

Life Cycle Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Electricity Generation: Update

As clean energy increasingly becomes part of the national dialogue, lenders, utilities, and lawmakers need the most comprehensive and accurate information on greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from various sources of energy to inform policy, planning, and investment decisions.

Since the National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL) published original results from the Life Cycle Assessment Harmonization Project (Heath and Mann 2012), it has updated estimates of electricity generation GHG emissions factors as part of several recent studies. This fact sheet updates an earlier version (NREL 2013).

Systematic Review

NREL considered approximately 3,000 published life cycle assessment studies on utility-scale electricity generation from wind, solar photovoltaics, concentrating solar power, biopower, geothermal, ocean energy, hydropower, nuclear, natural gas, and coal technologies, as well as lithium-ion battery, pumped storage hydropower, and hydrogen storage technologies. A systematic review, comprising three rounds of screening by multiple experts, selected references that met strict criteria for quality, relevance, and transparency. Less than 15% of the original pool of references passed this review process.


The addition of battery and hydrogen storage technologies introduces a unique set of challenges and assumptions to the compilation of emissions factors. The primary challenges stem from the fact that storage technologies are characterized by two different types of capacity

- Energy Capacity: how much energy a given resource can store, denoted in units of kilowatt hours (kWh)
- Power Capacity: how much energy a given resource can deliver, denoted in units of kilowatts (kW).

Life Cycle Assessment of Energy Systems

Life cycle assessments (LCA) can help quantify environmental burdens from “cradle to grave” and facilitate more-consistent comparisons of energy technologies.

Figure 1. Generalized life cycle stages for energy technologies



Source: Sathaye et al. 2011

Life cycle GHG emissions from renewable electricity generation technologies are generally less than from those from fossil fuel-based technologies, according to evidence assembled from the LCA Harmonization project. Further, the proportion of GHG emissions from each lifecycle stage differs by technology. For fossil-fueled technologies, fuel combustion during operation of the facility emits the vast majority of GHGs. For nuclear and renewable energy technologies, most GHG emissions occur upstream of operation.


Also, certain storage technologies, especially lithium-ion batteries, can be designed to operate for a variety of grid services, such as time-shifting or frequency regulation. To align the estimates of GHG emissions impacts from the storage technologies with those of other generation technologies, we considered only references that enabled the calculation of emissions per unit of electricity delivered over the lifetime of the storage system. Thus, we have excluded references that report only emissions factors per unit of power capacity.

Published estimates of life cycle GHG emissions for biomass, solar (photovoltaics and concentrating solar power), geothermal, hydropower, ocean, wind (land-based and offshore), nuclear, oil, and coal generation technologies as well as storage technologies are compared in **Figure 2**.

These estimates are drawn from three groups of studies:

- Studies conducted as part of NREL’s Life Cycle Assessment Harmonization Project (“Life Cycle Assessment Harmonization,” NREL, <https://www.nrel.gov/analysis/life-cycle-assessment.html>)
- U.S. Department of Energy “vision” studies, including Hydropower Vision (DOE 2016), Wind Vision (DOE 2015), Geothermal Vision (Millstein et al. 2019) and On the Path to SunShot (Wiser et al. 2016)
- Grid-scale lithium-ion battery and hydrogen fuel cell stationary storage literature compiled under the Los Angeles 100% Renewable Energy Study (Nicholson et al. 2021)

Figure 2. Life cycle greenhouse gas emission estimates for selected electricity generation and storage technologies, and some technologies integrated with carbon capture and storage (CCS).



Estimates	276 (+4)	46	36	35	149	10	186	16	29	1	99	80 (+13)	24	164 (+11)
References	57 (+2)	17	10	15	22	5	69	4	3	1	27	47 (+11)	10	53 (+9)

Notes for Figure 2: The number of estimates is greater than the number of references because many studies considered multiple scenarios. Numbers reported in parentheses pertain to additional references and estimates that evaluated technologies with CCS.

Table 1 includes the median values for four life cycle phases (one-time upstream (e.g., materials acquisition and plant construction), ongoing combustion (where applicable), ongoing noncombustion (e.g., operation and maintenance), and one-time downstream (e.g., plant decommissioning and disposal/recycling)) as well as a total life cycle emissions factor. These results show that total life cycle GHG emissions from renewables and nuclear energy are much lower and generally less variable than those from fossil fuels. For example, from cradle to grave, coal-fired electricity releases about 20 times more GHGs per kilowatt-hour

than solar, wind, or nuclear electricity (based on median estimates for each technology).

