

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract

I. Program Overview

- a. Navy SAVI Program**
- b. Marine Corps SAPR Program**

II. Program Response Capabilities

- a. Personnel Trained**
- b. Availability of Sexual Assault Forensic Examination Kits**
- c. Availability of Lab Testing**
- d. Offender Tracking**

III. Program Initiatives

IV. Unrestricted Reporting

- a. Discussion**

V. Restricted Reporting

- a. Discussion**

VI. Special Topics

VII. Challenges with Unrestricted and Restricted Reporting Processes

- a. Joint Environment**
- b. US CENTCOM AOR**
- c. Tracking Victim Services**
- d. Problem Resolution**

VIII. Case Synopses of Completed Investigations

- a. Summary of Synopses**

IX. Discussions

- a. Lessons Learned: FY07**
- b. Plans for FY08**

Enclosure 1: Navy Sexual Assault Unrestricted Report Matrix

Enclosure 2: Navy Sexual Assault Restricted Report Matrix

Enclosure 3: Synopses of Sexual Assault Cases

ABSTRACT:

a. Navy SAVI Program:

Highlights from the Commander Navy Installation Command (CNIC) Sexual Assault Victim Intervention (SAVI) Program in Fiscal Year (FY) 2007 include increasing staffing, participating in the National Capital Region to develop joint-Service Victim Advocate training, co-chairing two Sexual Assault Advisory Council subcommittees, rolling out a real-time online discussion and training program (Webinar), forming a Sexual Assault Response Coordinator working group to design a SARC Resource Guide, launching an interactive Web portal, and delivering standardized curriculum for key SAVI responder positions to the field.

Navy SARCs have extended their outreach efforts to include more community organizations and have been working to become more visible members of their installations. SARCs have trained over 2,500 Victim Advocates (VAs) and over 3,000 Command SAVI personnel. SARCs at Naval Station Great Lakes, Navy's Recruit Training Center (RTC), have trained approximately 60,000 students and staff. With regional restructuring Navy-wide, FY07 has been a year of ramping up personnel and services for all SAVI locations. Sailors across the Navy indicate recognition of the SAVI program, the reporting options available to them, and the key SAVI roles at their commands.

The SARC and SAVI program attention to victim response and intervention efforts has resulted in greater levels of victim satisfaction and sense of safety. There were no reports of responses hindered by lack of Sexual Assault Forensic Exam (SAFE) kits. Of the 138 restricted reports for FY07, 24 were converted to unrestricted. In addition, regions reported 232 military and civilian referrals for these 138 victims. Victims are more comfortable coming forward to seek help following sexual assaults, even when they are not yet comfortable facing an investigation or potentially lengthy trial process.

Using the Sexual Assault Case Management Group (SACMG) and leadership briefs, SARCs have cultivated stronger relationships with all branches of the Navy sexual assault response team. These meetings, in some regions held monthly to address larger issues, have assisted with case management, data collection, and monthly updates to victims. To ensure understanding of the SACMG among new SAVI personnel, the CNIC Headquarters (HQ) SAVI Program is releasing Navy SACMG policy implementing guidance in the second quarter of FY08.

The Navy SAVI program moved forward in FY07, and recognizes the need to continue this forward push in FY08. SARCs have struggled with the lack of a case management system, spurring CNIC HQ to contract for the development of a Navy-wide case management program, to be launched in FY08. CNIC is also taking advantage of technological capabilities to offer real-time trainings and discussions to ensure the most effective, timely sharing of information and ideas. As we facilitate the flow of

communication within the SAVI community, we make it possible to disseminate new guidance and information from headquarters and to bring all relevant parties together to address command-level issues that will continue to arise.

b. Marine Corps SAPR Program:

Provides a program overview of policies and procedures. Describes program response capabilities in terms of numbers of personnel trained (SARCs, Victim Advocates (VA's), Judge Advocate General (JAG), Military Police (MPs), Naval Criminal Investigative Services (NCIS), medical, chaplains) and offender tracking method; also discusses availability of SAFE kits and lab testing, and what program initiatives are underway or planned to ameliorate any deficiencies. Provides various data concerning unrestricted reports, restricted reports, and certain demographics concerning civilian victims and children. Discusses challenges with the unrestricted and restricted reporting process, gives case synopses of completed investigations, and discusses lessons learned. Enumerates plans for FY 08 and identifies issues of interest in the U.S. Central Command (CENTCOM) area of responsibility.

I. PROGRAM OVERVIEW

a. Navy SAVI Program:

i. Policies in place in FY07 include:

- 1.** OPNAVINST 1752.1B, "Sexual Assault Victim Intervention (SAVI) Program." Navy's comprehensive policy of sexual assault prevention and response. Formalizes all DoD sexual assault requirements issued previously in Navy interim policy. (12/06).
- 2.** OPNAVINST 3100.6H, "Special Incident Reporting Procedures." Clarifies command sexual assault reporting requirements. (2/06).

ii. Procedures in place in FY07:

- 1.** CNIC SAVI Program Headquarters monitors command voice reports of sexual assault incidents and tracks message traffic to ensure that all unrestricted cases are reported and updated via message traffic situation reports (SITREPs). This promotes ongoing collaboration with commands and SARCs.
- 2.** SAVI Program updates are sent to regions Navy-wide to keep SARCs updated on Department of Defense and Navy updates on sexual assault prevention and response changes and updates.
- 3.** SARCs have the opportunity to participate in SARC discussion groups via Webinar. This promotes networking, sharing of ideas, answers questions regarding policy implementation, and raises issues and concerns.
- 4.** A SARC working group was formed in March 2006 to develop a SARC Reference Guide. Draft of SARC Reference Guide will be vetted in December/January to all SARCs followed by revisions and publication. SARC training is in development to be presented to newly hired SARCs via Webinar.

iii. New training continues to be developed. It has been sent to SARCs on CD's for vetting. Pending final publication is training for command SAVI personnel: Command Liaisons, SAVI POC's, and Data Collection Coordinators.

- 1.** SARCs hold required SACMG monthly to review all open unrestricted cases. In addition, in several Regions SARCs hold SAVI Coordination Meetings quarterly with all key organizations to discuss command climate, systemic concerns, and to provide program updates to a multi-disciplinary group (command, medical, law enforcement, NCIS, JAG, and all family support organizations).
- 2.** A Navy command initiative, Leadership and Life skills training, focused on leadership training was piloted on 20 Navy ships (10 control, 10 experiential) for one year with extensive training on sexual assault. After one year, the project resulted in

a significant reduction of reported sexual assault cases and increased awareness of the SAVI program and reporting options. Therefore this program has been expanded Navy-wide with professional instructor’s guides for standardization of curriculum.

b. Marine Corps SAPR Program:

1. The Marine Corps’ Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program is evolving to keep pace with refinements in policy promulgated by the Undersecretary of Defense (USD) for Personnel and Readiness (P&R). The original Marine Corps directive, MCO 1752.5, has been revised to codify procedures, specify minimum standards for training, ensure conformance to DoD directives, assist commanders in more efficiently executing their responsibilities, and provide for evaluation and assessment at each command. Program funding in budget out years will permit growth in the program beyond the current robust response mechanism, into the arena of prevention and intervention training.

II. PROGRAM RESPONSE CAPABILITIES

NAVY RESPONSE:

a. Personnel Trained (SARCs, VAs, JAGs, MPs, Investigators, Medical, Chaplains)

- i. The number of personnel trained to respond to sexual assaults: CNIC has 64 installation Sexual Assault Response Coordinators (SARCs). SARCs trained 2,524 Victim Advocates and 3,007 Command SAVI personnel (Command Liaisons, SAVI Points of Contact (POC), Data Collection Coordinators) in FY07, as detailed below.

<u>Region</u>	Victim Advocates	Command SAVI Personnel (Command Liaison, SAVI POC, DCC)
Mid-Atlantic	765	1205
Naval District Washington	172	198
Southeast	585	822
Southwest	259	155
Northwest	201	114
Midwest	85	154
Europe – CNRE	165	72
Bahrain - CENTCOM	59	20
Pacific	154	70
Guam	35	77
Hawaii	44	120

Per installation numbers (if applicable or on average/minimum)
 Training programs – types/frequency

SARC: As required when new SARCs are hired. SARCs are trained on DoD and Navy SARC training modules. Most recent SARC training was May 2006 – Navy and DoD SARC training. Next Navy SARC training conference is scheduled for June 2008. SARC training is in development for new SARCs and will be released in January/February 2008.

Victim Advocate: Victim Advocate training is scheduled quarterly and more often in large fleet concentration regions and training commands (Naval Station Great Lakes).

SAVI Point of Contact, SAVI Command Liaison, and SAVI Data Collection

Coordinator: Training frequency varies by installation. Larger installations have regularly scheduled trainings. Others schedule this training as needed.

– US CENTCOM AOR

b. Availability of SAFE Kits

- i. Installation level: All installations have sufficient SAFE capability. Installations also coordinate with local civilian medical facilities.
- ii. US CENTCOM AOR: Southwest Asia Region, which includes Bahrain, Djibouti, and Jebel Ali, UAE, reported sufficient SAFE availability.
- iii. Any care hindered due to lack of SAFE? No. None reported in any Navy region to include CENTCOM.
- iv. Special issues: The Naval Hospital at Whidbey Island discontinued offering the exams when their trained personnel were deployed in support of the Global War on Terrorism (GWOT). Victims now must be transported 30 minutes away to have on-call a Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner (SANE). Complex cases where the gathering of more forensic evidence is indicated must travel 90 minutes to the mainland. Naval Criminal Investigative Service (NCIS) is negotiating evidence custody protocols.

c. Availability of Lab Testing

- i. Any cases hindered due to lab testing problems? None reported.
- ii. How does process work in US CENTCOM AOR? Same Navy protocols followed for Restricted cases – the SARC provides the number, and medical staff mail SAFE kits to the NCIS facility in Norfolk, VA. NCIS follows the same protocols for unrestricted cases.

d. Offender Tracking

- i. System used; how it operates; how does this work in US CENTCOM AOR?

Offender tracking via existing Staff Judge Advocate (SJA) and NCIS protocol and release of case.

MARINE CORPS RESPONSE:

a. Personnel Trained (SARCs, VAs, JAGs, MPs, Investigators, Medical, Chaplains)

i. The number of personnel trained to respond to sexual assaults:

<u>First Responders</u>	<u>Number Trained</u>
VA/Unit Victim Advocate (UVA)	2010
SARC	226
Provost Marshal Office	1009
Army Criminal Investigative Division	65

ii. Each Marine unit, Battalion/Squadron-sized or equivalent, is required to assign at least two trained Uniformed Victim Advocates (UVAs).

iii. Training of SARCs and UVAs is conducted by a Mobile Training Team (MTT) from the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Section (MRRS), Marine and Family Services Branch (MRR), of Manpower & Reserve Affairs' Personal and Family Readiness Division (MR).

iv. All required training is currently completed prior to deployment.

BUMED RESPONSE:

a. **Personnel Trained:** In accordance with DoDI 6495.02, BUMED used the following definition for first responder: comprised of personnel in the following disciplines or positions; SARCs, VAs, Health Care Personnel (HCP) and providers; law enforcement; Military Criminal Investigative Offices; judge advocates; and Chaplains.

i. Per installation numbers:

	National Capitol Area	East	West	Support Command
Personnel Trained	1,680	4,883	5,321	1,090

ii. Training programs: These numbers may be lower than the actual number trained as they do not account for classroom style training, annual training, and INDOC course done but not tracked by a central database (for example, some residency programs incorporate issues such as sexual assault, family advocacy and spousal/child abuse into their training programs and lecture series). This represents approximately 56 percent of HCPs in the major claimant 18 activities. All service members are required to undergo annual instruction in the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR)

program via quarterly General Military Training (GMT), classroom training by Fleet and Family Support Center (FFSC) staff, Command Orientations, or Annual Training.

- iii. US CENTCOM AOR: Received no data, but all Navy Medicine personnel are required to undergo annual training in SAPR program.

b. Availability of SAFE Kits

- i. Installation level: Each MTF that provides in-house SAFE collection care has kits available in its Emergency Room and OB/GYN clinic.
- ii. Any care hindered due to lack of SAFE: No.
- iii. Special issues: None.

JAG RESPONSE:

a. Personnel Trained

- i. Overall numbers: 437.
- ii. Training programs: Training for judge advocates occurs at Naval Justice School (NJS) basic lawyer course and follow-on criminal law updates/trial advocacy courses such as: Prosecuting Complex Cases, Defending Complex Cases, Staff Judge Advocate, Prosecution Trial Enhancement Training, and Defense Trial Enhancement Training.

