North Pacific Fishery Management Council

Eric A. Olson, Chairman Chris Oliver, Executive Director



605 W. 4th Avenue, Suite 306 Anchorage, AK 99501-2252

Fax (907) 271-2817

Telephone (907) 271-2809

Visit our website: http://www.alaskafisheries.noaa.gov/npfmc

April 13, 2012

Dr. James W. Balsiger Administrator, Alaska Region National Marine Fisheries Service PO Box 21668 Juneau, AK 99802-1668

Dear Dr. Balsiger:

At the last several meetings, the Council has discussed whether there is a need to formalize its role in the Essential Fish Habitat (EFH) consultation process that is undertaken by NMFS. The Council understands it has an opportunity, and in some instances a statutory obligation, to comment on actions by Federal agencies that may affect habitats of direct concern to the Council. The current practice, whereby NMFS consults informally with the Council or Council staff when potential issues of interest arise, has been effective to date. In order to ensure that activities that are of relevance to the Council are brought to their attention in a timely fashion, however, and not overlooked, the Council has adopted a formal policy for EFH consultation. As part of the policy, the Council has requested the establishment of a structured process for regular reports from NMFS, and has identified specific criteria that can be used to guide the agency in determining whether an activity is likely to be of particular interest to the Council. The Council's policy is described below.

Process for Council involvement in the EFH consultation process

The Council requests regular reports from NMFS on EFH consultations that may be of interest to the fishing industry, and/or that may affect habitats of direct concern to the Council. The Council Chair and the Executive Director will identify a consistent schedule for requesting these reports from NMFS. The reports should focus on major consultations, with a brief summary of routine activities with minor effects on EFH. For activities that may have major effects on EFH, to the extent possible, NMFS should provide advance notice to the Council of these pending activities, so that the Council can choose whether or not to engage directly in the consultation. The following criteria should be used to guide the agency in determining whether the activity is likely to be of particular interest to the Council:

- The extent to which the activity would adversely affect EFH;
- The extent to which the activity would adversely affect Habitat Areas of Particular Concern or other areas established by the Council to protect sensitive habitat features;
- The extent to which the activity would be inconsistent with measures taken by the Council to minimize potential adverse effects of fishing on EFH; and
- The extent to which the activity would conflict with Council-managed fishing operations.

The Council will aim to provide its input during appropriate public comment periods. The Council notes that the vast majority of EFH consultations undertaken by NMFS are not actions on which the Council would feel the need to comment. This EFH consultation policy is not

intended to create an additional clearance requirement (and potential for delay) in the permit process, rather it is intended to ensure that activities that are of relevance to the Council are brought to the Council's attention in a timely fashion and not overlooked.

The Council also encourages NMFS to report on their involvement in other non-fishing activities, outside of those that are captured under the EFH consultations, which may be of interest to the Council.

We look forward to working with you and the Assistant Regional Administrator for the Habitat Conservation Division, to establish a regular schedule and format for these EFH consultation reports. Diana Evans will be our primary point of contact for this issue.

Sincerely,

Chris Oliver Executive Director

his Oliver

cc: Jon Kurland