



## *Corrigendum to* “Differential climate impacts for policy-relevant limits to global warming: the case of 1.5 °C and 2 °C” published in Earth Syst. Dynam., 7, 327–351, 2016

Carl-Friedrich Schleussner<sup>1,2</sup>, Tabea K. Lissner<sup>1,2</sup>, Erich M. Fischer<sup>3</sup>, Jan Wohland<sup>2</sup>, Mahé Perrette<sup>2</sup>,  
Antonius Golly<sup>4,6</sup>, Joeri Rogelj<sup>3,5</sup>, Katelin Childers<sup>2</sup>, Jacob Schewe<sup>2</sup>, Katja Frieler<sup>2</sup>, Matthias Mengel<sup>1,2</sup>,  
William Hare<sup>1,2</sup>, and Michiel Schaeffer<sup>1,7</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Climate Analytics, Friedrichstr. 231 – Haus B, 10969 Berlin, Germany

<sup>2</sup>Potsdam Institute for Climate Impact Research, Potsdam, Germany

<sup>3</sup>Institute for Atmospheric and Climate Science, ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

<sup>4</sup>GFZ German Research Centre for Geosciences, Potsdam, Germany

<sup>5</sup>Energy Program, International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis, Laxenburg, Austria

<sup>6</sup>University of Potsdam, Institute of Earth and Environmental Science, Potsdam, Germany

<sup>7</sup>Wageningen University and Research Centre, Environmental Systems Analysis Group,  
Wageningen, the Netherlands

*Correspondence to:* Carl-Friedrich Schleussner (carl.schleussner@climateanalytics.org)

Published: 22 June 2016

Figure 15 of the final manuscript, which was added during the revision process, contains a wrong table headline that needs to be corrected. The rest of the manuscript remains unaffected. **Please find the corrected figure below.**

In the original manuscript it reads “Fraction of global coral reefs at risk of annual bleaching [Constant case, %]”. The corrected headline should read “Fraction of coral reef cells at risk of long-term degradation [Constant case, %]” in accordance with Fig. 14 and Table 3 of the manuscript.

In addition, in the same figure a rounding mistake has been corrected. For the first entry in this illustrated table, “Heat wave (warm spell) duration [month]”, the number for the 2 °C column has been corrected to 1.6 [1.4; 1.8] months (was 1.5 [1.4; 1.8]), in line with Table S2.

We sincerely apologize for this mistake and regret the inconvenience caused.

		1.5°C	2°C	
<b>Heat wave (warm spell) duration [month]</b>				
	Global	1.1 [1;1.3]	1.6 [1.4;1.8]	Tropical regions up to 2 months at 1.5°C or up to 3 months at 2°C
<b>Reduction in annual water availability [%]</b>				
	Mediterranean	9 [5;16]	17 [8;28]	Other dry subtropical regions like Central America and South Africa also at risk
<b>Increase in heavy precipitation intensity [%]</b>				
	Global	5 [4;6]	7 [5;7]	Global increase in intensity due to warming; high latitudes (>45°N) and monsoon regions affected most.
	South Asia	7 [4;8]	10 [7;14]	
<b>Global sea-level rise</b>				
	in 2100 [cm]	40 [30;55]	50 [35;65]	1.5°C end-of-century rate about 30% lower than for 2°C reducing long-term SLR commitment.
	2081-2100 rate [mm/yr]	4 [3;5.5]	5.5 [4;8]	
<b>Fraction of coral reef cells at risk of long-term degradation [Constant case, %]</b>				
	2050	90 [50;99]	98 [86;100]	Only limiting warming to 1.5°C may leave window open for some ecosystem adaptation.
	2100	70 [14;98]	99 [85;100]	
<b>Changes in local crop yields over global and tropical present day agricultural areas including the effects of CO<sub>2</sub>-fertilization [%]</b>				
Wheat	Global	2 [-6;17]	0 [-8;21]	Projected yield reductions are largest for tropical regions, while high-latitude regions may see an increase. Projections not including highly uncertain positive effects of CO <sub>2</sub> -fertilization project reductions for all crop types of about 10% globally already at 1.5°C and further reductions at 2°C.
	Tropics	-9 [-25;12]	-16 [-42;14]	
Maize	Global	-1 [-26;8]	-6 [-38;2]	
	Tropics	-3 [-16;2]	-6 [-19;2]	
Soy	Global	7 [-3;28]	1 [-12;34]	
	Tropics	6 [-3;23]	7 [-5;27]	
Rice	Global	7 [-17;24]	7 [-14;27]	
	Tropics	6 [0;20]	6 [0;24]	

**Figure 15.** Summary of key differences in climate impacts between a warming of 1.5 °C and 2 °C above pre-industrial and stylized 1.5 °C 2 °C scenarios over the 21st century. Square brackets give the likely (66 %) range.