So, I mean, what would your proposal be? Are you wanting to see in line with what the federal regs are or maybe a lesser change by the state, just coming off of that many per boat or -- CLARENCE SEYMOUR:

I'm for the two per boat. Here lately, the -- I have limited out, I don't know, I'd say three times this summer back in June. We had a really good run of cobia by the shrimp boats while we were snapper fishing.

So I refused to take the state limit because some fish were in state boundary, but I'm a self-regulator and conservationist on that item. But by the time you clean two keeper cobia, now that's about 20 pounds. I think you can yield

10 pounds of filet out of a 20-pound cobia cleaned properly by a professional.

But I think that two per boat at baby steps and we could always -- you know, there is not a lot of regulations that go backwards, but as stock assessments come back in and people come back and say, man, the cobias are great. We had a great spring run and a great fall run, they're all over the buoys in October, they are out at Chandeleur again and they're on -- outside of Horn Island, so I just -- you know, not everybody wants to hear regulation changes at all, and that's the main thing that concerns me, that we want to get this lead back. But we have three states that's in regulations with the federal government. And my charter boat captains in Alabama, I haven't really spoke to them about that because we've all been -- they're been pretty bowed up about it.

RONNIE DANIELS:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Well, I know it's a subject a lot of people are talking about. I've been getting some phone calls about it as well.

Trevor, did you want to touch on anything here?

TREVOR MONCRIEF:

This is certainly the expert in the room here beside me on it.

So I think what he has proposed as far as putting a presentation together and at least presenting the background of regulatory changes and all that stuff over the last few years, I think is apt. So we've got the 36-inch. We can comply with that change of a couple of years ago.

Texas it's not on their books yet, but they proposed one. They're going to one fish per person at a max. It's going to be a max of six. And theirs are total length. They do everything in total length over there.

And then the other thing I'll bring up that has caused confusion with our anglers, around the time of the March tournaments, we started getting calls, April, everything else, all that, as soon as everyone started planning out the tournaments. Everyone was kind of asking the difference between state and federal regulations. And, you know, it spurred between snapper season and everything else. I think it would be good to get it out there in the open.

The other part of it, Clarence made the point, when you make -- especially on the federal

side when strong regulatory decisions are made, large steps from what is two per person down to one person, two per vessel, it's not often that we have discussions about bringing that back. We don't ever talk about increasing ACLs or lowering size limits or increasing bag limits too often.

So there is a little bit of hesitance on that end to make such a large scale change, but I think it would be good to provide options, have the public be able to come and provide comment, let's hear it and kind of get that moving in that direction.

CAM ROBERDS:

I was just going to say, you know, from a recreational perspective, I mean, that's the talk. Every -- you know, in the spring of every year, you know, are we going to get cobia because it's -- the reduction for us is real. I mean, it's noticeable. So I would agree with Mr. Clarence. I know he does have many, many years on me, so he was probably catching them way before I was.

RONNIE DANIELS:

Anything?

So what I'm hearing, I guess we can make

1 a motion to ask y'all to take a look at this and 2 bring it back to us and -- next month or the month 3 after. 4 TREVOR MONCRIEF: 5 Yeah. I think next month would be fine. 6 RONNIE DANIELS: 7 Okay. Well, I would be happy to make 8 that motion to ask the staff to put together 9 information on cobia potential changes. 10 TREVOR MONCRIEF: 11 Yes. So background, the cobia 12 regulatory changes, the background of it over the 13 last five or six years and then we'll come back, 14 you know, with a suite of options to consider, one 15 of those being status quo, in the middle and then 16 current federal compliance. I think that would be 17 a good place to start. 18 CLARENCE SEYMOUR: 19 Great idea. 20 RONNIE DANIELS: 21 All right. Do we have a second? 22 CAM ROBERDS: 23 I'll second. 24 CLARENCE SEYMOUR: 25 Thank y'all.

```
1
      RONNIE DANIELS:
                 Thank you, Clarence.
 2
 3
      CLARENCE SEYMOUR:
                 Any more questions?
 4
 5
      RONNIE DANIELS:
                 No, sir.
 6
 7
      CLARENCE SEYMOUR:
 8
                 Thank y'all.
 9
      RONNIE DANIELS:
10
                 We have a motion and a second.
11
                 All in favor?
12
      CAM ROBERDS:
13
                 Aye.
14
      JONATHAN McLENDON:
15
                 Aye.
16
      JASON OSBORNE:
17
                 Aye.
18
      RONNIE DANIELS:
19
                 Aye.
                 All right. We're going to talk about
20
21
      cobia.
22
      PATRICK LEVINE:
                 Sounds good.
23
24
      RONNIE DANIELS:
25
                 I think that about winds us up. Do we
```

```
1
      have a motion to adjourn?
 2
                 Well, I will make it.
 3
      CAM ROBERDS:
 4
                 Second.
 5
      RONNIE DANIELS:
 6
                 Y'all have a great day.
 7
                    (Adjourned at 10:04 a.m.)
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1 CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER

I, MELISSA BURDINE-RODOLFICH, Court Reporter and Notary Public, in and for the County of Harrison, State of Mississippi, hereby certify that the foregoing pages, and including this page, contain a true and correct copy of my stenotype notes and/or electronic tape recording of the public meeting witness, as taken by me at the time and place heretofore stated, to the best of my skill and ability.

I further certify that I am not in the employ of, or related to, any counsel or party in this matter, and have no interest, monetary or otherwise, in the final outcome of the proceedings.

Witness my signature and seal, this the day of ______, 2023

/s/ Melissa Burdine-Rodolfich

Melissa Burdine-Rodolfich, 74171 My Commission Expires 4/28/24