CHAPLAIN RESPONSE:

a. Personnel Trained

- i. Overall numbers: 241 trained out of 889 Chaplains Navy-wide. Includes 165 trained in regional settings by Chaplains Religious Enrichment Development Operation (CREDO), plus 76 at the Naval Chaplain School.
- ii. Per installation: N/A (Training not executed on the basis of installation based numbers).
- iii. Training programs: Regional training executed by CREDO with support from local FFSCs and formal instruction at the Chaplain school (Basic and Supervisory Courses). For remote units, training executed by local FFSCs.
- iv. CENTCOM AOR: Not yet attempted. Awaiting conversion of training package into an e-learning format. Expect this conversion to be completed by January 2008.

NCIS RESPONSE:

a. Personnel trained

- i. NCIS currently employs 1,176 special agents worldwide, including CENTCOM, all of whom are trained in the handling of sexual assault investigation in accordance with the standards and criteria delineated in enclosure 6 of DoD Instruction 6495.02, Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program Procedures (June 23, 2006). NCIS offices worldwide have special agents on call on a twenty-four hour basis, every day of the year, for their appropriate geographic area of responsibility.

b. Availability of SAFE Kits

- i. Any care hindered due to lack of SAFE: NCIS is unaware of any sexual assault investigation that was hindered due to problems pertaining to the availability of SAFE kits, or any negative impact such availability had on victim care.

c. Availability of Lab Testing

- i. Any cases hindered due to lab testing problems: There were no cases hindered due to lab testing problems in FY07.
- ii. How does process work in US CENTCOM AOR: The process works virtually identically to the CONUS situation, where medical personnel collect specimens based on availability of required equipment. Collected specimens are tested locally, unless the ordered test is only available at a CONUS lab, in which case the specimen is shipped using the same protocol as that used by CONUS-based facilities.

d. Offender Tracking

- i. NCIS contributes to and utilizes a database, the Consolidated Law Enforcement Operations Center (CLEOC), to track and maintain sexual assault data. CLEOC currently serves as the central repository for criminal offense data for the entire Department of the Navy (DON). Navy Security Forces, Marine Corps Provost Marshals Office, Marine Corps Criminal Investigative Division, and NCIS are the investigative entities within the DON. Each investigative element is responsible for submitting its own data into the system.

III. PROGRAM INITIATIVES

NAVY RESPONSE:

Navy Sexual Assault Victim Intervention (SAVI) program was fully implemented in 1996 and continues to focus on implementing new DoD and Navy policies. Transition of senior leaders, commands, and new Sailors requires constant training on prevention, awareness, victim

response, and military and civilian resources. Navy SARCs are energetic, resourceful, and are committed to increasing community outreach and establishing collaborative relationships within their local civilian communities. Significant FY07 accomplishments and initiatives include:

a. Community Outreach

- i.** Participation in Pennsylvania’s Coalition Against Rape’s (PCAR) Strengthening Military-Civilian Community Partnerships Project.
- ii.** Participation in sexual assault community awareness campaigns in partnership with local civilian agencies.
- iii.** Developing and implementing SAVI and SAVI/FAP trainings for local police departments.
- iv.** Conducting community Sexual Assault Response Team (SART) and Prosecutor Presentations.
- v.** Participating in the creation of a local resource directory.
- vi.** Participating on civilian agency boards, coalitions, and organizations.
- vii.** Participating in the Commitment to End Violence Conference.
- viii.** Participated in One Military Neighbors Public Cable Access Show shown in October.
- ix.** Worked with police departments and women’s shelters to provide sexual assault awareness training to local bartenders – focusing on the link between alcohol and sexual assault incidents.
- x.** Conducted sexual assault awareness and SAVI Program briefs to high school students and counselors.
- xi.** Staffed table at local university’s “Take Back the Night.”
- xii.** Participated in San Diego County DA’s office “Preventing Rape by Intoxication Through Community Education (PRICE) Campaign.

b. Online Trainings and Communities of Practice

- i.** Webinars for SARCs and FFSP staff.
- ii.** “On-line Predator” training.

c. Command/Base Training

- i.** Trained and participated in General Military Training (GMT), leadership, medical, and base security training.
- ii.** Created panel to interview all potential Victim Advocates and Command Liaisons.
- iii.** Provided Rape Aggression Defense (RAD) training.
- iv.** Held focus groups with Sailors in initial entry training to discuss prevention, command support, and barriers to reporting.
- v.** Developed SAVI prevention and awareness training for recruiters.
- vi.** Provided Advanced Advocacy training on the military and civilian legal systems.
- vii.** Conducted Holiday Safety Awareness stand-downs.
- viii.** Conducted safety/security stand-down.
- ix.** Presented to Regional Summits.
- x.** Conducted Victim Witness Assistance Program (VWAP) training.

- xi.** Provided “Safe Touch” briefs.
- xii.** Co-facilitated training with Staff Judge Advocate (SJA) on the “Difference Between Sexual Assault and Sexual Harassment.”
- xiii.** Facilitated training for VAs hosting the Florida State Attorney General, who provided brief on “Crime Compensation for Victims.”
- xiv.** Collaborated with local Rape Crisis Center to provide brief to VAs on “Working with Male Victims of Sexual Assault.”
- xv.** Offering CHOICES program alcohol and drug use awareness program.

d. Command/Base Awareness Efforts

- i.** Observed Sexual Assault Awareness Month (SAAM) with a variety of events.
- ii.** Displayed the Clothesline Project at FFSC.
- iii.** Recognized all Victim Advocates for their services.
- iv.** Sponsored or co-sponsored runs and walks to raise awareness of sexual assault.
- v.** Staffed information tables and posted displays at clinics, command events, festivals, competitions, Morale, Welfare and Recreation (MWR) health fairs, Navy Exchanges, libraries.
- vi.** Posted recommended movies on sexual assault at video rental centers.
- vii.** Made and distributed T-shirts, key tags, etc. with DoD theme and SAVI logo.
- viii.** Held brown bag lunches with guest speakers.
- ix.** Hosted Bi-monthly table at student store on pay days.
- x.** Conducted outreach to individual Ships and tenant commands – bringing the training to the “deckplate.”
- xi.** Write articles and series in region, base, and Ombudsman newspapers.
- xii.** Displayed Silent Witness silhouettes.
- xiii.** Hosted sexual assault film festival.
- xiv.** Hosted “Walk A-mile in Her Shoes.”
- xv.** Sponsored a dramatic play about sexual assault, “Scream.”

e. U.S. Naval Academy (USNA)

- i.** Invited guest speakers on a variety of topics, such as:
 - 1.** “A Call to Men” – Tony Porter
 - 2.** “Can I Kiss you?” – Mike Domitz
 - 3.** “Sex Signals” – was presented to all 3/c midshipmen
 - 4.** Attorney Brett Sokolow
 - 5.** Rape survivor Katie Koestner
 - 6.** “One in Four” – USNA’s own chapter facilitated a man’s nightmare, putting male midshipmen in the shoes of a victim.
 - 7.** Hosted the Service Academies Sexual Assault Awareness Conference

f. Training Curriculum

- i.** Professional Victim Advocate Trainer and Student Manuals were delivered first quarter
- ii.** FY07 to all Installation SARCs to provide a consistent standardized curriculum Navy-wide. Interactive training curriculum for command SAVI personnel (Command Liaisons, SAVI POCs, Data Collection Coordinators) is being vetted with SARCs and will be finalized in FY08. A SARC Reference Guide, Commanders Toolkit, and SARC training is in development.

g. Other Initiatives

- i.** Navy FFSP launched a professional web portal including comprehensive sexual assault prevention and response information regarding the SAVI program. The web portal has the capability to answer user's questions – which has resulted in providing responses to victims, recruiting Sailors to become Victim Advocates and Command SAVI personnel, and facilitated training for Reservists and deployed personnel.
- ii.** Navy FFSP Accreditation Program is robust and specifically targets the SAVI program. This provides quality assurance and a way to identify findings and resolve identified issues.
- iii.** Navy continues to capitalize on technology utilizing webinars for SARC discussion groups and training.
- iv.** Navy SARCs (to include USNA SARC) are actively engaged in the Joint SARC group within the National Capital Region, which is developing joint Victim Advocate curriculum and collaboratively supporting victims regardless of service or location.
- v.** Navy staff co-chairs two of the Sexual Assault Advisory Council subcommittees (Research and Outreach) with SAPRO staff. There is Navy representation on all four subcommittees and the representatives actively engage in all topics.
- vi.** The SAVI program continues to have high visibility leadership issue.

MARINE CORPS RESPONSE:

- i.** During 2007, the Marine Corps began development of a campaign plan to move beyond reactive response capabilities into proactive prevention. This entails synergy between concepts such as the Mentors in Violence Prevention program, a program in Marine Corps use since 1998 to empower bystander intervention in domestic abuse, and innovative delivery methods such as Catharsis Productions' "Sex Signals," to produce effective prevention training that targets the "Millennial Generation;" the Marine Corps' recruitment base. Bystander intervention promises to be an exciting component of the future of sexual assault prevention and response, and any curricula developed will have applications well beyond the recruit depots and officer candidate school.

IV. UNRESTRICTED REPORTING

a. Discussion

NCIS RESPONSE:

- i. **NAVY:** Of the reports of Navy-related sexual crimes investigated by NCIS initiated in FY07 (280), the majority featured military subjects committing alleged offenses on military victims (176), with Rape (88) and Indecent Assault (85) the most cited criminal acts. A slight majority of these alleged offenses took place off-base (132), as opposed to on-base (130), and the majority of subjects (137) and victims (142) were Navy members. Overall, a vast majority of reports involved male-on-female criminal acts (243), with the remaining consisting of male-on-male criminal acts (32). Unrestricted reporting of the allegations by victims varied greatly, with most reports being made within 72 hours (132), followed by 72 hours to one month (78), and one to 12 months (49) reporting periods.
- ii. **MARINE CORPS:** Of the reports of Marine-related sexual crimes investigated by NCIS initiated in FY2007 (191), the majority were military subjects committing alleged offenses on military victims (104), with a large portion of military-on-civilian allegations (66). Rape (120) and Indecent Assault (66) were about equally reported. In contrast to Navy investigations, the majority of alleged offenses took place on-base (124), as opposed to off-base (55). Additionally, a vast majority of reports involved male-on-female criminal acts (168), but featured a large proportion of male-on-male criminal acts (20). It was also noted that a single female-on-male criminal act was reported. Unrestricted reporting of the allegations by victims varied greatly, with most reports being made within 72 hours (102), followed by 72 hours to one month (34), and one to 12 months (35) reporting periods.
 - a. Victims
 - b. Type of Offense Breakout for Military Victims
 - c. Demographics
 - d. Service provision
- iii. **NAVY:** The majority of victims were female (148), with a significant number of male victims (25). Most victims were aged 20-24 years old (97), with the next most frequent age ranges of 16 to 19 years old (50) and 25-34 years old (19). A vast majority of victims were E1-E4 in rank (127), with most of the remaining either E5-E9 (23), or identified as civilians (21).
- iv. **NAVY:** For the (60) Navy-related cases investigated pending prior to FY07, but closed during that time period, the majority of victims were female (53), with a larger relative portion of male victims (11). Again, the majority of victims were aged 20-24 years old (30), with the next most frequent age ranges of 16 to 19 years old (20) and 25-34 years old (10). An even larger majority of victims were E1-E4 in rank (35), versus E5-E9 (3), with a larger relative portion of civilians (19).
- v. **MARINE CORPS** The majority of victims were female (99), with a significant number of male victims (16). Most victims were aged 20-24 years old (58), with the next most frequent

age ranges of 16 to 19 years old (25) and 25-34 years old (15). A vast majority of victims were E1-E4 in rank (66), with most of the remaining between E5-E9 (5). A higher proportion of civilians (34), as compared to Navy investigations, was observed.

