

Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations



Energizing Agriculture Assessment Tool

USER MANUAL

Development Project for FAO and the World Bank

Energizing Agriculture Assessment Tool

User manual

Published by the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations and the World Bank Rome, 2021 Required citation: FAO and World Bank. 2021. Energizing Agriculture Assessment Tool. User manual. Rome.

The designations employed and the presentation of material in this information product do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) and the World Bank, concerning the legal or development status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries.

The mention of specific companies or products of manufacturers, whether or not these have been patented, does not imply that these have been endorsed or recommended by FAO or the World Bank in preference to others of a similar nature that are not mentioned.

The views expressed in this information product are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of FAO or those of the World Bank, its Board of Executive Directors or the governments they represent.

© FAO and the World Bank, 2021



Some rights reserved. This work is made available under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 IGO licence (CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO; https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/igo/legalcode/legalcode).

Under the terms of this licence, this work may be copied, redistributed and adapted for non-commercial purposes, provided that the work is appropriately cited. In any use of this work, there should be no suggestion that FAO or the World Bank endorses any specific organization, products or services. The use of the FAO or World Bank logo is not permitted. If the work is adapted, then it must be licensed under the same or equivalent Creative Commons licence. If a translation of this work is created, it must include the following disclaimer along with the required citation: "This translation was not created by the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) or the World Bank. FAO and the World Bank is not responsible for the content or accuracy of this translation. The original [Language] edition shall be the authoritative edition."

Disputes arising under the licence that cannot be settled amicably will be resolved by mediation and arbitration as described in Article 8 of the licence except as otherwise provided herein. The applicable mediation rules will be the mediation rules of the World Intellectual Property Organization http://www.wipo.int/amc/en/mediation/rules and any arbitration will be conducted in accordance with the Arbitration Rules of the United Nations Commission on International Trade Law (UNCITRAL).

Third-party materials. Users wishing to reuse material from this work that is attributed to a third party, such as tables, figures or images, are responsible for determining whether permission is needed for that reuse and for obtaining permission from the copyright holder. The risk of claims resulting from infringement of any third-party-owned component in the work rests solely with the user.

Sales, rights and licensing. FAO information products are available on the FAO website (www.fao.org/publications) and can be purchased through publications-sales@fao.org. Requests for commercial use should be submitted via: www.fao.org/contact-us/licence-request. Queries regarding rights and licensing should be submitted to: copyright@fao.org

CONTENTS

SUMMARY	vii
SCOPE AND OBJECTIVE	1
TERMS AND DEFINITIONS	1
Assumptions	
Disclaimer	4
INPUT DATA	5
Input data where production costs are known	5
Input data in case where production costs are not known	6
GUIDED TOOL NAVIGATION	9
Start	10
Detailed description of the chain	10
Agriculture Module	11
Step 1. Selection of current agricultural machinery:	12
Step 2. Selection of energy supply sources:	13
Step 3. Crop characteristics:	13
Step 4. Definition of parameters to calculate production costs:	14
Step 5. Irrigation data entry:	14
Step 6. Crop budget:	15
Agricultural Intervention module	16
Step 1. Agricultural machinery alternatives:	17
Step 2. Electricity supply alternatives:	18
Industrial module	19
Step 1. Selection of current industrial machinery:	19
Step 2: Selection of energy supply sources:	19
Step 3. Process characteristics:	19
Step 4. Definition of parameters for the calculation of production costs: \ldots	20
Step 5. Processing budget:	20
Industrial intervention module	20
Energy saving practices module	21
Step 1: Lighting:	21
Step 2. Maintenance:	22
Step 3. Insulation:	24

Co-products module	25
RESULTS GENERATED BY THE TOOL	27
Economic results	27
Summary of operations	28
Energy results	29
Comparison of energy consumption:	29
Added value of co-products:	29
Energy supply:	29
Best energy practices:	29
Socio-economic and environmental results	
REFERENCES	

Figures and tables

Figures

Figure 1. EAAT analysis and navigation scheme	
Figure 2. Start of EAAT	10
Figure 3. Mapping of the coffee agro-chain	11
Figure 4. Agriculture module.	12
Figure 5. Step 1 of the agriculture module	13
Figure 6. Step 2 of the agriculture module	13
Figure 7. Step 3 of the agriculture module	14
Figure 8. Step 4 of the agriculture module	14
Figure 9. Step 5 of the agriculture module of the coffee chain	15
Figure 10. Step 6 of the agriculture module of the coffee chain	16
Figure 11. Agricultural intervention module	17
Figure 12. Step 1 of the agricultural intervention module	
Figure 13.Step 2 of the agricultural intervention module	
Figure 14. Industrial processing module	
Figure 15. Industrial intervention module	20
Figure 16. Energy saving practices module	21
Figure 17. Step 1 of the energy saving practices module	22
Figure 18. Step 2 of energy saving practices in the agriculture phase	23
Figure 19. Step 2 of energy saving practices module in the industrial phase	24
Figure 20. Step 3 of energy saving practices module	25
Figure 21. Co-products module	
Figure 22. Outline of the tool's results sections	27
Figure 23. Economic results	
Figure 25. Energy results.	
Figure 26. Socio-economic and environmental results	

Tables

Table 1. Input data with known costs, agriculture module in the case of coffee	5
Table 2. Input data with known costs, industrial module in the case of coffee	6
Table 3. Total input data from the agriculture module for the coffee agro-chain	7
Table 4. Total input data of the industrial module for the coffee agro-chain	8

SUMMARY

The EAAT tool is oriented towards the energy characterization of food industry supply chains, which allows users to make a comparison between a current scenario and improvement scenarios proposing the use of alternative equipment and energy sources. After comparing these scenarios, the tool shows the economic, technical, social and environmental impact that the intervention has for decision making according to the user's interests.

The first level of analysis is defined by choosing between two possible analyses: energy and economic.

Energy analysis allows users to examine their supply chain from a purely energetic point of view, that is, excluding all economic factors. This level of analysis requires minimal information on the part of the user, as they only have to specify the machinery associated with the process and the sources of electricity supply, heating and cooling. This stage was designed to allow users with minimal information to perform a quick analysis.

On the other hand, the economic analysis makes it possible to obtain a more advanced level of detail, by including both energy and economic factors. It should be noted that the information required by the user is much more specific and extensive, for this reason it is recommended to choose this analysis only if detailed information on the supply chain is available.

More specifically, the EAAT tool is divided into six specific modules according to each agrochain:

- agriculture module;
- agricultural intervention module;
- industrial module;
- industrial intervention module;
- energy saving practices module;
- co-products module;
- summary of results.

It should be noted that each module is divided into subsequent stages that will be explained in detail later. The definition of each of the modules and their functions are described in more detail below.

Agriculture module

This section on the tool analyses the supply chain including all activities related to rural areas, livestock, cultivation and land. This stage mainly focuses on production but not on the processing of raw material.

