

March 13, 2003
Candidate Standard 5100.8-2003



The Printer Working Group

Standard for Internet Printing Protocol (IPP): "-actual" attributes

Status: Approved

Abstract: This document defines an extension to the Internet Printing Protocol (IPP) (RFC2911, RFC2910) for the OPTIONAL "-actual" set of Job Description attributes that correspond to Job Template attributes defined in IPP. These "-actual" attributes allow the client to determine the true results of a print job regardless of what was specified in the Create-Job or Print-Job operation.

This document is a PWG Candidate Standard. For a definition of a "PWG Candidate Standard", see:

<ftp://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/general/pwg-process20.pdf>

This document is available electronically at:

<ftp://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/candidates/cs-ippactuals10-20030313-5100.8.pdf>, .doc, .rtf

Copyright (C) 2004, The Printer Working Group. All rights reserved.

This document may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works that comment on, or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the above copyright notice, this paragraph and the title of the Document as referenced below are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself may not be modified in any way, such as by removing the copyright notice or references to the Printer Working Group, a program of the IEEE-ISTO.

Title: Standard for Internet Printing Protocol (IPP): “-actual” attributes

The IEEE-ISTO and the Printer Working Group DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL WARRANTIES, WHETHER EXPRESS OR IMPLIED INCLUDING (WITHOUT LIMITATION) ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

The Printer Working Group, a program of the IEEE-ISTO, reserves the right to make changes to the document without further notice. The document may be updated, replaced or made obsolete by other documents at any time.

The IEEE-ISTO and the Printer Working Group, a program of the IEEE-ISTO take no position regarding the validity or scope of any intellectual property or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; neither does it represent that it has made any effort to identify any such rights.

The IEEE-ISTO and the Printer Working Group, a program of the IEEE-ISTO invite any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents, or patent applications, or other proprietary rights, which may cover technology that may be required to implement the contents of this document. The IEEE-ISTO and its programs shall not be responsible for identifying patents for which a license may be required by a document and/or IEEE-ISTO Industry Group Standard or for conducting inquiries into the legal validity or scope of those patents that are brought to its attention. Inquiries may be submitted to the IEEE-ISTO by e-mail at:

info@ieee-isto.org

The Printer Working Group acknowledges that the IEEE-ISTO (acting itself or through its designees) is, and shall at all times, be the sole entity that may authorize the use of certification marks, trademarks, or other special designations to indicate compliance with these materials.

Use of this document is wholly voluntary. The existence of this document does not imply that there are no other ways to produce, test, measure, purchase, market, or provide other goods and services related to its scope.

About the IEEE-ISTO

The IEEE-ISTO is a not-for-profit corporation offering industry groups an innovative and flexible operational forum and support services. The IEEE Industry Standards and Technology Organization member organizations include printer manufacturers, print server developers, operating system providers, network operating systems providers, network connectivity vendors, and print management application developers. The IEEE-ISTO provides a forum not only to develop standards, but also to facilitate activities that support the implementation and acceptance of standards in the marketplace. The organization is affiliated with the IEEE (<http://www.ieee.org/>) and the IEEE Standards Association (<http://standards.ieee.org/>).

For additional information regarding the IEEE-ISTO and its industry programs visit:
<http://www.ieee-isto.org>.

About the Printer Working Group

The Printer Working Group (or PWG) is a Program of the IEEE-ISTO. All references to the PWG in this document implicitly mean “The Printer Working Group, a Program of the IEEE ISTO.” The PWG is chartered to make printers and the applications and operating systems supporting them work together better. In order to meet this objective, the PWG will document the results of their work as open standards that define print related protocols, interfaces, data models, procedures and conventions. Printer manufacturers and vendors of printer related software would benefit from the interoperability provided by voluntary conformance to these standards.

In general, a PWG standard is a specification that is stable, well understood, and is technically competent, has multiple, independent and interoperable implementations with substantial operational experience, and enjoys significant public support.

Contact information:

The Printer Working Group
c/o The IEEE Industry Standards and Technology Organization
445 Hoes Lane
Piscataway, NJ 08854
USA

IPP Web Page: <http://www.pwg.org/ipp> IPP Mailing List: ipp@pwg.org

Instructions for subscribing to the IPP mailing list can be found at the following link:
<http://www.pwg.org/mailhelp.html>

Members of the PWG and interested parties are encouraged to join the PWG and IPP WG mailing lists in order to participate in discussions, clarifications and review of the WG product.