- vi. **MARINE CORPS:** For the 50 Marine-related cases investigated pending prior to FY07, but closed during that time period, the majority of victims were female (57), with a smaller relative portion of male victims (4). Again, the majority of victims were aged 20-24 years old (34), with the next most frequent age ranges of 16 to 19 years old (9) and 25-34 years old (13). The majority of victims were E1-E4 in rank (33), versus E5-E9 (2), with a similar proportion of civilians (22).
 - 1. Subjects
 - 2. Demographics
- vii. **NAVY:** The demographic information for the 185 subjects identified in FY07 NCIS sexual assault investigations indicates that most are between the ages of 20-24 (40) and 25-34 (39) years old, E-1 to E-4 (55) or E-5 to E-9 (59), with all subjects identified as males. There were 31 unidentified subjects during that time period.
- viii. **NAVY:** For the cases pending prior to FY07, but closed during that time period, 73 subjects were identified and, again, all were male. Ages typically were between 20-24 (32) and 25-34 (19) years old, with ranks between E-1 to E-4 (33) or E-5 to E-9 (17), marking a predominately lower age and rank range, as compared to FY2007 cases.
- ix. **MARINE CORPS:** The demographic information for the 124 subjects identified in FY07 NCIS sexual assault investigations indicates that most are between the ages of 20-24 (37) and 25-34 (23) years old, E-1 to E-4 (58) or E-5 to E-9 (25), with most subjects identified as males (117). It was observed that five subjects were identified as female, with fourteen unidentified subjects during that time period.
- x. **MARINE CORPS:** For the cases pending prior, but closed during FY07, 51 subjects were identified and all were male. Ages typically were between 20-24 (27) and 25-34 (14) years old, with ranks between E-1 to E-4 (40) or E-5 to E-9 (7), marking a predominately lower rank range, as compared to FY07 cases.
 - 1. Disposition
 - i. **NAVY:** In FY07, 169 investigations were completed. Of the 185 subjects in these investigations, the vast majority (106) received no action by commands and/or civilian authorities. For subjects who received adjudication (28), most were subjected to Court Martial (9), Non-judicial Punishment (11), or other administrative action (7).
 - ii. **NAVY:** For the 60 Navy-related cases investigated prior to FY07, but closed during that time period, the majority of the 73 subjects identified received no action by commands and/or civilian authorities (40), with the next largest group under civilian or foreign authority (14). Of the 15 subjects who received adjudication during FY07,

most were subjected to Court Martial (8), Non-judicial Punishment (5), or other administrative action (2).

- iii. **MARINE CORPS:** In FY07, 124 investigations were completed. Of the 124 subjects in these investigations, the majority (66) received no action by commands and/or civilian authorities. As compared to Navy-related investigations, though, a higher proportion of cases were adjudicated (32). Of the subjects in these instances, most were subjected to Court Martial (15) or Non-judicial Punishment (13).
- iv. **MARINE CORPS:** For the 50 Marine-related cases investigated prior to FY07, but closed during that time period, the majority of the 51 subjects identified received no action by commands and/or civilian authorities (25), with the next largest group under civilian or foreign authority (7). Of the 18 subjects who received adjudication, most were subjected to Court Martial (12) or Non-judicial Punishment (5).
 - 1. Overview of Reports
 - e. Descriptives (on/off installation, etc)
 - f. Investigations

V. RESTRICTED REPORTING

a. Discussion

i. **NAVY RESPONSE:**

1. Victims
2. Type of Offense Breakout
3. Demographics
4. Service provision
5. Overview of Reports
6. Descriptives

Navy had 138 Restricted Reports for FY07. Twenty-four victims converted from the restricted reporting option to unrestricted with a final total of 114 cases remaining restricted. Seventy-nine incidents were military on military, referred to as blue on blue for Navy. Twenty-seven restricted reports had unidentified subjects. The majority of the incidents occurred off of the military installation (90 out of 137). Response for victims is proactive, as demonstrated by 176 referrals for 137 victims for military facilities specifically for medical and counseling referrals. Fifty-six referrals were made to civilian medical and counseling facilities. Significant to note is that SAFE exams were conducted in 12 of the 137 reported restricted cases.

- #### ii. **MARINE CORPS RESPONSE:**
- There were a total of 22 restricted reports (one case occurring in CENTCOM) in FY07. Sixteen cases involved service members as the perpetrator; one case involved a non-service member perpetrator, and five cases involved unidentified individuals as perpetrators. The cases included 20 females and two males as victims of sexual assault. CENTCOM data is reported separately.

VI. SPECIAL TOPICS

- ### a. **NAVY RESPONSE:**
- These data elements were added after the FY began, and Navy did not collect them. Recommend that they be addressed through the designated Sexual Assault Advisory Council subcommittees (SAAC) or added to FY08 collection.

i. Civilian Victims

1. Number of dependents, contractors, civil servants, foreign nationals, and no known military affiliation (NKMA)
2. Special issues involving the above

- ### b. **NCIS RESPONSE:**
- These data elements were added after the FY began and have not been tracked to-date; therefore they are not reportable at this time. It is recommended that these items be addressed for the FY08 reporting cycle.

i. Reports Made Over 12 Months After The Sexual Assault

1. Distribution of time differences between sexual assault and report

- a. 1 to 3 years between date of incident and date of report
 - b. 3 to 5 years between date of incident and date of report
 - c. Greater than 5 years between date of incident and date of report
 2. Reports made much longer than 12 months, trends identified, and issues involved
 3. Number of Reports made for sexual assaults occurring prior to military service.
- ii. Sexual Assaults Involving Children (less than 16 years of age)
 1. How does SAPR program handle these reports
 2. What is the process
 3. Number of reports involving children as victims in FY07
- c. **NAVY RESPONSE:** The Navy SAVI program does not address sexual assaults involving children younger than 16 years of age. By DoD and Navy policy, sexual assaults involving children younger than 16 years of age are handled by the Family Advocacy Program.
- d. **MARINE CORPS RESPONSE:** Responses to sexual assault of children younger than 16 years of age are handled through the Marine Corps Family Advocacy Program.

VII. CHALLENGES WITH UNRESTRICTED AND RESTRICTED REPORTING PROCESS

- a. **NAVY RESPONSE:**
 - i. Joint Environment: Navy continues to work collaboratively with the other Services to ensure seamless response to victims. Through the Sexual Assault Advisory Council subcommittee (SAAC) for Policy and Accountability, we continue to seek joint guidance from OSD and the combatant commands.
 - ii. US CENTCOM AOR: Recent GAO visit to Bahrain identified the need for additional SAVI training due to the frequent transition of Sailors. A plan of action to implement additional SAVI training is being addressed. Navy continues to assess and address how to provide effective response and services for victims in the CENTCOM AOR.
 - iii. Tracking Victim Services: Because the Defense Case Management Records Management System (DCRMS) has not been implemented, Navy SARCs track victim services for unrestricted cases and review them in the Sexual Assault Case Management Group. Navy SARCs continue to manage restricted cases. However, tracking both restricted and unrestricted cases is much more difficult and less efficient without a case management system tool. Navy SAVI program plans to develop and implement its own web-based case management system and started the process with end-of-year funds.
 - iv. Problem Resolution: Problems are addressed at the lowest level possible (installation). If they cannot be resolved, they are addressed at the Regional level and forwarded to CNIC HQ when appropriate. We consult with and support the Regions through ongoing collaboration. Problems are also raised to senior Navy leadership and OSD when needed.

Currently we are working with OSD SAPRO to address how to provide restricted reporting for victims in the Midwest Region (Great Lakes) as they transition from using Navy medical services to Veteran's Administration for all medical care.

b. MARINE CORPS RESPONSE:

i. Joint Environment: No challenges experienced.

ii. US CENTCOM AOR:

1. **Restricted Reporting:** Alleged victims undergo triage and compete for the same lift to medical facilities as combat wounded. This impacts victims' consideration of whether to make a restricted report or not. Given the choice between ground movement (logistically easier, but confidentiality can't be assured) and air (more confidential, but near impossible – see above), an alleged victim may be less likely to report at all, unless transport for a SAFE is arranged via NCIS when mission-consistent.
2. **Advocacy:** Post-arrival in theater, operational exigencies have caused reassignments of some previously-trained response personnel. Absence of an organic capability to train replacements causes interim assignment of untrained personnel possessing uneven proficiency. Variances in Service programs/training mean one Service can not utilize training made available by another Service. Although the Marine Corps emphasizes during pre-deployment training that units should have contingency plans in place before departing, reality sometimes finds the response team ill-prepared.
3. **Mental health:** The psychiatric staff at Multi-National Forces – West (MNF-W) has compiled a women's support group package to be implemented alongside the CHOICES mentorship program developed for young female Marines. The magnitude of potential response cannot as yet be accurately forecast.
4. **Counseling:** If an alleged victim requests counseling, the combat stress team and/or the Chaplain are both prepared to offer counseling as needed/requested. Uniformed Victim Advocates know that these resources are available, and which ones enjoy confidentiality of covered communications.

iii. Tracking Victim Services: No challenges experienced

iv. Problem Resolution: Availability of trained personnel is fundamentally a manpower-planning issue; however, it is clear that training does not imbue every student with the tools required for use in standing up/maintaining an installation-level program in an expeditionary environment. The Marine Corps will adjust its training to provide more emphasis on expeditionary operations.

Training can not be accomplished on-line or by DVD. It must be conducted in a “live” environment; instructors are trained to observe and identify those students who may not be a good choice for the billet (i.e., past victims). This involves exposing students to some very disturbing audio and using structured role-playing with some emotionally-intense scenarios to reinforce enabling objectives and reveal students' likely reactions when

confronted with a real victim. Additionally, due to the compressed timeframe for training, there must be an opportunity for students to ask questions.

Marine Corps will therefore encourage SARCs to conduct UVA-level training in Deployed Operations during pre-deployment work-ups, and work to develop such training - the best resource we have for those scheduled to deploy to combat operations are the SARCs who have already faced the challenges. Marine Corps will also develop a mechanism for making training available mid-way through each deployment cycle for Marine Expeditionary Forces assigned to MNF-W.

VIII. CASE SYNOPSES OF COMPLETED INVESTIGATIONS

- a. Provided at enclosure 3.

IX. DISCUSSION

a. Lessons Learned: FY07

i. NAVY RESPONSE:

1. Navy SAVI program needs a comprehensive case management system for the SARCs to effectively manage sexual assault cases. Navy did not develop or contract for a Case Management System since it was required to use DCRMS. Navy personnel were trained to implement DCRMS in May 2006, and it has never been implemented. Navy is now in the process of developing a SAVI Case Management System.
2. Navy had a staffing shortage at CNIC HQ for over a year for the SAVI program. This staffing shortage affected the ability to provide specialized Navy SARC training for FY07, and to more effectively track implementation of new DoD and Navy policies. CNIC is proactively recruiting to fill critical SAVI HQ positions and assess the need for the future.
3. We must make funding a priority to fully meet all DoD and Navy requirements, and specifically the pre-deployment curriculum requirement.
4. Navy SARCs noted that the sharpest SAVI learning curve for commands occurred in 2006, and that the program and new policies are known throughout commands. The FY07 focus was on ensuring that there were trained personnel to make the program work effectively.
5. SARC response to webinar training and discussion groups has been extremely positive and helpful. Navy SAVI program needs to continue to hold regularly scheduled webinar opportunities to maximize technology, support installation SARCs, and effectively enhance the program.

b. Plans for FY08

i. NAVY RESPONSE:

1. Restricted Reporting: Navy SAVI program continues to train commands, Senior Leadership, and new Sailors, and to promote the restricted reporting option as a way to reduce the barriers to reporting sexual assault incidents.

2. Advocacy: Training Victim Advocates and providing caring proactive response to victims remains a priority.

3. Healthcare/Forensic Exams: The availability and usage of SAFE exams has not been an issue for Navy in FY07. As noted in for restricted reports, twelve victims out of 138 restricted reports requested a forensic examination. Navy will continue to assess and ensure that SAFE kits are available. Navy SARC and Victim Advocates will continue to encourage all victims to receive medical care.

4. Mental health: Mental health services are provided through military medical treatment facilities and local FFSC and civilian community.

5. Counseling: Navy Fleet and Family Support Center has licensed clinical providers available to provide counseling to victims of sexual assault. Navy SARC and Victim Advocates will continue to encourage victims to seek counseling through FFSP, medical, or civilian resources. In a FY07 data call, Navy SARC noted that there were no barriers noted for victims to obtain counseling services. Military OneSource, TRICARE, and local civilian resources are also counseling options that are offered to victims.

The Navy SAVI program is in discussion with the Marine Corps SAPR program to explore providing Mentors in Violence Prevention (MVP) as a DON prevention initiative to train Sailors on bystander intervention. The MVP program has been very successful with the Marine Corps.

ii. CHAPLAIN RESPONSE: Once training presentation is converted to e-learning format, it will be posted onto the Chaplain Corps Navy Knowledge Online (NKO) website. This will permit deployed Chaplains to access training while allowing CHC to track compliance down to the individual level.

iii. JAG RESPONSE: Will continue NJS training efforts in FY08 and provide support to major stakeholders in this regard. Will continue to work with CNIC staff and program directors to ensure training and legal support that is responsive to the demand signal of the Fleet

iv. BUMED RESPONSE:

1. Lessons Learned: FY07: Accuracy for number of first responders trained is a challenge due to lack of centralized tracking system. MTFs providing SAFE collection have an adequate number of trained providers and the 12 Navy MTFs that provide SAFE collection care have MOU/MOAs with other MTFs or civilian facilities.