Agricultural intervention module

In this section the user can change the machinery specified in the agriculture module, thus allowing them to evaluate the impact of implementing different machinery from what they currently own. Furthermore, an alternative source of electricity can be specified as a means of evaluating potential savings from changes in technology.

Industrial module

This section provides all information related to the processing of raw material and offers the possibility to evaluate the industrialization process of each supply chain process. It is assumed that the product obtained in the agriculture module is the raw material that enters the industrial module. It should be noted that all industrial stages relevant to the chain under analysis were included, regardless of whether the country for which the database was prepared reached this level of industrialization.

Industrial intervention module

This module allows for an assessment of the impact of a change in the selection of industrial machinery, furthermore, an analysis can be made of changes in electricity, heating, and cooling supply sources.

It is important to highlight that, in order to cover any existing possibility, in the selection of both agricultural or industrial machinery, current and intervened, the user can enter their own values in order to specify any equipment not included in the tool's database.

Energy saving practices module

The purpose of this section is to allow the user to evaluate the potential energy savings for indirect process issues: lighting, preventive maintenance and insulation. The lighting step enables the user to analyse the impact that a change in the lighting systems would have on the total amount of electric energy consumed. Subsequently, the preventive maintenance step will allow the user to evaluate the impact the performance or non-performance of this maintenance has on the energy consumption of the equipment, and its useful lifespan. Finally, the insulation step allows for an evaluation of the potential energy and monetary potential savings, which could be obtained by applying a series of changes to systems exchanging heat with the environment.

Co-products module

The purpose of this module is to show the user the co-products associated with the chain under analysis that are produced in greater proportion and the stage at which they are generated. Furthermore, it provides a series of parameters of particular relevance to carry out yield calculations of possible future uses of co-products, while mitigating the environmental impact and generating an alternative source of income.

Summary of results

This module provides a summary of the previous modules that have been classified in sections and divided into two large groups: economic results and energy results. The description of the agricultural and industrial stages is done by means of user-friendly graphics that facilitate a quick interpretation and understanding of the results obtained.

SCOPE AND OBJECTIVE

The objective of the EAAT tool is to allow users to make a primarily energy assessment of the production and processing of an agricultural value chain. The said evaluation is carried out through a comparison between alternative equipment, techniques, and technologies alternatives for each stage in the process that are shown in parallel with the current situation of the agro-industry. Taking both scenarios, current and intervened, the impact that each one has on key decision parameters is shown in the first instance, energetic accompanied by other economic, environmental, technical, and social parameters.

The results obtained through this tool can be used for political decision making about agricultural practices in the region or country, as well as decision making at the level industries that want to improve their process performance. It is important to remember that the tool is mainly of an energetic nature. Therefore, the economic parameters do not represent an objective, but serve as an instrument for evaluating the impact that the optimization of certain stages in on the process has on the costs of the agro-industry.

TERMS AND DEFINITIONS

- Autogenerated: the electric energy used by the plant is generated internally.
- Heating: it is related to energy required in the form of steam.
- **Cooling:** equipment that uses electricity to handle compressors in the cooling cycle with R-134a to chill water.
- **Operation costs:** the sum of all variable costs in each stage: water consumption, combustibles, energy (electricity, heating, cooling) and manpower (labour).
- **Production cost:** the sum of all fixed costs (where fixed cost is depreciation) in addition to variable costs (manpower/labour, inputs and energy) and other costs (general and administrative contingencies, and depreciation).
- **Production cost:** (as an option for the cost of raw material in the industrial module). This option should be selected when the price of the raw material is not known. The price would be based on the production cost calculated in the agriculture stage.

Cost of crop establishment: this variable represents the initial investment to be made in order to establish a crop: plot layout, hole-digging, purchase of seeds or plants, weeding. In general, it is made up of all activities related to the crop that are not specified in the mapping corresponding to each agro-chain.

- **User defined**: this option was created in order to cover all possible options in terms of machinery. Each time it is selected, the use will be prompted to specify the parameter required by each piece of equipment for subsequent calculations.
- **Equipment efficiency:** refers to the percentage of the product that the machine can produce in relation to the total product that could be produced without losses.
- Inputs: inputs that have to be spent to sustain the crop or process e.g., drugs, fertilizers, nutrients, water. In this tool the energy costs are in a separate section.
- Labour intensity (man-hours/t): this parameter indicates how many hours one man must work to produce 1 tonne of product.
- **Unskilled labour:** operational personnel who do not require and have not had training in order to perform their activities.
- **Semi-skilled labour:** operational personnel who require and have had prior training in order to perform their activities.
- **Skilled labour:** non-operational personnel who require and have had preparation for the development of their activities.
- **Mapping:** it consists of a flowchart containing the stages into which each agri-chain is divided. Its function is to provide the user with a specific view of the stages that are included in the tool's database.
- Not performed: this option allows the user to specify that the step displayed in the tool is not being performed in their current process or is not intended to be performed in their intervened process.
- **Number of producers:** if the annual production entered in Step 3 is not within the range of the production size initially selected, the tool auto-calculates the number of producers needed to supply the indicated production.
- **Market price:** (as an option for raw material costs in the industrial module). This option should be selected when the user knows the price of the raw material. It should then be specified in the appropriate field.
- **Central grid:** the electrical energy that supplies the plant is taken from the energy distributed throughout the country or region.
- Internal Rate of Return (IRR): it is defined as the rate that makes the Net Present Value (NPV) equal to zero. In the case of investment projects, the IRR is the discount rate that equals the present value of cash flows with a negative sign (cash outflows) to the present value of cash flows with a positive sign (cash inflows) (Mondino and Pendas, 2007).

- **Cooling tower:** a system that carries out the heat transfer between a stream of water and an air stream in order to dissipate heat into the atmosphere and thus cool the water. During heat transfer to air, fractions of water are lost. Air can be supplied by fans or naturally.
- **Production unit:** number of machines required to perform or carry out the target production. In case the operation is manual (no use of machines or equipment) a '1' should be entered. If the user does not have the information, the user can choose to calculate it automatically
- Net Present Value (NPV): it is defined as the sum of the present value of net cash flows, minus the sum of the present value of net investments (Morales Castro and Morales Castro, 2022).

NOTE: Dear user, if you consider that there are additional terms or definitions that should be included in this manual, please write to us at <u>befs-support@fao.org</u>. We appreciate your collaboration for the improvement of the tool.

Assumptions

- For the head yield in cattle, in the case of beef a weight of 800 lbs/head is assumed, with a yield of 60 percent meat of the total weight (Barker, 2001; Guardiola, 2016).
- In the agricultural phase, it is assumed that 8 hours a day and 330 days per year are worked.