Contents

| | | |
|-----|---|----|
| 1 | Introduction..... | 5 |
| 1.1 | Problem | 5 |
| 1.2 | Solution..... | 6 |
| 1.3 | Alternative solutions | 6 |
| 1.4 | Scope..... | 6 |
| 2 | Terminology..... | 6 |
| 2.1 | Conformance Terminology | 6 |
| 2.2 | Other Terminology..... | 7 |
| 3 | “-actual” attributes | 7 |
| 3.1 | Overall philosophy | 9 |
| 3.2 | Relationship between “-actual” attributes and Job Template attributes | 9 |
| 3.3 | Timeline of values..... | 9 |
| 3.4 | Implementation of multiple values | 9 |
| 3.5 | Existing attributes that are similar to “-actual” attributes | 10 |
| 4 | New attribute group name..... | 10 |
| 5 | Conformance Requirements | 10 |
| 6 | Security Considerations | 10 |
| 7 | References | 11 |
| 7.1 | Normative References | 11 |
| 7.2 | Informative References | 11 |
| 8 | IANA Considerations..... | 12 |
| 8.1 | Attribute Registrations | 12 |
| 8.2 | Attribute Group name Registrations | 13 |
| 9 | Author’s Address | 13 |

Tables

| | |
|--|---|
| Table 1 – “-actual” Job Description attributes | 7 |
|--|---|

1.2 Solution

The solution to this problem is to add a set of Job Description attributes to report these “what actually happened” values. There will be one such Job Description attribute for each Job Template attribute. This extends the pre-existing concept that each Job Template attribute has a corresponding “-supported” and “-default” attribute; now there will also be a corresponding “-actual” attribute. These new attributes can be queried using existing operations to retrieve information on what actually happened, or what will actually happen, for a job.

1.3 Alternative solutions

There are a number of possible solutions to this problem. The solution discussed in this document is considered to be the one with the least impact on the overall architecture of IPP, creating the least churn on the model while providing full support to clients to discover the “actual” processing behavior.

Many have remarked that what is being described here is essentially what is currently in the industry being termed a “Job Ticket”. Adding full job ticket support to IPP would be beneficial and would solve this problem. However, this effort is expected to be complicated and result in a possibly significant update to the IPP architecture. Also, adding full job ticket support might be too costly for smaller IPP implementations. The solution described here can be thought of as an inexpensive alternative to a full job ticket solution.

1.4 Scope

While implementers of this specification may use the “-actual” attributes to perform accounting functions, this specification is intended to address information that is displayed to a human user.

2 Terminology

This section defines terminology used throughout this document.

2.1 Conformance Terminology

Capitalized terms, such as **MUST**, **MUST NOT**, **REQUIRED**, **SHOULD**, **SHOULD NOT**, **MAY**, **NEED NOT**, and **OPTIONAL**, have special meaning relating to conformance as defined in RFC 2119 [RFC2119] and [RFC2911] section 12.1. If an implementation supports the extension defined in this document, then these terms apply; otherwise, they do not. These terms define conformance to *this document (and [RFC2911]) only*; they do not affect conformance to other documents, unless explicitly stated otherwise. To be more specific:

REQUIRED - an adjective used to indicate that a conforming IPP Printer implementation **MUST** support the indicated operation, object, attribute, attribute value, status code, or out-of-band value in requests and responses. See [RFC2911] “Appendix A - Terminology for a definition of “support”. *Since support of this entire specification is OPTIONAL for conformance to IPP/1.1, the use of the term REQUIRED in this document means “REQUIRED if this OPTIONAL specification is implemented”.*

RECOMMENDED - an adjective used to indicate that a conforming IPP Printer implementation is recommended to support the indicated operation, object, attribute, attribute value, status code, or out-of-band value in requests and responses. *Since support of this entire specification is OPTIONAL for conformance to IPP/1.1, the use of the term RECOMMENDED in this document means “RECOMMENDED if this OPTIONAL specification is implemented”.*

OPTIONAL - an adjective used to indicate that a conforming IPP Printer implementation MAY, but is NOT REQUIRED to, support the indicated operation, object, attribute, attribute value, status code, or out-of-band value in requests and responses.

2.2 Other Terminology

This document uses the same terminology as [RFC2911], such as “**client**”, “**Printer**”, “**attribute**”, “**attribute value**”, “**keyword**”, “**Job Template attribute**”, “**Operation attribute**”, “**operation**”, “**request**”, and “**support**” with the same meaning.

