### ANNEX 5: RESULTS FRAMEWORK - MTP 2014-17 / PWB 2014-15

- 1. This Annex provides the updated results framework for FAO's Medium Term Plan 2014-17 and Programme of Work and Budget 2014-15 to implement the reviewed Strategic Framework: the five Strategic Objectives and Objective 6; and the four Functional Objectives and three special Chapters as listed below. The results framework guides the planning of FAO's work and will provide the basis for monitoring and evaluation, as set out in Section III of the Adjustments to the PWB 2014-15. The results framework will evolve as it is further embedded into FAO's planning and monitoring processes and we will reflect lessons from its implementation in future revisions, as necessary. The results framework comprises:
  - a) Strategic Objectives with indicators, Outcomes with indicators, baselines and targets (two-and four-year), and Outputs (in a separate *Annex 6*) with preliminary indicators;
  - b) for Objective 6, the Functional Objectives and special Chapters, Outcomes with key performance indicators and targets (two- and four-year), and Outputs.
- 2. The determination of Outcome level baselines and targets and some key performance indicators is work in progress. The Programme Committee at its 114<sup>th</sup> Session (11-15 November) "in recalling the need for clear definition of indicators, and for coherence between outcomes and indicators, requested a note outlining further details of the process and methodology for developing indicators be presented to the 148<sup>th</sup> session of the Council, as part of an update to Annex 5 of document CL 148/3".
- 3. This revision to Annex 5 provides the information requested by the Programme Committee, as well as updates to the results frameworks to reflect the most recent information available.

### Outcome level monitoring and evaluation methodology

- 4. Organizational Outcomes reflect changes in the country level and/or global enabling environment needed to foster the achievement of higher level Strategic Objectives. They relate to those issues at country or international level in areas within FAO's mandate and core functions which could impede progress, including for example: relevance of policy and programming frameworks, level of resources and investments committed, level and capacity of coordination/partnerships, and capacity for availability and use of information for decision-making.
- 5. Indicators at this level measure the extent to which countries have made the necessary reforms and established the required capacities to achieve the strategic objectives, in the areas where FAO contributes; or the extent to which the international community has made progress on improving the global enabling environment framework, for example through the development of policy frameworks, norms, standards and agreements.
- 6. Outcome-level indicators are clustered into the main determinants of success, for example: relevance of policy and programming frameworks; level of resources and investments committed; level of coordination/partnerships established; and availability/use of information and data for decision making.
- 7. Each Outcome-level indicator is measured through a number of sub-indicators or 'elements of measure'. For example: for Strategic Objective 1, Outcome 1, indicator A: 'percent of countries with improved governance and coordination mechanisms for eradicating hunger, food insecurity and malnutrition', the changes in the set of policies, institutions and interventions are measured through the following four elements:
  - a) Existence of high-level inter-ministerial food security and nutrition mechanism
  - b) Existence of accountability mechanism (1 qualifier)
  - c) Existence of well-functioning governmental coordination mechanisms to address food security and nutrition (4 qualifiers)
  - d) Multi-stakeholder participation and civil society engagement (2 qualifiers)



- 8. To ensure clarity of definitions and consistency of measure across countries, each element of measure is further underpinned, where meaningful, by specific 'qualifiers'. For the example above, the existence of well-functioning governmental coordination mechanisms to address food and nutrition security element has four qualifiers which define the criteria applicable to a 'well-functioning coordination mechanism'. Similarly, indicators which include terms such as 'adequate', 'effective', 'well-functioning' etc. are all underpinned by detailed qualifiers/criteria. These, as well as detailed metadata for each indicator will be published in May 2014.
- 9. There are a total of 31 Outcome level indicators across the five Strategic Objectives. Progress against these will be measured (from a 2014 baseline) at the end of the 2014-2015 biennium, and the 2016-17 biennium. The indicators and preliminary baselines where available are presented in this Annex 5 below.

### The baseline survey

- 10. Outcome-level baseline data was obtained through a corporate assessment, which included secondary data collection, when possible, and a pilot baseline survey at country level. To make the best use of existing time and resources, the survey was conducted in a sample of 40 countries during August-September 2013. The sample countries were chosen as being representative of the entire 'population' of 149 countries in which FAO has ongoing activities. The sample also ensured sufficient coverage of the issues which each relevant Strategic Objective aims to address and of all regions where FAO provides its support towards achieving the Strategic Objectives.
- 11. The collection of secondary data consisted in a mix of Web-based research (from the World Bank, IMF, MDG Web sites, among others) and a review of relevant documents and information gathered at country level. Primary data in this phase was collected through a structured questionnaire, completed by two respondents in each sample country (FAO and UNDP).
- 12. Once data collection was completed, each Outcome-level indicator was derived through a composite of sub indicators which used data from primary and secondary sources at country level. A score was calculated for each Outcome-level indicator, ranging from zero to one, as a result of an average of the values of the sub-indicators. The score for each indicator was then coded into five classes (High, Medium-High, Medium-Low; Low, No/Negligible). The baseline values presented in this Annex 5 refer to the countries which scores fall into the two highest classes (High and Medium-high) for each particular indicator.
- 13. For example, for Strategic Objective 1, indicator 1.1.B, percent of countries with improved resource allocation (in terms of adequacy, efficiency and effectiveness) to eradicate hunger, food insecurity and malnutrition, the baseline value is the percentage of countries which currently have a High or Medium-High level of resource allocation, in this case 8.3%.
- 14. Note that baseline data for Strategic Objective 4 was derived independently of the pilot assessment, and is based on existing secondary data sources such as FAOSTAT and UNIDO Statistics, among others. Also, some indicators (those marked as "TBD", to be determined) require further analysis of secondary data, or present new measurement complexities which will be addressed in the coming weeks.

### Completing the results framework

15. Being derived from a pilot assessment, the Outcome-level baseline data presented in this Annex 5 are preliminary and will be updated through a fully-fledged corporate baseline assessment, to be conducted in early 2014. Preparations are already underway, and the design of the survey is being informed by lessons from the pilot. It will be conducted in the same 40 sample countries, but will include a broader range of respondents in each country (including from partner governments, other UN agencies and partners, research institutions/academia, civil society and the private sector) to provide a richer perspective on the country level enabling environment and capacity to achieve the Strategic Objectives.

16. Results from this fully-fledged corporate baseline assessment will allow FAO's Strategic Objective teams to set realistic targets. Final baseline data and targets will therefore be published in May 2014. The baseline assessment is part of the corporate monitoring and reporting framework that FAO has put in place for this biennium. As such, it will be followed by two in-depth assessments at the end of 2015 and 2017 to track progress against the Outcome-level indicators.

### FAO results framework

Strategic Objective 1: Contribute to the eradication of hunger, food insecurity and malnutrition

**Strategic Objective 2:** Increase and improve provision of goods and services from agriculture, forestry and fisheries in a sustainable manner

**Strategic Objective 3:** Reduce rural poverty

**Strategic Objective 4:** Enable more inclusive and efficient agricultural and food systems at local, national and international levels

**Strategic Objective 5:** Increase the resilience of livelihoods to threats and crises

Objective 6: Technical quality, knowledge and services

**Chapter 7:** Technical Cooperation Programme

Functional Objective 8: Outreach

Functional Objective 9: Information Technology

Functional Objective 10: FAO governance, oversight and direction

Functional Objective 11: Efficient and effective administration

**Chapter 13:** Capital Expenditure **Chapter 14:** Security Expenditure

### STRATEGIC OBJECTIVE 1

### CONTRIBUTE TO THE ERADICATION OF HUNGER, FOOD INSECURITY AND MALNUTRITION

### Indicators (and source) of achieving Objective

**Hunger** - Prevalence of undernourishment (percent) (source: FAO)

**Food Insecurity -** Prevalence of mild, moderate and severe food insecurity (percent) (source: FAO, Voices of the Hungry Score – to be developed)

Malnutrition - Percentage of children under 5 years of age who are stunted (percent) (source: WHO)

OUTCOME 1.1: Member countries and their development partners make explicit political commitments in the form of policies, investment plans, programmes, legal frameworks and the allocation of necessary resources to eradicate hunger, food insecurity and malnutrition.