In the cost section, when the user selects that they know the production costs, the following is assumed:

- Miscellaneous costs are assumed to be 10 percent of the total labour cost.
- The contingency costs are assumed to be 10 percent of the total cost of labour and maintenance.
- General and administrative costs are assumed to be 5 percent of the sum of inputs plus labour, plus energy, plus maintenance, plus contingencies.
- Maintenance costs are assumed to account for 15 percent of the total cost of production.
- The skilled labour force is 1/5 of the semi-skilled labour force.
- For the automatic calculation of production units, it is assumed that the effective processing capacity of the equipment is equal to the average of the capacities of all equipment of the same type with the size selected by the user. For example, if a medium size was chosen in the agricultural phase but the user uses small scythes for pruning, the tool calculates the number of scythes as the ratio between the actual capacity of the

equipment (average of the capacities of the medium-sized scythes) and the capacity reported for the scythe selected by the user.

- For the calculation of the price of electricity when it is self-generated, and of heating and cooling when its cost is not known, it is assumed that the price is equal to the sum of the investment cost of the technology depreciated over 20 years and the cost of operation and maintenance reported in the tool's databases.
- For the calculation of the Net Present Value (NPV) it is assumed that the inflation rate is constant over time and the project duration period is 20 years.
- The useful life of the civil works and installation is assumed to be equal to the useful life of the equipment.
- The cost of the civil works is assumed to be equal to 50 percent of the total cost of the equipment.
- The cost of installation is assumed to be equal to 40 percent of the total cost of the equipment.

Disclaimer

In the summary of results, the diagram presented for the energy results is represented in this way to be more comparative, but in the current scenario values below zero do not mean negative values

INPUT DATA

To run the tool in the energy analysis the user is not required to enter data into the tool. However, to run the economic analysis it is necessary for the user to enter several input data, which can be minimal or slightly more extensive depending on one single data, whether they know the production costs or not. This is type of analysis is described later in the GUIDED NAVIGATION.

Thus, there are two cases for the input data: one when the user does not know the production costs and other when he does. The tables hereunder show examples of a possible scenario with the data required in both cases for the coffee agro-chain. For other agro-chains, the information required is quite similar and can be found in the annexes.

Input data where production costs are known

When the user knows the production cost of their product, the information required to run the tool is reduced by almost half. Table 1 and 2 show the minimum parameters that the user must know in order to run the tool when they know the production costs in the industrial and agriculture modules. These parameters are required for the calculation of financial indexes and other variables.

	MINIMUM INFORMATION TO EXECU	ГЕ ЕААТ (COFFEE)
	Agriculture Module	2	
Step	Data	Value	Units
STEP 2	Price of electricity (agriculture)		USD/kWh
Crop yield per harvest	Crop yield per harvest		tonne/ha
STEP 3	Number of crops		n°/year
STEL 5	Cultivation area		ha
	Discount rate		%
	Interest rate		%
	Inflation rate		%
	Sale price of product		USD/tonne
II II S STED 4	Salary for unskilled agricultural labour		USD/h-h
STED /	Salary for semi-skilled agricultural labour		USD/h-h
JILI 4	Price of water (Agriculture)		USD/m3
	Price of gasoline (Agriculture)		USD/litre
	Price of diesel (Agriculture)		USD/litre
	Price of propane (Agriculture)		USD/litre
	Price of natural gas (Agriculture)		USD/litre
	Production costs		USD/ha or /tonne or /year

Table 1. Input data with known costs, agriculture module in the case of coffee

Source: Elaborated by the authors

	MINIMUM INFORMATION TO EXE	ECUTE EA	AAT (COFFEE)
	Industrial Module		
Step	Data	Value	Units
	Cost of electricity		USD/kWh
STEP 2	Cost of heating		USD/GJ
	Cost of cooling		USD/GJ
	Process yield		tonnes product/tonnes MP
PASO 3	Hours of performance per year		h
	Annual production		tonnes/year
	Discount rate		%
	Interest rate		%
	Inflation rate		%
	Sale price of product		USD/tonne
	Salary for semi-skilled labour		USD/h-h
DASO 4	Salary for skilled labour		USD/h-h
FA30 4	Cost of water		USD/m ³
	Cost of gasoline		USD/litre
	Cost of diesel		USD/litre
	Cost of propane		USD/litre
	Cost of natural gas		USD/litre
	Production costs		USD/tonne or /year

Table 2	Innut	data	with	known	costs	inductrial	madula	:	the		~f	cof	faa
Tuble Z.	mput	uutu	VVILII	KIIUWII	cosis,	muustinut	mouute	111	line	cuse	ΟJ	τυj	Jee

Source: Elaborated by the authors

Input data in case where production costs are not known

When the user does not know the value of production costs, then it must know and enter more information into the tool, as shown in Table 3 of the agriculture module in the case of the coffee agro-chain. All additional information requested is necessary for calculating the value of production costs and other economic parameters. Tables 3 and 4 show all required input data for the coffee agro-chain in the agricultural and industrial modules, respectively.

	INFORMATION TO EXECUTE EAAT (CO	OFFEE)	
	Agriculture Module		
Step	Data	Value	Units
STEP 2	Price of electricity (Agriculture)		USD/kWh
	Crop yield per harvest		tonnes/ha
STEP 3	Number of crops		n°/year
	Cultivation area		ha
	Discount rate		%
	Interest rate		%
	Inflation rate		%
	Selling price of product		USD/tonne
	Salary for unskilled agricultural labour		USD/h-h
	Salary for semi-skilled agricultural labour		USD/h-h
	Price of water (Agriculture)		USD/m ³
	Price of gasoline (Agriculture)		USD/litre
	Price of diesel (Agiculture)		USD/litre
	Price of propane (Agriculture)		USD/litre
	Price of natural gas (Agriculture)		USD/litre
STEP 4	Cost of crop establishment		USD/ha
-	Herbicide price		USD/litre
	Pesticide price		USD/tonne
	Insecticide price		USD/litre
	Nitrogen fertilizers		USD/tonne
	Potassium fertilizers		USD/tonne
	Phosphorous fertilizer		USD/tonne
	NPK1 complex fertilizer		USD/tonne
	Price of organic fertilizers		USD/tonne
	Loan percentage		%
	Loan period		years
	Useful life of the equipment		years
	Useful life of the crop		years
	Seasonal water supply		m3/ha/year
	Crop water deficit		mm/year
	Average depth		m
STEP 5	Inversion		USD
	Irrigated area		ha
	Average useful life		years
	Pumping energy demand		kWh
	Nitrogen(N)		kg/ha/year
	Potassium (K)		kg/ha/year
	Phosphorous (P)		kg/ha/year
	Organic fertilizers		kg/ha/year
	Pesticide		kg/ha/year
STEP 6	Herbicide		litres/ha/year
	Insecticide		litres/ha/year
	Other- Miscellaneous		%
	Maintenance costs		%
	Other costs - contingencies		%
	Other general and administrative costs		%