3 “-actual” attributes

For each Job Template attribute defined in the IPP Model [RFC2911], or defined in any IPP extension document, a new Job Description attribute is defined. This new attribute will be referred to as an “-actual” attribute, since the name of such attributes is found by taking the name of the Job Template attribute and appending “-actual”. The “-actual” attribute is multi-valued, where each value has the same syntax as the corresponding Job Template attribute. For example, the “copies” (integer(1:MAX)) Job Template attribute has a new corresponding “copies-actual” (1setOf integer(1:MAX)) Job Description attribute. Another example is the “media-col” (collection) Job Template attribute, which now has a new corresponding “media-col-actual” (1setOf collection) Job Description attribute, where each value in the 1setOf has the same collection syntax, with the same member attributes, as the “media-col” attribute.

| Job Template Attribute | “-actual” Job Description attribute | Reference |
|--|--|---------------------|
| imposition-template (type3 keyword name(MAX)) | imposition-template-actual (1setOf (type3 keyword name(MAX))) | [PWG5100.3] §3.4 |
| insert-sheet (collection) | insert-sheet-actual (1setOf (collection)) | [PWG5100.3] §3.5 |
| job-account-id (name(MAX)) | job-account-id-actual (1setOf (name(MAX))) | [PWG5100.3] §3.6 |
| job-accounting-sheets (collection) | job-accounting-sheets-actual (1setOf (collection)) | [PWG5100.3] §3.8 |
| job-accounting-user-id (name(MAX)) | job-accounting-user-id-actual (1setOf (name(MAX))) | [PWG5100.3] §3.7 |
| job-error-sheet (collection) | job-error-sheet-actual (1setOf (collection)) | [PWG5100.3] §3.9 |
| job-hold-until (type3 keyword name) | job-hold-until-actual (1setOf (type3 keyword name)) | [RFC2911] §4.2.2 |
| job-message-to-operator (text(MAX)) | job-message-to-operator-actual (1setOf (text(MAX))) | [PWG5100.3] §3.10 |
| job-priority (integer(1:100)) | job-priority-actual (1setOf integer(1:100)) | [RFC2911] §4.2.1 |
| job-sheets (type3 keyword name) | job-sheets-actual (1setOf (type3 keyword name)) | [RFC2911] §4.2.3 |
| job-sheets-col (collection) | job-sheets-col-actual (1setOf (collection)) | [PWG5100.3] §3.11 |
| job-sheet-message (text(MAX)) | job-sheet-message-actual (1setOf (text(MAX))) | [PWG5100.3] §3.12 |
| media (type3 keyword name(MAX)) | media-actual (1setOf (type3 keyword name(MAX))) | [RFC2911] §4.2.11 |
| media-col (collection) | media-col-actual (1setOf (collection)) | [PWG5100.3] §3.13 |
| media-input-tray-check (type3 keyword name(MAX)) | media-input-tray-check-actual (1setOf (type3 keyword name(MAX))) | [PWG5100.3] §3.14 |
| multiple-document-handling (type2 keyword) | multiple-document-handling-actual (1setOf (type2 keyword)) | [RFC2911] §4.2.4 |
| number-up (integer(1:MAX)) | number-up-actual (1setOf integer(1:MAX)) | [RFC2911] §4.2.9 |
| orientation-requested (type2 enum) | orientation-requested-actual (1setOf (type2 enum)) | [RFC2911] §4.2.10 |
| output-bin (type2 keyword name(MAX)) | output-bin-actual (1setOf (type2 keyword name(MAX))) | [PWG5100.2] §2.1 |
| page-delivery (type2 keyword) | page-delivery-actual (1setOf (type2 keyword)) | [PWG5100.3] §3.15 |
| page-order-received (type2 keyword) | page-order-received-actual (1setOf (type2 keyword)) | [PWG5100.3] §3.16 |
| page-overrides (1setOf collection) | page-overrides-actual (1setOf collection) | [PWG5100.4] §5.2 |
| page-ranges (1setOf rangeOfInteger(1:MAX)) | page-ranges-actual (1setOf rangeOfInteger(1:MAX)) | [RFC2911] §4.2.7 |
| pages-per-subset (1setOf integer) | pages-per-subset-actual (1setOf integer) | [PWG5100.4] §5.3 |
| presentation-direction-number-up (type2 keyword) | presentation-direction-number-up-actual (1setOf (type2 keyword)) | [PWG5100.3] §3.17 |
| print-quality (type2 enum) | print-quality-actual (1setOf (type2 enum)) | [RFC2911] §4.2.13 |
| printer-resolution (resolution) | printer-resolution-actual (1setOf resolution) | [RFC2911] §4.2.12 |
| separator-sheets (collection) | separator-sheets-actual (1setOf (collection)) | [PWG5100.3] §3.18 |
| sheet-collate (type2 keyword) | sheet-collate-actual (1setOf (type2 keyword)) | [RFC3381] §3.1 |
| sides (type2 keyword) | sides-actual (1setOf (type2 keyword)) | [RFC2911] §4.2.8 |
| x-image-position (type2 keyword) | x-image-position-actual (1setOf (type2 keyword)) | [PWG5100.3] §3.19.2 |
| x-image-shift (integer (MIN:MAX)) | x-image-shift-actual (1setOf (integer (MIN:MAX))) | [PWG5100.3] §3.19.3 |
| x-side1-image-shift (integer (MIN:MAX)) | x-side1-image-shift-actual (1setOf (integer (MIN:MAX))) | [PWG5100.3] §3.19.4 |
| x-side2-image-shift (integer (MIN:MAX)) | x-side2-image-shift-actual (1setOf (integer (MIN:MAX))) | [PWG5100.3] §3.19.5 |
| y-image-position (type2 keyword) | y-image-position-actual (1setOf (type2 keyword)) | [PWG5100.3] §3.19.6 |
| y-image-shift (integer (MIN:MAX)) | y-image-shift-actual (1setOf (integer (MIN:MAX))) | [PWG5100.3] §3.19.7 |
| y-side1-image-shift (integer (MIN:MAX)) | y-side1-image-shift-actual (1setOf (integer (MIN:MAX))) | [PWG5100.3] §3.19.8 |
| y-side2-image-shift (integer (MIN:MAX)) | y-side2-image-shift-actual (1setOf (integer (MIN:MAX))) | [PWG5100.3] §3.19.9 |

