

ENCLOSURE 2:
DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY





THE SECRETARY OF THE NAVY
WASHINGTON DC 20350-1000

January 12, 2011


MEMORANDUM FOR UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE
(PERSONNEL AND READINESS)

SUBJECT: Department of the Navy Submission to the Secretary of Defense's Report to Congress on Sexual Assaults Involving Members of the Armed Forces for Fiscal Year 2010

As requested by your memo of 2 August 2010, TAB A is provided as Fiscal Year (FY) 2010 input from the Department of the Navy (DON) for your annual Report to Congress on Sexual Assaults Involving Members of the Armed Forces, that is mandated by Public Law 108-375, Section 577(f)(4), as expanded by Public Laws 109-63 and 109-364.

The DON and its two Military Services - the United States Navy and the United States Marine Corps - continue our commitment to reduce the incidence of sexual assault, provide compassionate support to sexual assault survivors, and focus on prevention. Throughout FY10, senior Department leadership provided a consistent top-down message, underscored by their own actions, in multiple venues, that sexual assault is incompatible with our core values; it is corrosive to morale; and it undermines operational and combat readiness. Examples of the many initiatives taken by the Department include DON Bystander Intervention pilots, the first-ever DON-wide summit for Sexual Assault Response Coordinators, two DON Sexual Assault Advisory Council meetings, the establishment of "Sexual Assault Investigation and Prosecution" training for Naval Criminal Investigative Service and Navy/Marine Corps Judge Advocates, and the hiring of a Highly Qualified Expert, Dr. Alan Berkowitz to support the Department-wide focus on prevention.

Should you need additional information, my point of contact for this action is Ms. Jill Loftus, who may be reached at (703) 697-2180 or jill-loftus@navy.mil.


Robert O. Work
Acting

Attachments:
As stated

cc:
DoD SAPRO

Fiscal Year (FY) 2010 Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Review Data Call for Sexual Assaults in the Military: Department of the Navy

Executive Summary (Department of the Navy)

Sexual assault is incompatible with our core values, it is corrosive to morale, and it undermines both operational and combat readiness. During Fiscal Year (FY) 2010, the Department of the Navy (DON) and its two Military Services – the United States Navy (USN) and the United States Marine Corps (USMC) actively demonstrated, through senior-level support from the Secretary of the Navy, the Chief of Naval Operations, the Commandant of the Marine Corps, and others throughout the Fleet, that combating sexual assault is a high priority of the Department of the Navy. The Secretariat-level strategy focuses on a consistent top-down leadership message, the development of updated large-scale training tools in collaboration with recognized experts, and site specific collaborations to explore sexual assault prevention and response solutions. Our priority is to engage key stakeholders in simultaneous data-driven prevention strategies to achieve a significant, measurable DON-wide reduction in the incidence of sexual assault.

The Secretary of the Navy established the Department of the Navy Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office (DON-SAPRO) in September 2009. The new organization is led by a Senior Executive Service civilian who reports directly and regularly to the Secretary. Its staff is a hand-picked cadre of experienced and senior Navy and Marine Corps officers and DON civilians. The Department's objective is to cultivate a culture of gender respect where sexual assault is never tolerated. DON-SAPRO has been active in maintaining the visibility of DON-wide SAPR activities; ensuring cross-organizational communication and coordination; providing expert advice to SECNAV and Navy and Marine Corps stakeholders; developing official DON-level policy; promoting pilot initiatives toward preventing sexual assaults; and applying advanced data analysis to outcomes-based assessments of program effectiveness.

In its first full year of operations, DON-SAPRO has had major impacts through oversight and information gathering visits to Navy and Marine Corps installations, both CONUS and OCONUS. DON-SAPRO also sponsored the first-ever DON-wide summit for Sexual Assault Response Coordinators (SARCs) of both the Navy and Marine Corps. Presenters included the Under Secretary of the Navy, the Vice Commandant of the Marine Corps, the Deputy N1 of the Navy, the Master Chief Petty Officer of the Navy, a representative of the Sergeant Major of the Marine Corps, and other key military and civilian Subject Matter Experts (SMEs). DON-SAPRO facilitated two DON Sexual Assault Advisory Council (DON-SAAC) meetings, each personally chaired by the Secretary of the Navy and attended by senior Navy and Marine Corps leaders along with other key SAPR stakeholders. DON-SAPRO released two new SAPR instructions, with comprehensive updates to Secretariat policy planned for FY11 after pending reissuance of the relevant DoD Directive and Instruction.

Tab 1 – FY10 SAPR Program Review Data Call for Sexual Assaults in the Military

The Director of DON-SAPRO and her Deputy met three times with Congressional staff and have responded to numerous Congressional questions on SAPR issues. In addition, Congressional staff made two site visits to Naval Station Great Lakes and to the United States Naval Academy to meet with local SAPR personnel.

Strategic communication and media coverage for DON-wide SAPR activity was a priority throughout FY10. The Director of DON-SAPRO was interviewed repeatedly by Navy Times and other national media, and she made presentations to senior leaders at multiple venues throughout the year. DON-SAPRO staff participated in panel discussions at a National conference, and a Navy legal SME reviewed SAPR issues in presentations at several national conferences.

One DON-SAPRO responsibility is to maintain visibility of Navy and Marine Corps SAPR Programs world-wide. We conducted nine site visits to Navy and Marine Corps commands in Bahrain, ISA Air Base (also in Bahrain), Djibouti, Sigonella (Italy), Naples (Italy), Rota (Spain), Souda Bay (Greece), Guam, and Hawaii in an effort to tap the unique insights of field level Commanders, Program Managers and key stakeholders, and to hold focus groups with junior enlisted Sailors and Marines (E1-E4), who represent those at highest risk for sexual assaults. These insights assist the Department in formulating Departmental policy guidance and in structuring prevention strategies in collaboration with DON's two Services. We also used this opportunity to simultaneously promote small group training on Bystander Intervention, as part of the DON prevention strategy, and to get feedback on the lessons learned from our sponsored Mentors in Violence Prevention (MVP) pilot initiatives and Fleet SAPR Leadership Workshops. In addition, DON-SAPRO staff visited multiple Navy and Marine Corps installations in Southern California to assess the impact on restricted reporting processes due to state-mandated reporting of all sexual assaults by medical personnel. Visits to training sites nation-wide helped us assess patterns of sexual assaults in schoolhouse environments.

DON-SAPRO has initiated and resourced several key initiatives for the Navy and Marine Corps SAPR programs in FY10. Specifically DON-SAPRO funded a full-time position at Naval Criminal Investigative Service (NCIS) to support data collection on sexual assault cases, funded MVP Bystander Intervention pilots for Navy and Marine Corps, funded the NCIS and Navy/Marine Corps Judge Advocate General Mobile Training Team "Sexual Assault Investigation and Prosecution", and hired a Highly Qualified Expert (HQE), Dr. Alan Berkowitz – well known for Bystander Intervention and Social Norms theory to support the Department-wide focus on prevention. The resourcing of these key initiatives enables the Navy and Marine Corps to expand their training and determine effective prevention measures.

The Department of the Navy continues its commitment to reduce the incidence of sexual assault, provide compassionate support to sexual assault survivors, and its focus on prevention. In FY11 we are planning a 2nd DON Sexual Assault Prevention Summit for senior leadership and SARCs, and DON and USN are in the planning stages for a site-specific prevention pilot, and a large scale DON survey. Combating sexual assault remains a top priority for the Secretary of the Navy.

Fiscal Year (FY) 2010 Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Review Data Call for Sexual Assaults in the Military: United States Navy

Executive Summary (United States Navy: Executive Agent/OPNAV N1)

In September 2009, the Chief of Naval Operations (CNO) directed that the Deputy Chief of Naval Operations (DCNO), Manpower Personnel Training and Education (N1) become the Executive Agent (EA) for the Navy Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) program. CNO further directed the Navy Sexual Assault Victim Intervention (SAVI) program be renamed to SAPR, in order to reflect the Navy emphasis on prevention and response and to align Navy with OSD guidance.

As Navy's SAPR EA, DCNO (N1) directed the stand-up of a SAPR Cross Functional Team (CFT) chaired by a Navy Flag Officer (N13) and comprised of key stakeholders. The CFT established sub-working groups for Policy, Training, and Prevention. The SAPR CFT meets monthly to collaborate and coordinate on program issues, provide program updates and continue to mature and improve Navy's SAPR program.

During FY10, Navy's SAPR CFT developed a Strategic Communications Plan (Chief of Information -CHINFO), an Executive Agent Charter (Office of the Chief of Navy Operations -OPNAV), and a SAPR Action Plan (Key Stakeholders) that included tracking of actions and milestones. VCNO approved a POM-12 budget submission for five (5) additional staff at OPNAV N135 to provide oversight for the Navy SAPR program.

Throughout FY10, the Navy's SAPR program's current status and way ahead was briefed by N13 (2-Star Flag) to senior Navy leadership, including the Joint Chiefs of Staff, 3/4 Star Symposia, CNO Executive Boards (CEB), New Flag/Senior Executives (NFLEX), and several Fleet-wide Senior Leadership Workshops. A weekly SAPR program update was provided at the CNO's Morning Meeting and a weekly meeting with the Director and staff of the Department of the Navy (DON) SAPR Office was established.

The Navy operating instruction (OPNAVINST 1752.1B) was revised by the Policy working group to ensure Navy's compliance with revised OSD/DON SAPR office guidance and Naval Inspector General (NAVINSGEN) recommendations. The SAPR CFT also engaged in development of research requirements for an effective and sustainable pilot Bystander Intervention (BI) training model which was begun with selected Fleet units in March 2010 and is currently under evaluation by NETC for Navy wide use.

Other projects included reviewing all SAPR-related training conducted throughout the Navy career continuum and engaging civilian SMEs to conduct workshops and briefs to increase Sexual Assault Prevention knowledge and commitment to prevention. Strong leadership messages were also developed and provided through social marketing media channels.

Tab 1 – FY10 SAPR Program Review Data Call for Sexual Assaults in the Military

Commander, Naval Installations Command (CNIC) implements the Navy SAPR program through installation Sexual Assault Response Coordinators (SARCs). CNIC is the only Navy agency with a direct funding line using the SECDEF required program element codes for the SAPR program.

A primary focus for CNIC in FY10 was to ensure Navy-wide standardization in SARC service delivery and implementation of the SAPR Case Management System (CMS). SARCs attended 54 CNIC webinars covering eight different topics ranging from their new roles in data collection and case management, to facilitating SAPR annual general military training (GMT) and training for key SAPR personnel at command levels. 55 SARCs attended the first annual DON SAPR SARC Conference in March 2010 which included five days of Navy specific training by Navy and civilian subject matter experts (SMEs).

CNIC staff served as SMEs for several Naval Education and Training Command (NETC) Center for Personal and Professional Development (CPPD) products to include: the FY10 SAPR GMT and new SAPR supervisory-level training applications.

SARCs were provided a CNIC standardized SAPR training brief for use at Fleet workshops and a revised SAPR Commander's Toolkit, provided to Commanding Officers during SARC in-briefs. CNIC also launched a new SARC web-based training, required for all new SARCs, revised several SAPR brochures, and revised the Fleet and Family Support Center (FFSC) Accreditation Standards for the SAPR program.

In April 2010, Navy conducted over 180 Sexual Assault Awareness Month (SAAM) activities worldwide to include forums, events/booths, news events, runs/walks, training events and "denim" events.

1. Program Overview – United States Navy (USN)

The Deputy Chief Naval Operations (DCNO) (N1) as Executive Agent (EA) of the Navy SAPR program establishes policy and provides oversight to Navy agencies. N135 (Navy Flag Officer) chairs the SAPR CFT that collaborates and coordinates on program issues, provides program updates and continues to mature and improve Navy's SAPR program. The CFT is comprised of:

- Office of Chief of Naval Operations (OPNAV N135)
- Commander, Navy Installations Command (CNIC N91)
- United States Fleet Forces Command (USFFC)
- Commander, Pacific Fleet (PACFLT)
- Naval Education and Training Command (NETC)
- U. S. Navy Chief of Information (CHINFO)
- Office of the Judge Advocate General (OJAG)
- Bureau of Medicine and Surgery (BUMED)
- Chief of Chaplains Corps (CHC N097)
- Navy Personnel Research, Studies, and Technology (NPRST)
- Naval Criminal Investigative Services (NAVCRIMINSERV)
- MCPON'S Office
- Commander, Naval Reserve Forces Command (CNRFC)

CNIC (N91) serves as the program manager for Navy SAPR execution and is responsible for managing, implementing and overseeing installation programs. Echelon 2/3 commands establish and maintain the SAPR program and ensure subordinate commands support and maintain effective SAPR programs. Regional Commanders promulgate guidance to installation commanders who implement, fund, and support effective SAPR programs as outlined in OPNAV instructions and referenced senior level guidance. Commanders, CO's and Officers in Charge are tasked to work with and support the Installation SARCs who are the SAPR subject matter experts for commands.

Key Navy agencies (NETC, BUMED, OJAG, NCIS, CNIC) are tasked to develop program standards and ensure their agency personnel work together and support commands, COs and victims of sexual assaults as outlined by specific instructions, directives and policy guidance.

Policy Guidance:

OPNAVINST 1752.1B "Sexual Assault Victim Intervention (SAVI) Program," 29 Dec 06 was the Navy's comprehensive SAPR policy. An updated instruction, OPNAV

Tab 1 – FY10 SAPR Program Review Data Call for Sexual Assaults in the Military

1752.1C, is currently in coordination and chop through the OPNAV chain of command. This revision includes all DoD directive and instruction requirements (DODD 6495.01, DODI 6495.02).

OPNAVINST 3100.6J “Special Incident Reporting Procedures,” 22 Dec 09, outlines Command sexual assault situation reporting (SITREP) requirements. Navy messages (NAVADMIN) were released to clarify updated procedures, including a requirement for Commanding Officers to consult a Staff Judge Advocate (SJA) prior to adjudication of any Sexual Assault or Sexual Harassment cases.

SECNAVINST 1752.4A “Sexual Assault Prevention and Response,” 01 Dec 05. Provides direction/guidance for the establishment of a sexual assault prevention and response program within the Department of the Navy (DON).

SECNAVINST 5430.108 “DON Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office,” 10 Jun 10, outlines the mission and functions of the DON SAPR Office (DON SAPRO).

CNIC policy implementation directives (content included in revised OPNAVINST):

- SAVI-001 “SARC Position Guidance” of 10 May 05
- SAVI-003 “Navy Confidentiality Policy for Victims of SA and Collection of Forensic Evidence” of 30 Nov 05

FY10 released Navy Administrative Messages (NAVADMIN):

- “SAPR” (282/09)
- “Personal For - Sexual Assault” (315/09)
- “SAPR Quarterly Update” (096/10)
- “GMT” (098/10)
- “Sexual Assault Awareness Month: (119/10)
- “Personal For - Sexual Assault Special Incident Reporting Procedures” (019/10)

Navy SAPR is a command program consisting of multiple key stakeholders and first responders. CNIC structure is hierarchical from HQ level (3 Star) through Fleet and Family Readiness Programs (FFRP) (N9) to Regional Commanders (2 Stars), through FFRP Directors to Installation Commanders, Fleet and Family Support Center (FFSC) Directors/Site Managers and installation SARCs.

Commands are required to have the following SAPR positions:

- Victim Advocates, trained and supervised by SARCs.
- Command Points of Contact (POC), trained by SARCs, responsible for Command SAPR training/prevention program.

Tab 1 – FY10 SAPR Program Review Data Call for Sexual Assaults in the Military

- Command Liaisons, trained by SARCs, are responsible for liaison between victims and CO's and they attend monthly SA case management group (SACMG) meetings.
- Data Collection Coordinator (DCC), trained by SARCs to assist command in data collection for SITREPs.

Deployed Environments - The structure of the Navy SAPR program is consistent both afloat and ashore. VAs deploy with commands and are trained and supported by installation SARCs (reach back for support). Victims are supported by trained VAs and the installation SARCs. If a Sailor serving as an Individual Augmentee (IA) or is assigned to a non-Navy installation, support is provided by the affiliated/lead Military Service SARC and VA in that installation/environment (e.g. Iraq, Marine Base, etc.).

Navy Medicine is governed by BUMEDINST 6310.11 of 23 Jun 2009. The Bureau of Medicine and Surgery (BUMED) Office of Women's Health provides oversight to Navy Medicine personnel and commands, to include Navy Medicine Regions, Military Treatment Facilities (MTF) and branch clinics. In Jan 2010, BUMED hired a full time instructor to provide training to those commands providing sexual assault forensic exam (SAFE) services within the MTF. Navy Medicine also participates in collaborative training with NCIS, OJAG, SARCs, and Chaplain Corps to provide comprehensive services to victims. Navy Medicine commands who do not provide SAFE services in-house utilize local community facilities (i.e., civilian hospitals/clinics) to provide SAFE services to ensure a 24/7 response capability.

2. Institutionalize Prevention Strategies in the Military Community

2.1. Under the Department's adopted "The Spectrum of Prevention," and its 6 components, describe the policies, procedures, and initiatives implemented or advanced during FY10 to prevent sexual assault

2.1.1. Identify efforts by your Service to influence prevention-related policy

CNIC SAPR representatives serve on the Navy SAPR CFT and its various working sub-groups that review prevention, policy and relevant legislation. CNIC staff worked closely with DON and OSD SAPRO to develop initiatives that enhance prevention policy.

SAPR CFT stakeholders including CNIC, provided input and recommendations for the total revision of the Navy SAPR OPNAVINST. Specifically, CNIC provided detailed input on the roles of the SARC, the Sexual Assault Case Management Group (SACMG), SAPR training and guidance on "restricted" and "unrestricted" reporting options. CNIC provided feedback/input on Defense Task Force on Sexual Assault in the Military Services (DTF-SAMS) and the DON Sexual Assault Study recommendations, the Naval Audit Service (NAVAUDSVC) request for information and Government Accounting Office (GAO) reports. CNIC also provided analyses and

Tab 1 – FY10 SAPR Program Review Data Call for Sexual Assaults in the Military

recommendations regarding changes in policy and legislation to DON, Navy and OSD leadership.

Several Navy Installations hired civilian SMEs to conduct workshops and make presentations during Sexual Assault Awareness Month (SAAM) which provided ample opportunities for Navy communities to learn more about the Bystander Intervention (BI) pilot project. Naval Base Guam sponsored Anne Munch, J.D to present workshops on "Naming the Unnamed Conspirator," which challenged Sailors to rethink societal trends of placing the blame on victims when a sexual assault occurs. The Mid-Atlantic Region sponsored Dorothy Edwards, PhD to present the "Green Dot Campaign" which includes a BI component and a social marketing campaign. In Florida, the "White House Violence against Women" Director, Ms. Lynn Rosenthal spoke about the White House's commitment to reducing sexual assaults in the military.

2.1.2. Identify the ways your Service is changing organizational prevention-based practices

CNIC staff networked with other CFT SAPR stakeholders in multiple efforts to create organizational change and to begin development on the Navy's Sexual Assault Prevention Strategy. CNIC SAPR staff collaborated with the National Guard, other Service SAPR programs, US Fleet Forces (USFF) Command, Pacific Fleet (PACFLT), the Navy Alcohol and Drug Abuse Program (NADAP), Military Equal Opportunity, Chaplains, OJAG, and NCIS to address and develop prevention-based practices.

USFF held SAPR workshops in eleven (11) Fleet concentration areas, providing command level prevention tools to commanders and program managers through SME briefs on sexual assault prevention and BI.

NETC/CPD partnered with USFF and PACFLT on a Bystander Intervention pilot program that was started in March 2010 and is scheduled to run until December 2010. This pilot includes five locations and is expected to result in over 5,300 sailors trained in BI skills. At the completion of the BI pilot program an assessment will be conducted to determine the effectiveness of the training by measuring attitudes towards intervention in SA related behavior and reductions in incidents of sexual assaults. A recommendation will then be made as to Fleet-wide rollout.

The Navy SAPR CFT addresses sexual assault prevention and response across all key Navy organizations to ensure program compliance and implementation of innovative prevention initiatives. A NETC led "Training WG" was also stood-up to identify the developmental continuum of training, across organizations and career paths that will build on awareness and prevention strategies to reduce risk and support BI. DON SAPRO hired a Highly Qualified Expert (HQE) who adds critical expertise to the development of the overall Navy Prevention strategy with the intent of identifying gaps across the continuum. Training for Officers and Enlisted alike was addressed both in and out of the classroom environment. Participants on the Training WG include the US

Tab 1 – FY10 SAPR Program Review Data Call for Sexual Assaults in the Military

Naval Academy, Naval Support Training Command, OPNAV (N135), USFFC, CNIC, PACFLT, and USMC, with overall coordination by NETC (N7).

2.1.3. Describe the methods used to foster prevention-related coalitions and networks (i.e., Name prevention subject matter experts consulted and involved at the Service level)

Navy personnel continue to work closely with DON leadership, Alcohol and Drug Control Officers, CNIC, NCIS, BUMED, USFF, PACFLT and other CFT members and associated working groups to improve Navy Prevention. CNIC staff served on several OSD SAPR Advisory Council subcommittees and ad hoc working groups to collaborate on creating and supporting a DoD-wide culture of prevention. Team participants included Health and Human Services, the Center for Disease Control and other Prevention-oriented researchers and organizations.

A DoD contract with “Men Can Stop Rape” includes an ongoing social marketing campaign that’s part of the Navy Prevention initiative. A DoD contract with Rape, Abuse, and Incest National Network (RAINN) is under development to provide additional SAPR support and after hour resources to the Navy. Ms. Claudia Bayliff, Esq. and Dr. Alan Berkowitz presented briefs at USFF SAPR workshops on Sexual Assault Prevention and Bystander Intervention procedures.

CNIC developed a standard prevention related brief for SARCs, presented at Fleet SAPR workshops worldwide. CFT members presented “Prevention” briefs at the ADCO Conference, the DON SARC Summit and at the annual MCPON Leadership Conference. CNIC staff participated in training on “Men Can Stop Rape,” attended other Services’ SAPR Leadership Summits/trainings and the National Sexual Assault Conference, sponsored by the National Sexual Violence Resource Center.

At installation levels, SARCs created local alliances with other Navy and DoD SAPR stakeholders as well as community coalitions and networks (e.g. local Rape Crisis Centers, etc). SARCs report memberships on 13 local Sexual Assault Response Teams (SARTs) and other community prevention committees. SARCs report working closely with over 30 Rape Crisis Centers and local state coalitions against sexual assault/ violence. SARCs also report collaborating with more than 16 legal/law enforcement agencies and an additional 16 medical facilities/organizations that handle Sexual Assault Forensic Exams (SAFE) or monitor accuracy/availability of trained Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner (SANE) RN’s.

SARCs report working with 12 schools, universities or other civilian community groups and many SARCs report frequent collaboration with other military SARCs. CNIC staff found that meeting regularly with all SARCs in their local area keeps victim services efficient, allowing increased awareness and prevention programming.

Navy SARCs also report great success in collaborating with other Navy programs such as the Family Advocacy Program (FAP), Work and Family Life programs,

Ombudsman Assemblies, Family Readiness Groups and Clinical Counseling service providers.

2.1.4. List the prevention education and training initiatives and programs your Service offers for responders, particularly those that impart individual skills associated with bystander intervention or appropriate risk reduction that does not blame victims

Navy staff used several training opportunities to inform Commanders/FFSC leaders as responders, about SAPR prevention and response initiatives/programs. These trainings included periodic Senior Shore Station Leaders (SSSL) courses for new installation CO's, Command Master Chiefs or Regional CO's; quarterly Regional Counseling, Advocacy, Prevention (RCAP) Manager meetings; Regional Advisory Board (RAB) meetings with Regional FFSP Directors; and FFSC New Director/Managers training.

Medical Treatment Facility (MTF) Prevention efforts line up with DON and SARC initiatives and Navy MTFs routinely provide education at command orientations for new personnel. This training is augmented with annual GMTs provided by installation SARCs or via Navy Knowledge Online (NKO). Training is keyed toward medical personnel/staff who might be first responders (e.g. MD's, RN's, Corpsman, etc).

CNIC continues to provide SAPR information/tools for SARCs to conduct SAPR training at installation levels, such as the revised CO Toolkit presentations, key SAPR Command personnel training, local base Police Academies/Security department trainings, Regional Command Master Chief meetings, Command Duty Officer (CDO) trainings and training for civilian medical first responders/staff at Naval Health Clinics. Annual SAPR GMTs were often delivered directly by SARCs, SAPR POCs or VA's who had been trained by installation SARCs.

Currently, over 1,200 NCIS Special Agents are trained to be "first responders" to sexual assault and other types of criminal activities. All NCIS Special Agents are required to complete annual in-service training on sexual assault awareness, prevention, investigative procedures and victim sensitivity.

In FY10, NCIS completed two advanced training courses designed to expand the capabilities of investigators. Specifically, the NCIS Advanced Family and Sexual Violence Training course and the NCIS/OJAG/JAM Mobile Training Team (MTT) on "Sexual Assault Investigation and Prosecution" provided comprehensive investigative training. Through the two courses, ninety-two (92) NCIS Special Agents received investigative theory and practice focused on offender behavior. While the primary role of NCIS is investigative, NCIS offers education and training to commands via specific briefs. The current sexual assault brief focuses on awareness, sexual assault prevention and bystander intervention. Additionally, NCIS Special Agents are encouraged to participate in local training opportunities such as Victim Advocate training, command stand-downs and other sexual assault focused events or training.

2.1.5. Identify your Service's efforts to promote community education in the area of prevention (i.e., Communications, social marketing, and media initiatives)

In FY10, there were approximately 22 SAPR related articles published in Navy media. The articles included descriptions of organizational changes, prevention initiatives, and publicized local events for Sexual Assault Awareness Month (SAAM). Many of the articles provided education/knowledge about sexual assault in addition to information about SAPR program resources. CHINFO (Public Affairs) announcements included "Rhumb Lines" (a high level one page fact sheet distributed throughout Navy) released on 16 Mar, 18 Aug, and 22 Oct 2010.

Social Marketing of the SAPR program also increased in FY10. There were 19 SAPR specific discussion topics posted on the FFSP Facebook page, including two videos. Education was focused on increasing SARC skills as installation SMEs for prevention and response and in support of command prevention initiatives. SARC attendance at the DON SARC Summit and multiple CNIC webinars provided information and resources for outreach and prevention efforts.

At the installation level, SARCs provided community education by manning information tables and providing pamphlets and posters with 24/7 contact information. SARCs also:

- Worked with local base television stations to have (OSD SAPRO-contracted) SAPR Public Service Announcements (PSAs) aired
- Used Facebook/other websites to advertise 24/7 contact information and to promote prevention and outreach programs
- Worked with local PAOs to publicize the SAPR program and schedule events through all available forms of local media
- Collaborated with civilian organizations for events such as "Take Back the Night"
- Trained high school, college, and graduate students on SAPR
- Trained civilian SA responders on Navy SAPR procedures while inviting civilian organization SMEs to train Navy responders on local civilian programs

2.1.6. Describe the ways that your Service is strengthening Service member knowledge and skills (i.e., Bystander intervention)

NETC is currently conducting a Bystander Intervention (BI) Pilot Program to determine the best demographics and delivery methodologies for the Navy BI content. Following pilot evaluation, the NETC team will provide recommendations for BI strategies to implement throughout the Service.

Annually required General Military Training (GMT) has been revised to include new language and programmatic elements. Navy provides two levels of training, (1) Basic

Tab 1 – FY10 SAPR Program Review Data Call for Sexual Assaults in the Military

Awareness and (2) Application of Concepts, both which support continual learning. GMT is required for all uniformed members of the Navy and by direction, will be delivered face-to-face with the involvement of Command senior leadership.

CNIC provided 54 webinars to SARCs on eight different subjects including the new Case Management System (CMS) training and SAPR GMT “Train the Trainer” that equips SARCS with skills to provide standard Sexual Assault Awareness training across all levels of the Navy. This also includes BI intervention concepts and scenarios.

Discussion and interactions that occurred between newly hired SARCs and SARCs who’ve served in their position for a number of years, also improved learning effectiveness and ways to improve service members’ knowledge and skills. This interaction also helped SARCs create environments conducive to learning in their local military communities. SARCs provided annual GMT to commands and included BI intervention skills and knowledge. In addition to monthly webinars, CNIC revised/delivered Navy SAPR pamphlets that covered prevention, reporting and resources. The SAPR Victim Advocate Quick Series Desk Reference Guide, a tool for service members to gain knowledge about Navy’s SAPR program, was revised in FY10. The guide has been ordered and copies will be distributed to SARCs in FY11. These tools strengthen the knowledge base of service members and assist SARCs and VAs in providing support to victims.

USFF Command provided SAPR workshops in eleven (11) fleet concentration areas that included policy requirements, resources and SMEs in sexual assault prevention and BI. Command leaders and program managers took the training concepts and SAPR information back for implementation at the command level.

Members of the SAPR CFT “Training” WG were involved in designing research to identify the “best” delivery system for a sustained BI training model. SAPR staff also attended an Air Force Bystander Training follow-up conference that will help form the development of effective, future Navy BI type projects.

SARCs reported large numbers of different types of SAPR training for Sailors in FY10. In three (3) regions (Korea, Mid-Atlantic and Naval District Washington), SARCs presented training to Junior Enlisted Sailors, where in some cases these Sailors were part of a larger training, such as Deck Plate Resource Training. One SARC reported having to separate Navy personnel by rank/gender to limit the number of attendees in order to promote open, honest discussion among participants.

A number of SARCs reported utilizing email ‘blasts’ to reach large numbers of personnel with BI information and ideas. Many SARCs also reported submitting information into local command plans of the day, plans of the week, and plans of the month, via electronic command publications.

Through coordination and collaboration with civilian agencies, SARCs report they are often able to advertise support services and workshops conducted in their local

Tab 1 – FY10 SAPR Program Review Data Call for Sexual Assaults in the Military

civilian communities, to service members interested in obtaining more information about sexual assault related issues.

2.1.7. Other (Please explain): Not applicable

2.2. List all studies of sexual assault prevalence and/or prevention programming effectiveness supported or performed by your Service

The 2009 DON Sexual Assault Study (SAS) findings comprehensively compare the Navy's SAPR program with the 2005 Naval Inspector General survey. CNIC funded two (2) studies that reviewed Prevention Training effectiveness for both male and female recruits. NETC research reinforces "face-to-face" training is much more effective than GMTs.

Navy Personnel Research, Studies, and Technology (NPRST) completed an OPNAV (N135) sponsored SAPR Quick Poll (follow-up to 2004, 2005 & 2008 Quick Polls) in September 2010 which shows a positive trend increase of Military awareness of the Navy SAPR program across all ranks, male and female. NPRST also completed the 2009 Behavioral Health Quick Poll (sponsored by N135) that assessed perceptions of stress and suicide prevention and the 2010 Character Quick Poll that determined Sailor attitudes and opinions on Navy Core Values, Ethical behavior, and character development, comparing the results with the 2007-2009 results.

2.3. Describe any plans in place for Service actions in FY11 related to the prevention of sexual assault

DON SAPRO hired a (Highly Qualified Expert) HQE and Navy plans to use his expertise to support the Navy's Sexual Assault prevention strategy, weaving BI principles into current course content and developing new curricula where appropriate. The goal is to identify and develop a continuum approach to BI training and application.

The HQE will provide consultation on the development of a prevention pilot program tentatively slated for the Great Lakes area in early calendar 2011. This program will focus on local prevention strategies developed in collaboration with local leadership.

The link between alcohol and sexual assault is indisputable. The Naval Safety Center will work closely with the SAPR program and the Navy Alcohol and Drug Abuse Prevention program on alcohol and sexual assault messaging to ensure a cohesive and consistent drumbeat, which all leaders must emphasize.

The Navy SAPR CFT "Prevention" WG will continue work on effective prevention initiatives/strategies. After completion of the BI pilot project, Navy will integrate a more effective, sustainable prevention strategy focusing on BI concepts. Navy is also reviewing lessons learned from the US Air Force BI program, to include feedback from civilian SMEs working with the military, a review of best practices and successful university and civilian community projects.

Tab 1 – FY10 SAPR Program Review Data Call for Sexual Assaults in the Military

CNIC will continue collaboration with the National Guard Bureau and the Navy Reserve Component to improve availability of SAPR services and prevention training. Additionally, CNIC will continue working with NETC to ensure Navy ROTC programs have access to SAPR services and effective prevention and awareness training. The SAPR CFT is reviewing “best” practices to ensure Recruiting Commands (most often located away from military installations) receive SAPR services and effective prevention and awareness training.

Additionally, installation SARCS are planning to:

- Collaborate on prevention programs with local civilian communities
- Collaborate and conduct joint prevention training with other Military Service SARCS
- Provide prevention outreach to retirees, reservists, and family members
- Increase use of technology (i.e., VTC, webinars) to provide refresher training opportunities for key SAPR command personnel
- Collaborate with other Navy programs regarding themed months such as Domestic Violence Awareness (October)
- Increase SAPR presentations, such as Sex Signals, Sailors Challenging Reality and Educating Against Myths (SCREAM), or comedy events with focus on safety, prevention, and risk reduction.

USFF will continue to provide SAPR workshops in Fleet concentration areas, in both CONUS and OCONUS, on program requirements and prevention efforts with a focus on Bystander Intervention.

3. Increase Climate of Victim Confidence Associated with Reporting

3.1. Provide major steps taken to publicize reporting options (Restricted and Unrestricted) and/or encourage the reporting of sexual assault by Service members (i.e., Local command initiatives that demonstrate the commanders role in creating a climate of confidence)

A recent finding by the Naval Audit Service (NAVAUDSVC) to ensure accuracy in the publicized 24/7 reporting phone numbers at every installation successfully engaged Navy leadership, regional and installation Commanders to ensure reporting options were available and publicized. OSD has contracted with Rape, Abuse & Incest National Network (RAINN) for additional military program support.

Combined with strong support and messaging by Navy leadership, especially in Navy media and at local Fleet SAPR Summits, there is an ongoing Navy social marketing campaign to educate and remind personnel of available reporting options. By using professional posters and CNIC developed PSAs, a strengthened climate of

Tab 1 – FY10 SAPR Program Review Data Call for Sexual Assaults in the Military

confidence throughout Navy commands supports restricted and unrestricted reporting options.

Information specific to reporting options and the role of medical staff is included and emphasized in training for all medical personnel. Both, medical first responders and forensic examiners receive this training and information on reporting options. Navy Medicine Prospective COs and Prospective XOs are briefed on their roles and responsibilities within medical commands. Discussion includes privacy concerns for victims requesting a “restricted” report.

As SARCs brief new CO's and provide them with copies of the COs SAPR Toolkits, they also take the opportunity to help COs understand the importance of their role in creating a climate of prevention, where victims feel safe in reporting incidents of sexual assault. A positive command climate creates an environment intolerant of sexual assaults and improves the opportunity for Bystander Intervention actions that might prevent sexual assaults.

Navy wide SAPR NAVADMINs, SAAM events and command SAPR letters all demonstrate to local commands that Navy leadership supports SAPR reporting options. In FY10, reporting options were emphasized by Navy Leadership at the highest levels.

Strong messages as part of the Navy CFT Strategic Communications Plan will ensure Navy continues to build on this positive climate of confidence.

Chaplains and Religious Program (RP) Specialists are uniquely positioned and qualified to assist victims of sexual assault. While deployed members of the military always have access to Chaplains and RPs who deploy with them. As all communications with Chaplains are “confidential communication” (outlined in SECNAVINST 1730.9), some SA victims feel safer/more comfortable talking first with a Chaplain. Chaplains are often able to assist a SA victim’s access into military support services, either as a “restricted” or “unrestricted” report.