Table 3. Total input data from the agriculture module for the coffee agro-chain

Source: Elaborated by the authors

	INFORMATION TO EXECUTE EA	AT(COFFE	EE)
	Industrial Module		
Step	Data	Value	Units
	Cost of electricity		USD/kWh
STEP 2	Cost of heating		USD/GJ
	Cost of cooling		USD/GJ
	Process performance		tonne-prod/tonne MP
STEP 3	Hours of operation per year		h
	Annual production		tonnes/year
	Discount rate		%
	Interest rate		%
	Inflation rate		%
	Selling price of product		USD/tonne
	Salary for semi-skilled labour		USD/h-h
	Salary for skilled labour		USD/h-h
	Cost of water		USD/m ³
	Cost of gasoline		USD/litre
	Cost of diesel		USD/litre
STEP 4	Cost of propane		USD/litre
	Cost of natural gasoline		USD/litre
	Cost of other chemicals 1		USD/tonne
	Cost of other chemicals 2		USD/tonne
	Cost of other chemicals 3		USD/tonne
	Cost of other chemicals 4		USD/tonne
	Cost of other chemicals 5		USD/tonne
	Cost of raw material		USD/tonne
	Pourcentage for loan		%
	Period of loan		years
	Equipment service life		years
	Other chemicals 1		tonnes/year
	Other chemicals 2		tonnes/year
	Other chemicals 3		tonnes/year
	Other chemicals 4		tonnes/year
STEP 5	Other chemicals 5		tonnes/year
	Miscellaneous costs		%
	Maintenance costs		%
	Other costs- Contingencies		%
	Other costs- General and administrative costs		%

Table 4. Total input data of the industrial module for the coffee agro-chain

Source: Elaborated by the authors

GUIDED TOOL NAVIGATION

The EAAT tool is designed as an easy guide for the user throughout the entire analysis. The order the user must follow to navigate correctly is as follows: agricultural characterization, industrial characterization, energy saving practices module, and co-product potential module. The detailed analysis scheme is shown in Figure 1.

	·	igure ii	STA	RT	ingueron senen			ſ	
Selection of a	igro-chain		Selection of	ry	Selection type of analysis				
		<u> </u>		7	Y				
			Agricultur	re mod	ule			1	
Selection current machinery	Selection ene source	ergy (Growing traits	Defin	ition of product costs	Input irrigation	s data	Crop budget	
				1					
		Agri	cultural inte	rventio	on module			1	
Alternative	s for agricultur	al machi	nery		Alternative	es for electri	city su	pply	
				1					
			Industria	l modu	ıle				
Seletion current machinery	Selection e source	nergy e	Characteristi the proces	cs of ss	Definition of p for producti	arameters on costs	Bud	get for process	
				1					
		Ι	ndustrial interv	vention	module				
Machinery altern	atives e	Alternati lectricity	ves for A supply	Alternat	ives for heating	Alte	rnative	es for cooling	
	_			1					
		Ene	ergy saving p	ractice	s module				
Lighti	ng		Mainte	enance		Iı	nsulati	on	
				1					
			Co-produc	ts moc	lule				
	Agriculture pha	ise			In	dustrial pha	se		
				7					
			Summary	of resı	ılts				
Economic resul	lts C)peratio	ns results		Energy results	S	ocio-eo vironn	nental results	

Figure 1. EAAT analysis and navigation scheme

Each module shown in Figure 1 will be expanded upon to show the user how to navigate the tool. The coffee agro-chain is taken as an example of how to use the tool, as follows:

Source: Elaborated by the authors

Start

The first section that is shown to the user when opening the tool is the START, as shown in Figure 2. In this section, the user must make the first three selections (Figure 1): (i) selection of the agro-chain (coffee, beef, pork, dairy or chicken), which has a brief description; (ii) selection of the country for which the database and study was carried out - in the case of error a warning signal will appear in the gray box; (iii) selection of the Operation Mode, which has the following types available:

- Energy analysis: it should be selected when the user does not have enough information about the process or industry in question, and wants to make a quick analysis. This analysis does not take much time to perform, since it requires minimal input data. In this mode of operation, only the sources of energy supply and the machinery used in production or processing are evaluated.
- Economic analysis: in this mode of operation the user needs to make a more complete analysis and therefore has more information available. In addition to energy supply sources and machinery, other more specific information on the industry or process related to production costs is also evaluated. Additionally, this mode of operation yields financial results such as NPV and IRR.
- **Evaluation analysis:** this is the most accurate mode of operation. It should be used when the user has sources to build his own database and supply it to the tool. For this purpose, a survey format is provided with the information that the user must collect.

Ener Detailed description of	gizing Agricu	Ilture Asse	essment Too	I (EAAT)	NEXT>>		
Agrochain description	Agrochain Selection Country of interest Benchmark country	Coffee Costa Rica Costa Rica		Do you want to manually define for your agroindust	the size ranges y? No	1. 2.	Selection of agro-chain. Selection of country.
Crop and processing or and grinding are used	f coffea seeds which a to drink as an infusior	fter toasting				3.	Selection of
Operation mode Quick analysis Detailed analysis	0 0	Operation mode de This operation mode requin Results are oriented towar	scription res more detailed and extensive ds techno-economic and energy	inputs, but results are more accur analysis.	rate.	\vdash	type of analysis or mode of operation

Figure 2. Start of EAAT

Source: Elaboration based on EAAT results

Detailed description of the chain

After completion of the first section or module, the user can review the 'detailed description of the chain' - a mapping or flowchart showing the production and processing of the final product of the value chain - or continue with the analysis by clicking on the 'next, agriculture module' button. Figure 3 shows the mapping of the coffee chain.



Figure 3. Mapping of the coffee agro-chain.

Source: Elaboration based on EAAT results

Agriculture module

After reviewing the agro-chain flowchart, the user will have a clearer idea about the stages for the production and processing of the product of interest. This concept facilitates understanding the next section - the agriculture module. In this module the user will enter and select all information related to the production of his product, i.e., livestock or crops, as in the case of coffee.

Figure 4. Agriculture module.



Source: Elaboration based on EAAT results

As can be seen in Figure 4, this module first asks the user to specify the size of their industry. When one of the options (small, medium or large) has been selected, the range in tonnes of the respective size is displayed. Once this has been specified, the user is guided through the six steps described below:

Step 1. Selection of current agricultural machinery: In this step the user must select the type of equipment, technique or technology currently used in each stage of production. For example, in the case of fertilization, if it is done by equipment and what type of equipment or if it is done manually. When the step is activated the first selection to be made is whether the user knows the amount of equipment or not, while a scheme of the stages of the agricultural phase (I) is displayed, as can be seen in Figure 5. If 'yes' is selected, the cells in the column 'production units' (II) are activated for entry. If 'no' is selected, the cells are deactivated and the tool autocalculates the amount of equipment with the information supplied by the user.

