# Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of	)
Closed Captioning and Video Description of Video Programming	) ) )
Implementation of Section 305 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996	) MM Docket No. 95-176 )
Video Programming Accessibility	)
I	REPORT
Adopted: July 25, 1996	Released: July 29, 1996
By the Commission:	

# Table of Contents

			Paragraph
I.	Introdu	action	. 1
	A.	Statutory Requirements	. 2
	B.	Notice of Inquiry	
П.	Summa	ary of this Report	. 8
	A.	Scope of this Report	. 8
	B.	Summary of Findings	. 11
III.	Closed	Captioning of Video Programming	. 25
	A.	Introduction	. 25
	B.	Audiences that Benefit from Closed Captioning	. 29
	C.	Methods of Closed Captioning	. 38
	D.	Cost of Closed Captioning	
	E.	Current Availability of Programming with Closed Captioning	
	F.	Funding of Closed Captioning	
	G.	The Quality and Accuracy of Closed Captioning	. 87

A.	Introduction
B.	Audiences that Benefit from Video Description
C.	Methods of Distribution of Video Description
D.	Cost of Video Description
E.	Funding for Video Description
F.	Current Availability of Video Description
G.	Obstacles to Video Description
H.	Statutory Considerations
I.	Conclusion

Appendix: List of Commenters

#### I. INTRODUCTION

1. Section 713 of the Communications Act of 1934 ("Act"), as amended by the Telecommunications Act of 1996 ("1996 Act"), directs the Commission to conduct inquiries into the accessibility of video programming to individuals with hearing and visual disabilities.<sup>1</sup> This report is issued in compliance with this statutory requirement. It is based on information submitted by commenters in response to a *Notice of Inquiry* ("*Notice*") in this docket and publicly available information.<sup>2</sup>

#### A. Statutory Requirements

2. Section 713(a) requires the Commission to complete an inquiry within 180 days of enactment of the 1996 Act to ascertain the level at which video programming is closed captioned. A report on the results of this inquiry shall be submitted to Congress. Specifically, Section 713(a) directs the Commission to examine the extent to which existing or previously published programming is closed captioned, the size of the video programming provider or programming owner providing closed captioning, the size of the market served, the relative audience shares achieved and any other related factors. The Commission also is required to establish regulations and implementation schedules to ensure that video programming is fully

Section 305 of the 1996 Act, Pub. L. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996), adds a new Section 713, Video Programming Accessibility, to the Act, 47 U.S.C. § 613.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Closed Captioning and Video Description of Video Programming, Notice of Inquiry, MM Docket No. 95-176, FCC 95-484, 11 FCC Rcd 4912 (1996) ("Notice"). Commenters are listed in the Appendix. We also received numerous letters and informal filings in this proceeding.

accessible through closed captioning within 18 months of the enactment of the section.<sup>3</sup> The Commission will initiate the rulemaking required by the Act with the issuance of a notice of proposed rulemaking in the next several months.

- 3. Section 713(f) requires the Commission to commence an inquiry within six months after the date of enactment of the 1996 Act "to examine the use of video descriptions of video programming in order to ensure the accessibility of video programming to persons with visual impairments." The Commission must report to Congress on its findings, including an assessment of the appropriate methods and schedules for phasing video descriptions into the marketplace, technical and quality standards for video descriptions, a definition of programming for which video descriptions would apply, and other technical and legal issues that the Commission deems appropriate.
- 4. Section 713 is "designed to ensure that video services are accessible to hearing impaired and visually impaired individuals." The legislative history of this section states that it is Congress' goal "to ensure that all Americans ultimately have access to video services and programs particularly as video programming becomes an increasingly important part of the home, school and workplace." The House Committee recognized that there has been a significant increase in the amount of video programming that includes closed captioning since the passage of the Television Decoder Circuitry Act of 1990 ("TDCA"). Nevertheless, the House Committee expressed a concern that video programming through all delivery systems should be accessible to persons with disabilities.

# B. Notice of Inquiry

5. On December 1, 1995, prior to the enactment of the 1996 Act, the Commission adopted the *Notice* in this proceeding. It sought information consistent with the legislation that was pending at that time and comment on a wide variety of issues relating to closed captioning and video description of video programming. This inquiry was intended to gather the information needed to assess the current availability, cost and uses of closed captioning and video description. In the *Notice*, the Commission asked specific questions regarding the importance of closed

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Section 713(b)-(e), 47 U.S.C. § 613(b)-(e), which are specific provisions relating to the rules the Commission must adopt.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> 47 U.S.C. § 613(f).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Conference Report, H.R. Report 104-458 (1996), at 182.

<sup>6</sup> Id. at 183.

House Report, H.R. Report 104-204, Part 1 (1995) at 113. As enacted, Section 713 adopted the House provisions on video accessibility with modifications. Conference Report at 184.

<sup>8</sup> House Report at 113-114.

captioning to persons with hearing disabilities and of video description to persons with visual disabilities and sought information on other population groups that could benefit from the availability of these services. We requested data on the availability of video programming, both new and previously published, with closed captioning and video description. In the *Notice*, we asked questions regarding the availability of suppliers of closed captioning and video description, the costs of including these services and how they are currently funded.

- 6. The *Notice* also sought comment on the appropriate means of promoting wider use of closed captioning and video description in programming delivered by broadcast television, cable television, and other video providers. In particular, we sought comment on the general form any mandatory closed captioning or video description rules should take, if they are deemed necessary, including technical standards, quality standards, exemptions for classes of programmers or delivery systems, appropriate timetables for implementing any mandatory requirements and the scope of the Commission's jurisdiction to impose mandatory closed captioning or video description requirements on video service providers and program producers and owners.
- 7. Because the 1996 Act adopted the provisions concerning the availability of video programming with closed captioning and video descriptions which formed the basis of the Commission's December 1995 inquiry, the Commission decided to use the comments filed in that proceeding for the inquiries it is required to conduct pursuant to Sections 713(a) and (f) of the Act. In an *Order* adopted February 27, 1996, the Commission announced this decision and asked that commenters direct their comments towards the specific provisions of the statute.<sup>9</sup>

#### II. SUMMARY OF THIS REPORT

#### A. Scope of this Report

8. This report addresses each of the issues the Commission is required to examine under Section 713 with respect to closed captioning and video description of video programming. We examine the extent to which programming is currently closed captioned (Section III) and the amount of video description of video programming currently provided (Section IV). With respect to closed captioning, the statutory mandate directs the Commission to study the current status of this technology and its uses. Thus, we provide a general description of closed captioning, the population groups that can benefit from its availability, the methods and costs of closed captioning, the amount of programming now available with captions, current funding of captioning and a description of the quality and accuracy of today's closed captioning. In this report, we do not address issues raised in the *Notice* regarding proposals for specific rules, standards and implementation schedules for closed captioning, as they go beyond the scope of the inquiry requirements of Section 713(a). These matters will be considered in the context of a subsequent notice of proposed rulemaking that we will issue to consider proposed rules to fulfill

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> See Closed Captioning and Video Description of Video Programming, Order, MM Docket No. 95-176, FCC 96-71, 11 FCC Rcd 5783 (1996). The Commission also extended the time for filing comments.

the Congressional mandate that the Commission adopt rules to implement closed captioning requirements by August 8, 1997.<sup>10</sup>

- 9. Section 713(f) focuses the Commission's inquiry on the appropriate methods and schedules for phasing video description into the marketplace and standards for this technology, including technical and quality standards for video descriptions. In Section IV we provide a general discussion of the availability of video description and general information regarding the population groups that can benefit from its availability, the methods and costs of adding descriptions to video programming, the amount of programming now available with description and the current funding of this technology. As directed by the statute, we then address methods and schedules for phasing video description into the marketplace, including appropriate regulatory and technical requirements.
- 10. This report encompasses all types of available video programming with closed captioning and video description delivered to consumers through existing distribution technology. We report on the availability of broadcast commercial and noncommercial networks, basic and premium cable networks, syndicated and locally produced broadcast and cable programming with closed captions and video description. In addition to over-the-air broadcast television service and cable television service, we examine the availability of the delivery of closed captions and video descriptions to consumers by other multichannel video programming distributors ("MVPDs"). Among these distributors are direct-to-home ("DTH") satellite services, including direct broadcast satellite ("DBS") services and home satellite dishes ("HSD"), wireless cable systems using the multichannel multipoint distribution service ("MMDS"), instructional television fixed service ("ITFS") or local multipoint distribution ("LMDS"), satellite master antenna television ("SMATV") and local exchange carrier ("LEC") video services.<sup>11</sup>

# B. Summary of Findings

## 1. Closed Captioning

11. Captioning of video programming has existed since the early 1970s. Through the efforts of Congress, government agencies and a variety of private parties, captioned video programming has grown over the past 25 years so that it is now a common feature associated with the vast majority of popular prime time broadcast television programming. Congress'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Section 713(a). Specific requirements the Commission must consider when adopting regulations are specified in Sections 713(b)-(e) and comments directed at those provisions will be considered in the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking.

See Annual Assessment of the Status of Competition in the Market for the Delivery of Video Programming, Second Annual Report, CS Docket No. 95-61, FCC 95-491, 11 FCC Rcd 2060 (1996) ("1995 Competition Report"). This report provides detailed information regarding all available video distribution technologies.

passage of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 ("ADA")<sup>12</sup> requiring the closed captioning of federally funded public service announcements, the Television Decoder Circuitry Act of 1990 ("TDCA")<sup>13</sup> and the 1996 Act reflect a continuing national commitment to ensuring "that all Americans ultimately have access to video services and programs particularly as video programming becomes an increasingly important part of the home, school and workplace."<sup>14</sup>

- 12. <u>Beneficiaries of Closed Captioning</u>: The principal beneficiaries of closed captioning are the approximately 22.4 million persons who are hearing disabled. In 1995, 25 million decoder-equipped television sets were sold in the U.S. It is estimated that between 50 and 60 million U.S. homes can currently receive closed captioning.
- 13. Technology: Closed captioning is distributed on line 21 of the vertical blanking interval ("VBI") of broadcast and other analog television signals. Commission rules reserve line 21 for this service. Pursuant to the TDCA, since July 1, 1993, all television receivers with screen sizes 13 inches or larger must be capable of receiving and displaying closed captions. Cable television systems retransmitting broadcast signals must pass through closed captioning to the receivers of all subscribers. For those whose television receivers are not capable of decoding and displaying closed captioning, separate decoders may be purchased. Existing technology, however, can only decode Latin based alphabets and symbols, so captioning of some non-English language programming (Chinese, Japanese, Russian, Arabic, etc.) is not possible using this system. This transmission and display system is generally well established and functions effectively. Digital transmission systems under development are being designed to include closed caption capabilities.
- 14. Notwithstanding the capabilities of this transmission system, a variety of problems can occur in the captioning process. Captioning of prerecorded programming involves adding a written transcription or description of the spoken words and sounds which is generally carefully prepared and checked for accuracy. In the case of live programming, however, the real time stenographic process of adding the captions increases the number of mistakes.
- 15. In addition, as programming is duplicated or prepared for transmission, improperly adjusted signal processing equipment can delete line 21, introduce errors or result in captions not being synchronized with the video portion of the program. Time compression of programming to fit it into specific time blocks may destroy captions. Finally, interference and poor quality reception may impair caption quality, sometimes causing individual letters to appear as square white blocks. Closed captions may also cover other written information on the screen, such as emergency weather or school closing announcements.

Pub. L. No. 101-336, § 2, 104 Stat. 328 (1990) (codified at 42 U.S.C. § 12101 et seq.).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Pub. L. No. 101-431, 104 Stat. 960 (1990) (codified at 47 U.S.C. §§ 303(u), 330(b)).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Conference Report at 183-184.

- Cost: There is a wide range in the cost of closed captioning that reflects the method of adding the captions, the quality of those captions and the entity providing the captions. Organizations and suppliers that charge the most for their services are reported to provide the highest quality and most accurate captioning. For prerecorded programming, the captions are developed off-line using a script of the actual program. Estimates of the cost of this type of captioning range from \$800 to \$2500 per hour of programming. Captions for live programs can be created by specially trained stenotypists. Live captioning costs are estimated to be between \$150 and \$1200 an hour. Off-line captioning is typically more expensive than live captioning because additional resources are expended to edit and proofread the captions. Another method of captioning live programming uses computer software that converts a script into closed captioning. This method, known as electronic newsroom captioning, is virtually cost free once the equipment and software are purchased at a cost generally estimated to be between \$2500 and \$5000. For high budget programming that is distributed nationally and reused many times, such as theatrical films that may receive network broadcast, subscription, syndication, cable television and video tape distribution over a period of years, the costs involved represent only a minor portion of the total production expense and revenue flow. For less expensive programming, such as local cable originations, the cost of captioning could be a significant proportion of total expenditures.
- 17. Amount of captioning: There has been significant progress in the delivery of closed captioning of video programming, but the goal of making video programming through all delivery systems accessible to persons with disabilities is not yet realized. Virtually all nationally broadcast prime time television programming and nationally broadcast children's programming, news, daytime programming and some sports programming, both commercial and noncommercial, is captioned. New feature films produced in the U.S. that will be distributed by broadcast networks, cable networks, syndicators and local stations following their theatrical release are now captioned at the production stage. Many local stations caption their newscasts, at least the portion that is scripted. Many of the national satellite cable programming networks distribute programming containing closed captions. Cable operators also appear to provide some limited captioning of their local and regional programming. Other MVPDs essentially distribute programming that is produced for broadcast and cable use, and they generally deliver the programming with the existing captions intact.
- 18. Certain types of programming, however, are unlikely to be captioned, including non-English language programming, home shopping programming, weather programming that includes a large amount of visual and graphic information, live sports and music programming. Captions are less likely to be included in programming intended to serve smaller or specialized audience markets. Programming (e.g., sports), which is considered perishable because it may only be aired one time, is less likely to contain captions than programming that can be rerun by the original distributor or redistributed by others (e.g., in the syndication market).
- 19. <u>Economic Support</u>: There are four principal sources of economic support for closed captioning. Financial assistance provided by the Department of Education ("DOE") funds represents approximately 40% of the cost of all captioned video programming. This funding is

available only for programming that reaches the largest audiences -- national news, public affairs, children's programming, movies and prime time specials. The remaining support comes from a combination of directly credited corporate advertising support, charitable and foundation support and producers and distributors of programming. Public service announcements produced or funded by the Federal government must be captioned, pursuant to Title IV of the ADA.

20. Little information appears to be collected in any systematic fashion about the size of the audience for closed captioned programming or about the economic demand for captioned programming when programming is distributed on a subscription basis. Not all advertisers caption their own advertisements even when the advertisements appear in conjunction with programming that is captioned. Some distributors, such as those offering subscription based services (e.g., HBO, Cinemax), appear to believe that the inclusion of captions is rewarded by the marketplace as they are able to attract additional subscribers. It also is likely that all programmers and program providers could increase their audience shares if their video programming is accessible to the deaf and hard of hearing community and therefore benefit economically through the inclusion of captions.<sup>15</sup>

# 2. Video Description

- 21. <u>Current Status</u>: Video description includes a narration of the actions taking place in the video programming that are not reflected in the existing dialogue. It requires the development of a second script and uses the second audio programming ("SAP") channel. Video description has not had as far a reach as video captioning. Video description is currently included only on some programs distributed by the Public Broadcasting Service ("PBS") and a few other programs distributed by cable systems. Not all broadcast stations or other video distributors are able to transmit the SAP channel and only about half of the nation's homes have a television with the capability to receive the SAP channel. Unlike line 21 of the vertical blanking interval, which is reserved only for captioning, there is no dedicated or reserved transmission capacity for video descriptions. As a consequence, it competes with second language transmissions, including Spanish language, for use of the SAP channel. According to the National Center for Health Statistics, there are approximately 8.6 million individuals who are blind or visually disabled who might benefit from video description.
- 22. Because video description is a newer service there is a lack of experience with developing and assessing the best means for promoting its use. In addition, costs for video description are approximately one and a half times the costs associated with closed captioning similar programming. Video description also receives substantially less government funding, which has been a significant factor in promoting the development of closed captioning. Additional legal and technical issues exist. For example, video description requires the development of a second script, which raises creativity and copyright issues, and must use the second audio programming channel and thus must compete for use with other audio services,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> See, e.g., National Association of the Deaf Comments ("NAD") at 28-29.

particularly the bilingual audio service. While it is expected that the implementation of digital technology may be more conducive to video description than the current technology because it will permit the transmission of multiple audio channels, given the high costs, lack of funding and unresolved copyright issues, video description is presently a developing service that faces many obstacles before it can become more accessible.

