

COMMENTS ON IP5 NET/AI ROADMAP



IP5 Industry's views regarding the IP5 NET/AI Roadmap

We hope to further refine the roadmap, such as legal aspects. The following potential areas of work could be considered by the working groups in the area of legal:

- Share practice examples already available at the IP5 Offices in a consolidated and user- friendly manner
- Provide information on specific examination practices, to the extent available, regarding the patenting of NET/AI related inventions
- Consider comparative studies on legal aspects of NET/AI

We hope that the specific scope of development, specific content, specific steps and plans, etc., will be clearly explained to the Industry, so that the Industry can participate in a planned way.



IP5 Industry wishes to be involved in the implementation of the roadmap

- First of all, we hope **to clarify the specific implementation plan** of the roadmap.
- Second, **Industry reaches a consensus to provide the highest priority issues** for the IP offices to coordinate and resolve.
- Then, the **IP5 offices select relevant issues, conduct research, and give plans. Industry participates and provides comments.**
- Finally, the **IP5 offices provide research reports to the Industry.**

IP5 NET/AI ROADMAP: IT aspects/utilisation of NET/AI IT

IT aspects/utilisation of NET/AI IT: **Official machine translation could reduce burden on applicants.**

- IP5 Offices might provide official translations based on advanced tech, which could reduce burden on applicants as well as provides credibility.
- IP5 Offices might unify translation standards to enable **applicants to file a translated version to other Offices upon receiving the version from one of the Offices.**
- Another routine might be a unified translation standard, where **applicants could file applications to different Patent Offices based on one language, and Patent Offices could handle translations based on the standard on their own.**



IP5 NET/AI ROADMAP: Legal

- **Background:** Information and comparisons of certain examination practice of NET/AI-related inventions are suggested. For example, with respect to AI algorithm being patentable subject matter, attitudes and practice of IP5 Offices vary from one to another. One same tech solution might be considered and examined in different ways according to standards of certain Office, which might lead confusion and difficulty to applicants.
- **Suggestion:** Coordination would be recommended that IP5 Offices could do researches on **subject matter of AI algorithms**, so as to enlighten applicants with clear guidelines and improve certainty of examination standards. And more importantly, the researches would be highly welcomed to be introduced to policy-making departments of the IP5 Offices for reference in case of examination standards adjustments, promoting coordination and consistency of the subject matter examination of IP5 Offices.