	ors of Outcomes	Baselines	Targets (end 2015)	Targets (end 2017)
	Percentage of countries with improved comprehensive sectoral and/or cross-sectoral policies/strategies and investment programmes, that are supported by a legal framework, <i>measured by:</i>			
1.1.A	<ul> <li>existence of a current national cross- or multiple sectoral policies explicit objective to improve food security and/or nutrition</li> <li>existence of a national government cross-or multiple sectoral investood security and/or nutrition</li> <li>existence of comprehensive government policy and programming and malnutrition</li> </ul>	estment prog	rammes that	t addresses
	- existence of legal protection of the Right to Adequate Food  Percentage of countries with improved resource allocation (in terms of adequacy, efficiency and effectiveness) to eradicate hunger, food insecurity and malnutrition, measured by:	8.3%		
1.1.B	<ul> <li>adequacy of public expenditure to achieve food security and nutri</li> <li>adequacy of government human resources to achieve food security</li> <li>adequacy of food security/nutrition knowledge enhancement efform</li> <li>effective and efficient resource use</li> </ul>	y and nutriti	on targets	
Output	s			
1.1.1	Improving capacities of governments and stakeholders for developin frameworks and investment plans and programmes for food security			oral policy
1.1.2	Improving capacities of governments and stakeholders to develop an accountability mechanisms to realize the right to adequate food and taccess to resources and assets.	d implemen	t legal frame	
1.1.3	Improving capacities in governments and stakeholders for human residevelopment in the food security and nutrition domain.	source and o	rganizationa	1
1.1.4	Improving capacity of governments and other stakeholders to enhance effectiveness of public resource allocation and use for food security as			cy and
	OME 1.2: Member countries and their development partners adoption mechanisms for eradicating hunger, food insecurity and ma	pt inclusive		and
	ors of Outcomes	Baseline	Targets (end 2015)	Targets (end 2017)
	Percentage of countries with improved governance and coordination mechanisms for eradicating hunger, food insecurity and malnutrition, <i>measured by:</i>	18.0%		
1.2.A	<ul> <li>existence of high-level food security and nutrition policy setting manifestries and public institutions</li> <li>existence of national accountability mechanism (including independent institutions addressing violations of Right to Food)</li> </ul>		-	
	<ul> <li>existence of well-functioning governmental coordination mechani nutrition</li> </ul>	sms to addre	ess food secu	rity and

level of multistakeholder participation and civil society engagement.

## STRATEGIC OBJECTIVE 1 CONTRIBUTE TO THE ERADICATION OF HUNGER, FOOD INSECURITY AND MALNUTRITION

### **Outputs**

**1.2.1** Improving capacities of governments and stakeholders for strategic coordination across sectors and stakeholders for food security and nutrition.

OUTCOME 1.3: The decisions of member countries and their development partners regarding food security and nutrition are based on evidence and high-quality, timely and comprehensive food security and nutrition analysis that draws on data and information available in the network of existing sector and stakeholder information systems.

Indicat	ors of Outcomes	Baseline	Targets (end 2015)	Targets (end 2017)
1.3.A	Percentage of countries with improved evidence and high quality analytical products generated through functional information systems in support of food security and nutrition policy and programming processes, measured by:  - existence of a well-functioning and comprehensive national food so system  - existence of well-functioning mapping system of food security and  - existence of well-functioning government structure for regular more security and nutrition policies/strategies and national programmes  - uptake of relevant information and analysis for decision-making for programmes for food security and nutrition	nutrition ac nitoring and	tion ! evaluating	of food
Output	is			
1.3.1	Improving capacities of governments and stakeholders to monitor tre	nds and ana	lyse the con	tribution of
	sectors and stakeholders to food security and nutrition.			
1.3.2	Improving capacities of governments and stakeholders to map, monit	or and evalu	uate policies	,
	programmes and legislation relevant to food security and nutrition fo	r informed o	decision-mal	king.

## STRATEGIC OBJECTIVE 2 INCREASE AND IMPROVE PROVISION OF GOODS AND SERVICES FROM AGRICULTURE, FORESTRY AND FISHERIES IN A SUSTAINABLE MANNER

### Indicators (and source) of achieving Objective

**Production and productivity** - Crops net per capita production index number (2004-2006 = 100), including fibre; Livestock net per capita production index number (2004-2006 = 100); Fish production per capita (tonne/cap) (both capture and aquaculture); Roundwood production per capita (m3/cap) (source: FAOSTAT); Agriculture value added per worker (constant 2,000 USD); Cereals yield (hg/ha); Calories and protein produced per capita from livestock production(source: FAOSTAT)

**Environment** – Soil nutrient balances (source: FAOSTAT); Percentage of fish stocks in safe biological limits (source: FAO); forest area primarily designated for provision of environmental and social services (source: Global Forest Resources Assessment, FAO); forest area p.a. growth (percentage) (source: FAOSTAT); GEF benefits index (GBI) for biodiversity

(source: World Bank)

OUTCOME 2.1: Producers and natural resource managers adopt practices that increase and improve the provision of goods and services in the agricultural sector production systems in a sustainable manner.

_	ors of Outcomes	Baselines	Targets	Targets
muicat	ors of Outcomes	Buschiles	(end 2015)	(end 2017)
	Percentage of countries where total factor productivity growth in			
	agriculture has remained stable or increased since the reporting			
	period, measured by:			
2.1.A	- index based on data available in FAOSTAT that measures knowled	dge intensity	of production	on,
	including technical capital and efficiency of production (it is curre		v .	
	IFPRI and World Bank)	, v	•	
	Percentage of countries with increased uptake of sustainable			
	management practices, measured by:			
2.1.B	- increase in area of organic agriculture, as share (%) of total agric	cultural land		
2.1.D	- increase in area of conservation agriculture, as share (%) of total	agricultural	land	
	- increase in human-edible protein balance in livestock production (	output/input/	ratio)	
	- increase in area of forests under Forest Management Plans, as sho	are (%) of to	tal forest co	ver
	<ul> <li>increase in the sustainable fisheries/aquaculture practices index</li> </ul>			
	Percentage of countries with increased uptake of conservation or			
	rehabilitation practices in select ecosystems, measured by:			
	- increase in the number of designated Terrestrial Protected Areas			
2.1.C	<ul> <li>decrease in the area of degraded land</li> </ul>			
2.1.C	<ul> <li>increase in the number of classified wetlands</li> </ul>			
	- increase in the number of Marine Protected Areas (MPAs)			
	- increase in forest area designated or managed for protection of so	il and water		
	- increase in forest area primarily designated for conservation of bi	ological dive	ersity	
Outputs				
2.1.1	Innovative practices for sustainable agricultural production are identified	ified, assesse	d and	
	disseminated and their adoption by stakeholders is facilitated.			
2.1.2	Integrated and multi-sectoral approaches for ecosystems valuation, n			on are
	identified, assessed, disseminated and their adoption by stakeholders			
2.1.3	Organizational and institutional capacities of public and private institutional			
	are strengthened to support innovation and the transition toward mor	e sustainable	agricultural	
	production systems.			

### STRATEGIC OBJECTIVE 2 INCREASE AND IMPROVE PROVISION OF GOODS AND SERVICES FROM AGRICULTURE, FORESTRY AND FISHERIES IN A SUSTAINABLE MANNER OUTCOME 2.2: Stakeholders in member countries strengthen governance – the policies, laws.

OUTCOME 2.2: Stakeholders in member countries strengthen governance – the policies, laws, management frameworks and institutions that are needed to support producers and resource managers –				
_	ement trameworks and institutions that are needed to support proc ransition to sustainable agricultural sector production systems.	lucers and i	resource ma	ınagers –
	fors of Outcomes	Baseline	Targets (end 2015)	Targets (end 2017)
	Percentage of countries with high-level strategic planning/policy documents that foster sustainable, agricultural production and natural resources management, <i>measured by:</i>			( , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
2.2.A	<ul> <li>extent to which the main national development programme address systems in an integrated and balanced way across the related subsections.</li> <li>extent to which the main national development programme promote production in an environmentally sustainable and socially equitable.</li> <li>extent to which a specific national policy, plan or framework on ge mainstreaming exists and considers gender within agricultural pro (i.e. crops, livestock, fisheries and aquaculture, forestry, other national policy).</li> </ul>	sectors or di es increased e manner nder equity, duction inte	sciplines l agricultura equality and nsification s	l d/or
	Percentage of countries with sound public service organizations and inter-organizational mechanisms for the formulation and implementation of national policies, strategies and legislation that foster sustainable agricultural production and natural resources management, <i>measured by:</i>	4.7%		
2.2.B	<ul> <li>extent to which political will and financial commitments (as demon investment strategies and use of resources) are adequate for increasystems in a sustainable manner</li> <li>extent to which government resources are used effectively and tran capacity to manage resources pertinent to Outcome</li> <li>extent to which effective mechanisms exist at national level for coommonitoring of the implementation of national strategic plans, policisustainable, integrated and equitable agricultural sector production</li> <li>extent to which the national agricultural sector policies or strategic</li> </ul>	ssed agricult sparently, a rdination, m ies and laws n systems	tural produc s a proxy of anagement o related to	tion the State's and
	during the last 2 years were done so in a transparent, participatory			
Output	ts			
2.2.1	Countries are supported to analyse governance issues and options for production and natural resources management.	sustainable	agricultural	
2.2.2	Countries are supported to strengthen national governance framework agricultural production and natural resources management.	s that foster	sustainable	
2.2.3	Public service organizations and inter-organizational mechanisms are of national policies, strategies and legislation that foster sustainable a resources management.			
	OME 2.3: Stakeholders develop, adopt, and implement internation	al instrume	nts and rela	ited
	ance mechanisms for sustainable agricultural production systems.	·	T	
Indicat	ors of Outcomes	Baseline	Targets (end 2015)	Targets (end 2017)
	Percentage of key FAO-supported international (including regional) instruments and governance mechanisms addressing sustainable agricultural production that are functional and actively supported by stakeholders, measured by:			
2.3.A	<ul> <li>level of commitment to and support of FAO-developed instruments members</li> <li>extent to which FAO mechanisms are functional, responsive and acceptant to which mechanisms or instruments that are not under the according or reflect agricultural perspectives relevant to FAO</li> </ul>	ctively suppo	orted	