3.2. Discuss Unrestricted and Restricted Reporting process challenges encountered, as well as the solutions your Service developed and implemented during FY10 within the context of:

3.2.1. Joint environments

A major challenge is establishing reporting protocols that consistently meet the requirements of all Services at Joint Commands, Joint Bases or in Joint Regions. It is also challenging to ensure the ability to contact members of sister Services on a regular basis in order to do a “warm handoff” when departing joint environments. One solution that has been successful is the development of joint protocols and holding regular Joint SARC meetings that outline differences between SAPR program requirements. An additional key is to ensure standardized coordination of SAPR responses across all Services. SARCs find it helpful to have contact with deploying commands prior to Joint assignments in order to provide Service specific information on available services that

can be accessed at deployed destinations. Utilizing local media resources for outreach to available community resources for reporting options and contact information is an additional solution.

3.2.2. Combat Areas of Interest

A major challenge is ensuring pre-deployment briefings for deploying Individual Augmentees (IAs) that include specific contact information for both reporting options. A solution involves SARCs collaborating with IA Support/Deployment Specialists at FFSC to ensure SAPR reporting options and contact information is provided at the pre-deployment process.

One SARC stated, “Many of my Victim Advocates who have been deployed as IA’s have indicated they were unaware of any available SAPR resources or how to contact a member of the SAPR program, should an issue arise while deployed.” CNIC is working with the other Services SAPR staff to investigate ways to address these issues in Combat Areas of Interest.

3.2.3. Tracking victim services

A major challenge in tracking victim services has to do with responders not fully comprehending their roles. The SAPR Case Management System (CMS) launched in December 2009, has made tracking victim services easier and more streamlined. CMS training is ongoing to include the education of new SAPR personnel.

CNIC is working with USFFC and PACFLT within the SAPR CFT, to develop solutions and improve communications between Installation SARCs, deployed VA's, other stakeholders and commands (ships, submarines, etc), so that SARCs can download SAPR related information into the CMS, as quickly as possible. At installation levels, SARCs have contacted local military and civilian stakeholders to hold meetings and responder-specific trainings, with the intent of developing protocols and clarifying roles of key players.

3.2.4. Restricted Reporting in any environment

Controlled Basic training educational environments and Brig “incarcerated” Sailors provides a challenge with regards to “restricted” reporting. Currently, access for restricted reporting is difficult for service member victims in basic training environments and solutions are being addressed. Navy CNIC has engaged the Brig and visited basic training to begin dialogue to find solutions.

In general, at most Navy work environments, SARCs that work closely with installation leaders, stakeholders and commanders, have developed ways to work the various environments (especially OCONUS), in order to maintain the restricted reporting option. As an example, Health Care Providers (HCP) that serve multiple roles besides SAPR and meet with victims, can offer the “restricted” reporting option then coordinate a

meeting between a victim and SARC/VA away from the command, to diminish the likelihood of command notification of the incident.

California SARCs report, due to a state mandated reporting law for all medical personnel, there is confusion on who can receive “restricted” reports. California SARCs provide specific training for health care professionals, Command SAPR POCs and VA’s to include discussion on limitations to restricted reporting for military victims. Overall, continuous education for leaders and responders helps increase policy compliance and victim privacy when considering the “restricted” reporting as an option.

3.2.5 Other

When deployed, SAPR Command POCs are at times informed of both “restricted” and “unrestricted” cases and are often considered “SARCs.” In a few cases, the SARC was not informed of these deployed cases. CNIC is working with USFFC and PACFLT to ensure commands understand the role of the SAPR Command POC. It is primarily a training issue and guidance will emphasize the VAs requirement to coordinate cases as soon as possible with their respective SARC.

In an effort to close gaps in SAPR services, the AFRICOM SARC, will be training Africa Embassy staff on SAPR policies to ensure proper victim referrals even if a victim contacts the Embassy seeking emergency assistance.

Due to restrictions on electronic devices and assigned duty shifts, a 24/7 response capability is not possible at NSU Saratoga Springs, NY. The solution was to utilize the local rape crisis hotline to cover the 24/7 response capability. There is now a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) in place for individuals who self-identify as being related to the military, that they can be afforded an opportunity to work with a Navy VA or SARC. The local Rape Crisis agency receives training from the SARC on available Navy SAPR services so that local civilian community advocates understand how to access installation resources.

3.3. Describe efforts, policies, and/or programmatic changes taken to improve Service member confidence and/or victim participation in the investigative and military justice processes

OPNAVINST 1752.1C, the Navy’s Sexual Assault Prevention and Response policy was revised and is currently in the chop chain for signature. Two significant changes will improve Service member confidence in the Navy’s overall approach to addressing sexual assaults as well as improve the rate and depth of victim participation in the legal process. The proposed changes are (1) an enhanced collateral misconduct policy and (2) a new post incident communication protocol. The enhanced collateral misconduct policy includes various factors that must be balanced by commanders when required to determine how to fairly and objectively handle collateral misconduct by victims of sexual assault. The new post incident communication protocol requires information sharing at

Tab 1 – FY10 SAPR Program Review Data Call for Sexual Assaults in the Military

all levels of the chain of command following the resolution of sexual assault investigations and prosecutions.

Additional efforts/programmatic changes:

- Navy JAG continues to train both prosecutors and defense counsel in trial advocacy and also special techniques on the litigation of sexual assault cases. Enhancing the ability of JAs to litigate sexual assault cases will improve Service member confidence and victim participation in the investigative and military justice processes.
- In addition to the training efforts described above, Navy JAG continues to participate with other Services in the development of a joint DVD on prosecuting sexual assault. This interactive DVD program, funded by OSD SAPRO in FY09, will have 22 interactive modules that address topics required under DODI 6495.02. It will include virtual scenarios that simulate a direct examination of a victim and a cross examination of the accused. Completion in early FY11 is on schedule.
- Navy JAG worked with OSD SAPRO to develop a revised sexual assault forensic examination (SAFE) kit; release is expected in FY11. The competent provision of medical care and collection of forensic evidence will enhance confidence in the sexual assault response process.
- OPNAVINST 3100.6J was revised to require convening authorities to consult with a JAG prior to making a disposition decision in every sexual assault case. In order to ensure the guidance JAs provide to convening authorities was consistent; OJAG Code 20 (Criminal Law Division) created a briefing for JAs, located on Navy Knowledge Online, which provides world-wide access to all JAs to this important information.
- OJAG Code 20 partnered with Fleet Forces Command to train commanders and responders throughout the world on the legal aspects of sexual assault prevention and response.
- OJAG Code 20 provided training to NCIS agents at the Advanced Family and Sexual Violence Course. Addressed topics included the consent defense; alcohol facilitated sexual assault, multiple accused, intimate partner sexual assault and tips for testifying. The course was offered twice in FY10.

Navy JAG updated its Victim Witness Program Instruction, COMNAVLEGSVCCOM 5800.4, to ensure victims receive sufficient support throughout the process.

In FY10, the NCIS Crime Reduction Program (CRP) continued to publicly address criminal activity that impacts our military community. Partnering with DON agencies (OJAG, Public Affairs, Family Advocacy Program (FAP), SAPR, Chaplain Corps), the CRP uses meetings, rallies, speeches and briefs to raise sexual assault awareness, increase victim and service member confidence, promote BI, and ultimately reduce the occurrence of sexual assaults.

NCIS continues to evaluate and revise in-service training for NCIS personnel, focusing on victim sensitivity. In-service training includes Victim and Witness Assistance Program (VWAP) training to ensure NCIS personnel deliver respectful, compassionate service to victims/witnesses.

NCIS staff continues to participate in SAPR working groups/subgroups and the Navy SAPR Cross Functional Team (CFT). Working groups are involved in development and implementation of sexual assault prevention strategies focused on service member confidence and victim participation.

During this reporting period, NCIS and BUMED POCs participated in the OSD SAPRO working group which focused on revising the Sexual Assault Forensic Examination (SAFE) kit. The goal was to revise the SAFE kit in order to raise victim participation and confidence by simplifying the examination and removing antiquated procedures that might negatively impact victims.

3.4. List initiatives and programs that work to reduce the stigma associated with reporting sexual assault

Using the Navy Operational Stress Control (OSC) model, SAPR-CFT started discussion about reporting sexual assault and receiving available SAPR services and resources, while de-stigmatizing the impact of seeking/receiving services following any type of stressful or traumatic event.

Fleet SAPR Workshops and annual SAPR GMT programs emphasized the need to support victims and promoted seeking help in a non-stigmatizing way. Additionally, the following also help to reduce the stigma of reporting sexual assault:

- Strong senior leadership media messages (Reserve and Active Component leaders)
- Sexual Assault Awareness Month(SAAM) events
- Command policy guidance, pronouncements and regular safety stand-downs
- Executing components of the CHINFO SAPR Communication plan
- On-going efforts by the Suicide Prevention CFT to promote help-seeking and de-stigmatize the request for help and receipt of support and care

4. Improve Sexual Assault Response

4.1. Describe the policies, procedures, and initiatives implemented or advanced during FY10 to respond to, or improve the response to, allegations of sexual assault

CNIC developed installation Sexual Assault Case Management Groups (SACMG) training for SARCs in order to improve the response to victims needs. CNIC also

Tab 1 – FY10 SAPR Program Review Data Call for Sexual Assaults in the Military

revised key SAPR Command Personnel Trainings to improve responses to sexual assaults. These were presented in webinars during FY10.

In January 2010, Bureau of Medicine and Surgery (BUMED) hired a full time SAFE Instructor. Eleven (11) sites received training (military and civilian MTF staff), including deploying personnel and Air Force personnel stationed in Guam and Okinawa. Training for medical personnel is collaborative with local stakeholders participating and instructing. Lectures from local SARCs, NCIS Agent, JAG, and Chaplains are included. Additionally, an interactive, computer based program is used to supplement instructor-led training and provide periodic refresher on exam specifics. With an adequate number of trained individuals to respond and provide care to victims of sexual assault, Military Treatment Facilities (MTF) report an improved response time as well as enhanced quality of care for victims.

BUMED initiatives in FY10 include revising local instructions, annual competency review, and periodic documentation review. One large MTF and subordinate branch clinics revised and standardized patient care policies to facilitate and ensure appropriate and timely care is provided.

Medical personnel routinely receive first responder training at command indoctrination classes, annually with GMT, and at various venues within the MTF such as unit level general medical education.

Navy Medicine Commands disseminate information on the program for beneficiaries via command websites, Facebook, posters, command newsletters, Ombudsman communication, and MTF health and wellness fairs.

Navy Medicine Prospective COs and XOs received briefings on the program and their roles to ensure appropriate and timely medical support for victims. Discussion includes the importance of medical care and support, forensic evidence collection, concerns with reporting options, and MTF requirement for a 24/7 response capability. In addition, site visit findings from DON, SAPRO were reviewed for potential corrections.

Navy Medicine Regional Chiefs of Staff also received briefings on the SAPR program and their role, to ensure appropriate and timely medical support for victims. Navy Medicine personnel routinely participated and collaborated with local SARC training for medical personnel and Victim Advocates.

4.2. List the number of new Deployable (D)/SARCs and D/VAs trained; the types of training received, which must include proficiency training; and if the training was received prior to deployment

4.2.1. D/SARCS: Not applicable

4.2.1.1. List the number of new personnel trained

Tab 1 – FY10 SAPR Program Review Data Call for Sexual Assaults in the Military

Navy currently has 64 SARCs - 29 (45%) were new employees in FY10. All SARCs received training during FY10. For Navy, only Victim Advocates (VAs) deploy, Navy SARCs do not deploy.

4.2.1.2. Provide the types of training received (i.e., Annual, refresher)

55 SARCs attended the Department of the Navy SARC Summit which provided both initial and refresher training. CNIC provides annual training for all SARCs to meet refresher training requirements. Navy SARCs participated in 54 webinar trainings offered this FY. Navy SARCs (currently on board) are in the process of taking the newly launched “New Navy SARC” web-based training course. They have access to an interactive SARC Resource Guide and each is scheduled to attend the mandatory 30-hour Victim Advocate (VA) training, also required for new SARCs.

4.2.1.3. Indicate if training occurred prior to deployment Navy SARCs do not deploy.

4.2.2. D/VAs: Not applicable

4.2.2.1. List the number of new personnel trained

There are currently 3,519 active Victim Advocates across the Navy - 2,193 (62%) of these VA's received initial training in FY10.

4.2.2.2. Provide the types of training received

Ten (10) hours of refresher training is required for each VA, annually. SARCs provide a variety of opportunities for refresher training, such as VA webinars and trips to local hospitals to prepare for escorting a victim to a forensic exam, at that location. In FY10, 2,734 Victim Advocates received the required 10 hours of refresher training.

4.2.2.3. Indicate if training occurred prior to deployment

One hundred forty-six (146) Victim Advocates received initial and/or refresher training prior to deployment as an Individual Augmentee (IA) during FY10.

4.3. List the remaining number of personnel trained to be “first responders” to sexual assaults to include the following:

4.3.1. Commanders (i.e., Pre-command, Flag and General Officer)

Installation SARCs reported they provided Roles and Responsibilities training for 1,807 Commanders, in FY10. NETC (CPPD) reports the following FY10 numbers:

- Major Command Leadership Course Graduations (O6-O7) – 170
- Prospective Commanding Officer Leadership Course Graduations (O5-O6) – 485
- Prospective Executive Officer Leadership Course Graduations (O4-O5) – 270

Tab 1 – FY10 SAPR Program Review Data Call for Sexual Assaults in the Military

- Command Master Chief/Chief of the Boat Leadership Course Grads (E8-E9) – 159

Sexual Assault Prevention is a recurring, required annual General Military Training (GMT) for all uniformed members. FY10 completions cannot be separated by commanders and subordinates.

BUMED reports 21 Prospective COs and 24 Prospective XO's received SAPR training along with standard Navy Medicine training.

4.3.2. Criminal Investigators

SARCs trained 131 military and 87 civilian criminal investigators on their roles for the Navy SAPR Program.

All NCIS agents are trained to be “first responders” to sexual assaults and other types of criminal activity. Currently, NCIS has more than 1,200 Special Agents. In FY10, ninety-two (92) NCIS Special Agents received advanced training on sexual assault investigations, thus expanding their ability to respond and investigate allegations of sexual assault. NCIS Special Agents are required to complete annual in-service training on sexual assault awareness, investigative procedures and victim sensitivity.

NCIS is participating in an OJAG case study project aimed at evaluating and improving the military justice process, as it pertains to prosecuting allegations of sexual assault. Currently, OJAG has initiated Phase 2 of the four phase case study project. Phase 1 reviewed investigatory effort and thoroughness, prosecutorial decisions and ascertained trends in investigations and prosecutions. NCIS anticipates results from the project will be used to improve investigative practices.

NCIS participated in the OJAG sponsored Prosecuting Alcohol Facilitated Sexual Assault course held at the Naval Justice School. The course, designed to improve the quality of sexual assault prosecutions and investigations, facilitated valuable interaction between NCIS and prosecutors. Instruction was provided by subject matter experts from diverse fields, to include; Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners, toxicology, DNA evidence and courtroom testimony.

NCIS participated in the NCIS/OJAG/JAM Mobile Training Team (MTT) on Sexual Assault Investigation and Prosecution. MTT courses held in Jacksonville, FL, Kaneohe Bay, HI and San Diego, CA utilized a format combining prosecutors and NCIS investigators in a shared classroom. The shared environment enhanced cross discipline understanding, improved communication and established unity between prosecutors and investigators. Fifty (50) NCIS Special Agents attended the course, each receiving instruction from nationally recognized subject matter experts on offender focused investigations and prosecutions.

NCIS continues to cooperate with external agencies tasked with reviewing the DON SAPR program. Specifically, NCIS is currently participating with an audit conducted by

the Government Accountability Office (GAO). During the GAO’s audit, NCIS is providing case files for review and NCIS personnel whom the GAO interviews regarding sexual assault investigations.

4.3.3. Law enforcement

Law enforcement personnel attend installation-level SAPR training. Navy does not track statistics specific to law enforcement personnel. As SAPR is a command program, commands ensure annual SAPR training is completed. CNIC reports for FY10, SARCs trained 1,853 military and 212 civilian law enforcement personnel on SAPR program roles/responsibilities, although these numbers only reflect one component (SARC training) of law enforcement personnel.

4.3.4. Medical personnel

SARCs trained 5,913 military and 1,427 civilian medical personnel on their role in the Navy SAPR Program. BUMED reports the following:

Region	“First Responders”	“Forensic Examiners”
Navy Medicine West	7,183	59
National Capital Area	3,534	13
Navy Medicine East	9,745	43
Totals	20,462	115

4.3.5. Judge Advocates

Installation SARCs reported 1,370 Staff Judge Advocates attended training on their roles and responsibilities. OJAG (Code 20) reports the following:

Naval Justice School (NJS)

NJS provides the majority of Navy JA training and prepares JA’s for courtroom litigation through these training courses/classes:

- Basic Lawyer Class (BLC) – All JAs must complete this course in order to receive their Article 27(b), UCMJ certification. The course provides the initial training required by DODI 6495.02. In total, 72 Navy JAs attended BLC in FY10.
- Litigating Sexual Assault (26-30 Apr 2010). This course provided a basic overview of common issues encountered in sexual assault cases for both the prosecution and defense. Taught by a combination of experienced civilian and military attorneys and other experts, it covered topics required by DODI 6495.02, including forensic evidence, sexual assault forensic examination, sexual assault victim behavior, Military Rule of Evidence 412, and the use of experts, and alcohol facilitated sexual assault. The course was attended by 26 Navy JAs.
- PCO / PXO (2-6 Aug 2010). This class provided training for perspective CO’s and XO’s of Naval Legal Service Offices and Regional Legal Service Offices. It

Tab 1 – FY10 SAPR Program Review Data Call for Sexual Assaults in the Military

included lectures on sexual assault prevention and response and VWAP. 18 Navy senior JAs attended.

- Staff Judge Advocate courses – Both the basic and advanced SJA courses included training on sexual assault prevention and response and VWAP. These courses were attended by 57 Navy JAs.

Online Training

Lunchtime Learning – The Office of the Judge Advocate General, Criminal Law Division (Code 20) hosts a Lunchtime Learning that entails monthly litigation training delivered at the Navy Yard. All area JAs are invited to attend. The lecture is audio taped and placed on the Code 20 Navy Knowledge Online (NKO) webpage with the briefing to make it available to all JAs in the field. The topics that are posted include:

- Psychological Issues and Sexual Assault
- The Rape Shield Law
- The Use of Experts at Trial
- And Then There Were Three (multiple accused and witnesses sexual assault)

Prosecutor Training

- Prosecuting Complex Cases at NJS (18-23 Jul 2010) – This course included a lecture on the Victim Witness Assistance Program (VWAP). The course was attended by 11 Navy JAs.
- Prosecuting Alcohol Facilitated Sexual Assault at NJS (30 Aug- 3 Sep 2010). AEquitas: The Prosecutor's Resource on Violence Against Women developed this new advanced trial advocacy course for the Navy, which was funded by OSD SAPRO. The mock scenario used in the course was based on an actual military case. To ensure the training was effective, authentic experts, including toxicologists and sexual assault nurse examiners, participated in the trial advocacy exercises. In addition, victim advocates and NCIS agents served as the victim and accused respectively in the trial advocacy exercises. Faculty included military and civilian experts on sexual assault litigation. The course was attended by 22 Navy JAs.
- Mobile Training Teams - DON SAPRO provided money to the Navy and Marine Corps JAs and NCIS to provide joint training to prosecutors and investigative agents in three geographic locations. The training focused on the investigation and prosecution of sexual assault. These courses were held in Jacksonville (16-17 Aug 2010), Hawaii (14-15 Sep 2010) and San Diego (27-28 Sep 2010). The course included two nationally recognized experts, Anne Munch J.D. and David Lisak, Ph.D. who spoke about working with and understanding victims, and understanding offenders. In total, 40 Navy JAs attended.

Defense Counsel Training

Navy JAG provided equivalent training to Trial and Defense Counsel to ensure both sides remained fully trained and equipped to handle sexual assault cases. In addition to the courses described above, defense counsel were provided the following training:

- Defending Complex Cases at NJS (12-18 Jul 2010) - This course included a lecture on the Victim Witness Assistance Program (VWAP). The course was attended by 18 Navy JAs.
- Defending Sexual Assault Cases in Plano, TX (20-24 Sep 2010) - This training was provided by the Center for American and International Law (CAIL) and offset the prosecution course offered through AEquitas and the Mobile Training Teams. The training was focused on sexual assault cases and involved substantive lectures and trial advocacy exercises. The course was attended by 33 Navy Judge Advocates.

4.3.6. Chaplains

SARCs trained 154 Chaplains on SAPR “Roles and Responsibilities.” Eighty (80) personnel attended the Chaplains “Basic” course; 24 attendees the “Intermediate” course and 18 attendees the “Advanced” course, all of which provides SAPR training.

4.4. Describe efforts to provide trained personnel, supplies, and transportation to deployed units to provide appropriate and timely response to reported cases of sexual assault.

SARCs reported spending numerous hours reaching out to ships, submarines and other tenant commands to ensure compliance with SAPR Program requirements when deployed. SARCs report an improvement in contacts with commands since engaging them during the Fleet SAPR Summits conducted in FY10.

SARCs reported meeting with VAs prior to deployments to ensure they understand how to contact the SARC as soon as possible on all new incidents and during port stops if they need immediate SAPR services for a victim. Training on the sexual assault forensic exam was provided for medical personnel assigned to deploying fleet and Marine Corps units. Training reviewed the specifics of the forensic exam, evidence collection, reporting options, and concerns in providing care in deployed settings.

4.4.1. Provide information regarding any existing gaps in supply inventory results, as well as the shortage of supplies, trained personnel, and transportation resources to support deployed units in responding to cases of sexual assault

Navy Medicine commands have reported no gaps or concerns in supply inventory or shortage. Training for MTFs providing forensic exam services has been scheduled on a rotating basis to prevent any delayed availability of trained providers due to deployment and routine personnel turn-over. NCIS reports no documented cases for FY10 which

indicated a victim did not receive services due to gaps or shortages in supplies, trained personnel or other resources.

a) Do your deployable units have sufficient numbers of VAs? If not, list the reasons.

Not always, some SARCs report that operational schedules and limited in-port time severely limits the ability to train adequate numbers of VA's. In addition, they report for some commands, especially single gender commands, it is challenging to have them send designated personnel to training or designate Victim Advocates.

In Africa the SARC reports that service members go “down range” in small numbers and for random time frames and they indicate all teams may not have access to trained personnel or SAFE kits while “down range.”

b) Do your deployable units have sufficient numbers of Sexual Assault Forensic Examination (SAFE) Kits? If not, list the reasons.

SAFE kits are normally supplied by the Medical Treatment Facility (MTF) or occasionally by NCIS. In deployed settings the command/unit (i.e., Ship medical department) would supply the kit, not the MTF.

4.4.2. List the number of victims whose care was hindered due to lack of available Sexual Assault Forensic Examination (SAFE) kits or other needed supplies, and describe how this information is collected

There were no verifiable reports of a victim whose care was hindered due to a lack of a SAFE kit. BUMED reports no victim cases where care was hindered due to the lack of available SAFE kits or supplies. MTF's that provide in-house SAFE services have kits on hand, maintained at the ER department or appropriate clinic.

4.4.3. For any lack of available SAFE kit cases reported in 4.4.2., describe the measures your Service took to remedy the situation at those locations

No cases reported in 4.4.2.

4.4.4. List the number of victims whose care was hindered due to the lack of timely access to appropriate laboratory testing resources and describe the measures your Service took to remedy the situation

There were no verifiable reports of victims whose care was hindered due to timely access of laboratory testing resources.

BUMED reports that timely access is available for all medical laboratory testing. In addition, patients are treated empirically for potential sexually transmitted infections prior to reported lab results.

NCIS uses the United States Army Criminal Investigations Laboratory (USACIL) and the Armed Forces Institute of Pathology (AFIP) for testing of submitted sexual assault

evidence. Additionally, NCIS has the discretion to utilize an accredited private criminal forensic laboratory, if necessary. To date, NCIS' utilization of USACIL, AFIP or a private laboratory has not hindered an investigation. NCIS maintains open lines of communication with USACIL and AFIP, which assists in continuing positive process change and development of best practices.

4.5. Other (Please explain): Not applicable

5. Improve System Accountability

5.1. Provide a description of how your Service executes its oversight of the SAPR program. Please include a synopsis of the formal processes, participants, etc. that support oversight of the program

OPNAV N1 as Navy's SAPR Executive Agent has policy, oversight and assessment responsibility for the SAPR program. OPNAV provides high level oversight and assessment through regularly scheduled program reviews in which key stakeholders provide inputs on critical SAPR measures of performance and effectiveness. These measures include such categories as resources, policy, communications and strategic planning. Additionally, OPNAV leads the Navy SAPR CFT which provides an interactive forum for all stakeholders to discuss initiatives, areas for improvement and opportunities for collaboration.

CNIC executes oversight of the SARCs through developing program guidance standards, training and SAPR program materials. Implementation and quality assurance (QA) are under the purview of CNIC Regional and Installation commanders. Compliance with guidance and policy are reviewed regularly through a robust FFSC Accreditation process.

Monthly SARC webinar trainings, an annual SARC training conference and regular SAPR program updates/communication provides opportunities for oversight and reviews of local SAPR programs. All these efforts ensure standardization of SARC roles and responsibilities.

SAPR oversight is provided through regular FFSP Regional Counseling, Advocacy, Prevention (RCAP) Manager meetings, FFSP Regional Advisory Boards (RAB), data collection in the SAPR Case Management System (CMS), Fleet and Family Support Management Information System (FFSMIS), quarterly reports, and the Accreditation process.

Navy Inspector General area visits (CONUS and OCONUS) include intensive review of installation SAPR programs and discussion with SARC's on installation support.

Installation SARCs facilitate Sexual Assault Case Management Group (SACMG) meetings to review open "unrestricted" cases, providing oversight for responders and SAPR professionals with regards to individual cases. The Navy also implemented

Tab 1 – FY10 SAPR Program Review Data Call for Sexual Assaults in the Military

Sexual Assault Coordinating Committees (SACC) in FY10 which provide local oversight on the systemic processes of the SAPR program at each Installation.

The Bureau of Medicine and Surgery (BUMED) Office of Women's Health provides oversight to Navy Medicine personnel and commands, to include Navy Medicine Regions, Military Treatment Facilities (MTF) and branch clinics. The BUMED operating instruction is BUMEDINST 6310.11 dated 23 Jun 2009.

To ensure appropriately trained medical providers are available to perform the SAFE, BUMED hired a full time (RN) instructor who provides training to all commands that provide sexual assault forensic exam (SAFE) services within the MTF.

Periodic BUMED data calls are conducted to evaluate program status and provide assistance, clarification and feedback as required. The Medical IG and DON SAPRO also conduct site visits to include medical facilities where information is shared.

5.2. Describe the oversight activities that have taken place during FY10 with the methods or approaches you use to perform oversight, including but not limited to the documentation and outcomes of:

5.2.1. Program management reviews

DON SAPRO made numerous site visits to Navy and Marine Corps installations (CONUS and OCONUS), and they provided feedback to the Navy which helped to identify gaps in policy, provide feedback regarding training, and gathered insights from Commanders, key stakeholders, and Sailors.

Out of 26 FFSC Accreditation site visits conducted in FY10, there was only one (1) negative finding in a SAPR related area and it was corrected within 90 days. Periodic data calls are conducted to evaluate program status and provide assistance as necessary.

5.2.2. IG inspections of the program

Navy MTFs are reviewed by the Medical Inspector General for program status and compliance. Results of all Medical IG evaluations are shared, discussed with the chain of command for ultimate correction and program compliance.

Area IG visits provided ongoing assessment of the SAPR program throughout the fleet.

5.2.3. Other (Please explain)

The Naval Audit Service (NAVAUDSVC) conducted a preliminary review of the Navy SAPR program, including review of publicized 24/7 reporting numbers in order to verify initial responses to sexual assault complaints were effective, efficient, appropriate, and that proper personnel were involved at an appropriate level in SAPR activities. Initial

findings indicated wrong numbers or the need for correction. Navy responded immediately to preliminary findings and took corrective actions. Additional measures were also taken to ensure availability and access for services.

5.3. Describe steps taken to address recommendations from external oversight activities, including:

5.3.1. Government Accountability Office

The Navy (CNIC, OJAG, NCIS) has met with GAO staff and they have responded to all requests for information and data and provided a response to the continuing GAO reviews and recommendations made to date.

5.3.2. DoD/Military Service IG

The Navy SAPR CFT developed a Plan of Action and Milestones (POA&M) to track the status of Department of the Navy Sexual Assault Study (SAS) recommendations during FY10.

Recommendations:

- CNIC develop a standardized new SARC training. In FY10, CNIC launched new SARC web-based training to address the IG recommendation.
- CNIC implement a formal training curriculum for all SAPR Command Personnel. CNIC revised all SAPR trainings in FY10 to include, Command POCs, Command Liaisons and Data Collection Coordinators (DCCs). CNIC also held “Train the Trainer” SARC webinars to ensure standard, consistent Navy-wide training. CNIC also provided SARCs two days of additional training after the DON SARC Summit, on standardized delivery of SAPR GMT (world-wide).
- Each MTF treating sexual assault victims have at least one trained Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner (SANE). SANE refers to an RN who is certified in performing the sexual assault forensic exam (SAFE). To obtain certification, nurses must have special training and pass a SANE certification exam. Nurses who perform the exam in Navy Medicine Commands have difficulty obtaining enough cases to maintain eligibility for the certification exam. If BUMED relies solely on certified SANEs to care for SA victims, there would be a gap in caring for our beneficiaries, especially deployed personnel. Many of our deployed forces do not have assigned RNs, although physicians, physician assistants or independent duty corpsmen are assigned. For this reason BUMED is planning to train other providers and not limit this task to nurses alone. Since hiring our dedicated RN trainer, each Navy MTF performing forensic exams has providers trained on the SAFE kit. Due to frequent personnel turn-over, training is scheduled annually and on-going, to maintain the skills and adequate number of trained providers.
- BUMED conduct a feasibility study of providing SAFE exams “in-house” at all MTFs. For providers that can perform this service at a Navy MTF, it is difficult to

Tab 1 – FY10 SAPR Program Review Data Call for Sexual Assaults in the Military

maintain competency in the procedure due to the limited number of requested exams. Many victims decline the option to collect forensic evidence or do not report in time to collect evidence. BUMED is considering a review/study to determine why victims do not seek medical care and how we can influence this decision.

5.3.3. Defense Task Force on Sexual Assault in the Military Services

The SAPR CFT reviewed the DTF-SAMS recommendations and responded to OSD SAPRO with a written reply in FY10.

DTF-SAMS recommended that SAPR training emphasize the importance of contacting the SARC to preserve the restricted reporting option. In FY10, CNIC worked closely with NETC (N7) to update SAPR annual GMT to meet SAPR DoDI requirements. SARCs were subsequently trained that either the SARC or a VA should be contacted first, in order to preserve the “restricted” reporting option. This change was also made in revised Navy SAPR pamphlets/handouts.

DTF-SAMS recommended the creation of a universal hotline to facilitate victim reporting. CNIC worked with Military One Source (MOS) to ensure they have current contact information for SARCs at every location. CNIC is also working with OSD SAPRO in support of the new DoD contract with Rape, Abuse, & Incest National Network (RAINN) to provide an additional resource for military to use worldwide for reporting sexual assaults and to refer them to the closest military or civilian sexual assault resource.

5.3.4. Other (Please explain)

Although the NAVAUDSVC has not completed their review, CNIC has moved to address preliminary recommendations. CNIC is working with regional Base Communications Offices and SARCs to change 24/7 SAPR reporting numbers to one standardized number (i.e., XXX-SAPR (7277)) to standardize the reporting number and improve marketing to Navy world-wide. This action is currently in the planning stages.

5.4. Provide a summary of your research and data collection activities

5.4.1. Describe the research and data collection activities that have taken place within your Service during the past fiscal year

With regards to data collection for FY10, CNIC has consistently trained SARCs on the use of the automated SAPR Case Management System (CMS), emphasizing the “daily” requirement to improve timeliness and efficiency of SAPR data collection. CMS data, uploaded by Navy SARCs is used weekly, to brief senior Navy Leadership by way of the CNO’s Morning Meeting (CMM).

Tab 1 – FY10 SAPR Program Review Data Call for Sexual Assaults in the Military

The results of the NPRST SAPR Quick Poll (follow-up to 2004, 2005 & 2008 Quick Polls) were briefed in September 2010 and indicate positive trends regarding Military awareness of the Navy SAPR program, across all ranks, male and female.

OPNAVINST 3100.6J, requires Commands to instigate an initial, follow-up and a final SITREP for SAPR unrestricted cases. SITREPs are collected, analyzed and reported to senior leadership as well. Quarterly SARC reports provide data for tracking the number of “restricted” and “unrestricted” reports, and when reports change from “restricted” to “unrestricted.”

5.4.2. Provide the initiation or execution of any survey for the purpose of informing or improving Service SAPR programming, including highlights of available findings

Navy Personnel Research Studies and Technology (NPRST) is working the executive summary of the FY10 SAPR Quick Poll results, which will be used to brief senior Navy leadership on SAPR program effectiveness and to provide information for program improvement.

5.4.3. Provide the initiation or execution of any empirical research or evaluation project to inform or improve Service SAPR programming, including highlights of available findings

A Bystander Intervention pilot study to test the effectiveness and sustainability of Bystander Intervention training was initiated in FY10. Data from the project is now being collected and analyzed. It will be used to develop future programming.

5.4.4. Other (Please explain): Not applicable

5.5. Provide an update on how your Service has aligned its strategic planning documents to the *DoD-Wide SAPR Strategic Plan*:

Combating sexual assaults is a high priority throughout the Department of the Navy. The Department of the Navy Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office (DON-SAPRO) was established in September 2009 as the primary DON resource for expert SAPR assessment and program support. DON-SAPRO vision is a DON culture of gender respect where sexual assault is completely eliminated and never tolerated.

The Department of the Navy and the Navy SAPR programs have incorporated Bystander Intervention and the Spectrum of Prevention into their training, their focus on prevention, and strategic communication plan on Sexual Assault Prevention and Response. DON and Navy have also hired many of the same Subject Matter Experts (SME's) used for the DoD-wide SAPR Strategic Plan for consultation. DON SAPRO recently hired Dr. Alan Berkowitz who is well-known for Bystander Intervention, Social Norms theory, and focusing on the impact of alcohol as a Highly Qualified Expert (HQE). The DON and Navy SAPR Prevention Strategy and goals are in alignment with the DoD-wide SAPR Prevention Strategy.

5.6. Describe what measures are taken by your Service to ensure that Service members protected by a military protective order are informed in a timely manner of the member's option to request transfer from the command of assignment

CNIC trained SARCs to discuss MPO's at CO/XO in-briefs on the Commander's Toolkit. SARCs also emphasized MPO use during SAPR Command Liaison initial and refresher training. Victim Advocates are trained to discuss MPO's when discussing the DD Form 2910 (Victim Preference Statement) at initial meetings with victims.

5.7. Describe what steps are being taken to improve the collection of sexual assault data, particularly how your Service is preparing data systems to interface with the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database

CNIC launched the CMS in Dec 09 to improve data collection. CNIC HQ staff worked with OSD SAPRO to develop requirements for the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database (DSAID) currently under construction. CNIC staff met with OSD SAPRO and DON SAPRO to prep the interface between the CMS and DSAID.

5.8. Explain how your Service is reviewing military justice processes to improve investigations and prosecutions

During FY10, the Navy JAG Corps continued to actively work to improve the overall quality of military justice, specifically with regard to sexual assault litigation. We continued to expand the Military Justice Litigation Career Track (MJLCT), which enhances the ability to litigate all types of criminal cases, including those involving sexual assault. The MJLCT, established in 2007, refocused military justice as an essential Navy JAG Corps mission. The MJLCT improves the quality of military justice litigation in the Navy by keeping experienced counsel in the courtroom and providing leaders and mentors for new counsel. Enhancing the Navy's litigation practice in criminal cases improves the ability to litigate sexual assault cases.