- 23. Recommendation: In enacting this section of the Act, Congress intended to ensure video accessibility to all Americans, including persons with visual disabilities. The general accessibility of video description is dependent on the resolution of certain technical, legal, funding and cost issues. Any schedule for expanding the use of video description would depend, in part, on implementation of advanced digital television. Implementation of advanced digital television can make the distribution of additional audio channels feasible and facilitate the implementation of video description. In addition to these technical problems, funding remains a fundamental issue that will effect any schedule for the widespread use of video description since it appears that advertising support alone is unlikely to be sufficient to fund this service, given the costs involved.
- 24. Congress has directed the Commission to assess the appropriate methods and schedules for phasing video description into the marketplace and to address certain technical and quality standards issues. The present record on which to assess video description, however, is limited and the emerging nature of the service renders definitive conclusions difficult. Thus, we believe that, at this time, the best course is for the Commission to continue to monitor the deployment of video description and the development of standards for new video technologies that will afford greater accessibility of video description. Specifically, we will seek additional information that will permit a better assessment of video description in conjunction with our 1997 report to Congress assessing competition in the video marketplace. This annual report is submitted in compliance with Section 628(g) of the Act, 47 U.S.C. § 548(g). In the context of this report, the Commission will be able to gather and evaluate information regarding the deployment of SAP channels and digital technology that will enable video providers and programmers to include video description. In seeking more information, we intend to focus on the specific methods and schedules for ensuring that video programming includes descriptions, technical and quality standards and other relevant legal and policy issues.

#### III. CLOSED CAPTIONING OF VIDEO PROGRAMMING

#### A. Introduction

- 25. Closed captioning is an assistive technology designed to provide access to television for persons with hearing disabilities. Captioning is similar to subtitles in that it displays the audio portion of a television signal as printed words on the television screen. To assist viewers with hearing disabilities, captions also identify speakers, sound effects, music and laughter. Captions were first used in the early 1970s in an "open" format, transmitted with the video so that they were visible to all viewers. PBS developed closed captioning in the 1970s. Closed captioning is hidden as encoded data transmitted within the VBI of the television signal, which, "when decoded, provides a visual depiction of information simultaneously being presented in the aural channel (captions)." A viewer wishing to see the closed captioning must use a settop decoder or a television receiver with built-in decoder circuitry.
- 26. The Commission has long sought to promote closed captioning technology. In the 1970s, the Commission granted PBS a number of authorizations to conduct experimental transmissions using closed captioning, and in 1976, adopted rules that provide that line 21 of the VBI is to be primarily used for the transmission of closed captioning. The Commission's rules specify technical standards for the reception and display of such captioning. The Commission has also adopted technical standards for the cable carriage of closed captioning data that

See Implementation of Television Decoder Circuitry Act, Report and Order, GEN Docket No. 91-1, 6 FCC Rcd 2419, 2420 (1991) ("TDCA R&O"), recon. granted in part, Memorandum Opinion and Order, 7 FCC Rcd 2279 (1992). Further background information concerning closed captioning can be found in DuBow, "The Television Decoder Circuitry Act -- TV For All," 64 Temp. L. Rev. 609 (1991), and on the World Wide Web home page of the Caption Center of the WGBH Educational Foundation ("WGBH"): http://www.wgbh.org/pages/captioncenter/captioncenterhome.html. Copies of materials from the World Wide Web that are cited have been placed in the record of this proceeding.

See 47 C.F.R. § 73.682(a)(22). In particular, closed-captioning information may be transmitted on fields one and two of line 21 of the VBI. Standard television pictures are transmitted at a rate of 30 frames per second, with two interlaced fields comprising each frame. Each field begins with a VBI of 21 lines, during which the picture scanning beam is turned off (blanked) and is moved from the bottom of the screen to its starting position at the top of the screen. There are two VBIs transmitted per frame, one in each field. The placement of data within the VBI is described in terms of the particular blanking line used and the field (one or two) in which it occurs. See Permissible Uses of the Vertical Blanking Interval, Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, MM Docket No. 92-305, 8 FCC Rcd 90 n.1 (1992).

See Captioning for the Deaf, Report and Order, Docket No. 20693, 63 FCC 2d 378 (1976) ("Captioning R&O"). See also Permissible Uses of the Vertical Blanking Interval, Report and Order, MM Docket No. 92-305, 8 FCC Rcd 3613 (permitting enhanced closed-captioning and other broadcast-related information services on line 21, field 2 of the VBI).

<sup>19</sup> Id.

accompanies programming carried on cable systems.<sup>20</sup> In addition, cable operators are required to carry the closed captioning data contained in line 21 of the vertical blanking interval as part of their must-carry obligations.<sup>21</sup>

- 27. To implement the TDCA, the Commission adopted regulations requiring all television broadcast receivers with screen sizes 13 inches or larger that were manufactured or imported on or after July 1, 1993, to be capable of receiving and displaying closed captions.<sup>22</sup> By mid-1994, decoder-equipped television sets were in nearly 20 million American homes.<sup>23</sup> In 1995, 25 million decoder-equipped television sets were sold in the U.S.<sup>24</sup> It is estimated that between 50 and 60 million U.S. homes can currently receive closed captioning.<sup>25</sup>
- 28. In addition to these efforts to promote closed captioning technology, the Commission, in 1976, adopted a rule requiring television licensees to transmit emergency messages in a visual format.<sup>26</sup> In 1990, Congress passed the ADA which requires all federally funded public service announcements to be closed captioned.<sup>27</sup> Aside from these requirements, however, neither Congress nor the Commission has mandated captioning of television programming. Instead, Congress and the Commission have relied on the voluntary efforts of program producers and providers to make television programming accessible to persons with hearing disabilities. As far back as 1970, the Commission has urged broadcast television

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> 47 C.F.R. § 76.606; Cable Television Technical and Operational Requirements, Report and Order, MM Docket No. 91-169, 7 FCC Rcd 2021, 2031 (1992), recon. granted in part, Memorandum Opinion and Order, 7 FCC Rcd 8676 (1992).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> 47 U.S.C. §§ 534(b)(3), 535(g)(1) and 47 C.F.R. §§ 76.62 (e) and (f).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> 47 C.F.R. § 15.119; *TDCA R&O*, 6 FCC Rcd 2419.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> VITAC Comments at 12.

Electronics Industries Association, Consumer Electronics Manufacturing Association ("CEMA") Comments at 4 citing Electronics Industry of America Market Research Department 1995 figures.

VITAC Comments at 12; Joe Clark ("Clark") Comments at 6..

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> 47 C.F.R. § 73.1250(h); Emergency Messages – Television, Report and Order, Docket No. 20659, 61 FCC 2d 18 (1976), recon. granted in part, Memorandum Opinion and Order, 62 FCC 2d 565 (1977).

<sup>47</sup> U.S.C. § 611. The ADA is a comprehensive civil rights statute that prohibits discrimination against individuals with disabilities in the areas of employment, state and local government services, and in private places of public accommodation such as restaurants, law offices, and movie theaters. See generally Burgdorf, "The Americans with Disabilities Act: Analysis and Implications of a Second-Generation Civil Rights Statute," 26 Harv. C.R.-C.L. L. Rev. 413 (1991). In addition to requiring the closed captioning of federally funded public service announcements, Title IV of the ADA amended the Communications Act of 1934 to require common carriers offering telephone voice transmission services to provide telecommunications relay services for individuals with hearing and speech disabilities. 47 U.S.C. § 225. The Commission has adopted regulations implementing this requirement. See 47 C.F.R. §§ 64.601-64.608.

licensees to undertake these voluntary efforts.<sup>28</sup> We have also "strongly encourage[d] cable operators to carry more closed-captioned video programming."<sup>29</sup>

# B. Audiences that Benefit from Closed Captioning

- 29. Providing persons with disabilities access to the "tremendously powerful television medium" serves an important public interest.<sup>30</sup> A recent study attests to the dominant role television plays in our society. It reports that nine out of ten Americans watch television on a regular basis.<sup>31</sup> U.S. households spend an average of over seven hours every day watching television as a means of entertainment and relaxation and as a source of news and information.<sup>32</sup> Most Americans depend on television to get their news, with 72% of Americans listing it as their primary news source.<sup>33</sup>
- 30. Closed captioning makes television more accessible to persons with hearing disabilities. Indeed, the Commission on the Education of the Deaf has stated that "captioning of TV... is the most significant technological development for persons who are deaf." In enacting the TDCA, Congress found that "closed-captioned television transmissions have made it possible for thousands of deaf and hearing-impaired people to gain access to the television

The Use of Telecasts to Inform and Alert Viewers With Impaired Hearing, Public Notice, 26 FCC 2d 917 (1970) ("Use Public Notice") (alerting television licensees of the special needs of persons with hearing disabilities, and urging them to make use of visual as well as oral announcements of emergencies, position newscasters so as to permit the use of lip reading by viewers and feature visualization of materials in news, weather and sports programs). See also Captioning R&O, 63 FCC 2d at 389 ("We continue to encourage broadcast licensees . . . to make television a truly valuable medium for the hearing-impaired."); Renewal Applications — Los Angeles, Memorandum Opinion and Order, 69 FCC 2d 451, 459 (1978) (rejecting renewal challenges based on licensees' failure to provide closed captioning, but "urg[ing] all television licensees to review the options presently available that, within reason, might provide some of the benefits of the medium of television for this nation's hearing impaired"), recon. denied, Memorandum Opinion and Order, 72 FCC 2d 273 (1979), aff'd sub nom. Community Television of Southern California v. Gottfried, 459 U.S. 498 (1983).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> Implementation of 1992 Cable Act Rate Regulation, Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, MM Docket No. 92-266, 8 FCC Rcd 5631, 5902 (1993).

Use Public Notice, 26 FCC 2d at 918. See also Captioning R&O, 63 FCC 2d at 388 ("[W]e believe it is of the utmost importance that the hearing-impaired, a significant portion of our population, enjoy the tremendously powerful television medium.").

Roper Starch Worldwide, America's Watching: Public Attitudes Toward Television 3 (1995) ("Roper Study"). A copy of this study has been placed in the record of this proceeding.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> Id. at 6; Nielsen Media Research (1994).

<sup>33</sup> Roper Study at 17.

See H.R. Rep. No. 767, 101st Cong., 2d Sess. 4 (1990) (legislative history of TDCA) citing Towards Equality: Education of the Deaf, A Report of the Commission on Education of the Deaf (1988).

medium, thus significantly improving the quality of their lives."<sup>35</sup> Closed captioning can thus offer great benefits to Americans with hearing disabilities. In addition, many other people, including children and adults learning to read, and people learning English as a second language, can also benefit from watching captioned programming.<sup>36</sup>

# 1. Persons with Hearing Disabilities

- 31. The National Center for Health Statistics estimates that there are 22.4 million persons with hearing disabilities.<sup>37</sup> According to the National Association of the Deaf ("NAD"), 80% of these individuals have irreversible and permanent damage to their hearing.<sup>38</sup> People with varying degrees of hearing loss comprise 8.6% of the U.S. population.<sup>39</sup> Closed captioned programming provides individuals who are deaf and hard of hearing access to information regarding national and worldwide current events, local and community affairs and entertainment. Without captions, this critical link is often lost, making it more difficult for these individuals to have basic access to the information and knowledge which the rest of society takes for granted.<sup>40</sup> Many in the deaf and hard of hearing community view the issue of closed captioning in terms of basic civil rights and rights to equal access that should not be subject to a cost benefit analysis.<sup>41</sup>
- 32. Of the persons with hearing disabilities, 3.7 million are children. Approximately 15 out of every 1000 people under the age of 18 have some type of hearing disability.<sup>42</sup> When programs are captioned, children who are deaf and hard of hearing, as well as adults, do not have to depend on family members to interpret the soundtracks of such programming. Captioning may thus help facilitate healthy family interaction and provide greater independence to children and adults with hearing disabilities. Similarly, the ability to enjoy watching or discussing television

<sup>35</sup> Section 2(2) of TDCA, Pub. L. 101-431, 104 Stat. 960, 47 U.S.C. § 303 note.

National Captioning Institute ("NCI") Comments at 9-10.

National Center for Health Statistics, Current Estimates from the National Health Interview Survey, 1994, Series 10, No. 193, at 93, Table 62.

NAD Comments at 4 citing National Institute on Deafness and Other Communications Disorders.

<sup>39</sup> Id. at 4 citing National Center for Health Statistics

<sup>40</sup> Id. at 3-4.

See, e.g., Dick Burkhalter ("Burkhalter") Comments at 2; Self Help for Hard of Hearing People ("Self Help") Comments at 2; Disability Law Center ("DLC") Comments at 1; David S. Evans ("Evans") Comments at 1; Joan Cassidy ("Cassidy") Comments at 4-5, 9.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> NAD Comments at 7.

shows with peers may advance greater acceptance of a child or adult with a hearing disability into his or her own community.<sup>43</sup>

33. Senior citizens comprise approximately 29% of the total population. It is well established that the U.S. population as a whole is aging due to advances in health care and the aging of the "baby boom" generation, the first members of whom are turning 50 in 1996. As the average age of the total population increases, the number of elderly people with hearing loss is expected to grow as well. According to NAD, 415 of every 1000 people over the age of 75 have some type of hearing disability. Similarly, it is estimated that currently 22 million adults over the age of 65 have a hearing loss and that this number will nearly double to over 40 million within the next ten years as the baby boom generation ages.

# 2. Children Learning to Read and Persons Learning English as a Second Language

- 34. For both children with hearing disabilities and non-hearing disabled children learning to read, captioning can become an educational tool, turning the many hours of television they watch each week into a learning opportunity. Captioning is useful in exposing children to patterns of spoken English, such as slang and idioms used in everyday dialogue, that are not always found in literature. Studies have also demonstrated that captions can improve a student's reading comprehension and spelling, augment vocabulary and word recognition and increase overall motivation to read. Not only does captioned television capture students' attention, but its multi-sensory presentation of information makes learning new words and concepts easier.
- 35. Captioning can be useful as a key learning tool for the 30 million Americans for whom English is a second language ("ESL").<sup>49</sup> ESL students have two related needs that are addressed through closed captioned television.<sup>50</sup> First, they need to increase basic vocabulary. Vocabulary researchers agree that the overwhelming percentage of words a person knows are acquired through the contexts in which they are used. Through captioning situational uses of

<sup>43</sup> *Id.* at 8-9.

<sup>44</sup> *Id*. at 5.

<sup>45</sup> *Id*. at 6.

<sup>46</sup> Id. at 9-10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup> *Id.* at 7.

WGBH Comments at 4-5.

<sup>49</sup> NAD Comments at 10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>50</sup> WGBH Comments at 5.

words and idioms, and shades of meaning and nuance, can be conveyed visually as well as verbally. Furthermore, ESL students benefit from seeing an immediate spelling of words just uttered.

#### 3. Illiterate Adults

36. There are 26 to 27 million illiterate adults in the United States. In addition there are 72 million adults who lack the basic skills to fill out employment applications or to follow written job directions.<sup>51</sup> Only 2% to 4% of American adults requiring literacy services are reached by the present public and private literacy programs.<sup>52</sup> Captioning can provide opportunities for the illiterate to increase their reading fluency, to participate in the workforce and to enjoy literature, magazines, and newspapers for both knowledge and recreation.<sup>53</sup>

# 4. Others Who Benefit from Closed Captioning

37. Captioning also can help non-hearing disabled viewers understand the audio portion of television programs in noisy locations such as airports, hotel lobbies, waiting rooms, public exercise facilities, restaurants and bars. Additionally, captioning can help people understand dialogue in quiet areas where they may need to lower or to turn off the volume on the television set.<sup>54</sup> For any reader, captioning can also be used to improve vocabulary skills and to help clarify dialogue that uses difficult vocabulary or dialogue in programming in which the speakers have accents that may be difficult to understand.<sup>55</sup>

# C. Methods of Closed Captioning

#### 1. Technical Issues

38. Closed captioning is transmitted on line 21 of the VBI along with the video and audio portions of a program. The VBI is the unused lines in each field of a television signal, seen as a thick band when the television picture rolls over usually at the beginning of each field. The VBI is an integral part of the television signal that usually includes information to instruct the television receiver to prepare to receive the next field and may be used to transmit other information, including closed captioning. A consumer with a television set that has a built-in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup> *Id.* at 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>52</sup> *Id*.

<sup>53</sup> NAD Comments at 10-11.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>54</sup> *Id.* at 11.

<sup>55</sup> Id.; WGBH Comments at 4-5.

closed captioning feature or a set top decoder can receive closed captioning information by activating this feature.