2. Plans for FY08: Healthcare/Forensic Exams: Navy Medicine Manpower Personnel Training and Education (NMM PT&E) has developed Tri-service web-based Sexual Assault Prevention and Response training program that will be

deployed in FY08 through services MHS learning system. NMM PT&E also is developing Tri-service web-based Sexual Assault Forensic Examination training program that will be deployed in FY08-09 through services MHS learning system. Mental health: All MTFs utilize counseling services that are available through Fleet and Family Support Center staff to local community resources as needed.

v. MARINE CORPS RESPONSE:

1. Lessons Learned:

a. Problem: California Mandatory Reporting Law for Sexual Assault Cases
Solution: Marine Corps Base Camp Pendleton Criminal Investigative Division and Naval Hospital Camp Pendleton entered into a Memorandum of Understanding allowing restricted reporting as an option for sexual assault victims who present to the Medical Treatment Facility.

b. Problem: Preserving Restricted Reporting for the Reserve Component in the Line of Duty (LOD) Determination Process
Solution: Adjusted existing Marine Corps LOD policy to allow Reserve Component victims of sexual assault to undergo the LOD process without jeopardizing restricted reporting under the SAPR program.

c. Problem: Inadequate time allotment for Uniform Victim Advocate (UVA) training. **Solution:** UVA training was extended from two days to two-and-a-half days, to allow more scenario-based, interactive training.

2. Plans for FY08:

a. Restricted Reporting: The Marine Corps will cooperate with local officials working to obtain an exemption from mandatory reporting statutes for military treatment facilities located in California.

b. Advocacy: The Marine Corps plans to continue training Uniformed Victim Advocates in order to provide a response capability to our deploying formations.

c. Mental health: Bystander intervention will be explored as a means of affecting behaviors influencing domestic/sexual violence, substance abuse, and suicide.

d. Counseling: The Marine Corps is participating in the National Sexual Violence Resource Center's effort to produce a curriculum for training non-DoD-associated victim advocates who may work with military victims through local community resources, such as rape/crisis centers. The curriculum will also be used to refresh Marine Corps counselors' skills.

vi. NCIS RESPONSE:

1. Plans for FY08: NCIS plans to continue to provide training for agents in the area of sexual assault investigations in accordance with the DoDI 6495.02. Additionally, the General Counsel of the Navy will implement a new program, the Department of Navy Criminal Justice Information System (DONCJIS). The goal of DONCJIS is to improve business processes through implementation of an overall Department of Navy cradle-to-grave (initiation through disposition) criminal/.military justice system.

X. ADDENDA:

- a. UNRESTRICTED REPORT MATRIX (USING PROVIDED TEMPLATE)-
Enclosure 1**
- b. RESTRICTED REPORT MATRIX (USING PROVIDED TEMPLATE)-
Enclosure 2**
- c. CASE SYNOPSES (USING PROVIDED TEMPLATE) – Enclosure 3**

Enclosure 1: Navy and Marine Corps Sexual Assault Unrestricted Report Matrix

FY07 REPORT ON SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN THE MILITARY: DEAPRTMENT OF THE NAVY

US NAVY FY07 REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN THE MILITARY (Revised FEB08)	
A. FY07 REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT (Rape, sodomy, indecent assault, and attempts to commit these offenses) INVOLVING MILITARY MEMBERS (BY or AGAINST Service Members)	FY07 TOTALS
# FY07 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT (Total reported sexual assaults BY or AGAINST Service Members)	280
# VICTIMS in FY07 Unrestricted Reports	294
# Service Member victims	240
# Non-Service Member victims	54
# SUBJECTS in FY07 Unrestricted Reports	315
# Service Members subjects	256
# Non-Service Member subjects	14
# Unidentified subjects	45
# Sexual assault reports in the following categories	280
# Service Member on Service Member	176
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	54
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	10
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	40
# Reported sexual assaults occurring	280
# On military installation	130
# Off military installation	132
# Unidentified location	18
# Investigations (From FY07 reports)	280
# Pending completion as of 30-SEPT-07	111
# Completed as of 30-SEP-07	169
# Restricted Reports	0
# Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report	0
# FY07 RESTRICTED REPORTS REMAINING RESTRICTED	0
B. FY07 SYNOPSIS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT REPORTS	FY07 TOTALS
Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report	280
# Reports made within 72 hours of sexual assault	132
# Reports made within 72 hours to 1 month after sexual assault	78
# Reports made within 1 to 12 months after sexual assault	49
# Reports made longer than 12 months after sexual assault	9
# Unknown	12
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	0
# Cases where USACIL processing of forensic evidence (from SAFE) was greater than 60 days	0
# (Average) number of days between collection of forensic evidence (from SAFE) and submission to USACIL	0
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of victim's exam	0

FY07 REPORT ON SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN THE MILITARY: DEAPRTMENT OF THE NAVY

C. FY07 SYNOPSIS OF THE COMPLETED INVESTIGATIONS		FY07 TOTALS
# Completed investigatons		169
# Investigatons with more than one victim, subject, or both		16
# SUBJECTS in the completed investigations		185
# Your Service Member subjects		137
# Service Member subjects from other Services		7
# Non-Service Member subjects		10
# Unidentified subjects		31
# SUBJECTS - Service affiliation		144
# Army subjects		2
# Air Force subjects		1
# Navy subjects		137
# Marine subjects		4
# Unknown		0
# VICTIMS in the completed investigations		173
# Service Member victims		142
# Non-Service Member victims		21
# Service Member victims from other Services		10
# VICTIMS - Service affiliation		152
# Army victims		2
# Air Force victims		2
# Navy victims		142
# Marine victims		6
# Unknown		0
D. FY07 FINAL DISPOSITIONS (Subjects)		FY07 TOTALS
# Final dispositions for FY07 SUBJECTS in the following categories		185
# Unidentified subjects		32
# No action (Unsubstantiated/unfounded, insufficient evidence, victim recanted, death)		106
# Under civilian/foreign authority		19
# Pending completion as of 30-SEP-07		0
# Adjudicated as of 30-SEP-07		28
# Final adjudications (FY07 Subjects)		28
# Courts-martial		9
# Nonjudicial Punishments		11
# Discharges in lieu of courts-martial		1
# Discharges in lieu of disciplinary actions		0
# Other administrative actions		7
E. FINAL DISPOSITIONS FOR SUBJECTS (04, 05, 06 reports completed in FY07)		FY07 TOTALS
# Investigations pending from 04, 05, 06 reports		60
# Investigations pending from 04, 05, 06 reports - STILL PENDING completion as of 30-SEP-07		0
# Investigations pending from 04, 05, 06 reports - COMPLETED as of 30-SEP-07		60
# SUBJECTS for disposition in FY07 - from 04, 05, 06 reports as of 30-SEP-07		73
# Final FY07 DISPOSITIONS for SUBJECTS from 04, 05, 06 reports		73
# Unidentified subjects		4
# No action (Unsubstantiated/unfounded, insufficient evidence, victim recanted, death)		40
# Under civilian/foreign authority		14
# Pending completion as of 30-SEP-07		0
# Adjudicated as of 30-SEP-07		15
# Final dispositions from 04, 05, 06 reports completed in FY07		15
# Courts-martial		8
# Nonjudicial punishments		5
# Discharges in lieu of courts-martial		0
# Discharges in lieu of disciplinary actions		0
# Other administrative actions		2
NOTE: Resolution of prior year pending are any cases pending from the 1/1/04 reporting period through 9/30/06, which would be the end date for FY06. These pending investigations and dispositions were aggregated to assist in the transition to FY reporting in FY07.		
NOTE: Activated US Coast Guard is included as Navy.		
NOTE: ROW 73, "Total Subjects for Disposition in FY07" should include the TOTAL of all subjects from pending dispositions from 04, 05, 06 AND subject dispositions resulting from the completion of investigations pending from 04, 05, 06.		
NOTE: Total Population Active Duty (incl. Mobilized Reserve & National Guard) on 30-SEP-07 = xxxxxxxxxxxx		

FY07 REPORT ON SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN THE MILITARY: DEAPRTMENT OF THE NAVY

US NAVY FY07 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT- SERVICE MEMBER STATUS BY SEXUAL ASSAULT TYPE								
F. REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS (BY or AGAINST Service Members) IN THE FOLLOWING CATEGORIES FOR FY07 INVESTIGATIONS	Rape	Attempted Rape	Sodomy	Attempted Sodomy	Indecent Assault	Attempted Indecent Assault	Unknown	F07 Totals
	142	0	7	0	131	0	0	280
	# Service Member on Service Member	88		3		85		176
	# Service Member on Non-Service Member	25		0		29		54
	# Non-Service Member on Service Member	4		1		5		10
# Unidentified subject on Service Member	25		3		12		40	
US NAVY FY07 - UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT BY GENDER								
G. REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS (BY or AGAINST Service Members) IN THE BELOW CATEGORIES FOR FY07 INVESTIGATIONS	Male on Female	Male on Male	Female on Male	Female on Female	Unknown on Male	Unknown on Female	FY07 Totals	
	243	32	0	0	2	3	280	
	# Service Member on Service Member	155	21				176	
	# Service Member on Non-Service Member	54	0				54	
	# Non-Service Member on Service Member	6	4				10	
# Unidentified subject on Service Member	28	7			2	3	40	
H. FINAL DISPOSITIONS FOR SUBJECTS (FY07 reports completed in FY07)	Male on Female	Male on Male	Female on Male	Female on Female	Unknown on Male	Unknown on Female	FY07 Totals	
	# Final dispositions for SUBJECTS (Completed FY07 reports in the following categories)	160	23	0	0	2	0	185
	# Unidentified subjects	22	8			2		32
	# No action (Unsubstantiated/unrounded, insufficient evidence, victim recanted, death)	102	4					106
	# Under civilian/foreign authority	17	2					19
	# Pending as of 30-SEP-07	0	0					0
	# ADJUDICATED as of 30-SEP-07	19	9			0		28
	# Final ADJUDICATIONS (FY07 subjects)	19	9	0	0	0	0	28
	# Courts-martial	6	3					9
	# Nonjudicial punishments	7	4					11
	# Discharges in lieu of courts-martial	1	0					1
	# Discharges in lieu of disciplinary actions	0	0					0
	# Other administrative actions	5	2					7

FY07 REPORT ON SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN THE MILITARY: DEAPRTMENT OF THE NAVY

US NAVY FY07 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT- SUBJECT AND VICTIM DEMOGRAPHICS BY SERVICE STATUS					
I. DEMOGRAPHICS OF COMPLETED INVESTIGATIONS (FY07 reports completed in FY07)	# Your Service Members	# Other Service Members	# Civilians	# Unidentified	FY07 Totals
Gender of SUBJECTS	137	7	10	31	185
# Male	137	7	10	29	183
# Female					0
# Unknown				2	2
Age of SUBJECTS	137	7	10	31	185
# 16-19	4				4
# 20-24	37	3			40
# 25-34	36	2	1		39
# 35-49			1		1
# 50-64					0
# 65 and older					0
# Unknown	60	2	8	31	101
Grade of SUBJECTS	137	7	10	31	185
# E1-E4	53	2			55
# E5-E9	56	3			59
# WO1-WO5	0				0
# O1-O3	3				3
# O4-O10	5				5
# Cadet/Midshipman	0				0
# Civilian			7		7
# Foreign national/military			3		3
# Unknown	20	2	0	31	53
Gender of VICTIMS	142	10	21	0	173
# Male	22	3			25
# Female	120	7	21		148
# Unknown					0
Age of VICTIMS	142	10	21	0	173
# 16-19	45	1	4		50
# 20-24	78	7	12		97
# 25-34	15	2	2		19
# 35-49	4		2		6
# 50-64			1		1
# 65 and older					0
# Unknown					0
Grade of VICTIMS	142	10	21	0	173
# E1-E4	121	6			127
# E5-E9	19	4			23
# WO1-WO5					0
# O1-O3	1				1
# O4-O10					0
# Cadet/Midshipman	1				1
# Civilian			21		21
# Foreign national/military					0
# Unknown					0