Note that because different numbers of references may be used in the calculation of each entry in Table 1, the sum of the median estimates of each life cycle phase for a given generation technology might not equal the median of the total life cycle emissions factors (the sum of the medians need not equal the median of the sums). Indeed, the sum of the individual phase median values may be greater than the median total, as is the case with concentrating solar power.

Table 1. Median Published Life Cycle Emissions Factors for Electricity Generation Technologies, by Life Cycle Phase

	Generation Technology	One-Time Upstream	Ongoing Combustion	Ongoing Non Combustion	One-Time Downstream	Total Life Cycle	Sources
Renewable	Biomass	NR	—	NR	NR	52	EPRI 2013 Renewable Electricity Futures Study 2012
	Photovoltaic ^a	~28	—	~10	~5	43	Kim et al. 2012 Hsu et al. 2012 NREL 2012
	Concentrating Solar Power ^b	20	—	10	0.53	28	Burkhardt et al. 2012
	Geothermal	15	—	6.9	0.12	37	Eberle et al. 2017
	Hydropower	6.2	—	1.9	0.004	21	DOE 2016
	Ocean	NR	—	NR	NR	8	IPCC 2011
	Wind ^c	12	—	0.74	0.34	13	DOE 2015
Storage	Pumped-storage hydropower	3.0	—	1.8	0.07	7.4	DOE 2016
	Lithium-ion battery	32	—	NR	3.4	33	Nicholson et al. 2021
	Hydrogen fuel cell	27	—	2.5	1.9	38	Khan et al. 2005
Nonrenewable	Nuclear ^d	2.0	—	12	0.7	13	Warner and Heath 2012
	Natural gas	0.8	389	71	0.02	486	O'Donoghue et al. 2013
	Oil	NR	NR	NR	NR	840	IPCC 2011
	Coal	<5	1010	10	<5	1001	Whitaker et al. 2012

Notes for Table 1

All values are in grams of carbon dioxide equivalent per kilowatt-hour (g CO₂e/kWh)

^a Thin film and crystalline silicon

^b Tower and trough

^c Land-based and offshore

^d Light-water reactor (including pressurized water and boiling water) only

NR = Not Reported.

Funding for this fact sheet was provided by the Joint Institute for Strategic Energy Analysis in support of its Energy and Atmospheric Systems Catalyzer, a collaborative effort that explores the multidirectional relationships across climate, air quality, and energy systems.

See Also

General information about life cycle assessments: “Life Cycle Assessment Harmonization,” NREL, <https://www.nrel.gov/analysis/life-cycle-assessment.html>

Data visualization and data downloads: “LCA Harmonization,” OpenEI, <https://openei.org/apps/LCA/>

Additional distributional statistics and subtechnology emissions factors augmenting Table 1:

<https://data.nrel.gov/submissions/171>

References

- Burkhardt III, John J., Garvin Heath, and Elliot Cohen. 2012. "Life Cycle Greenhouse Gas Emissions of Trough and Tower Concentrating Solar Power Electricity Generation: Systematic Review and Harmonization." *Journal of Industrial Ecology* 16(S1): S93-S109. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1530-9290.2012.00474.x>
- DOE (U.S. Department of Energy). 2015. *Wind Vision: A New Era for Wind Power in the United States*. Appendix J. U.S. Department of Energy. DOE/GO-102015-4557. <https://doi.org/10.2172/1220428>
- . 2016. *Hydropower Vision: A New Chapter for America's First Renewable Electricity Source*. Appendix G. U.S. Department of Energy. <https://doi.org/10.2172/1330494>
- Eberle, Annika, Garvin Heath, Scott Nicholson, and Alberta Carpenter. 2017. *Systematic Review of Life Cycle Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Geothermal Electricity*. Golden, CO: National Renewable Energy Laboratory. NREL/TP-6A20-68474. <https://doi.org/10.2172/1398245>
- EPRI (Electric Power Research Institute). 2013. *Literature Review and Sensitivity Analysis of Biopower Life-Cycle Assessments and Greenhouse Gas Emission*. Palo Alto, CA: Electric Power Research Institute. 1026852. <https://www.epri.com/research/products/1026852>
- Heath, Garvin A., and Margaret K. Mann. 2012. "Background and Reflections on the Life Cycle Assessment Harmonization Project." *Journal of Industrial Ecology* 16(S1): S8-S11. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1530-9290.2012.00478.x>
- Hsu, David D., Patrick O'Donoghue, Vasilis Fthenakis, Garvin A. Heath, Hyung Chul Kim, Pamela Sawyer, Jun-Ki Choi, and Damon E. Turney. 2012. "Life Cycle Greenhouse Gas Emissions of Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Electricity Generation: Systematic Review and Harmonization." *Journal of Industrial Ecology* 16(S1): S122-S135. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1530-9290.2011.00439.x>
- Khan, Faisal I., Kelley Hawboldt, and M.T. Iqbal. 2005. "Life Cycle Analysis of Wind-Fuel Cell Integrated System." *Renewable Energy* 30(2): 157-177. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2004.05.009>
- Kim, Hyung Chul, Vasilis Fthenakis, Jun-Ki Choi, and Damon E. Turney. 2012. "Life Cycle Greenhouse Gas Emissions of Thin-film Photovoltaic Electricity Generation: Systematic Review and Harmonization." *Journal of Industrial Ecology* 16(S1): S110-S121. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1530-9290.2011.00423.x>
- Millstein, Dev, James McCall, Jordan Macknick, Scott Nicholson, David Keyser, Seongeun Jeong, and Garvin Heath. 2019. *GeoVision Analysis Supporting Task Force Report: Impacts—The Employment Opportunities, Water Impacts, Emission Reductions, and Air Quality Improvements of Achieving High Penetrations of Geothermal Power in the United States*. Golden, CO: National Renewable Energy Laboratory. NREL/TP6A20-71933. <https://doi.org/10.2172/1524769>
- Nicholson, Scott, David Keyser, Marissa Walter, Greg Avery, and Garvin Heath. 2021. "Chapter 8: Greenhouse Gas Emissions." In *The Los Angeles 100% Renewable Energy Study*, edited by Jaquelin Cochran and Paul Denholm. Golden, CO: National Renewable Energy Laboratory. NREL/TP-6A20-79444-8. <https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy21osti/79444-8.pdf>
- NREL (National Renewable Energy Laboratory). 2012. *Life Cycle Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Solar Photovoltaics*. Golden, CO: National Renewable Energy Laboratory. NREL/FS-6A20-56487. <https://doi.org/10.2172/1056745>
- . 2013. *Life Cycle Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Electricity Generation*. Golden, CO: National Renewable Energy Laboratory. NREL/FS-6A20-57187. <https://doi.org/10.2172/1062479>
- O'Donoghue, Patrick R., Garvin A. Heath, Stacey L. Dolan, and Martin Vorum. 2014. "Life Cycle Greenhouse Gas Emissions of Electricity Generated from Conventionally Produced Natural Gas: Systematic Review and Harmonization." *Journal of Industrial Ecology* 18(1): 125-144. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jiec.12084>
- Sathaye, Jayant, Oswaldo Lucon, John Christensen, Atiq Rahman, Fatima Denton, Junichi Fujino, Garvin Heath et al. 2011. "Renewable Energy in the Context of Sustainable Energy." In *IPCC Special Report on Renewable Energy Sources and Climate Change Mitigation*. O. Edenhofer et al., eds., Cambridge University Press. <https://www.ipcc.ch/site/assets/uploads/2018/03/Chapter-9-Renewable-Energy-in-the-Context-of-Sustainable-Development-1.pdf>
- Warner, Ethan S., and Garvin A. Heath. 2012. "Life Cycle Greenhouse Gas Emissions of Nuclear Electricity Generation: Systematic Review and Harmonization." *Journal of Industrial Ecology* 16(S1): S73-S92. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1530-9290.2012.00472.x>
- Whitaker, Michael, Garvin A. Heath, Patrick O'Donoghue, and Martin Vorum. 2012. "Life Cycle Greenhouse Gas Emissions of Coal-Fired Electricity Generation: Systematic Review and Harmonization." *Journal of Industrial Ecology* 16(S1): S53-S72. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1530-9290.2012.00465.x>
- Wiser, Ryan, Trieu Mai, Dev Millstein, Jordan Macknick, Alberta Carpenter, Stuart Cohen, Wesley Cole, Bethany Frew, and Garvin A. Heath. 2016. *On the Path to SunShot: The Environmental and Public Health Benefits of Achieving High Penetrations of Solar Energy in the United States*. Golden, CO: National Renewable Energy Laboratory. NREL/TP-6A20-65628. <https://doi.org/10.2172/1344200>