- The introduction of advanced digital television ("ATV") may affect closed 39. captioning in terms of both transporting and displaying relatively error-free closed captioning data. ATV could greatly improve the overall quality of closed captioning because it may permit more rapid transmission of data. With regard to ATV technology for transporting closed captioning data, the Commission has a pending proceeding soliciting public comments concerning the ability of ATV to include captioning and how the Commission should implement captioning requirements for ATV in the event it does not adopt a mandatory ATV standard. 56 A draft standard for advanced television closed captioning ("ATV-CC") has been prepared by the Television Data Systems Subcommittee ("TDSS") of the Consumer Electronics Manufacturers Association ("CEMA"). This ATV-CC standards setting effort is being carried out in cooperation with the Grand Alliance (a group of electronics industry representatives) and the Advanced Television Systems Committee ("ATSC"). Provisions have been made in the ATSC standard to transport closed captioning information in the form defined by the TDSS at a fixed data rate of 9600 bits-per-second for closed captioning.<sup>57</sup> This proposed transporting standard would significantly increase the data transmission rate from its current 480-bits-per-second, thereby facilitating faster transmission of both more and better quality closed captioning data.<sup>58</sup>
- 40. In terms of the quality of closed captioning displayed, ATV could significantly increase user control over such display. Currently, the only control the user has over this display is whether to activate the closed captioning feature on his television set. The user has virtually no ability to customize the closed captioning display to his individual needs or preferences. The advent of ATV could permit major closed captioning enhancements, such as user selected caption sizes (i.e., caption "volume control"), a broader selection of type faces, fonts, character sets and symbols that could convey a wider range of meanings and a wide array of presentation options, including different colors and backgrounds.<sup>59</sup> In addition, ATV, through its enhanced ability to transport more closed captioning data at a faster rate, could allow a user to select captioning from a variety of languages on a menu displayed on the television screen.<sup>60</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>56</sup> See Advanced Television Systems and Their Impact Upon the Existing Broadcast Service, Fifth Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making, MM Docket No. 87-268, FCC 96-207, 11 FCC Rcd 6235 (1996) ("Fifth Further Notice").

Fifth Further Notice, 11 FCC Rcd at 6262 ¶ 70.

Telephone Interview with Amnon Salomon, Director, Systems Development, NCI (July 10, 1996) ("Salomon Interview").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>59</sup> CEMA Comments at 8-9; Telephone Interview with George A. Hanover, Vice President, Engineering, CEMA (July 10, 1996).

Salomon Interview supra note 58.

41. Despite its technological potential, ATV would not automatically resolve all technical or logistical problems with closed captioning. For example, current television receivers, which are based on analog technology, cannot receive the digitized ATV signal with all of its potential closed captioning enhancements. Therefore, the ATV technology would be of no practical use until television sets capable of receiving and displaying ATV signals have become available. It should be noted that such television sets may be available in the near future, even though the widespread market penetration of such technology may not occur for many years. Advocates of improved closed captioning emphasize that the initial limited availability of ATV should not overshadow its potentially significant enhancement of closed captioning. However, it should also be noted that, even when digital receivers become available, the procedures for captioning programming will probably be the same in terms of time, cost and labor intensiveness. Therefore, the development of digital television technology may not make closed captioning any less expensive or time consuming.

# 2. Types of Closed Captioning

- 42. There are essentially four major types of closed captioning. The first type is "off line captioning." Under this method, the captioning service gets an advance copy of the script, tape or film before the program is aired. The audio portion of the program, including sound effects as well as dialogue, is transcribed and added in synchronization with the video content. After the program is captioned it is sent to a post-production company or to the program producer on a computer disk or via modem. The captioning is encoded by the post-production company or the producer onto line 21 of the VBI of the master tape to be telecast. This method of captioning entails a labor intensive process to ensure that the captions are placed precisely where the corresponding audio appears and then locked into the proper position on the program tape. The captioners must ensure that the captions will appear at precisely the right moment in a precise location on the screen. This type of captioning is used for feature films and many prerecorded entertainment programming, including prime time series and children's programs.
- 43. A second type of captioning is live encoded captioning. This type of captioning is also created off-line for prerecorded programming, such as daytime dramas and late night entertainment shows in advance of the time that the program is aired. Despite the name of this

<sup>61</sup> CEMA Comments at 9.

Salomon Interview supra note 58.

National Broadcasting Company ("NBC") Comments at 14-15.

<sup>64</sup> Id. at 2; Capital Cities/ABC Comments at 4.

<sup>65</sup> CBS Comments at 10-11.

<sup>66</sup> Id. at 10.

form of captioning, these captions are not encoded onto the program tape, but rather are transmitted with the program at the time it is aired. These captions are less precisely synchronized than off-line captions and are rolled from the bottom of the screen rather than appearing at precise locations on the screen. Live encoded captioning is often used where there are only a few hours between taping and airing and the final edits for the program are not completed until close to air time.<sup>67</sup> An example of a program that uses this type of captioning is the *Late Show With David Letterman*, where the broadcast occurs only a few hours after the show is taped.

- 44. A third type of captioning is automatic live-encoded captioning. Like live encoded captions, these off-line captions are not encoded onto the prerecorded program prior to airing, but are transmitted at the time of airing. However, these captions are encoded onto the program after the original airing so that the captions will be automatically transmitted when the program is rebroadcast.<sup>68</sup> A variant of this type of captioning is called "electronic newsroom captioning" in which the captions come from the text in the station's news script computers. Only text transmitted from the scripting computers onto the teleprompters is captioned. Therefore, unscripted material that does not appear on the teleprompters is not captioned.<sup>69</sup> The electronic newsroom captioning method is commonly used for local broadcast station newscasts.
- 45. The fourth type of captioning is "real time" or "live captioning." Live programming, such as news, sports and awards shows are typically "stenocaptioned." This method of captioning is used for breaking news and other types of live programming that are unscripted. Under this method, the captioner's computer is linked to the telecast operation center and the captioning material is created for telecast in "real time." A specially trained "stenocaptioner" transcribes the audio portion of the live program as it airs. Because of the transcription and computer processing required, real time captioning appears on the screen about three seconds after the corresponding audio content.

<sup>67</sup> *Id.* at 11-12.

<sup>68</sup> Id. at 12-13.

<sup>69</sup> Capital Cities/ABC Comments at 4.

NBC Comments at 2; Capital Cities/ABC Comments at 4; CBS Comments at 13.

# D. Cost of Closed Captioning

- 46. The cost of captioning video programming is a related factor that affects the extent to which programming is currently accessible with closed captioning.<sup>71</sup> The cost of closed captioning depends on the method used and a variety of other factors, including the format, the length of the program, the required turnaround time, the payment schedule and the volume of captioning, with discounts often given when contracts include multiple programs and hours.<sup>72</sup> Captioning off-line of prerecorded programs is typically more expensive than captioning for live shows because it requires additional staff for editing and proofreading the captions.<sup>73</sup> There are more than 100 suppliers of closed captioning services.<sup>74</sup> According to several commenters, since 1990, the costs of captioning have declined due to increased competition among service providers.<sup>75</sup> The larger, more experienced captioning agencies still charge relatively high rates, but are known for their level of quality.<sup>76</sup>
- 47. A considerable amount of closed captioning is done under contract with outside vendors. Estimates of the cost of off-line captioning range from around \$800 an hour. to \$2500 an hour. In addition, the encoding of the captions onto the program tape entails an additional expense of approximately \$200 for a half hour program to \$650 for a two hour program. For example, NBC states that it costs between \$900 and \$1800 to caption its prime time series, \$1800 for a made for television movie or an episode of a miniseries and \$1200 for a Saturday morning

The House provision concerning video accessibility included a specific requirement that the Commission also examine the cost of closed captioning to programs and program providers. The 1996 Act simply directs the Commission to examine "any other related factors" in its inquiry. Conference Report at 182.

NCI Comments at 5; NAD Comments at 27; Californians for Television Access ("CTA") Comments at 4; VITAC Comments at 10.

NAD Comments at 27; CTA Comments at 4-5.

WGBH Comments at 20; Media Captioning Services Comments at 5. Lists of suppliers of closed captioning are provided in the NAD Comments, Attachment G, and CTA Comments, Exhibit B.

Association of Late-Deafened Adults ("ALDA") Comments at 5; Schwartz, Woods & Miller Comments at 3; Gerald Dominick ("Dominick") Comments at 4.

NBC Comments at 7. There are six major suppliers of captioning. The National Captioning Institute and WGBH/The Captioning Center are both not-for-profit providers. VITAC, Media Captioning Services, Captions, Inc. and Real-Time Captions, Inc. are commercial suppliers. Capital Cities/ABC Comments at 10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>77</sup> CBS Comments at 11; Capital Cities/ABC Comments at 7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>78</sup> Schwartz, Woods & Miller Comments at 10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>79</sup> CBS Comments at 11.

live action children's show.<sup>80</sup> ABC indicates that it pays approximately \$790 to \$1200 per hour for off-line captioning.<sup>81</sup> The magnitude of these costs is explained in part by the ratio of time needed to create the captions to the length of the program, which can be as much as 20 or 30 hours for a one hour program.<sup>82</sup> In addition, the cost of captioning a commercial is estimated at about \$250 per minute.<sup>83</sup> It also is reported that the off-line captioning of music videos costs about \$275 to \$400 for a short form video or \$2500 for a long form video of 60 minutes in length.<sup>84</sup>

- 48. The estimated cost of contracting for the services needed to caption live programming ranges between \$300 and \$1200 per hour. For example, the National Captioning Institute ("NCI") states that this would cost \$300 to \$750 per program hour for a national program and \$125 to \$300 for a local program hour. VITAC, another vendor, states that its rate card indicates that real time captioning costs \$810 for an hour program. Caption Colorado, states that it has been able to reduce the cost of real time captioning from between \$600 and \$700 per hour to \$120 per hour by obtaining television audio programming and delivering encoded captions through telephone lines. Others estimate the average cost of live captioning to be between \$150 and \$800 per hour.
- 49. Captions often must be reformatted when programming is rebroadcast or distributed by a secondary video provider. For a secondary use, a program may be edited to fit a time period that is different from the original one and commercials may need to be inserted. This

NBC Comments at 7-8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>81</sup> Capital Cities/ABC Comments at 7.

WGBH Comments at 1; NBC Comments at 7. CBS estimates this ratio as eight to one. CBS Comments at 11.

F&V Channel ("F&V") Comments at 4; CaptionMax Comments at 2.

Recording Industry of America ("RIAA") Comments at 2. RIAA also states that music videos can be captioned in-house with the purchase of \$20,000 of equipment.

See, e.g., NAD Comments at 27-28; A&E Comments at 15; CBS Comments at 28. NBC indicates that it spends \$825 to caption its one hour prime time news programs. NBC Comments at 7.

NCI Comments at 5. See also CBS Comments at 28; Capital Cities/ABC Comments at 7.

VITAC Comments at 10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>88</sup> Caption Colorado Comments at 1, 3.

<sup>89</sup> ALDA Comments at 5.

editing can ruin the timing of the captions and therefore reformatting is required.<sup>90</sup> In cases where parts of the program are removed or rearranged, the captions must be removed or rearranged accordingly.<sup>91</sup> The cost of reformatting is approximately one fourth that of the original captioning, or approximately \$400 to \$800 for a full length movie.<sup>92</sup> Estimates of reformatting costs generally range between \$350 and \$450 per hour, depending on the amount of editing,<sup>93</sup> although it is reported that the cost of reformatting can be as high as \$750.<sup>94</sup>

50. A program producer or provider also can do its own captioning in-house. An entity that does its own captioning must acquire equipment to add captions. For a station that does a significant amount of its own programming, it may be more effective over time to do the captioning in-house using stenocaptioners. A one time equipment expenditure would be between \$50,000 and \$75,000, although it would also require significant staff time to operate this equipment over the course of a year. For a local public broadcasting station, specialized captioning equipment to provide a work station and encoding equipment for one staff person costs between \$12,000 and \$22,000, in addition to a cost of approximately \$2500 to train a person to caption. A station that distributes three and one half hours per week of locally produced taped programming, and captions 95% of that programming, may have to spend \$40,000 on equipment, \$5000 on training and \$31,000 per year plus benefits for each of two stenocaptioners. After initial equipment and training costs, on-going captioning can represent between 5% and 8% of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>90</sup> Caption Database Comments at 3.

<sup>91</sup> PBS Comments at 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>92</sup> ALDA Comments at 3; Caption Database Comments at 3-4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>93</sup> A&E Television Networks ("A&E") Comments at 15.

National Cable Television Association ("NCTA") Comments at 15.

Stenocaptioners are trained as court reporters. For an experienced court reporter it takes approximately four to six months of additional training to obtain the skills needed to report the verbatim speech, using correct spelling, syntax and grammar, and understand what is said. Telephone Interviews with Jeffrey M. Hutchins, Vice President and General Manager, VITAC, and Gerald Freda, Vice President, Production and Engineering, NCI (May 31, 1996). The National Court Reporters Association recently created a new Certificate of Realtime Reporting which tests court reporters' skills in this area. WGBH Comments at 19.

Schwartz, Woods & Miller Comments at 10. For a list of the items specific to the captioning industry and costs, and other generally available equipment needed to set up a captioning studio, see CTA Comments at 6-7.

Association of America's Public Television Stations ("APTS") Comments at 4.

<sup>98</sup> *Id.* at 5, n.9.

the local production budget, compared with outside contracting which can reach as high as 16% of a station's local production budget.<sup>99</sup>

- 51. Depending on capabilities, the cost of the equipment and software needed for a local station to provide electronic newsroom captioning generally ranges between \$2500 and \$5000,<sup>100</sup> but some estimates are as high as \$10,000.<sup>101</sup> The National Association of Broadcasters ("NAB") reports that the average cost of captioning for local stations responding to its survey is \$514 per week, primarily for local newscasts. Since this figure includes stations that report no costs (which NAB assumes use only electronic newsroom capability), NAB asserts that the average cost is more likely to be \$1007, exclusive of no-cost stations. NAB concludes that this represents stations that use stenographic captioning or a combination of stenographic and electronic newsroom captioning.<sup>102</sup>
- 52. A primary concern for those not currently captioning their programming, especially local broadcast stations, cable networks and local cable systems, is the relatively high cost of captioning when compared to their total budgets. Commenters state that the cost of captioning local programming is likely to be a significant cost for local stations, even for major station groups and larger market stations. The Association of Local Television Stations ("ALTV") claims that it would cost an individual television station approximately \$100,000 a year to caption one hour per day of its local programming. For many affiliated and independent stations, the costs of even limited amounts of captioning would exceed their annual pre-tax profits. Television station WSST-TV estimates that to close caption its daily six hours of local programming would cost approximately \$7500 a day, added to the present daily operating cost of approximately \$1650.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>99</sup> *Id.* at 5.

NAB Comments at 4-5, n.7. Capital Cities/ABC states that live display captioning, of which the electronic newsroom is a variation, costs between \$500 and \$550 an hour. Capital Cities/ABC Comments at 7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>101</sup> CTA Comments at 5.

NAB Comments at 5, Attachment. The highest reported weekly captioning cost was \$4500.

For network programming, this cost might be considered relatively small compared to the total production budget of many programs. NAD claims that a typical episode of *Seinfeld* costs \$750,000. NAD Comments at 28, n. 30. *See also* Massachusetts Commission for the Deaf and Hard of Hearing Comments at 3.

CBS Comments at 29-30.

<sup>105</sup> ALTV Reply Comments at 3.

<sup>106</sup> ALTV Comments at 9-11.

WSST-TV Reply Comments at 5-6. This estimate is based on a per hour captioning cost of \$1250, and should be compared to its daily income of approximately \$2000. *Id*.

- 53. The National Cable Television Association ("NCTA") estimates that it would cost the cable industry between \$500 and \$900 million per year to caption all basic cable network programming that is not currently captioned. This cost would represent nearly one third of the basic cable programmers' current total annual programming expenditures. NCTA further claims that the cost of captioning just prime time basic cable programming would range from \$58 to \$116 million a year. Liberty Sports ("Liberty") states that closed captioning would add approximately 10% to the full production budgets of national sports events, which are generally in the \$15,000 to \$25,000 range. The F&V Channel ("F&V") estimates that it would cost approximately \$4.5 million to caption programming for a year, an amount that exceeds its entire programming budget. The Weather Channel estimates that in order to caption its own live, often ad libbed, programming, it would need to have 12 real-time stenocaptioners on staff<sup>111</sup> and acquire the equipment needed for two captioning work stations at an estimated total cost of \$33,000.
- 54. Local cable programming is often transmitted on public, educational or government ("PEG") access channels. The Alliance of Community Media estimates that the average annual budget of a full service access center is \$227,147. However, a typical access center, such as the one in Riverside, California, operates with a budget of \$50,000 and serves a population of more than 350,000. At an estimated cost of \$2500 per program hour, this center could caption only 20 hours of programming per year and have no funds left over for salaries, equipment and expenses. The Fairfax Cable Access Corporation states that it produces between 80 and 100 hours of programming a month. It estimates that it would cost \$160,000 per month to add captions to all of its programming, assuming a closed captioning cost of \$2000 per hour.
- 55. The City of St. Louis estimates that the cost of closed captioning its Board of Aldermen's meetings, which are carried by the local cable system, for one year, would exceed

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>108</sup> NCTA Comments at 15.

Liberty Sports ("Liberty") Reply Comments at 3-4.