	- time to ended made										
Use this o	ption to select mach	inery i	isea for eve	ry agricultural st	age						
	Land	prepara	ition	Planting		st-planting	Harvesting		re- cleaning	Re la construcción de la constru	
Do you know t	the number of industrial equi	pment?		l Var	f No.						
Do you know i	are number of moustria equi	pineire		- 103	-10	1					
Small	(S) Medium (M)		Large (L)								
			Energy source	Equipment efficiency (%)	Energy consumption Electricity (kWh/t)	Energy consumption - Fuel (kWh/t)	Capital investment (USD)	Labour Intensity (man-h/t)	Water consumption (l/t)	Emissions (kg CO2e/t of product)	Production units
Land prepa	ration										
Plowing											
	Tractor (S) 1	•	Diesel	75.00%	0.00	24.82	\$12 000	0.19	0.00	2.90	2
Planting											
Sowing	Make and a ways of	-		0.00%	0.00	0.00	50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
	Not performed			0.00%	: 0.00	.00	20	: 0.00	0.00	0.00	U
Post-plantin	סי										
Pruning	- -										
	Chainsaw (S) 1	-	Gasoline	99.00%	0.00	0.22	\$260	0.19	0.00	0.03	1
	Strimmer (S) 1	-	Gasoline	99.50%	0.00	0.28	\$640	0.19	0.00	0.03	1
Weed control											
	Manual sprinkler (S)	-	Manual	95.00%	0.00	0.00	\$35	0.19	0.00	0.00	2
	Strimmer (S) 1	-	Gasoline	99.50%	0.00	14.00	\$640	0.19	0.00	1.64	1
Diagua control											
Flugue control									1		
Flugue control	Manual sprinkler (S)	-	Manual	95.00%	0.00	0.00	\$35	0.19	32.00	0.00	2

Figure 5. Step 1 of the agriculture module

Source: Elaboration based on EAAT results

Step 2. Selection of energy supply sources: In this step the user must specify whether the electricity used is self-generated or supplied by the central grid (I) as shown in Figure 6. if the first option is selected, assuming that the investment and operating costs are zero and the electricity generation technology option is selected. If the second option is selected the user must enter the cost in US dollars per kWh consumed and also select the electricity generation technology.





Source: Elaboration based on EAAT results

Step 3. Crop characteristics: In this step the user must enter minimal information about their crop such as the crop area, number of harvests per year and crop yield per harvest (tonnes of product per hectare). If the production entered here is not consistent with the industry size selected at the beginning of the module, the tool will calculate the number of producers needed to reach the production entered (I) as shown in Figure 7.

Step 3	CROP PARAMETERS									
	Use this option to specify the current parameters of your crop									
	Crop description									
	Parameter	Value	Units							
	Crop area	2.00	ha							
	Annual crop production	40.00	t/yr							
	Number of harvests	4	#/year							
	Crop yield	5	t/ha							
	Producers Number	2								

Figure 7. Step 3 of the agriculture module.

Source: Elaboration based on EAAT results

Step 4. Definition of parameters to calculate production costs: This step is of particular importance because, depending on the selections made here, the information that the user must enter is significantly reduced and the following steps are activated or deactivated. The first question in this step is whether the production costs of the process are known. If 'yes' is selected, the production cost in US dollars per year must be entered, together with parameters for the calculation of financial ratios shown in Figure 8. If 'no' is selected, a table is activated that asks for more parameters for the calculation of the cost of production These parameters are shown in Table 3.



Do you know your production cost?		·Yes	No	You must specify the following information besides the production cost
Input the current production cost		\$145	USD/t -	
Production cost calculation parameter Parameter	Value	Units		
Parameter	Value	Units		
Inflation rate	6.77%	%		The defined poduction cost is too
Interest rate	13.00%	%		low Please increase the production
Discount rate	12.00%	%		tow. I tease merease the production
Product sale price	\$5 235	USD/t		cost before carrying on with the
Water cost	\$1.89	USD/I		apalyric
Gas cost	\$1.28	USD/I		analysis.
Diesel cost	\$1.18	USD/I		
Propane cost	\$0.09	USD/I		
Natural gas cost	\$0.00	USD/I		

Source: Elaboration based on EAAT results

Steps 5 and 6 are activated only when the production costs that are not known have been selected in Step 4.

Step 5. Irrigation data entry: This step is found only in the agricultural module and in agrochains that require a crop for production. In this step the user can choose between several irrigation systems and specify indispensable information such as the irrigated area, investments, the water source, or otherwise specify that there is no irrigation system, as shown Figure 9.

Step 5	IRRIGATION DATA ENTRY					
	Use this option to specify the crop irrigation pa	rameters				
	Irrigation parameters					
	Parameter	Value	Units	Parameter	Valor	Units
	Irrigation system	User defined •		Energy unit source	Diesel -	
	Seasonal water application	5.00	m3/ha/yr	Investment		USD
	Crop water deficit		mm/yr	Irrigated area	1.00	ha
	Water source	Superficial •		Average lifespan		years
	Average depth		m	Energy demand of the pump		kWh
	Water supply	Superficial •	<u> </u>			

Figure 9. Step 5 of the agriculture module of the coffee chain.

Source: Elaboration based on EAAT results

Step 6. Crop budget: In this step the user must define the quantities of inputs required for the crop, as well as the percentage of other costs such as: (i) maintenance of total production costs; (ii) contingencies of total labour and maintenance; (iii) miscellaneous of total labour cost; and (iv) general and administrative costs of the total sum of the costs of inputs, services, payroll maintenance and contingencies. Given the length of Step 6, only part of it is shown in Figure 10.

Use this option to specify input quant	ities required by your cro	p and production	on cost calcu
Inputs	Units	Quantity	Subtotal (U
Fertilizer nutrients			
Nitrogen (N)	kg/ha/yr	0.0	\$0
Potassium (K)	kg/ha/yr	0.0	\$0
Phosphorus (P)	kg/ha/yr	0.0	\$0
Organic fertilizers	kg/ha/yr	1765.0	\$18
Pesticide	kg/ha/yr	2.0	\$50
Herbicides	l/ha/yr	2.0	\$19
Insecticide	l/ha/yr	1.5	\$77
Irrigation			
Fuel consumption for irrigation	l/ha/yr		\$0
Irrigation water supplied			\$13
Maintenance of irrigation equipment	%		\$0
Other inputs			
Water	l/t	0.19	\$1
Subtotal			\$178

Figure 10. Step 6 of the agriculture module of the coffee chain.

Source: Elaboration based on EAAT results

Agricultural Intervention module

This module is intended to show the user what the impact would be on key decision parameters such as: (i) efficiency, emissions, or operating costs of performing each stage with a different technology; or (ii) of using a self-generated or different source of energy supply from the current one. The impact of evaluating these changes is shown in two ways in the tool: one in this module by making immediate graphical comparisons between one piece of equipment (or technique) or the other, and the second in the summary of results. It should be noted that the numerical value of the variables in both scenarios are also shown in this module.

When entering the intervention module and before starting to make comparisons between the current scenario and other options, a menu is displayed where the user can choose which stages to intervene, as well as which variable to compare (see Figure 11). In addition to reducing the visual load, this allows the user to eliminate information that is of no interest to them, and thus display only the information relevant to their evaluation.

After selecting the variables and stages of interest, the user should proceed to Steps 1 and 2, as explained below.