Note that Table 1 is not meant to be an exhaustive list of the “-actual” attributes a Printer might implement, as it lists only those attributes in existence in approved IPP standard documents as of the date of this document. For any Job

Template attributes created by past, present, or future IPP standard documents, this specification states that a corresponding “-actual” Job Description attribute exists and can be implemented by a Printer or queried by a client.

3.1 Overall philosophy

These attributes are to be set on a “best effort” basis by the Printer. It cannot be expected that a Printer that can return a known value for some “-actual” attribute will never return the ‘unknown’ value for that attribute. Also, a Printer does not guarantee the accuracy of the value until the job/document has moved to a completion state (job-state/document-state is ‘completed’, ‘canceled’, or ‘aborted’).

In the same vein, a client SHOULD be robust in its use of these attributes, being able to handle both when the attribute is unknown and when the attribute changes value, including changing to a value different than that specified by the client. For example, the client might query for job attributes and present the status string “Printed page 2 of 4, Copy 3” since the “copies-actual” attribute was returned as ‘unknown’. Then, the very next query it makes might have an updated “copies-actual” value, since the Printer had just determined the value, so the next status string presented might be “Printed page 3 of 4, Copy 3 of 6”.

3.2 Relationship between “-actual” attributes and Job Template attributes

A very important point about the new “-actual” attributes is that support for them is not in any way tied to the support for the corresponding Job Template attributes. For example, a Printer that does not support the “copies” Job Template attribute SHOULD support the “copies-actual” Job Description attribute if the Printer knows how many copies printed for a job.

Similarly, whether or not a value for a Job Template attribute was included in the Job Creation operation, the Printer SHOULD return the corresponding “-actual” attribute if the value is known.

3.3 Timeline of values

As with all Job Description attributes, if the value of a supported “-actual” attribute is not yet known for a job, it MUST be returned with the out-of-band ‘unknown’ value in any query.

The value of an “-actual” attribute can change during the processing of a Job. The most obvious possible change is from ‘unknown’ to an actual value, but other possibilities exist as well. For example, a Printer might be planning on printing 5 copies of a job, but due to some error or to the job being canceled, the job might only print 3 copies. In this case, the “copies-actual” value would start at 5, then change to 3 at the point the Printer determines the final copy count will be 3.

A printer that supports a Job Template attribute in such a way that the value of the attribute overrides any instructions in the PDL SHOULD populate the corresponding “-actual” attribute at the time at which it reads the Job Template attribute. On the other hand, for an attribute where the PDL might override the value provided for the Job Template attribute, the Printer SHOULD wait until the PDL has been sufficiently processed to determine the true value of the “-actual” attribute before populating it. In any case, a Printer MUST NOT return a value that it does not believe is the correct value; that is, even though the Printer can change the value later, it should never “guess” at the value.