F&V Comments at 4-5. These estimates are based on an estimated cost of \$1200 per program hour, \$750 per half hour program and \$250 for a commercial minute. *Id.* 

The Weather Channel estimates that it would have to pay an average salary of \$50,000 plus benefits per stenocaptioner. The Weather Channel Comments at 4.

The Weather Channel Comments at 4. The equipment costs include setting up the two work stations, two personal computers, captioning software, keyboards, EEG Smart encoders and monitors/headphones.

Alliance for Community Media ("Alliance") Comments at 5-6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>114</sup> Fairfax Cable Access Corporation at 1.

\$20,000 if an outside vendor were used. Alternatively, the City states that if it were to develop its own captioning the equipment needed would cost more than \$9000, with software alone costing \$3995. In addition, encoding equipment would cost about \$6300 and captionwriters would need to be hired at salaries of \$30,000 a year plus benefits of an additional 26%.

# E. Current Availability of Programming with Closed Captioning

- 56. As indicated earlier, Section 713 of the Act directs the Commission to ascertain the level at which video programming is currently closed captioned. Specifically, we are required to examine the extent to which existing or previously published programming is closed captioned, the size of the video programming provider or programming owner providing closed captioning, the size of the market served and the relative audience shares achieved. The information provided in this section concerning the current availability of programming with closed captioning is responsive to these issues.
- 57. Programming is most likely to be closed captioned when it is distributed nationally and available to a significant portion of all U.S. television households. In addition to reaching a substantial number of homes, such programming is available during the times of day with the highest viewing levels. The most popular programs as determined by audience ratings also are the ones most likely to contain captions. Accordingly, we find that the market served by programming with closed captioning is potentially large in size. However, there is no information available from audience ratings services or elsewhere regarding how many individuals currently use closed captioning when watching television programming. Thus, we are unable to assess the relative audience shares achieved by programs that are closed captioned as a result of such programming being accessible to individuals who are hearing disabled.
- 58. We find that in recent years programming distributed by the national broadcast networks, both commercial and noncommercial, has generally been captioned. For example, virtually all prime time programs, children's programming, news, daytime programming and some sports distributed by the networks contain closed captions. Programming widely distributed by broadcast syndication is captioned. Local television stations in larger television markets are more likely to caption programming than other stations, especially local news broadcasts. Many of the national satellite cable programming networks include closed captions as do some local and regional cable programming services. In recent years, feature films produced in the U.S. that will be distributed by broadcast networks, cable networks, syndicators and local stations following their theatrical release are closed captioned at the production stage. In many cases, the cost of

City of St. Louis ("St. Louis") Comments at 2-3. This estimate is based on a cost of \$425 for each of the 40 three to four hour meetings a year and would not include an additional \$50 per hour to have an engineer available to ensure all goes smoothly.

<sup>116</sup> St. Louis Comments at 3.

<sup>117</sup> NAB Comments at 7.

captioning these types of programming represents only a small portion of the total production budget.

#### 1. National Broadcast Television Networks

- 59. Broadcast television networks produce or acquire programming for distribution by their local affiliates. Until now, all closed captioning has been done on a voluntary basis, with the exception of emergency broadcast information<sup>118</sup> and government funded public service announcements.<sup>119</sup>
- 60. PBS has been at the forefront in the development of captioning technology and services. PBS is a non-profit membership organization whose members are the licensees of public television stations. PBS has approximately 340 affiliates that reach almost all television households. PBS began distributing closed captioned programs to its member stations in 1980. PBS has voluntarily adopted the practice of requiring producers to provide closed captioning in all programming funded by PBS's National Program Service. All children's programs and prime time programming on PBS are closed captioned. In addition, the *Newshour with Jim Lehrer* is closed captioned each evening. The few PBS programs that are not closed captioned are visually oriented (e.g., ballet or other dance performances), or are non-verbal in nature (e.g., a symphony concert). Non-English language operas are not closed captioned since they already contain open English subtitles. 121
- 61. PBS Learning Media distributes videocassettes and video laser discs of PBS programs to educational users and the general public through PBS Home Video and PBS Video. Whenever a program is licensed to PBS for home and audio-visual distribution and is available with captioning, PBS Learning Media tries to include the captioning in the version it distributes. The PBS video educational collection has over 1200 titles in distribution, over 80% of which are closed captioned. 122
- 62. Each of the three oldest commercial broadcast networks -- ABC, CBS and NBC -- reach virtually all households through their approximately 210 affiliated local stations. The majority of programming on these three networks, including virtually all of prime time

See 47 C.F.R. § 73.1250(h). Under Section 73.1250(h) of our rules, emergency information must be transmitted "aurally and visually or only visually," although the method of visual presentation is left to the television station and could be accomplished by means other than closed captioning.

<sup>119</sup> Section 711 of the Act, which was added by Title IV of ADA, 47 U.S.C. § 611.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>120</sup> R. R. Bowker, A Reed Ref. Pub. Co., Broadcasting & Cable Yearbook 1996 at G-73.

PBS Comments at 2.

<sup>122</sup> *Id.* at 4.

programming, is closed captioned. NBC provides an average of 94 hours of programming per week to its affiliates and captions a minimum of 72 to 80 hours of such programming, with an average of 83 hours per week, or 88.3%. This weekly total comes to about 3750 to 4150 hours per year of captioned programming. NBC has provided this level of captioning for approximately three years. ABC offers on the average about 90 hours of programming each week to its affiliates. All ABC-produced shows, including news, sports, children's and entertainment programming, with very limited exceptions, are captioned. In 1991, CBS captioned four hours of network programming per day. By the end of 1995, CBS captioned a daily average of 13.5 hours of programming provided to affiliates, or between 85 and 95 hours per week, depending on weekend sports programming schedules. With the exception of its overnight news service, all of CBS's network programming is closed captioned.

- 63. ABC, CBS and NBC, however, do not caption their overnight news programs broadcast between 2:00 a.m. and 6:00 a.m., such as World News Now on ABC, NBC NewsChannel and Up to the Minute on CBS. These overnight news programs are not captioned because their late night time slots provide relatively low ratings and limited advertising revenues which the networks feel do not justify the cost of captioning. They also are often a compilation of reports sent to the networks by their affiliates without captioning. Furthermore, even if some of the reports were initially captioned, the affiliates may retransmit only portions of these programs to the network for their use, thereby adversely affecting the flow of the captioning.
- 64. There are three newer commercial national networks -- Fox, United Paramount Network ("UPN") and WB Television Network ("WB"). The Fox television network has

NBC Comments at 3; CBS Comments at 9; Capital Cities/ABC Comments at 4; ALDA Comments at 3.

NBC Comments at 3; Telephone Interview with Ellen Agress, Vice President, Legal Policy and Planning, NBC (June 4, 1996).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>125</sup> Capital Cities/ABC Comments at 5.

<sup>126</sup> Id. at 6-7.

<sup>127</sup> CBS Comments at 8-9.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>128</sup> Capital Cities/ABC Comments at 5-6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>129</sup> NBC Comments at 4.

<sup>130</sup> CBS Comments at 9.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>131</sup> Capital Cities/ABC Comments at 6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>132</sup> NBC Comments at 4.

approximately 140 affiliates, <sup>133</sup> and reaches almost all homes. It distributes 16 hours of prime time, late night and early Sunday morning programming. In addition, it distributes 19 hours of children's programming throughout the week. All of this programming is closed captioned. <sup>134</sup> UPN has 156 affiliates, and covers 92% of the country. <sup>135</sup> UPN distributes six hours of prime time programming, one hour of children's weekend programming and a movie on Saturday afternoons. All of this programming is closed captioned. Closed captioning is one of the network's "delivery requirements" for its programming. Accordingly, the captioning is done by the program producers. Commercials on UPN are generally not closed captioned. <sup>136</sup> WB reaches 84% of the country. <sup>137</sup> It distributes five hours of prime time programming and five hours of children's programming each week. All of WB's prime time programming is closed captioned. The children's programming also is captioned, except for some older cartoons. <sup>138</sup>

of the three established networks regularly captions regional sports programming. One exception has been the regional games of the 1995 and 1996 NCAA Men's Basketball tournament, which were captioned by CBS through joint efforts with funding and captioning agency partners. The broadcast networks assert that there are several reasons why networks generally do not caption regional sports programming. First, there are technical and logistical problems associated with delivering different games to the affiliates in various parts of the country at the same time. Second, captioning services may not exist in the regions where particular games will be televised, so it is not possible for a stenocaptioner to "see" the game to caption it in real time. Third, there may not be encoding equipment at the game site from which the programming is transmitted by uplink. In addition, broadcast networks state that a sporting event is essentially visual, and statistical information and the progress of the game are often indicated by graphics, thereby reducing or eliminating the need for captioning. Finally, much sports programming is by its very nature perishable; sports events have substantial entertainment value only at the time of their

<sup>133</sup> R. R. Bowker, A Reed Ref. Pub. Co., Broadcasting & Cable Yearbook 1996 at G-69.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>134</sup> Information from Peggy Binzel, Senior Vice President, Government Relations, Fox Broadcasting Company (June 17, 1996).

Cynthia Littleton, WB, UPN Rally the Troops, Broadcasting & Cable, June 10, 1996, at 20-21.

Telephone Interviews with Robert Kaplan, Programming Director, United Paramount Network ("UPN") and Robert Giese, Vice President, Chris Craft Broadcasting, (May 29, 1996).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>137</sup> Cynthia Littleton, WB, UPN Rally the Troops, Broadcasting & Cable, June 10, 1996, at 20-21; Communications Daily, May 21, 1996.

Telephone Interview with Mitch Nedick, Head, Finance and Administration, the WB Television Network (July 8, 1996).

<sup>139</sup> CBS Comments at 14-15.

NBC Comments at 13-14; ABC Comments at 6; CBS Comments at 6.

occurrence. Since there is no residual market for such programming, commenters argue that production costs, including captioning, cannot be spread over multiple showings. Therefore, the networks claim that they have no real financial incentive to caption most sports programming.<sup>141</sup>

66. Many commercials scheduled during and adjacent to network programs are captioned by the advertising agencies which produce them. These advertisers recognize that without closed captioning they may fail to reach potential consumers who are deaf or hard of hearing. Network promotions of upcoming network programs are generally not captioned. For example, NBC produces approximately 75 to 100 promotional spots a day which are 10 to 20 seconds in length and usually broadcast within 24 hours after being produced. In some cases, especially for news magazines with topical subjects, the promotional spots are produced just a few hours before being aired. These time frameworks may make captioning such spots logistically difficult or impossible. Networks such as NBC state that even for uncaptioned promotional spots, information about the name of the program and the time of the upcoming broadcast is often displayed visually by graphics contained in the spot. 142

#### 2. Local Broadcast Television Stations

- 67. Local television stations distribute programming they receive from a network, if they are affiliates, purchase programming in the syndication market and produce or acquire programming locally. As discussed above, stations affiliated with a network carry captioned programming during a significant portion of the broadcast week. First run syndicated programming is not produced by or for any particular network and is distributed to stations irrespective of network affiliation. Off-network syndicated programming is programming that originally aired on a particular network and is now available in reruns to stations that wish to purchase it. Examples of such programming are I Love Lucy and M\*A\*S\*H. The amount of captioned first run syndicated programming varies depending on who produces and who airs the programming. Certain first run syndicated programming such as Jeopardy!, Wheel of Fortune, and Oprah is closed captioned by the program producers and/or distributors. Newer offnetwork syndicated programming, especially that produced after the mid-1980s, is often closed captioned. Most off-network programming produced before the mid-1980s, such as Bewitched and Jackie Gleason, was not captioned when produced and remains uncaptioned.
- 68. According to a study conducted by NAB, 70% of the stations responding to its survey provide closed captioning for some of their non-network programming. This study further divides stations according to market size and indicates that market size plays some role in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>141</sup> NCTA Comments at 11; Liberty Comments at 6-7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>142</sup> NBC Comments at 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>143</sup> VITAC Comments at 12; CTA Comments at 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>144</sup> NAD Comments at 16.

determining how much non-network programming stations caption. The study suggests that the highest percentage of stations captioning programs are those in the mid-sized markets (Nielsen designated market area or DMA market ranks 26 to 50 and 51 to 100), where over 75% of the stations reported that they provide captioning. <sup>145</sup> The actual amount of captioned programming also varies according to the NAB study, with the stations in the largest markets (Nielsen DMA market ranks 1 to 25) airing an average of approximately 158 hours of captioned non-network programming over the last year. <sup>146</sup>

69. Most commercial stations that caption local news use electronic newsroom ("ENR") captioning. Because ENR captioning is created from the text of the newsroom's teleprompter, the quality of ENR captioning depends on the amount, completeness, and accuracy of the information entered into the system. Live reports from the field or reports of breaking stories, much sports and weather reporting, and ad libs and banter by the anchors will not be captioned unless a verbatim script is added to the computer running the text from the teleprompter. According to NAB, 81.5% of stations caption their local news. All ten of ABC's owned and operated stations caption their local news. Eight of NBC's nine network-owned television stations caption their local news programs. However, any unscripted remarks by anchors are not captioned. Some stations sell captioning sponsorships that give the sponsors commercial mention as a means of defraying the cost of captioning.

## 3. Cable Television Systems

70. Cable television systems distribute the programming of local broadcast stations and cable programming networks, and their own locally produced programming to subscribers. To the extent that the broadcast programming they carry is captioned they are required by Commission rule to retain the captioning.<sup>153</sup> There are more than 100 satellite delivered cable

Fratrik, "The Television Industry's Provision of Closed Captioning Services in 1996," National Association of Broadcasters (March 15, 1996) ("NAB Study"), NAB Comments, Attachment at 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>146</sup> NAB Study at 3-4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>147</sup> CBS Comments at 17.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>148</sup> NAB Comments at 3; NAB Study at 4-5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>149</sup> Capital Cities/ABC Comments at 9.

<sup>150</sup> NBC Comments at 5.

<sup>151</sup> Id. at 5.

<sup>152</sup> Capital Cities/ABC Comments at 9.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>153</sup> 47 C.F.R. §76.606.

programming networks. In addition to carriage by cable systems, these programming services also are distributed to subscribers by other MVPDs. These networks range from those, such as CNN and USA, that are available to almost all cable subscribers, to many with more limited distribution, either because they are new or they offer programming aimed at more limited niche audiences.<sup>154</sup>

- 71. According to NCTA, the overall percentage of captioned programming (for the top 20 basic and expanded basic cable services<sup>155</sup> and the most widely distributed six premium networks<sup>156</sup>) is nearly 24%.<sup>157</sup> For premium services alone, NCTA asserts that the number is over 54% with individual premium services ranging as high as 80% of the entire weekly schedule. These percentages translate to over 30,000 hours per year of closed captioned programming provided by the top 20 basic networks and the top six premium networks.<sup>158</sup> According to NCTA, nearly 30% of prime time programming on the top 20 basic cable networks and over 60% on the top six premium networks is closed captioned.<sup>159</sup>
- 72. A number of cable programming networks are available on a per channel or per program basis. These premium services generally provide movies and special events. Home Box Office ("HBO") and Cinemax, two of the most widely available of these services, provide a variety of programming, much of which is captioned. In 1995, HBO and Cinemax had captioning on 76% of their theatrical motion pictures, 83% of their musical programming, 94% of their documentaries, 72% of their family programming, 82% of their series, 100% of their comedy programs, and 100% of other categories of programming. 160
- 73. According to NCTA, there are several reasons why the percentage of closed captioning on cable television is lower than that of closed captioning on broadcast television. First, there are over 100 national cable programming networks, most of which operate 24 hours

For example, CNN and USA, which began in 1980 are currently available to over 60 million homes, while a newer channel, such as the Outdoor Life Channel which began in 1995, is available to only 2.4 million homes. NCTA Cable Television Developments Spring 1996 at 35, 66, 78.

The top twenty basic cable networks are: AMC, A&E, CNBC, CNN, C-SPAN, Discovery, ESPN, The Family Channel, Headline News, Home Shopping Network, Lifetime, MTV, Nickelodeon, QVC, TNN, TNT, The Weather Channel, USA Network, VH1 and TBS. NCTA Comments at Attachment A, citing TV Guide.

The most widely distributed premium cable networks are Disney, Encore, HBO, Cinemax, Showtime, and The Movie Channel. NCTA Comments at Attachment A, citing TV Guide.

NCTA Comments at 4 and Attachment A, citing TV Guide.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>158</sup> *Id.* at 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>159</sup> *Id*.