INCR	STRATEGIC OBJECTIVE 2 EASE AND IMPROVE PROVISION OF GOODS AND SERVICES FRO AND FISHERIES IN A SUSTAINABLE MANN		LTURE, FO	RESTRY
	Percentage of countries that have taken steps towards implementation of FAO-supported international (including regional) instruments pertaining to sustainable agricultural production systems, <i>measured by</i> :			
2.3.B	<ul> <li>extent to which effective national governance mechanisms or desig to oversee, monitor and report upon implementation of FAO intern instruments in the targeted countries</li> </ul>	ational (incl	luding region	nal)
	extent to which at least one provision of the international (including integrated into national legal frameworks of targeted countries)	g regional) i	nstrument n	as been
	Percentage of FAO international instruments pertaining to sustainable agricultural production systems that are being implemented at regional level, <i>measured by:</i>			
2.3.C	<ul> <li>extent to which effective regional governance mechanisms or desig to implement or oversee, monitor and report upon implementation regional) instruments</li> <li>extent to which regions have implemented the requirement(s) of FA</li> </ul>	of the intern	ational (incl	luding
	and/or have produced regional text(s) on implementation of the ins			ai ievei
Output				
2.3.1	Stakeholders are supported to participate in, update existing and devergional) instruments and mechanisms under the auspices of FAO.	lop new inte	ernational (in	cluding
2.3.2	Stakeholders are supported to enhance recognition and consideration international instruments, governance mechanisms, processes and par FAO's mandate, but not under the auspices of FAO.			
2.3.3	Stakeholders are supported to facilitate implementation and application regional) instruments and the recommendations/requirements of relations.			
agricul	OME 2.4: Stakeholders make evidence-based decisions in the plant tural sectors and natural resources to support the transition to sus	ning and ma tainable agi	nagement o	of the
agricul produc	OME 2.4: Stakeholders make evidence-based decisions in the plant	ning and ma tainable agi	nagement oricultural se	of the ctor
agricul produc	OME 2.4: Stakeholders make evidence-based decisions in the plant tural sectors and natural resources to support the transition to sust tion systems through monitoring, statistics, assessment and analysi	ning and ma tainable agr is.	nagement o icultural se	of the ctor
agricul produc	OME 2.4: Stakeholders make evidence-based decisions in the plant tural sectors and natural resources to support the transition to sustion systems through monitoring, statistics, assessment and analysitors of Outcomes	ning and ma tainable agr is.	nagement oricultural se	of the ctor
agricul produc	OME 2.4: Stakeholders make evidence-based decisions in the plant tural sectors and natural resources to support the transition to sustion systems through monitoring, statistics, assessment and analysiors of Outcomes  Percentage of countries that contributed regularly and sufficiently	ning and ma tainable agr is.	nagement oricultural se	of the ctor
agricul produc	OME 2.4: Stakeholders make evidence-based decisions in the plant tural sectors and natural resources to support the transition to sustion systems through monitoring, statistics, assessment and analysiors of Outcomes  Percentage of countries that contributed regularly and sufficiently to the global collection of data on agriculture and natural resources, during the reporting period, measured by:  - percentage of countries with an adequate average response rate (i. to a defined set of global data collection exercises on agriculture (a fisheries/aquaculture and forestry) and natural resources that were period (e.g. annual data questionnaires issued by FAO, the Forest Agriculture Census)	e. those score conducted Resources A	Targets (end 2015)  ring "high/acock, during the ressessment, to	Targets (end 2017)  dequate")  eporting he World
agricul produc Indicat	OME 2.4: Stakeholders make evidence-based decisions in the plant tural sectors and natural resources to support the transition to sust tion systems through monitoring, statistics, assessment and analysiors of Outcomes  Percentage of countries that contributed regularly and sufficiently to the global collection of data on agriculture and natural resources, during the reporting period, measured by:  - percentage of countries with an adequate average response rate (i. to a defined set of global data collection exercises on agriculture (a fisheries/aquaculture and forestry) and natural resources that were period (e.g. annual data questionnaires issued by FAO, the Forest	e. those scoicrops, livestee conducted Resources A	Targets (end 2015)  ring "high/acock, during the reassessment, to	Targets (end 2017)  dequate") eporting the World  as part of
agricul produc Indicat	OME 2.4: Stakeholders make evidence-based decisions in the plant tural sectors and natural resources to support the transition to sust tion systems through monitoring, statistics, assessment and analystors of Outcomes  Percentage of countries that contributed regularly and sufficiently to the global collection of data on agriculture and natural resources, during the reporting period, measured by:  - percentage of countries with an adequate average response rate (i. to a defined set of global data collection exercises on agriculture (a fisheries/aquaculture and forestry) and natural resources that were period (e.g. annual data questionnaires issued by FAO, the Forest Agriculture Census)  - percentage of countries with an adequate average quality rating for a defined set of global data collection exercises on agriculture (crofisheries/aquaculture and forestry) and natural resources that were period (e.g., annual data questionnaires issued by FAO)  Percentage of countries that applied international standards, norms and methods in the collection of national data on agriculture and	e. those scoicrops, livestee conducted Resources A	Targets (end 2015)  ring "high/acock, during the reassessment, to	Targets (end 2017)  dequate") eporting the World  as part of
agricul produc Indicat	OME 2.4: Stakeholders make evidence-based decisions in the plant tural sectors and natural resources to support the transition to sustion systems through monitoring, statistics, assessment and analysions of Outcomes  Percentage of countries that contributed regularly and sufficiently to the global collection of data on agriculture and natural resources, during the reporting period, measured by:  — percentage of countries with an adequate average response rate (i. to a defined set of global data collection exercises on agriculture (a fisheries/aquaculture and forestry) and natural resources that were period (e.g. annual data questionnaires issued by FAO, the Forest Agriculture Census)  — percentage of countries with an adequate average quality rating for a defined set of global data collection exercises on agriculture (crafisheries/aquaculture and forestry) and natural resources that were period (e.g., annual data questionnaires issued by FAO)  Percentage of countries that applied international standards, norms and methods in the collection of national data on agriculture and natural resources, during the reporting period, measured by:  — percentage of countries that produce Environmental-Economic Acceptage of countries and countries that produce Environmental	e. those scorerops, livestee conducted Resources Ar the data see conducted c	Targets (end 2015)  ring "high/acock, during the ressessment, to the submitted and arring the rests submitted and arring the research to the submitted arring the research to the submitted arring the research to the submitted arring to SE	Targets (end 2017)  dequate") eporting he World as part of reporting
agricul produce Indicate 2.4.A	OME 2.4: Stakeholders make evidence-based decisions in the plant tural sectors and natural resources to support the transition to sustion systems through monitoring, statistics, assessment and analysions of Outcomes  Percentage of countries that contributed regularly and sufficiently to the global collection of data on agriculture and natural resources, during the reporting period, measured by:  — percentage of countries with an adequate average response rate (i. to a defined set of global data collection exercises on agriculture (a fisheries/aquaculture and forestry) and natural resources that were period (e.g. annual data questionnaires issued by FAO, the Forest Agriculture Census)  — percentage of countries with an adequate average quality rating for a defined set of global data collection exercises on agriculture (crafisheries/aquaculture and forestry) and natural resources that were period (e.g., annual data questionnaires issued by FAO)  Percentage of countries that applied international standards, norms and methods in the collection of national data on agriculture and natural resources, during the reporting period, measured by:  — percentage of countries that produce Environmental-Economic Acastandards) related to the assessment of agriculture, fisheries and forestandards) related to the assessment of agriculture, fisheries and forestandards)	e. those scorerops, livestee conducted Resources Ar the data see conducted c	Targets (end 2015)  ring "high/acock, during the ressessment, to the submitted and arring the rests submitted and arring the research to the submitted arring the research to the submitted arring the research to the submitted arring to SE	Targets (end 2017)  dequate") eporting the World as part of reporting
agricul produc Indicat	OME 2.4: Stakeholders make evidence-based decisions in the plantural sectors and natural resources to support the transition to sustion systems through monitoring, statistics, assessment and analysiors of Outcomes  Percentage of countries that contributed regularly and sufficiently to the global collection of data on agriculture and natural resources, during the reporting period, measured by:  - percentage of countries with an adequate average response rate (i. to a defined set of global data collection exercises on agriculture (c. fisheries/aquaculture and forestry) and natural resources that were period (e.g. annual data questionnaires issued by FAO, the Forest Agriculture Census)  - percentage of countries with an adequate average quality rating for a defined set of global data collection exercises on agriculture (crofisheries/aquaculture and forestry) and natural resources that were period (e.g., annual data questionnaires issued by FAO)  Percentage of countries that applied international standards, norms and methods in the collection of national data on agriculture and natural resources, during the reporting period, measured by:  - percentage of countries that produce Environmental-Economic Accestandards) related to the assessment of agriculture, fisheries and forestandards) related to the assessment of agriculture, fisheries and forestandards) related to the assessment of agriculture, fisheries and forestandards) related to the assessment of agriculture, fisheries and forestandards) related to the assessment of agriculture, fisheries and forestandards) related to the assessment of agriculture, fisheries and forestandards) related to the assessment of agriculture, fisheries and forestandards) related to the assessment of agriculture, fisheries and forestandards) related to the assessment of agriculture, fisheries and forestandards.	e. those scoi crops, liveste e conducted Resources A r the data se ops, livestock e conducted	Targets (end 2015)  ring "high/acock, during the reassessment, the standard the reassessment of the reasse	Targets (end 2017)  dequate") eporting he World as part of reporting
agricul produce Indicate 2.4.A 2.4.A Output	OME 2.4: Stakeholders make evidence-based decisions in the plantural sectors and natural resources to support the transition to sustion systems through monitoring, statistics, assessment and analysiors of Outcomes  Percentage of countries that contributed regularly and sufficiently to the global collection of data on agriculture and natural resources, during the reporting period, measured by:  — percentage of countries with an adequate average response rate (i. to a defined set of global data collection exercises on agriculture (a fisheries/aquaculture and forestry) and natural resources that were period (e.g. annual data questionnaires issued by FAO, the Forest Agriculture Census)  — percentage of countries with an adequate average quality rating for a defined set of global data collection exercises on agriculture (crofisheries/aquaculture and forestry) and natural resources that were period (e.g., annual data questionnaires issued by FAO)  Percentage of countries that applied international standards, norms and methods in the collection of national data on agriculture and natural resources, during the reporting period, measured by:  — percentage of countries that produce Environmental-Economic Accestandards) related to the assessment of agriculture, fisheries and forestandards) related to the assessment of agriculture, fisheries and forestandards) related to the assessment of agriculture, fisheries and forestandards)	e. those scorerops, livestocker conducted Resources Ar the data see ops, livestocker conducted counts (confine counts)	Targets (end 2015)  ring "high/acock, during the ressessment, to	Targets (end 2017)  dequate") eporting he World as part of reporting