In FY09, Navy JAG hired two nationally recognized experts on adult and child sexual assault and child physical abuse litigation to provide field-level legal training and case consultation, along with policy support for the Navy SAPR program. These civilians continue to provide training and case consultation and serve as subject matter experts on the development of all litigation training and other projects designed to improve military justice litigation.

Research/evidence based initiatives - OSD SAPRO provided Navy JAG with \$200,000 for prosecutor training. A portion of this money was utilized to support research and an evidence based analysis of the actual state of current military justice litigation as well as to identify any potential shortcomings. The following projects were funded:

Tab 1 – FY10 SAPR Program Review Data Call for Sexual Assaults in the Military

- Case Review II Project – Navy JAG is partnering with Marine Judge Advocate Division, Military Justice and NCIS on the Case Review II Project. A case review tool created by a team of prosecution and defense experts will be used to analyze both convictions and acquittals in sexual assault cases. The findings from the project will be used to evaluate current training criteria and assist in enhancing Navy JA's training and better equipping them to litigate sexual assault cases. Additionally, the project will assist in developing future training and resources to support trial and defense counsel.
- Expert Witness Project – Navy JAG is partnering with Marine JAM and NCIS on a project which will analyze the use of expert witnesses in sexual assault trials and determine whether improvements in resources and / or training is needed. The project will produce a database of expert witness testimony, analyze strengths and deficiencies in litigation techniques used with expert witness and develop a course curriculum on utilization of expert witnesses in trial.
- Development of Performance Measures (Metrics) for Prosecutors and Defense Counsel – This project will provide research, development and delivery of criminal justice litigation performance measures and the development of training targeted to the identified performance measures.

5.9. Describe the policies, procedures, and processes implemented by your Service to monitor sexual assault cases in which the victim is a deployed member of the Armed Forces and the assailant is a foreign national

NCIS is bound by the provisions of existing Status of Forces Agreements (SOFA), which determines the role of law enforcement agencies investigating allegations of sexual assault and other crimes. In locations where a SOFA requires Host Nation law enforcement agencies serve as the primary investigating authority, NCIS procedures require NCIS offer investigative assistance to the primary investigating authority while serving as a liaison between the effected command and the investigating authority. In the absence of a SOFA, the Host Nation retains authority over investigations of their nationals, in the event of a criminal allegation. In locations where the Host Nation lacks an established judicial system or the ability to investigate, NCIS may assume primary jurisdiction of a sexual assault investigation, after coordinating with the local U.S. military commander. In all cases, NCIS will monitor the investigation and brief the command utilizing available documentation from the Host Nation and NCIS investigative efforts.

6. Improve the Knowledge and Understanding of SAPR

6.1. Provide examples of your Service's efforts to leverage senior leadership and unit commanders support of the SAPR program (i.e., Held briefings, attended summits, etc.) to raise Service member's awareness of sexual assault matters

Tab 1 – FY10 SAPR Program Review Data Call for Sexual Assaults in the Military

During FY10 CNO, VCNO, DNS, CNP, MCPON and other Navy senior leadership were consistently briefed on the status of the Navy SAPR program. SAPR metric and program updates are briefed at the weekly CNO Morning Meetings (CMM).

SAPR Navy Administrative Messages (NAVADMIN) are released periodically throughout the year by senior leaders to provide program updates, trends and metrics. Personal for (PFOR) NAVADMINS are released to Flag officers, commanders and commanding officers emphasizing the critical role senior leaders play in creating a culture and environment that is intolerant of sexual assault. The PFORs also emphasize that sexual assaults in Navy are a safety and leadership issue the solution to which must have the attention and aggressive support of all senior Naval officers.

SARCs reported training 805 new Command POCs; 484 new Command Liaisons; and 681 new SAPR Data Collection Coordinators (DCC). The fleet conducted eleven (11) area SAPR workshops engaged leadership and clarified SAPR command roles within the SAPR Program. SARCs presented a standardized brief at each of these workshops.

SARCs/commands reported training 150,918 Sailors on the Annual Sexual Assault awareness GMT in FY10; 1,735 sailors were trained independently in their commands and 6,918 sailors completed the training on Navy Knowledge Online (NKO)

Beginning in FY10, delivery of Sexual Assault annual refresher and basic awareness training (GMT) moved from being available for online completion to face-to-face delivery. Policy stipulates that delivery include command senior leadership involvement.

Navy Medicine Prospective COs and XOs received briefings on the program and their role to ensure appropriate and timely medical support for victims. Discussion included the importance of medical care and support, forensic evidence collection, concerns with reporting options, and MTF requirement for a 24/7 response capability. In addition, site visit findings from The Department of Navy (DON), Office for Sexual Assault Prevention and Response were reviewed. Navy Medicine Regional Chiefs of Staff also received briefings on the program and their role to ensure appropriate and timely medical support for victims.

USFF held eleven (11) workshops in fleet concentration areas, CONUS and OCONUS, which reached 454 individual commands with 910 attendees. Each workshop held a session specific to unit commanders and senior leadership.

PACFLT hosted numerous SAPR prevention and awareness training events in CY10. To date, a total of 1,455 Sailors in the Pacific Area of Responsibility (AoR) were trained. Training venues included SAPR workshops targeted to senior leadership, "Naming the Unnamed Conspirator" sessions targeted to unit commanders and leadership, and "Sex Signals Production Shows" targeted to junior enlisted personnel. PACFLT is planning to conduct a similar variety of SAPR events in FY11.

6.2. Describe the expansion or creation of response-related SAPR communication and outreach activities in FY10, including specific audiences and related goals

CNIC is utilizing Facebook, Twitter, and internal Navy media (radio, television, web, blogs, etc) for SAPR communication to publicize SAPR and to provide opportunities to receive feedback.

CNIC HQ SAPR has encouraged installations/regions to conduct reporting procedures spot checks. These involve using mock sexual assault reports that review the entire SAPR process. There are also checks that simply review the effectiveness of the SAPR 24/7 Reporting number manned by VA's.

Additional FY10 Outreach Activities include:

- A SARC provided a teleconference for served SAPR Command POCs on how to assist respective COs establish an environment/culture of prevention.
- At an outreach activity, all Service Members signed a BI pledges
- Creation of “The P’s Program” used by VAs as a reminder to Prevent, Protect, and Participate
- SARCs connected with local ROTCs, Reserve Commands, and Navy Recruiting Districts to help stand up respective command SAPR programs
- A SARC held a spontaneous Command Duty Officer (CDO) mock response for several installations to capture accurate information on CDO knowledge – as a result CDOs identified required training for successful CDO watch standers
- A SARC created a Prevention plan distributed to all served commands which incorporates SAPR topics for Plans of the Day (POD) pubs, special training for personnel targeted to SAPR positions in the command structure and distribution of SAPR marketing materials
- SAPR VA's at one installation identify themselves with “SAPR” nametags
- VA's in one Region wear SAPR T-shirts provided by MWR
- A command purchased ID signs for VA barracks rooms so Sailors can more easily ID VAs as staff who can discuss SA in a ‘confidential’ manner

6.3. Describe the measures of effectiveness for your Service’s outreach efforts (i.e., Surveys) and detail results

Although no formal Measures of Effectiveness (MOEs) have been published to measure the effectiveness of the Navy's SAPR outreach efforts, 2010 SAPR Quick Poll results show trending upticks in Sailors knowledge and understanding of the SAPR program. In FY11, the SAPR-CFT will establish MOEs that review the effectiveness of the SAPR program.

6.4. List active partnerships with other federal agencies, non-federal agencies, and/or organizations for the purpose of research and evaluation in conjunction with SAPR program activities: Not applicable

6.5. List participation in congressional hearings, briefings, and congressional staff assistance meetings

DON-SAPRO staff members and Navy and Marine Corps Judge Advocate General staff have met with Congressional staffers three times in FY10. In addition, we have provided responses and SAPR information to Congresswoman Jane Harman and Congressman Turner and their staff members. The Director of DON-SAPRO was invited to and attended a Women's Caucus event. Two Congressional staff delegations have visited Navy locations in FY10 to review the SAPR program: Naval Station Great Lakes and the United States Naval Academy. Both visits were very positive and we provided additional materials for follow-on due outs.

Navy OJAG (3-Star Flag) briefed Congress on SAPR, 28 January 2010. OPNAV (N135) provided information to DON SAPRO regarding Congresswoman Jane Harman questions, March 2010.

6.6. Other (Please explain): Not applicable

7. Lessons Learned and Way Ahead

7.1. Provide a summary discussion of the progress made and challenges confronted by your Service's SAPR program in FY10

The SAPR-CFT made great strides toward improving the Navy SAPR program in FY10. Changes include development of the Executive Charter, a working SAPR Action Plan, weekly brief to the CNO and Navy Leadership, and revision of the Navy operating instruction. Challenges remain with movement toward recommended revisions to the SAPR program.

CNIC has greatly improved the data collection (Case Management System, trained SARCs) and standardization of service priorities during FY10. Challenges remain in sustaining the changes, and in continuous improvement and oversight. SARCs consistently reported that after NAVADMINS were released there was an increase in requests for more Commanders' Toolkit presentations and for GMT presentations.

SARCs have been encouraged to increase their recruiting efforts for volunteer Victim Advocates to ensure VA's are available to support commands worldwide.

Challenges:

- Continued command emphasis on OPNAVINST 1752.1B/C requirements and corrective actions to ensure program compliance
- SARCs inform some commands are not timely in notifying SARCs of cases

Tab 1 – FY10 SAPR Program Review Data Call for Sexual Assaults in the Military

- Some Commands are untimely in appointing personnel to fill key SAPR command positions (VA's, Command Liaisons/POCs, and Data Collection Coordinators)
- Maintaining staff competency on medical forensic exams with the limited # of exams provided by MTF providers
- DoD SAFE kits need revision – they do not include DoD required documentation or DD Form 2911 (obtained online)
- Obtaining toxicology on suspected drug facilitated assaults is difficult in OCONUS locations due to length of time for transporting specimens to AFIP for processing
- Unclear on the documentation location for SAPR medical services (i.e. SAFE) and still maintaining patient privacy

Progress:

- BUMED F/T SAPR instructor was hired to provide SAFE training to all MTFs. Eleven (11) sites and 98 students were trained in FY10
- Training for providers on the SAFE is collaborative with participation from NCIS, OJAG, SARCs, and the Chaplain Corps
- MTFs are revising local instructions, initiating annual competency assessments and periodic documentation reviews. One large MTF with subordinate branch clinics revised/standardized patient care policies to ensure appropriate/timely care
- Navy MTFs used computer media and social networking sites to disseminate information on the program for beneficiaries and potential victims. Facebook and command websites were used to increase communication efforts
- Navy Medicine Regional Chiefs of Staff and Prospective COs/XOs were briefed on the SAPR program and their specific roles/responsibilities to ensure a positive command climate and timely medical support for victims

7.2. Supply the status of FY10 plans described in last year's report, including but not limited to:

7.2.1. Prevention

Sexual assault is incompatible with our core values and it is corrosive to morale and to our operational and combat readiness. The Department of the Navy goals are to reduce the incidence of sexual assault, improve support for victims of sexual assault, and to focus on the prevention of sexual assault. Our priority is to engage key stakeholders in simultaneous data-driven prevention strategies to achieve a significant, measurable DON-wide reduction in the incidence of sexual assault.

Tab 1 – FY10 SAPR Program Review Data Call for Sexual Assaults in the Military

DON-SAPRO hired Dr. Alan Berkowitz, a civilian SME as a Department of the Navy HQE to provide consultation to Navy and Marine Corps SAPR programs and to enhance efforts on the prevention of sexual assault Department-wide.

CNIC provided Navy SARCs two full days of training following the DON SARC Summit to better equip them in the collection of data and standardized training for prevention, consistent with SAPR program revisions and changing focus.

The DON-SAPRO funded Bystander Intervention pilot project implemented by the Navy includes an evaluation component, and it will provide direction for future prevention training.

SARCs report increased communication with local responders which has provided for more opportunity to share knowledge about roles/responsibilities and develop more comprehensive prevention training.

7.2.2. Response

CNIC revised the SAPR Command position trainings and provided “train the trainer” webinars for SARCs to enable them to train personnel effectively and consistently across the Navy. A “New Navy SARC” web-based training course launched this fiscal year will further provide in-depth, clearly defined roles/responsibilities for all personnel. SARCs reported increased participation in the Sexual Assault Case Management Group (SACMG), which helped improve the reporting process.

Plans include:

- Training for additional SAFE personnel in MTF’s with in-house capability and provide a standardization of the exam in all facilities
- Training was accomplished at eleven (11) sites (98 providers), BUMED is reviewing SAPR training curriculum to ensure a standardize instruction by a certified Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner (SANE)
- BUMED will officially task facilities without in-house examination capabilities to have written Memorandum of Understanding (MOUs) with local civilian agencies that provide victim support
- Continuous review of medical MOUs will become an annual assessment

7.2.3. Oversight

The Department of the Navy Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office (DON-SAPRO) reports directly to SECNAV on related matters DON-wide. One of our taskings is to maintain visibility of Navy and Marine Corps SAPR Programs world-wide. DON-SAPRO conducts site visits in CONUS and overseas with the goal of hearing the unique insights of field level Commanders, Program Managers and key stakeholders as well as facilitating focus groups of E1 – E4, our high risk population for sexual assaults. These

Tab 1 – FY10 SAPR Program Review Data Call for Sexual Assaults in the Military

insights assist DON in formulating SECNAV policy guidance and in structuring prevention strategies in collaboration with the Services. The site visits also provide the opportunity to provide insight and small group training on Bystander Intervention, as part of our prevention strategy, and to get feedback on the lessons learned from our sponsored BI and Fleet SAPR Leadership Workshops.

DON-SAPRO hosts a weekly leadership meeting with OPNAV N1 and Marine Corps SAPR Program to discuss and plan Department-wide SAPR program and future initiatives.

CNIC implemented the SAPR Case Management System (CMS) which requires SARCs to track victim services and cases. CMS allows CNIC the ability to report numbers of sexual assaults occurring on a weekly basis to Navy Leadership. SARCs also report the CMS and other supplemental documents provided by CNIC have assisted in providing consistent guidance to local key SAPR Command personnel.

Plans include:

- Continued stakeholder collaboration to ensure a coordinated, comprehensive response to victims of sexual assault
- Continued periodic data calls to evaluate status, provide feedback, and determine future plans for improvement and progress
- Continued SAFE training and site reviews of facilities and procedures

7.3. Describe your Service's plans for FY11, which may include the discussion of the following:

Restricted Reporting

CNIC HQ will continue to train SARCs on its importance and develop a communication plan that better publicizes this option and also for victims to contact a SARC or a Victim Advocate first to ensure having the restricted reporting option. SARCs plan to expand their use of social media to further disseminate information about the SAPR Program and the reporting options it offers.

Advocacy

CNIC HQ plans to revise the Victim Advocate training course to update the information and provide more bystander intervention training techniques. SARCs report that they are planning to expand their use of technology and coordinating more with fellow SARCs in their regions in order to provide more opportunities for SAPR Command Personnel to receive training.

Prevention and Training

CNIC is researching the possibility of creating and conducting a new, live "New SARC" training course to be delivered at a schoolhouse. Additionally, CNIC is planning

Tab 1 – FY10 SAPR Program Review Data Call for Sexual Assaults in the Military

to develop a bystander intervention training module to deliver to the SARCs for training the general population that will augment other prevention initiatives. SARCs also plan to integrate more local SMEs into their training and prevention programming.

Research and Surveys

DON SAPRO plans to develop and implement an anonymous survey in FY11 to evaluate incidence, program, and training effectiveness. Metrics will also be developed for evaluation purposes. The Department of the Navy will support DoD research and survey efforts.

OPNAV (N1) will continue to fund SAPR quick polls and surveys in order to evaluate the Navy SAPR program. CNIC will continue to fund recruit research that has information on sexual assault for one more year. The SAPR Quick Poll will be completed and the findings published in FY11.

Oversight Activities

OSD SAPRO, DON-SAPRO, and GAO are engaged in oversight activities that will include site visits and additional data collection during FY11. OPNAV (N1) will continue regular Navy SAPR program assessments and fine-tuning ways to gather and analyze useful and valuable SAPR metrics.

CNIC HQ SAPR site visits are being reviewed for better oversight of SARCs and Victim Advocates. Also, ways of increasing installation and regional oversight for quality assurance of the CMS are being investigated. SARCs report that they plan to conduct local Sexual Assault Coordinating Council (SACC) meetings quarterly.

Legal

Code 20 will continue the projects started in FY10 (Case Review II, Expert Witness Project and Development of Performance Measures for Prosecutors and Defense Counsel) as well as training efforts initiated in FY10 and earlier (joint DVD and training at NJS). Our two civilian attorneys will continue to provide training and case consultation and serve as subject matter experts on sexual assault, child abuse and military justice litigation. The MJLCT will continue to expand by increasing the number of litigators identified as having expertise in military justice and then by assigning them to key positions within the military justice arena. Finally, OJAG has created a Trial Counsel Assistance Program to aid trial counsel in prosecuting cases.

Medical

Medical will continue to train providers on the medical forensic exam to ensure adequate trained personnel are available to perform exam in MTFs. BUMED will engage Navy Medicine Support Command to determine means of sustainability for provider SAFE training and verify MOUs are in place for MTFs that use civilian facilities for medical care and forensic evidence collection. Patients will continue to be offered

Tab 1 – FY10 SAPR Program Review Data Call for Sexual Assaults in the Military

mental health services, available through Behavioral Health Departments at MTFs, Fleet and Family Support Centers, and Marine Corps Counseling Centers.

Chaplain Headquarters Command (CHC) Religious Ministry Team (RMT)

SAPR emphasis on training will include RMT's annual training with local SARCs on familiarization with the SAPR program and policies to include reporting options, roles and responsibilities for all "first-responders," and the Chaplain's role on the SA Case Management Team. RMT participation in local and regional SAPR training to build supportive relationships with other care givers/SAPR Stakeholders. CHC will ensure Initial, Pre-Deployment and Annual training will continue, to insure Chaplains and RPs continue to receive SAPR training at CHC Basic Course and RP "A" Schools. Pre-Deployment check lists will be distributed for deploying RMTs. And in coordination with N097 and NETC (CPPD), the Naval Chaplaincy School and Center (NCSC), the Professional Development Team is prepping a one-day course on SAPR specific pastoral/spiritual care and counseling for Spring 2011.

Fiscal Year (FY) 2010 Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Review Data Call for Sexual Assaults in the Military

Executive Summary (United States Marine Corps)

During FY10, the Marine Corps received critical best practice advisement from renown academic subject matter experts and other professionals in the field of sexual assault prevention and response, and received the results of an Inspector General of the Marine Corps assessment of the SAPR program. As a result of this period of discovery, the Marine Corps developed its first SAPR Campaign Plan, initiated strategic communications and conducted essential senior leader training for General Officers and Sergeants Major. A SAPR Executive Steering Committee and Senior Leader Working Group were established to direct actions associated with the SAPR Campaign Plan and to advise the Commandant of the Marine Corps of the strategic communication requirements and plan status.

The SAPR Campaign Plan is comprised of five planks including: Prevention, Risk Reduction, Victim Care, Offender Accountability, and Program Administration. Actions are underway in each of the plank areas that will be completed in FY11 including: (1) Baseline training involving Bystander Intervention, Risks of Alcohol, Command Team training regarding SAPR policies, victimology and offender accountability, (2) our first *Victim Standards of Care* procedures, (3) enhanced SAPR personnel training for Program Managers (PMs), Sexual Assault Response Coordinators (SARCs), and Uniformed Victim Advocates (UVAs), and (4) enhanced prosecutor training.

At the installation level, this was the first full year of service for our newly established SAPR Program Managers (PM). They initiated innovative and awareness building prevention activities with the full support of command leadership.

1. Program Overview

The Marine Corps SAPR Program and the Navy SAPR Program are both part of the Department of the Navy and they share Naval Criminal Investigative Service (NCIS) and Bureau of Medicine and Surgery (BUMED) for investigative and medical SAPR functions. The DON-SAPRO, NCIS, and BUMED responses in the United States Navy SAPR report apply to the Marine Corps SAPR Program. Please see the USN report for those applicable responses.

The Marine Corps SAPR Program is governed by Marine Corps Order (MCO) 1752.5A, *Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program*, published 5 Feb 08. Additional guidance is published occasionally by the Commandant in the form of White Letters to commanders, or in All-Marine (ALMAR) message traffic to the Corps as a whole.

Pertinent White Letters currently in effect are:

- 03/05, Collateral Misconduct in Sexual Assault Cases

Tab 1 – FY10 SAPR Program Review Data Call for Sexual Assaults in the Military

- 02/09, Commander' Responsibilities in Addressing Sexual Assault
- 03/09, Sexual Assault Guidance to Our Legal Community

Pertinent ALMARS currently in effect are:

- 013/04, Expectation of Ethical Conduct

Pertinent MARADMINs currently in effect are:

- 209/04, Marine Corps Criminal Offense Reporting and Disposition Policy
- 534/04, Chaplain Training on Sexual Assault Prevention and Response
- 248/05, Sexual Assault Response Coordinator (SARC) Training
- 490/05, Sexual Assault Case Disposition and Administrative Separation Review Level
- 564/06, Staff Judge Advocate (SJA) Sexual Assault Data Input Responsibilities
- 591/06, Pocket Leaders Guide for Managing Marines in Distress
- 0224/09, National Sexual Assault Awareness Month (SAAM)
- 0328/09, Inspector General of the Marine Corps (IGMC) Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Review

Terminology used in the Marine Corps program is generally consistent with that used Department-wide: Program Managers (PMs) -- Appointed by Installation Commanders, PMs are full-time civilians who provide SAPR training, advocacy and oversight to victim care. PMs are located at the 18 Marine Corps installations. They serve as the chairmen for the case management review group, collaborate with SAPR related personnel and provide updates to their Commanding Officers.

Sexual Assault Response Coordinators (SARCs) -- SARCs have oversight responsibility for the UVAs, and serve as the focal point for ensuring that victims of sexual assault receive appropriate and responsive care.

Uniformed Victim Advocate (UVAs) -- UVAs provide direct victim (non-clinical) support and information on reporting options and resources. UVAs also assist deployed Marines who are victims of sexual assault.

Victim Advocates (VAs) -- Civilian Victim Advocates work for the Family Advocacy Program and provide non-clinical support to victims of sexual assault. VAs offer crisis intervention, safety planning and support during medical exams and court proceedings.

The Marine Corps SAPR Program is victim-centric, in that the direction each reported case takes depends in large part on the desires of, and choices made by, the victim. Commanders are responsible for effective execution of their SAPR program. At the General Court Martial Convening Authority (GCMCA) and/or installation commander level, this responsibility is discharged by the Command.

Tab 1 – FY10 SAPR Program Review Data Call for Sexual Assaults in the Military

PMs and SARCs work directly for their commanders. Upon report of a sexual assault incident, the assigned UVA reports directly to the SARC. The “chain of command” upon report of a sexual assault goes thusly: UVA to SARC to senior commander. The role of the PM will be updated in the revision to MCO 1752.5A.

Marine Corps victims’ reporting options and protections are the same in forward-deployed environments, to include combat zones, as they are in non-deployed environments. Due to the expeditionary nature, the Marine Corps does not deploy civilian victim advocates. Only UVAs/SARCs are deployed.

Chaplain support for the Marine Corps is provided via the US Navy Chaplain Corps. Chaplains are assigned to every Marine Corps installation, as well as to all deploying formations at the Special Court-Martial Convening Authority level and higher. Chaplains provide the full range of pastoral counseling and care that accompanies privileged communications.

Medical support for the Marine Corps is provided by the U.S. Navy’s Bureau of Medicine and Surgery (BUMED), which operates various Naval medical centers, hospitals, branch clinics and clinics at most Marine Corps installations. As with Chaplains, medical personnel are assigned to every Marine Corps command, including Marine detachments in the formal schools and most reserve commands.

The military criminal investigative organization (MCIO) that normally investigates felony crimes committed on Marines, by Marines or aboard Marine Corps Installations is the Naval Criminal Investigative Service (NCIS). The results of their investigations are generally used in a commander’s consideration of whether to refer a case to an Article 32 investigation or dispose of it in some other fashion such as Special or Summary Court-Martial, or non-judicially through Article 15, UCMJ (known as “non-judicial punishment” or NJP).

Crimes not immediately apparent as felonious may be investigated by the Criminal Investigation Division (CID) of the installation’s Provost Marshall’s Office (PMO). These reports may later be referred to NCIS, if so indicated during development of the fact pattern. Incidents investigated by CID may be disposed through non-judicial punishment, or adjudicated by Special or Summary Court-Martial, but are only very rarely sent to a General Court-Martial without first being referred to NCIS and the Article 32 process.

Counseling services for victims are provided via Clinical Counselors at Marine Corps Community Services.

2. Institutionalize Prevention Strategies in the Military Community

2.1. Under the Department’s adopted “The Spectrum of Prevention,” and its 6 components, describe the policies, procedures, and initiatives implemented or advanced during FY10 to prevent sexual assault

2.1.1. Identify efforts by your Service to promote prevention-related policy *

As noted previously, the Marine Corps established the SAPR Campaign Plan. The plan action planks support the Department’s “Spectrum of Prevention”.

The Marine Corps Order 1752.5A is presently being revised, as is the Automated Inspection Reporting System (AIRS) checklist. The most significant revision is the inclusion of the 18 PMs, located at the major Marine Corps installations. PMs play a critical role in prevention, as they are responsible for training. The high visibility of this position, through briefs, workshops and events, augments the strong support of sexual assault prevention within the Marine Corps.

24/7 Helpline

In FY10, a 24/7 Helpline was established at each major installation. This resource has created additional visibility for the sexual assault prevention program. The Helpline is staffed by SAPR personnel and is intended to provide information, advocacy and provide resources to Marines.

Senior Leaders Seminar

This four hour course is designed for E-8s, E-9s, Chief Warrant Officers, and senior officers. It is a comprehensive review of the SAPR program and related policies. Subject matter experts from the Judge Advocates office and from Law Enforcement (NCIS) are part of the training program.

Distance Learning for Leaders

Understanding the nature of competing requirements, a web-deliverable distance learning product was developed for senior leaders to access anytime with internet connection.

2.1.2. Identify the ways your Service is changing organizational prevention-based practices – Use Spectrum

Behavioral Health

The SAPR program was placed under the Behavioral Health umbrella in FY10, alongside Suicide, Family Advocacy Program, Substance Abuse, and Combat Operational Stress Control. With common training needs shared by these programs,

Tab 1 – FY10 SAPR Program Review Data Call for Sexual Assaults in the Military

SAPR is part of a more collaborative approach to prevention. This forward thinking approach will lead to more effective training in the coming year.

Mentors in Violence Program (MVP)

MVP is designed to give all Marines the tools required to effectively influence bystander behavior in potentially negative social situations, so that positive outcomes result. Collaborative efforts with Training and Education Command (TECOM) and the MVP training program staff, are underway to ensure the MVP curriculum has SAPR specific policies embedded into the training.

Sexual Assault Awareness Month (SAAM)

SAAM continues to grow in scope each year, with themes, plans and marketing campaigns in development to heighten awareness.

Sergeant Major of the Marine Corps (SMMC) Sexual Assault & Family Support Conference

This conference was designed to examine ways that enlisted leadership can support the prevention strategy and advance the intervention and risk reduction training being conducted.

2.1.3 Describe the methods used to foster prevention-related coalitions and networks (i.e., Name prevention subject matter experts consulted and involved at the Service level)

The Marine Corps utilized several Subject Matter Experts in FY10 to include:

1. Anne Munch, Esq. (Faculty, American Prosecutors Research Institute)
2. Catharsis Productions (Gail Stern and Christian Murphy)
3. MVP Strategies (Jackson Katz, Darryl Fort and Jeff O'Brien)
4. Alan Berkowitz (Subject Matter Expert on Bystander Intervention and Social Norms Theory)
5. David Lisak, PhD (Associate Professor of Psychology, University of Massachusetts)
6. Mike Domitrz (Sexual Assault Prevention: "Can I kiss you?")
7. Bernie McGrehan ("Comedy is the Cure")

2.1.4. List the prevention education and training initiatives and programs your Service offers for responders, particularly those that impart individual skills associated with bystander intervention or appropriate risk reduction that does not blame victims

Tab 1 – FY10 SAPR Program Review Data Call for Sexual Assaults in the Military

In FY10, the Marine Corps:

- Provided SAPR training to the following: Chaplains, Provost Marshall Office (PMO), Criminal Investigative Division (CID), Naval Criminal Investigative Service (NCIS), and Staff Judge Advocates (SJAs)
- Coordinated Senior Leaders Sexual Assault Prevention Seminars for Commanders
- Coordinated SAPR training with the Marine Corps Family Advocacy Program (FAP) for victim advocates and counselors
- Implemented Bystander Intervention Training into UVA Training
- Collaborated with substance abuse counselors to incorporate SAPR training into the Alcohol Impact Class
- Provided SAPR training for the Naval Justice School Senior Leaders Course
- Provided resource materials such as “Binge Drinking” and “Date Rape Prevention” to command teams
- Incorporated SAPR training into the Basic Recruiting Course and Drill Instructor School
- Initiated UVA Sexual Assault Helpline training

2.1.5. Identify your Service’s efforts to promote community education in the area of prevention (i.e., Communications, social marketing, and media initiatives)

The Marine Corps was active in community education in FY10. The following initiatives were promoted at various installations:

- Collaborated with local Rape Crisis Centers to provide SAPR training
- Conducted a 5K Fun Run for SAAM
- Established SAPR web-pages for installations and units throughout the Marine Corps
- Started 24/7 Helplines for sexual assault victims at 18 installations
- Promoted the SAPR program and provided information at local events. (ex: Domestic Violence Awareness Month, “Walk a Mile in Her Shoes”, and “Taking Back the Night”)
- Distributed thousands of “Quick Series” guides on sexual assault prevention
- Created Public Service Announcements (PSAs) to promote SAPR awareness via Joint Command Television Network to include in person radio appearances
- Utilized local base newspapers to provide SAPR awareness
- Coordinated with Subject Matter Experts (SMEs) on implementing: “Can I Kiss You” by Mike Domitrz; The Date Safe Project, “Sex Signals” by Catharsis

Tab 1 – FY10 SAPR Program Review Data Call for Sexual Assaults in the Military

Production, Mentors in Violence Prevention (MVP) by Jackson Katz, and “Comedy is the Cure” by Bernie McGrenahan

- Placed teal ribbons on emergency vehicles for SAAM
- Staffed SAPR booths at the installation Commissaries and Exchanges
- Placed SAPR banners at installation gates
- Created command SAPR brochures and SARC/UVA posters
- Set up “Traveling Shoe Project” in areas of high pedestrian traffic on installations
- Provided “Palm Cards” with SAPR information given to every Marine on board the installation
- Promoted the Marine Corps SAPR video “Choices”
- Networked with local prevention councils to disseminate SAPR materials

2.1.6. Describe the ways that your Service is strengthening Service member knowledge and skills (i.e., Bystander intervention)

- MVP has been incorporated at Marine Corps University to be taught at all levels (Corporals Course {E-4}; Sergeants Course {E-5}; Career Course {E-6}; and the Advanced Course {E-7})
- Senior leaders have been outspoken on the topic of bystander intervention during briefs, and summits, reminding Marines that sexual assault prevention is everyone’s responsibility
- PMs and SARCs were provided updates on bystander intervention and the training vision for all Marines, as they relate to SAPR
- SAPR pamphlets have been created and disseminated on all levels regarding bystander intervention
- The SAPR program contracted to create video-based bystander intervention training to be disseminated to NCOs and junior enlisted Marines in the next 12 months
- USMC Training and Education Command (TECOM) incorporated bystander intervention into their training (Value Based Training; Recruit Training Pilot; Cpl’s Course)

2.1.7. Other (Please explain): None.

2.2. List all studies of sexual assault prevalence and/or prevention programming effectiveness supported or performed by your Service

The Center for Naval Analysis (CNA) study – “Risk Mitigation and Response: Applying Best Practices from the Civilian Population to the Marine Corps.” The CNA study provides a comprehensive overview of sexual assaults within the Marine Corps. The results of that study are being utilized in the sexual assault prevention campaign and the five initiatives (Prevention, Risk Reduction, Accountability, Victim Services, and Administration) outlined for the upcoming year.

2.3. Describe any plans in place for Service actions in FY11 related to the prevention of sexual assault

The Marine Corps has a lengthy list of pending Service actions for FY11.

- Roll out the completed video-based Bystander Intervention training to all NCOs and junior enlisted Marines
- Roll out the new SAPR training curriculum for Program Managers to utilize as Master Trainers for UVA and SARC training
- Implement the revised Command Team Training
- Complete the MCO 1752.5A revision
- Disseminate the revised AIRS Checklist
- Create Public Service Announcement on the topics of alcohol and dispelling myths about sexual assaults within the Marine Corps
- Research and implement best practices for gender specific training
- Research and implement best practices for sexual assault prevention, as it relates to alcohol

3. Increase Climate of Victim Confidence Associated with Reporting

3.1. Provide major steps taken to publicize reporting options (Restricted and Unrestricted) and/or encourage the reporting of sexual assault by Service members (i.e., Local command initiatives that demonstrate the commanders’ role in creating a climate of confidence)

The Marine Corps believes its first priority is for victims to be protected and treated with dignity and respect and to receive the medical treatment, care and counseling they deserve. Every effort is made to ensure that Marines are aware of the restricted and unrestricted reporting options available for victims of sexual assault.

Below are a few of the steps taken at various installations to publicize reporting options:

- Provided command team training on SAPR roles and responsibilities to include commander led discussions during new-comer orientation

Tab 1 – FY10 SAPR Program Review Data Call for Sexual Assaults in the Military

- Developed command and installation websites, where SAPR reporting options were published
- Used installation billboards, all hand emails, fliers and posters such as “Let’s call the UVA” developed by Men Can Stop Rape
- Published policy letters and response Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) throughout the command
- Published articles of reporting options in the base newspaper
- Re-emphasized reporting options during SAPR and Bystander Intervention training
- Utilized PSAs on reporting options played at base theaters, cable networks and online
- Shared information on reporting options during safety stand downs
- Staffed information tables at exchanges, providing SAPR reporting options
- Released a monthly SAPR News Gram emphasizing different aspects of sexual assault prevention and the role of leadership

3.2. Discuss Unrestricted and Restricted Reporting process challenges encountered, as well as the solutions your Service developed and implemented during FY10 within the context of:

3.2.1. Joint environments

In joint environments, the issue is determining which Service SAPR program to follow. The solution for the Marine Corps was to draft a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) specifically stating Marines would fall under the Marine Corps policy with the understanding the host Service will provide victim support services.

3.2.2. Combat Areas of Interest See 3.2.4.

3.2.3. Tracking victim services

The challenge is tracking ongoing victim services for unrestricted and restricted cases after initial case entry. As a result, the SAPR program is collaborating with the Information Technologies Department to implement a Case Management System to be attached to the SAIRD program. Such a system will allow us to track status of mental health counseling, requests for legal support, and easier access to case status.

3.2.4. Restricted Reporting in any environment

A victim at a deployed remote location electing a restricted report will be challenged to maintain confidentiality due concerns to of accountability in terms of seeking a SAFE exam or medical services at a different location. The solution is more emphasis on training, highlighting those individuals who can accept a restricted report. In addition,

Command Team Training will focus on commanders' limited role in restricted reporting. California mandatory reporting by medical personnel presents a challenge to restricted reporting. The solution requires a change to California law. In the interim, Marine Corps is seeking an establishment of MOUs between military medical personnel and law enforcement to recognize victim requests for restricted reporting.