<sup>160</sup> HBO Comments at 7.

a day, seven days a week.<sup>161</sup> Furthermore, there are more than 40 regional and local cable programming networks. All of these networks combined represent thousands of hours of television programming daily. In contrast, there are only four major commercial broadcast networks, which combined present only 40 hours of network television programming daily. In addition, NCTA emphasizes that most government funding that has enabled programmers to close caption programming has historically been directed to the broadcast networks, both commercial and noncommercial, rather than the cable networks.<sup>162</sup>

74. Cable networks also differ significantly from broadcast networks in their audience reach. Unlike the four major broadcast networks which reach nearly 100% of the television households in the U.S., even the most widely available cable network reaches only the 65% of the nation's television households that choose to subscribe to cable and DBS, and the approximately 5% of homes subscribing to other MVPDs. 163 Thus, even though cable networks may be available nationwide, they only obtain carriage on a limited number of systems. Even when they obtain carriage, they gain only a limited number of viewers. Some cable networks are also limited to certain regions, which further reduces their audience reach.<sup>164</sup> In addition. many cable networks target niche markets, some are quite new compared to the established broadcast network, and others do not have the audience viewership or the money to support captioning. 165 NCTA points out that the costs of captioning are fixed and do not hinge on the number of subscribers reached or the production budget for a program. Some cable networks operate with proportionately smaller programming budgets than large broadcast networks or the producers of shows for premium cable channels. For example, Arts & Entertainment Television Networks ("A&E") states that the four major broadcast networks spend more on prime time programming in two weeks than does a cable network the size of A&E or The History Channel in the course of a year. 166 Given these financial realities, NCTA asserts that many cable networks may find that the costs of captioning exceed their programming budgets for the entire year. 167 Therefore, in the cable context, NCTA believes that the size of the audience viewership and

NCTA Comments at 6. See also 1995 Competition Report, 11 FCC Rcd at 2131 ¶150.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>162</sup> NCTA Comments at 6.

<sup>163</sup> Jim McConville, The Search for DBS Homes, Broadcasting & Cable, May 27, 1996, at 35.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>164</sup> NCTA Comments at 6-7.

For example, for April 1996, the average prime time rating for the USA Network, the highest rated cable network was 2 compared to the prime time rating for NBC, the highest rated broadcast network, of 10.5. Paul Kagan Associates, Cable TV Programming, June 30, 1996, at 10; People's Choice: Ratings According to Nielsen, Broadcasting & Cable, April 15, 1996, at 52, April 22, 1996, at 38, April 29, 1996, at 34 and May 6, 1996, at 21.

<sup>166</sup> A&E Comments at 17-18.

<sup>167</sup> NCTA Comments at 22.

advertising base rather than the size of the market reached by the programming service, should be a key factor in determining a cable network's economic ability to afford captioning. 168

- 75. Furthermore, much of the programming aired by many cable networks is significantly different from that of broadcast networks in terms of scheduling, format and content. The nature of cable programming varies significantly from network to network, and this may affect the logistics and costs of closed captioning. According to NCTA and many cable networks, these qualitative differences in cable programming account in large part for the quantitative differences in the percentage of closed captioning on cable networks. For example, many cable networks regularly show a substantial number of older films and television series, none of which were captioned when produced. NCTA asserts that some cable networks present topical or perishable programming with a short shelf life, such as music videos and sports programming. Numerous cable networks present live programming on a continuous basis, 24 hours a day, which would require real-time captioning. Other cable programming, such as home shopping channels or weather reports, often contain textual material or other visual depictions of the information being described verbally, which according to the cable networks reduces the need for captioning. <sup>169</sup>
- 76. Some national news on cable is closed captioned. For example, CNN captions approximately 50% of its day, and CNN Headline News captions approximately 25%. CNN Headline News also provides on-screen financial and sports information in textual form 24 hours a day. CNBC, a 24-hour consumer news and business programming service on basic cable that is owned and operated by NBC, currently stenocaptions 47 1/2 hours of programming per week. The America's Talking, another basic cable network owned and operated by NBC which focuses on news and information, does not currently caption any of its programming. The Cable Satellite Public Affairs Network ("C-SPAN" and "C-SPAN 2") captions the proceedings of the U.S. House of Representatives and the U.S. Senate. Pursuant to a grant, C-SPAN also captions the one hour program *Booknotes* which airs on Sunday evenings, but the continuation of this grant is uncertain. Furthermore, cable local news channels generally do not caption live programming.

<sup>168</sup> *Id*. at 13.

<sup>169</sup> Id. at 7-8: The Weather Channel Comments at 3-4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>170</sup> NBC Comments at 5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>171</sup> Id. at 5-6. On July 15, 1996, America's Talking was replaced by MSNBC, which is not captioning its programming. Telephone Interview with Ellen Agress, Vice President, Legal Policy and Planning, NBC (July 9, 1996).

<sup>172</sup> Telephone Interview with Marge Amey, Viewer Services Assistant, C-SPAN (June 17, 1996).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>173</sup> NCTA Comments at 9.

- 77. Kaleidoscope, a 24-hour a day cable programming network started in September 1990, was established for the purpose of serving persons with disabilities. This network is distributed by 201 cable systems and now reaches approximately 15 million subscribers. Kaleidoscope provides both general interest programming and programming specifically addressing topics relevant for persons with disabilities. All of Kaleidoscope's programming is "open captioned" so that the captioning is visible to all viewers. Kaleidoscope does its own captioning and also "open captions" programming it receives which is closed captioned. 174
- 78. In addition to national cable networks, cable operators provide regional and local programming. The regional programming is primarily news and sports channels. Much locally originated programming carried by cable operators is on their PEG channels. Programming over PEG channels is usually produced by individuals, schools, local governments or small non-profit organizations working with volunteer personnel. Most of these program producers usually operate with very limited funding that results in a low level of captioning of PEG programming.<sup>175</sup>

# 4. Other Types of Programming

79. Broadcast and cable programming include movies. Nearly all widely distributed motion pictures currently produced and distributed by member companies of the Motion Picture Association of America ("MPAA") are closed captioned for distribution over broadcast television, home video and cable television following their theatrical release. Following first run release, a "submaster" of each motion picture is created, which is then closed captioned by the NCI or another captioning service. All prints of the motion picture distributed for broadcast television, cable television or home video exhibition are manufactured from the initial captioned submaster prepared for home video release, or from a subsequent submaster edited for broadcast television, and are therefore captioned themselves. More than 6000 closed captioned titles have been distributed. According to MPAA, there are approximately 24,000 previously released films that have not been closed captioned and which would cost \$38.4 million to caption. MPAA and other commenters believe that, because of the need to pass through these costs, broadcasters and other video programming providers would simply not purchase older programs and films which

NCTA Comments at 5; Telephone Interview with Ryan Prince, Director of Kaleidoscope's National Advisory Board (May 29, 1996) ("Prince Interview"). Kaleidoscope has transmitted its service using digital compression technology since April 1995, and is currently trying to expand its coverage through the DirecTV and Primestar DBS systems. HSD owners who subscribe to Kaleidoscope need a special decoder to receive the digital signal.

<sup>175</sup> Alliance Comments at 7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>176</sup> MPAA Comments at 3-4.

<sup>177</sup> Id. at 12.

would then sit on the shelf unviewed. This situation would result in reduced diversity of programming products available to the public.<sup>178</sup>

Closed captioning of programming for non-English speakers on both broadcast and 80. cable channels is quite limited because captioning, particularly in multiple languages, can pose various logistical problems. Because such programming is targeted to a narrow niche market -minority and ethnic viewers -- and is programmed in non-English languages, it has much more limited distribution, as well as more limited advertising and subscriber revenues than most English language programming. These factors can make the cost of captioning programming for non-English speakers significantly higher than English language captioning. Furthermore, expertise in non-English language captioning may be scarce and, for some languages, virtually unavailable. 179 In addition, the alphabets and characters used in certain non-English languages cannot be processed with standard computerized word processing and closed captioning equipment. 180 Even if such languages can be captioned with special equipment, the captioning decoders currently available in television sets used in the U.S. can only decode Latin based alphabets and symbols. Accordingly, captioning that uses non-Latin characters, such as Chinese. Russian and Hebrew, cannot be decoded on the television sets used by U.S. viewers. 181 Another logistical factor is that closed captioning in English would require a staff with multiple translators of numerous languages. Whether captioned in English or a particular non-English language, it can be extremely difficult to assure the accuracy and quality of such multi-lingual captioning. 182

#### 5. Other Multichannel Video Providers

81. Television programming is also delivered to consumers through several other MVPDs. These video distribution technologies retransmit programming also delivered over broadcast and cable delivery systems. One such new provider is the direct-to-home ("DTH") satellite systems. Approximately 2.2 million homes subscribe through direct broadcast satellite ("DBS") service and 2.3 million homes subscribe via home satellite dishes ("HSDs"). The total 4.5 million DTH subscribers represent approximately 5% of U.S. television households. DTH

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>178</sup> *Id*. at 12.

<sup>179</sup> International Cable Channel Partnership, Ltd. ("ICCP") at 3.

Among the languages with distinctive alphabets and characters are Arabic, Cambodian, Chinese (including various Chinese dialects) and Japanese, Farsi, Hebrew, Hmong, Hindi, Korean, Russian, Tagalog (Filipino), Thai and Vietnamese.

Electronic Industries Association of America (EIA) Standard Recommended Practice for Line 21 Data Service (EIA Document #608) September 1994 at 14-22; Telephone Interview with Gerald Freda, Vice President, Production & Engineering, NCI (June 17, 1996).

<sup>182</sup> ICCP at 3.

Satellite Broadcasting and Communications Association ("SBCA") Comments at 4.

is purely a program delivery system. Until now, it has not participated (other than through program licensing), in the creation of closed captioned programming, except for retransmitting intact the closed captioning already encoded in the programming it delivers to subscribers. All closed captioned pay-per-view, off-air broadcast signals carried on satellite, satellite-delivered programming and PBS broadcasts carrying closed captioning are included in satellite transmissions.<sup>184</sup>

- 82. Another multichannel video provider is the wireless cable industry, which includes licensees of multipoint distribution service ("MDS") stations and ITFS stations that lease transmission capacity to wireless cable operators. Currently, wireless cable operators rely heavily on program suppliers such as broadcast networks and cable networks for their commercial programming. Most wireless cable systems voluntarily retransmit to their subscribers intact any closed captioning provided with that programming. The only exception to this general rule is when the scrambling system employed by some wireless cable systems does not allow line 21 of the VBI to be passed through to the subscriber's television set. Much of the educational programming carried on ITFS channels and retransmitted on wireless cable systems is not closed captioned. Reference of the commercial programming carried on ITFS channels and retransmitted on wireless cable systems is not closed captioned.
- 83. Local exchange carriers ("LECs") also can provide video programming service through telephone lines. For example, Bell Atlantic is current delivering video programming that has previously been captioned by the programming provider over its digital video system in Dover Township, New Jersey.<sup>187</sup> Many of the hardware and software components of advanced digital systems that Bell Atlantic will deploy, however, are in the prototype stage or not yet engineered to accommodate captioning. Bell Atlantic states that it cannot ensure compliance with any captioning requirements for any future systems it will deploy until it has had the opportunity to develop and test all system components required to support such requirements.<sup>188</sup> Pursuant to Section 653 of the Communications Act, LECs operating open video systems ("OVS") will be subject to the must-carry requirements applicable to cable systems.<sup>189</sup> Accordingly, under the must-carry requirements, OVS providers will be required to transmit intact any captioning contained in the must-carry signals they retransmit.

<sup>184</sup> SBCA Comments at 2, 6.

Wireless Cable Association International, Inc. ("WCA") Comments at 2, 3, 7.

<sup>186</sup> Id. at 6.

<sup>187</sup> Bell Atlantic Comments at 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>188</sup> Id. at 4-5.

See Implementation of Section 302 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 Open Video Systems, Second Report and Order in CS Docket No. 96-46, FCC 96-249 (released June 3, 1996), summarized at 61 Fed. Reg. 28698 (June 5, 1996).

# F. Funding of Closed Captioning

- Currently, closed captioning is funded by a variety of sources. The Federal 84. government is a major source of funding which is administered by the DOE. Last year, DOE provided \$7.9 million for closed captioning, which represents roughly 40% of the total amount spent on captioning. 190 Once Congress has made an annual appropriation to DOE, the Department allocates some of that funding to captioning, establishes priorities for programs and awards grants to captioning providers that have applied for Federal funding. Winning applicants supply proposed budgets and program selections for approval by DOE. Among the categories of programming receiving DOE funding for closed captioning are national news, public information, children's and sports programs, movies, mini-series, and special programs broadcast during prime time, syndicated programming and daytime programming.<sup>191</sup> The national broadcast networks rely heavily on DOE grants to fund captioning of network programming. For example, approximately 45% of ABC's 1996 closed captioning costs are funded by DOE grants. 192 Historically, most DOE funding has been provided to broadcast television rather than cable networks. 193 However, the future of Federal funding for closed captioning is uncertain. 194 Several commenters note that this possible defunding scenario appears inconsistent with the 1996 Act, which requires that the Commission adopt rules to implement captioning. These commenters also voice concerns about the Federal government issuing an unfunded captioning mandate. 195
- 85. Programmers and program providers also receive funding for captioning from private sources. For example, Capital Cities/ABC states that it will pay for about 46% of the \$2,840,000 cost of closed captioning its own programming in 1996, with DOE funding about 45% and private sources contributing about 9% of that cost. For some of its news and public affairs programming, CBS has obtained support from advertisers who subsidize captioning as a public service. CBS also has been able to defray a portion of the costs of captioning its national and regional sports programming by providing open video credits to advertisers in return for

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>190</sup> Taking Aim at Captioning, Broadcasting & Cable, June 3, 1996 at 18.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>191</sup> NAD Comments at Attachment H.

<sup>192</sup> Capital Cities/ABC Comments at 7-8.

<sup>193</sup> NCTA Comments at 16.

On June 10, 1996, the U.S. House of Representatives passed a bill that would limit government funding of captioning to news and educational programs. See Individuals With Disabilities Act (IDEA Improvement Act of 1996), H.R. 3268, Sec. 662(a)(10). A similar bill has been introduced in the U.S. Senate, S. 1578.

Schwartz, Woods & Miller Comments at 3; ALTV Comments at 4-5; Media Captioning Services Comments at 6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>196</sup> Capital Cities/ABC Comments at 7-8. Capital Cities/ABC states that about 70% of the private source funding is from program producers and the other 30% is from network advertisers.

financial support of the closed captioning for this type of programming. For its entertainment programming, CBS states that it funds closed captioning in partnership with program producers and advertisers and financial support from the government.<sup>197</sup>

86. Local broadcast stations also use private funding sources for captioning who are then acknowledged during the broadcast. NAB reports that 67.9% of the stations in their survey that carry captioned news programs have sponsors for the closed captioning. This sponsorship by private companies and nonprofit organizations is appreciated by some members of the deaf and hard of hearing community and is credited for the increase in the amount of captioned programming in recent years. Some representatives of the deaf and hard of hearing community, however, find it troubling that the closed captioning is sponsored by private organizations separate from that of the programming itself. They argue that since the audio portion of a program does not include similar statements of sponsorship, there is an appearance that captions are a "charity provided by the goodness of a benefactor, and not as it should be: sound business sense, good education strategy and equal access to information. 201

## G. The Quality and Accuracy of Closed Captioning

87. The quality, accuracy and completeness of closed captioning is a relevant factor in examining the accessibility of video programming for persons with hearing disabilities.<sup>202</sup> Unless closed captions accurately reflect the audio portion of the video programming to which they are attached, they may be of limited use to the viewer. Captions, unlike words in books or periodicals, are impermanent. When there are typographical errors or incorrect word usage, the reader does not have the time to look over the previous words to deduce the intended meaning. Part of the art of captioning is the presentation, including the manner of captioning, its placement and timing.<sup>203</sup>

<sup>197</sup> CBS Comments at 14-15.

<sup>198</sup> NAB Comments at 5

<sup>199</sup> ALDA Comments at 6.

MCAHI Comments at 1; Northern Virginia Resource Center for Deaf and Hard of Hearing Persons ("NVRC") Comments at 5; VITAC Comments at 12.

NVRC Comments at 5. See also VITAC Comments at 13.

The House version of this section required the Commission to examine the quality of closed captioning and the style and standards which are appropriate for the particular type of programming. Conference Report at 182.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>203</sup> VITAC Comments at 19. See also JoAnn M. Myers ("Myers") Comments at 1.