### STRATEGIC OBJECTIVE 2

### INCREASE AND IMPROVE PROVISION OF GOODS AND SERVICES FROM AGRICULTURE, FORESTRY AND FISHERIES IN A SUSTAINABLE MANNER

conduct data collection, analyses, application and dissemination.

### STRATEGIC OBJECTIVE 3 REDUCE RURAL POVERTY

### Indicators (and source) of achieving Objective

**Rural poverty** - Poverty headcount ratio at rural poverty line (percentage of rural population); Poverty gap at rural poverty line (percent); Absolute number of rural poor (source: all World Bank)

**Rural malnutrition** - Malnutrition prevalence, weight for age (percentage of children under 5); Percentage of children under 5 years of age who are stunted (source: WHO); Depth of the food deficit (kcal/cap/day) (source: FAO)

**Rural health** - Mortality rate, under-5 (per 1,000 live births) (source: WHO); Maternal mortality ratio (national estimate, per 100,000 live births) (source: UN Inter-Agency Group); Community health workers (per 1,000 people) (source: WHO)

OUTCOME 3.1: The rural poor have enhanced and equitable access to productive resources, services, organizations and markets, and can manage their resources more sustainably.

organizations and markets, and can manage their resources more sustainably.				
Indica	tors of Outcomes	Baselines	Targets (end 2015)	Targets (end 2017)
	Percentage of countries using an improved set of strategies, policies, guidelines, regulations and tools aiming to improve access by poor rural men and women to productive resources, appropriate	31.7%		
3.1.A	services and markets, and promote the sustainable management of the natural resource base, measured by:  - existence of policies for holistic rural poverty and gender inequality - existence of enabling policy framework for peoples' empowerment participatory policy processes  - existence of policies, legislation and institutions promoting secure a sustainable management of natural resources by poor rural men and groups  - existence of policies, regulations and approaches for the development services, and marketing support	through coll tenure, equit nd women an	ective action able use and d other mar	l ginalized
3.1.B	Percentage of countries in which relevant rural organizations, Government institutions and other relevant stakeholders have enhanced their capacities to improve equitable access by rural men and women to productive resources, appropriate services, organizations and markets, and to promote the sustainable management of the natural resource base, measured by:  - level of capacities of rural organizations and pro-poor institutions governance, policy processes and service provision  - level of capacities for secure tenure, sustainable management and e  - level of capacities to improved access by poor rural men and woman services and marketing support  - level of capacities for evidence-based, consultative policy-making of	equitable use in to pro-poo	e of natural i or technolog	ies, rural
Outpu		•		U
3.1.1	Support to strengthen rural organizations and institutions and facilitate	e empowerm	ent of rural	poor.
3.1.2	Support to the promotion and implementation of pro-poor approaches improve access to and sustainable management of natural resources.	to policies a	and program	mes which
3.1.3	Support to improve access of poor rural producers and household to a knowledge, inputs and markets.			
3.1.4	Support to innovations in rural services provision and infrastructure d poor.			
3.1.5	Cross-sectoral policy advice and capacity development for the definition sustainable rural development and poverty reduction strategies.	ion of gende	r equitable a	nd

	STRATEGIC OBJECTIVE 3			
	REDUCE RURAL POVERTY COME 3.2: The rural poor have greater opportunities to access dec	ent farm an	d non-farm	
	yment. tors of Outcomes	Baseline	Targets	Targets
muica		Duscinc	(end 2015)	(end 2017)
	Percentage of countries with an improved set of policies, institutions and interventions aiming to generate decent rural employment, including for women and the youth, <i>measured by:</i>	16.7%		
3.2.A	<ul> <li>adequacy of policies, strategies and programmes for the generatio</li> <li>level of institutional capacities to support the promotion of decent</li> <li>level of capacities to analyse and monitor rural labour markets and making policy processes</li> </ul>	rural employ	yment	
Outpu				
3.2.1	Evidence-based policy support and capacity development in the form policies, strategies and programmes that generate decent rural employ fostering youth and rural women's economic and social empowerment	yment with p		
3.2.2	Policy support to extend the application of International Labour Stand	dards (ILS) t	o rural areas	•
3.2.3	Technical support to establish information systems and generate data employment at national, regional and global levels.	and knowle	dge on decer	nt rural
OUTC reduct	COME 3.3: Social protection systems are strengthened in support of ion.	f sustainable	e rural pove	erty
Indica	tors of Outcomes	Baseline	Targets (end 2015)	Targets (end 2017)
	Percentage of countries with improved social protection systems that link social protection with rural poverty reduction, food security and nutrition, and sustainable management of natural resources, <i>measured by:</i>	26.7%	(cha 2010)	(6112-621)
3.3.A	<ul> <li>multistakeholders commitment for cross-sectoral policies and strate and increasing responsiveness of social protection systems in rural level of institutional capacities to implement effective social protection level of capacities to analyse, monitor and evaluate social protection rural areas</li> </ul>	l areas tion prograi	nmes in rura	ıl areas
Outpu				
3.3.1	Policy advice, capacity development and advocacy are provided for i to foster sustainable and equitable rural development, poverty reducti			
3.3.2	Information systems and evidence-based knowledge instruments are social protection mechanisms on reducing inequalities, improving rule ability of the rural poor to manage risks.	improved to	assess the in	npact of

# STRATEGIC OBJECTIVE 4 ENABLE MORE INCLUSIVE AND EFFICIENT AGRICULTURAL AND FOOD SYSTEMS AT LOCAL, NATIONAL AND INTERNATIONAL LEVELS

### Indicators (and source) of achieving Objective

Increase in the value of global agricultural trade relative to the value of global agricultural production (source: FAOSTAT);

Extent to which growth in global value added agro-industry is greater than growth in agricultural value added (source: UNIDO):