FY07 REPORT ON SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN THE MILITARY: DEAPRTMENT OF THE NAVY

US NAVY 04, 05, 06 SEXUAL ASSAULT REPORTS COMPLETED IN FY07 - SUBJECT DISPOSITION BY GENDER							
J. FINAL DISPOSITIONS FOR SUBJECTS (04, 05, 06 reports completed in FY07)	Male on Female	Male on Male	Female on Male	Female on Female	Unknown on Male	Unknown on Female	FY07 Totals
# Final dispositions for SUBJECTS (04, 05, 06 reports completed in FY07) in the following categories	65	8	0	0	0	0	73
# Unidentified subjects	3	1	0	0	0	0	4
# NO action (Unsubstantiated/unrounded, insufficient evidence, victim recanted, death)	38	2	0	0	0	0	40
# Under civilian/foreign authority	13	1	0	0	0	0	14
# Pending as of 30-SEP-07	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Completed as of 30-SEP-07	11	4	0	0	0	0	15
# Final dispositions (04, 05, 06 reports, completed in FY07)	11	4	0	0	0	0	15
# Courts-martial	6	2	0	0	0	0	8
# Nonjudicial punishments	3	2	0	0	0	0	5
# Discharges in lieu of courts-martial	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Discharges in lieu of disciplinary actions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Other administrative actions	2	0	0	0	0	0	2

FY07 REPORT ON SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN THE MILITARY: DEAPRTMENT OF THE NAVY

US NAVY 04, 05, 06 SEXUAL ASSAULT REPORTS COMPLETED IN FY07 - SUBJECT AND VICTIM DEMOGRAPHICS BY SERVICE STATUS					
K. DEMOGRAPHICS OF COMPLETED INVESTIGATIONS (04, 05, 06 reports completed in FY07)	# Your Service Members	# Other Service Members	# Civilians	# Unidentified	FY07 Totals
Gender of SUBJECTS	65	2	2	4	73
# Male	65	2	2	4	73
# Female	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0
Age of SUBJECTS	65	2	2	4	73
# 16-19	3	0	0	0	3
# 20-24	32	0	0	0	32
# 25-34	19	0	0	0	19
# 35-49	4	0	0	0	4
# 50-64	0	0	0	0	0
# 65 and older	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	7	2	2	4	15
Grade of SUBJECTS	65	2	2	4	73
# E1-E4	33	0	0	0	33
# E5-E9	16	1	0	0	17
# WO1-WO5	0	0	0	0	0
# O1-O3	2	0	0	0	2
# O4-O10	4	0	0	0	4
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0
# Civilian	0	0	2	0	2
# Foreign national/military	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	10	1	0	4	15
Gender of VICTIMS	43	2	19	0	64
# Male	10	1	0	0	11
# Female	33	1	19	0	53
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0
Age of VICTIMS	43	2	19	0	64
# 16-19	18	1	1	0	20
# 20-24	20	1	9	0	30
# 25-34	5	0	5	0	10
# 35-49	0	0	3	0	3
# 50-64	0	0	0	0	0
# 65 and older	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	1	0	1
Grade of VICTIMS	43	2	19	0	64
# E1-E4	35	0	0	0	35
# E5-E9	3	0	0	0	3
# WO1-WO5	0	0	0	0	0
# O1-O3	2	1	0	0	3
# O4-O10	0	0	0	0	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	2	0	0	0	2
# Civilian	0	0	19	0	19
# Foreign national/military	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	1	1	0	0	2
NOTE: The designation of "Unknown" can be a product of the process. Some MCIOS record gender information after the case is closed and that information is not available in the investigative period.					
NOTE: Activated US Coast Guard is included as Navy.					
*NOTE: Most serious offense reported is included in table (One offense per sexual assault report)					

FY07 REPORT ON SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN THE MILITARY: DEAPRTMENT OF THE NAVY

MARINE FY07 REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN THE MILITARY (Revised FEB08)	
A. FY07 REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT (Rape, sodomy, indecent assault, and attempts to commit these offenses) INVOLVING MILITARY MEMBERS (BY or AGAINST Service Members)	FY07 TOTALS
# FY07 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT (Total reported sexual assaults BY or AGAINST Service Members)	191
# VICTIMS in FY07 Unrestricted Reports	221
# Service Member victims	146
# Non-Service Member victims	75
# SUBJECTS in FY07 Unrestricted Reports	221
# Service Members subjects	192
# Non-Service Member subjects	8
# Unidentified subjects	21
# Sexual assault reports in the following categories	191
# Service Member on Service Member	102
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	68
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	7
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	14
# Reported sexual assaults occurring	191
# On military installation	124
# Off military installation	55
# Unidentified location	12
# Investigations (From FY07 reports)	191
# Pending completion as of 30-SEPT-07	87
# Completed as of 30-SEP-07	104
# Restricted Reports	0
# Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report	0
# FY07 RESTRICTED REPORTS REMAINING RESTRICTED	0
B. FY07 SYNOPSIS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT REPORTS	FY07 TOTALS
Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report	191
# Reports made within 72 hours of sexual assault	102
# Reports made within 72 hours to 1 month after sexual assault	34
# Reports made within 1 to 12 months after sexual assault	35
# Reports made longer than 12 months after sexual assault	4
# Unknown	16
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	0
# Cases where USACIL processing of forensic evidence (from SAFE) was greater than 60 days	0
# (Average) number of days between collection of forensic evidence (from SAFE) and submission to USACIL	0
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of victim's exam	0

FY07 REPORT ON SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN THE MILITARY: DEAPRTMENT OF THE NAVY

C. FY07 SYNOPSIS OF THE COMPLETED INVESTIGATIONS		FY07 TOTALS
# Completed investigatons		104
# Investigators with more than one victim, subject, or both		16
# SUBJECTS in the completed investigations		124
# Your Service Member subjects		104
# Service Member subjects from other Services		2
# Non-Service Member subjects		5
# Unidentified subjects		13
# SUBJECTS - Service affiliation		106
# Army subjects		0
# Air Force subjects		0
# Navy subjects		2
# Marine subjects		104
# Unknown		0
# VICTIMS in the completed investigations		115
# Service Member victims		69
# Non-Service Member victims		34
# Service Member victims from other Services		12
# VICTIMS - Service affiliation		81
# Army victims		7
# Air Force victims		1
# Navy victims		4
# Marine victims		69
# Unknown		0
D. FY07 FINAL DISPOSITIONS (Subjects)		FY07 TOTALS
# Final dispositions for FY07 SUBJECTS in the following categories		120
# Unidentified subjects		13
# No action (Unsubstantiated/unfounded, insufficient evidence, victim recanted, death)		66
# Under civilian/foreign authority		9
# Pending completion as of 30-SEP-07		0
# Adjudicated as of 30-SEP-07		32
# Final dispositions (FY07 Subjects)		32
# Courts-martial		15
# Nonjudicial Punishments		13
# Discharges in lieu of courts-martial		1
# Discharges in lieu of disciplinary actions		0
# Other administrative actions		3
E. FINAL DISPOSITIONS FOR SUBJECTS (04, 05, 06 reports completed in FY07)		FY07 TOTALS
# Investigations pending from 04, 05, 06 reports		50
# Investigations pending from 04, 05, 06 reports - STILL PENDING completion as of 30-SEP-07		0
# Investigations pending from 04, 05, 06 reports - COMPLETED as of 30-SEP-07		50
# SUBJECTS for disposition in FY07 - from 04, 05, 06 reports as of 30-SEP-07		51
# Final FY07 DISPOSITIONS for SUBJECTS from 04, 05, 06 reports		51
# Unidentified subjects		1
# No action (Unsubstantiated/unfounded, insufficient evidence, victim recanted, death)		25
# Under civilian/foreign authority		7
# Pending completion as of 30-SEP-07		0
# Adjudicated as of 30-SEP-07		18
# Final dispositions from 04, 05, 06 reports completed in FY07		18
# Courts-martial		12
# Nonjudicial punishments		5
# Discharges in lieu of courts-martial		1
# Discharges in lieu of disciplinary actions		0
# Other administrative actions		0
<i>NOTE: Resolution of prior year pending are any cases pending from the 1/1/04 reporting period through 9/30/06, which would be the end date for FY06. These pending investigations and dispositions were aggregated to assist in the transition to FY reporting in FY07.</i>		
<i>NOTE: Activated US Coast Guard is included as Navy.</i>		
<i>NOTE: ROW 73, "Total Subjects for Disposition in FY07" should include the TOTAL of all subjects from pending dispositions from 04, 05, 06 AND subject dispositions resulting from the completion of investigations pending from 04, 05, 06.</i>		
<i>NOTE: Total Population Active Duty (incl. Mobilized Reserve & National Guard) on 30-SEP-07 = xxxxxxxxxxxx</i>		

FY07 REPORT ON SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN THE MILITARY: DEAPRTMENT OF THE NAVY

MARINE FY07 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT- SERVICE MEMBER STATUS BY SEXUAL ASSAULT TYPE								
F. REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS (BY or AGAINST Service Members) IN THE FOLLOWING CATEGORIES FOR FY07 INVESTIGATIONS	Rape	Attempte d Rape	Sodomy	Attempte d Sodomy	Indecent Assault	Attempte d Indecent Assault	Unknown	F07 Totals
	120	0	5	0	66	0	0	191
	# Service Member on Service Member	61		3		38		102
	# Service Member on Non-Service Member	50		1		17		68
	# Non-Service Member on Service Member	4		1		2		7
	# Unidentified subject on Service Member	5				9		14
MARINE FY07 - UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT BY GENDER								
G. REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS (BY or AGAINST Service Members) IN THE BELOW CATEGORIES FOR FY07 INVESTIGATIONS	Male on Female	Male on Male	Female on Male	Female on Female	Unknown on Male	Unknown on Female	FY07 Totals	
	168	20	1	0	1	1	191	
	# Service Member on Service Member	87	13	1	0	1		102
	# Service Member on Non-Service Member	66	2					68
	# Non-Service Member on Service Member	6	1					7
	# Unidentified subject on Service Member	9	4				1	14
H. FINAL DISPOSITIONS FOR SUBJECTS (FY07 reports completed in FY07)	Male on Female	Male on Male	Female on Male	Female on Female	Unknown on Male	Unknown on Female	FY07 Totals	
	# Final dispositions for SUBJECTS (Completed FY07 reports in the following categories)	99	13	5	0	2	1	120
	# Unidentified subjects	7	3			2	1	13
	# No action (Unsubstantiated/unfounded, insufficient evidence, victim recanted, death)	59	4	3				66
	# Under civilian/foreign authority	9	0					9
	# Pending as of 30-SEP-07	0	0					0
	# Adjudicated as of 30-SEP-07	24	6	2				32
	# Final dispositions (FY07 subjects)	24	6	2	0	0	0	32
	# Courts-martial	11	4					15
	# Nonjudicial punishments	11		2				13
	# Discharges in lieu of courts-martial	1						1
	# Discharges in lieu of disciplinary actions	0						0
	# Other administrative actions	1	2					3

FY07 REPORT ON SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN THE MILITARY: DEAPRTMENT OF THE NAVY

MARINE FY07 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT- SUBJECT AND VICTIM DEMOGRAPHICS BY SERVICE STATUS					
I. DEMOGRAPHICS OF COMPLETED INVESTIGATIONS (FY07 reports completed in FY07)	# Your Service Members	# Other Service Members	# Civilians	# Unidentified	FY07 Totals
Gender of SUBJECTS	99	2	5	14	120
# Male	94	2	5	11	112
# Female	5				5
# Unknown				3	3
Age of SUBJECTS	99	2	5	14	120
# 16-19	9				9
# 20-24	31	1	2		34
# 25-34	21				21
# 35-49	1	1	1		3
# 50-64					0
# 65 and older					0
# Unknown	37		2	14	53
Grade of SUBJECTS	99	2	5	14	120
# E1-E4	53	1			54
# E5-E9	23	1			24
# WO1-WO5	0				0
# O1-O3	1				1
# O4-O10	1				1
# Cadet/Midshipman					0
# Civilian			5		5
# Foreign national/military			0		0
# Unknown	21		0	14	35
Gender of VICTIMS	69	12	34	0	115
# Male	14	1	1		16
# Female	55	11	33		99
# Unknown					0
Age of VICTIMS	69	12	34	0	115
# 16-19	14		11		25
# 20-24	35	11	12		58
# 25-34	7		8		15
# 35-49	1		2		3
# 50-64					0
# 65 and older	12				12
# Unknown		1	1		2
Grade of VICTIMS	69	12	34	0	115
# E1-E4	54	12			66
# E5-E9	5				5
# WO1-WO5	0				0
# O1-O3	2				2
# O4-O10					0
# Cadet/Midshipman					0
# Civilian			33		33
# Foreign national/military			1		1
# Unknown	8				8