- Captions can be displayed in pop-up or roll-up form. Pop-up captions are displayed and then erase entirely. They are used most often for off-line captioning. Roll-up captions, which are mostly used for real-time captioning, scroll onto and off the screen in a continuous motion. Some captioning is verbatim, following exactly what the speakers are saying, while other captioning is not and reflects some editing on the part of the captioners. Other differences among captioning styles include the manner in which speakers are identified and how voice inflections, background noise, audience reaction and sound effects are indicated. For example, some entities identify speakers using parentheses and others provide the speaker's name followed by a colon. In addition, some captions are centered and others are left-justified. Expert captioners do not appear to agree on the best presentation style.
- 89. A number of problems have been observed with closed captioning. Commenters report that often captions are omitted from any review of a prior week's program at the beginning of a show or any preview of a coming episode of a program. They state that it is not uncommon for the commercials or station breaks to lack captions during a program that is otherwise captioned. It is also reported that the closed captions are sometimes turned off five to eight minutes before the end of national network programming. Deen character generated announcements, such as emergency messages, election results, weather advisories and school closing information, which crawl across the bottom of the screen are obscured by captions. The closed captions also tend to disappear when the picture is reduced to a small size in order

NCI Comments at 7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>205</sup> NAD Comments at Attachment I.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>206</sup> *Id.* at 18-19.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>207</sup> *Id.* at 17.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>208</sup> VITAC Comments at 20.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>209</sup> NAD Comments at 18.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>210</sup> Id. at 18; Barbara Liss Chertok ("Chertok") at 2; American Society for Deaf Children ("ASDC") Comments at 3.

Jeannette Costa ("Costa") Comments at 2. According to Jeffrey M. Hutchins, Vice President & General Manager, VITAC, this may occur when a local station switches from its master control center to the control center in its news room at the end of a prime time program and just prior to the local news. If that control room is not set up to pass the VBI through properly, the result is the stripping of the captions during the final segment of the program. Telephone Interview with Jeffrey Hutchins (June 17, 1996).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>212</sup> WGBH Comments at 31; ASDC Comments at 3-4; NAD Comments at 23.

to show other information (e.g., school closings) and they do not return until the picture returns to its normal size.<sup>213</sup>

- 90. In addition, commenters observe that the closed captions may not remain with a program throughout the distribution chain, as would be expected.<sup>214</sup> It is reported that, sometimes, a prime time program broadcast on network television may not have the captions when it is rerun in syndication or redistributed by a cable network.<sup>215</sup> When a prime time program goes into syndication it may be edited to fit a shorter time frame. While the video and audio portions remain intact, the captioning may be removed.<sup>216</sup> For example, some PBS programming originally broadcast with closed captions has been redistributed on cable by A&E without the captions included.<sup>217</sup> It is also reported that a program may be captioned in one place and not another. For example, one commenter claims that *Jeopardy!* is captioned in Washington, D.C. and Nashville, Tennessee, but not in Atlanta, Georgia.<sup>218</sup> Further, commenters state that movies on HBO can appear one day with clear, error-free captions and be repeated on another day with captions that are scrambled and unreadable.<sup>219</sup> Additionally, programs may have the "CC" logo indicating that they are closed captioned when they do not actually have the captions.<sup>220</sup>
- 91. Moreover, there are often errors in captions, including misspelled words, incorrect grammar, poor timing, inaccuracies and poor placement. Captions do not always match what the speaker is saying.<sup>221</sup> Sometimes they are out of synchronization with the audio portion of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>213</sup> NVRC Comments at 6.

EEG Enterprises Comments at 3; NAD Comments at 22.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>215</sup> Colorado Assistive Technology Project Comments at 4.

American Society of Deaf Children Comments at 4.

Massachusetts Commission for the Deaf and Hard of Hearing Comments at 3. A&E does not respond to this assertion in its reply comments.

Atlanta Hears Chapter/SHHH Comments at 2. It is not clear to what extent the commenter monitored this programming and whether the lack of captions reflects a transmission problem on a specific day or the failure of the local broadcaster to distribute the programming with the captions intact. *Id.* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>219</sup> Atlanta Hears Chapter/SHHH Comments at 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>220</sup> Mary Clepper ("Clepper") Comments at 2.

Boston Chapter/SHHH Comments at 2.

program.<sup>222</sup> Accuracy is a problem, particularly with real time captioning.<sup>223</sup> When the ENR type of captions is used it is common for abbreviations, camera cues and anchor cues that appear on the teleprompter to be included in the closed captions.<sup>224</sup> The result of such errors is garbled captions, which one commenter points out are "a nuisance and sometimes funny."<sup>225</sup>

- 92. Some of the errors in captions noted above are likely due to captionwriter errors. It has been noted that even highly skilled captionwriters, with up to 99% accuracy rates, often make up to two mistakes per minute. These mistakes occur either because of captionwriter's error or the software mistranslation of the operator's keystrokes. Software mistranslation occurs when the software does not recognize the machine shorthand and the mistakes appear as a phonetic rendering of the word.<sup>226</sup>
- 93. Problems also occur because of inadvertent errors in the transmission of captions by the broadcaster; distributor, cable network, local station or cable system operator. In many cases, the captions have been stripped, moved to the wrong line of the VBI or flipped onto the wrong field of line 21 by maladjusted signal processing equipment. The critical technical steps of a quality captioning service are accurate encoding, transmission reception and decoding of the signal. To avoid such errors, it is important that the captioned signal be monitored as it is fed, monitored during the duplication process and checked to ensure that the equipment used is not inadvertently stripping the captions, moving them onto the wrong line or placing them in the wrong field.<sup>227</sup>

## IV. Video Description of Video Programming

#### A. Introduction

94. Video description<sup>228</sup> is a more recent innovation than closed captioning. It provides aural descriptions of a program's key visual elements that are inserted during the natural pauses in the program's dialogue. For example, it describes an action that is otherwise not reflected in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>222</sup> Id; Burlington Chapter of North Carolina Association of the Deaf at 3-4.

League for the Hard of Hearing Comments at 5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>224</sup> WGBH Comments at 31.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>225</sup> Chertok Comments at 2. See also Boston Chapter/SHHH Comments at 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>226</sup> WGBH Comments at 32.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>227</sup> Id. at 30. See also ASDC Comments at 4; NAD Comments at 22.

Some commenters suggest that video description is an inaccurate term and this service should more properly be called "audio description." See, e.g., Clark Comments at 4. We will use the term video description because it is the terminology used in the statute. 47 U.S.C. § 613(g).

the dialogue such as the movement of a person in a scene. It was first used in theatrical performances in the early 1980s, and since that time has been developed for television programming primarily by WGBH and other PBS affiliates. PBS first tested broadcast video description in 1988. The video description of a television program is most often transmitted through the SAP channel. The SAP channel is a subcarrier that allows each distributor of video to transmit an additional soundtrack. Essentially video distributors which utilize a SAP channel allow the viewer to choose between the primary soundtrack and an additional, or secondary, soundtrack transmitted on the SAP channel for the program. In addition to video description, the SAP channel is also frequently used for alternative language programming.

95. This ancillary service is permitted under the Commission's rules so long as it causes no observable degradation to any portion of the visual or aural broadcast signal.<sup>231</sup> To receive the service, the audience member must have a stereo television or a videocassette recorder ("VCR") that is capable of receiving the SAP channel, or a television adapter for this channel. There are presently no regulatory requirements regarding video description.

## B. Audiences that Benefit from Video Description

96. The precise number of persons with visual disabilities likely to benefit from video description is difficult to estimate.<sup>232</sup> This is, in part, due to the wide differences in the degree of visual disability. Indeed, many persons with sufficient vision to watch normal television programming may still benefit from video description.<sup>233</sup> According to the National Center for Health Statistics there are 8.6 million persons who are visually disabled.<sup>234</sup> However, other estimates of the population of persons with visual disabilities who would benefit most from video description range between eight and 12 million persons.<sup>235</sup> Beyond the direct benefit to such persons, video description can relieve family and friends of persons with visual disabilities of the

WGBH has established the Descriptive Video Service to provide video description. See WGBH World Wide Web home page: http://www.boston.com/wgbh/pages/dvs/dvshome.html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>230</sup> APTS Comments at 2. PBS went on to air the first nationally described program, *American Playhouse's* "Sense and Sensibility" in 1990.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>231</sup> See 47 C.F.R. §§ 73.646 and 73.682(a)(23)(ii) .

See, e.g., Audio Optics Comments at 1.

American Foundation for the Blind ("AFB") Comments at 5-8. Narrative Television Network ("NTN") refers to these persons as the "hidden visually impaired." NTN Comments at 4.

National Center for Health Statistics, Current Estimates from the National Health Interview Survey, 1994, Series 10, No. 193, at 93, Table 62.

AFB Comments at 5-8 (estimating the population of visually impaired to be between eight and 10.8 million).

But see American Council of the Blind ("ACB") Comments at 2 (estimating that there are probably at least 12 million Americans with visual disabilities who could potentially benefit from video described programming).

task of providing on the spot descriptions while viewing programming, thereby essentially serving as ad hoc describers.<sup>236</sup>

- 97. Many of these individuals are children for whom educational programming with video description would offer significant benefits.<sup>237</sup> Estimates suggest that up to 500,000 persons under the age of 18 can be classified as visually disabled.<sup>238</sup> Video description would allow these children to enjoy the same educational experience as their sighted peers.<sup>239</sup> Finally, video description may allow parents with visual disabilities to participate more fully in their children's educational experience.<sup>240</sup>
- 98. As the population ages, an increasing number of people will become visually disabled as part of the aging process.<sup>241</sup> These people may also become increasingly dependent upon television for information, entertainment and companionship.
- 99. Some sources have suggested that video description services can also offer ancillary benefits to nonvisually disabled persons.<sup>242</sup> Video description may also benefit persons with cognitive or learning disabilities.<sup>243</sup> Furthermore, video description may offer an educational opportunity for the sighted to improve their vocabulary and even writing skills by suggesting more creative and informative ways of describing a scene.<sup>244</sup> Persons without visual disabilities may sometimes choose to passively "watch" television while engaged in other activities. These persons, like those in the visually disabled community, are already partially served by conventional television and television band radio receivers. However, their experience, like that

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>236</sup> Washington Metropolitan Ear Comments at 6.

AFB Comments at 9. See also Laurence Anne Coe ("Coe") Comments at 3.

AFB Comments at 9. ABC Comments at 3. But see WGBH Comments at 3 (estimating that 45,000 school children are "legally blind").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>239</sup> AFB Comments at 9; ACB Comments at 3.

See, e.g., Louis M. Smith ("Smith") Comments at 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>241</sup> Washington Metropolitan Ear Comments at 7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>242</sup> Coe Comments at 2-4. See also NTN Comments at 3. AFB cites the popularity of play-by-play sports broadcasting among sighted persons as support for this proposition. AFB Comments at 10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>243</sup> AFB Comments at 9.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>244</sup> *Id*.

of people with visual disabilities, might be enriched through video description.<sup>245</sup> The widespread availability of video description might increase this type of use.<sup>246</sup>

# C. Methods of Distribution of Video Description

- 100. Generally, video description service is provided using the SAP channel.<sup>247</sup> The SAP channel allows for the delivery of a third audio track for a program in addition to the monaural and stereophonic audio tracks. The transmission of the SAP channel is accomplished with the use of a secondary carrier called a subcarrier. The ancillary audio (in this instance video description) is transferred onto the SAP subcarrier through the use of a modulator. Therefore, any program distributor wishing to deliver SAP would need to install an additional modulator at the transmission facility. In comparison, closed captioning information is carried on the VBI and does not require the use of additional equipment at the transmission facility. The VBI is available as an inherent feature of the Broadcast Television System Committee ("BTSC") video signal standard and is part of the transmission of a television signal, whereas the SAP channel requires the video distributor to generate a separate subcarrier containing the additional audio track.
- 101. In order for a viewer to access the SAP channel, the consumer must have a television or VCR equipped to receive the SAP channel.<sup>248</sup> Approximately 52% of American households own SAP-compatible televisions, and 20% own VCRs capable of receiving the SAP channel.<sup>249</sup> A consumer who has a television or VCR with SAP capability can activate this feature to receive the video description or other audio, if available, in lieu of the primary soundtrack.
- 102. When the SAP channel is employed, the program can be transmitted with two separate audio tracks. The additional track "follows" the main program signal through the

Coe Comments at 4-5. NTN asserts that 60% of their audience mail comes from sighted viewers who enjoy the programming. NTN Comments at 3.

Inclusive Technologies Comments at 4. Anna Dresner ("Dresner") also suggests that the popularity of books on tape hints at the possible popularity of video description among sighted viewers. Dresner Comments at 2. Washington Metropolitan Ear also suggests that such an application may be popular. Washington Metropolitan Ear Reply Comments at 9. Interestingly, Turner Classic Movies has suggested that the video description soundtracks may have some value as part of the books on tape market. Telephone Interview with Ken Schwab, Director of Programming, Turner Classic Movies (June 7, 1996)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>247</sup> CEMA Comments at 7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>248</sup> Audio Optics Comments at 1. Audio Optics also notes that stand alone SAP receivers were previously available but are no longer distributed. *Id.* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>249</sup> CEMA Comments at 7-8.

distribution process.<sup>250</sup> For example, the SAP channel as currently used by PBS for its video description follows the main program signal from the network's master control facility and satellite distribution system to the local station's broadcast facility and through the local transmitter. The accommodation of this additional soundtrack typically requires changes to the network and local station plant wiring and equipment. At the local transmitter, the distributor must have the technical facilities to remodulate the subcarrier signal to include the SAP channel information.<sup>251</sup>

- 103. Video description may also be provided as an "open" service with the descriptive narrative incorporated as part of the regular sound track. Narrative Television Network ("NTN") is currently providing nearly 20 hours per week of such programming over more than 1000 cable systems. NTN states that this method has the advantage of being available without the special equipment required to access the SAP channel. One potential disadvantage to this method is that the additional narrative may act as a distraction to the wider, sighted audience who wish to watch programming in a conventional manner.
- 104. In Canada, video description has been provided using a Radio Reading Service. AudioVision Canada transmits descriptive audio separately from regular audio over a radio reading service available on most Canadian cable television FM systems. This allows the consumer to receive either the video signal with the primary soundtrack or the video description soundtrack alone, but not both. For this reason, this technique works best for those not interested in or able to see the video portion of the program, since only one television channel can be accessed at a time. This would partially undermine the value of video description by not allowing persons with visual disabilities to enjoy television programming with their friends and family. However, this technique, as with open video description, allows the audience access to the descriptive narrative without special equipment.<sup>254</sup>
- 105. Finally, video description may also benefit from digital television technology. This technology may allow operators to provide the viewer with a choice between video description and alternative language programming because it may permit the transmission of multiple audio

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>250</sup> See An Investigation of Vertical Blanking Interval (VBI) Audio Encoding for Technical Distribution of Television Programs Containing a Special Soundtrack for Blind and Visually Impaired Viewers, Final Report, CPB/WGBH National Center for Accessible Media, Department of Education, National Institute of Disability Rehabilitation and Research Grant #H133C10223, February 28, 1995, at 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>251</sup> *Id.* at 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>252</sup> NTN Comments at 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>253</sup> Id.

AFB Comments at 4. See also ACB Comments at 2. ABC also asserts that it may be possible to deliver descriptive narrative audio using the VBI, or over telephone lines, but provides no further information. NTN also mentions this possibility but fails to provide specifics. NTN Comments at 5.

tracks.<sup>255</sup> According to NAB, digital television may also allow a viewer to listen to more than one audio channel at the same time. This feature may lower the cost of providing video description by allowing the consumer to select both the main audio program with the conventional soundtrack and a descriptive narrative video description audio program synchronized with the natural pauses in the conventional soundtrack simultaneously. This would allow the producer to eliminate the costly process of mixing the main soundtrack with the descriptive narrative.<sup>256</sup>

### D. Cost of Video Description

- 106. Estimates for the cost of providing video description vary widely. The service is labor intensive and the actual costs seem to vary considerably depending on the particular project. NCTA estimates that the cost of providing descriptive video service for a full length feature film can range up to \$10,000. However, the NTN estimates the cost of high quality narrative programming, when included as part of the primary audio track, to be between \$1000 and \$1200 per program hour. In addition to NCTA and NTN, other commenters address the issue of cost. PBS estimates the cost at one and one half times the cost of closed captioning or \$3000 per program hour. Audio Optics estimates that the cost alone for adding video description to a one and a half hour feature film would be about \$4000, exclusive of profit or overhead. This would equal about \$2667 per program hour.
- 107. Video description also entails increased distribution costs.<sup>262</sup> Currently, the commercial broadcast networks do not have the facilities to distribute the SAP channel to affiliated stations for retransmission. In order to distribute programming with SAP channel audio, the network must encode the SAP signal into the transmission to the satellite using a costly digital encryption system. The encrypted signal must be decrypted when received by the ground station. ABC, while unable to provide precise estimates, states that the required upgrades at the network

<sup>255</sup> NAB Comments at 14.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>256</sup> WGBH Comments at 30.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>257</sup> NCTA Comments at 16. See also WGBH Comments at 19.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>258</sup> NCTA Comments at 16.