Glo	bal growth of labour productivity in the agriculture and agribusiness se	ctors (source	e: ILO)	
OUTC	OME 4.1: International agreements, mechanisms and standards th	at promote	more effici	ent and
	ve trade and markets are formulated and implemented by countrie			
Indica	tors of Outcomes	Baselines	Targets (end 2015)	Targets (end 2017)
	Percent of countries that have aligned national trade policies,		(0110 2020)	(0222 2021)
	regulations and mechanisms (related to international trade in			
	agriculture, forestry, food, products) to conform to agreements,			
4.1.A	measured by:			
	- identifying the number of countries that have changed national poli	cies, regulai	tions and me	chanisms
	related to international trade to conform to international trade agre	eements (sou	rce: WTO tr	ade policy
	review; monitored by FAO)			
	Index of effective participation of Least Developed Countries in	13.5%		
	international standard setting under the auspices of Codex			
	Alimentarius and the International Plant Protection Convention			
4.1.B	(IPPC), measured by:			
4.1.D				
	– number of comments received from LDCs at all phases of IPPC or	Codex stand	ards develop	oment as a
	proportion of the number of comments received by all member cour	itries (sourc	e: data from	Codex
	and IPPC Secretariats on-line commenting systems)			
	Percent of developing countries in which the FAO Regulatory			
4.1.C	Systems Index has increased, measured by:			
	– index to be developed in 2014			
Outpu	ts			
4.1.1	New and revised international standards for food safety and quality ar		th are formu	lated and
	agreed by countries and serve as references for international harmoniz			
4.1.2	Countries and their regional economic communities are supported to e			
	formulation and implementation of international agreements, regulation			meworks
	that promote transparent markets and enhanced global and regional m			
4.1.3	Governments and national stakeholders are provided with up-to-date in	information a	and analysis	to design
414	and implement efficient and inclusive market and trade strategies.		1	1
4.1.4	Public sector institutions are supported to improve their capacity to de			
	and regulatory frameworks, and to provide public services related to p	nant and ani	mai neaith, i	ood safety
OUTC	and quality.  OME 4.2: Agribusinesses and agrifood chains that are more inclus	ive and offic	iont are de	volopod
	plemented by the public and private sectors.	ive and em	dent are de	velopeu
	tors of Outcomes	Baselines	Targets	Targets
	Descrit of countries in which case industries added has seem	40.4%	(end 2015)	(end 2017)
	Percent of countries in which agro-industry value added has grown faster than agricultural value added, <i>measured by:</i>	40.4%		
4.2.A	laster than agricultural value added, medsured by.			
	- value added in agro-industry (source: UNIDO industrial statistics)	compared to	o value adde	d in
	agriculture (including forestry, fishing and aquaculture) (source: V			
	Percent of countries in which the FAO food loss index has			,
4.2.B	decreased, measured by:			
	– index to be developed by 2015			
Outpu				
4.2.1	Public sector institutions are supported to formulate and implement po			d to
	provide public goods that enhance inclusiveness and efficiency in agr			
4.2.2	Support is provided for the development of evidence-based food losses	es and waste	reduction pr	ogrammes
	at national, regional and global levels.			

### STRATEGIC OBJECTIVE 4 ENABLE MORE INCLUSIVE AND EFFICIENT AGRICULTURAL AND FOOD SYSTEMS AT LOCAL, NATIONAL AND INTERNATIONAL LEVELS

4.2.3 Value chain actors are provided with technical and managerial support to promote inclusive, efficient and sustainable agrifood chains.

OUTCOME 4.3: Policies, financial instruments and investment that improve the inclusiveness and efficiency of agrifood systems are developed and implemented by the public and private sectors **Baselines Indicators of Outcomes Targets Targets** (end 2015) (end 2017) 33.0% Percent of countries in which credit to agriculture has increased in real terms (inflation-adjusted), *measured by:* 4.3.A - real level credit provided to the agricultural sector as measured in Central Statistics Reports (at least 50 countries) Percent of countries in which agriculture capital stock has increased 16.0% in real terms (inflation-adjusted), measured by: 4.3.B - investment in capital stock as measured by FAO Statistics [land development, livestock (fixed assets), livestock (inventory), plantation crops, structures for livestock, machinery] (source: FAOSTAT) Percent of countries in which real (inflation-adjusted) food price volatility has dropped, measured by: 4.3.C - volatility index of key agricultural commodities (source: FAOSTAT, GIEWS domestic food price, IMF primary commodity prices) Percent of countries that have reduced the level of disincentives 10.0% affecting the agriculture and food sector through policy distortions, 4.3.D measured by: - indices of effective rate of protection (source: OECD and World bank data, FAO data) Outputs

#### 4.3.1 Public and private sector institutions are supported to design and implement financial instruments and services that improve access to capital for efficient and inclusive agrifood systems. 4.3.2 Public and private investment institutions are supported to increase responsible investments in efficient and inclusive agrifood systems.

4.3.3 Systems are established and countries are supported to monitor, analyse and manage the impacts of trade, food, agriculture and natural resources policies on food systems and on price and market related risks.

### STRATEGIC OBJECTIVE 5 INCREASE THE RESILIENCE OF LIVELIHOODS TO THREATS AND CRISES

#### Indicators (and source) of achieving Objective

**Exposure to risk -** Exposure to risk index (to be developed based on OCHA-ECHO data)

**Dependence on food aid** - Cereal food aid shipments per capita (source: WFP-FAO)

Ecosystem health - Nutrient balances (source: FAOSTAT); Terrestrial protected areas (source: World Bank); forest area primarily designated for provision of environmental and social services (source: Global Forest Resources Assessment, FAO); forest area p.a. growth (percentage) (source: FAOSTAT); GEF benefits index (GBI) for biodiversity (source: World Bank)

Malnutrition - Global Acute Malnutrition Index (median rates) (source: CE DAT)

IVIa	Mainutrition - Global Acute Mainutrition Index (median rates) (source: CE DAT)			
	OUTCOME 5.1: Countries and regions adopt and implement legal, policy and institutional systems and regulatory frameworks for risk reduction and crisis management.			
Indica	tors of Outcomes	Baselines	Targets (end 2015)	Targets (end 2017)
	Percentage of countries that have improved their commitment and capacity for disaster and crisis risk management for agriculture, food and nutrition in the form of policies, legislation and institutional systems, <i>measured by:</i>			
5.1.A	<ul> <li>existence of national policy or strategy for disaster risk reduction a and comprehensive inclusion of agriculture, food, nutrition and/or</li> <li>disaster risk reduction is an integral part of national agriculture, for and plans</li> </ul>	related secto	ors	-
	<ul> <li>existence of a well-functioning disaster risk reduction/management and nutrition and related sectoral agencies</li> <li>existence of a national multi-stakeholder and multi-sectoral coordinates reduction and management and including a focus on DRR for agriculture.</li> </ul>	nation mecho	anism for dis	saster risk

- interventions ul>
   - adequate levels of human and financial resources allocated towards risk reduction for agriculture,
- food and nutrition

### **Outputs**

- 5.1.1 Improving capacities to formulate and promote risk reduction and crisis management policies, strategies
- 5.1.2 Enhancing coordination and improved investment programming and resource mobilization strategies for risk reduction and crises management.

OUTCOME 5.2: Countries and regions provide regular information and early warning against potential, known and emerging threats.

Indicators of Outcomes	Baselines	Targets (end 2015)	Targets (end 2017)
Percentage of countries that have improved their capacity to deliver regular information and trigger timely actions against potential, known and emerging threats to agriculture, food and nutrition, <i>measured by:</i>	20.2%		

### 5.2.A

- systems are in place to collect, monitor and share data and analysis on key hazards and vulnerabilities for risks affecting agriculture, food and nutrition
- national early warning systems are in place for all major risks affecting agriculture, food and nutrition with outreach to communities
- evidence of use of hazard, vulnerability and/or resilience-related data to inform decisions on gendersensitive programming and implementation for agriculture, food and nutrition
- systems are in place to collect, monitor and share data and analysis on resilience mechanisms of communities/livelihoods groups

### Outputs

- 5.2.1 Mechanisms are set up/improved to identify and monitor threats and assess risks to deliver integrated and timely early warning.
- 5.2.2 Improving capacities to assess vulnerability and resilience determinants of community/livelihood groups.