3.4 Implementation of multiple values

As discussed above, all “-actual” attributes are multi-valued. If a certain attribute has more than one value for a Job, such as a job that prints partly simplex and partly duplex, the Printer SHOULD include all values, in the order they were used. For a given attribute, a printer MUST return a value that is a “true” set, with no duplicates.

To obtain more fine-grained information, the “page-overrides-actual” and “document-overrides-actual” attributes can be used. For example, the “page-overrides-actual” attribute could be used to report that a job printed page 1 in simplex and the rest of the job in duplex. For more information on the format of these two attributes, see [PWG5100.4].

3.5 Existing attributes that are similar to “-actual” attributes

There are three existing attributes in IPP that function in a similar way to the new “-actual” attributes: the “job-k-octets”, “job-impressions”, and “job-media-sheets” attributes. These attributes can be specified as operation attributes of a Job Creation operation, and are also available as Job Description attributes. When queried, the Printer can return the value that was specified in the creation operation, or can return a different value that it has determined to be more accurate. For more information on these attributes, see [RFC2911], §4.3.17 and §3.2.1.1.

4 New attribute group name

To accommodate the ability of a client to query the “-actual” attributes, a new attribute group name is defined for use with the Get-Job-Attributes and Get-Jobs operations. In addition to the existing attribute groups defined in [RFC2911] §3.3.4, the following attribute group name is now defined:

- ‘job-actual’: the subset of the “-actual” Job Description attributes specified in this document that the implementation supports for Job objects.

To conform to this specification, a Printer MUST support the ‘job-actual’ keyword.

5 Conformance Requirements

To conform to this specification, a Printer or client MUST comply with the descriptions in sections 3 and 4 above.

To conform to this specification, a printer SHOULD support an “-actual” attribute if it knows the value through any means, such as through the value of the corresponding Job Template attribute, through the value specified on an IPP “Set” operation [RFC3380], through the PDL, or through some means external to IPP or the PDL.

Although a number of optional extensions to IPP are referred to in this document, support for those extensions is not required in order to support the “-actual” attributes extension defined in this specification. For example, although this specification defines new Job Description attributes to go along with the Job Template attributes defined in the “Production Printing Attributes – Set 1” optional extension to IPP [PWG5100.3], a Printer or client could implement or use “-actual” attributes without implementing the Job Template attributes defined in [PWG5100.3].

6 Security Considerations

This specification will have no impact on the security burden of or potential threats to the importing system.

7 References

7.1 Normative References

[PWG5100.1]

Hastings, T., and D. Fullman, “Internet Printing Protocol (IPP): “finishings” attribute value extension”, PWG 5100.1-2001, February 5, 2001, <ftp://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/candidates/cs-ippfinishings10-20010205-5100.1.pdf>.

[PWG5100.2]

Hastings, T., and R. Bergman, “Internet Printing Protocol (IPP): output-bin attribute extension”, PWG 5100.2-2001, February 7, 2001, <ftp://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/candidates/cs-ippoutputbin10-20010207-5100.2.pdf>.

[PWG5100.3]

Ocke, K., and T. Hastings, “Internet Printing Protocol (IPP): Production Printing Attributes – Set 1”, PWG 5100.3-2001, February 12, 2001, <ftp://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/candidates/cs-ippprodprint10-20010212-5100.3.pdf>.

[PWG5100.4]

Herriot, R., and K. Ocke, “Internet Printing Protocol (IPP): Override Attributes for Documents and Pages”, PWG 5100.4-2001, February 7, 2001, <ftp://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/candidates/cs-ippoverride10-20010207-5100.4.pdf>.

[RFC2119]

S. Bradner, “Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels”, RFC 2119, March 1997.

[RFC2910]

Herriot, R., Butler, S., Moore, P., Turner, R. and J. Wenn, “Internet Printing Protocol/1.1: Encoding and Transport”, RFC 2910, September 2000.

[RFC2911]

Hastings, T., Herriot, R., deBry, R., Isaacson, S. and P. Powell, “Internet Printing Protocol/1.1: Model and Semantics”, RFC 2911, September 2000.

[RFC3380]

Hastings, T., Herriot, R., Kugler, C. and H. Lewis, “Internet Printing Protocol (IPP): Job and Printer Set Operations”, RFC 3380, September 2002.