NTN Comments at 6. NTN also asserts that digital technology will bring costs down further and shorten the turn around time required. *Id.* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>260</sup> PBS Comments at 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>261</sup> Audio Optics Comments at 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>262</sup> Closed captioning does not involve additional distribution costs because the VBI is an integral part of the transmitted television signal. The costs associated with closed captioning are for the production of the captions.

production facilities and the over 200 affiliated stations could cost "many hundreds of thousands of dollars." NBC and CBS estimate the total cost of retrofitting their network facilities and infrastructure with equipment to provide video description using a SAP channel to be at least several million dollars. 264

- 108. After receiving the decrypted signal from the networks the ground station must encode the SAP signal into its signal using a SAP generator. The commercial networks estimate that individual stations that do not have SAP reception and decoding capability would have to spend between \$30,000 and \$1 million for each local station to obtain it. According to the commercial broadcast networks, upgrades to current facilities necessary to provide video description would be wasted after conversion to digital television. December 266
- 109. Cable systems are technically able to transmit information on the SAP channel. However, cable operators face the same problems as broadcast stations regarding the reception and retransmission of SAP signals. In the case of cable the problems are somewhat compounded because the cable system requires a separate SAP generator for each channel it wishes to distribute with the SAP channel.<sup>267</sup>

# E. Funding for Video Description

110. To date, the primary source of funding for video description has been through government grants administered by the PBS, National Endowment for the Arts, National Science Foundation and especially the DOE.<sup>268</sup> The DOE currently allocates \$1.5 million for video description or about \$0.19 per American with a visual disability.<sup>269</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>263</sup> Capital Cities/ABC Comments at 15-16.

NBC Comments at 15. See also CBS Comment at 38.

NBC Comments at 15 (estimating the cost of the upgrade to be between \$30,000 and \$100,000). See also CBS Comments at 38 (estimating the cost to be between \$100,000 and \$1 million). According to CBS only about 10% of stations broadcast through the SAP, while slightly more, perhaps 20% of CBS affiliates do so. Id.

<sup>266</sup> Capital Cities/ABC Comments at 15; NBC Comments at 16; CBS Comments at 40-41.

Learning Industries, Inc., SAP-1 and SAP-2 Second Audio Program Generators (1996).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>268</sup> WGBH Comments at 23. WGBH's Descriptive Video Service receives about 65% of its budget from such sources. *Id.* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>269</sup> Coe Comments at 4-5. This calculation is made assuming the population of persons with visual disabilities is 8 million which is the most conservative estimate of the number of persons with visual disabilities. Coe also notes that this compares with about \$0.35 spent on closed captioning for each person with a hearing disability. *Id.* 

111. In addition to public funding, private sources have begun to support video description. WGBH's Video Description Service receives 35% of its funding from corporations and foundations, home video revenues and individual viewer donations. Video description also has begun to enjoy some success as a commercially viable product as witnessed by the success of NTN, Kaleidoscope and the recent introduction of described programming on Turner Classic Movies. However, even these commercial projects benefit from public funding. For instance, NTN has received government grants. Turner Classic Movies has developed its video description programming in partnership with WGBH, which as noted receives 65% of its funding from government grants.

# F. Current Availability of Video Description

- 112. Public broadcasting has contributed substantially to the development and availability of video description.<sup>274</sup> PBS currently distributes video description on 22 programs, including *Mister Rogers' Neighborhood*, *Masterpiece Theater* and *Mystery*.<sup>275</sup> PBS's video description programming is currently being broadcast by 130 PBS stations reaching 71% of the U.S. population.<sup>276</sup> PBS also provided video description for the 1993 presidential inauguration, the only example of live video description to date.<sup>277</sup>
- 113. There is no video description on the commercial broadcast networks.<sup>278</sup> According to the networks, providing video description would be prohibitively expensive and logistically onerous.<sup>279</sup> For instance, NBC observes that PBS is able to describe some of its programming

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>270</sup> WGBH Comments at 24.

These commercial offerings are discussed more completely below. See ¶ 114-116 infra.

NTN Comments at 7-8.

Telephone Interview with Ken Schwab, Director of Programming, Turner Classic Movies (June 7, 1996) ("Schwab Interview"). See also note 268 supra.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>274</sup> APTS Comments at 3

DVS Update, August 1995, WGBH Descriptive Video Service. However not all episodes incorporate video description. In addition to describing public television programs, DVS is used for describing popular movies on home video. *Id.* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>276</sup> APTS at 3. Other public television stations are in the process of upgrading their equipment for SAP capability and the ability to carry video description. *Id.* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>277</sup> WGBH Comments at 12.

NBC Comments at 15; Capital Cities/ABC Comments at 14.

See, e.g., NBC Comments at 15.

because it receives the master tape two to three weeks in advance.<sup>280</sup> In contrast, commercial networks state that they receive their master tapes two to three days in advance.<sup>281</sup>

- 114. Kaleidoscope, the cable programming network devoted to the needs of persons with disabilities, provides movies that include video description. Kaleidoscope's programming schedule includes between two and two and one half hours of such movies each week.<sup>282</sup>
- 115. In addition to Kaleidoscope, NTN also provides video description. NTN does not use the SAP channel but rather uses "open video description" incorporating the descriptive narrative into the regular soundtrack. NTN programming is distributed by satellite, cable and broadcast. Cable subscribers who receive NTN's programming as part of their basic service are the majority of its audience. NTN maintains that there is some evidence that the availability of NTN programming acts as an inducement to persons with visual disabilities to subscribe to cable. NTN also cites its experience in Canada where it is usually distributed as part of a premium channel. It asserts that its experience there indicates that the availability of such programming may induce persons with visual disabilities to take premium services. Sec.
- 116. Turner Classic Movies began airing movies with video description narrative as its "DVS Showcase" series.<sup>287</sup> This series is aired weekly and runs for about two hours every Sunday afternoon. Turner Classic Movies' efforts are a joint project with WGBH and currently include 12 titles, such as Casablanca and the Maltese Falcon.<sup>288</sup> Turner Classic Movies plans to add 15 more video description titles this fall.<sup>289</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>280</sup> NBC Comments at 15.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>281</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>282</sup> Prince Interview supra note 174.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>283</sup> NTN Comments at 8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>284</sup> *Id.* at 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>285</sup> Id.

<sup>286</sup> Id

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>287</sup> Schwab Interview supra note 273.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>288</sup> Id. Turner Classic Movies is responsible for production and presentation costs while WGBH's Video Description Service is responsible for the descriptive narrative. A more precise break down of the costs is not available.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>289</sup> Id.

- MVPDs.<sup>290</sup> DTH satellite systems face the same problems as other distributors. For example, these providers express general concerns regarding the availability of described programming, a conflicting demand for bilingual programming on the SAP channel and the possible expense of creating and adding descriptive narrative.<sup>291</sup> HSD is not capable of passing through the SAP channel.<sup>292</sup> Using current technology many MMDS operators are unable to decode SAP programming without upgrading a significant portion of their equipment.<sup>293</sup> While the systems are generally capable of passing the SAP channel through, many of the current set top boxes are not capable of decoding the signal.<sup>294</sup> Similarly, SMATVs are able to transmit and receive the SAP channel but are faced with the same limitations of the current SAP channel technology as other MVPD operators.<sup>295</sup>
- 118. With the exception of the service provided by PBS, Kaleidoscope, NTN and Turner Classic Movies noted above, video description, as such, is unavailable on local, regional or syndicated broadcast television and local or regional cable services. Thus, persons with visual disabilities must rely on these limited video description services or the information that can be gleaned from the conventional television soundtrack.

### G. Obstacles to Video Description

- 119. Barriers to video description can be divided into two broad categories: technical issues and obstructions inherent to the service. Technical concerns include the unavailability of the SAP channel or the inability of some broadcast and cable networks to distribute programming with the SAP channel.<sup>296</sup>
- 120. Other barriers to more widespread use of video description are inherent to the service. For instance, the service requires development of a second script.<sup>297</sup> The development

See generally SBCA Comments at 10-11; WCA Comments at 8-10.

SBCA Comments at 10-11.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>292</sup> Interview with Robert M. Zitter, Senior Vice President, Technical Operations, HBO (June 26, 1996) ("Zitter Interview").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>293</sup> WCA Comments at 8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>294</sup> Zitter Interview supra note 292.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>295</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>296</sup> NBC Comments at 15.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>297</sup> NCTA Comments at 14.

and production of this second script can add considerably to both the production time and the budget required to produce a program.<sup>298</sup>

- 121. In addition to the increased costs, some commenters suggest that there may be significant copyright issues associated with the addition of descriptive narration to video programming.<sup>299</sup> Whereas closed captioning is essentially a verbatim transcript of the original script, video description necessarily involves creative decisions and thus may create a distinct derivative work.<sup>300</sup> A derivative work is an addition to a pre-existing work which transforms or otherwise modifies the original work.<sup>301</sup> To the extent that video description is subject to copyright laws, an unauthorized video description of an underlying work might constitute a copyright infringement.<sup>302</sup> As a consequence, commenters assert that, absent a statutory exception, mandatory video description regulations may conflict with the copyright holders' exclusive rights to create derivative works from their copyrighted works.<sup>303</sup>
- 122. Advocates for persons with visual disabilities argue that copyright issues can and will be resolved by the marketplace if video description requirements are put into place.<sup>304</sup> According to this line of reasoning, video description will simply become a routine part of licensing agreements if the service is required.<sup>305</sup>
- 123. Furthermore, because video description requires breaks in the dialogue to permit the insertion of the description, some programming may simply not be amenable to video description. For instance, programming with a great deal of dialogue may not permit the additional description while a classical music concert or popular music video might not be appropriate for video description because the descriptive narrative would interfere with the primary substance of the programming.<sup>306</sup> In other cases, programming such as an action

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>298</sup> See, e.g., NBC Comments at 15.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>299</sup> MPAA Comments at 10-11.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>300</sup> Id. See also NAB Comments at 13.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>301</sup> Id. (citing Nimmer on Copyright, § 3.03 (1995)).

<sup>302</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>303</sup> *Id*.

Washington Metropolitan Ear Reply Comments at 6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>305</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>306</sup> See, e.g., ALTV Comments at 16.

adventure movie may contain so much action that an ongoing video description could not keep up with the action even if gaps in the dialogue existed.<sup>307</sup>

- 124. Similarly, other forms of programming already contain considerable narrative and, therefore, video description may be unnecessary. Play-by-play sports programming and talk shows are often cited by programmers as examples of programming which do not warrant video description. However, several commenters on behalf of the visually disabled community argue that play-by-play does not sufficiently address the needs of people with visual disabilities. For instance, a play-by-play announcer excitedly interjecting "Wow did you see that?" does not provide information to a viewer with visual disabilities. Other commenters suggest that video description is not necessary for sports if a comparable radio broadcast is available. Still other commenters respond that a radio broadcast is only a substitute for video description if one assumes persons with visual disabilities were watching sports in isolation. These commenters argue that a significant benefit of video description is that it allows people with visual disabilities to enjoy television programming in social situations and to interact with their sighted friends and family members. Moreover, WGBH notes that even radio commentary is developed primarily with sighted people in mind and may omit information useful to people with visual disabilities.
- 125. Finally, many stations already use the SAP channel for other purposes. The most common purpose cited is bilingual programming, with 4.7% of local stations reported to be using the SAP channel to provide second language programming to reach 28% of television households. Other uses include local stations using the SAP channel to provide weather bulletins, news or the local farm report. A number of stations carry another feed of their main audio channel on the SAP channel to avoid consumer confusion if the SAP channel were inadvertently selected. Such uses usually serve larger communities and necessarily compete

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>307</sup> ALTV Comments at 16.

See, e.g., HBO Comments at 10-11.

<sup>309</sup> AFB Comments at 14.

<sup>310</sup> Smith Comments at 2.

<sup>311</sup> AFB Comments at 14.

<sup>312</sup> Id

WGBH Comments at 28.

See, e.g., NBC Comments at 15-16; Audio Optic Comments at 1; NAB Comments at 12; HBO Comments at 10

NAB Comments at 12-13.

<sup>316</sup> Id.

with video description.<sup>317</sup> Commenters indicate that to the extent that stations believe that the demand for such uses of SAP capabilities is greater than the demand for video description, they can be expected to preempt video description at least as long as SAP remains a comparatively limited resource and is not mandated by law or regulation.<sup>318</sup>

126. It appears that digital television may represent a solution to the problem of limited SAP capacity. Digital television allows video distributors to compress considerably more information within a given amount of bandwidth. Digital television may allow broadcasters to transmit several SAP like signals in conjunction with a program thereby permitting the consumer to choose between the conventional soundtrack, non-English language soundtracks or video description.<sup>319</sup> However, this would necessitate the consumer having a digital set-top box or digital television capable of accessing the digital video description.<sup>320</sup>

### H. Statutory Considerations

- 127. Under Section 713(f), the Commission is required to assess appropriate methods and possible schedules for phasing video description into the marketplace.<sup>321</sup> We also are required to assess technical and quality standards for video descriptions, a definition of programming for which video descriptions would apply and other relevant technical and legal issues.<sup>322</sup> In this section, we examine each of these matters.
- 128. Due to their limited experience with video description and the technical difficulties in providing the SAP with video description today, industry commenters generally assert that it

NBC Comments at 15; NAB Comments at 12.

NAB Comments at 12-13. See also AFB Comments 15. WGBH notes however, that situations have arisen where a PBS program has both a video description and a Spanish language sound track available. To date, stations have either elected to air the one soundtrack most useful for their audience or have aired the program more than once with each sound track being made available on subsequent airing. WGBH Comments at 29.

See CEMA Comments at 8-9; WGBH Comments at 29. Bell Atlantic asserts that it is already developing the infrastructure to allow the digital carriage of video description as an additional audio channel in its systems. Bell Atlantic Comments at 4.

WGBH Comments at 29-30 (recommending that the Commission require this capability be included in all digital televisions or set-top boxes.) But see WCA Comments at 9-10 (recommending that MMDS operators not be required to provide digital set-top boxes but rather to provide consumers wishing to receive video description with such boxes for an additional charge).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>321</sup> 47 U.S.C. § 613(f).

<sup>322</sup> Id.

is premature to consider implementation of video description requirements.<sup>323</sup> Several commenters suggest that video description should be left to marketplace demands.<sup>324</sup> Some commenters suggest that as the population ages, market demand will ensure that video description will become more widely available.<sup>325</sup> Other commenters assert that as household penetration of SAP compatible televisions and VCRs increase, the marketplace can be expected to respond with increased product for the larger number of viewers with visual disabilities capable of receiving video described programs.<sup>326</sup>

- 129. Still other commenters, while recognizing a need for video description, urge various exemptions, such as certain kinds of programming where video description would be redundant or overly burdensome, and certain kinds of programmers or video distributors that might face undue hardship if required to provide video description service.<sup>327</sup> Among these suggested exemptions are sports programming, local access programming and programming that already consists primarily of a discussion or narrative.<sup>328</sup>
- 130. In marked contrast to industry commenters, persons who would substantially benefit from the availability of video description and organizations that serve people who are visually disabled urge that the service be broadened and made more generally available.<sup>329</sup> These commenters advocate a broad range of strategies from mandatory requirements<sup>330</sup> to strong economic incentives as well as various combinations of mandates and incentives.<sup>331</sup> While several commenters offered these suggestions, few offered any specifics regarding the implementation of such incentive programs.

See, e.g., NCTA Comments at 14, WCA Comments at 8-9; ALTV Comments at 16; HBO Comments at 12; SBCA Comments at 10-11. SBCA also suggests the Commission explore whether alternatives may exist to make television more accessible to people with visual disabilities but offers no specific proposals.

See, e.g., HBO Comments at 11; CEMA Comments at 7; MPAA Comments at 9.

<sup>325</sup> CEMA Comments at 7.

<sup>326</sup> MPAA Comments at 9.

See, e.g., ALTV Comments at 15; NAB Comments at 13.

See, e.g., ALTV Comments at 15; NAB Comments at 13

See e.g., Coe Comments 7; Metropolitan Washington Ear Additional Comments at 1; AFB Comments 15.

NTN Comments 8-9 (urging that reasonable requirements will allow both the industry and consumers to benefit); Pennsylvania Council of the Blind, Washington County Chapter Comments at 1; Washington Metropolitan Ear Comments at 10.

See, Coe Comments 7; F&V at 7; Metropolitan Washington Ear Additional Comments at 1; AudioVision Comments at 2.