FY07 REPORT ON SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN THE MILITARY: DEAPRTMENT OF THE NAVY

MARINE 04, 05, 06 SEXUAL ASSAULT REPORTS COMPLETED IN FY07 - SUBJECT DISPOSITION BY GENDER							
J. FINAL DISPOSITIONS FOR SUBJECTS (04, 05, 06 reports completed in FY07)	Male on Female	Male on Male	Female on Male	Female on Female	Unknown on Male	Unknown on Female	FY07 Totals
# Final dispositions for SUBJECTS (04, 05, 06 reports completed in FY07) in the following	47	4	0	0	0	0	51
# Unidentified subjects	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
# No action (Unsubstantiated/unfounded, insufficient evidence, victim recanted, death)	24	1	0	0	0	0	25
# Under civilian/foreign authority	6	1	0	0	0	0	7
# Pending as of 30-SEP-07	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Adjudicated as of 30-SEP-07	16	2	0	0	0	0	18
# Final dispositions (04, 05, 06 reports, completed in FY07)	16	2	0	0	0	0	18
# Courts-martial	10	2	0	0	0	0	12
# Nonjudicial punishments	5	0	0	0	0	0	5
# Discharges in lieu of courts-martial	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
# Discharges in lieu of disciplinary actions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Other administrative actions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

FY07 REPORT ON SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN THE MILITARY: DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

MARINE 04, 05, 06 SEXUAL ASSAULT REPORTS COMPLETED IN FY07 - SUBJECT AND VICTIM DEMOGRAPHICS BY SERVICE STATUS					
K. DEMOGRAPHICS OF COMPLETED INVESTIGATIONS (04, 05, 06 reports completed in FY07)	# Your Service Members	# Other Service Members	# Civilians	# Unidentified	FY07 Totals
Gender of SUBJECTS	47	0	1	3	51
# Male	47	0	1	3	51
# Female	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0
Age of SUBJECTS	47	0	1	3	51
# 16-19	2	0	0		2
# 20-24	27	0	0		27
# 25-34	13	0	1		14
# 35-49	2	0	0		2
# 50-64	0	0	0		0
# 65 and older	0	0	0		0
# Unknown	3	0	0	3	6
Grade of SUBJECTS	47	0	1	3	51
# E1-E4	40	0			40
# E5-E9	7	0			7
# WO1-WO5	0	0			0
# O1-O3	0	0			0
# O4-O10	0	0			0
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0			0
# Civilian			1		1
# Foreign national/military			0		0
# Unknown	0	0	0	3	3
Gender of VICTIMS	36	3	22	0	61
# Male	4	0	0	0	4
# Female	32	3	22	0	57
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0
Age of VICTIMS	36	3	22	0	61
# 16-19	6	2	1		9
# 20-24	21	1	12		34
# 25-34	8	0	5		13
# 35-49	1	0	1		2
# 50-64	0	0	0		0
# 65 and older	0	0	0		0
# Unknown	0	0	3	0	3
Grade of VICTIMS	36	3	22	0	61
# E1-E4	30	3			33
# E5-E9	2	0			2
# WO1-WO5	0	0			0
# O1-O3	0	0			0
# O4-O10	0	0			0
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0			0
# Civilian			22		22
# Foreign national/military			0		0
# Unknown	4	0	0	0	4
NOTE: The designation of "Unknown" can be a product of the process. Some MCIOs record gender information after the case is closed and that information is not available in the investigative period.					
NOTE: Activated US Coast Guard is included as Navy.					
*NOTE: Most serious offense reported is included in table (One offense per sexual assault report)					

Enclosure 2: Navy and Marine Corps Sexual Assault Restricted Report Matrix

FY07 REPORT ON SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN THE MILITARY: DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

NAVY FY07 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN THE MILITARY	
A. FY07 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT (Rape, sodomy, indecent assault, and attempts to commit these offenses)	FY07 TOTALS
# VICTIMS in Restricted Reports	138
# Service Member victims	138
# SUBJECTS in Restricted Reports	140
# Service Member subjects	81
# Non-Service Member subjects	32
# Unidentified subjects	27
# Reported sexual assaults AGAINST Service Member victims in the following categories	138
# Service Member on Service Member	79
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	32
# Unidentified subject on Service Member	27
# Reported sexual assaults occurring	138
# On military installation	44
# Off military installation	90
# Unidentified location	4
B. SEXUAL ASSAULT RESTRICTED REPORT SYNOPSES	FY07 TOTALS
Length of time between sexual assault and Restricted Report	138
# Reports made within 72 hours of sexual assault	64
# Reports made within 72 hours to 1 month after sexual assault	36
# Reports made within 1 to 12 months after sexual assault	25
# Reports made longer than 12 months after sexual assault	1
# Unknown	12
# Reports converted from RESTRICTED to UNRESTRICTED REPORT	24
# Restricted Reports remaining RESTRICTED	114
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	12
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of victim's exam	0
C. RESTRICTED REPORTING - VICTIM SERVICE AFFILIATION	FY07 TOTALS
# of VICTIMS	138
# Army victims	5
# Air Force victims	1
# Navy victims	132
# Marine victims	0
# Unknown	0
D. SUPPORT SERVICES REFERRED TO THE VICTIM	FY07 TOTALS
# Support service referrals for VICTIMS in the following categories	
# Referrals to MILITARY facilities	176
# Medical	85
# Counseling	91
# Legal	0
# Referrals to CIVILIAN facilities by DoD	56
# Medical	19
# Counseling	37
# Legal	0
Accepted Ongoing Victim Advocacy	90
<i>NOTE: Section C Activated US Coast Guard is included as Navy. Section D Counts initial referral for support services. One victim can have a referral to any or all of the support services listed and will be counted as "1" for each support service.</i>	
<i>NOTE : Total Population Active Duty (incl. Mobilized Reserve & National Guard) on 5-December 07 = 340,658</i>	

FY07 REPORT ON SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN THE MILITARY: DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

NAVY - FY07 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT - VICTIM AND INCIDENT INFORMATION BY OFFENSE								
E. DEMOGRAPHICS FOR FY07 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	Rape	Attempted Rape	Sodomy	Attempted Sodomy	Indecent Assault	Unspecified Attempted Sexual Assault	Unknown	F07 Totals
Gender of VICTIMS	86	5	5	0	16	6	20	138
# Male	0	0	4	0	3	1	4	12
# Female	86	5	1	0	13	5	13	123
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3
Age of VICTIMS	86	5	5	0	16	6	20	138
# 16-19	24	3	2	0	8	0	3	40
# 20-24	39	1	2	0	4	6	9	61
# 25-34	14	1	1	0	3	0	1	20
# 35-49	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
# 50-64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 65 and older	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	8	0	0	0	1	0	7	16
Grade of VICTIMS	86	5	5	0	16	6	20	138
# E1-E4	5	0	0	0	0	1	0	6
# E5-E9	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
# WO1-WO5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# O1-O3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# O4-O10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Foreign national/military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	79	5	5	0	16	5	20	130
Service of VICTIMS	86	5	5	0	16	6	20	138
# Army	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
# Air Force	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
# Navy	81	5	5	0	15	6	20	132
# Marine	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Status of VICTIMS	86	5	5	0	16	6	20	138
# Active Duty	83	5	5	0	16	6	20	135
# Reserve (Activated)	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
# National Guard (Activated)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Time of sexual assault	86	5	5	0	16	6	20	138
# Morning (midnight to 6 am)	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
# Daytime (6 am to 6 pm)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Night (6 pm to midnight)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	86	5	5	0	16	5	20	137
Day of sexual assault	86	5	5	0	16	6	20	138
# Sunday	16	1	0	0	3	1	4	25
# Monday	6	1	0	0	1	0	2	10
# Tuesday	5	1	1	0	1	0	1	9
# Wednesday	7	0	1	0	1	1	1	11
# Thursday	5	0	0	0	0	1	0	6
# Friday	10	0	0	0	3	2	3	18
# Saturday	14	1	1	0	3	0	5	24
# Unknown	23	1	2	0	4	1	4	35
*NOTE: Most serious offense reported is included in table, one offense per report								
NOTE: Activated US Coast Guard is included as Navy.								

FY07 REPORT ON SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN THE MILITARY: DEAPRTMENT OF THE NAVY

MARINE CORPS - FY07 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN THE MILITARY	
A. FY07 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT (Rape, sodomy, indecent assault, and attempts to commit these offenses)	FY07 TOTALS
# VICTIMS in Restricted Reports	22
# Service Member victims	22
# SUBJECTS in Restricted Reports	22
# Service Member subjects	16
# Non-Service Member subjects	1
# Unidentified subjects	5
# Reported sexual assaults AGAINST Service Member victims in the following categories	22
# Service Member on Service Member	16
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	1
# Unidentified subject on Service Member	5
# Reported sexual assaults occurring	22
# On military installation	14
# Off military installation	8
# Unidentified location	0
B. SEXUAL ASSAULT RESTRICTED REPORT SYNOPSES	FY07 TOTALS
Length of time between sexual assault and Restricted Report	22
# Reports made within 72 hours of sexual assault	12
# Reports made within 72 hours to 1 month after sexual assault	7
# Reports made within 1 to 12 months after sexual assault	3
# Reports made longer than 12 months after sexual assault	0
# Unknown	0
# Reports converted from RESTRICTED to UNRESTRICTED REPORT	0
# Restricted Reports remaining RESTRICTED	22
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	3
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of victim's exam	0
C. RESTRICTED REPORTING - VICTIM SERVICE AFFILIATION	FY07 TOTALS
# of VICTIMS	22
# Army victims	0
# Air Force victims	0
# Navy victims	2
# Marine victims	20
# Unknown	0
D. SUPPORT SERVICES REFERRED TO THE VICTIM	FY07 TOTALS
# Support service referrals for VICTIMS in the following categories	
# Referrals to MILITARY facilities	39
# Medical	16
# Counseling	16
# Legal	7
# Referrals to CIVILIAN facilities by DoD	1
# Medical	0
# Counseling	1
# Legal	0
<i>NOTE: Section C Activated US Coast Guard is included as Navy. Section D Counts initial referral for support services. One victim can have a referral to any or all of the support services listed and will be counted as "1" for each support service.</i>	
<i>NOTE : Total Population Active Duty (incl. Mobilized Reserve & National Guard) on 30-September-07 = xxxxxxxxxxxx</i>	

FY07 REPORT ON SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN THE MILITARY: DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

MARINE CORPS - FY07 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT - VICTIM AND INCIDENT INFORMATION BY OFFENSE								
E. DEMOGRAPHICS FOR FY07 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	Rape	Attempted Rape	Sodomy	Attempted Sodomy	Indecent Assault	Attempted Indecent Assault	Unknown	F07 Totals
Gender of VICTIMS	13	0	1	0	5	3	0	22
# Male	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	2
# Female	12	0	0	0	5	2	0	19
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Age of VICTIMS	13	0	1	0	5	3	0	22
# 16-19	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	2
# 20-24	8	0	1	0	3	2	0	14
# 25-34	4	0	0	0	1	1	0	6
# 35-49	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 50-64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 65 and older	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grade of VICTIMS	13	0	1	0	5	3	0	22
# E1-E4	9	0	1	0	5	2	0	17
# E5-E9	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
# WO1-WO5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# O1-O3	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	2
# O4-O10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Foreign national/military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Service of VICTIMS	13	0	1	0	5	3	0	22
# Army	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Air Force	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Navy	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	2
# Marine	12	0	1	0	4	3	0	20
# Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Status of VICTIMS	13	0	1	0	5	3	0	22
# Active Duty	13	0	1	0	5	3	0	22
# Reserve (Activated)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# National Guard (Activated)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Time of sexual assault	13	0	1	0	5	3	0	22
# Morning (midnight to 6 am)	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	3
# Daytime (6 am to 6 pm)	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	2
# Night (6 pm to midnight)	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	4
# Unknown	8	0	1	0	1	3	0	13
Day of sexual assault	13	0	1	0	5	3	0	22
# Sunday	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	2
# Monday	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	3
# Tuesday	1	0	1	0	2	0	0	4
# Wednesday	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Thursday	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
# Friday	5	0	0	0	1	0	0	6
# Saturday	4	0	0	0	1	1	0	6
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

*NOTE: Most serious offense reported is included in table, one offense per report
 NOTE: Activated US Coast Guard is included as Navy.