	STRATEGIC OBJECTIVE 5	- :		
OUTO	INCREASE THE RESILIENCE OF LIVELIHOODS TO THRE COME 5.3: Countries reduce risks and vulnerability at household a			
	ators of Outcomes	Baselines	Targets (end 2015)	Targets (end 2017)
	Percentage of countries that have improved their capacity to apply prevention and impact mitigation measures that reduce risks for agriculture, food and nutrition, <i>measured by:</i>	21.5%		
5.3.A	<ul> <li>countries prone to disasters and crises with impact on agriculture, to apply prevention and mitigation measures at all administrative left countries prone to disasters and crises with impact on agriculture, and economic support and services to communities at risk to reduce</li> </ul>	evels food and nu	trition provi	-
Outpu				
5.3.1	Improving capacities of countries, communities and key stakeholders mitigation good practices to reduce the impacts of threats and crises.	to implemen	nt prevention	n and
5.3.2	Improving capacities of countries and key stakeholders to reduce vulr of communities at risk of threats and crises.	nerability and	d strengthen	resilience
OUTO	COME 5.4: Countries and regions affected by disasters and crises p	repare for,	and manage	00 11
		- cpui c 101,	anu manage	effective
respon	nses.			
	nses. Ators of Outcomes	Baselines	Targets (end 2015)	Targets (end 2017)
	nses.		Targets	Targets
	Percentage of countries that have improved their preparedness and response management capacity, measured by:  - multi-hazards disaster preparedness and/or contingency plans for a related sectors are in place and effective for DRR at all administrations.	Baselines  40.4%  agriculture, j	Targets (end 2015)	Targets (end 2017) on and/or
Indica	Percentage of countries that have improved their preparedness and response management capacity, measured by:  - multi-hazards disaster preparedness and/or contingency plans for a related sectors are in place and effective for DRR at all administration - existence of an effective and accountable technical and institutional disaster/crisis management for agriculture, food and nutrition	Baselines  40.4%  agriculture, juice levels l coordination	Targets (end 2015)  food, nutrities on mechaniss	Targets (end 2017) on and/or m for
Indica	Percentage of countries that have improved their preparedness and response management capacity, measured by:  - multi-hazards disaster preparedness and/or contingency plans for a related sectors are in place and effective for DRR at all administra.  - existence of an effective and accountable technical and institutional disaster/crisis management for agriculture, food and nutrition.  - countries affected by disasters and crises with impact on agriculture to manage effective responses	Baselines  40.4%  agriculture, juice levels l coordination	Targets (end 2015)  food, nutrities on mechaniss	Targets (end 2017) on and/or m for
5.4.A Outpu	Percentage of countries that have improved their preparedness and response management capacity, measured by:  - multi-hazards disaster preparedness and/or contingency plans for a related sectors are in place and effective for DRR at all administra.  - existence of an effective and accountable technical and institutional disaster/crisis management for agriculture, food and nutrition.  - countries affected by disasters and crises with impact on agriculture to manage effective responses	Baselines  40.4%  agriculture, jtive levels l coordination	Targets (end 2015)  food, nutritie on mechanise nutrition have	Targets (end 2017) on and/or m for we capacity
Indica	Percentage of countries that have improved their preparedness and response management capacity, measured by:  - multi-hazards disaster preparedness and/or contingency plans for a related sectors are in place and effective for DRR at all administra.  - existence of an effective and accountable technical and institutional disaster/crisis management for agriculture, food and nutrition.  - countries affected by disasters and crises with impact on agriculture to manage effective responses	Baselines  40.4%  agriculture, jtive levels l coordination	Targets (end 2015)  food, nutritie on mechanise nutrition have	Targets (end 2017) on and/or m for we capacity
5.4.A Outpu	Percentage of countries that have improved their preparedness and response management capacity, measured by:  — multi-hazards disaster preparedness and/or contingency plans for a related sectors are in place and effective for DRR at all administrational disaster/crisis management for agriculture, food and nutrition — countries affected by disasters and crises with impact on agriculture to manage effective responses  Its  Improving capacities of national authorities and stakeholders for emeasurements.	Baselines  40.4%  agriculture, j tive levels l coordination re, food and a	Targets (end 2015)  food, nutrition mechanismutrition have	Targets (end 2017) on and/or m for we capacity

	OBJECTIVE 6: TECHNICAL QUALITY, KNOWLEDGE A			
	me statement – Technical quality, knowledge and services, quality and		data produc	ed and
	inated by FAO, and quality services for work on governance and gende tality and integrity of the technical and normative work of the Organization			
	erformance indicators	Baselines	Targets	Targets
ncy po			(end 2015)	(end 2017)
	Quality of technical leadership, measured by:			
6.1.A	- a methodology is currently being developed for this indicator, takin	g into accou	ınt elements	of
011111	technical leadership, such as: ensuring the excellence of technical k			
	technical policies, technical integrity and capacity to respond to em	erging issue	?S	
Outpu				
6.1.1	Ensure the excellence of the technical knowledge required to achieve			
	strategic objectives through core technical leadership of technical departechnical networks and the delivery of adequate technical expertise to			
6.1.2	Ensure compliance with technical policies, technical integrity and coh			
0.1.2	across geographical boundaries.	ciclice of 17	10 s interve	iitions
6.1.3	Provide capacity to respond to emerging issues, support to exploring r	new approac	hes and inno	ovations to
	adapt solutions to a changing environment, and contribute to resolving			
	efforts using the multidisciplinary fund.			
6.1.4	Advance fundamental understanding of challenges and creating option	ns in the mai	n disciplines	s through
615	the Technical Committees (COFI, COFO, COAG, CCP).  Ensure preparation of flagship publications on the "State of" food inse	ourity ogric	ultura ficha	rice and
6.1.5	aquaculture, forestry.	ecurity, agric	culture, fishe	eries and
6.1.6	Support and promote policy and technical dialogue at global and region	onal level the	ough institu	tional
	representation by technical departments and the Chief Statistician.			
6.2: Co	ountry capacity to use, collect, analyse and disseminate data is stren	gthened by	improved i	mathade
		•	Improved i	nemous
	ped by the Organization			
	ped by the Organization erformance indicators	Baselines	Targets (end 2015)	Targets (end 2017)
	Scoring system to measure the use of statistics in policy making		Targets	Targets
Key pe	erformance indicators		Targets	Targets
	Scoring system to measure the use of statistics in policy making processes, <i>measured by:</i>	Baselines	Targets (end 2015)	Targets (end 2017)
Key pe	Scoring system to measure the use of statistics in policy making processes, measured by:  - the importance and existence of country-level data/info systems and	Baselines  I the use of s	Targets (end 2015)	Targets (end 2017)
Key pe	Scoring system to measure the use of statistics in policy making processes, <i>measured by:</i>	Baselines  I the use of s	Targets (end 2015)	Targets (end 2017)
6.2.A	Scoring system to measure the use of statistics in policy making processes, measured by:  - the importance and existence of country-level data/info systems and based decision-making through data gathered (source: FAO corpor FAO assessment system for statistical capacity, measured by:	Baselines  I the use of s rate survey)	Targets (end 2015)	Targets (end 2017)
Key pe	Scoring system to measure the use of statistics in policy making processes, measured by:  - the importance and existence of country-level data/info systems and based decision-making through data gathered (source: FAO corpor FAO assessment system for statistical capacity, measured by:  - the results of country assessment questionnaires of the Global Strategy.	Baselines  I the use of s rate survey)	Targets (end 2015)	Targets (end 2017)
6.2.A	Scoring system to measure the use of statistics in policy making processes, measured by:  - the importance and existence of country-level data/info systems and based decision-making through data gathered (source: FAO corpor FAO assessment system for statistical capacity, measured by:	Baselines  I the use of s rate survey)	Targets (end 2015)	Targets (end 2017)
6.2.A	Scoring system to measure the use of statistics in policy making processes, measured by:  - the importance and existence of country-level data/info systems and based decision-making through data gathered (source: FAO corpor FAO assessment system for statistical capacity, measured by:  - the results of country assessment questionnaires of the Global Strategy.	Baselines  I the use of s rate survey)	Targets (end 2015)	Targets (end 2017)
6.2.A	Scoring system to measure the use of statistics in policy making processes, measured by:  - the importance and existence of country-level data/info systems and based decision-making through data gathered (source: FAO corpor FAO assessment system for statistical capacity, measured by:  - the results of country assessment questionnaires of the Global Strategy.	Baselines  I the use of s rate survey)	Targets (end 2015)	Targets (end 2017)
6.2.A	Scoring system to measure the use of statistics in policy making processes, measured by:  - the importance and existence of country-level data/info systems and based decision-making through data gathered (source: FAO corpor FAO assessment system for statistical capacity, measured by:  - the results of country assessment questionnaires of the Global Strate Rural Statistics	Baselines  I the use of s rate survey)	Targets (end 2015)	Targets (end 2017)
6.2.A 6.2.B	Scoring system to measure the use of statistics in policy making processes, measured by:  - the importance and existence of country-level data/info systems and based decision-making through data gathered (source: FAO corpor FAO assessment system for statistical capacity, measured by:  - the results of country assessment questionnaires of the Global Strate Rural Statistics  - tts  Methods for the collection, compilation, dissemination, analysis and use of the statistics.	Baselines  I the use of s rate survey)	Targets (end 2015)  tatistics for an approximate Agricultum	Targets (end 2017)  evidence-  ural and
6.2.A 6.2.B Outpu 6.2.1	Scoring system to measure the use of statistics in policy making processes, measured by:  - the importance and existence of country-level data/info systems and based decision-making through data gathered (source: FAO corpor FAO assessment system for statistical capacity, measured by:  - the results of country assessment questionnaires of the Global Strate Rural Statistics  - tts  Methods for the collection, compilation, dissemination, analysis and undomains are developed and shared.	Baselines  I the use of strate survey)  egy to Impro	Targets (end 2015)  tatistics for a cove Agricultum ander different	Targets (end 2017)  evidence-  ural and  nt data
6.2.A 6.2.B	Scoring system to measure the use of statistics in policy making processes, measured by:  - the importance and existence of country-level data/info systems and based decision-making through data gathered (source: FAO corpor FAO assessment system for statistical capacity, measured by:  - the results of country assessment questionnaires of the Global Strate Rural Statistics  Methods for the collection, compilation, dissemination, analysis and undomains are developed and shared.  Support provided to strengthen national statistical institutions and to in	Baselines  I the use of strate survey)  egy to Impresses of data unimprove the comproverses.	Targets (end 2015)  tatistics for a cove Agricultum der differer competencie	Targets (end 2017)  evidence-  ural and  nt data
6.2.A 6.2.B Outpu 6.2.1	Scoring system to measure the use of statistics in policy making processes, measured by:  - the importance and existence of country-level data/info systems and based decision-making through data gathered (source: FAO corpor FAO assessment system for statistical capacity, measured by:  - the results of country assessment questionnaires of the Global Strate Rural Statistics  - ts  Methods for the collection, compilation, dissemination, analysis and undomains are developed and shared.  Support provided to strengthen national statistical institutions and to in national statisticians in collecting, analysing and disseminating data (expected).	Baselines  I the use of strate survey)  egy to Impresses of data unimprove the comproverses.	Targets (end 2015)  tatistics for a cove Agricultum der differer competencie	Targets (end 2017)  evidence-  ural and  nt data
6.2.A 6.2.B Outpu 6.2.1 6.2.2	Scoring system to measure the use of statistics in policy making processes, measured by:  - the importance and existence of country-level data/info systems and based decision-making through data gathered (source: FAO corpore FAO assessment system for statistical capacity, measured by:  - the results of country assessment questionnaires of the Global Strate Rural Statistics  - ts  Methods for the collection, compilation, dissemination, analysis and undomains are developed and shared.  Support provided to strengthen national statistical institutions and to in national statisticians in collecting, analysing and disseminating data (editor).	Baselines  I the use of strate survey)  egy to Impress  use of data unimprove the ce.g. through	Targets (end 2015)  tatistics for a cove Agriculting ander difference competencies work on the	Targets (end 2017)  evidence-  ural and  nt data
6.2.A  6.2.B  Outpu 6.2.1  6.2.2	Scoring system to measure the use of statistics in policy making processes, measured by:  - the importance and existence of country-level data/info systems and based decision-making through data gathered (source: FAO corpor FAO assessment system for statistical capacity, measured by:  - the results of country assessment questionnaires of the Global Strate Rural Statistics  - tts  Methods for the collection, compilation, dissemination, analysis and undomains are developed and shared.  Support provided to strengthen national statistical institutions and to in national statisticians in collecting, analysing and disseminating data (estimated to strategy and CountryStat).  High quality and internationally comparable data are produced and accompanies.	Baselines  If the use of strate survey)  egy to Improve the center of th	Targets (end 2015)  tatistics for a cove Agriculture ander difference work on the l countries.	evidence- ural and at data es of Global
6.2.A 6.2.B Outpu 6.2.1 6.2.2	Scoring system to measure the use of statistics in policy making processes, measured by:  - the importance and existence of country-level data/info systems and based decision-making through data gathered (source: FAO corpore FAO assessment system for statistical capacity, measured by:  - the results of country assessment questionnaires of the Global Strate Rural Statistics  - ts  Methods for the collection, compilation, dissemination, analysis and undomains are developed and shared.  Support provided to strengthen national statistical institutions and to in national statisticians in collecting, analysing and disseminating data (editor).	Baselines  If the use of strate survey)  egy to Improve the constraint of the use of data under the constraint of the use of data under the use of data un	Targets (end 2015)  tatistics for a cove Agriculture ander difference work on the l countries.  DWG on St.	Targets (end 2017)  evidence-  aural and  at data  es of Global  atistics)