- 131. The American Council for the Blind ("ACB") urges that an increase in Federal funding is necessary to further the development of video description.<sup>332</sup> At the same time ACB contends that strict video description requirements should be applied across the industry, to producers, distributors and program providers.<sup>333</sup> According to the American Federation for the Blind ("AFB"), there is no justification for any blanket exemption for any class of programmer or distributor. Rather, AFB suggests that the Commission adopt an undue burden standard similar to the standard used for closed captioning.<sup>334</sup> Under such a standard, the Commission would be required to consider the nature and cost of adding video description, the impact on the provider or program owner, the financial resources of the program owner and the type of operations of the provider or program owner.<sup>335</sup> ACB recommends that in establishing standards, priorities and schedules for implementing video description requirements, the Commission should consult with an advisory board composed of consumers with visual disabilities, industry representatives and individuals with video programming experience.<sup>336</sup>
- 132. Washington Metropolitan Ear suggests that while the marketplace may ultimately provide widespread use of video description, a government mandate is necessary in order to develop the market for this service.<sup>337</sup> Washington Metropolitan Ear proposes that all program carriers be required to have the capability of relaying video description.<sup>338</sup> Noting that the library of video described programming currently available is limited, Washington Metropolitan Ear also proposes a five year phase in period before video description becomes a required part of most programming.<sup>339</sup>
- 133. In addition to addressing potential regulatory requirements, commenters propose various alternative means of expanding the availability of video description services. These proposals range from increased government funding to tax incentives.<sup>340</sup> In some cases, the positions of these commenters were somewhat contradictory. For instance, NTN argues that

ABC Comments at 3.

<sup>333</sup> Id at 6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>334</sup> AFB Comments at 13.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>335</sup> 47 U.S.C. § 613(e).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>336</sup> ACB Comments at 8.

Washington Metropolitan Ear Comments at 10. Washington Metropolitan Ear compares video descriptions to "many socially useful programs that turn out to be commercially remunerative (recycling, non discrimination, etc.)", in that a federal mandate may be required to "break the industry out of its rut."

<sup>338</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>339</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>340</sup> See, e.g., F&V Comments at 7; Metropolitan Washington Ear Additional Comments at 1.

video description is economically viable in the marketplace, while maintaining that increased government funding will be necessary to increase the availability of video description.<sup>341</sup> US West proposes that private sources and the marketplace should be the primary funding vehicles for video description.<sup>342</sup> To the extent that public funding is necessary, US West proposes that the money should come from a percentage of locally collected fees, such as cable franchise fees charged by local governments. US West further proposes that the government should provide additional resources to video production companies that insert video description into their programming, and also to those companies and individuals that provide private support, through the use of tax credits or deductions as applicable.<sup>343</sup>

- 134. Some industry commenters express concern that any video description requirement to be recommended or ultimately imposed should require the producer of the programming rather than the video distributor to include the descriptive narrative. These commenters argue that such a requirement is more efficient than requiring individual video distributors to provide the descriptive narratives. Similarly, industry commenters urge that any requirements mandating that programming include video description be imposed only on a prospective basis. These commenters argue that requiring video description of the enormous libraries of existing programming would be unduly onerous and impose an impossible burden on the industry.
- 135. Several commenters address the issue of quality standards. These commenters believe that video description has an inherently subjective aspect and that the issue of quality is not as easily measured as in the case of closed captioning. Whereas the quality of closed captioning can be described, at least in part, in terms of errors per hour of programming the quality of video description is, in large measure, a matter of the artistic choices made in developing a descriptive narrative such as what is described and how accurately the narrative conveys the experience enjoyed by a sighted viewer. Nevertheless, these commenters are adamant that video description address the actual needs of persons with visual disabilities rather than the needs perceived by the sighted community. In order to ensure this, these commenters

NTN Comments at 7-8. NTN also favors "reasonable" requirements mandating video description. See ¶ 130 supra.

<sup>342</sup> US West Comments at 6.

<sup>343</sup> Id

See, e.g., ALTV Comments 16; F&V Comments at 5.

MPAA Comments at 11-13.

<sup>346</sup> Id.

NTN at Comments 9; WGBH Comments at 29.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>348</sup> Id.

urge that audience testing be required or that a standards board composed of persons with visual disabilities be created.<sup>349</sup>

- 136. Some commenters suggest that any regulatory action addressing video description should be on a parity with closed captioning.<sup>350</sup> AFB proposes that the standards for video description and closed captioning be the same, including appropriate undue burden tests.<sup>351</sup> Bell Atlantic suggests that the same considerations that are of concern in developing closed captioning standards must be addressed in recommending any regulations for video description.<sup>352</sup> WGBH suggests that video description in its present state should be treated in much the same way as closed captioning is currently treated on cable systems, that is, if it is part of the original program source it must be included if technically feasible.<sup>353</sup>
- 137. Several commenters suggest that emergency information provided using captioning across the bottom of the screen without audio is of special concern.<sup>354</sup> These commenters cite the public safety needs to provide both sighted people and persons with visual disabilities with important information.<sup>355</sup> AFB proposes that such information be given priority in any requirement implementation schedule that the Commission adopts.<sup>356</sup>

#### I. Conclusion

138. In enacting Section 713 of the Act, Congress intended to ensure video accessibility to all Americans, including individuals with visual disabilities. Video description is an emerging service that currently enjoys only limited availability. Congress has directed the Commission to assess the appropriate methods and schedules for phasing video description into the marketplace and to address certain technical and quality standards issues. The present record on which to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>349</sup> See, e.g., Audio Optics Comments at 6 (recommending that audience testing ensure that video description is responsive to the needs of the sightless); ACB Comments at 7 (recommending that the Commission establish an advisory committee composed of blind consumers, individuals with experience in video programming, and industry representatives to establish standards).

<sup>350</sup> See, e.g., NTN Comments at 10.

<sup>351</sup> AFB Comments at 13-15.

<sup>352</sup> Bell Atlantic Comments at 3.

WGBH Comments at 29. See also 47 C.F.R. § 76.62(c) (Manner of Carriage).

See, e.g., Smith Comments at 1; AFB Comments at 16. See also Pennsylvania Council of the Blind citing school closing information, weather warnings, election results, sports scores and lottery numbers. See Petition to Revise Part 73.1250(h) of the rules (filed Feb. 23, 1996).

<sup>355</sup> Id.

<sup>356</sup> AFB Comments at 16.

assess video description, however, is limited, and the emerging nature of the service renders definitive conclusions difficult. Moreover, with the exception of the Metropolitan Washington Ear's proposal to phase in video description within five years, <sup>357</sup> commenters did not provide any guidance regarding the implementation of video description of video programming in terms of time frames, methods or standards. Nevertheless we believe that the development of rules for closed captioning, which is more widely available, can provide a useful model for the process of phasing in broadened use of video description. The nature and speed of the process for video description remains dependent on the resolution of certain technical, funding, legal and cost issues, as described below.

- 139. Many broadcast television stations are not yet equipped to transmit a SAP signal. These stations tend to be in smaller markets with a smaller economic base to support increased costs. Other MVPDs also currently do not transmit or decode a SAP signal. Advanced digital technologies, including specifically those used in broadcasting, direct broadcast satellites, MMDS ("wireless cable"), cable and wireline "open video systems" appear capable, when joined with digital receivers, of transmitting a separate channel. In particular, advanced digital television could make the distribution of additional audio channels feasible and thereby eliminate the conflict currently existing with other audio channel uses (e.g., second language). Any schedule for the full deployment of video description is dependent, in part, on the implementation of advanced digital technologies.
- In addition to these technical problems, funding remains a fundamental issue that will effect any schedule for the widespread use of video description. Currently, given the costs involved, it appears unlikely that advertising support alone will be sufficient to fund this service. Irrespective of the level and source of funding, it appears desirable to phase in service over a period of years. We believe that initial requirements for video description should be applied to new programming that is widely available through national distribution services and attracts the largest audiences, such as prime time entertainment series. Over a period of several years, video description should be phased in for programming with more limited availability, including services distributed in limited areas, and programming that attracts smaller audiences, such as daytime shows. Lower priority for video description should be given to programming that is primarily aural in nature, including newscasts and sports events. Phasing in video description in this manner would follow the model of the development of closed captioning. A more specific schedule for increasing the availability of video description is dependent on the nature of the support mechanism selected. In this regard, Congress could consider increasing funding mechanisms for pilot programming and seed money for joint government/industry projects and could encourage the incorporation of video description in program production. Congress could use the development of closed captioning as a model for broadening video accessibility.
- 141. Additionally, there are certain legal issues, such as copyright matters, that remain unresolved and are likely to require a Federal reassessment of the applicability of existing laws.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>357</sup> See ¶ 132 supra.

The copyright issue might be resolved through private negotiation with respect to newly produced material as part of the initial production process. The law, however, may need to be clarified to permit the addition of descriptions without copyright owner approval to older, previously published programming by parties down the distribution chain from the original production process.

Therefore, we believe that the best course is for the Commission to continue to 142. collect information and monitor the deployment of video description and the development of standards for new video technologies that are likely to affect the availability of video description. We intend to seek additional information and data that will permit a better assessment of video description in conjunction with our 1997 report to Congress assessing competition in the video marketplace. This annual report is submitted to Congress in compliance with Section 628(g) of the Act, 47 U.S.C. 548(g). In the context of this report, the Commission will be able to gather and evaluate information regarding the deployment of SAP channels and digital technology that will enable video providers and programmers to include video description. Persons with disabilities and the video programming industries will be able to report to the Commission on any developments to coordinate efforts in new technology standard setting and funding mechanisms. In seeking more information, we intend to continue to focus on the specific methods and schedules for ensuring that video programming includes descriptions, technical and quality standards and other relevant legal and policy issues. Simultaneously, we will monitor the deployment of video description through voluntary efforts and the development of standards for new video technologies that will afford greater accessibility of video description. Based on a more complete record, we expect to be able to better assess those issues that were not fully addressed through this proceeding.

#### V. ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS

- 143. This *Report* is issued pursuant to authority contained in Sections 4(i), 4(j), 403 and 713 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 154(i), 154(j), 403 and 613.
- 144. It is ORDERED that the Secretary shall send copies of this *Report* to the appropriate committees and subcommittees of the United States House of Representatives and United States Senate.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

William F. Caton Acting Secretary

#### APPENDIX

#### List of Commenters

#### Comments

- 1. A&E Television Networks
- 2. ALDA/Potomac
- 3. Alexander Graham Bell Association for the Deaf, Inc.
- 4. Alliance for Community Media
- 5. Aloha State Association of the Deaf
- 6. American Academy of Audiology
- 7. American Foundation for the Blind
- 8. American Society for Deaf Children
- 9. Joan Andrews
- 10. Association of America's Public Television Stations
- 11. Association of Late-Deafened Adults
- 12. Association of Local Television Stations, Inc.
- 13. Atlanta Hears Chapter/Self Help for Hard of Hearing People
- 14. Audio Optics, Inc.
- 15. AudioVision, Inc.
- 16. Bell Atlantic
- 17. Boston Chapter of Self Help for Hard of Hearing People
- 18. Broward County Library Access Services
- 19. Dick Burkhalter
- 20. Burlington Chapter of North Carolina Association of the Deaf
- 21. Californians for Television Access
- 22. Cape Organization for Rights of the Disabled
- 23. Capital Cities/ABC, Inc.
- 24. Caption Database, Inc.
- 25. CaptionMax
- 26. Joan Cassidy
- 27. CBS Inc.
- 28. Barbara Liss Chertok
- 29. City of St. Louis Communications Division
- 30. Joe Clark
- 31. Mary Clepper
- 32. Laurence Anne Coe
- 33. Colorado Assistive Technology Project, DakotaLink (South Dakota Tech Act Project),
  Georgia Tools for Life, Hawaii Assistive Technology Training and Service,
  Iowa Program for Assistive Technology, Louisiana Assistive Technology
  Access Network, Maine Consumer Information and Technology Training
  Exchange (CITE), Maryland Technology Assistance Program, Massachusetts
  Assistive Technology Partnership, Minnesota System of Technology to Achieve

Results (STAR) Program, Missouri Assistive Technology Project, New Hampshire Technology Partnership Project, Oklahoma ABLE Tech, Oregon Technology Access Through Life Needs, Pennsylvania's Initiative on Assistive Technology, Rhode Island Assistive Technology Access Project, Texas Assistive Technology Partnership, WisTech (Wisconsin Assistive Technology Program)

- 34. Consumer Action Network
- 35. Frank P. Corsica
- 36. Corporation for Public Broadcasting
- 37. Jeannette Costa
- 38. Council of Organizational Representatives
- 39. Deaf Counseling, Advocacy and Referral Agency
- 40. Disability Law Center, Inc.
- 41. Sally Dodge
- 42. Gerald Dominick
- 43. Anna Dresner
- 44. Kathy Dunn
- 45. EEG Enterprises, Inc.
- 46. Electronic Industries Association, Consumer Electronics Manufacturing Association
- 47. David S. Evans
- 48. F&V Channel, L.L.C.
- 49. Fairfax Cable Access Corporation
- 50. Mary Ann Foohey
- 51. Gallaudet University's Technology Assessment Program
- 52. Dan Glisson
- 53. Stuart and Marilyn Gopen
- 54. Great River Valley Chapter of the Coalition of Citizeus with Disabilities in Illinois
- 55. Mildred D. Helver
- 56. Home Box Office
- 57. Lillian and Glenn E. Hoshauer
- 58. Inclusive Technologies
- 59. Jerald M. Jordan
- 60. Lansing School District
- 61. League for the Hard of Hearing
- 62. Willis J. Mann, Telecommunications Access Program, Maryland Department of General Services
- 63. Massachusetts Commission for the Deaf and Hard of Hearing
- 64. MCAHI
- 65. Media Captioning Services
- 66. Metropolitan Washington Ear, Inc. (Comments and Additional Comments)
- 67. Sandra Miller
- 68. Motion Picture Association of America, Inc.
- 69. JoAnn M. Myers
- 70. Narrative Television Network

- 71. National Association of Broadcasters
- 72. National Association of the Deaf
- 73. National Broadcasting Company, Inc.
- 74. National Cable Television Association, Inc.
- 75. National Captioning Institute
- 76. National Congress of Jewish Deaf/Jewish Deaf Congress, Inc.
- 77. National Federation of the Blind of Idaho
- 78. Lucille E. Nestler
- 79. Lee Nettles
- 80. Frank L. Neuhauser
- 81. North Carolina Association of the Deaf, Inc.
- 82. North Carolina Department of Human Resources, Division of Vocational Rehabilitation Services
- 83. Northern Virginia Resource Center for Deaf and Hard of Hearing Persons
- 84. Ohio Educational Telecommunications
- 85. Pennsylvania Society for the Advancement of the Deaf, Inc.
- 86. Richard Pokrass
- 87. Public Broadcasting Service
- 88. Barbara H. Putney
- 89. Recording Industry Association of America
- 90. Regional Audio Information Services Ent.
- 91. Rochester Recreation Club for the Deaf, Inc.
- 92. Satellite Broadcasting and Communications Association
- 93. Schwartz, Woods & Miller on behalf of Ball State University, Connecticut Public Broadcasters, Inc., Detroit Educational Television Foundation, Educational Television Association of Metropolitan Cleveland, Fifteen Telecommunications, Inc., Long Island Educational Television Council, Inc., Louisiana Educational Authority, Maryland Public Broadcasting Commission, Metropolitan Board of Public Education, Mississippi Authority for Educational Television, New Jersey Public Broadcasting Authority, Oregon Public Broadcasting, University of New Hampshire, Window to the World Communications, Inc., University of North Carolina Center for Public Television, WJCT, Inc.
- 94. Self Help for Hard of Hearing People, Inc.
- 95. Celia Conlon Shepard
- 96. D.A. She
- 97. Louis M. Smith
- 98. South Carolina Association of the Deaf
- 99. Bernard J. Sussman
- 100. Telecommunications for the Deaf, Incorporated
- 101. U S West, Inc.
- 102. VITAC
- 103. Washington County Chapter, Pennsylvania Council of the Blind
- 104. Weather Channel
- 105. Charles C. Webster

- 106. WGBH Educational Foundation
- 107. Wireless Cable Association International

## Reply Comments

- 1. A&E Television Networks
- 2. American Council for the Blind
- 3. American Foundation for the Blind
- 4. Association of Local Television Stations, Inc.
- Dick Burkhalter
- 6. Caption Colorado, Inc.
- 7. Division of Services for the Deaf and Hard of Hearing
- 8. Encore Media Corporation
- 9. Home Box Office
- 10. Independent Cable & Telecommunications Association
- 11. International Cable Channel Partnership, Ltd.
- 12. Liberty Sports, Inc.
- 13. Maryland Association of the Deaf
- 14. Metropolitan Washington Ear, Inc.
- 15. Mid-Hudson Valley Civic Association of the Deaf
- 16. Motion Picture Association of America, Inc.
- 17. National Association of the Deaf
- 18. National Black Deaf Advocates
- 19. National Cable Television Association, Inc.
- 20. OpTel, Inc.
- 21. Herbert L. Pickell, Jr.
- 22. Rhode Island Association of the Deaf, Inc.
- 23. Sonny Access Consulting
- 24. Sunbelt South Tele-Communications, Ltd.
- 25. Gary Tomlinson
- 26. Virginia Association of the Deaf, Inc.
- 27. VITAC
- 28. Washington State Association of the Deaf
- 29. Delbert A. Wheeler
- 30. Wilson Association of the Deaf
- 31. Wireless Cable Association International, Inc.
- 32. Wisconsin Association of the Deaf