

**CONCURRING STATEMENT OF
COMMISSIONER JONATHAN S. ADELSTEIN**

Re: Telecommunications Relay Services and Speech-to-Speech Services for Individuals with Hearing and Speech Disabilities, Memorandum Opinion and Order, CG Docket No. 03-123

Video Relay Service (VRS) is an increasingly important tool for those portions of the deaf and hard of hearing community who rely on American Sign Language (ASL). VRS allows ASL and hearing individuals to have real-time conversations that more closely mirror the speed and natural flow of voice-to-voice conversations. VRS opens a world of new communications opportunities for many senior citizens, children, and others who may be unable to type on a TTY phone easily. Users of VRS rely on these services not only to communicate with friends and family, but also to run successful businesses, reach operators in the event of an emergency, and complete everyday tasks that many of us take for granted.

Among the issues raised in this Order is the Commission's process for determining the "reasonable costs" that may be recovered from the TRS Fund by VRS providers. During the past three years, the rate-setting process has presented a variety of open questions and controversy among providers and consumers. In this Order, the Commission finds that neither the Bureau nor the TRS Administrator violated the Commission's rules in setting compensation rates for the 2004-05 funding year, a finding that provides a degree of closure for a funding period now two years removed.

Working together, the Commission has taken important steps to improve VRS over the past year. I particularly appreciate the fine work of our Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau on VRS issues during this time. Yet, particularly with respect to the rate setting process, the Commission can raise the bar and improve VRS for all participants. I limit my support for this Order to a concurrence because it is imperative that the Commission take up comprehensively the broader questions raised about the VRS rate setting process. Providers have urged us to inject more transparency and predictability into this process, and I have called on the Commission to engage in this sort of review. It is absolutely critical that the Commission provide oversight to ensure that our VRS compensation rate is limited to "reasonable costs," the standard articulated in our rules. At the same time, we also have an obligation to ensure that providers have adequate notice of how we will apply this standard, so that they can plan their operations accordingly.

I continue to believe that this sort of comprehensive review and the development of predictable and transparent rules would benefit providers, contributors, and the many consumers with and without hearing disabilities who rely on VRS. I look forward to working with my colleagues, our CGB staff, members of the TRS Advisory Committee, and the many members of the disabilities community on these issues as we move forward.