

## New study reveals unintended consequences of fire suppression

March 25 2024



New research from the University of Montana suggests attempting to suppress all wildfires causes them to burn with greater severity. Credit: University of Montana / Tommy Martino

The escalation of extreme wildfires globally has prompted a critical



examination of wildfire management strategies. A new study from the University of Montana reveals how fire suppression ensures that wildfires will burn under extreme conditions at high severity, exacerbating the impacts of climate change and fuel accumulation.

The study used <u>computer simulations</u> to show that attempting to suppress all wildfires results in fires burning with more severe ecological impacts, with accelerated increases in burned area beyond those expected from fuel accumulation or climate change.

"Fire suppression has unintended consequences," said lead author Mark Kreider, a Ph.D. candidate in the forest and conservation sciences program at UM. "We've known for a long time that suppressing fires leads to fuel accumulation. Here, we show a separate counter-intuitive outcome."

Though fire suppression reduces the overall area burned, it mainly eliminates low- and moderate-intensity fires. As a result, the remaining fires are biased to be more extreme, Kreider said. The study, "Fire suppression makes wildfires more severe and accentuates impacts of climate change and fuel accumulation," published in *Nature Communications*, shows how this "suppression bias" causes average fire severity to increase substantially.

"Over a human lifespan, the modeled impacts of the suppression bias outweigh those from fuel accumulation or climate change alone," he said. "This suggests that suppression may exert a significant and underappreciated influence on patterns of fire globally." Kreider led the research as part of his Ph.D. dissertation work.

Fire suppression exacerbated the trends already caused by climate change and fuel accumulation, the study found, causing areas burned to increase three to five times faster over time relative to a world with no



suppression.

Suppression, through preferentially removing low- and moderateseverity fire, also raised average fire severity by an amount equivalent to a century of fuel accumulation or climate change.

"By attempting to suppress all fires, we are bringing a more severe future to the present," said Kreider.

Andrew Larson, Kreider's Ph.D. adviser and a professor of forest ecology at UM, said this has significant impacts on ecosystems.

"Traditional suppression removes the low-severity fires that help perpetuate healthy forests by consuming fuels and preferentially killing thin-barked tree species," Larson said. "I wonder how much we are altering <u>natural selection</u> with <u>fire suppression</u> by exposing plants and animals to relatively less low-severity fire and relatively more highseverity fire."

However, the new findings also show that allowing more low- and moderate-intensity fire can reduce or reverse the impacts of the suppression bias. Suppression strategies that allow fire to burn under moderate weather conditions—while still suppressing fires during more dangerous fire weather—reduced average fire severity and moderated the rate of burned area increase, the team found.

"It may seem counterintuitive, but our work clearly highlights that part of addressing our nation's fire crisis is learning how to accept more fires burning when safely possible," said Philip Higuera, a co-author and UM professor of fire ecology. "That's as important as fuels reduction and addressing global warming."

Developing and implementing technologies and strategies to safely



manage wildfires during moderate burning conditions is essential, Kreider said. This approach may be just as effective as other necessary interventions, like mitigating <u>climate change</u> and decreasing unintentional human-related ignitions.

**More information:** Kreider, M.R., et al. Fire suppression makes wildfires more severe and accentuates impacts of climate change and fuel accumulation. *Nature Communications* (2024). doi.org/10.1038/s41467-024-46702-0

Provided by University of Montana

Citation: New study reveals unintended consequences of fire suppression (2024, March 25) retrieved 9 January 2025 from <u>https://phys.org/news/2024-03-reveals-unintended-consequences-suppression.html</u>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.