Figure	11.	Agricultural	intervention	module
--------	-----	--------------	--------------	--------

AGRICULTURE INTERVENTION MODULE
Selected chain Detailed description of selected chain NEXT>>
TYPE DATA IN BLANK CELLLS GREY CELLS ARE CALCULATED
Select the stages you want to analyze Select the comparisons you want to analyze Equipment efficiency Capital investment Capita
Step 1 AGRICULTURAL MACHINERY ALTERNATIVES
Land preparation Planting Post-planting Harvesting Pre- cleaning
Step 2 ELECTRICITY SUPPLY ALTERNATIVES Use this option to specify electricity supply

Source: Elaboration based on EAAT results

Step 1. Agricultural machinery alternatives: In this step, as in the agricultural module, the user is asked whether or not they know the amount of equipment they require with both options in the intervened scenario. After this, a drop-down menu is displayed where the user can choose between the different technologies available, and the equipment selected in the current scenario and its characteristics are simultaneously displayed. The comparison between the decision parameters (e.g., emissions or operating costs) of one equipment or the technology or other, is shown by means of coloured bars (see Figure 12). These bars do not display a normalised value but rather the actual value in their respective units (USD, kg, ha), whereby one can be significantly larger than the other and reach values greater than one hundred.



Figure 12. Step 1 of the agricultural intervention module.

Source: Elaboration based on EAAT results

Step 2. Electricity supply alternatives: This step shows the current electricity source with its respective decision parameters represented graphically, and the available alternatives for electricity supply. Similarly, an immediate graphical comparison of the technologies in both scenarios is shown (see Figure 13). In addition, as can be observed in the comparison of investments and operating costs, when the electricity source is 'central grid' the costs have a value of zero, since the user does not have to buy the equipment or operate it, but only receives the service.



Figure 13. Step 2 of the agricultural intervention module.

Source: Elaboration based on EAAT results

Industrial module

This module has a scheme of steps very similar to the agricultural module (see Figure 14). The only differences are that the budget is not in Step 6 but in Step 5, in the case of agrochains with crops such as coffee, furthermore, there is no mention of agricultural but only industrial machinery, in addition to the names of some parameters. Another important difference is that in this phase there are two more forms of energy, heating, and cooling. For this reason, Step 2 not only asks to specify the characteristics of the sources of electrical energy supply, but also of heating and cooling.

	INDUSTRIAL PF		
<< Back Agricultural	Detailed description of selected chain		NEXT>> Industrial intervention
Step 0 Select the	current size of your industry(ies) Small Medium Large Annual production lower than 1250 tonnes	TYPE DATA IN BLANK CELLLS GRE	EY CELLS ARE CALCULATED
Step 1 CURRENT IND Use this optio	DUSTRIAL MACHINERY SELECTION on to select equipment used in industrial production stages		
	Classification Pulping Siever	ring Degunning Drying Drying Tresh	fication
Step 2 ENERGY SUPP	PLY SOURCES SELECTION		
Ose this option	on to specify the features of your current energy supply sources		
Step 3 PROCESS PAR	AMETERS		
Use this optio	on to specify your process parameters		
Step 4 DATA ENTRY	FOR PRODUCTION COST CALCULATION PARAMETERS		
Use this optic	on to specify the required parameters for process production cost ca	Iculation	
Step 5 PROCESSING	BUDGET		
Use this optio	on to define utilities requirements and calculate the processing budg	et	

Figure 14. Industrial processing module

Source: Elaboration based on EAAT results

Step 1. Selection of current industrial machinery: This step has the same analysis scheme as Step 1 of the agricultural module. The only difference is that it has two more parameters: energy for heating and energy for cooling. To learn more about this step go to Step 1 of the <u>agriculture module</u>.

Step 2: Selection of energy supply sources: This step has the same analysis scheme as Step 2 of the agricultural module. The only difference is that it has two more parameters: energy for heating and energy for cooling. To learn more about this step go to Step 2 of the <u>agriculture module</u>.

Step 3. Process characteristics: In this step, important process parameters such as throughput, hours of operation per year and annual production should be defined. If the production entered here is not consistent with the industry size selected at the beginning of

the module, the tool will calculate the number of producers needed to reach the production entered.

Step 4. Definition of parameters for the calculation of production costs: This step has the same analysis scheme as Step 4 of the agricultural module. The only difference is that in the case of selecting that the production costs are not know, the raw material cost is requested and can be entered by the user (market price) or can be calculated by the tool with the production cost of the agriculture module.

Step 5. Processing budget: This step has the same analysis scheme as Step 6 of the agricultural module (Step 6 for agro-chains with crops such as coffee, Step 5 for chains with livestock). To learn more, see Step 6 of the <u>agriculture module</u>.

Industrial intervention module

This module has a scheme of steps similar to the agricultural intervention module (see Figure 15). The difference lies in the two additional steps related to the provision of heating and cooling. To learn more about the operation and navigation of this module and its steps, please go to the <u>agricultural intervention module</u>.

INDUSTRIAL PROCESSING INTERVENTION MODULE	
<< Back Industrial module Detailed description of selected chain Results s	NEXT>> ummary
TYPE DATA IN BLANK CELLLS GREY CELLS ARE CALCULATED	
Select the stages you want to analyze Select the comparisons you want to analyze	
g Classification g Drying g Payeting g Conservation	
a Putping & Treshing a Kuncenta adunt	
B Sieving B Size classification B Extraction	
a Depumming Show all Hide all	
Step 1 NIDUSTRIAL MACHINERY ALTERNATIVES	
Use this option to specify technological variations in the industrial phase	
	_
Classification Pulping Sieving Degumming Drying Treshing Final drying Concentration Extraction Milling Reasting Size classification	
	_
step 2 ELECTRICITY SUPPLY ALTERNATIVES	
Use this option to specify electricity supply	
Step 3 HEATING SUPPLY ALTERNATIVES	
Use this option to specify heating supply	
Step 4 COOLING SUPPLY ALTERNATIVES	
Use this option to specify cooling supply	

Figure 15. Industrial intervention module.

Source: Elaboration based on EAAT results

Energy saving practices module

This module was created to cover the energy consumption that is not generated due to the process directly (machinery) but to factors inherent to the process, but that represent an important amount of the total energy and economic consumption. The factors considered are divided in to 3 steps: lighting, maintenance, and insulation (Figure 16).

ENERGY SAVING PRACTICES MODULE	
Seck Detailed description of selected chain	<u>NEXT>></u> <u>Co-products module</u>
TYPE DATA IN BLANK CELLLS GREY CELLS ARE CALCULATED	
Step 1 LIGHTING	
Use this option to evaluate potential lighting energy savings	
Step 2 MAINTENANCE	
Use this option to evaluate potential preventive-maintenance energy savings	
Step 3 INSULATION	
Use this option to evalute potential energy savings by pipe and equipment insulation	

Figure 16. Energy saving practices module

Source: Elaboration based on EAAT results.