OBJECTIVE 6: TECHNICAL QUALITY, KNOWLEDGE AND SERVICES					
6.3: Quality services, coherent strategy and approaches to work on gender equality and women's empowerment in the Strategic Objective programmes that result in strengthened capacities of member countries to formulate, implement, monitor and evaluate policies, strategies, programmes and investments that provide equal opportunities for women and men in agriculture and food security					
Key performance indicators    Baselines   Targets (end 2015)					
6.3.A	Number of the gender mainstreaming minimum standards and women-specific targeted interventions achieved, measured by:  - identifying and monitoring a set of key interventions related to minimum FOs	imum standa			
6.3.B	Number of performance standards of the UN SWAP on gender achieved by FAO, <i>measured by:</i>	CHIA D		- ul in EAO	
Outpu	- identifying and monitoring a set of key interventions related to UN	SWAP withi	n all FOs we	ork in FAO	
6.3.1	Member countries are supported within the SOs by the Gender Unit to consistent with FAO's minimum standards for gender mainstreaming				
6.3.2	Institutional mechanisms and staff capacities are established or streng initiatives aimed at addressing gender equality.	thened to su	pport countr	ries'	
6.4: Quality services for more inclusive and effective governance norms, mechanisms and institutions at					
6.4: Qu	uality services for more inclusive and effective governance norms, r regional and national level and in the Strategic Objective program	nechanisms mes	and institu	tions at	
global,	uality services for more inclusive and effective governance norms, in a service programmer of the strategic Objective of the strategic Objective of the strategic Objective of the strategic Objective Obj	nechanisms nmes Baselines	Targets (end 2015)	Targets (end 2017)	
global,	regional and national level and in the Strategic Objective program erformance indicators  Number of global governance mechanisms or processes where FAO exercises a leadership role that have promoted progress on issues	nmes	Targets	Targets	
global, Key pe	regional and national level and in the Strategic Objective program erformance indicators  Number of global governance mechanisms or processes where FAO	Baselines	Targets	Targets	
global, Key po 6.4.A	Number of global governance mechanisms or processes where FAO exercises a leadership role that have promoted progress on issues related to the five Strategic Objectives  Number of national, regional and global governance issues where FAO's contribution has promoted progress in relation to the five Strategic Objectives, measured by:  - number of governance key knowledge products (e.g. briefs, policy responses to governance issues or demands of analysis over the bie national, regional or global level  - number of national requests received for replication of the pilot governalysis service referred to above	Baselines  2  notes) general ennium he governan	Targets (end 2015)	Targets (end 2017)	
Global, Key po 6.4.A	Number of global governance mechanisms or processes where FAO exercises a leadership role that have promoted progress on issues related to the five Strategic Objectives  Number of national, regional and global governance issues where FAO's contribution has promoted progress in relation to the five Strategic Objectives, measured by:  - number of governance key knowledge products (e.g. briefs, policy responses to governance issues or demands of analysis over the bie national, regional or global level  - number of national requests received for replication of the pilot governalysis service referred to above	Baselines  2  notes) general ennium the governant wernance iss	Targets (end 2015)  atted from the ce unit as a ues identific	Targets (end 2017)	
global, Key po 6.4.A	Number of global governance mechanisms or processes where FAO exercises a leadership role that have promoted progress on issues related to the five Strategic Objectives  Number of national, regional and global governance issues where FAO's contribution has promoted progress in relation to the five Strategic Objectives, measured by:  - number of governance key knowledge products (e.g. briefs, policy responses to governance issues or demands of analysis over the bie national, regional or global level  - number of national requests received for replication of the pilot governalysis service referred to above	Baselines  2  notes) general ennium he governance iss	Targets (end 2015)  atted from the ce unit as a ues identification	Targets (end 2017)	

CHAPTER 7: TECHNICAL COOPERATION PROGRAMME				
Outcome	estatement – TCP delivered effectively, in full alignment with SOs,	and support	the impleme	entation of
the CPF 1	results			
7.1: TCP	management and support			
Key performance indicators  Baselines Targets (end 2015) (end				
	Approval and delivery rates of TCP resources, <i>measured by:</i>	0	100%	100%
7.1.A	<ul> <li>approvals against 2014-15 appropriation</li> <li>Delivery rate of TCP projects</li> </ul>			
7.2: TCP	projects			
Key performance indicators		Baselines	Targets (end 2015)	Targets (end 2017)
7.2.A	Relevance and sustainability of TCP development interventions, <i>measured by:</i>			
	<ul> <li>index built on the results that will be delivered within each bienr other available monitoring reports).</li> </ul>	nium (based	on completed	d EPA and