Enclosure 3: Synopses of Sexual Assault Cases

FY07 REPORT ON SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN THE MILITARY: DEAPRTMENT OF THE NAVY

FY07 Synopses of Sexual Assault Reports Involving Military—US Navy

Number	Quarter Closed	Subject Grade	Victim Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Gender	Initial Allegation Reported	Substantiated Misconduct	Disposition	Brief Synopsis
1	Q4	CIV	E3	M	M	Indecent Assault	Not Specified	Civilian/ Foreign Authority	CONUS off-base: Victim reported being sexually assaulted by an civilian subject he met at a bar. Subject was later identified, but civilian authorities declined action.
2	Q2	CIV	E4	M	M	Sodomy	Not Specified	Civilian/ Foreign Authority	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being sexually assaulted and sodomized by subject at the Navy Lodge. Civilian authorities declined to prosecute.
3	Q4	CIV (2)	E4	M	F	Rape	Not Specified	Civilian/ Foreign Authority	CONUS off-base: Victim reported being raped by two subjects a month earlier. No prosecution due to lack of evidence.
4	Q4	CIV	E3	M	F	Indecent Assault	Not Specified	Civilian/ Foreign Authority	CONUS off-base: Victim reported being sexually assaulted while in a car with subject. Subject was arrested by local PD and charged with sexual object penetration.
5	Q3	CIV	E2	M	F	Rape	Not Specified	Civilian/ Foreign Authority	OCONUS aboard ship Victim was severely intoxicated when she return to her quarters about USNS Spica, Ran into subject outside her quarters, who proceeded to push her into her room and forcible rape her. AUSA declined prosecution.
6	Q2	CIV	E5	M	F	Rape	Not Specified	Civilian/ Foreign Authority	CONUS off-base: Victim reported being raped by subject in a Chicago hotel. Victim later declined to cooperate.
7	Q3	E5	E1	M	F	Indecent Assault	Failure to Obey a General Order	AD SEP	OCONUS on-base: Subject allegedly sexually harassed victim on multiple occasions. Subject was administratively separated.
8	Q2	E3	E3	M	F	Indecent Assault	Indecent Assault	NJP	CONUS on-base: subject fondled victim's breasts and buttocks on numerous occasions. Subject was convicted at NJP, sentenced to confinement, fines, reduction in grade, and ultimately administratively separated.

FY07 REPORT ON SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN THE MILITARY: DEAPRTMENT OF THE NAVY

Number	Quarter Closed	Subject Grade	Victim Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Gender	Initial Allegation Reported	Substantiated Misconduct	Disposition	Brief Synopsis
9	Q3	E5	E2	M	F	Indecent Assault	Indecent Assault/ Deriliction of duty/ False Stmt	NJP	OCONUS on-base: Subject fondled victim while the two were on security patrol in Sigonella. Subject received NJP and was administratively separated.
10	Q3	E6	E3	M	F	Rape	Wrongfully engaging in sexual conduct	Court Martial	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being raped and sexually harassed by her supervisor (subject). Subject pled guilty to lesser offense at SCM and was administratively separated OTH discharge.
11	Q4	E5	E5	M	F	Rape	Not Specified	Discharge in lieu of trial	CONUS on-base: Subject allegedly raped victim in her barracks room after a night of drinking. An Article 32 hearing was conducted and the subject was administratively separated.
12	Q4	E2	E2	M	M	Indecent Assault	Misconduct (Sexual Perversion)	Discharge in lieu of trial	CONUS on-base: Subject fondled victim aboard the USS Toledo. Subject was administratively separated.
13	Q2	E3	E4	M	M	Indecent Assault	Sodomy	NJP	CONUS on-base: victim awoke to find subject orally copulating him. Subject claimed act was consensual. Command administratively separated subject.
14	Q4	E3	E3	M	F	Rape	Rape	CM	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being raped by subject-husband in their residence. Subject pled guilty to rape; received 24 months confinement, reduction to E-1, BCD.
15	Q3	E8	E6	M	F	Indecent Assault	Not Specified	GCM	CONUS off-base: Victim claimed to have been sexually assaulted and sodomized on various occasions by subject. Subject pled guilty to assault; was fined and reduced in rank.
16	Q4	E5	E3	M	F	Indecent Assault	Indecent Assault	GCM	OCONUS off-base: Victim awoke after drinking heavily to find subject digitally penetrating her. Subject was found guilty at GCM and given BCD.

FY07 REPORT ON SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN THE MILITARY: DEAPRTMENT OF THE NAVY

Number	Quarter Closed	Subject Grade	Victim Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Gender	Initial Allegation Reported	Substantiated Misconduct	Disposition	Brief Synopsis
17	Q4	E4	E3/E4/E5	M	M	Indecent Assault	Sodomy/ Indecent Assault/ Solicitation of Oral Sodomy	GCM	CONUS off-base: subject sodomized and fondled multiple victims. Subject guilty of sodomy, indecent assault, solicitation at GCM; received BCD.
18	Q4	E4	E1/E2/E3	M	F	Indecent Assault	Indecent Assault/ Assault by Battery	GCM	CONUS on-base: Subject reportedly exposed himself to victims and/or fondled victims. Subject found guilty at GCM; received 38 months confinement, reduction in rank, and DD.
19	Q3	E6	E5	M	F	Indecent Assault	Sexual Harrassment	NJP	CONUS on-base: subject groped victim's buttocks and breast on multiple occasions. Subject guilty of sexual harassment at Captain's Mast.
20	Q3	E3	E3	M	M	Indecent Assault	Sodomy/ Disorderly Conduct/ Indecent Acts	NJP	OCONUS on-base: Victim reported being fondled and sodomized by subject after a night of drinking. Subject reduced in rank, fined, and received GD after NJP.
21	Q2	E2	CIV	M	F	Rape	Assault/ False official statements/ Adultery	NJP	OCONUS on-base: Victim reported being raped at scissor-point by subject in his barracks room after trying to break-up with him. Subject received NJP for assault, false official statements, and adultery.
22	Q2	E4	E5	M	F	Indecent Assault	Failure to obey an order	NJP	CONUS on-base Victim said suspect indecently assaulted her after he climbed into her bunk. Suspect admitted to the acts but said he left when he realized it was not welcome. Received 45 days extra duty.
23	Q4	E4	E5	M	F	Indecent Assault	Indecent Assault	NJP	CONUS off-base: Victim reported subject fondled her while entering a cab outside a local restaurant. Subject was reprimanded.
24	Q2	E2	E2	M	M	Indecent Assault	Not Specified	NJP	CONUS off-base: Victim awoke in a shared motel room to find subject fondling him. Subject received NJP, fined, reduced in rank.

FY07 REPORT ON SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN THE MILITARY: DEAPRTMENT OF THE NAVY

Number	Quarter Closed	Subject Grade	Victim Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Gender	Initial Allegation Reported	Substantiated Misconduct	Disposition	Brief Synopsis
25	Q2	E1	E3	M	F	Indecent Assault	Not Specified	NJP	CONUS off-base: Subject admitted to fondling victim during a car trip. Subject received NJP.
26	Q2	E3 (2)	E3	M	F	Rape	Failure to obey an order	NJP (2)	CONUS on-base: subjects allegedly raped victim after drinking game. Subjects received NJP, both were fined and reduced in grade for alcohol offenses.
27	Q4	E4 (2)	E3	M	M	Indecent Assault	Assault consummated by battery/ Communicating a threat	NJP (2)	CONUS on-base: Subjects reportedly fondled victim while on the USS Abraham Lincoln. Subjects received NJP, both were provided extra duty and reduced in grade.
28	Q4	E7	E4	M	M	Indecent Assault	Fraternization	SCM	OCONUS on-base Victim said he was sexually harassed and forced to perform oral copulation on the subject numerous times. Subject was found guilty; Reduction in rank, forfeiture of \$4,084, restriction to base for 2 months.
29	Q3	E4	E3	M	M	Sodomy	Sodomy	SCM	CONUS off-base: Victim claimed subject orally sodomized him after passing out in a cab. Subject sentenced to 12 months confinement, reduced to E-1, and BCD following SCM.
30	Q3	E3	CIV	M	F	Indecent Assault	Assault consummated by battery	SCM	CONUS off-base: Victim reported being fondled by subject while sleeping. Subject received 2 months confinement, reduction to E-2, fined \$1000.
31	Q4	E2	E3	M	F	Indecent Assault	Indecent assault	SCM	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being fondled by Subject on three occasions while aboard the USS Enterprise. Subject received restriction and fine at SCM.
32	Q4	E4	E1	M	F	Rape	N/A	SILT	CONUS off-base: Victim was allegedly raped by subject in his home while she was unconscious after drinking. Subject was give BCD in lieu of GCM.
33	Q3	E5	E5	M	M	Indecent Assault	Not Specified	Civilian/ Foreign Authority	CONUS off-base: Victim awoke after a night of drinking to find subject performing oral sex on him. Civilian authorities still investigating.

FY07 REPORT ON SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN THE MILITARY: DEAPRTMENT OF THE NAVY

Number	Quarter Closed	Subject Grade	Victim Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Gender	Initial Allegation Reported	Substantiated Misconduct	Disposition	Brief Synopsis
34	Q2	E6	E5	M	F	Indecent Assault	Not Specified	Civilian/ Foreign Authority	CONUS off-base: Subject allegedly forced victim to perform oral sex. Civilian authorities declined action, citing lack of evidence.
35	Q2	E5	CIV	M	F	Indecent Assault	No Charges	Civilian/ Foreign Authority	CONUS off-base: After a night of drinking, victim awoke to find subject fondling her genitals. Civilian authorities still investigating.
36	Q2	E8	CIV	M	F	Indecent Assault	No Charges	Civilian/ Foreign Authority	CONUS off-base: Victim reported being indecently assaulted by subject at her residence. Victim later declined to cooperate.
37	Q4	E3	CIV	M	F	Rape	Dismissed	Civilian/ Foreign Authority	CONUS off-base: Subject hit victim in the head with a hammer then allegedly raped her. Victim declined to press charges.
38	Q3	E3	CIV	M	F	Indecent Assault	Not Specified	Civilian/ Foreign Authority	CONUS off-base: Witnesses observed subject indecently assaulting victim after she had passed out from drinking. Civilian case on-going.
39	Q2	E2	E3	M	F	Rape	Assault consummated by battery	Civilian/ Foreign Authority	CONUS off-base: Victim reported being sexually assaulted in a parking lot. Subject pled to battery and sentenced to six months probation and costs.
40	Q3	E5	CIV	M	F	Rape	No Charges	Civilian/ Foreign Authority	CONUS off-base: Victim awoke to find subject having sex with her after both had been drinking heavily. Action by civilian authorities not reported.
41	Q4	E7	CIV	M	F	Rape	Not Specified	Civilian/ Foreign Authority	CONUS off-base: Victim reported being raped by subject after attending a party at a friend's house. Civilian prosecution.
42	Q4	E4	CIV	M	F	Rape	Not Specified	Civilian/ Foreign Authority	CONUS off-base: Victim reported being raped by Subject in her dorm room. Civilian authorities are prosecuting; no results reported.
43	Q4	Foreign National (2)	E5	M	F	Indecent Assault	Not Specified	Civilian/ Foreign Authority	OCONUS off-base: Victim reported her breasts and groin were fondled through her clothes by two unidentified foreign males. No subjects identified.

FY07 REPORT ON SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN THE MILITARY: DEAPRTMENT OF THE NAVY

FY07 Synopses of Sexual Assault Reports Involving Military—US Marine Corps

Number	Quarter Closed	Subject Grade	Victim Grade	Subject Gender*	Victim Gender*	Initial Allegation Reported	Substantiated Misconduct	Disposition	Brief Synopsis
1	Q4	E2	E1	M	F	Indecent Assault	Failure to Obey Order or Regulation	Court Martial	CONUS off-base offense where subject fondled victim's breasts while she was passed out from drinking. Subject went to Summary CM and was found not guilty of indecent assault but guilty of failure to obey. Subject was awarded a reduction to E1, forfeiture of \$849, and 60 days restriction.
2	Q1	PFC	E2	M	F	Rape	Not Specified	Civilian/ Foreign Authority	CONUS off-base: USACID investigative request for assistance to interrogate subject.
3	Q2	E4	E2	M	M	IA	Sodomy	GCM	OCONUS on-base: Victim was sodomized by subject after passing out in a barracks room. Subject found guilty of sodomy at GCM and given a DD.
4	Q2	E2	CIV	M	F	IA	Failure to Obey, Indecent Assault	NJP	CONUS on-base: Victim awoke in her barracks room to find subject fondling her. Subject received NJP; reduced in rank and fined.
5	Q2	E3	E3	M	M	Indecent Assault	Indecent Assault, Unlawful Entry, Failure to Obey	GCM	CONUS on base: Victim and subject returned to barracks after drinking; victim later awoke to find subject sodomizing him. Subject was sentenced to two years confinement, reduction to E-1 and a BCD.
6	Q2	E4	E3	M	F	Rape	Assault, Failure to Obey	GCM	OCONUS on base: Victim and subject returned to barracks after drinking at E-club. Subject began kissing the victim, then forced her on the bed and raped her. Subject was convicted of assault; received 90 days confinement and BCD.
7	Q2	E8	CIV	M	F	Rape	Assault and Battery	SCM	CONUS UNK: Victim reported subject had sexually assaulted her on two separate occasions. Subject pleaded guilty to simple assault and battery; received reduction to E-7, forfeiture of \$2898.00.