Step 1: Lighting: This step evaluates the potential savings that the user has if he changes the type of lamps used in their plant. It is possible to choose between analysing only the agricultural or industrial phase, or evaluating both (I) (see

Figure 17). The current lamp type wattage and cost (II) must first be selected (II). Then, the alternative lamp type must be specified from those available in the selection menu and its cost (II). Additionally, the daily hours of use and the days per year that the lighting system is used must be entered (III). With this information the tool calculates and provides results about the energy saved annually per lamp in kWh, the amount of money saved in US dollars, the percentage increase in the investment, the number of new lamps needed to replace the old one, and other key decision parameters such as the cost-lifetime ratio. The lower it is, the lower the investment cost per hours of lamp life.

Step 1	LIGHTING						
	Use this option to eval	uate potential lightin	g energy savings				
	Select the phases for analysis		☑ Agriculture		Industrial		
	Agricultural phase						
	Lamp	Type of lamp	Power (W/lamp)		Lamp cost (USD/lamp)	Lamp lifespan (hr/lamp)	Luminous flux (lm/lamp)
	Current	Halogen	50	-	5.0	5000	557
11	Alternative	Halogen low consumptio	30		8.0	10000	405
	Inputs				Units	Quantity	
	Daily use			hr	/day	8	
	Annual use			da	iys/yr	365	
	Electricity cost			US	SD/kWh	0.07	
	Results		Units		Value		
	Saved energy		kWh/yr-lamp		58]	
	Saved money		USD/yr-lamp		4		
	Capital investment increase		%		60.00%		
	Repayment period		years		2.1		
	Percentual lifespan increase		%		100.00%		
	Current cost-lifespan ratio		USD/hr		1.00		
	Alternative cost-lifespan ratio)	USD/hr		0.80		
	New lamps needed		lamps		1		

Figure 17. Step 1 of the energy saving practices module.

Source: Elaboration based on EAAT results.

Step 2. Maintenance: The objective of this step is to allow the user to evaluate the potential energy savings and the effect on equipment lifetime that implementing a preventive maintenance (PM) plan can generate or improve, if already in place. For this step, it is also possible to choose between analysing the agricultural phase, the industrial phase or both (I).

Figure 18 shows the maintenance step for the agricultural phase. The first important factor is the potential percentage energy savings (II), which describes what percentage of the total energy consumed in each step can be saved by implementing a PM plan. If this percentage is equal to zero, it means that the user already has an optimal PM plan implemented in its process. This factor is calculated for both the current and intervened process (III). Subsequently, the possible savings for electricity (which is the only type of energy other than fuels taken into account in the agricultural phase) are shown. The current electricity energy expenditure and the potential expenditure, if the PM plan were to be implemented, are then shown. Also, the annual electricity savings that would be achieved and finally the monetary savings achieved are shown, taking into account the electricity price that was specified or calculated in the agricultural module. In addition, a section with general calculations (IV) is shown. There, the current useful life of equipment is defined (depending on the current investment in a PM plan), after which the useful life that would be obtained by setting the optimal investment for PM is shown.

Step 2	MAINTENANCE						
	Use this option to evaluate pot	ential prevent	ive-maintenan	ce ener	gy savings		٦
	Select the phases for analysis	Ø	Agriculture		Industrial		
	Agricultural phase						
IV	Results		Units	Rei	ated to current	Compared to intervened	_
IV	Percentual energy saving potential	%	6		9.66%	9.66%	
	Electricity				· · · · ·		
	Current total energy expense	k	Wh/yr		0	0	
	Alternative total energy expense	k	Wh/yr		0	0	
V	Energy saving	k	Wh/yr		0	0	
V	Total monetary saving	U	ISD/yr		\$0	\$0	
	General						
	Current equipment lifespan	у	ears		9.8	9.8	
	Equipment lifespan with preventive-maint	enance y	ears		10.0	10.0	

Figure 18. Step 2 of energy saving practices in the agriculture phase

Source: Elaboration based on EAAT results

Figure 19Error! Reference source not found. shows the maintenance step for the industrial phase. The only difference with respect to the agricultural phase is that in this section the savings applied to heating and cooling are also analysed; furthermore, the total monetary savings as the sum of savings for electricity, heating and cooling are calculated. It should be noted that all of these savings are calculated using electricity, heating and cooling prices specified or calculated in the industrial module. Again, if the percentage specified in the potential percentage energy savings parameter equals zero, it is understood that the user already has an optimal PM plan.

Results	Units	Related to current	Compared to intervened
Percentual energy saving potential	%	14.98%	14.98%
Electricity			
Current total energy expense	kWh/yr	15 524 545	15 524 545
Alternative total energy expense	kWh/yr	13 199 632	13 199 632
Energy saving	kWh/yr	2 324 913	2 324 913
Monetary saving	USD/yr	\$77 739	\$77 739
Heating			
Current total energy expense	kWh/yr	0	0
Alternative total energy expense	kWh/yr	0	0
Energy saving	kWh/yr	0	0
Monetary saving	USD/yr	0	0
Cooling			
Current total energy expense	kWh/yr	0	0
Alternative total energy expense	kWh/yr	0	0
Energy saving	kWh/yr	0	0
Monetary saving	USD/yr	0	0
General			
Current equipment lifespan	years	8.0	8.0
Equipment lifespan with preventive-maintenance	years	10.0	10.0
Total monetary saving	USD/vr	\$77 739	\$77 739

Figure 19. Step 2 of energy saving practices module in the industrial phase.

Source: Elaboration based on EAAT results

Step 3. Insulation: The isolation step is defined specifically for the industrial phase, since it only applies to processes with heating (I) cooling (II) and energy supply discarded for the agricultural phase.

In this section several improvements have been proposed that can be included in processes requiring heating (III) or cooling (IV). The user must choose which of these options to include in their analysis and evaluate the effect on each process - current and intervened. The results section (V and VI) of Figure 20 show the total energy savings with heating or cooling that can be obtained by applying changes. Subsequently, the amortization period corresponding to the changes made is displayed, in addition to the total energy currently consumed and after the changes. Finally, the total energy savings obtained for each heating and cooling is shown.

Use this option to evalute potential energy s	avings by pipe and equipment insula	tion		L
Heating				
Inputs				
Boiler insulation		Include	 Dismiss 	
Boiler insulation improvement		 Include 	 Dismiss 	
Pipe insulation		 Include 	Dismiss	
Pipe decalcification		 Include 	Dismiss	
Change of defective regulation elements		Include	 Dismiss 	
Results	Units	Related to	Compared to	
	omes	current	intervened	
Total energy saving after changes	%	17.00%	17.00%	
Investment repayment period	years	5	5	
Total current energy consumption	kWh/yr	0	0	
Total consumed energy after changes	kWh/yr	0	0	
Energy saving	kWh/yr	0	0	
Cooling				
Inputs				
Pipe insulation		Include	 Dismiss 	
Change of defective regulation elements		Include	 Dismiss 	г
Results	Units	Related to	Compared to	
	<i>a</i> /	current	intervened	
i otal energy saving after changes VI	%	9.00%	9.00%	
investment repayment period	years	5	5	
Total current energy consumption	kWh/yr	0	0	
Total consumed energy after changes	kWh/yr	0	0	
Energy saving	kWh/yr	0	0	

Figure 20. Step 3 of energy saving practices module.