3.2.5. Other (Please explain): None.

3.3. Describe efforts, polices, and/or programmatic changes taken to improve Service member confidence and/or victim participation in the investigative and military justice processes

Judge Advocate Division (JA) has been actively engaged in improving victim participation and support in FY10. JA held a Marine Corps wide Victim and Witness Assistance Program Conference that emphasized the crimes of sexual assault and domestic violence. Trial counsel, legal support personnel and other personnel in the field of sexual assault prevention and response were trained on victim care/support and resources.

3.4. List initiatives and programs implemented to reduce the stigma associated with reporting sexual assault

The Marine Corps has taken strong action to identify and train Marines on the myths and facts associated with sexual assault. Dispelling commonly held myths regarding victims and their behaviors such as percentages of false reports, periods of vulnerability, etc., and offender behaviors such as most are non-strangers is helping to reduce the stigma associated with sexual assault reporting and elevating the awareness needed by all bystanders.

Training development and revisions are more victim-centered. A great deal of emphasis is placed on the mental health of Marines who have chosen to report or not to report their assault. This dialogue about stressors and the long-term impact of unmet mental health needs is just one way the Marine Corps is demonstrating a level of comfort with this topic. Commanders are being made aware of the impact on combat readiness for Marines who have acute and chronic stressors.

Briefs now contain the topic of male sexual assaults. While the percentage of male reported sexual assaults is low, the Marine Corps recognizes that these assaults do exist and are highly likely to remain unreported without due attention. Dispelling the myth that sexual assault is exclusively a crime against females is a priority.

In development, formal *Standards of Care* for use by UVAs and SARCs are intended to outline appropriate victim care. These guidelines will focus on preserving the respect and dignity of all victims.

4. Improve Sexual Assault Response

4.1. Describe the policies, procedures, and initiatives implemented or advanced during FY10 to respond to, or improve the response to, allegations of sexual assault

A number of initiatives have been implemented to improve responses to allegations of sexual assault:

The 24/7 Helpline is available for victims of sexual assault and is only answered by SAPR personnel who have the authority to take a restricted report. The Helpline allows victims the opportunity to seek advocacy and information, while maintaining their privacy as they explore their reporting options. The Helpline is answered by PMs, SARCs, UVAs, or VAs. Unlike other Helplines, victims are supported by someone within the Marine Corps. Victims are provided advocacy and guidance as needed. Lastly, Helpline calls are answered live with a call-back response time of no more than 15 minutes.

Additional steps have been taken in FY10 to provide more comprehensive training to Commanders, as to their role in the investigative process. Commanders are advised to limit their inquiries, so as not to interfere with a formal investigation. Similarly, Commanders are provided training on the barriers to reporting from a victim's perspective and respecting an individual's right to decline a report, despite the commander's wishes to hold the offender accountable.

4.2. List the number of new SARCS (include Deployable) and VAs (include Deployable) trained; the types of training received, which must include refresher training; and if the training was received prior to deployment

4.2.1. SARCS (include Deployable)

92 SARCS received training in FY10.

4.2.1.1. List the number of new personnel trained

Above number includes initial and refresher training.

4.2.1.2. Provide the types of training received (i.e., initial, refresher)

- Senior Leader SAPR training
- Department of the Navy Sexual Assault Prevention Summit
- DoN SAPRO SARC Summit
- National Conference for Victims of Crime
- California Coalition Against Sexual Assault - National Conference
- Sexual Assault Prevention Training

Tab 1 – FY10 SAPR Program Review Data Call for Sexual Assaults in the Military

- Marine Corps Victim Witness Assistance Program Conference
- Bystander Intervention Training
- Initial SAPR training
- Refresher SAPR training

4.2.1.3. Indicate if training occurred prior to deployment

Yes, Marine Corps SARC training is conducted prior to deployment

4.2.2. VAs (include Deployable)

912 Victim Advocates received training in FY10.

4.2.2.1. List the number of new personnel trained

Above number includes initial and refresher training.

4.2.2.2. Provide the types of training received

- Monthly UVA/SARC training meetings
- UVA training with Gail Stern's "Sex Signals"
- UVA training with Mike Domitrz's "The Date Safe Project"
- SAPR 24/7 Helpline training
- Bystander Intervention Training
- Alcohol & Drug Facilitated Sexual Assault training
- National Center for Victims of Crime Conference
- Initial SAPR training
- Refresher SAPR training

4.2.2.3. Indicate if training occurred prior to deployment

Yes, Marine Corps UVA training is conducted prior to deployment only.

4.3. List the number of personnel who received sexual assault training:

4.3.1. Commanders (i.e., Pre-command, Flag and General Officer)

258 commanders received training in FY10.

4.3.2. Criminal investigators

154 Criminal investigators received training in FY10. Refer to USN SAPR Report for additional information.

4.3.3. Law enforcement

5,874 law enforcement officials received training in FY10.

4.3.4. Medical personnel

BUMED reports the following:

Region	“First Responders”	“Forensic Examiners”
Navy Medicine West	7,183	59
National Capital Area	3,534	13
Navy Medicine East	9,745	43
Totals	20,462	115

4.3.5. Judge Advocates

All 41 Marine student judge advocates trained at the Naval Justice School’s (NJS) Basic Lawyer Course in FY10 received initial SAPR training. An additional 63 Marine SJAs, prosecutors and military justice supervisors received detailed courses of instruction on the investigation and prosecution of sexual assault cases at the Joint Mobile Training Team courses and the NJS courses Prosecuting Alcohol Facilitated Sexual Assault.

4.3.6. Chaplains

171 Chaplains received training in FY10.

4.4. Describe efforts to provide trained personnel, supplies, and transportation to deployed units to provide appropriate and timely response to reported cases of sexual assault

Per MCO 1752.5A, all deploying squadrons and battalions are required to have trained UVAs prior to deployment. In addition, there is always a deployed SARC in theater. The Naval Criminal Investigative Service (NCIS) holds Sexual Assault Forensic Examination (SAFE) kits for on-call use when necessary. In accordance with BUMEDINST 6310.11, continuous capability to provide victim services and perform SAFEs is required, regardless of location. Availability of transportation to support response for victims in deployed units is always contingent upon safety, operational necessity, environmental conditions, and tasking/sortie rate of platforms.

4.4.1. Provide information regarding any existing gaps in supply inventory results, as well as the shortage of supplies, trained personnel, and transportation resources to support deployed units in responding to cases of sexual assault

Navy Medicine commands have reported no gaps or concerns in supply inventory or shortage. Training for MTFs providing forensic exam services has been scheduled on a rotating basis to prevent any delayed availability of trained providers due to deployment

and routine personnel turn-over. NCIS reports no documented cases for FY10 which indicated a victim did not receive services due to gaps or shortages in supplies, trained personnel or other resources.

4.4.2. List the number of victims, if any, whose care was hindered due to lack of available Sexual Assault Forensic Examination (SAFE) kits or other needed supplies, and describe how this information is collected

No victim care was hindered due to the lack of availability of SAFE kits. There were no verifiable reports of a victim whose care was hindered due to a lack of a SAFE kit. BUMED reports no victim cases where care was hindered due to the lack of available SAFE kits or supplies. MTF's that provide in-house SAFE services have kits on hand, maintained at the ER department or appropriate clinic.

4.4.3. For any lack of available SAFE kit cases reported in 4.4.2., describe the measures your Service took to remedy the situation at those locations

Not applicable

4.4.4. List the number of victims, if any, whose care was hindered due to the lack of timely access to appropriate laboratory testing resources and describe the measures your Service took to remedy the situation

None reported.

4.5. Other (Please explain)

One case was reported where a victim had to be transported 90 minutes away in order to undergo a SAFE. That matter is being addressed.

5. Improve System Accountability

5.1. Provide a description of how your Service executes its oversight of the SAPR program. Please include a synopsis of the formal processes, participants, etc. that support oversight of the program

1. Command / Unit Inspection Program (CIP/UIP) conducted by the Inspector General of the Marine Corps (IGMC):

All Marine Corps reporting units are inspected with a fair degree of rigor. The inspections are conducted by subject matter experts drawn from throughout the Marine Corps.

2. Sexual Assault Incident Reporting Database (SAIRD):

Frequent reviews of submitted reports in SAIRD assist in validation of the data collected. Certain fields are mandatory, and reports are required to be submitted as "final" within 30 days, to allow for collection of information that may not be immediately

Tab 1 – FY10 SAPR Program Review Data Call for Sexual Assaults in the Military

available when an incident first occurs. A report of delinquency is forwarded monthly to each SARC with oversight responsibility for those reports.

3. Monthly Program Manager VTC:

The HQMC SAPR section conducts monthly VTC meetings with the PMs to provide updates and discuss SAPR related issues as a team.

4. Site Visits:

Site Visits were initiated at the end of FY10 and are scheduled to be completed in FY11. The purpose of these visits is to check-in with SAPR personnel, while providing direct 1:1 guidance on pertinent issues. With the addition of the SAPR Liaison in the coming months, more emphasis will be placed on a “programmatic” checklist, ensuring best practices for victim care and not just on policy.

5. Marine Corps Inspector General (MCIG) Status Updates:

The SAPR program has been held accountable for the findings outlined in the 2009 MCIG Report. Status updates and action plans for the set recommendations were required throughout FY10.

5.2.1. Program management reviews

The Naval Audit Service conducted a review of SAPR related information on Marine Corps websites and the response time for advocacy. As a result of that review process, the 24/7 Helpline was designed for immediate response to victims.

The MCIG’s Report identified a number of areas for improvement that are being addressed. In summary, the MCIG identified the need for

- More specific and relevant SAPR related training for all Marines;
- More integration and collaboration with behavioral health programs;
- More training with medical personnel as they related to SAPR; and
- More attention to the appointment and selection of UVAs.

The response to those findings is:

- Revise SAPR training for PMs, Command Team, SARCs and UVAs.
- Provide training that evolves over time, applying best practices that meet the annual training needs.
- Collaborate under the umbrella of behavioral health, identifying common trends and designing more effective training strategies collectively.
- Work with BUMED to ensure medical personnel are up-to-date on SAPR related policies and procedures. In addition, provide general oversight on victim care at medical treatment facilities.

Tab 1 – FY10 SAPR Program Review Data Call for Sexual Assaults in the Military

- Outline the qualification of personnel to fill the billet of UVA during Command Team Training and ensure that each unit is properly staffed with two UVAs.

5.2.2. IG inspections of the program

17 Marine Corps IG inspections were conducted in FY10; 15 were Mission Capable (MC) and two were Non Mission Capable (NMC).

5.2.3. Other (Please explain): None.

5.3. Describe steps taken to address recommendations from the following external oversight bodies:

5.3.1. Government Accountability Office

The Marine Corps SAPR Program, Judge Advocate Division and NCIS met with GAO staff and they have responded to all requests for information and data and provided a response to the continuing GAO reviews and recommendations made to date.

The Marine Corps collaborated to help define the requirements for the proposed DSAID program. The DSAID Program will be utilized across all military Services and will have a case management component. Research was collected on those services and items that need to be tracked for a more comprehensive approach to data collection.

5.3.2. DoD/Military Service IG

The Marine Corps is waiting for guidance from OSD at this time.

5.3.3. Defense Task Force on Sexual Assault in the Military Services

DTFsAMS recommends there be more structural, organizational and strategic clarity. The recommendations cover the spectrum from strategic proposals to specific actions that would improve prevention, victim response and accountability. A number of findings and recommendations point to the fact that sexual assault programs must be given a more permanent place within the military organization and culture. The recommendations from DTFsAMS are being addressed.

5.3.4. Other (Please explain): None.

5.4. Provide a summary of your research and data collection activities

5.4.1. Describe the research and data collection activities that have taken place within your Service during the past fiscal year

Judge Advocates Office: Case Review Projects I and II.

Tab 1 – FY10 SAPR Program Review Data Call for Sexual Assaults in the Military

The JAM continues to partner with Navy JAGs sexual assault experts and NCIS on the Case Review Projects. The first project was complete at the beginning of FY 10 and the second project began at the end of the FY10. The Case Review Project II will include the use of an improved case review tool created by a team of prosecution and defense experts, which will be used to analyze both convictions and acquittals in sexual assault cases. The findings from the project will be used to evaluate current training criteria and assist in enhancing prosecutor training. Additionally, the project will assist in developing future training and resources to support trial and defense counsel.

5.4.2. Provide the initiation or execution of any survey for the purpose of informing or improving Service SAPR programming, including highlights of available findings

The Marine Corps is awaiting the results from the 2010 Command Climate Survey where seven questions pertaining to sexual assault were asked. In addition, collaborative efforts were made with HQMC Equal Opportunity personnel to permanently add six or seven questions to the command climate survey that goes out to new commanders within 90 days of assuming command. Those results when obtained would be received quarterly.

5.4.3. Provide the initiation or execution of any empirical research or evaluation project to inform or improve Service SAPR programming, including highlights of available findings

Research related to alcohol, as it relates to sexual assault is underway. Research related to gender specific training on the topic of sexual assault is underway.

5.4.4. Other (Please explain): None.

5.5. Provide an update on how your Service has aligned its strategic planning documents to the *DoD-Wide SAPR Strategic Plan*

The Marine Corps has aligned its initiatives with the DoD Wide SAPR Strategic Plan in the following ways:

- Incorporating Bystander Intervention into the Marine Corps training plan, to include MVP.
- Engaging leadership through the “Command Team Training” in conveying that sexual assault is a crime and will not be tolerated within the Marine Corps.
- Creating and implementing a comprehensive plan for prevention and response, with an emphasis on victim-care.

5.6. Describe what measures are taken by your Service to ensure that Service members protected by a military protective order are informed in a timely manner of the member’s option to request transfer from the command of assignment

Tab 1 – FY10 SAPR Program Review Data Call for Sexual Assaults in the Military

- MCO 1752.5A specifically provides guidance for Commanders on separating victims from the accused.
- Victims are informed by the Commanding Officer or Sergeant Major about their option to be transferred.
- The SAPR PM ensures that all protections provided under an unrestricted report, including the option to be separated and request PCAO/PCSO, are covered in every training session.

5.7. Describe what steps are being taken to improve the collection of sexual assault data, particularly how your Service is preparing data systems to interface with the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database

- The Marine Corps obtained the specifications and requirements for the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database (DSAID).
- DSAID mapping to the Sexual Assault Incident Reporting Database (SAIRD) was completed and gaps were identified.
- SAIRD will be implemented based on the final version of DSAID according to Marine Corps leadership guidance.
- While DSAID is being developed and piloted, the Marine Corps is establishing a case management tool to be attached to SAIRD, so pertinent victim care information can be tracked.

5.8. Explain how your Service is reviewing military justice processes to improve investigations and prosecutions

- In 2005, the Marine legal community, while maintaining the preference for generalists, recognized that certain supervisory legal billets, such as military justice, required additional concentration. The Marine Corps effected a change to the MOS Manual to add six additional MOSs (AMOS) for judge advocates. The re-coding created the requirement that these billets be filled with judge advocates possessing the advanced education, experience and training required to be assigned to an AMOS. In June 2010, 22 4402 billets were re-coded, all of them to the 4409 (military justice supervisor) AMOS. This initiative will provide prosecutors and defense counsel in the field with highly qualified supervisors, with proven experience and education and should greatly improve the overall quality of our litigation in the years to come.
- In addition, in an effort to address the August 2009 CMC White Letter (directing the Staff Judge Advocate to the Commandant (SJA to CMC) to provide yearly training to judge advocates handling sexual assault cases in the USMC), the SJA to CMC's Military Justice Branch at Judge Advocate Division (JAM) undertook the following initiatives.
- USMC Trial Counsel Assistance Program (TCAP). JAM stood up the USMC Trial Counsel Assistance Program (TCAP) in May of 2010 to provide training, resources and reach back advice for Marine Prosecutors around the world. The

Tab 1 – FY10 SAPR Program Review Data Call for Sexual Assaults in the Military

TCAP is headed by a field grade military justice expert and a second tour captain with military justice experience. The TCAP, in partnership with Navy OJAG and NCIS, has already developed and sponsored training for investigators and prosecutors around the Marine Corps and DON-SAPRO on the best practices in handling sex crimes. The TCAP has developed an online electronic resource bank for prosecutors and a training blog updated weekly with tips for prosecutors and an open forum for discussion.

- Case Review Projects I and II. JAM continues to partner with Navy JAGs sexual assault experts and NCIS on the Case Review Projects. The first project was complete at the beginning of FY 10 and the second project began at the end of the FY. The Case Review Project II will include the use of an improved case review tool created by a team of prosecution and defense experts, which will be used to analyze both convictions and acquittals in sexual assault cases. The findings from the project will be used to evaluate current training criteria and assist in enhancing prosecutor training. Additionally, the project will assist in developing future training and resources to support trial and defense counsel.
- Expert Witness Project. JAM is partnering with Navy JAG and NCIS on a project which will analyze the use of expert witnesses in sexual assault trials and determine what improvements in resources and training are needed. The project will produce a database of expert witness testimony, analyze strengths and deficiencies in litigation techniques used with expert witness and develop a course curriculum on utilization of expert witnesses in trial.
- Joint Mobile Training Team. Based upon the results of the first Case Review Project, JAM, Navy OJAG and NCIS developed a training curriculum to respond to the training needs identified during the review. Key goals were to train both prosecutors and investigators together to promote cross training, exchange of ideas and develop the team concept and to promote the best practices in investigation and prosecution of sexual assault cases. Accordingly, with funding from DON-SAPRO and DoD SAPRO, instructors from the Marine Corps TCAP, Navy OJAG, Naval Justice School, NCIS, and two nationally recognized civilian experts (Ms. Anne Munch and Dr. David Lisak), traveled to three regions (E. Coast, W. Coast and Pacific) to provide training for Marine and Navy prosecutors and criminal investigators (NCIS and Marine CID/MP and Navy Security). The training was provided to over 40 Marine prosecutors, and numerous Navy JAGs, NCIS agents and USMC CID investigators and took place in Jacksonville, FL, Kaneohe Bay, HI and MCRD, San Diego, CA. The feedback from the students was largely positive.
- USMC 2010 Victim Witness Assistance Program (VWAP) Conference. In an ongoing effort to improve its VWAP, which provides rights and services for victims of sexual assault, JAM sponsored the first USMC-wide VWAP training conference from 4-6 June 2010, at Quantico, Virginia. JAM developed and provided the training with a special emphasis on caring for victims of sexual assault. JAM will hold another VWAP conference in spring of 2011. Over 60

Tab 1 – FY10 SAPR Program Review Data Call for Sexual Assaults in the Military

Marine judge advocates and victim service providers from around the Marine Corps attended this training and the feedback was positive.

- Joint Prosecutor DVD Training. USMC TCAP is participating with the other Services in the development of a joint training DVD on prosecuting sexual assault. This interactive DVD program was funded by OSD SAPRO in FY09 and will have 22 interactive modules that address the topics required under DoD policy. It will include virtual scenarios that simulate a direct examination of a victim and a cross examination of the accused. Completion in early FY11 is on schedule.
- NJS Alcohol Facilitated Sexual Assault Course. JAM, OJAG Code 20 and NJS partnered with The Prosecutor's Resource on Violence Against Women to provide an advanced trial advocacy course for the Navy and Marine prosecutors (24 Marines), which was funded by OSD SAPRO. The mock scenario used in the course was based on an actual military case. To ensure the training was effective, authentic experts, including toxicologists and sexual assault nurse examiners, participated in the trial advocacy exercises. In addition, victim advocates and NCIS agents served as the victim and accused respectively in the trial advocacy exercises. Faculty included military and civilian experts on sexual assault litigation.
- DON SARC Summit Training. JAM also partnered with Code 20's sexual assault litigation and policy expert, Ms. Teresa Scalzo, to provide training for the DoN-wide SARC conference in March of 2010 for USMC and USN SARCs on the Military Justice System, Understanding the New Article 120, Rape and Sexual Assault statute, and Working with Victims through the Military Justice Process.

5.9. Describe the policies, procedures, and processes implemented by your Service to monitor sexual assault cases in which the victim is a deployed member of the Armed Forces and the assailant is a foreign national

NCIS is bound by the provisions of existing Status of Forces Agreements (SOFA), which determines the role of law enforcement agencies investigating allegations of sexual assault and other crimes. In locations where a SOFA requires Host Nation law enforcement agencies serve as the primary investigating authority, NCIS procedures require NCIS offer investigative assistance to the primary investigating authority while serving as a liaison between the effected command and the investigating authority. In the absence of a SOFA, the Host Nation retains authority over investigations of their nationals, in the event of a criminal allegation. In locations where the Host Nation lacks an established judicial system or the ability to investigate, NCIS may assume primary jurisdiction of a sexual assault investigation, after coordinating with the local U.S. military commander. In all cases, NCIS will monitor the investigation and brief the command utilizing available documentation from the Host Nation and NCIS investigative efforts.

- In reference to Unrestricted Reports, NCIS does not distinguish in their matrix the label of Foreign National.

Tab 1 – FY10 SAPR Program Review Data Call for Sexual Assaults in the Military

- In reference to Restricted Reports, the Marine Corps SAPR data system does identify accused as Foreign Nationals in the drop down menu.

6. Improve the Knowledge and Understanding of SAPR

6.1. Provide examples of your Service's efforts to leverage senior leadership and unit commanders support of the SAPR program (i.e., Held briefings, attended summits, etc.) to raise Service member's awareness of sexual assault matters

The SAPR program has a tremendous amount of support from senior leaders. In FY10, Senior Leaders engaged in the following:

- Executive Working Group Charter as SAPR OPT output
- Assistant Commandant of the Marine Corps (ACMC) SAPR Operational Plan Training (OPT)
- Sergeant Major of the Marine Corps (SMMC) Sexual Assault Summit
- E-8 Seminar SAPR Brief
- SAPR Executive Working Group (EWG)
- Behavior Health Summit SAPR Brief
- SAPR Brief to Senior Enlisted Leaders Course
- SAPR Brief to 1stSgt Course
- USMC VWAP Conference
- CMC SAPR Stats Brief
- SAPR Brief to the General Officer's Summit (GOS)
- SAPR Brief to Senior Enlisted Professional Military Education
- SAPR Brief to Brigadier General Select Orientation Course

6.2. Describe the expansion or creation of response-related SAPR communication and outreach activities in FY10, including specific audiences and related goals.

A strategic communication plan is underway, which will provide a more standardized approach to reaching key audiences. In addition to the 24/7 Helpline, the Marine Corps has expanded outreach activities on the internet. A SAPR logo was designed to be placed on installation websites to increase our visibility throughout the Marine Corps. The web-based distance learning class is also available.

6.3. Describe the measures of effectiveness for your Service’s outreach efforts (i.e., Surveys) and detail results

The Marine Corps implemented a “3-click” rule for all SAPR websites to ensure victims of sexual assault could access resources. The 24/7 Helpline was audited in FY10 to assess compliance from the field.

The results from the MC Climate Assessment Survey (2010) are pending.

6.4. List active partnerships with other federal agencies, non-federal agencies, and/or organizations for the purpose of research and evaluation in conjunction with SAPR program activities (CMJ responses from field)

Active partnerships exist with all of the Federal Services. At the installation level, collaborative partnerships exist with medical treatment facilities and rape crisis centers. The Marine Corps attends weekly meetings with DON-SAPRO and works closely with OSD, NCIS and related organizations.

6.5. List participation in congressional hearings, briefings, and congressional staff assistance meetings

- USMC testified at the 2d Military Personnel Subcommittee Hearing on “Sexual Assault in the Military: Prevention”
- OSD SAPRO Sexual Assault Advisory Council Outreach Committee (SAAC)
- OSD SAAC Training Subcommittee Meetings
- OSD SAAC Research Subcommittee Meetings
- OSD SAAC Outreach Subcommittee Meetings
- DON-SAAC Meetings
- Department of the Navy SARC Summit
- DoD DCSPERS/M&RA Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database (DSAID) Meeting
- Teleconference with DON-SAPRO and OSD-SAPRO regarding FY10 SA data matrices
- Meetings with Legislative/Public Affairs personnel to compare draft bills introduced by Representative Tsongas in the House and Senator Kerry in the Senate; as well as indicated draft mark-ups to the National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) that focus on implementation of the recommendations from the DTF-SAMS
- Briefed the Joint Chiefs of Staff (JCS) on the DTS-SAM report to Secretary of Defense
- OSD-SAPRO service level meeting to discuss proposed changes to the DOD policy

Tab 1 – FY10 SAPR Program Review Data Call for Sexual Assaults in the Military

- Provided briefs to prepare the SMMC for congressional hearing in FY10
- Provided briefs to prepare the ACMC for congressional hearing in FY10
- Provided briefs to prepare the CMC for congressional hearing in FY10

6.6. Other (Please explain): None.

7. Lessons Learned and Way Ahead

7.1. Provide a summary discussion of the progress made and challenges confronted by your Service's SAPR program in FY10

The SAPR program actively designed and initiated a more comprehensive approach to sexual assault prevention in FY10. More focused on a strategic plan and being accountable for set objectives, the way ahead is clearly outlined. Lessons learned relate to the importance of promoting a more pro-active approach to combating sexual assault, as opposed to a punitive one. Marines hold themselves to high moral standards and this involves refreshing the core-values that already exist in Marines.

Challenges:

- California's mandatory reporting laws severely hinder successful application of the Restricted Reporting options for victims to seek services in California. This also impacts victims from Arizona for whom the nearest military treatment facility is in California.
- Focusing more attention on alcohol and implementing best practices for reducing risk factors will be of benefit to the Marine Corps

Progress:

- BUMED F/T SAPR instructor was hired to provide SAFE training to all MTFs. Eleven (11) sites and 98 students were trained in FY10
- Training for providers on the SAFE is collaborative with participation from NCIS, JAM, OJAG, SARCs, and the Chaplain Corps
- MTFs are revising local instructions, initiating annual competency assessments and periodic documentation reviews. One large MTF with subordinate branch clinics revised/standardized patient care policies to ensure appropriate/timely care
- Navy MTFs used computer media and social networking sites to disseminate information on the program for beneficiaries and potential victims. Facebook, and command websites were used to increase communication efforts
- Navy Medicine Regional Chiefs of Staff and Prospective COs/XOs were briefed on the SAPR program and their specific roles/responsibilities to ensure a positive command climate and timely medical support for victims

7.2. Supply the status of FY10 plans described in last year’s report, including but not limited to: update last year’s report with status

7.2.1. Prevention

In FY10, the Marine Corps initiated a training plan that:

- Incorporates video-based Bystander Intervention training;
- Utilizes a “train the trainer” approach to effectively disseminate information to the Marines;
- Is consistent and standardized; and
- Evolves as Marines progress in their careers.

Revised curricula for: Program Managers, Command Team Training, SARC’s and UVAs is underway.

Leadership engagement made a tremendous impact on prevention in FY10.

7.2.2. Response

- With the hiring of 18 Program Managers in the field, the quality of care to victims has significantly improved
- A draft of “Standards of Care” was completed to provide guidance to victim advocates
- The establishment of the 24/7 Helpline heightened visibility and increased response time to victims exponentially
- Training for additional SAFE personnel in MTF’s with in-house capability and provide a standardization of the exam in all facilities
- SAPR training was accomplished at eleven (11) sites (98 providers), BUMED is reviewing SAPR training curriculum to ensure a standardize instruction by a certified Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner (SANE)
- BUMED will officially task facilities without in-house examination capabilities to have written Memorandum of Understanding (MOUs) with local civilian agencies that provide victim support
- Continuous review of medical MOUs will become an annual assessment

7.2.3. Oversight

The Department of the Navy Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office (DON-SAPRO) reports directly to SECNAV on related matters DON-wide. One of our taskings is to maintain visibility of Navy and Marine Corps SAPR Programs world-wide. DON-SAPRO conducts site visits in CONUS and overseas on Navy and Marine Corps installations with the goal of hearing the unique insights of field level Commanders, Program Managers and key stakeholders as well as facilitating focus groups of E1 –

Tab 1 – FY10 SAPR Program Review Data Call for Sexual Assaults in the Military

E4's, our high risk population for sexual assaults. These insights assist DON in formulating SECNAV policy guidance and in structuring prevention strategies in collaboration with the Services. The site visits also provide the opportunity to provide some insight and small group training on Bystander Intervention, as part of our prevention strategy, and to get feedback on the lessons learned from our sponsored BI and Fleet SAPR Leadership Workshops.

DON-SAPRO hosts a weekly leadership meeting with OPNAV N1 and Marine Corps SAPR Program to discuss and plan Department-wide SAPR program and future initiatives.

A complete revision to Marine Corps Order (MCO) 1752.5A and AIRS Checklist are still in progress.

7.3. Describe your Service's plans for FY11, which may include the discussion of the following:

In FY11 and in accordance with the 35th CMC Planning Guidance, the Marine Corps will act to more effectively integrate all behavioral health training and resiliency programs. The Marine Corps youthful demographic is predominantly 18-25 years of age. As an at risk population with periods of vulnerability and with broad public health prevention needs, the Marine Corps intends to expand Values Based training capability and to incorporate common prevention themes such as by-standing, alcohol, decision-making, effective relationships, etc. In addition, the following ongoing actions from FY10 planning will be completed:

- Host its first Mental Health Summit, which will include sexual assault prevention and response topics
- Provide Bystander intervention training for NCOs and Junior Enlisted Marines
- Design and implement on-going training for PMs, SARCs, UVAs, Command Team, and Chaplains
- Implement a training program that is tailored to the needs of Marines as they evolve in their careers
- Complete the revision of the MCO 1752.5A and AIRS checklist
- Complete the "Standards of Care" for UVAs
- Research the impact of alcohol as it relates to sexual assault cases
- Research gender specific sexual assault prevention training and best practices
- Conduct site visits to all 18 installations
- Conduct Executive Steering Committee, Adjunct and PM Meetings
- Create a case management tool that is compatible with SAIRD
- Focus on victim care from initial response to the conclusion of care
- Conduct a Sergeant Majors Summit

Tab 1 – FY10 SAPR Program Review Data Call for Sexual Assaults in the Military

- Work in collaboration with the DON Sexual Assault Prevention Summit
- Update the USMC Strategic Communication Plan
- Utilize the results from the command climate surveys to implement best practices across the Marine Corps
- Continue to perform mobile trainings throughout the Marine Corps to train Marine prosecutors and criminal investigators aboard Marine Corps installations
- Continue to participate in Case Reviews (Phase II) in partnership with OJAG

7.4. Other (Please explain): None.

Fiscal Year (FY) 2010 Sexual Assault Statistical Report Data Call for Sexual Assaults in the Military

1. Analytic Discussion (United States Navy)

1.1. Provide an analytic discussion of your Service's Statistical Report. This section should include such information as:

- **Notable changes in the data since FY09 (in percents)**
- **Possible explanations for changes, or lack of change, in data**
- **Implications the data may have for programmatic planning, oversight, and/or research**
- **Implications the data may have for programmatic planning, oversight, and/or research**
- **Other (Please explain)**

In Fiscal Year 2009 (FY09), the Naval Criminal Investigative Service (NCIS) reported 405 unrestricted sexual assault investigations for the U.S. Navy (USN). In Fiscal Year 2010 (FY10), NCIS reported 441 unrestricted sexual assault investigations for the USN. This reflects an increase of 35 unrestricted reports - 9% - from FY09 to FY10. There were 231 investigations opened and closed in FY09 compared to 325 opened and closed investigations in FY10, an increase of 41%.

In Fiscal Year 2009 (FY09), there were 173 restricted reports of sexual assault (including 20 reports that converted to unrestricted), for a year end total of 153. In Fiscal Year 2010 (FY10), there were 170 restricted reports of sexual assault (including 28 that converted to unrestricted), for a year end total of 142. This reflects a decrease of 3 restricted reports - 2% from FY09 to FY10. In FY09, 11.5% of the restricted reports converted to unrestricted compared to 17.5% of the restricted reports in FY10 converted to unrestricted.

Analysis

The Navy recorded 35 more Unrestricted Reports in FY10 than in FY09, and 3 less restricted reports of sexual assault in FY10 than in FY09. The data is interpreted as a positive development. Notably, it is not viewed as indicative of an increase in criminal behavior but perhaps the fact that that DON education efforts are working and more Sailors are coming forward to seek help and access the services that are available to them. There were SAPR programmatic changes and increased training on the restricted reporting option and the differences between unrestricted reporting which may have impacted command climates and helped to reduce barriers and stigma to report. It remains notable that most Navy victims who choose restricted reporting choose to keep the report restricted – 88% in FY09 and 84% in FY10 so there is not an investigation and they can continue to receive advocacy, counseling, and medical care.

During both FY09 and FY10, there has been a strong education campaign, Navy/Marine Corps-wide, to educate Sailors, Marines and civilians about sexual assault

Tab 2 – FY10 Statistical Report Data Call for Sexual Assaults in the Military

reporting options (Restricted and Unrestricted), services available to victims of sexual assault, and crime prevention. Training focused on defining criminal behavior so that more personnel within the Department better understand and recognize a sexual assault. As Sailors and Marines receive this training and become better educated about the SAPR program, they have begun to report their sexual assault victimization in larger numbers. By way of example, male victim reports nearly doubled in FY09 and rose from 9% in FY08 to 17% in FY09. Since then, male Service member victims continued to comprise between 18 - 21% of all Service member victims. Review of the individual case investigations suggests that the increase is due to male victim awareness and willingness to report offenses vice a dramatic rise in criminal behavior.

The data coupled with the training and education suggests that the awareness campaign will continue to drive up numbers of reports as the programs reach a wider audience. Offenders rely on the victims to question their own actions leading to acquaintance assault/rape and to remain silent. By teaching our target audience to recognize the crime of sexual assault, they will no longer question their own behavior and report crimes that occur. Further, through comprehensive education on support and treatment programs available, victims will be more likely to seek out the benefits of those programs. However, the awareness campaign will also serve to drive numbers down in the long term as the target audience becomes more aware of inappropriate behavior and avoids enabling behavior.

Both Navy and Marine Corps are actively working to improve the handling and disposition of sexual assault cases by all personnel involved in the military criminal justice system. For example, in FY09, NCIS worked routinely with agents in the field to improve investigative skills and procedures that emphasize detail oriented, concise, timely and complete sexual assault investigations. During FY10, NCIS built upon the success of FY09 efforts and completed two advanced training courses designed to expand the capabilities of investigators. Specifically, the NCIS Advanced Family and Sexual Violence Training course and the NCIS/OJAG/JAM Mobile Training Team (MTT) on Sexual Assault Investigation and Prosecution was executed. Through the two courses, ninety-two (92) NCIS Special Agents received investigative theory and practice focused on offender behavior. Both the Navy and Marine Corp have instituted enhanced training for JAs as well. (See Tab 1, Program Review, Section 4.3.5.)

NCIS continues to partner closely with the Office of the Judge Advocate General (OJAG) and HQMC, Judge Advocate Division, to improve its investigatory and adjudicative products.

2. Unrestricted Reporting

2.1. Victim Data Discussion and Analysis. This section should include an overview of such information as:

- **Type of offenses**
- **Demographic trends**
- **Service referrals**

Tab 2 – FY10 Statistical Report Data Call for Sexual Assaults in the Military

- **Experiences in Combat Areas of Interest**
- **Military Protective Orders Issued as a Result of an Unrestricted Report (i.e., Number issued, number violated, etc.)**
- **Others (Please explain)**

United States Navy – Type of Offenses (USN)

Reports of rape comprised only 8% of all reported sexual assault offenses in FY10. As in previous years, the largest category of offenses reported for the USN was aggravated sexual assault, which comprised 49% of all FY10 reports. The vast majority of these cases involved alcohol, stemming from the charge that criminalizes intercourse with a victim who is too intoxicated to consent. The second largest category of reported offenses was wrongful sexual contact at 27%. For the 325 completed investigations, there were 351 victims. Of the 351 victims, 7% reported being victims of rape, 44% reported being victims of aggravated sexual assault and approximately 31% of all victims made allegations of wrongful sexual contact, 12% made allegations of non-consensual sodomy, and the remaining 6% included allegations of abusive sexual contact, aggravated sexual contact, indecent assault which occurred prior to FY08, and attempts to commit these offenses.