[RFC3381]

Hastings, T., Lewis, H., and R. Bergman, “Internet Printing Protocol (IPP): Job Progress Attributes”, RFC 3381, September 2002.

7.2 Informative References

[RFC2565]

Herriot, R., Butler, S., Moore, P. and R. Turner, “Internet Printing Protocol/1.0: Encoding and Transport”, RFC 2565, April 1999.

[RFC2566]

deBry, R., Hastings, T., Herriot, R., Isaacson, S. and P. Powell, “Internet Printing Protocol/1.0: Model and Semantics”, RFC 2566, April 1999.

8 IANA Considerations

This section contains the registration information for IANA to add to the various IPP Registries according to the procedures defined in [RFC2911] section 6 to cover the definitions in this document. The resulting registrations will be published in the <http://www.iana.org/assignments/ipp-registrations> registry.

8.1 Attribute Registrations

The following table lists all the attributes defined in this document. These are to be registered according to the procedures in [RFC2911] section 6.2.

Job Description attributes:

```
copies-actual (1setOf integer(1:MAX))
cover-back-actual (1setOf (collection))
cover-front-actual (1setOf (collection))
document-overrides-actual (1setOf collection)
finishings-actual (1setOf (type2 enum))
finishings-col-actual (1setOf (collection))
force-front-side-actual (1setOf (1setOf integer(1:MAX)))
imposition-template-actual (1setOf (type3 keyword | name(MAX)))
insert-sheet-actual (1setOf (collection))
job-account-id-actual (1setOf (name(MAX)))
job-accounting-sheets-actual (1setOf (collection))
job-accounting-user-id-actual (1setOf (name(MAX)))
job-error-sheet-actual (1setOf (collection))
job-hold-until-actual (1setOf (type3 keyword | name))
job-message-to-operator-actual (1setOf (text(MAX)))
job-priority-actual (1setOf integer(1:100))
job-sheets-actual (1setOf (type3 keyword | name))
job-sheets-col-actual (1setOf (collection))
job-sheet-message-actual (1setOf (text(MAX)))
media-actual (1setOf (type3 keyword | name(MAX)))
media-col-actual (1setOf (collection))
media-input-tray-check-actual (1setOf (type3 keyword | name(MAX)))
multiple-document-handling-actual (1setOf (type2 keyword))
number-up-actual (1setOf integer(1:MAX))
orientation-requested-actual (1setOf (type2 enum))
output-bin-actual (1setOf (type2 keyword | name(MAX)))
page-delivery-actual (1setOf (type2 keyword))
page-order-received-actual (1setOf (type2 keyword))
page-overrides-actual (1setOf collection)
page-ranges-actual (1setOf rangeOfInteger(1:MAX))
pages-per-subset-actual (1setOf integer)
presentation-direction-number-up-actual (1setOf (type2 keyword))
print-quality-actual (1setOf (type2 enum))
printer-resolution-actual (1setOf resolution)
separator-sheets-actual (1setOf (collection))
sheet-collate-actual (1setOf (type2 keyword))
sides-actual (1setOf (type2 keyword))
```

```
x-image-position-actual (1setOf (type2 keyword))
x-image-shift-actual (1setOf (integer (MIN:MAX)))
x-side1-image-shift-actual (1setOf (integer (MIN:MAX)))
x-side2-image-shift-actual (1setOf (integer (MIN:MAX)))
y-image-position-actual (1setOf (type2 keyword))
y-image-shift-actual (1setOf (integer (MIN:MAX)))
y-side1-image-shift-actual (1setOf (integer (MIN:MAX)))
y-side2-image-shift-actual (1setOf (integer (MIN:MAX)))
```

8.2 Attribute Group name Registrations

The following table lists the one new attribute group names defined in this document. This is to be registered according to the procedures in [RFC2911] section 6.2.

Attribute Group name:
job-actual

9 Author's Address

Dennis Carney
IBM Printing Systems
6300 Diagonal Highway
Boulder, CO 80301
Phone: 303 924 0565
Fax: 303 924 7434
e-mail: dcarney@us.ibm.com

Harry Lewis
IBM Printing Systems
6300 Diagonal Highway
Boulder, CO 80301
Phone: 303 924 5337
Fax: 303 924 7434
e-mail: harryl@us.ibm.com

Additional contributors:

David Hall, HP
Tom Hastings, Xerox
Ira McDonald, High North
Gail Songer, Peerless
Peter Zehler, Xerox