#### **FUNCTIONAL OBJECTIVE 8: OUTREACH** Outcome statement - Delivery of FAO's objectives is supported by diversified and expanded partnerships and advocacy, increased public awareness, political support and resources, and enhanced capacity development and knowledge management 8.1: Partnerships, advocacy and capacity development Baselines **Targets** Targets **Key performance indicators** (end 2015) (end 2017) Number of critical partnership engagements brokered 8.1.A FAO's approach to measuring results in capacity development 8.1.B support piloted in one Strategic Objective implemented **Outputs** 8.1.1 Key partnerships promoted and strengthened. 8.1.2 Guidance and support provided to FAO staff on the implementation of the Organization-wide partnerships strategy. 8.1.3 Training advice and support provided to SO teams to mainstream capacity development in FAO's work. 8.2: Communications Baselines Targets **Targets Key performance indicators** (end 2015) (end 2017) User visits to the FAO Open Archive (number of visitors based on 2.5 million 3.5 million 4.5 million documents documents documents Web access statistics) 8.2.A accessed accessed accessed per month per month per month 12,500 13,750 15,125 Level of media presence (number of hits) as measured by Meltwater news hits news hits news hits 8.2.B Media Monitoring Service per month per month per month **Outputs** New relationships with global, regional and national media forged. 8.2.1 8.2.2 Overhaul of the Organization's worldwide Web and social media presence to align them to its Strategic Objectives. 8.2.3 Development and promotion of corporate approaches, tools and methodologies in knowledge dissemination and improved management of information. 8.3: Resource Mobilization and South-South Cooperation **Key performance indicators Baselines Targets Targets** (end 2015) (end 2017) USD 1.4 USD 1.4 USD 1.4 Biennial level of voluntary contributions mobilized (2014-15) 8.3.A billion billion billion (2012-13)(2014-15)(2016-17)Number of countries with a realistic Resource Mobilization target 50 A11 17 countries 8.3.B with CPFs in place **Outputs** 8.3.1 Expanded and diversified base of partners with which FAO works, including through South-South Cooperation. 8.3.2 Organization-wide culture and capacity for resource mobilization and South-South Cooperation, in particular through staff training in decentralized offices created or improved. 8.3.3 Resource mobilization and South-South Cooperation integrated in new or revised CPFs. 8.3.4 Voluntary contributions are aligned with the agreed priorities of the work of the Organization, consistent with FAO policies, monitored and accounted for.

	FUNCTIONAL OBJECTIVE 9: INFORMATION TEC	HNOLOGY		
	me statement - FAO business needs are addressed in timely manner in		nical location	ns through
	, quality, effective and cost-efficient customer-oriented IT solutions and			
Key po	erformance indicators	Baselines	Targets (end 2015)	Targets (end 2017)
9.1.A	Level of client satisfaction with IT at FAO by main area of work, measured by:	n/a	60%	70%
	- percentage of clients fully satisfied (source: annual client survey)			
	Percentage of Service Level Agreements (SLA) whose targets are	n/a	70%	80%
9.1.B	met, measured by:			
	- annual service performance reviews	,	700/	000/
0.4.0	Percentage of FAO projects with IT components that are delivered	n/a	70%	80%
9.1.C	on time, quality and within budget, measured by:			
	_ IT Project Portfolio			
Outpu				
9.1.1	Cost effective, timely and quality services are provided.			
9.1.2	Efficient, effective and secure information systems, able to evolve to meet the changing business needs, support FAO's operations, administration and substantive work.			ess needs,
9.1.3	A corporate data repository that offers a "single version of the truth", and a portfolio of business intelligence tools is developed and provided.			
9.1.4	A comprehensive set of IT tools which satisfy the needs for collaboration and communication is provided.			
9.1.5	FAO IT policies, architecture and standards that enable effective delivery of sustainable IT business solutions under increasing budgetary pressure defined, and applied throughout the Organization.			
9.1.6	An IT project portfolio prioritizing, monitoring and controlling initiat provided.	ives with IT	components	at FAO is

	FUNCTIONAL OBJECTIVE 10: FAO GOVERNANCE, OVERSIG	HT AND DI	RECTION	
Outcome	statement - Effective direction or the Organization through enhance	ed political o	commitment	and
collabora	ion with Member States, strategic management and oversight			
10.1: FA	O Governance			
Ket perfe	ormance indicators	Baselines	Targets (end 2015)	Targets (end 2017)
10.1.A	Governing body documents delivered according to deadlines and language requirements.	70%	75%	80%
10.1.B	Implementation of governing body decisions within prescribed deadlines.	80%	85%	90%
Outputs				
10.1.1	Governing and Statutory Bodies serviced effectively with improved implemented transparently.	d language s	ervices and	decisions
10.2: Ove	ersight			
Key perf	ormance indicators	Baselines	Targets (end 2015)	Targets (end 2017)
10.2.A	Recommendations from the Office of Evaluation and the Office of the Inspector-General implemented by the due date.	90%	93%	95%
Outputs				
10.2.1	Evaluations carried out with quality and timely in the priority areas	identified b	y governing	bodies.
10.2.2	Investigations and risk based audit plan prepared and delivered.			
10.2.3	Strengthened elements of FAO's accountability, internal controls and fiduciary frameworks delivered.			
10.3: Dir	ection			
Key perf	ormance indicators	Baselines	Targets (end 2015)	Targets (end 2017)
10.3.A	Organizational Outcomes targets met.	76%	78%	80%
Outputs				
10.3.1	Executive direction provided.			
10.3.2	Strategic direction, monitoring and reporting delivered.			
10.3.3	Legal advice timely provided for internal and technical matters of the Organization.			
10.3.4	Quality support to CPFs, projects and programmes formulation and	l monitoring	delivered.	

	FUNCTIONAL OBJECTIVE 11: EFFICIENT AND EFFECTIVE	ADMINIST	RATION	
	estatement - Maximize effectiveness and work towards ensuring va	lue-for-mone	y in fulfillin	ıg
	policy setting and monitoring and control functions			
	icient and effective management of human resources			
Key perf	formance indicators	Baselines	Targets (end 2015)	Targets (end 2017)
11.1.A	Time required to recruit staff.	(2012) 303 days	120 days	
11.1.B	Percent of member countries that are equitably represented.	(Jan 2013) 71%	75%	
11.1.C	Geographic mobility.	(2010-11) 15	75 per biennium	
11.1.D	Number of jobs with generic job profiles.	0%	60%	
Outputs				
11.1.1	Human resources strategies, policies, procedures and services are el attraction, development and retention of a diverse, skilled and moti			pport the
11.2: Effi	cient and effective management of financial resources			
Key perf	formance indicators	Baselines	Targets (end 2015)	Targets (end 2017)
11.2.A	FAO receives an unqualified opinion on its financial statements from the External Auditor.	Unqualified external audit opinion (biennial)	Unqualified external audit opinion (annual)	Unqualified external audit opinion (annual)
Outputs				
11.2.1 Accurate, relevant and timely financial reporting and efficient, effective and well controlled financial services are provided in support of governing bodies, Member Nations, management, resource partners and staff.				
	cient and effective administration of human, physical and financial reso		T	T
Key perf	ormance indicators	Baselines	Targets (end 2015)	Targets (end 2017)
11.3.A	Improvement of client satisfaction with quality of service provided (by area of work).	2011 CS survey results	5% improvement	10% improvement
Outputs				
11.3.1	Administrative services and support functions are effective, efficient, streamlined and meet Organizational requirements.			
11.3.2	Health and productivity of FAO's workforce are actively promoted by providing streamlined and effective health services to all employees at headquarters and in decentralized offices.			ed and

CHAPTER 13: CAPITAL EXPENDITURE					
Outcome	statement - FAO capital investments achieve benefits in terms of	a more capat	ole and effici	ent	
infrastruc	ture and operating environment to serve the business needs of the O	rganization a	and Strategic		
Objective	s delivery				
Key perf	ormance indicators	Baselines	Targets (end 2015)	Targets (end 2017)	
	Percent of CAPEX allocated to initiatives with defined cost-	n/a	80%	100%	
13.1.A	benefit analysis and benefits realization plan, measured by:				
	- annual review	_			
	Percent of CAPEX projects that are delivered on time, quality	n/a	70%	80%	
13.1.B	and within budget, measured by:				
	- Project Portfolio		•	•	
Outputs					
13.1.1	Platforms for knowledge sharing and to manage FAO's institutional memory and e-learning enhanced.				
			l		
			1	l	
13.1.2	Operational and administrative systems adapted to new business processes to remain fit-for-purpose.				
13.1.3	IT infrastructure and services, headquarters meeting rooms facilities, data centre and network communication improved.				

	CHAPTER 14: SECURITY EXPENDITURE	C		
	estatement - FAO employees are able to carry out their functions sa	fely and secu	rely in all lo	ocations
	e Organization operates			
14.1: Saf	e and secure operating environment for headquarters programn	ne delivery Baselines		
Key performance indicators			Targets (end 2015)	Targets (end 2017)
14.1.A	Number of staff that received training or participated in workshops or briefings			
Outputs		•		
14.1.1	Safe and secure operating environment for headquarters programm	e delivery is	ensured.	
14.2: Saf	e and secure operating environment for worldwide programme of	lelivery		
Key perf	ormance indicators	Baselines	Targets (end 2015)	Targets (end 2017)
14.2.A	Percent of decentralized offices that comply with Minimum Operating Security Standards (MOSS)	88%	91-100%	100%
14.2.B	Percent of international staff at decentralized offices that comply with Minimum Operating Residential Security Standards (MORSS)		91-100%	100%
14.2.C	Percent of reported security-related incidents at decentralized offices with prompt follow-up			
14.2.D	Percent of deployments of field security professionals within 72 hours to assist decentralized offices in security-crisis management, as required			
Outputs				
14.2.1	Safe and secure operating environment for worldwide programme	delivery is e	nsured.	