Demographic Trends

Approximately 37% of reports were made within three (3) days of the incident occurring. This percentage is down from 54% in FY09. The percentage of victims who reported within 30 days is down from 80% to 66%. The longer a victim waits to report an incident, the investigation process, including evidence collection, and the prosecution become more challenging and difficult.

Weekends were the heaviest reporting periods, with Saturdays and Sundays comprising 32% of when incidents occurred.

The proportion of female and male victims remained relatively constant from FY09 to FY10. In FY09, 83% of all sexual assault victims were female and 17% were male. Similarly, 85% of the victims were female and 15% were male in FY10. This may be an indication that sexual assault prevention and awareness efforts continue to encourage victims, no matter what gender, to come forward and report.

No significant changes were noted in the rank structure or age of victims between FY10 and FY09. In FY10, approximately 64% of all sexual assault victims were E-1's to E-4's, compared to 63% in FY09. In FY10, the largest age category of victims was 20-24 years of age (51% of all victims). Similarly, in FY09 20-24 year old group was also the largest category of victims at 43%.

In the USN, the percentage of FY10 Service Member on Service Member sexual incidents increased to 65% from 62% in FY09. Alcohol involvement and underage drinking remains relevant in a majority of the cases.

Tab 2 – FY10 Statistical Report Data Call for Sexual Assaults in the Military

During FY10, there were a total of five investigations that reported having Military Protective Orders put in place as a result of the sexual assault allegation; none of which were reported to have been violated.

Service Referrals

In FY10, there were a total of 901 referrals: 233 to military medical, 301 to military counseling and 168 to military legal compared to 1,568 service referrals in FY09. In FY09 the service referrals included 399 to military medical, 407 to military counseling and 233 to military legal.

In FY10, there were a total of 199 referrals to civilian facilities: 52 to medical, 117 to counseling and 30 to legal. There were a total of 4 SAFE kits conducted in FY10 and there were no reports where either SAFE kits or other supplies needed were not available at the time of the exams. There were 5 total referrals to military facilities for Unrestricted Report cases that occurred in Combat Areas of Interest (2 for medical and 3 for counseling) but there were no SAFE kits conducted.

Combat Areas of Interest

Nineteen total unrestricted sexual assault investigations were generated from the Combat Areas of Interest via USN reporting in FY10, with a total of 20 victims and 24 subjects. Seventy percent (70%) of the victims were female, with 90% of the victims being members of the USN. One victim was an Army member, two were Air Force members and two were civilians. Demographically, reports from Combat Areas of Interest are quite similar to reports for the USN overall, with E1-E4 being the largest rank category for victims (70%) and 20-24 year olds comprises the largest age group at 50% of all victims. Of the subjects in these reports, 1 was a foreign national and 2 were unknown.

The locations of the incidents varied, due most likely to the nature of the Navy's mission. United Arab Emirates (UAE) had 6 sexual assault reports, Bahrain had 5, Djibouti had 3, Afghanistan had 2, and Jordan, Iraq and Kuwait each had 1.

Analysis

The differences in the locations of the sexual assaults in the Combat Areas of Interest between the USN and the USMC are understandable due to the mission and deployment locations of each branch of service.

The data provided via the Combat Areas of Interest shows no major differences between either branch of Service when reviewing full sexual assault reporting data. The statistics for each branch of service in the Combat Areas of Interest mirror the data as presented in the full report. Demographics on victim gender, rank, and age are fairly

consistent for both branches of Service even when broken down into the Combat Areas of Interest.

Both Service reports indicate that aggravated sexual assault is the number one reported sexual offense, followed by wrongful sexual contact. The occurrence of other sexual offenses varied some between the USN and the USMC. Overall it appears that aggravated sexual assault within the Department of the Navy (DON) is the primary sexual offense being reported and investigated.

2.2. Subject Data Discussion and Analysis. This section should include an overview of such information as:

- **Demographic trends**
- **Disposition trends**
- **Experiences in Combat Areas of Interest**
- **Other (Please explain)**

United States Navy (USN) Subject Demographic Trends

Demographic trends inform prevention and awareness efforts; therefore, we examine these in terms of the most recent reports. Data analyzed in this section was culled from Unrestricted Reports opened and closed in FY10 as these are the most recent cases for which we have completed data. There were a total of 352 subjects in 325 sexual assault investigations initiated and completed in FY10 for the USN. Of the 352 subjects 267 (76%) were members of the USN, six percent (6%) were from other Services, five percent (5%) were civilian, and 13% were unknown.

One percent (1%) of the suspects were female, the same percentage reported in FY09. Ninety-four percent (94%) of the suspects were male, with the remainder being unknown.

Of interest are the age categories, which indicate the suspects in sexual assault investigations tend to be slightly older than victims. The largest age group was 20-24 (26%) followed by subjects between 25-34 years of age at 18%. Approximately 43% of subjects' ages were not reported.


The largest rank for suspects in sexual assault investigations is E-1 to E-4 at 35%, followed by E-5 to E-9 at 21%.

Disposition Data

Disposition data must be examined in terms of all cases closed during a time period vice all cases opened and closed. Thorough investigations and successful prosecutions require time, particularly in serious and complex cases; therefore, examining only cases opened and closed in a fiscal year excludes analysis of the more egregious reports received, and as a practical matter all cases reported in the last quarter of the fiscal year. For the sake of completeness in this section, we have included all dispositions in

Tab 2 – FY10 Statistical Report Data Call for Sexual Assaults in the Military

sections D and E of the matrix (final dispositions in Unrestricted Reports opened and closed in FY10 and final dispositions in Unrestricted Reports received prior to FY10). By doing so, we are able to present a complete and accurate picture of military justice for sexual assault cases in FY10.




Could not be prosecuted - In FY10, there were 450 final dispositions for subjects accused of sexual assault. Sixty-one percent (274) of these cases could NOT be prosecuted for the following reasons: lack of jurisdiction (13), the offender was unknown (16), the allegation was unfounded meaning it was false or the allegation did not meet the elements of a sexual assault offense (44), probable cause existed only for a non-sexual assault offense (18), the subject died (0), evidence was insufficient (70) or the victim declined to cooperate with investigation and / or prosecution (113).

Initial civilian jurisdiction - In 21 of the remaining cases, civilian authorities initially assumed jurisdiction. Of these cases, 8 were either pending or the disposition was unknown at the time this report was written. NCIS files indicate that the victim declined to cooperate in 1 case. Of the remaining 12 cases in which dispositions were known, charges were filed in 8 cases or 67% of cases. Further analysis is not possible due to lack of information regarding these cases.

Presented for disposition - As a result of the foregoing, 155 of the remaining subjects were presented to commands for a disposition decision. Commanders declined action in 30 cases pursuant to RCM 306(c)(1). Of the remaining 125 subject cases, courts-martial charges were preferred (initiated) against 70 subjects, non-judicial punishment was imposed on 36 subjects, 5 subjects were administratively discharged and other administrative actions were taken against 14 subjects. In other words, courts-martial charges were preferred in 46% of the cases in which any type of action was possible.

Tab 2 – FY10 Statistical Report Data Call for Sexual Assaults in the Military



This data demonstrates that in those cases where Navy commanders had the option to take some form of administrative or disciplinary action against offenders, they chose to do so in the overwhelming majority of cases.

Experiences in Combat Areas of Interest – USN – Subjects

The USN had 24 total subjects in the 19 sexual assault investigations initiated and subsequently completed in FY10 for the USN. Fifteen (15) or 63% of the known subjects were in the USN. A smaller percentage, (17%) were either not in the military or were unknown, one of which was a foreign national.

There were ten (10) subjects or 42%, which had recordable demographics. Of those ten, 50% were between the ages of 20-24 and 20% were between the ages of 25-34.

Of the 450 final dispositions for subjects accused of sexual assault in FY10, there were 22 subject dispositions related to incidents occurring in the Combat Areas of Interest. Eight of these cases could NOT be prosecuted for the following reasons: lack of jurisdiction (2), the allegation was unfounded meaning it was false or did not meet the elements of a sexual assault offense (2), and evidence was insufficient (1), and victim declined or refused to cooperate with the investigation and/or prosecution (3).

The remaining 11 subject cases were presented to commands for a disposition decision. Courts-martial charges were preferred (initiated) against two subjects, non-judicial punishment was imposed on eight subjects, and other administrative actions were taken against one subject.

The locations of the incidents varied, due most likely to the nature of the Navy's mission. United Arab Emirates had the highest number of sexual assault incidents at

Tab 2 – FY10 Statistical Report Data Call for Sexual Assaults in the Military

32%, followed by Bahrain at 26%, Djibouti at 16%, and Afghanistan at 11%. The Navy had the smallest number of incidents occur in Jordan, Kuwait and Iraq at 5% each.

2.3. Reporting Data Discussion and Analysis. This section should include an overview of such information as:

- **Trends in descriptive information about Unrestricted Reports (i.e., Did more reported incidents occur on/off installation, etc.)**
- **Investigations**
- **Experiences in Combat Areas of Interest**
- **Other (Please explain)**

USN Trends - Descriptive Data

There was a 9% drop in the amount of on-base incidents. In FY10, 40% of the sexual assault incidents were reported to have occurred on-base while 49% were reported to have occurred off-base, with 12% unknown. In contrast, FY09 on-base incidents accounted for 49% of the reports and off-base accounted for 48% of reported sexual assaults, with 3% unknown.

As stated above, over half of the sexual assault reports were made within 30 days (66%). The weekend was the heaviest incident period (Saturday and Sunday) at 32%. When Friday is added as an incident day, the number increases to 41%, which are considered non-duty hours.

Of the 441 FY10 reported sexual assaults, 305 or 69% occurred at unknown times. Of the remaining 136 sexual assaults with known incident times, 13% occurred between the hours of 6pm to 6am, (typically considered non-duty hours) with fifty-four (54%) percent of incidents occurring between midnight to 6 am and 32% occurring between the hours of 6pm to midnight.

Investigations – USN

In FY10, 441 Unrestricted Sexual Assault investigations were initiated. Of those, 325 were opened and closed (completed) during FY10. The completed investigations had 352 subjects and 351 victims.

Of these 325 investigations, 275 involved Service members as victims. Sixty-nine Service Member victims (active duty) declined to participate in any judicial action. This is a rate of approximately 25%. The reasons victims declined to prosecute are unknown but could stem from a multitude of issues, whether it lies in services available to victims, the investigatory process, the adjudicative process, the command climate or a combination of these factors. During FY11, the Navy will continue to examine the possible reasons for victim declinations.

Combat Areas of Interest – USN

NCIS does not work sexual assault investigations any different in Combat Areas of Interest. Sexual assault investigations are handled the same whether they occur CONUS, OCONUS or in a deployed war zone. The statistics presented in this report indicate that the demographics and trends of sexual assaults in the Combat Areas of Interest replicate those in the general overall report. There were not any vast differences or anomalies noted in the Combat Areas of Interest.

Analysis

Trends in demographics, offenses, adjudication and Combat Areas of Interest have remained fairly constant between FY09 and FY10. The statistics vary slightly between services (USN and USMC) but overall, the results are fairly similar.

Sexual assault victims in the DON (both USN and USMC) are mainly represented in the E-1 to E-4 category, with the largest age group comprising 20-24 year olds. Subjects were equally concentrated in the E-1 to E-4 range and the E-5 to E-9 range. Most subjects, like victims, were also within the 20-24 year old age bracket. There were obviously many more subjects without known demographic information than victims. Therefore, the statistics provided for subjects may not be as accurate as that for victims.

Of the known days and time periods reported, well over half of all sexual assaults reported occurred over the weekend period of Friday – Sunday (64%) and between the hours of 6pm and 6am (87%). In many circumstances this period of time is considered non-duty time.

There were more off-base than on-base incidents reported for the USN and the opposite was true for the USMC with more on-base than off-base incidents reported

All sexual assault investigations are worked in the same manner, irrespective if the investigation involves USN or USMC personnel. All investigations follow the same policies and protocols whether CONUS, OCONUS or within the Combat Areas of Interest. Therefore the way an investigation is conducted and reported will have no impact on demographics, trends, or dispositions.

3. Restricted Reporting

3.1. Victim Data Discussion. This section should include such information as:

- **Demographics trends**
- **Service referrals**
- **Experiences in Combat Areas of Interest**
- **Other (Please explain)**

NCIS and other Law Enforcement agencies are not involved in restricted reporting (RR) cases. SARCs are not required to indicate the types of offenses in RR cases

Tab 2 – FY10 Statistical Report Data Call for Sexual Assaults in the Military

because it would be based on self reports by victims that may not be accurate. In addition, self reports may or may not meet the definition and criteria of the UCMJ offenses. The role of the SARC for all RR cases is to focus on providing victim support (referrals for advocacy, medical, and counseling services) and case management.

There was not any significant change in the amount of RR incidents in FY10 from FY09. There were 170 Restricted Reports of sexual assault in FY10 and 173 Restricted Reports of sexual assault in FY09; difference of 3. Of the 170 RR incidents, 16.4% (28) converted to Unrestricted Reporting (UR) which is a 4.9% increase from the 20 RR incidents which converted in FY-09 (11.5%). This increase could be due to the education/awareness efforts conducted throughout the Navy and a better understanding of the reporting options.

3.2. Reporting Data Discussion. This section should include such information as:

- **Trends in descriptive information about Restricted Reports (i.e., Did more occur reported incidents occur on/off installation, etc)**
- **Experiences in Combat Areas of Interest**
- **Other (Please explain)**

Navy SARCs provided the following Military Service information regarding victim Restricted Reports of sexual assault in FY10: Army-1; Navy-159; USMC-2; USAF-5; USCG-1 and 2 that had data missing in the area of Service affiliation (unknown). 74% (127) of all UR cases were service member on service member; 11% (19) were non-service member on service member and 14% (24) were unidentified subjects on service members. Incidents that were reported as occurring off a military installation accounted for 60% (103) of the cases, while 30% were reported as occurring on an installation.

Demographically, 55% of FY10 RR victims were in the 20-24 year old age range, which is up 10% from FY09; and 73% were in pay grades of E-1 to E-4 which is down 3% from FY09. The two time periods when most incidents occurred were midnight-6 am (33%) and 6 pm – midnight (35%). Incidents when the time was Unknown for Restricted Reports comprised 24% of the incidents--which creates some difficulty in determining which time frame is the most accurate. The day of the week when most incidents took place was evenly split: 24% for both Saturday and Unknown with Friday being the third highest at 15%. A historical synopsis of incidents that were investigated shows that alcohol and drug use is still prevalent among both victims and offenders in these incidents, and that most incidents take place during the weekends, off base and between the hours of 6 pm and 6 am.

There were 3 RR incidents reported in FY10 in Combat Areas of Interest (1 in Bahrain and 2 in Djibouti, Africa), which was an increase of only 1 from FY09. Of the three RR, 2 were service member on service member; one occurred on an installation and 2 occurred off of the installation. Two of the reports were made within 3 days of the incident and 1 within 4-30 days. Two of the three occurred on a weekend between the hours of midnight – 6 am.

4. Service Referrals for Victims of Sexual Assault

4.1. Unrestricted Report Referral Data Discussion. This section should include such information as:

- **Summary of referral data**
- **Combat Areas of Interest referral data**
- **Discussion of any trends of interest identified in referral data**
- **Other (Please explain)**

As stated previously in 2.1, there were a total of 901 service referrals in FY10: 233 to military medical, 301 to military counseling and 168 to military legal compared to 1,568 service referrals in FY09. In FY09 the service referrals included 399 to military medical, 407 to military counseling and 233 to military legal.

In FY10, there were a total of 199 referrals to civilian facilities: 52 to medical, 117 to counseling and 30 to legal. There were a total of 4 SAFE kits conducted in FY10 and there were no reports where either SAFE kits or other supplies needed were not available at the time of the exams. There were 5 total referrals to military facilities for Unrestricted Report cases that occurred in Combat Areas of Interest (2 for medical and 3 for counseling) but there were no SAFE kits conducted.

It is unknown why there was a significant decrease in service referrals for Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault between FY09 and FY10. Two factors should be considered; service referrals are based on victim agreement and preference, and the SAPR Case Management System was implemented during FY10 which provided a more automated system of tracking service referrals. SARCs had to be trained of CMS as well as integrate their existing collection systems. This is an area that will be monitored and addressed, as appropriate.

4.2. Restricted Report Referral Data Discussion. This section should include such information as:

- **Summary of referral data**
- **Combat Areas of Interest referral data**
- **Discussion of any trends of interest identified in referral data**
- **Other (Please explain)**

Similar to FY09 analysis, referrals for services for Restricted Reports of sexual assault were primarily made to military facilities for counseling and medical care with 249 total referrals: 192 (77%) were made to military facilities and 57 (4.3%) to civilian facilities. In FY09 there were 42 total referrals made to military facilities (17 for medical, 23 for counseling and 2 for legal), compared to a total of 192 in FY-10:77 to medical, 85 to counseling and 30 to legal.

The requirement for legal consultation, trial lawyer training by OJAG, improved working relationships between legal and SARCs, case reviews at Sexual Assault Case Management Groups (SACMGs) and a better understanding and trust of the Navy system may have contributed to this increase in services and victims feeling more

Tab 2 – FY10 Statistical Report Data Call for Sexual Assaults in the Military

comfortable seeking care and support. There were 13 (the same number as in FY09) cases where a SAFE was conducted. There were no verifiable reports of a victim whose care was hindered due to a lack of a SAFE kit. BUMED reports no victim cases where care was hindered due to the lack of available SAFE kits or supplies. Based on BUMED hiring a SANE trainer and efforts to outfit MTFs with SAFE kits has made these more viable options for victims.

4.3. Service Referrals for Non-Military Victims Data Discussion. This section should include such information as:

- **Summary of referral data**
- **Combat Areas of Interest referral data**
- **Discussion of any trends of interest identified in referral data**
- **Other (Please explain)**

There were no service referrals for any non-military victims/civilians as they are not eligible for RR or for any civilians in Combat Areas of Interest in FY10.

II. Fiscal Year (FY) 2010 Sexual Assault Statistical Report Data Call for Sexual Assaults in the Military

1. Analytic Discussion (United States Marine Corps)

1.1. Provide an analytic discussion of your Service's Statistical Report. This section should include such information as:

- Notable changes in the data since FY09 (in percents)
- Possible explanations for changes, or lack of change, in data
- Implications the data may have for programmatic planning, oversight, and/or research
- Implications the data may have for programmatic planning, oversight, and/or research
- Other (Please explain)

United States Marine Corps (USMC)

In FY09, NCIS reported 299 Unrestricted Sexual Assault investigations for the USMC and in FY10, NCIS reported 254 Unrestricted Sexual Assault investigations for the USMC. This is a 15% decrease in Unrestricted Reports from FY09 to FY10. In terms of completed investigations, in FY09, there were 135 Unrestricted Reports completed and in FY10 there were 188 Unrestricted Reports completed, reflecting an increase of 39%.

In Fiscal Year 2009 (FY09), there were 32 restricted reports of sexual assault (including 3 reports that converted to unrestricted), for a year end total of 35. In Fiscal Year 2010 (FY10), there were 47 restricted reports of sexual assault (including 9 that converted to unrestricted), for a year end total of 56. This reflects an increase of 15 restricted reports – 47% from FY09 to FY10. In FY09, 9% of the restricted reports converted to unrestricted compared to 16% of the restricted reports in FY10 converted to unrestricted.

Analysis

The Marine Corps recorded 45 less Unrestricted Reports in FY10 than in FY09, and 21 more restricted reports of sexual assault in FY10 than in FY09. The data can be interpreted as a positive development. Notably, it is not viewed as indicative of an increase in criminal behavior but perhaps the fact that that DON education efforts are working and more Marines are coming forward to seek help and access the services that are available to them. There were SAPR programmatic changes and increased training on the restricted reporting option and the differences between unrestricted reporting which may have impacted command climates and helped to reduce barriers and stigma to report. It remains notable that most Marine victims who choose restricted reporting choose to keep the report restricted – 91% in FY09 and 84% in FY10 so there is not an investigation and they can continue to receive advocacy, counseling, and medical care.

Tab 2 – FY10 Statistical Report Data Call for Sexual Assaults in the Military

During both FY09 and FY10, there has been a strong education campaign, Navy/Marine Corps-wide, to educate Sailors, Marines and civilians about sexual assault reporting options (Restricted and Unrestricted), services available to victims of sexual assault, and crime prevention. Training focused on defining criminal behavior so that more personnel within the Department better understand and recognize a sexual assault. As Sailors and Marines receive this training and become better educated about the SAPR program, they have begun to report their sexual assault victimization in larger numbers.

The data coupled with the training and education suggests that the awareness campaign will continue to drive up numbers of reports as the programs reach a wider audience. Offenders rely on the victims to question their own actions leading to acquaintance assault/rape and to remain silent. By teaching our target audience to recognize the crime of sexual assault, they will no longer question their own behavior and report crimes that occur. Further, through comprehensive education on support and treatment programs available, victims will be more likely to seek out the benefits of those programs. However, the awareness campaign will also serve to drive numbers down in the long term as the target audience becomes more aware of inappropriate behavior and avoids enabling behavior.

Both Navy and Marine Corps are actively working to improve the handling and disposition of sexual assault cases by all personnel involved in the military criminal justice system. For example, in FY09, NCIS worked routinely with agents in the field to improve investigative skills and procedures that emphasize detail oriented, concise, timely and complete sexual assault investigations. During FY10, NCIS built upon the success of FY09 efforts and completed two advanced training courses designed to expand the capabilities of investigators. Specifically, the NCIS Advanced Family and Sexual Violence Training course and the NCIS/OJAG/JAM Mobile Training Team (MTT) on Sexual Assault Investigation and Prosecution was executed. Through the two courses, ninety-two (92) NCIS Special Agents received investigative theory and practice focused on offender behavior.

NCIS continues to partner closely with the Office of the Judge Advocate General (OJAG) and HQMC, Judge Advocate Division, to improve its investigatory and adjudicative products.

2. Unrestricted Reporting

2.1. Victim Data Discussion and Analysis. This section should include an overview of such information as:

- **Type of offenses**
- **Demographic trends**
- **Service referrals**
- **Experiences in Combat Areas of Interest**
- **Military Protective Orders Issued as a Result of an Unrestricted Report (i.e., Number issued, number violated, etc.)**
- **Others (Please explain)**

United States Marine Corps – Type of Offenses (USMC)

Aggravated Sexual Assault was the largest category of sexual offenses investigated by NCIS for the USMC in FY10. These cases comprise approximately 50% of all USMC sexual assault investigations for FY10. The second highest case category was Wrongful Sexual Contact at 27%. The 188 completed USMC investigations had a total of 192 victims. Of those 192 victims 91, or 47%, claimed to have been victims of Aggravated Sexual Assault. Approximately 32% of the USMC victims claimed to have been victims of Wrongful Sexual Contact. The USMC report also indicated that 7% of the victims claimed to be victims of Rape, 8% claimed to have been victims of Non-consensual sodomy, and 3% reported being victims of Abusive Sexual Contact.

Demographic Trends – USMC

Upon review of the FY10 sexual assault incidents, it was noted that 48% of all USMC sexual assault investigations were reported within 3 days of the incident. Twenty percent (20%) were reported within 4-30 days and 7% were reported over one year past the date of the incident. It is important to note that most reports are timely, which can be a vast benefit for investigators.

Weekend reporting (Saturday and Sunday) comprise 33% of all USMC reports generated.

The largest rank represented as victims is the E-1 to E-4 category. In FY10, 61% of all victims were E-1 to E-4 in the USMC reports. In FY09 that number was 55%, which shows a slight increase.

The largest age group in FY09 and FY10 were 20-24 year olds. In FY09 the same age group comprised 46% of all victims and in FY10, comprised 51% of all victims.

There was an increase in the number of “service member on service member” investigations. In FY09 that category comprised 55% of all investigations and in FY10 that number comprised 61% of all USMC investigations.

Service Referrals

There were sixty-eight referrals for military medical services, eighty-seven referrals to military counseling services and 118 referrals to military legal services. There were fifteen referrals to civilian medical services and thirty-two referrals to civilian counseling services. There were no referrals to civilian legal services.

USMC – Combat Areas of Interest

The USMC had six (6) total Unrestricted Sexual Assault investigations in the Combat Areas of Interest during FY10. All six of the investigations were completed in FY10. The USMC reported a total of six (6) sexual assault victims from the completed

Tab 2 – FY10 Statistical Report Data Call for Sexual Assaults in the Military

investigations, five female victims and one male victim. Five victims were between the ages of 20-24 and one was between the ages of 35-49. Two-thirds of the victims were E-1 to E-4. Four of the sexual assault offenses occurred in Afghanistan and one each in Bahrain and Iraq.

Analysis

The differences in the locations of the sexual assaults in the Combat Areas of Interest between the USN and the USMC are certainly understandable due to the mission and deployment locations of each branch of service.

The data provided via the Combat Areas of Interest shows no major differences between either branch of service when reviewing full sexual assault reporting data. The statistics for each branch of service in the Combat Areas of Interest mirror the data as presented in the full report. Demographics on victim gender, rank and age are fairly consistent for both branches of service even when broken down into the Combat Areas of Interest.

Both Service reports indicate that aggravated sexual assault and wrongful sexual contact are the most reported types of sexual assault offenses. Overall it appears that aggravated sexual assault within the Department of the Navy (DON) is the primary sexual offense being reported and investigated.

2.2. Subject Data Discussion and Analysis. This section should include an overview of such information as:

- **Demographic trends**
- **Disposition trends**
- **Experiences in Combat Areas of Interest**
- **Other (Please explain)**

United States Marine Corps – Subject Demographic Trends

Demographic trends inform prevention and awareness efforts; therefore, we examine these in terms of the most recent reports. Data analyzed in this section was culled from Unrestricted Reports opened and closed in FY10 as these are the most recent cases for which we have completed data. There were a total of 203 subjects in 188 sexual assault investigations initiated and completed in FY10 for the USN. Of the 203 subjects 184 (91%) were members of the USMC, less than 1% were from other Services, and nine percent were either unknown or civilian.

The USMC investigations reported two female subjects in FY10 and one female subject in FY09.


Of the subjects who had reportable demographic information, 68% were E-1 to E-4 and 26% were E-5 to E-9, which shows a high concentration of enlisted member subjects (94% total). Of the known subjects, 81% were between the ages of 20-34, with

Tab 2 – FY10 Statistical Report Data Call for Sexual Assaults in the Military

51% falling within the age range of 20-24 and 30% within the age range of 25-34. This statistic, like the USN, shows that the ages of subjects tend to be a slightly older than the age of victims.

USMC Disposition Data - Analysis

Disposition data must be examined in terms of all cases closed during a time period vice all cases opened and closed. Thorough investigations and successful prosecutions require time, particularly in serious and complex cases; therefore, examining only cases opened and closed in a fiscal year excludes analysis of the more egregious reports received, and as a practical matter all cases reported in the last quarter of the fiscal year. For the sake of accuracy in this section, we have included all dispositions in sections D and E of the matrix (final dispositions in Unrestricted Reports opened and closed in FY10 and final dispositions in Unrestricted Reports received prior to FY10). By doing so, we are able to present a complete and accurate picture of military justice for sexual assault cases in FY10.




Could not be prosecuted - In FY10, there were 348 final dispositions for subjects accused of sexual assault. Thirty-five percent (123) of the subjects could NOT be prosecuted for the following reasons: lack of jurisdiction (i.e., civilian subjects NOT subject to UCMJ) (6), the subject was unknown (23), the allegation was unfounded, meaning it was false or did not meet the elements of a sexual assault offense (17), probable cause existed for a non-sexual assault offense only (10), statute of limitations expired (1), the subject died or deceased (2), the evidence was insufficient (21) or the victim declined or refused to cooperate with the investigation or prosecution (43).

Initial civilian jurisdiction – In 26 of the remaining 225 subject cases, civilian authorities initially assumed jurisdiction. Of these 26, 5 were either pending or the

Tab 2 – FY10 Statistical Report Data Call for Sexual Assaults in the Military

disposition was unknown at the time this report was written. NCIS files indicate that civilian authorities declined to prosecute due to lack of evidence in 8 cases and deemed 2 cases to be unfounded. Of the remaining cases in which disposition was known, charges were filed in 9 or 35% of the cases. Further analysis is not possible due to lack of information regarding these cases.

Presented for disposition - In total, 199 subject cases were presented to commanders for a disposition decision in FY10. Commanders preferred courts-martial charges against 108 subjects, conducted non-judicial punishment against 22 subjects, took adverse administrative action against 24 subjects, and declined action in 45 cases pursuant to RCM 306(c)(1). Thus where commanders were able to take action, adverse action was taken against subjects in over 77% of the cases, including preferal of courts-martial charges in 54% of the cases.



Experiences in Combat Areas of Interest – USMC – Subjects

The USMC had six total subjects in the six sexual assault investigations initiated and subsequently completed in FY10. Two subjects were identified to be members of the USMC, with 4 unknown. Of the two with recordable demographics, one was within the enlisted range of E-1 to E-4 and the other within E-5 to E-9.

Of the 348 final dispositions for subjects accused of sexual assault in FY10, there were six (6) subject dispositions related to incidents occurring in the Combat Areas of Interest. Of these, the following dispositions were reported: subject unknown (3), victim declined or refused to cooperate with the investigation or prosecution (2), one case where the Commander declined action pursuant to RCM 306(c)(1).

Tab 2 – FY10 Statistical Report Data Call for Sexual Assaults in the Military

As indicated earlier, four of the sexual assault offenses occurred in Afghanistan and one each in Bahrain and Iraq.

2.3. Reporting Data Discussion and Analysis. This section should include an overview of such information as:

- **Trends in descriptive information about Unrestricted Reports (i.e., Did more reported incidents occur on/off installation, etc.)**
- **Investigations**
- **Experiences in Combat Areas of Interest**
- **Other (Please explain)**

USMC Trends - Descriptive Data

The USMC had more sexual assault incidents reported as occurring On-base than Off-base, which was fairly consistent over the last two years. In FY10, approximately 41% of the sexual assault incidents were reported to have occurred Off-base, vice 54% that were reported to have occurred On-base. (Fourteen cases had unknown locations). In FY09, 40% were reported as occurring Off-base with 60% occurring On-base. (Two cases had unknown locations).

In FY10, 48% of all USMC sexual assault investigations were reported within 3 days of the incident. Twenty percent (20%) were reported within 4-30 days. This indicates an overall 68% rate concerning timely reporting. Where reported, Saturdays and Sundays (weekend) comprised 44% of the incident times reported for sexual assaults. When Friday is added to the equation, the number jumps to 59%. This statistic indicates that over half of all reported sexual assault incidents are occurring during “weekend” or off-duty hours.

A large number (175) of the reported sexual assaults occurred at an unknown time. Only 79 reports indicated a known time for the sexual assault. Using known times only (79), 59% occurred between midnight and 6am and approximately 27% occurred between 6pm to midnight. A significant number of sexual assaults, 86%, occurred during off-duty hours, between the hours of 6pm and 6am, a 12 hour period.

Investigations – USMC

In FY10, 254 Unrestricted Sexual Assault investigations were initiated. Of those, 188 were opened and closed (completed) during FY10. The completed investigations had 203 subjects and 192 victims. Of these 188 investigations, 135 involved Service members as victims. Twelve Service Member victims (active duty) declined to participate in any judicial action. This is a rate of approximately 8%, which is much less than the rate of 23% reported in FY09. A strong education campaign focused on defining criminal behavior as it relates to sexual assault, as well as the services available to victims of sexual assault may continue to have a positive impact on the victim’s willingness to report the sexual assault and participate in the investigative and judicial processes.

Combat Areas of Interest – USMC

NCIS does not work sexual assault investigations any different in Combat Areas of Interest. Sexual assault investigations are handled the same whether they occur CONUS, OCONUS or in a deployed war zone. The statistics presented in this report indicate that the demographics and trends of sexual assaults in the Combat Areas of Interest replicate those in the general overall report. There were not any vast differences or anomalies noted in the Combat Areas of Interest.

Analysis

Trends in demographics, offenses, adjudication and Combat Areas of Interest have remained fairly constant between FY09 and FY10. The statistics vary slightly between services (USN and USMC) but overall, the results are fairly similar.

Sexual assault victims in the DON (both USN and USMC) are mainly represented in the E-1 to E-4 category, with the largest age group comprising 20-24 year olds. Subjects were equally concentrated in the E-1 to E-4 range and the E-5 to E-9 range. Most subjects, like victims, were also within the 20-24 year old age bracket. There were obviously many more subjects without known demographic information than victims. Therefore, the statistics provided for subjects may not be as accurate as that for victims.

Of the known days and time periods reported, well over half of all sexual assaults reported occurred over the weekend period of Friday – Sunday (64%) and between the hours of 6pm and 6am (87%). In many circumstances this period of time is considered non-duty time.

There were more off-base than on-base incidents reported for the USN and the opposite was true for the USMC with more on-base than off-base incidents reported.

All sexual assault investigations are worked in the same manner, irrespective if the investigation involves USN or USMC personnel. All investigations follow the same policies and protocols whether CONUS, OCONUS or within the Combat Areas of Interest. Therefore the way an investigation is conducted and reported will have no impact on demographics, trends, or dispositions.

3. Restricted Reporting

3.1. Victim Data Discussion. This section should include such information as:

- **Demographics trends**
- **Service referrals**
- **Experiences in Combat Areas of Interest**
- **Other (Please explain)**

Demographic trends

Of the fifty-six Restricted Reports made in FY 2010 forty-five (80%) were between the ages of seventeen and twenty-four. Forty-six (82%) of the alleged sexual assaults were victims between the ranks of E1-E4. Eleven (20%) were male victims and three victims were mobilized reservists.

Service Referrals

There were forty referrals to military facilities for services. There were eight referrals to civilian facilities for services. Victims may be referred separately to either military or civilian service providers; or simultaneously to both.

Experiences in Combat Areas of Interest

There were no restricted reports opened in the Combat Areas of Interest.

3.2. Reporting Data Discussion. This section should include such information as:

- **Trends in descriptive information about Restricted Reports (i.e., Did more occur reported incidents occur on/off installation, etc)**
- **Experiences in Combat Areas of Interest**
- **Other (Please explain)**

Trends in descriptive information about Restricted Reports

The most notable trend difference from FY 2009 is that twenty-nine (52%) of the alleged restricted sexual assaults reports made in FY 2010 occurred off military installations. The majority of the sexual assaults occurred over the weekend, with Saturday being the highest, between the hours of 6pm to midnight.

Experiences in Combat Areas of Interest

There were no restricted reports opened in the Combat Areas of Interest.

4. Service Referrals for Victims of Sexual Assault

4.1. Unrestricted Report Referral Data Discussion. This section should include such information as:

- **Summary of referral data**
- **Combat Areas of Interest referral data**
- **Discussion of any trends of interest identified in referral data**
- **Other (Please explain)**

4.1.1 Summary of referral data

There were sixty-eight referrals for military medical services, eighty-seven referrals to military counseling services and 118 referrals to military legal services. There were fifteen referrals to civilian medical services and thirty-two referrals to civilian counseling services. There were no referrals to civilian legal services.

Combat Areas of Interest referral data

There were two referrals for military medical services, three referrals to military counseling services and five referrals to military legal services.

Discussion of any trends of interest identified in referral data.

Nothing of note.

4.2. Restricted Report Referral Data Discussion. This section should include such information as:

- **Summary of referral data**
- **Combat Areas of Interest referral data**
- **Discussion of any trends of interest identified in referral data**
- **Other (Please explain)**

Summary of referral data

There were nineteen referrals for medical services and twenty-one referrals for counseling services at military facilities. There was one referral for medical services and seven referrals for counseling services at civilian facilities. Seven victims requested SAFEs be conducted.