FY07 REPORT ON SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN THE MILITARY: DEAPRTMENT OF THE NAVY

Number	Quarter Closed	Subject Grade	Victim Grade	Subject Gender*	Victim Gender*	Initial Allegation Reported	Substantiated Misconduct	Disposition	Brief Synopsis
8	Q2	E5	CIV	M	F	Rape	Indecent Assault	GCM	CONUS on-base: subject confessed to raping victim while she was intoxicated. Subject found guilty of indecent assault by GCM.
9	Q2	E6	CIV	M	F	Rape	Failure to Obey	GCM	CONUS off-base: Victim claimed to have been raped by her subject-husband. Subject received 93 days confinement, pay forfeiture, and BCD pursuant to pre-trial agreement.
10	Q2	E4	E2	M	F	Rape	Burglary, Drugs	GCM	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being raped by subject after drinking at a St. Patrick's Day party. Subject received 1587 days confinement, reduction in rank, pay forfeiture, BCD.
11	Q2	E3	E3	M	F	Sodomy	N/A	ILT	CONUS on base: Victim reported being raped after refusing to have sex with subject. Subject separated in lieu of trial with OTH.
12	Q2	E4	E3	M	F	Rape	Failure to Obey, Sodomy	NJP	OCONUS on-base: Victim claimed to have been raped by subject in his barracks room after drinking. Subject received reduction in rate and fine at NJP.
13	Q2	E3	E2	M	F	Rape	Accessory, Failure to Obey	NJP	OCONUS on-base: Victim reported being raped by subject after drinking heavily; subject claimed act was consensual. Subject given NJP.
14	Q2	E4	CIV	M	F	Indecent Assault	Indecent Assault	NJP	OCONUS on-base: Victim was allegedly raped by subject following a party at a friend's house. Subject was found guilty of adultery at NJP.
15	Q2	E5/CIV	E3	M	F	Rape	Failure to Obey	NJP	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being raped by subjects after a drinking party. Civilian subject not prosecuted; military subject given NJP.
16	Q2	E3	E2	M	F	Rape	Not Specified	NJP	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being raped by subject in her barracks room after drinking. At NJP, subject was reduced in rank and fined \$1458.

FY07 REPORT ON SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN THE MILITARY: DEAPRTMENT OF THE NAVY

Number	Quarter Closed	Subject Grade	Victim Grade	Subject Gender*	Victim Gender*	Initial Allegation Reported	Substantiated Misconduct	Disposition	Brief Synopsis
17	Q2	E2	E3	M	F	Indecent Assault	Sodomy, Indecent Acts	SCM	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being assaulted at various outdoor locations by subject. Subject was found guilty of sodomy at SCM and received 30 days confinement.
18	Q2	E6	CIV	M	F	Indecent Assault	Not specified	Civilian/ Foreign Authority	CONUS off-base: Subject allegedly committed indecent assault on victim at his residence. Local sheriff's department conducted investigation; no further details provided.
19	Q2	E3	E3	M	F	Rape	Not Specified	Civilian/ Foreign Authority	CONUS off-base: victim reported being raped by subject at his residence. No further information
20	Q2	CIV	E3	M	F	Rape	Not Specified	Civilian/ Foreign Authority	OCONUS off-base: Subject admitted taking cell-phone photos up women's skirt; fined 50,000 Japanese yen and subject was administratively separated
21	Q2	E3/CIV	CIV	M	F	Rape	No Prosecution	Civilian/ Foreign Authority	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being physically assaulted and raped by subject after a party. No further information provided.
22	Q3	E3	E4	M	M	Sodomy	Not Specified	AD SEP	OCONUS on-base: Subject sodomized victim after both had been drinking. Subject claimed contact consensual. Subject administratively separated with GD.
23	Q3	E7	CIV	M	F	Indecent Assault	Fraternization	NJP	OCONUS on-base: Subject allegedly fondled victim while she visited his residence. Subject given NJP for lesser offense.
24	Q3	E3/E1	E1	M	F	Rape	Failure to Obey	NJP	CONUS on base: Victim attended a party and drank to the point of intoxication, passed out in a friends barracks room, and was subsequently raped by multiple subjects. Both subjects received reduction and fine.
25	Q3	E5	E3	M	F	Rape	Not Specified	NJP	CONUS on base: Victim awoke after party at her residence to find subject raping her. Subject received NJP.

FY07 REPORT ON SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN THE MILITARY: DEAPRTMENT OF THE NAVY

Number	Quarter Closed	Subject Grade	Victim Grade	Subject Gender*	Victim Gender*	Initial Allegation Reported	Substantiated Misconduct	Disposition	Brief Synopsis
26	Q3	E2/E2/E1/E1	E1	M	M	Indecent Assault	False Official, Indecent Assault	NJP (2)	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being fondled by subject(s) after becoming intoxicated. Subject(s) received restriction and fine at NJP.
27	Q3	E3	E2	M	F	Indecent Assault	False Official, Indecent Assault	SCM	CONUS on-base: Subject fondled and performed oral sex on victim while in her barracks room. Subject guilty of indecent assault at SCM; reduced rank and fined.
28	Q3	E5/E5/E5/E5/E4	E3	M	F	Rape	Indecent Acts, Adultery; Indecent Acts, Failure to Obey; Fraternalization	SCM (3)	CONUS on-base: Victim was raped and/or fondled by five subjects while unconscious from intoxication. Three subjects guilty at SCM; two not charged due to lack of evidence.
29	Q3	E3	E3	M	M	Indecent Assault	Indecent Assault, Unlawful Entry, Failure to Obey	GCM	OCONUS on base: Victim awoke up in subject's room after drinking to find his pants had been removed and subject sitting on his bed, masturbating. Subject was convicted indecent assault; received 6 months confinement, forfeiture of 6 months pay, reduction
30	Q3	E1	CIV	M	F	Rape	Rape, UA	GCM	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being raped by subject in his room, after a night of drinking at the enlisted club. Guilty at GCM of rape; DD/confinement.
31	Q3	E5	CIV	M	F	Rape	Attempts, Art. 134 (unspecified), Failure to Obey	GCM	OCONUS off-base: Victim reported being raped by subject in her house, after declining his advances. Subject plead guilty at GCM; fined and reduced in rank.
32	Q3	E5	CIV	M	F	Indecent Assault	Housebreaking, Indecent Assault, Failure to Obey, Obstruction	GCM	CONUS off-base: Victim reported being assaulted by subject in her house after a night of drinking. Subject plead guilty at GCM; received 30 months confinement and DD.
33	Q3	E5	E5/E3	M	F	Rape	Larceny, Assault, Adultery, Unlawful Entry	SCM	OCONUS unknown: Victims claimed to have been assaulted/indecently assaulted by subject. Subject guilty at SCM, received 1 year confinement and BCD.

FY07 REPORT ON SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN THE MILITARY: DEAPRTMENT OF THE NAVY

Number	Quarter Closed	Subject Grade	Victim Grade	Subject Gender*	Victim Gender*	Initial Allegation Reported	Substantiated Misconduct	Disposition	Brief Synopsis
34	Q3	O3	Not reported	M	F	Indecent Assault	Indecent Exposure/ Conduct Unbecoming an Officer	NJP	OCONUS off-base: Subject allegedly exposed himself to multiple victims aboard Camp Al Asad. Subject received NJP.
35	Q3	E5	CIV	M	F	Rape	Assault on a Female and Supplying/ Giving Malt Beverages	Civilian/ Foreign Authority	CONUS off-base: Subject allegedly assaulted Victim at his residence. Subject plead guilty to lesser charges; was given unsupervised probation for eighteen months, pay a total of \$305.50 in fines and to have no further contact with the victim.
36	Q3	E7	N/A	M	F	Indecent Assault	Civilian prosecution for misdemeanor public nuisance	Civilian/ Foreign Authority	OCONUS off-base: Subject admitted taking cell-phone photos up women's skirt; fined 50,000 Japanese yen and subject was administratively separated
37	Q3	E2	CIV	M	F	Rape	Not Specified	Civilian/ Foreign Authority	CONUS off-base: Victim reported being raped by subject at his residence after a night of drinking. No further information.
38	Q4	E3	E3/E3	M	F	Rape	Rape, False Official, Indecent Assault	CM	CONUS on-base: Victim said she was raped by suspect. Suspect claimed sex was consensual but that she had asked him to stop after they had begun. Reduction in rank, 12 mos. Confinement, forfeiture of \$10,404, BCD.
39	Q4	E3	CIV	M	F	Rape	Rape, Indecent Acts	GCM	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being raped by subject in her bedroom after drinking. Subject confessed and received 7 years, DD.
40	Q4	E1	E3	M	F	Rape	Underage Drinking	NJP	CONUS off-base: Subject admitted to having sex with victim while she was unconscious. Subject received NJP for underage drinking.
41	Q4	E1	E3	M	F	Rape	Indecent Assault, Failure to Obey, False Official	NJP	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being raped by subject in his barracks room. Subject received reduction in rank and fine at NJP.

FY07 REPORT ON SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN THE MILITARY: DEAPRTMENT OF THE NAVY

Number	Quarter Closed	Subject Grade	Victim Grade	Subject Gender*	Victim Gender*	Initial Allegation Reported	Substantiated Misconduct	Disposition	Brief Synopsis
42	Q4	E4	CIV	M	F	Indecent Assault	Indecent Assault, Disorderly Conduct	NJP	OCONUS off-base: Military security discovered subject fondling victim in a club in Korea. Subject found guilty of IA at NJP and received a \$1000 fine and counseling.
43	Q4	E5	E3/E3/E3	M	M	Indecent Assault	Indecent Assault	SCM	OCONUS on-base: Subject allegedly groped victims at the Enlisted Club after drinking heavily. Guilty at SCM of 4 counts of Indecent Assault.
44	Q4	E4/E3	E3	M	M	Indecent Assault	Hazing, Assault, Indecent Acts, Disorderly, Obstruction	SCM (2)	CONUS on-base: Subject forced victim to fondle his genitals while masturbating, after several Marines visited a local strip club. Subject and co-subject found guilty at SCM.
45	Q4	E5	CIV	M	F	Rape	N/A	SILT	CONUS on-base: Marine drill instructor (subject) allegedly raped victim. Subject given separation in lieu of trial.
46	Q4	E3	E3	M	F	Rape	Found not guilty	GCM	OCONUS on-base: Victim reported subject raped her in her BEQ room after they had been drinking together. Subject claimed act was consensual. Subject found not guilty at GCM.
47	Q4	E2	E1	M	F	Indecent Assault	Not specified	SCM	OCONUS off-base: Victim reported being fondled by subject during an AMC flight to Japan. Subject awarded 10 months confinement and BCD at SCM.
48	Q4	E6	E4	M	F	Rape	Adultery/Sodomy	GCM	OCONUS on-base: Victim reported being raped by subject in his barracks room. Subject guilty at GCM of adultery; reduced in rank.
49	Q4	E4	Not specified	M	F	Indecent Assault	Not specified	NJP	CONUS on-base: Subject accused of placing hand of female co-worker on his penis and other incidents of sexual harassment. Subject denied indecent assault, but admitted inappropriate conduct. NJP reduction to E-3, \$1,728.00 forfeiture, and 45 days restriction with extra duty.

FY07 REPORT ON SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN THE MILITARY: DEAPRTMENT OF THE NAVY

Number	Quarter Closed	Subject Grade	Victim Grade	Subject Gender*	Victim Gender*	Initial Allegation Reported	Substantiated Misconduct	Disposition	Brief Synopsis
50	Q4	E4	CIV	M	M	Sodomy	Not Specified	ADSEP	CONUS unknown: Subject arrested by civilian authorities for statutory rape. Status of civilian prosecution unknown after subject AD SEP.
51	Q4	E2	CIV	M	F	Indecent Assault	Indecent Assault	NJP	CONUS on-base: Victim reported subject placed his hand inside the front of her pants while she was intoxicated after a party at her residence. Subject subsequently admitted the act and was reduced in rank, forfeited \$1,300.00, and awarded 45 days restriction and extra duty as NJP.