Source: Elaboration based on EAAT results

Co-products module

In this module the user does not have to enter any data, since the results are calculated with values entered in the previous modules. The module is divided into two sections: the agricultural phase and the industrial phase (see Figure 21). Both sections show the same variables: production rate, energy product, COD (if applicable), energy product yield and potential.

	Figure	21.	Co-products	module.
--	--------	-----	-------------	---------

	COPRODUCTS MODULE						
Back lergy saving practices	Detailed selec	description of ted chain					
TYPE DATA IN B	LANK CELLLS	GREY CELLS ARE CALCULATE	ED				
CO-PRODUCTS INFORM	ATION						
Use this option to ide	ntify the most suitable	co-product for a more deta	niled analysis				
Agricultural phase							
Agrochain	Co-product	Production rate (kg	Energy product	Energy product yield (I or kg energy product/I	Energy potential (kJ/kg		
Coffee	Stems	3.33	Direct fuel	0.8580	19750.00		
Industrial phase							
Agrochain	Co-product	Production rate (kg coproduct/kg product)	Energy product	Energy product yield (I or kg energy product/I or kg coproduct)	Energy potential (kJ/kg coproduct)*		
Coffee	Pulp Mucilage	2.42 0.83	Biogas Biogas	25.0000 12.5720	540.00 3333.33		
	Husk Lees of coffee	0.23 0.06	Direct dry fuel Biogas	0.8900 64.8700	18000.00 1534.00		
	Honey water	4.94	Biogas	6.8100	377.47		
*Co-product investment and pr	e-treatment costs are not con	sidered in the monetary gain presented	in the results module				
Note: Methane yield is reporte For a more detailed analysis, r	d in L refer to the following link:		http://www.fao.org/energy	//befs/86304@192081@191902/es/			

Source: Elaboration based on EAAT results

RESULTS GENERATED BY THE TOOL

The results section is one of the most important section on the tool. A summary of the results calculated with information entered by the user is provided. The results summary is divided into 4 types of results: energy, economic, socio-economic and environmental and the operation summary, as shown in Figure 22, where each section is expanded upon.



Figure 22. Outline of the tool's results sections.

Source: Elaborated by the authors.

Economic results

The economic results are divided into five more sections: (i) financial indicators; (ii) return on investment; (iii) capital investment; (iv) unit production costs and their distribution (see Figure 23).

The financial results show the NPV, IRR, profit margin and payback period for both phases (industrial and agricultural).

The NPV indicates that a project should be invested in when the value is positive, since it represents an improvement in the investor's cashflow, or availability of resources (Mondino and Pendas, 2007). It is important to note that when the NPV is equal to zero, the net initial investment and the minimum rate of return are recovered.

The IRR must be greater than the capital cost, that is, what it costs to finance the investment project (Morales Castro and Morales Castro, 2002). When the IRR is lower than the capital cost rate, the project is not viable.

The amortization period indicates the number of years it takes to pay off the debt or loan generated during the initial investment of the project, so that at the end of this period the value of the project is paid in full. If the value of this period is sizeable it will take a long time to pay off the debt, which is not a promising indication for the project.

In the 'Distribution of Production Costs' section of the economic results, it is possible to see from a graphical standpoint which variables most affect the cost, both in the current scenario and in the intervened scenario. In the 'Return on Investment' section, a graph is displayed with the comparison of the US dollars spent for investments and US dollars generated in profits. All economic results are shown for the industrial and agricultural phases separately.



Source: Elaboration based on EAAT results

Summary of operations

The section of the summary of results shows the main characteristics of the agricultural and industrial phases. It is important to show here the level of industrialization of each phase, the size of the operation and the country of analysis (see Figure 24).





IRR, amortization period), production costs, capital investment, investment return.

Source: Elaboration based on EAAT results

Economical results: Financial indicators (NPV,

Energy results

This section is one of the most important sections of the tool, due to its energetic character and for this reason, it is one of the most extensive. It is divided into four subsections: (i) comparison of energy consumption; (ii) added value of co-products; (iii) energy supply; and liv) best energy practices, as explained in Figure 24.

Comparison of energy consumption: This section displays four different forms of energy: (i) electricity; (ii) fuels; (iii) heating; and (iv) cooling (I). These results are a key factor for the user as it describes the stages representing the energy bottlenecks of production and processing of the product. As in the previous results, the values are displayed for both the current scenario and the evaluation or intervened scenario. In the current scenario, the energy consumption peaks are shown downwards, to facilitate a comparison with the intervened scenario (II).

Added value of co-products: In this section the user can see the energy potential that some co-products of the agro-chains have, both in the agricultural and industrial phase. The amount of co-product per year, the useful energy as steam and electricity and the potential profit are shown.

Energy supply: This section presents a summary of the energy supply for each phase in the current and intervention scenarios. It shows the energy source, technology, capital investment, operation and maintenance costs, and primary fuel and emissions for each phase and scenario.

Best energy practices: This section provides the most important results of energy saving practices. A dynamics bar has been designed for each phase that increases or decreases the number of light bulbs in the plant or farm. After this selection, two graphs are shown that represent annual savings in both energy and money by changing the light bulbs for the ones selected in the energy saving practices module.



Figure 24. Energy results.

Source: Elaboration based on EAAT results

Energy results:

Selection of type of energy (fuel, electricity, heating, cooling), consumption per operation, added value of coproducts, energy supply, energy saving practices by best energy practices (dynamic with number of bulbs selection bar).

Socio-economic and environmental results

This section of the results has three different subsections: (i) levels and quality of employment; (ii) water consumption by equipment; and (iii) emissions generated by stage (see Figure 25). The first section shows employment in the primary and industrial stages for unskilled, semi-skilled and skilled labour. The second and third sections show water consumption and emissions per stage for the current and intervention scenarios. If a bar does not appear in the stage, this means that there is no water consumption or emissions are not being generated during this stage.



Figure 25. Socio-economic and environmental results.

Source: Elaboration based on EAAT results

REFERENCES

Barker, J. C. 2001 *Methane Fuel Gas from Livestock Wastes A summary*. North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC, 071-80. (available at: http://www.bae.ncsu.edu/extension/ext-publications/waste/animal/ebae-071-80-methane-gas-barker.pdf)

Guardiola, S. A. 2016 Rendimiento total de una canal de bovino. Distrito Federal, México Universidad Autónoma de México.(available at: http://www.fmvz.unam.mx/fmvz/departamentos/rumiantes/bovinotecnia/BtRgZooG011.p df)

Mondino, D. & Pendas, E. 2007. *Finanzas para empresas competitivas*. Buenos Aires: Granica S.A.

Morales Castro, A. & Morales Castro, J. A. 2002. *Respuestas rápidas para los financieros*. Naucalpan de Juárez: PEARSON Educación.

CB4407EN/1/07.21