Combat Areas of Interest referral data

There were no restricted reports opened in the Combat Areas of Interest.

Discussion of any trends of interest identified in referral data

No significant trends were identified.

4.3. Service Referrals for Non-Military Victims Data Discussion. This section should include such information as:

- **Summary of referral data**
- **Combat Areas of Interest referral data**
- **Discussion of any trends of interest identified in referral data**
- **Other (Please explain)**

Tab 2 – FY10 Statistical Report Data Call for Sexual Assaults in the Military

Summary of referral data.

There were fourteen referrals for medical services, fourteen referrals for counseling services and thirty referrals for legal services for non-military victims.

Combat Areas of Interest referral data

There were no referrals of non-military victims.

Discussion of any trends of interest identified in referral data

The most notable difference in FY10 is that non-military victims were referred to either medical, counseling and/or legal services totaling fifty-eight.

1a. Unrestricted Reports (A-E)

USN FY10 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN THE MILITARY	
A. FY10 REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT (rape, aggravated sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, wrongful sexual contact, non-consensual sodomy, and attempts to commit these offenses) BY or AGAINST Service Members.	FY10 Totals
# VICTIMS in FY10 Unrestricted Reports	478
# Service Member victims	387
# Non-Service Member victims	91
# Unrestricted Reports in the following categories	441
# Service Member on Service Member	285
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	82
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	20
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	54
# Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault occurring	441
# On military installation	175
# Off military installation	215
# Unidentified location	51
# Investigations Initiated (From FY10 Unrestricted Reports)	441
# Investigations pending completion as of 30-SEP-10	116
# Completed Investigations as of 30-SEP-10	325
# Restricted Reports	170
# Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report*	28
# FY10 RESTRICTED REPORTS REMAINING RESTRICTED	142
B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS IN FY10	FY10 Totals
Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report	441
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	165
# Reports made within 4 to 30 days after sexual assault	128
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	82
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	14
# Unknown	52
Time of sexual assault	441
# Midnight to 6 am	74
# 6 am to 6 pm	18
# 6 pm to midnight	44
# Unknown	305
Day of sexual assault	441
# Sunday	63
# Monday	28
# Tuesday	27
# Wednesday	26
# Thursday	41
# Friday	43
# Saturday	76
# Unknown	137
C. SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATIONS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS (INITIATED AND COMPLETED IN FY10)	FY10 Totals
# Investigations initiated and completed during FY10	325
# Investigations with more than one victim, subject, or both	30
# SUBJECTS in the completed investigations	352
# Your Service Member subjects	267
# Service Member subjects from other Services	19
# Non-Service Member subjects	21
# Unidentified subjects	45
# VICTIMS in the completed investigations	351
# Your Service Member victims	281
# Service Member victims from other Services	14
# Non-Service Member victims	56
# Unknown	0

1a. Unrestricted Reports (A-E)

D. FINAL DISPOSITIONS FOR SUBJECTS IN FY10 INVESTIGATIONS	FY10 Totals
# SUBJECTS in FY10 completed investigations	352
DoD Action Precluded:	57
# Unknown Subjects	8
# Unfounded by Investigative Agency	33
# Civilian or Foreign Authority Prosecutions of Persons Subject to the UCMJ	10
# Civilian or Foreign Authority Prosecutions of Persons NOT Subject to the UCMJ	6
# Total Command Action Precluded or Declined for Sexual Assault	146
# Probable cause for only non-sexual assault offense	7
# Subject deceased or deserted	0
# Victim deceased	0
# Victim declined to participate in the military justice action	85
# Insufficient evidence of any offense	30
# Statute of limitations had expired	0
# Unfounded by Command	4
# Commander declined action pursuant to UCMJ Rules of Courts-Martial 306(c)(1)	20
# Subjects still awaiting command action as of 30-SEP-10	83
# Subjects for whom command action was completed as of 30-SEP-10	66
# Evidence Supports Command Action for the following FY10 Sexual Assault Subjects	66
# Courts-martial charge preferred (Initiated)	24
# Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15 UCMJ)	30
# Administrative discharges	2
# Other administrative actions	10
E. FINAL DISPOSITIONS FOR SUBJECTS IN Pre-FY10 INVESTIGATIONS (Prior year investigations completed in FY10)	FY10 Totals
# Total Number of Investigations from CY04 to FY09 pending completion at the end of FY09 (30-Sep-09)	186
# Pre-FY10 Investigations STILL PENDING completion as of 30-SEP-10	3
# Pre-FY10 Investigations completed of 30-SEP-10	183
# SUBJECTS from Pre-FY10 investigations completed by 30-SEP-10	205
# Final DISPOSITIONS for SUBJECTS from FY04 to FY09 investigations that were completed in FY10	205
DoD Action Precluded:	28
# Unknown Subjects	8
# Unfounded by Investigative Agency	2
# Civilian or Foreign Authority Prosecutions of persons subject to the UCMJ	11
# Civilian or Foreign Authority Prosecutions of Persons NOT Subject to the UCMJ	7
# Total Command Action Precluded or Declined for Sexual Assault	94
# Probable cause for only non-sexual assault offense	11
# Subject deceased or deserted	0
# Victim deceased	0
# Victim declined to participate in the military justice action	28
# Insufficient evidence of any offense	40
# Statute of limitations had expired	0
# Unfounded by Command	5
# Commander declined action pursuant to UCMJ Rules of Courts-Martial 306(c)(1)	10
# Pre-FY10 subjects still awaiting command action as of 30-SEP-10	24
# Pre-FY10 subjects for whom command action was completed as of 30-SEP-10	59
# Evidence Supports Command Action for the following Pre-FY10 Sexual Assault Subjects	59
# Courts-martial charge preferred (Initiated)	46
# Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15 UCMJ)	6
# Administrative discharges	3
# Other administrative actions	4
* Restricted Reports that convert to Unrestricted Reports are counted with the total number of Unrestricted Reports.	

UN FY10 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT - SERVICE MEMBER STATUS BY GENDER										
F. REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS (BY or AGAINST Service Members) IN THE BELOW CATEGORIES FOR ALL FY10 INVESTIGATIONS (UR)					FY10 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT BY OFFENSE TYPE					
	Male on Female	Male on Male	Female on Male	Female on Female	Unknown on Male	Unknown on Female	FY10 Totals			
	374	44	1	3	6	13	441			
# Service Member on Service Member	269	30	0	2	0	285				
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	15	0	0	0	0	15				
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	26	0	0	0	0	26				
# Unidentified subject on Service Member										
REPORTS MADE IN FY10										
Pre-FY08 INCIDENTS										
FY08-FY09 INCIDENTS										
FY10 INCIDENTS										
G. REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS (BY or AGAINST Service Members) IN THE FOLLOWING CATEGORIES FOR ALL FY10 INVESTIGATIONS (UR)										
# TOTAL Service Member Victims	1	2	0	0	3	16	0	0	0	22
# Service Member Victims: Female	1	2	0	0	3	16	0	0	0	22
# Service Member Victims: Male	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TIME AND DATE OF OFFENSE TYPE FOR UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT MADE IN FY10										
Time of sexual assault										
# Midnight to 6 am	2	2	1	0	4	18	0	0	0	27
# 6 am to 6 pm	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 6 pm to midnight	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	2	2	1	0	4	18	0	0	0	27
Day of sexual assault										
# Monday	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Tuesday	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Wednesday	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Thursday	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Saturday	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	2	2	1	0	4	18	0	0	0	27
DEMOGRAPHICS ON VICTIMS IN COMPLETED FY10 INVESTIGATIONS										
Gender of VICTIMS										
# Male	2	3	1	0	4	15	0	0	0	20
# Female	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Age of VICTIMS										
# 16-19	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2
# 20-24	1	1	0	0	0	2	8	0	0	11
# 25-34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 35-44	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 45-54	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 65 and older	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grade of VICTIMS										
# E1-E4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# E5-E6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# WO1-WO5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# O1-O3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# O4-O10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# US Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Foreign national/foreign military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Service of VICTIMS										
# Army	2	3	1	0	4	15	0	0	0	20
# Navy	1	2	0	0	3	12	0	0	0	15
# Air Force	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Marine	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Coast Guard	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# US Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Foreign national/foreign military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Status of VICTIMS										
# Unknown	2	3	1	0	4	15	0	0	0	20
# Active	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Reserve (Activated)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# National Guard (Activated)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# US Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Foreign national/foreign military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

2. Restricted Reports

USN FY10 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN THE MILITARY	
A. FY10 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT (rape, aggravated sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, wrongful sexual contact, non-consensual sodomy, and attempts to commit these offenses)	FY10 TOTALS
# Service Member VICTIMS in Restricted Reports	170
# Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report*	28
# FY10 RESTRICTED REPORTS REMAINING RESTRICTED	142
# Reported sexual assaults AGAINST Service Member victims in the following categories	170
# Service Member on Service Member	127
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	19
# Unidentified subject on Service Member	24
# Reported sexual assaults occurring	170
# On military installation	52
# Off military installation	103
# Unidentified location	15
B. INCIDENT DETAILS	FY10 TOTALS
Length of time between sexual assault and Restricted Report	170
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	75
# Reports made within 4 to 30 days after sexual assault	33
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	34
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	8
# Unknown	20
Time of sexual assault incident	170
# Midnight to 6 am	57
# 6 am to 6 pm	12
# 6 pm to midnight	60
# Unknown	41
Day of sexual assault incident	170
# Sunday	17
# Monday	8
# Tuesday	5
# Wednesday	21
# Thursday	9
# Friday	27
# Saturday	41
# Unknown	42
C. RESTRICTED REPORTING - VICTIM SERVICE AFFILIATION	FY10 TOTALS
# VICTIMS	170
# Army victims	1
# Navy victims	159
# Marines victims	2
# Air Force victims	5
# Coast Guard	1
# Unknown	2

2. Restricted Reports

D. DEMOGRAPHICS FOR FY10 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT		FY10 TOTALS
Gender of VICTIMS		170
# Male		14
# Female		154
# Unknown		2
Age of VICTIMS		170
# 16-19		42
# 20-24		94
# 25-34		21
# 35-49		4
# 50-64		0
# 65 and older		0
# Unknown		9
Grade of VICTIMS		170
# E1-E4		125
# E5-E9		20
# WO1-WO5		0
# O1-O3		5
# O4-O10		4
# Cadet/Midshipman		3
# US Civilian		0
# Unknown		13
Status of VICTIMS		170
# Active Duty		153
# Reserve (Activated)		5
# National Guard (Activated)		1
# Cadet/Midshipman		3
# US Civilian		5
# Unknown		3
* The Restricted Reports reports that converted to Unrestricted Reports are counted in the total number of Unrestricted Reports listed in Worksheet 1a, Section A.		

3. Victim Services

USN FY10 SUPPORT SERVICES FOR VICTIMS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN THE MILITARY	
<i>NOTE: Totals of referrals and military protective orders are for all activities during the reporting period, regardless of when the sexual assault report was made.</i>	
A. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS TO MILITARY VICTIMS FROM UNRESTRICTED REPORTS:	FY10 TOTALS
# Support service referrals for VICTIMS in the following categories	
# MILITARY facilities	706
# Medical	236
# Counseling	301
# Legal	169
# CIVILIAN facilities (Referred by DoD)	199
# Medical	52
# Counseling	117
# Legal	30
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	4
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of victim's exam	0
# Military Victims making an UR that received service referrals for an incident that occurred prior to military service	0
B. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS FOR MILITARY VICTIMS IN RESTRICTED REPORTS:	FY10 TOTALS
# Support service referrals for VICTIMS in the following categories	
# MILITARY facilities	192
# Medical	77
# Counseling	85
# Legal	30
# CIVILIAN facilities (Referred by DoD)	57
# Medical	17
# Counseling	35
# Legal	5
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	13
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of victim's exam	0
# Military Victims making a RR that received service referrals for an incident that occurred prior to military service	0
C. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS TO NON-MILITARY (DOD CIVILIANS, CONTRACTORS, ETC) VICTIMS:	FY10 TOTALS
# Support service referrals for VICTIMS in the following categories	
# MILITARY facilities	0
# Medical	0
# Counseling	0
# Legal	0
# CIVILIAN facilities (Referred by DoD)	0
# Medical	0
# Counseling	0
# Legal	0
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	0
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of victim's exam	0
D. FY10 MILITARY PROTECTIVE ORDERS (MPO)*	FY10 TOTALS
# Military Protective Orders issued due to an FY10 Unrestricted Report of Sexual Assault	5
# Reported MPO Violations in FY10 Completed Investigations	0
# Reported MPO Violations by Subjects	0
# Reported MPO Violations by Victims of Sexual Assault	0
<i>*In accordance with DoD Policy, Military Protective Orders are only issued in Unrestricted Reports. A Restricted Report cannot be made when there is a safety risk to the victim.</i>	

4a. Reports of Sexual Assault in Combat Areas of Interest (Sections A-E)

USN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST FY10 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN THE MILITARY	
A. FY10 REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST (CAI) (rape, aggravated sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, wrongful sexual contact, non-consensual sodomy, and attempts to commit these offenses) INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS (BY or AGAINST Service Members).	FY10 Totals
# VICTIMS in FY10 Unrestricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest	20
# Service Member victims	18
# Non-Service Member victims	2
# Unrestricted Reports in the following categories	19
# Service Member on Service Member	14
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	2
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	2
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	1
# Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault occurring	19
# On military installation	9
# Off military installation	9
# Unidentified location	1
# Investigations (From FY10 Unrestricted Reports)	19
# Pending completion as of 30-SEP-10	1
# Completed as of 30-SEP-10	18
# Restricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest	3
# Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report*	0
# FY10 RESTRICTED REPORTS REMAINING RESTRICTED	3
B. FY10 DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY10 Totals
Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report	19
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	7
# Reports made within 4 to 30 days after sexual assault	9
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	2
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	0
# Unknown	1
Time of sexual assault	19
# Midnight to 6 am	4
# 6 am to 6 pm	1
# 6 pm to midnight	2
# Unknown	12
Day of sexual assault	19
# Sunday	0
# Monday	4
# Tuesday	2
# Wednesday	1
# Thursday	5
# Friday	1
# Saturday	4
# Unknown	2
C. SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATIONS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS (INITIATED AND COMPLETED IN FY10) IN CAI	FY10 Totals
# Investigations initiated and completed during FY10	18
# Investigations with more than one victim, subject, or both	2
# SUBJECTS in the completed investigations	22
# Your Service Member subjects	14
# Service Member subjects from other Services	5
# Non-Service Member subjects	2
# Unidentified subjects	1
# VICTIMS in the completed investigations	18
# Your Service Member victims	13
# Service Member victims from other Services	3
# Non-Service Member victims	2
# Unknown	0

4a. Reports of Sexual Assault in Combat Areas of Interest (Sections A-E)

D. FINAL DISPOSITIONS FOR SUBJECTS IN FY10 INVESTIGATIONS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY10 Totals
# SUBJECTS in FY10 completed investigations	22
DoD Action Precluded:	3
# Unknown Subjects	0
# Unfounded by Investigative Agency	1
# Civilian or Foreign Authority Prosecutions of Persons Subject to the UCMJ	0
# Civilian or Foreign Authority Prosecutions of Persons NOT Subject to the UCMJ	2
# Total Command Action Precluded or Declined for Sexual Assault	5
# Probable cause for only non-sexual assault offense	0
# Subject deceased or deserted	0
# Victim deceased	0
# Victim declined to participate in the military justice action	3
# Insufficient evidence of any offense	1
# Statute of limitations had expired	0
# Unfounded by Command	1
# Commander declined action pursuant to UCMJ Rules of Courts-Martial 306(c)(1)***	0
# Subjects pending completion of command action as of 30-SEP-09	3
# Subjects for whom command action was completed as of 30-SEP-10	11
# Evidence Supports Command Action for the following FY10 Sexual Assault Subjects	11
# Courts-martial charge preferred (Initiated)	2
# Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15 UCMJ)	8
# Administrative discharges	0
# Other administrative actions	1
E. FINAL DISPOSITIONS FOR PRE-FY10 SUBJECTS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST (From investigations opened prior to FY10 that were completed in FY10)	FY10 Totals
# Total Number of Investigations from CY04 to FY09 pending completion at the end of FY09	6
# Pre-FY10 Investigations STILL PENDING completion as of 30-SEP-10	0
# Pre-FY10 Investigations completed of 30-SEP-10	6
# SUBJECTS from Pre-FY10 investigations completed as of 30-SEP-10	6
# Final FY10 DISPOSITIONS for SUBJECTS from FY04 to FY09 reports and investigations that were completed in FY10	6
DoD Action Precluded:	2
# Unknown Subjects	2
# Unfounded by Investigative Agency	0
# Civilian or Foreign Authority Prosecutions of persons subject to the UCMJ	0
# Civilian or Foreign Authority Prosecutions of Persons NOT Subject to the UCMJ	0
# Total Command Action Precluded or Declined for Sexual Assault (definitions provided below Section	0
# Probable cause for only non-sexual assault offense	0
# Subject deceased or deserted	0
# Victim deceased	0
# Victim declined to participate in the military justice action	0
# Insufficient evidence of any offense	0
# Statute of limitations had expired	0
# Unfounded by Command	0
# Commander declined action pursuant to UCMJ Rules of Courts-Martial 306(c)(1)	0
# Pre-FY10 subjects still awaiting command action as of 30-SEP-10	0
# Pre-FY10 subjects for whom command action was completed as of 30-SEP-10	4
# Evidence Supports Command Action for the following Pre-FY10 Sexual Assault Subjects	4
# Courts-martial charge preferred (Initiated)	1
# Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15 UCMJ)	1
# Administrative discharges	1
# Other administrative actions	1
* Restricted Reports that convert to Unrestricted Reports are counted with the total number of Unrestricted Reports.	

4b. Unrestricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest (Sections F-H)

G. REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS (BY or AGAINST Service Members) IN THE FOLLOWING CATEGORIES FOR ALL FY10 INVESTIGATIONS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST												
DEMOGRAPHICS ON SUBJECTS IN COMPLETED FY10 INVESTIGATIONS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST												
	Rape (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134)	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	Attempts to Commit these Offenses	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	Attempts to Commit these Offenses (Art. 80)	FY10 Totals
Gender of SUBJECTS												
# Male	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Female	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Age of SUBJECTS												
# 15-19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 20-24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 25-34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 35-49	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 50-64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 65 and older	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grade of SUBJECTS												
# E1-E4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# E5-E9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# WO1-WO5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# O4-O10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# US Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Foreign national/foreign military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Service of SUBJECTS												
# Army	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Navy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Marines	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Air Force	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Coast Guard	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# US Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Foreign national/foreign military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Status of SUBJECTS												
# Active Duty	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Reserve (Activated)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# National Guard (Activated)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# US Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Foreign national/foreign military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - LOCATION OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS BY TYPE OF OFFENSE												
Pre-FY08 INCIDENTS												
FY08-FY09 INCIDENTS												
FY10 INCIDENTS												
H. FY10 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - LOCATIONS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	Rape (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134)	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	Attempts to Commit these Offenses	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	Attempts to Commit these Offenses (Art. 80)	FY10 Totals
TOTAL UNRESTRICTED REPORTS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Arabian Peninsula, Iraq & Red Sea	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Bahrain	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Iran	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Jordan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Lebanon	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Syria	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Yemen	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Djibouti	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Egypt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Kuwait	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Oman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Qatar	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Saudi Arabia	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# United Arab Emirates	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Central and South Asia												
# Iran	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Kyrgyzstan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Pakistan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Alghamistan												
# Afghanistan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL UNRESTRICTED REPORTS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

5a. Restricted Reports of Sexual Assault in Combat Areas of Interest (Sections A-D)

USN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST (CAI) FY10 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN THE MILITARY	
A. FY10 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT (rape, aggravated sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, wrongful sexual contact, non-consensual sodomy, and attempts to commit these offenses)	FY10 Totals
# Restricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest	3
# Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report*	0
# FY10 RESTRICTED REPORTS REMAINING RESTRICTED	3
# Reported sexual assaults AGAINST Service Member victims in the following categories	3
# Service Member on Service Member	2
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	0
# Unidentified subject on Service Member	1
# Reported sexual assaults occurring	3
# On military installation	1
# Off military installation	2
# Unidentified location	0
B. CAI INCIDENT DETAILS	FY10 TOTALS
Length of time between sexual assault and Restricted Report	3
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	2
# Reports made within 4 to 30 days after sexual assault	1
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	0
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	0
# Unknown	0
Time of sexual assault incident	3
# Midnight to 6 am	2
# 6 am to 6 pm	0
# 6 pm to midnight	0
# Unknown	1
Day of sexual assault incident	3
# Sunday	0
# Monday	0
# Tuesday	0
# Wednesday	0
# Thursday	0
# Friday	0
# Saturday	2
# Unknown	1
C. CAI RESTRICTED REPORTS - VICTIM SERVICE AFFILIATION	FY10 TOTALS
# VICTIMS	3
# Army	0
# Air Force	1
# Navy	2
# Marines	0
# Coast Guard	0
# Unknown	0

5a. Restricted Reports of Sexual Assault in Combat Areas of Interest (Sections A-D)

D. CAI DEMOGRAPHICS FOR FY10 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	FY10 TOTALS
Gender of VICTIMS	3
# Male	2
# Female	1
# Unknown	0
Age of VICTIMS	3
# 16-19	1
# 20-24	1
# 25-34	1
# 35-49	0
# 50-64	0
# 65 and older	0
# Unknown	0
Grade of VICTIMS	3
# E1-E4	2
# E5-E9	1
# WO1-WO5	0
# O1-O3	0
# O4-O10	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	0
# US Civilian	0
# Unknown	0
Status of VICTIMS	3
# Active Duty	3
# Reserve (Activated)	0
# National Guard (Activated)	0
# US Civilian	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	0
# Unknown	0
* The Restricted Reports reports that converted to Unrestricted Reports are counted in the total number of Unrestricted Reports listed in Worksheet 4a, Section A.	

5b. Restricted Reports of Sexual Assault in Combat Areas of Interest (Section E)

USN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - LOCATION OF FY10 RESTRICTED REPORTS	
E. TOTAL # FY10 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST -RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	FY10 Totals
<i>TOTAL RESTRICTED ASSAULTS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST</i>	3
Arabian Peninsula, Iraq & Red Sea	
Bahrain	1
Iraq	0
Jordan	0
Lebanon	0
Syria	0
Yemen	0
Djibouti	2
Egypt	0
Kuwait	0
Oman	0
Qatar	0
Saudi Arabia	0
United Arab Emirates	0
Central and South Asia	
Iran	0
Kyrgyzstan	0
Pakistan	0
Afghanistan	0

6. Support Services for Victims of Sexual Assault in Combat Areas of Interest

USN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST: FY10 SUPPORT SERVICES FOR VICTIMS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN THE MILITARY	
<i>NOTE: Totals of referrals and military protective orders are for all activities during the reporting period, regardless of when the sexual assault report was made.</i>	
A. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS FOR MILITARY VICTIMS IN UNRESTRICTED REPORTS:	FY10 TOTALS
# Support service referrals for VICTIMS in the following categories	
# MILITARY facilities	5
# Medical	2
# Counseling	3
# Legal	0
# CIVILIAN facilities (Referred by DoD)	1
# Medical	1
# Counseling	0
# Legal	0
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	0
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of victim's exam	0
# Military Victims in UR that received service referrals for an incident that occurred prior to military service	0
B. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS FOR MILITARY VICTIMS IN RESTRICTED REPORTS:	FY10 TOTALS
# Support service referrals for VICTIMS in the following categories	
# MILITARY facilities	4
# Medical	2
# Counseling	2
# Legal	0
# CIVILIAN facilities (Referred by DoD)	0
# Medical	0
# Counseling	0
# Legal	0
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	0
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of victim's exam	0
# Military Victims in RR that received service referrals for an incident that occurred prior to military service	0
C. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS FOR NON-MILITARY (DOD CIVILIANS, CONTRACTORS, ETC) VICTIMS:	FY10 TOTALS
# Support service referrals for VICTIMS in the following categories	
# MILITARY facilities	0
# Medical	0
# Counseling	0
# Legal	0
# CIVILIAN facilities (Referred by DoD)	0
# Medical	0
# Counseling	0
# Legal	0
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	0
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of victim's exam	0
D. FY10 MILITARY PROTECTIVE ORDERS (MPO)*	FY10 TOTALS
# Military Protective Orders issued due to an FY10 Unrestricted Report of Sexual Assault	0
# Reported MPO Violations in FY10 Completed Investigations	0
# Reported MPO Violations by Subjects	0
# Reported MPO Violations by Victims of Sexual Assault	0
<i>*In accordance with DoD Policy, Military Protective Orders are only issued in Unrestricted Reports. A Restricted Report cannot be made when there is a safety risk to the victim.</i>	

7. UR Case Synopses

FY10 Sexual Assaults Synopses Report: USN										
No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Disposition Offense	Case Disposition	Case Synopsis
1	Rape	OCONUS	Unknown	Male	E-4	Female	Q1	N/A	Unknown Subject	Unknown location. Victim alleged that subject sexually assaulted her seven days prior to the report. Victim declined to cooperate with the prosecution or provide any identifying information regarding the subject.
2	Wrongful Sexual Contact	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Female	Q1	Multiple Offenses (see synopsis)	Nonjudicial Punishment	On-base offense in which Victim reported leaving storage room with Subject, being inappropriately touched on the buttocks and kissed by the Subject. During administrative separation process of Subject, he was charged with violating Article 120. Subject was found guilty of Article 107 (False Official Statement) and Article 134 (Fratricization).
3	Abusive Sexual Contact	OCONUS	E-8	Male	E-5	Female	Q4	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Nonjudicial Punishment	On-base offense where Subject kissed Victim and rubbed her vaginal area with his fingers and penis against her will. Victim later signed VPS and Subject received NJP; no further information.
4	Forcible Sodomy	OCONUS	Unknown	Male	E-5	Male	Q1	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Off-base offense where Victim woke up on his kitchen floor with an upset stomach and sore rectum. Victim stated he thought he was drugged and sodomized the night prior by Subject. Victim stated he did not wish to report the incident.
5	State/Local Sexual Offense Not Specified	United Arab Emirates	Foreign National	Male	E-4	Female	Q1	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Civilian or Foreign Prosecution of Person Not Subject to UCMJ	Off-base offense where Subject touched Victim's abdominal area and near her breasts while she was in the front seat of a vehicle. Victim declined to press charges and Subject was deported to India by his company.
6	Wrongful Sexual Contact	OCONUS	Unknown	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	N/A	Nonjudicial Punishment	Off-base offense where Subject digitally penetrated the Victim while at a club. Subject was taken to Captain's Mast for Violation of UCMJ Article 128 (Assault by Battery). The charge was dismissed.
7	Rape	CONUS	US Civilian	Male	E-6	Female	Q3	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	Off-base offense where Subject entered through a door left unsecured and raped the Victim. The Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County indicated all charges against Subject were dismissed.
8	Wrongful Sexual Contact	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Female	Q4	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	Off-base offense where Victim fell asleep after a party and woke up to Subject touching her genital area. Subject pled guilty at a General Courts-Martial to violating UCMJ Article 128 (Unlawfully Touching Another Service Member) and UCMJ Article 120 (Engaging in Sexual Contact with Another Service Member). Subject was sentenced to ten months confinement with a bad conduct discharge from the Navy.
9	State/Local Sexual Offense Not Specified	CONUS	E-5	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q3	State/Local Sexual Offense Not Specified	Civilian or Foreign Prosecution of Person Subject to UCMJ	Off-base offense where Victim went over to Subject's home to watch movies at which point Subject removed Victim's pants and had sexual intercourse with her. General District Court case for the Subject was Nolle Prosequi; investigation closed.
10	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-5	Male	E-3	Female	Q2	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Off-base offense where Victim was found passed out and naked with Subject standing over her naked. Victim stated she was told by others that she was raped, but that she had no memory of the evening's events. Victim signed a declination statement reflecting her wish to not pursue the investigation any further. No charges were preferred against Subject.
11	State/Local Sexual Offense Not Specified	CONUS	E-5	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	N/A	Civilian or Foreign Prosecution of Person Subject to UCMJ	Off-base offense where after a night of drinking, Subject was discovered rubbing Witness' hands up Victim's thigh while she was sleeping. Subject under civilian authorities; no further information.
12	Aggravated Sexual Assault	OCONUS	E-6	Male	E-2	Female	Q2	N/A	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	Off-base offense where Subject removed Victim's pants and had non-consensual sex with her. Subject's polygraph exam showed no deception. All charges were dismissed at an Article 32 hearing.
13	Forcible Sodomy	CONUS	O-3	Male	E-2	Male	Q1	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	On-base offense where Subject covered Victim's mouth with his hand, pushed Victim through a scuttle, physically threatened Victim's family prior to sodomizing him. Subject denied culpability; investigation was closed due to a lack of evidence.
14	Wrongful Sexual Contact	CONUS	Midshipman	Male	Midshipman	Female	Q2	N/A	Commander Declined Action IAW UCMJ RCM 306c1	On-base offense where Subject entered Victim's room, climbed into her rack and then touched her breasts without her consent. Subject was interrogated and denied culpability. Command declined to pursue criminal charges.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Disposition Offense	Case Disposition	Case Synopsis
15	Wrongful Sexual Contact	OCONUS	E-4	Male	E-2	Female	Q1	N/A	Commander Declined Action IAW UCMJ RCM 306c1	Off-base offense where Subject grabbed Victim's breast while at a hotel. Both Victim and Subject were identified by bystanders as being intoxicated and none reported seeing Subject grab Victim's breast. Command declined prosecution and indicated the Victim would receive alcohol counseling.
16	Rape	OCONUS	Unknown	Male	E-2	Female	Q1	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	On-base offense where Victim was forced by 3 males to drink from a bottle containing alcohol while Subject was holding a small pocket knife in one hand stuck his other hand down Victim's jeans and digitally penetrated her. Victim indicated she no longer wished to participate and signed a VPS.
17	Forcible Sodomy	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-3	Female	Q2	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	On-base offense where Victim was approached by Subject from behind and forced Victim to perform fellatio. Victim subsequently reported the incident to her command, then later decided to terminate her participation with the investigation.
18	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-1	Female	Q2	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense where Victim reported being assaulted by Subject, while in the parking lot. Witnesses stated they saw Subject having sexual intercourse with Victim, who was intoxicated and passed out in the back seat of truck. Subject found guilty of Article 120 at GCM.
19	Aggravated Sexual Assault	OCONUS	E-4	Male	E-5	Female	Q4	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	On-base offense where Victim became drunk at a unit function and upon returning to her barracks was sexually assaulted by the Subject. No prosecution pursued against Subject due to insufficient evidence.
20	Aggravated Sexual Assault	OCONUS	E-1	Male	E-3	Female	Q2	Failure to Obey Order or Regulation	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Off-base offense where Victim entered a unisex bathroom after a night of drinking where she had fuzzy recollection of the Subject engaging in sexual intercourse with her. Victim initially reported as Restricted but she told a command supervisor and the report became Unrestricted. Victim signed a statement indicating she did not wish to pursue this matter. Command took Subject to NJP for Underage Drinking.
21	State/Local Sexual Offense Not Specified	CONUS	E-6	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	N/A	Civilian or Foreign Prosecution of Person Not Subject to UCMJ	Off-base offense where Subject forced Victim to have sexual intercourse on two separate occasions. Local authorities closed their case as unfounded.
22	State/Local Sexual Offense Not Specified	CONUS	E-5	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	N/A	Civilian or Foreign Prosecution of Person Not Subject to UCMJ	Off-base offense where Victim reported being digitally penetrated by Subject, while asleep in her bed following a party. Local authorities declined prosecution.
23	Wrongful Sexual Contact	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-1	Female	Q3	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	On-Base offense where Subject pulled off Victim's pants and put his hand down her panties, but did not digitally penetrate her vagina. Victim reported no injuries and declined to participate in this investigation. The Victim signed a Victim Preference Statement.
24	Wrongful Sexual Contact	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-5	Female	Q2	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Nonjudicial Punishment	Off-base offense where Subject fondled Victim's buttocks, breasts and pubic area while attending a costume party. Subject attended Captain's Mast and was found guilty. Subject was awarded a reduction in grade to E-3, forfeiture of \$500.00 per month for two (2) months, 45 days restriction, and 45 days extra duty.
25	Rape	CONUS	E-2	Male	E-3	Female	Q4	N/A	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense where Subject removed Victim's pants and began having sexual intercourse with her while at Subject's residence watching a movie. The following day Subject sent text messages to Victim apologizing for the previous night's incident. A General Court Martial was convened and the Subject found not guilty of charges.
26	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	O-3	Male	E-3	Female	Q4	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	On-base offense where Victim reported being sexually assaulted two separate times. Victim signed a victim preference letter and Command did not pursue as a result of Victim not wishing to pursue further.
27	Wrongful Sexual Contact	CONUS	E-4	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q3	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Off-base offense where Subject pinned Victim's wrists above her head, while in a car and rubbed her breasts over her clothing, forcibly separated her legs, kneed her in the groin, bit her neck and ground his pelvis into her. Both were highly intoxicated. Victim later advised she no longer wished to pursue the matter.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Disposition Offense	Case Disposition	Case Synopsis
28	Forcible Sodomy	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-4	Male	Q1	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Off-base offense where Victim was awakened to an unknown Subject kissing and touching him and then told to go to the next room where he was forced face down on the bed and sodomized. Victim was later interviewed and did not identify a Subject. Victim also spoke with a Victim Advocate and opted to sign a VPS.
29	State/Local Sexual Offense Not Specified	OCONUS	Unknown	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q3	State/Local Sexual Offense Not Specified	Civilian or Foreign Prosecution of Person Subject to UCMJ	Off-base offense where Victim reported a two-year old incident in which she woke up after a night of drinking in her underwear on the Subject's bed. She reported two used condoms were found on the floor. No disposition received from local authorities; case closed.
30	Wrongful Sexual Contact	CONUS	O-3	Male	E-4	Female	Q1	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Nonjudicial Punishment	Unknown offense location. Subject grabbed Victim's buttocks inside the Officer of the Deck's station. In addition, Subject sent Victim Facebook messages asking her to send pictures of herself nude and in her underwear. Subject found guilty on 2 cts Wrongful Sexual Contact and 1 ct Conduct Unbecoming an Officer and Gentleman. Subject issued a Punitive Letter of Reprimand.
31	Wrongful Sexual Contact	CONUS	E-6	Male	E-1	Female	Q3	Fraternization	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense where Victim entered Subject's office where he shut the door and straddled her hips and attempted to kiss her on the lips and neck. Subject then pulled Victim's t-shirt and uniform shirt up to expose both of Victim's breasts, and proceeded to unzip and unbutton Victim's pants. Subject faced Special Courts-Martial and was found guilty of Article 92. Subject was discharged from Navy with bad conduct discharge.
32	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-4	Female	Q1	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Unknown location offense where Victim reported drinking alcohol, blacking out, and having unknown sexual contact. The victim then stated she did not wish to have an investigation conducted.
33	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-4	Female	Q1	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Off-base offense where Victim went out drinking with Subject and then to his friend's apartment where the Subject touched the outside of her vagina and subsequently inserted his fingers into her vagina. The victim terminated the investigation submitting a sworn statement indicated she may have been a consenting party to the sexual contact between the Subject and her.
34	Wrongful Sexual Contact	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-5	Female	Q1	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Nonjudicial Punishment	On-base offense where Subject placed Victim's hand on his genital area on the outside of his clothing. Victim did not initially report and the Subject denied incident. Subject went to NJP and found guilty of Wrongful Sexual Contact; awarded 45 days restriction, 45 days extra duty, reduction to E-3, and forfeiture of 1/2 months pay for two months. Subject was subsequently administratively separated for a pattern of misconduct.
35	Forcible Sodomy	CONUS	Unknown	Unknown	E-3	Male	Q1	N/A	Unknown Subject	Off-base offense where Victim was consuming alcoholic beverages, blacked out, and woke up naked at an unknown home. Victim stated he woke up with what he believed to be semen on his chest. No subject identified; case closed.
36a	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-4	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q4	Disorderly Conduct/Drunkenness	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	Off-base offense where Victim reported to local authorities that Subject#1 and Subject#2 provided Victim with alcohol, then once she became intoxicated, raped her. Subject#1 was found guilty of Article 134 (providing alcohol to a minor) and Article 134 (disorderly conduct), and charges regarding Article 120 (rape) and Article 125 (sodomy) were withdrawn. There was insufficient evidence to prosecute Subject#2.
36b	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-2	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q4	N/A	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	Off-base offense where Victim reported to local authorities that Subject#1 and Subject#2 provided Victim with alcohol, then once she became intoxicated, raped her. Subject#1 was found guilty of Article 134 (providing alcohol to a minor) and Article 134 (disorderly conduct), and charges regarding Article 120 (rape) and Article 125 (sodomy) were withdrawn. There was insufficient evidence to prosecute Subject#2.
37	State/Local Sexual Offense Not Specified	CONUS	US Civilian	Male	E-4	Female	Q4	N/A	Civilian or Foreign Prosecution of Person Subject to UCMJ	On-base offense where Victim alleged that Subject, during a scheduled gynecological exam, "rhythmically" moved his finger inside her vagina. Victim indicated the rhythmic motion mimicked masturbation. A forensic analysis of Subject's computer came up negative. United States Attorney's Office declined to prosecute due to lack of evidence to sustain an indictment or conviction.
38	Aggravated Sexual Assault	OCONUS	E-6	Male	E-2	Female	Q2	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	Off-Base offense where Victim went to a bar and after the second drink could not remember the details of that night. On the following day she recalled being in a bathroom and hearing the Subject's voice claiming he sodomized her. Subject advised he found the Victim passed out in the bathroom where he digitally penetrated her both vaginally and anally. Subject was found guilty of Article 120 (Aggravated Sexual Assault) at GCM.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Disposition Offense	Case Disposition	Case Synopsis
39	Wrongful Sexual Contact	CONUS	E-6	Male	E-3	Female	Q1	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Nonjudicial Punishment	On-base offense where Victim reported to her command that Subject had indecently assaulted her by nibbling on her ear and fondling her pubic area. Subject was awarded NJP and received a reduction in pay grade to E-5, a forfeiture of \$1000.00 for two months, and administrative separation from the United States Navy.
40	Wrongful Sexual Contact	OCONUS	E-5	Male	E-5	Female	Q2	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Probable Cause for Only Non-Sexual Assault Offense	Off-base offense where an intoxicated Subject grabbed Victim's clothed breast without her consent while in a bar. Subject awarded NJP for violating Art 92 (Failure to obey lawful general regulation) and received 30 day restriction, 30 days extra duty, reduction to next inferior pay grade (suspended), and forfeiture of one half months pay for 2 months (suspended).
41	Aggravated Sexual Assault	OCONUS	E-4	Male	E-4	Female	Q4	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	On-base offense where Subject allegedly raped Victim in his vehicle which awoke her from sleep. Victim later decided not to participate in investigation and signed the Victim Preference Form.
42	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-2	Male	E-3	Female	Q4	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Off-base offense following a party where Victim awoke with Subject having sexual intercourse with her. Victim subsequently signed a Victim Preference Statement refusing to provide a sworn statement and did not wish to cooperate with the prosecution of the Subject.
43	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-2	Female	Q2	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	On-base offense where Victim reported she was consuming alcohol with Subject and other male sailors in a barracks room when she blacked out. Victim stated she thinks she may have been drugged and had non consensual with Subject; however, later on in the investigation she withdrew her statements and signed a Victim Preference Statement.
44	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-5	Male	E-4	Female	Q2	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	On-base offense where Victim reported to her LPO that she was raped in a barracks room, but declined to provide the name of the Subject. After potential witness interviews a possible Subject was identified and interviewed, stating . Victim was re-interviewed in an attempt to obtain further information. On the third interview, Victim signed a Victim Preference Statement.
45	Wrongful Sexual Contact	OCONUS	E-4	Male	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims Male	Q3	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	Various locations. Subject inappropriately touched Victim over the pants in the groin area on various occasions. Subsequent to Victim #1 reporting this incident, multiple accusations surfaced by other sailors claiming the Subject inappropriately touched them (additional seven victims). Subject was found guilty at Special Court-Martial on four (4) counts of Article 120 (Wrongful sexual contact) and seven (7) counts of Article 128 (Assault consummated by a battery) of the Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ).
46	Wrongful Sexual Contact	CONUS	Midshipman	Male	Midshipman	Female	Q3	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Adverse Administrative Action	Off-base offense where Victim repeatedly slapped her on the buttocks when she was leaving a local bar. Subject was punished administratively by being verbally counseled, issued a letter of instruction, and awarded extra military instruction.
47	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-1	Male	E-2	Female	Q3	N/A	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense where Victim reported Subject raped her at a Naval recreation campground. Victim chose not to have SAFE. Subject admitted penetrating the Victim's vagina. Article 32 Hearing failed to find "reasonable grounds" and charges against Subject were dismissed without prejudice.
48	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-4	Female	Q2	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Off-base offense where Victim and Subject drank heavily in a hotel room. They had sex, but Victim doesn't remember if it was consensual, and wants an investigation done to find out. Later, Victim signed a Declination for Prosecution letter. Command declined to prosecute Subject.
49	Wrongful Sexual Contact	CONUS	Unknown	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	Multiple Offenses (see synopsis)	Nonjudicial Punishment	On-base offense where Victim reported Subject sexually assaulted her. Later, Victim changed her story. Subject stated all sex was consensual. Captain's Mast for Sexual Misconduct and Conduct Unbecoming awarded Subject Forfeiture of 1/2 month pay for two months, 30 days Extra duty, and 30 days Restriction (suspended).
50	Forcible Sodomy	CONUS	E-5	Male	E-5	Female	Q4	Forcible Sodomy	Nonjudicial Punishment	On-base (ship) offense where Victim reported Subject forced her to perform oral sex on him twice in three months. Subject stated all was consensual. Subject awarded NJP of 45 days Restriction and Extra duties, Forfeiture of 1/2 months pay for two months, and Reduction to E-4. Subject ADSEPP with OTH.
51	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	Midshipman	Male	Midshipman	Female	Q3	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Off-base offense where Victim reported that during summer training, intoxicated Subject held her down on her hotel room bed and raped her. Subject maintained sex was consensual. Victim declined to provide a sworn statement or participate further in the investigation. Due to Victim's lack of participation, no action was taken.
52	Wrongful Sexual Contact	CONUS	E-5	Male	E-5	Female	Q3	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Administrative Discharge	Off-base offense where Victim reported she was at a TGI Fridays in San Diego, when Subject reached inside her shirt and bra to touch her left breast and nipple. Subject denied doing this. Subject was ADSEPP from USN.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Victim Disposition Completed	Disposition Offense	Case Disposition	Case Synopsis
53	Forcible Sodomy	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-1	Male	Q2	N/A	Commander Declined Action IAW UCMJ RCM 306c1	Off-base offense where Victim initially reported being abducted and sodomized, but later admitted to having consensual sodomy with Subject at a hotel, while two others in their group took pictures of it. Command elected not to pursue any administrative or judicial action.
54	Aggravated Sexual Assault	OCONUS	E-2	Male	E-3	Female	Q2	N/A	Commander Declined Action IAW UCMJ RCM 306c1	On-base offense where Victim reported she entered her barracks room and Subject attacked her. Victim told Subject she didn't want to have sex. Subject told her she did and fondled and kissed her. Subject was ADSEP from USN prior to any trial occurring.
55	State/Local Sexual Offense Not Specified	CONUS	E-5	Male	E-1	Female	Q4	N/A	Civilian or Foreign Prosecution of Person Subject to UCMJ	Off-base offense where Victim slept at Subject's house and woke to Subject having sex with her. Victim said "Stop!", but Subject persisted. Subject said sex was consensual. Subject arrested for violating Florida Statute 794.01(5) (Sexual Battery, no victim injury by force, male/female - genital to genital penetration). Subject found Not guilty.
56	Wrongful Sexual Contact	CONUS	E-6	Male	E-5	Female	Q1	N/A	Commander Declined Action IAW UCMJ RCM 306c1	On-base offense where Victim said she became sexually intimate with Subject while aboard ship. Upon arriving state side, she ended their relationship to return to her live-in boyfriend. The Subject was upset. Victim said Subject sexually assaulted her during their time aboard, and is harassing her. Command declined to pursue.
57	Indecent Assault (Prior to FY08)	CONUS	O-1	Male	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims Female	Q2	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	Offense location unknown. Victim #1 reported Subject indecently assaulted her and had also done so to Victim #2. Victim #2 declined to be interviewed. Subject said all sexual contact between he and the victims was consensual.
58	Aggravated Sexual Assault	OCONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Female	Q2	Abusive Sexual Contact	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense where Victim said Subject stole camera (had nude images of her). He said he'd post pictures on internet unless they had sex. Victim complied. Subject found guilty of Articles 92 (Disobeying order), Article 120 (Abusive Sexual Contact by Using Threats), and Article 128 (Assault and Battery). Subject ADSEP with OTH.
59	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-2	Female	Q4	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	On-base offense where Subject said he had sex with Victim once consensually, and twice while she slept in his room. Victim awoke, missing her underwear and shorts, but didn't remember what happened. Victim denied consenting to have sex with Subject. Victim ceased participating with the investigation for personal reasons.
60	State/Local Sexual Offense Not Specified	CONUS	E-5	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	State/Local Sexual Offense Not Specified	Civilian or Foreign Prosecution of Person Subject to UCMJ	Off-base offense where Victim said Subject groped her when he was helping her get a Protective Order for her husband. Investigation referred to Local Police No Further Information.
61	Wrongful Sexual Contact	CONUS	Unknown	Unknown	E-2	Female	Q1	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	On-base offense where Victim Advocate first reported Victim's alleged indecent sexual assault. Victim said she visited Subject's BEQ and fell asleep. She awoke to Subject's hand beneath her underpants rubbing her vagina. Subject denied this. Victim gave NCIS limited details, wanted to keep the incident restricted, and signed a VPS.
62	Wrongful Sexual Contact	CONUS	E-2	Male	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims Male	Q4	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense where five Victims were groped while sleeping in their racks. Another Victim awoke twice in Subject's barracks room to Subject groping him. Subject (admitted bisexual) pled guilty at GCM. He was Confined five years, Forfeited pay and allowances, Reduced to E-1, and was DD.
63	Aggravated Sexual Assault	OCONUS	E-5	Male	E-2	Female	Q1	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	On-base offense where Victim reported she'd returned to ship intoxicated and Subject digitally penetrated her against her will. Witness reported Victim said she'd had consensual sex with Subject, but felt bad (Victim dating another man). Victim admitted sex with Subject was consensual. Command adjudicated Victim for false official statements.
64	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-2	Male	E-2	Female	Q4	N/A	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	Off-base offense where Victim said Subject (ex-boyfriend) lived with her before and after their breakup. They had consensual sex. A few days later, Victim awoke to the Subject atop her having sex with her against her will. GCM found Subject Not guilty of Victim's sexual assault charges.
65	Abusive Sexual Contact	United Arab Emirates	Unknown	Male	E-3	Female	Q1	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	On-base (ship) offense where Victim went on liberty with three sailors and drank. She doesn't recall returning. Victim recalled sitting near the flight desk with an unknown male. Since her inner thigh and vaginal areas hurt, she assumed she'd been sexually assaulted, but couldn't recall any details. Victim signed VPS.
66	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-4	Female	Q3	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Off-Base offense where Victim gave intoxicated Subject a ride home. Upon arrival, Subject refused to exit Victim's vehicle and penetrated the (intimidated) Victim's vagina with his penis. Subject said sex was consensual. Victim declined to cooperate further with the investigation. Command won't take action against Subject.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Disposition Offense	Case Disposition	Case Synopsis
67a	Forcible Sodomy	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-1	Male		Q1	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Off-base offense where Victim reported he'd been sexually assaulted two months prior by Subjects in a hotel room while attending training. Subsequently, Victim declined to participate in the investigation and signed a VPS.
67b	Forcible Sodomy	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-1	Male		Q1	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Off-base offense where Victim reported he'd been sexually assaulted two months prior by Subjects in a hotel room while attending training. Subsequently, Victim declined to participate in the investigation and signed a VPS.
68	Wrongful Sexual Contact	CONUS	E-7	Male	E-2	Female		Q4	Perjury/False Official Statement/False Swearing	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense where Victim reported Subject touched her breast and forced her to touch his penis (over clothes) at Chaplain's office. Subject found guilty of violating Article 107 (False Official Statements); Confined 89 days. Forfeited \$1696 per month for 2 months. Reduced to E-6, and Restricted for 45 days.
69	Wrongful Sexual Contact	CONUS	Unknown	Unknown	E-2	Female		Q1	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	On-base offense where Victim reported Subject, who possibly had knife and cut her face during the assault, came up behind her and tried to grope her. Later, Victim told NCIS she didn't wish to participate in the investigation and signed a VPS.
70	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-2	Female		Q3	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	On-base offense where Victim and Subject were kissing in Victim's barracks room. Victim reported Subject then raped her. She only wants him punished for "cheating on his wife." Subject said sex was consensual. Legal Officer indicated there was not enough evidence or probable cause to adjudicate the Subject.
71	Forcible Sodomy	CONUS	E-7	Male	US Civilian	Female		Q3	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	Off-base offense where Victim's letter to NCIS said the Subject forced her to engage in non-consensual sodomy. Subject admitted having consensual vaginal intercourse with Victim, but denied engaging in anal sex. RLISO advised there was insufficient evidence to prefer criminal charges.
72	State/Local Sexual Offense Not Specified	CONUS	O-5	Male	US Civilian	Female		Q1	N/A	Civilian or Foreign Prosecution of Person Subject to UCMJ	Off-base offense where intoxicated Victim told PD Subject followed her into a bar's restroom and forced her to touch his exposed penis while he fondled her breasts. Subject claimed Victim said this because he and his Navy friends would not pay the Victim and her friend's bar tab.
73	Aggravated Sexual Assault	OCONUS	E-4	Male	E-4	Female		Q2	N/A	Commander Declined Action IAW UCMJ RCM 306c1	On-base offense where, while at Subject's Bar-B-Q, Victim went to use bathroom. Subject entered bathroom uninvited and refused to leave. They kissed consensually. Subject tried to vaginally penetrate Victim, who resisted. Command determined no administrative or judicial action would be taken.
74	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-3	Female		Q2	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	Off-base offense where Victim, Subject, and another man drank at Victim's house. Subject passed out on bed. Victim and other man had sex next to Subject. Other man left room after Subject awoke and they argued. Victim passed out and awoke to Subject having sex with her. She resisted.
75	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-6	Male	E-5	Female		Q2	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	On-base offense where Victim said she drank heavily at a co-worker's residence and woke later with a hangover. Friends told her she had sex with Subject. Victim claims she does not recall any of this.
76	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Female		Q3	Failure to Obey Order or Regulation	Probable Cause for Only Non-Sexual Assault Offense	On-base offense where Subject and others drank in Victim's barracks room. Victim passed out and woke up twice to Subject fondling her breasts and/or digitally penetrating her (she said "stop!"). Subject was ADSEP from USN for failure to complete alcohol rehabilitation ordered as a result of this incident.
77a	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Djibouti	O-1	Male	US Civilian	Female		Q1	N/A	Nonjudicial Punishment	Off-base offense where Subjects #1, #2, #3, and #4 drank and drove in a Government vehicle. Intoxicated Victim joined them. Subject #4 touched Victim's vaginal area (over panties) while she was passed out. Subject #4 was awarded NJP (Supplemental report will give details of NJP).
77b	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Djibouti	O-2	Male	US Civilian	Female		Q1	N/A	Nonjudicial Punishment	Off-base offense where Subjects #1, #2, #3, and #4 drank and drove in a Government vehicle. Intoxicated Victim joined them. Subject #4 touched Victim's vaginal area (over panties) while she was passed out. NCIS will do Supplemental Report detailing NJP Subject #4 was awarded.
77c	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Djibouti	O-2	Male	US Civilian	Female		Q1	N/A	Nonjudicial Punishment	Off-base offense where Subjects #1, #2, #3, and #4 drank and drove in a Government vehicle. Intoxicated Victim joined them. Subject #4 touched Victim's vaginal area (over panties) while she was passed out. NCIS will do Supplemental Report detailing NJP Subject #4 was awarded.
77d	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Djibouti	E-9	Male	US Civilian	Female		Q1	N/A	Nonjudicial Punishment	Off-base offense where Subjects #1, #2, #3, and #4 drank and drove in a Government vehicle. Intoxicated Victim joined them. Subject #4 touched Victim's vaginal area (over panties) while she was passed out. NCIS will do Supplemental Report detailing NJP Subject #4 was awarded.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Disposition Offense	Case Disposition	Case Synopsis
78	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-2	Male	E-2	Female	Q2	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Off-base offense where Victim told PD she went drinking with friends for her 21st birthday and awoke on a beach partially clothed. She thought she'd been raped. The Victim later gave NCIS a statement that she no longer wanted the investigation to continue.
79	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-5	Male	E-5	Female	Q4	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	Off-base offense where Subject went to Victim's residence with her after an evening of drinking. Victim awoke several times to Subject having sex with her and told him to stop each time. Subject claimed sex was consensual. Prosecution was declined due to insufficient evidence.
80	Wrongful Sexual Contact	CONUS	E-6	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	On-base offense where Victim visited her husband's command. While alone with Victim, Subject groped her and said if Victim told he'd make work difficult for her husband. Subject denied everything. Later, Victim withdrew allegations and refused to cooperate with civilian/military authorities.
81	Wrongful Sexual Contact	OCONUS	E-6	Male	E-2	Female	Q2	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	On-base offense where Victim reported Subject had explicit photographs of her on his computer. Victim later admitted reporting this because Subject had "cupped" her vaginal area (no penetration), while she pretended to sleep. Subject denied. No witnesses or corroborative evidence was obtained.
82a	Aggravated Sexual Assault	OCONUS	E-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q4	N/A	Commander Declined Action IAW UCMJ RCM 306c1	Off-base offense where Victim told PD she had consensual sex with Subject #1 at motel before he and Subjects #2 and #3 had non-consensual sex with her while taking photos of same. Command declined to pursue charges (including UCMJ charges) for lack of evidence.
82b	Aggravated Sexual Assault	OCONUS	E-4	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q4	N/A	Commander Declined Action IAW UCMJ RCM 306c1	Off-base offense where Victim told PD she had consensual sex with Subject #1 at motel before he and Subjects #2 and #3 had non-consensual sex with her while taking photos of same. Command declined to pursue charges (including UCMJ charges) for lack of evidence.
82c	Aggravated Sexual Assault	OCONUS	E-4	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q4	N/A	Commander Declined Action IAW UCMJ RCM 306c1	Off-base offense where Victim told PD she had consensual sex with Subject #1 at motel before he and Subjects #2 and #3 had non-consensual sex with her while taking photos of same. Command declined to pursue charges (including UCMJ charges) for lack of evidence.
83	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	Unknown	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Unknown offense location. After drinking at party at Subject's place all night, Victim and her boyfriend slept there. Victim (on medication) woke to someone having sex with her. She soon realized it was the Subject. Victim signed VPS.
84	Wrongful Sexual Contact	CONUS	E-4	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q4	N/A	Administrative Discharge	Off-base offense where Victim woke in her dorm room to Subject fondling her vagina and offering to wear a condom. She told him to leave. PD located and arrested him. Subject was administratively separated from USN with Other than Honorable.
85	Aggravated Sexual Assault	United Arab Emirates	E-3	Male	E-4	Female	Q3	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	Off-base offense where Victim and Subject (liberty buddies) checked into hotel and drank alcohol. Victim woke to Subject having non-consensual sex with her. Subject said it was consensual. No administrative or judicial review was deemed appropriate by command.
86	Wrongful Sexual Contact	OCONUS	E-2	Male	E-2	Female	Q4	N/A	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense where Subject got into barracks room bed with Victim and tried to wake her. Victim feigned sleep as he caressed her and digitized her vagina. The next morning she let him do so again. Victim now under psychiatric care. Article 32 hearing dismissed all charges against Subject.
87	Rape	CONUS	Unknown	Unknown	E-2	Female	Q2	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Unknown location. During a psychological evaluation, Victim disclosed she'd been raped and made a Restricted Report. Later, Dr. notified Command of rape. When interviewed, Victim declined to provide any information on rape and signed a VPS.
88	Wrongful Sexual Contact	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-3	Female	Q4	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Nonjudicial Punishment	On-base offense where Subject (Victim hadn't met) groped and tried to carry her from Jacuzzi as she protested. Subject given NJP for violating Articles 120 (Wrongful Sexual Contact) and 80 (Attempted Kidnapping).
89	Wrongful Sexual Contact	OCONUS	O-4	Male	E-3	Male	Q3	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Off-base offense where Victim got intoxicated at party and vomited on lawn. Subject carried him inside and grabbed Victim's penis (inside pants). Victim reported and Subject denied. RLSO interviewed Victim, who signed "declination letter". Command declined to take action. NCIS re-interviewed Victim, who signed VPS.
90	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-1	Male	E-1	Female	Q3	N/A	Commander Declined Action IAW UCMJ RCM 306c1	Off-base offense where Victim said she was at hotel with other NHCS students when Subject held her down on the bed and had non-consensual sex with her. Witnesses observed them have consensual sex. Command advised no charges would be preferred against Subject.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Disposition Offense	Case Disposition	Case Synopsis
91	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	Unknown	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Off-base offense where Victim reported the Subject forced her to have oral and vaginal sex without her consent. Subject said all sex was consensual. NCIS closed its investigation after Victim filed a civilian Waiver of Prosecution against Subject.
92	Abusive Sexual	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-4	Female	Q3	Multiple Offenses (see synopsis)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-Base offense where the Victim reported the Subject sexually assaulted her when she was closing up the galley. The Subject admitted culpability. He pled guilty at a General Court Martial and was sentenced to seven years confinement and a dishonorable discharge.
93	Rape	OCONUS	Unknown	Unknown	E-4	Female	Q2	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Location unknown. Victim reported (several weeks after event) she had been raped. Before meeting with NCIS, Victim discovered she was pregnant (Father is not the assailant). Due to this, she no longer sought to charge anyone with the crime, declined to participate, and signed a VPS.
94	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-4	Female	Q2	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Unknown location. Victim alleged Subject had non-consensual sex with her after drugging her drink. Subject said they were intoxicated and had consensual sex numerous times that weekend. Subsequently, Victim wouldn't provide amplifying details and signed a VPS.
95	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	Unknown	Male	Unknown	Female	Q2	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	On-base offense where Victim reported being convinced by her friend that Subject had "guilted" Victim into having sex and that was rape. Victim recanted her allegation after reading the elements of Article 120 (Rape).
96	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Unknown location. Victim said she was sexually assaulted by a Navy recruiter(Subject) while at his house party. Victim was taking medication and drank. She awoke to Subject raping her vaginally. Victim refused to identify Subject or provide a sworn statement. Command declined to prosecute due Victim's refusal to cooperate.
97	Rape	CONUS	Unknown	Unknown	E-4	Female	Q2	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Location Unknown: Victim told a friend limited details about being sexually assaulted while attending training. Victim refused to identify possible subjects, give details, or participate in the investigation, and signed a VPS.
98	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	US Civilian	Male	E-2	Female	Q4	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	Off-base offense where Victim reported being under the intoxicated, blacking out, and being raped by the Subject. Deputy Commonwealth Attorney for Norfolk was briefed on the case and declined prosecution based on the lack of evidence.
99	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-2	Male	E-2	Female	Q4	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	On-base offense where Victim got drunk at barracks party and went back to her room. Victim awoke to Subject digitizing her. Victim punched him in the face. Subject (had an abrasion on his eye) said all was consensual. Victim signed VPS and declined to participate.
100	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-4	Female	Q3	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	Off-Base offense where Victim spent the night with Subject. While she slept, Subject began having sex with her. Victim said "No", but he continued. Victim didn't report the incident. No charges will be preferred against Subject due to lack of evidence.
101	Wrongful Sexual Contact	CONUS	E-6	Male	E-4	Female	Q3	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Adverse Administrative Action	Location Unknown: Victim reported being inappropriately touched by Subject several times since June, 2009. Subject denied culpability. Subject received non-punitive letter of caution from Command.
102	Wrongful Sexual Contact	CONUS	Unknown	Unknown	E-3	Female	Q2	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Unknown Location: Victim originally filed a restricted report three days after event. Upon learning of the incident, NCIS interviewed the Victim, who declined to provide any specifics on the matter and signed a VPS.
103	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	Unknown	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	Fraternization	Nonjudicial Punishment	On-base offense where Victim alleged Subject and his wife engaged in a "threesome" with Victim while Victim was intoxicated. Subject awarded Captain's Mast for Failure to Obey Order (Fraternization). RLSO recommended against pursuing charges due to lack of evidence and discrepancies in Victim's statements.
104	Wrongful Sexual Contact	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-4	Female	Q2	State/Local Sexual Offense Not Specified	Commander Declined Action IAW UCMJ RCM 306c1	Off base offense where Victim fell asleep in a residence that did tattoos and awoke to Subject fondling her. Victim reported this to local authorities. Subject's command elected not to pursue charges following the Subject's civilian adjudication.
105	Rape	CONUS	Unknown	Unknown	E-5	Female	Q2	N/A	Unknown Subject	Unknown Location. Victim came forward subsequent to a Command Equal Opportunity Focus Group and indicated she was sexually assaulted. Victim told NCIS she did not want to pursue an investigation and signed a VPS.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Disposition Offense	Case Disposition	Case Synopsis
106	Attempt to Commit Crime	OCONUS	E-6	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	N/A	Commander Declined Action IAW UCMJ RCM 306c1	Off-base offense where Victim followed her into a bar's bathroom while they were on liberty and pushed her around, tried to de-pants her, and kissed her. NCIS was notified via naval message of Command's declination to prosecute Subject.
107	Rape	CONUS	Unknown	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Off-base offense where Victim took anti-depressants, drank, and awoke to Subject doing oral to her. She struggled and got hit. Next morning, Subject held her down as he had sex with her. Victim reported to PD. Subject says sex was consensual. PD charges were dismissed due to lack of evidence.
108	Aggravated Sexual Assault	OCONUS	E-4	Male	E-4	Female	Q4	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Nonjudicial Punishment	Off-base offense where Victim first met Subject at local bar, got drunk, and left with Subject. She awoke as Subject had sex with her. AFOSI maintained primary jurisdiction, but USAF Command took no judicial action. USN Command gave Subject NJP (written reprimand and verbal counseling).
109	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-3	Female	Q4	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Off-base offense where Victim became drunk at a bar, then went with Subject to his house. Victim fell asleep and was raped. Victim continues to not cooperate with the investigation. Due to her uncooperativeness, this investigation is closed.
110	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	N/A	Commander Declined Action IAW UCMJ RCM 306c1	On-Base offense where Victim drank with friends, returned to her barracks room, and believes Subject raped her there. A scene examination was conducted. RLSO is recommending no prosecution for this investigation.
111a	Aggravated Sexual Assault	OCONUS	Unknown	Male	E-2	Female	Q2	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Off-base offense where Victim alleged she was raped by Subjects #1, #2, and #3 while on liberty. During reinterview, Victim recanted her original statement (sex was consensual) and signed a VPS. Due to this, Command declined prosecution of Subjects.
111b	Aggravated Sexual Assault	OCONUS	Unknown	Male	E-2	Female	Q2	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Off-base offense where Victim alleged she was raped by Subjects #1, #2, and #3 while on liberty. During reinterview, Victim recanted her original statement (sex was consensual) and signed a VPS. Due to this, Command declined prosecution of Subjects.
111c	Aggravated Sexual Assault	OCONUS	Unknown	Male	E-2	Female	Q2	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Off-base offense where Victim alleged she was raped by Subjects #1, #2, and #3 while on liberty. During reinterview, Victim recanted her original statement (sex was consensual) and signed a VPS. Due to this, Command declined prosecution of Subjects.
112	Aggravated Sexual Assault	OCONUS	E-5	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	N/A	Insufficient of Any Offense	Off-base offense where Victim reported a night of drinking, going to Subject's apartment, waking in her bra and panties, and not recalling what happened. Subject said they had consensual sex twice. Command advised no action would be taken due to insufficient evidence.
113	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Female	Q4	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense where Victim was out drinking and remembers Subject atop her, vaginally penetrating her. Subject said sex was consensual. GCM found Subject guilty of indecent exposure and failure to obey an order or regulation. He was awarded 5 years confinement, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and DD.
114	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Afghanistan	O-4	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	N/A	Nonjudicial Punishment	On-base offense where Victim drank with others and returned to her dorm with Subject and her roommate. Subject climbed atop Victim on top bunk and groped her. She resisted. Victim and roommate ejected Subject, who was found not guilty at his NJP hearing.
115	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	US Civilian	Male	E-3	Female	Q4	N/A	Commander Declined Action IAW UCMJ RCM 306c1	On-base offense where Victim and four others drank, danced, and went to sleep. In bedroom, Victim began consensual sex with Subject, then told him to stop. He continued for a short time, and she left. AUSA reported the case had no prosecutorial merit. Command will take no action against Subject.
116	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	N/A	Insufficient of Any Offense	Location Unknown. Victim and another individual were arrested for illegally entering a residence. Victim said she'd been raped. The next day, the Victim provided a statement saying she believed she'd been drugged, but was unsure if she'd been raped. Case closed due to a lack of evidence.
117	Wrongful Sexual Contact	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-3	Female	Q2	N/A	Unknown Subject	Off-base offense where Victim reported an Unknown Subject entered her home, fondled her breast, tried to digitally penetrate her, and took various items of hers. Local authorities maintain investigative jurisdiction of this case.
118	Forcible Sodomy	CONUS	Unknown	Unknown	E-2	Female	Q2	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Keep the incident a restricted report and would not provide any information about the allegation. Subsequently, she signed a VPS confirming her decision.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Disposition Offense	Case Disposition	Case Synopsis
119	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-1	Female	Q4	N/A	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense where Victim alleged Subject penetrated her vagina with his penis while she said, "No, stop!" Victim refused examination and to provide sworn statement. Subject said sex was consensual. An Article 32 hearing determined there is insufficient evidence of any offense and dismissed case.
120	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-5	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Off-base offense where Victim told PD she and her children moved in with Subject two days ago after "internet dating." After Victim declined Subject's request for sex, he raped her. Victim declined to participate in the investigation and moved out. District Attorney's Office declined.
121	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-1	Male	E-1	Female	Q2	Other	Commander Declined Action IAW UCMJ RCM 306c1	On-base offense where Victim reported Subject forced his penis inside her vagina without her consent at an on-base motel. Victim later recanted the rape allegation. Command declined to initiate judicial or administrative proceedings.
122	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	Unknown	Unknown	E-4	Female	Q2	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Location Unknown. Victim claimed she was sexually assaulted six months prior to her report. Victim offered no further details, did not identify a subject, and subsequently signed a VPS.
123	Forcible Sodomy	CONUS	Unknown	Unknown	E-4	Male	Q2	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Location Unknown. Victim's command was inadvertently notified of this sexual assault. Victim indicated he did not wish to participate in an investigation, provided no information, and signed a VPS.
124	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-1	Male	E-2	Female	Q2	N/A	Commander Declined Action IAW UCMJ RCM 306c1	Off-base offense where Victim reported getting drunk and passing out at motel party and waking with numerous "hickies" on her body. Identified Subject reported having consensual sex with Victim. Witnesses affirmed same. Command advised no administrative or judicial action will be taken.
125	Aggravated Sexual Assault	OCONUS	Unknown	Male	E-6	Female	Q4	Other	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense where Victim alleged Subject raped her. Subject said Victim told him if he didn't return to their relationship, she'd allege this. After investigation was initiated, Victim signed VPS. Victim will be charged with false official statements, adultery, and fraternization. Based on Article 32 Hearing, Subject will not be charged with rape.
126	Wrongful Sexual Contact	CONUS	E-6	Male	E-4	Female	Q3	Multiple Offenses (see synopsis)	Probable Cause for Only Non-Sexual Assault Offense	On-base offense where Victim removed her jacket to receive a massage with oil at Subject's barracks room. Victim awoke with her pajama bottoms off and Subject trying to digitize her vagina. Subject sent to NJP for Articles 92 (failure to obey) and 134 (fraternization). He received 14 days restriction, forfeiture of pay and reduction in grade.
127	Aggravated Sexual Assault	OCONUS	Unknown	Male	E-2	Female	Q3	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Off-base offense where Victim alleged she and Subject drank and played pool. She awoke alone in hotel room to telephone call to check out. Victim reported she'd been raped. Hotel video showed coherent Victim leading Subject into room. Victim signed VPS. Command is taking no action against Subject.
128	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Female	Q4	Rape	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense where Victim and Subject were in store room aboard ship. Subject performed oral on her and his penis penetrated her vagina as she resisted and said "No!". GCM found Subject guilty of rape. He was awarded five years confinement, forfeiture of pay and allowances, and DD.
129	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-4	Female	Q2	Other	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	Unknown Location. Victim alleged she might have been sexually assaulted, but could not remember any Subject information or an incident location. Due to lack of pertinent details, this investigation was closed.
130	Forcible Sodomy	CONUS	E-1	Male	E-3	Male	Q3	Multiple Offenses (see synopsis)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base (ship) offense where Subject "wrestled" with Victim, removed Victim's pants, and held Victim's genitals. Witnesses related similar "wrestling" situations with Subject. After Article 32 Hearing, Subject made pretrial agreement (plead guilty to Articles 107, 120, and 128). At SPCM ("No Cap"), Subject given 11 months confinement, reprimanded, and BCD.
131a	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-2	Female	Q4	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	On-base offense where Victim reported three fellow Corpsman "sexually assaulted" her in the barracks, but gave limited information. Victim didn't submit to a SAFE. Victim declined not to participate and signed a VPS. Command and RLSD declined prosecution due insufficient evidence.
131b	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-2	Female	Q4	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	On-base offense where Victim reported three fellow Corpsman "sexually assaulted" her in the barracks, but gave limited information. Victim didn't submit to a SAFE. Victim declined not to participate and signed a VPS. Command and RLSD declined prosecution due insufficient evidence.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Disposition Offense	Case Disposition	Case Synopsis
131c	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-2	Female	Q4	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	On-base offense where Victim reported three fellow Corpsman "sexually assaulted" her in the barracks, but gave limited information. Victim didn't submit to a SAFE. Victim decided not to participate and signed a VPS. Command and RLSO declined prosecution due insufficient evidence.
132	Wrongful Sexual Contact	CONUS	E-6	Male	E-4	Female	Q3	N/A	Commander Declined Action IAW UCMJ RCM 306c1	On-base offense where Victim alleged her immediate supervisor groped her twice, each time when they were alone. Subject was interrogated twice and denied same. As there are no witnesses or forensic evidence, Command declined to seek prosecution.
133	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Iraq	E-7	Male	Unknown	Male	Q4	Abusive Sexual Contact	Nonjudicial Punishment	On-base offense where Victim finished showering when an unknown male grabbed Victim's genitals and tried to grab them a second time. Victim pushed Subject away each time. Subject received NJP for violating Article 120 (Abusive Sexual Contact) and received a written reprimand.
134	Aggravated Sexual Assault	OCONUS	E-5	Male	E-4	Female	Q2	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	On-base offense where Victim alleged a fellow soldier raped her aboard GTMO. Subject admitted having consensual sex with Victim twice. Victim was declined to participate in the investigation and signed a VPS.
135	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	On-base offense where Victim helped Subject get to his barracks room. Subject pulled her into his room and sexually assaulted her. Victim refused to provide any additional details and signed a VPS.
136	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Bahrain	Unknown	Male	E-1	Female	Q4	Other	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	Off-base offense where Victim's ex-boyfriend got her alone at party, tried to unzip her jeans, digitized her, and asked her for sex as she said "No!" and resisted. Subject's Article 32 hearing resulted in dismissed charges.
137	Aggravated Sexual Assault	OCONUS	E-5	Male	E-2	Female	Q3	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	On-base offense where Victim was in and out of consciousness at Subject's room from drinking and taking pills. Subject performed cunninglingus on Victim until she said, "Stop." Victim wouldn't cooperate with the investigation. Command took no action against Subject.
138a	Aggravated Sexual Assault	OCONUS	Unknown	Male	E-2	Female	Q4	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	Off-base offense where Victim alleged three Subjects (her shipmates) raped her during a port visit, and again at a hotel, after a night of drinking. Command declined to proceed with court-martials for all three subjects, citing insufficient evidence.
138b	Aggravated Sexual Assault	OCONUS	Unknown	Male	E-2	Female	Q4	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	Off-base offense where Victim alleged three Subjects (her shipmates) raped her during a port visit, and again at a hotel, after a night of drinking. Command declined to proceed with court-martials for all three subjects, citing insufficient evidence.
138c	Aggravated Sexual Assault	OCONUS	Unknown	Male	E-2	Female	Q4	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	Off-base offense where Victim alleged three Subjects (her shipmates) raped her during a port visit, and again at a hotel, after a night of drinking. Command declined to proceed with court-martials for all three subjects, citing insufficient evidence.
139	Rape	CONUS	Midshipman	Male	Midshipman	Female	Q3	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Off-base offense where Victim reported Subject came to her hotel room uninvited, gave her a back rub, flipped her over, and raped her. Victim told a friend two years later, but is unwilling to cooperate with law enforcement. Due to the absence of viable leads, this investigation was closed.
140	Wrongful Sexual Contact	United Arab Emirates	E-3	Male	E-3	Male	Q3	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense where Victim awoke in his rack to Subject groping Victim's buttocks. SCM found Subject violated Article 120 (Indecent Assault) and sentenced him to sixty days restriction, forfeiture of two-thirds pay for one month, reduction to E-1, and recommended for ADSEEP from USN.
141	Aggravated Sexual Assault	OCONUS	Unknown	Male	E-3	Female	Q4	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Off-base offense where Victim reported she drank with friends and awoke in hotel room with Subject having sex with her. Subject said sex was consensual. Victim elected not to participate in the investigation and signed a VPS. RLSO and Command advised no judicial or administrative actions would be taken.
142	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Female	Q4	N/A	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense where Victim alleged Subject raped her in her barracks room and had done so several times before. Oral/wire intercept authority was authorized on Subject. An Article 32 Hearing was held; however, this case was not referred to a GCM.
143	Aggravated Sexual Assault	OCONUS	E-4	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	Multiple Offenses (see synopsis)	Probable Cause for Only Non-Sexual Assault Offense	Off-base offense where Victim alleged at dinner-date, Subject forcefully grabbed her neck and kissed her lips and neck. Subject acknowledged same. Subject awarded NJP for violating Articles 128 (Assault) and 134 (Threat, Communicating). Subject forfeited half months pay for two months, got six months rank reduction, and 45 days restriction.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Disposition Offense	Case Disposition	Case Synopsis
144	Forcible Sodomy	CONUS	E-5	Male	E-3	Female	Q2	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	On-base offense where Victim reported consenting to anal sex with Subject. When she became uncomfortable doing it, she didn't tell him to stop. Victim's roommate said Victim told her Subject destroyed her life, so she wanted to destroy Subject's naval career.
145	Aggravated Sexual Assault	OCONUS	Unknown	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Nonjudicial Punishment	On-Base offense where Victim awoke to Subject digitally penetrating her vagina without her consent. Subject admitted doing this while Victim was sleeping/passed out. Captains Mast found Subject guilty of Wrongful Sexual Contact and awarded him NJP.
146	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-2	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Off-base offense where Victim's friend helped intoxicated Victim to bed, left the room, and returned to discover Subject having sex with Victim. Subject wouldn't leave, so friend called Police. Victim declined SAFE. Victim did not wish to pursue further investigation.
147	Forcible Sodomy	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-3	Male	Q4	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Location Unknown. Victim reported he "blacked out" at a bar and was sodomized at an unknown residence. SANE found anal tearing. Victim didn't wish to assist in investigation and signed a VPS. Victim was ADSEP from USN for a pattern of misconduct.
148	Wrongful Sexual Contact	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Off-base offense where Victim made a Restricted sexual assault report to her Sexual Assault Response Coordinator. Victim declined to provide any additional details to NCIS and signed a VPS.
149a	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-4	Female	Q4	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	On-base offense where Victim drank with Subject #1 in his barracks room. Subject #1 pulled her onto the bed. Subject #2 pulled Victim's pants off. Although she resisted, both Subjects had sex with her. Victim didn't want to participate in the investigation and signed a VPS. Command took no judicial action against either Subject.
149b	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-4	Female	Q4	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	On-base offense where Victim drank with Subject #1 in his barracks room. Subject #1 pulled her onto the bed. Subject #2 pulled Victim's pants off. Although she resisted, both Subjects had sex with her. Victim didn't want to participate in the investigation and signed a VPS. Command took no judicial action against either Subject.
150	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	Off-base offense where Victim reported she'd dated Subject for about a month when they procured a hotel room with three other sailors. Late that night, the Subject raped her as she repeatedly told him to stop. Subject denies raping her. Command declined to prosecute due to lack of evidence.
151a	Rape	CONUS	E-1	Male	E-1	Female	Q4	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Off-base offense where Victim got intoxicated at a party and passed out, but recalled Subjects #1 and #2 stripping her and having sex with her. Victim didn't want to speak about the incident again, especially to law enforcement. RSLO declined prosecution due to insufficient evidence.
151b	Rape	CONUS	E-1	Male	E-1	Female	Q4	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Off-base offense where Victim got intoxicated at a party and passed out, but recalled Subjects #1 and #2 stripping her and having sex with her. Victim didn't want to speak about the incident again, especially to law enforcement. RSLO declined prosecution due to insufficient evidence.
152	Rape	OCONUS	Unknown	Male	E-4	Female	Q3	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Off-base offense where Victim alleged she was raped by a former navy member at her residence. Victim resisted Subject for about an hour, then stopped fighting, and Subject forced sex on her. Victim wouldn't allow her apartment to be photographed and signed a VPS.
153	Rape	CONUS	E-2	Male	E-1	Female	Q4	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Off-base offense where Victim went to Subject's hotel room. Subject tried to kiss her. She refused and he raped her. Victim declined to participate and signed SO Affidavit for Nonprosecution and an NCIS VPS. SO transferred primary jurisdiction to NCIS. Based upon RLSO recommendation, Command declined prosecution and transferred Subject.
154	Aggravated Sexual Assault	OCONUS	Unknown	Male	E-1	Female	Q4	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	On-base offense where Victim blacked out after drinking for hours in Subject's barracks room. She awoke nude in her bed with nude Subject next to her. Victim had vaginal pain. Victim later declined further participation in the investigation. Command will take no action against Subject.
155	Wrongful Sexual Contact	OCONUS	Unknown	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Adverse Administrative Action	Off-base offense where Victim and co-workers went sky diving, then drinking at multiple clubs. About 0430, Subject crawled into Victim's bed, kissed her, and groped her breasts (over clothing). Command issued a 50-foot no contact order against Subject, but will not pursue legal action.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Disposition Offense	Case Disposition	Case Synopsis
156	Wrongful Sexual Contact	CONUS	E-8	Male	E-6	Female	Q4	N/A	Adverse Administrative Action	Off-base offense where (drunk) Subject, whom Victim was to talk with before 2100, knocked on Victim's hotel door at 0345. She let him in. Subject touched Victim's breasts. She resisted. Subject raped her. Command issued Subject a non-punitive letter of caution for the perception of unduly familiar relationship with Victim.
157	Aggravated Sexual Assault	OCONUS	E-4	Male	E-1	Female	Q4	Other	Probable Cause for Only Non-Sexual Assault Offense	Off-base offense where, during alcohol intoxication treatment, Victim said her mouth was sore because Subject forced her to perform fellatio on him. Subject said it was consensual. When only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense was found, this investigation wasn't forwarded for adjudication.
158	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Afghanistan	E-4	Male	E-3	Female	Q4	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Adverse Administrative Action	On-base offense where Victim was training at the base dojo when Subject wrapped his arms around her, and thrust his pelvic area against her buttocks in a sexual manner. Subject received a Field Grade Letter of Reprimand and additional training.
159	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-4	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q4	N/A	Commander Declined Action IAW UCMJ RCM 306c1	Off-base offense where Victim reported she'd told her live-in boyfriend (Subject) she didn't want to have sex. He raped her and said he'd kill her. County DA declined to prosecute for lack of evidence. Command will not pursue legal or administrative action against Subject.
160	Rape	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-3	Female	Q4	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Off-base offense where Victim reported she drank at a local bar, awoke at unknown location, and was returned to base by taxi (nfi). Victim refused to file a Police report (their jurisdiction) or report to NCIS. Investigation was closed due to Victim's uncooperativeness, and subsequent ADSEP from USN.
161	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	Off-base offense where Victim claimed Subject was intoxicated and had forcible penile intercourse with her. Subject claimed their sex was consensual. Legal Services Office declined to pursue due to insufficient evidence.
162	State/Local Sexual Offense Not Specified	United Arab Emirates	US Civilian	Male	E-4	Male	Q3	N/A	Civilian or Foreign Prosecution of Person Not Subject to UCMJ	Off-base offense where Victim fell asleep during his massage at a spa and awoke to male masseuse (Subject) doing oral to him. Victim pushed Subject away and reported offense. Victim dropped the charges when Spa fired Subject, and Subject's UAE work visa was cancelled.
163	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	Midshipman	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q4	N/A	Commander Declined Action IAW UCMJ RCM 306c1	Off-base offense where Subject and Victim drank and had mutual, consensual oral sex at Victim's apartment. Victim refused to have vaginal sex, but Subject penetrated her anyway. Victim had SAFE. Subject invoked. After reviewing report, County State's Attorney's Office declined to prosecute. Command did likewise.
164	Wrongful Sexual Contact	OCONUS	Unknown	Male	E-5	Male	Q3	N/A	Unknown Subject	On-base offense where Victim reported going out drinking. As he was returning to his ship, two Asian males approached him. One patted the Victim's buttocks and genitals. This Subject was not identified and the investigation was closed.
165	Wrongful Sexual Contact	OCONUS	E-3	Male	E-1	Female	Q4	Multiple Offenses (see synopsis)	Nonjudicial Punishment	Unknown Location. Subject groped Victim's chest (over uniform), tried to put Victim's hand on Subject's penis, and asked her for sex. Mast found Subject violated Articles 92 (Disobey Regulation) and 120 (Wrongful Sexual Contact and Indecent Exposure); Reduced to E-2, 45 days extra duty and restriction, and forfeited a month's pay (suspended).
166	Wrongful Sexual Contact	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-4	Female	Q3	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Unknown Location. Victim reported she'd been sexually assaulted, but refused to provide further information regarding her assault to anyone. Victim declined SAPR representation, declined to participate in an investigation, and signed a VPS.
167	Rape	CONUS	Unknown	Male	Unknown	Female	Q3	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Off-base offense where Victim alleged Subject raped her in her apartment. Victim declined to provide any information regarding the alleged assault and said she did not wish to pursue the prosecution of Subject. Victim signed a VPS.
168	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	US Civilian	Male	E-5	Female	Q3	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Off-base offense where Victim drank at bars all night with Subject and others, before the group slept at Subject's apartment. Victim slept on couch, but awoke in Subject's bed with him trying to have vaginal sex with her. Victim said, "No!", but Subject raped her. Victim signed a VPS.
169	Wrongful Sexual Contact	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Off-base offense where Victim originally filed a Restricted report of being sexually assaulted (nfi). Victim wanted to change to Unrestricted status and include the report in her early discharge package. Victim signed a VPS indicating she did not wish to participate further.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Disposition Offense	Case Disposition	Case Synopsis
170	Wrongful Sexual Contact	CONUS	Unknown	Female	E-5	Female	Q4	N/A	Commander Declined Action IAW UCMJ RCM 306c1	On-base offense where Victim alleged Subject groped her (over clothes) on three occasions in an administrative office. Investigation found inconsistencies with the Victim's statements. Witnesses failed to corroborate her allegations. Subject denied Victim's allegations. Command declined prosecution.
171	Wrongful Sexual Contact	OCONUS	E-4	Male	E-4	Female	Q3	Multiple Offenses (see synopsis)	Nonjudicial Punishment	Various locations. Victim reported Subject indecently assaulted her on three occasions (three prior investigations exist). Mast found Subject violated Articles 120 (Wrongful sexual contact) and 92 (Failure to obey order); Reduced to E-3, forfeited half month's pay for 2 months, 45 days of restriction and extra duty, and ADSEP.
172	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	Foreign Military	Male	Foreign Military	Female	Q3	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Unknown Location. Victim reported she'd been at a hotel with Subject, but awoke nude in her Navy Lodge room feeling raped (with no recall of same). Victim wouldn't identify Subject or make a report. Based on Victim's uncooperativeness and return to her home country, this case is closed.
173	Aggravated Sexual Assault	OCONUS	Unknown	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	On-Base offense where, after kissing on Subject's bed, Victim said she didn't want sex (didn't have birth control). Subject put on condom and they had (Victim said nonconsensual) sex. Victim decided not to participate and signed a VPS. Command won't seek administrative or judicial action against Subject.
174	Wrongful Sexual Contact	United Arab Emirates	E-5	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Nonjudicial Punishment	Off-Base offense where Victim reported while riding in her tour group's SUV in UAE, she fell asleep. Victim awoke to Subject groping her breast (inside bra) without her consent. Subject admitted. Subject given NJP (nfi).
175	Wrongful Sexual Contact	CONUS	E-6	Male	E-5	Female	Q4	Multiple Offenses (see synopsis)	Nonjudicial Punishment	On-Base offense where Victim alleged Subject sexually assaulted her. Subject found guilty of violating Articles 92 (Fail to Obey Order) and 120 (Indecent Exposure). Subject got NJP: Reduced to E-5, Forfeited \$1,603 per month for two months and 45 days Restriction and Extra duties.
176	State/Local Sexual Offense Not Specified	CONUS	US Civilian	Male	E-5	Female	Q3	State/Local Sexual Offense Not Specified	Civilian or Foreign Prosecution of Person Not Subject to UCMJ	Off-base offense where 16 year old Subject (with a mental handicap) entered the tattoo parlor where Victim sat with two friends. For unknown reasons, Subject pulled at Victim's clothes trying to expose her breasts and genitals. PD arrested Subject for Assault with a Sexual Motivation.
177	Wrongful Sexual Contact	OCONUS	E-6	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	Failure to Obey Order or Regulation	Nonjudicial Punishment	On-base offense where Victim reported Subject forcibly Kissed her and touched her breasts (over clothing). Subject awarded NJP for violating Article 92 (Failure to obey order) and was Reduced in rate (suspended for six months) and Forfeited \$1,341 pay for one month.
178	Attempt to Commit Crime	CONUS	E-5	Female	E-6	Female	Q4	Disorderly Conduct/Drunkenness	Nonjudicial Punishment	On-base offense where intoxicated Subject entered Victim's rack as she changed, demanded to watch, and touched her pelvic region. Subject found guilty of violating Article 134 (Disorderly Conduct-Drunkenness); Reduced in rank (suspended). Forfeited half months pay for 2 months, and 45 days Restriction and Extra duty.
179	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Unknown Location. During a Disciplinary Review Board, Victim disclosed that she had been sexually assaulted. Victim did not provide any details and refused to provide any pertinent information for the investigation. Victim signed a VPS.
180	Attempt to Commit Crime	OCONUS	E-3	Male	E-5	Female	Q4	Other	Nonjudicial Punishment	Off-base offense where, after socializing at Victim's residence, Victim let Subject sleep on her couch. Victim awoke to Subject removing her pajamas. Victim resisted and Subject left. Subject got NJP for violating Article 128 (Assault); Reduction in rate and pay Forfeiture (suspended) and 30 days Restriction and Extra duties.
181	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Jordan	E-5	Male	E-4	Female	Q4	Multiple Offenses (see synopsis)	Nonjudicial Punishment	Off-Base offense where Victim and friends drank and returned to hotel room. Subject groped partially nude Victim in bathroom. Co-worker pulled Subject off Victim. Subject got NJP for violating Articles 80 (Attempts), 92 (Failure to obey), and 134 (Disorderly conduct); Reduced and 45 days Extra duty (pay Forfeiture suspended).
182	Wrongful Sexual Contact	CONUS	Unknown	Male	Foreign Military	Male	Q3	N/A	Unknown Subject	Off-Base offense where Victim hailed a taxi at a local Club to return to base. He fell asleep and awoke to the driver fondling his (Victim's) penis. Subsequently, upon arriving at NAVSTA, Victim reported the assault. However, attempts to identify Subject were unsuccessful.
183	Wrongful Sexual Contact	CONUS	W-4	Male	E-4	Female	Q4	Multiple Offenses (see synopsis)	Nonjudicial Punishment	On-base offense where, in ship work space, Subject hugged and "French" kissed Victim, while grabbing her buttocks. Victim resisted. Mast found Subject violated Articles 92 (Failure to Obey Order), 120 (Wrongful Sexual Contact), and 133 (Conduct Unbecoming). Subject awarded Letter of Reprimand and subsequently removed from ship.
184	Rape	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-2	Female	Q3	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Off-base offense where Victim told PD that UNSUB sexually assaulted her as she tanned on the beach. Victim refused to provide further details. Victim told PD and NCIS she didn't want to participate or have this case prosecuted. PD closed as unfounded. Victim signed a VPS.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Disposition Offense	Case Disposition	Case Synopsis
185	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	Unknown	Male	Unknown	Female	Q3	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Unknown Location. Victim sought counseling for a sexual assault that occurred during her deployment. During questioning, it became apparent she intended to make a restricted report to a SARC. Victim refused to provide details to Law Enforcement. Investigation closed.
186	Wrongful Sexual Contact	OCONUS	O-1	Male	E-2	Female	Q4	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Adverse Administrative Action	Off-Base offense where Victim shopped during liberty in Korea. (Drunk) Subject was in the store playing with a tennis racket. He hit her buttocks with it twice and swiped at her crotch with his hand. Victim punched Subject in the stomach. Command issued Subject a nonpunitive letter of caution.
187	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q4	Other	Adverse Administrative Action	On-base offense where Victim reported Subject forcibly removed her pants and underwear in his barracks room. Then, Victim agreed to have sex with him. Subject undressed and used a condom. Victim later recanted (no force used). Subject received a page 13 entry for a barracks violation.
188	Wrongful Sexual Contact	CONUS	US Civilian	Male	E-4	Female	Q3	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Off-base offense where, after drinking, Victim slept at her friend's house. Victim awoke twice to Subject groping her and resisted him each time. Subject was ejected by the home owner. Victim was unwilling to participate in this investigation and signed a VPS.
189	Forcible Sodomy	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-2	Male	Q3	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Off-Base offense where Victim reported he was assaulted, robbed, and raped. Victim provided no detailed information in response to the Agent's questions. Subsequently, Victim declined to participate in the investigation and signed a VPS.
190	Aggravated Sexual Assault	OCONUS	E-7	Male	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Q3	Q3	Multiple Offenses (see synopsis)	Nonjudicial Punishment	Location Unknown. At local Club, Subject hugged, kissed, and groped Victim #1 (seated). As Victim #2 walked from Pub, Subject hugged and kissed her. Subject awarded NJP for violating Articles 134 (Disorderly conduct), 120 (Sexual Assault), 92 (Failure to obey), and 89 (Disrespect to superior commissioned officer); Forfeited half months pay for two months.
191	Wrongful Sexual Contact	CONUS	Unknown	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q3	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	On-base offense where Subject went to Victim's residence to get his car keys. Victim (wearing a towel) told Subject the keys were in her bedroom. Once there, Subject touched Victim's breast (under towel) and exposed her crotch area. Victim declined to participate in Subject's prosecution and signed a VPS.
192	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	On-Base offense where, after sleeping (separately) at Victim's residence, Subject asked for sex. Victim refused. Subject handed her a vibrator and left. Later, Victim used it. She reported Subject raped her while she slept because vibrator "went in too easily." (Evidence fails to establish Article 120's elements.)
193	Aggravated Sexual Assault	OCONUS	Unknown	Male	E-3	Female	Q4	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Off-Base offense where Victim reported she'd showered in Subject's hotel room and he'd raped her when she'd finished. Victim wouldn't provide a sworn statement and didn't want to testify in front of Subject. Subject said sex was consensual. Victim signed RLSO's declination letter.
194a	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Bahrain	Unknown	Male	E-2	Female	Q4	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Location Unknown. Victim reported two separate sexual assaults of herself: After being at a nightclub, Victim awoke nude in Subject #1's room. Victim and Subject #2 drank in her bedroom. Victim awoke with Subject's penis inside her vagina. Later, Victim signed a VPS. RLSO won't prosecute either Subject.
194b	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Bahrain	Unknown	Male	E-2	Female	Q4	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Location Unknown. Victim reported two separate sexual assaults of herself: After being at a nightclub, Victim awoke nude in Subject #1's room. Victim and Subject #2 drank in her bedroom. Victim awoke with Subject's penis inside her vagina. Later, Victim signed a VPS. RLSO won't prosecute either Subject.
195	Rape	CONUS	Unknown	Unknown	E-2	Female	Q4	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Location Unknown. SAVI representative reported an anonymous Hotline allegation of rape which the Victim wanted to keep "restricted." This representative later advised NCIS that the Victim declined to participate in an investigation and refused to sign a VPS.
196	State/Local Sexual Offense Not Specified	CONUS	E-2	Male	E-2	Female	Q4	State/Local Sexual Offense Not Specified	Civilian or Foreign Prosecution of Person Subject to UCMJ	Off-Base offense where Victim told PD she'd slept at her apartment. Subject, her estranged spouse who'd entered apartment without her permission, woke her and forced sex on her. Subject felt sex was consensual. PD arrested Subject for rape. His preliminary hearing is scheduled in Civilian Court.
197	State/Local Sexual Offense Not Specified	CONUS	E-2	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q4	Other	Civilian or Foreign Prosecution of Person Subject to UCMJ	On-Base offense where Victim got drunk at party and was found naked with Subject in bathroom. Victim departed with friends, who called PD when Victim stopped breathing. Bare chested, unconscious Victim had hickies. SANE did Kit at hospital. Subject told PD he'd had sex with Victim.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Disposition Offense	Case Disposition	Case Synopsis
198	Aggravated Sexual Assault	OCONUS	Unknown	Male	E-2	Female	Q4	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	On-Base offense where Victim played beer pong in barrack's room, remembered being naked with Subject, and woke in unknown bed. Subject told Victim she'd gotten a condom and they'd had sex. Subject said they've been dating and had sex before. This event fails to establish the elements of a crime.
199	Abusive Sexual Contact	CONUS	Unknown	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q4	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	Off-Base offense where Subject followed Victim into the restroom at a party and locked the door. Subject grabbed her, pulled his erect penis out, and forced her mouth onto it. Victim escaped. Subject said sex was consensual. The PD couldn't substantiate the Victim's statement and closed the case.
200	State/Local Sexual Offense Not Specified	CONUS	E-4	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q4	Other	Civilian or Foreign Prosecution of Person Subject to UCMJ	Off-base offense where underage Victim reported Subject impregnated her. Subject admitted culpability. Subject pled guilty in Civilian Court to violating Penal Code 261.5 (c) (Sex with a Minor). Subject sentenced to 15 days in County Jail and one year of summary probation.
201	Wrongful Sexual Contact	OCONUS	US Civilian	Male	E-4	Female	Q4	N/A	Unknown Subject	Off-Base offense where Victim tried on clothes during a tour in Turkey. Subject (male employee) insisted on adjusting them and complimented her body. He separated her from the others, and groped her. Due to Victim's uncooperativeness with the investigation, the Subject was not identified, and the case was closed.
202	Wrongful Sexual Contact	CONUS	E-5	Male	E-3	Female	Q4	N/A	Adverse Administrative Action	On-base offense where Victim reported the Subject started to pull the neckline of her t-shirt down, but she slapped his hand away. Subject then grabbed her hand and placed it atop his pants on his groin area. Command advised it will take appropriate administrative action (mf).
203	Abusive Sexual Contact	CONUS	E-5	Male	E-3	Female	Q4	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Off-Base offense where Victim told PD the Subject threw her onto her hotel bed and rubbed her vaginal area with his hand. She asked him to stop many times before he eventually did. Subject said they'd had sex before. Victim declined to pursue criminal charges against Subject. Case closed.
204	Rape	CONUS	Unknown	Unknown	E-1	Female	Q4	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Unknown Location. SAVI notified NCIS that Victim was allegedly raped and wanted a restricted report only. Victim refused to be interviewed by NCIS. SAVI advised NCIS the Victim declined to participate in this investigation and refused to sign a VPS.
205	Wrongful Sexual Contact	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-1	Female	Q4	N/A	Nonjudicial Punishment	On-base offense where Victim alleged Subject touched her inappropriately on several occasions while in confined spaces on the ship. Command reported the Subject went to Captain's Mast for his actions and the charges were dismissed.
206	Aggravated Sexual Assault	OCONUS	E-5	Male	E-5	Female	Q4	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	Location Unknown. Victim got drunk at party, awoke naked in Subject's bed, and believes he raped her (no recall of same). Victim admits having consensual sex with Subject several times thereafter. She alleges she's now pregnant due to the rape. Command will not pursue actions against Subject.
207	Wrongful Sexual Contact	OCONUS	Unknown	Male	E-3	Female	Q4	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Unknown Location. Victim was found at the Souda Bay, Crete, Greece base gate. She advised an unknown male had grabbed her buttock and put his hand between her thighs. The Victim was unwilling to cooperate with an investigation and signed a VPS.
208	State/Local Sexual Offense Not Specified	OCONUS	US Civilian	Male	E-5	Male	Q4	N/A	Civilian or Foreign Prosecution of Person Not Subject to UCMJ	Off-base offense where Victim alleged the Subject had grabbed Victim's penis while they were stopped along the road. Subject denied this, but remarked he'd taken Ambien the evening before and might not recall these events exactly. Bahamian authorities won't pursue charges against the Subject.
209	Wrongful Sexual Contact	OCONUS	E-6	Male	E-3	Female	Q4	Failure to Obey Order or Regulation	Probable Cause for Only Non-Sexual Assault Offense	On-base (ship) offense where Victim accused Subject of touching Victim's breast as she worked, and raping her a month earlier. Subject denied all. Later, Victim said the sex was consensual and signed a VPS. Subject received NJP for Articles 92 (Failure to obey/sexual misconduct), 107 (False Statements), and 134 (Adultery).
210	Rape	OCONUS	Unknown	Unknown	E-4	Female	Q4	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Unknown Location. A month after the event, Victim reported she'd been raped during a port visit. Victim refused to provide details, and wanted report to be restricted. It became unrestricted when Command became aware of the incident. Victim signed a VPS indicating she did not want to pursue an investigation.
211	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-6	Male	E-2	Female	Q4	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Off-base offense where partially nude Victim consensually "made out" with Subject on his bed. When he tried to penetrate Victim's vagina, she protested and told him to wear a condom. He was. They had sex, dinner, and Subject drove Victim to her barracks. Victim didn't want to participate in investigation.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Disposition Offense	Case Disposition	Case Synopsis
212	Aggravated Sexual Assault	OCONUS	Unknown	Unknown	E-4	Female	Q4	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Unknown Location. Witness advised the Victim passed out drunk, and awoke with someone atop her (NF). Victim sought medical attention, but declined SAFE. She didn't identify the perpetrator or potential witnesses. Victim told NCIS she didn't want to participate in this investigation and signed a VPS.
213	Wrongful Sexual Contact	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-4	Female	Q4	Other	Probable Cause for Only Non-Sexual Assault Offense	On-base (ship) offense where, after they'd checked a storeroom's inventory, Subject blocked Victim from leaving. Subject hugged and groped Victim, who protested. Finally, Subject opened the door and Victim left. Captain's Mast found Subject violated Article 128 (Assault); Reduced to E-3, and 30 days Restriction and Extra duty.
214	Wrongful Sexual Contact	CONUS	Foreign Military	Male	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Q4	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Adverse Administrative Action	On-base offense where Subject asked Victim #1 to clean his room. Once inside, Subject groped her. She pushed Subject away. He began masturbating as she exited. She told co-worker (Victim #2), who replied Subject did same thing to her earlier that morning. Subsequently, Subject was provided a Letter of Debarment.
215	Wrongful Sexual Contact	CONUS	Midshipman	Male	Midshipman	Female	Q4	N/A	Adverse Administrative Action	On-base offense where, in Victim's room, Subject asked to touch her butt (over clothes). Victim let him. Victim refused to let Subject touch her breasts, and wouldn't feel his erection. Victim left room after Subject grabbed her. Later, Subject touched Victim's butt in the hallway. Command will punish Subject administratively.
216	Wrongful Sexual Contact	OCONUS	Unknown	Male	E-3	Female	Q4	N/A	Unknown Subject	On-base offense where UNSUB wearing a green shirt walked past Victim in a passageway aboard ship. He suddenly pushed his body against hers, and groped her genital area (over clothes). Victim doubted she'd recognize UNSUB if she saw him again. With no ability to identify UNSUB, this case is closed.
217	Rape	OCONUS	E-4	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	Other	Probable Cause for Only Non-Sexual Assault Offense	On-base offense where Victim met Subject at empty barracks to possibly have sex, but later changed her mind and Subject became angry and raped her. Subject found not guilty at GCM of Article 120 and guilty of one violation of Article 134 (Wrongfully have sexual intercourse with a civilian).
218	State/Local Sexual Offense Not Specified	CONUS	E-5	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	N/A	Civilian or Foreign Prosecution of Person Subject to UCMJ	Off-base offense where Subject awoke to noise in Victim's bedroom and Victim's friend later accused him of having sex with the Victim; Victim stated she woke up feeling as if she had sex. Subject was interrogated and denied culpability. Subject's DNA was found in Victim; however, Victim declined to work with Prosecuting Attorney.
219	Rape	OCONUS	E-5	Male	E-5	Female	Q3	N/A	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense where Subject and Victim played a drinking game and Victim passed out. Victim woke up to Subject having sexual intercourse with her; Subject denied allegations. Subject found not guilty at GCM, Art 107 (False Official Statement), Art 120 (Aggravated Sexual Assault), Charges Art 134 (Adultery) ..Art 128 (Assault Consummated by Battery) withdrawn.
220	Rape	OCONUS	E-6	Male	E-4	Female	Q1	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	On-base offense where Victim drank at bar and left with Subject. Victim blacked out and then woke up in barracks room with Subject penetrating her vagina with his penis. Victim did not seek immediate medical attention, nor report the sexual assault. Subject denied culpability. RLSO dismissed charges.
221	Rape	OCONUS	E-4	Male	E-4	Male	Q2	N/A	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense where Victim reported she was raped by Subject in her assigned barracks room. Subject was interrogated and elected to remain silent. Subject found not guilty of Art 120 at a GCM.
222	Aggravated Sexual Contact	OCONUS	E-5	Male	E-5	Male	Q1	N/A	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense where Victim hosted a party at her on-base quarters. After consuming alcohol she went to her bedroom, locking the door behind her. Subject entered her room, had sexual intercourse with her while she pretended to be asleep. Subject acquitted of Art 120 charge at GCM.
223	Abusive Sexual Contact	CONUS	E-5	Male	E-4	Female	Q4	N/A	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	Off-base offense where Victim woke up after consuming alcohol at a house party to Subject performing non-consensual oral sex on her. DNA samples collected at crime scene identified Subject. Subject acquitted at a GCM.
224a	Rape	OCONUS	E-2	Male	E-4	Female	Q1	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Off-base offense where Victim was raped by Subject#1 while Subject#2 video recorded incident. Subject#1 reported sexual intercourse consensual and Subject#2 elected to remain silent. Subject#2 was re-interrogated, stating intercourse was consensual, and that he had consensual intercourse with Victim ten months later. Victim admitted having consensual sex following rape allegation. Victim signed VPS. RLSO and Command elected not to charge either Subject.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Disposition Offense	Case Disposition	Case Synopsis
224b	Rape	OCONUS	E-3	Male	E-4	Female	Q1	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Off-base offense where Victim was raped by Subject#1 while Subject#2 video recorded incident. Subject#1 reported sexual intercourse consensual and Subject#2 elected to remain silent. Subject#2 was re-interrogated, stating intercourse was consensual, and that he had consensual intercourse with Victim ten months later. Victim admitted having consensual sex following rape allegation. Victim signed VPS. RLSO and Command elected not to charge either Subject.
225	Aggravated Sexual Contact	CONUS	US Civilian	Male	E-1	Female	Q1	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Off-base offense where Victim went to Subject's beauty salon, and Subject digitally penetrated Victim's vagina, and rubbed his penis against her while groping her buttocks and breasts. Victim resisted. Victim reported incident days later and was reluctant to participate in investigation. Case closed after investigative leads were exhausted.
226	Abusive Sexual Contact	CONUS	E-1	MALE	E-1	Female	Q1	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	On-base offense where Subject placed Victim's hand on his crotch, groped Victim's breast and crotch while they sat on bench outside barracks. Subject exposed his penis to Victim and tried to push Victim's head towards it, but Victim resisted. Subject claimed contact was consensual. Command advised no action would be taken.
227	Wrongful Sexual Contact	OCONUS	E-7	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	N/A	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	Off-base offense where Victim, her husband, Subject, and another individual went bar hopping then later slept at Victim's house. Victim awoke to Subject fondling her. Victim ordered Subject from house and called police. Article 32 hearing was held and charges against Subject were dismissed.
228	State/Local Sexual Offense Not Specified	CONUS	E-2	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	Other	Civilian or Foreign Prosecution of Person Subject to UCMJ	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim awoke to Subject penetrating her vagina. Victim scratched Subject and he fled. Victim showered and called local authorities. Subject denied sexual contact, however, his DNA was found on Victim. Subject administratively separated from USN for Pattern of Misconduct. Civilian Court convicted Subject of sexual battery.
229	Forcible Sodomy	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-2	Female	Q1	N/A	Unknown Subject	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim slept at shipmate's apartment and Victim awoke to find Subject's penis in her mouth. Victim reported it to local authorities. Investigation presented to County's grand jury, which was returned: No Bill.
230	Rape	CONUS	E-7	Male	E-4	Female	Q2	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	Off-base offense where Victim and Subject stayed after golf event to find Victim's lost phone when Victim became disoriented and unable to walk, passing out. Victim's preliminary lab work was negative for date rape drugs. Subject claimed he only fondled Victim's vagina with consent. RLS dropped charges due to lack of evidence.
231	Aggravated Sexual Contact	CONUS	E-2	Male	E-3	Female	Q1	N/A	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim's boyfriend reported Victim passed out in her barracks room, and Subject groped Victim, performed cunnilingus, and tried to have intercourse with her. Subject alleged he and Victim consensually kissed and fondled one another. Charge of sexual assault referred to SPCM was dismissed.
232	Rape	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-1	Female	Q2	N/A	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	Off-base offense where Victim and Subject got a hotel room together, but Victim had little recollection of events due to intoxication. After being advised by Subject that intercourse had occurred, Victim reported incident. Subject was found not guilty of Article 120 (Rape) and Article 107 (False Official Statements) at GMC.
233	Aggravated Sexual Contact	CONUS	E-5	Male	E-4	Male	Q1	N/A	Commander Declined Action IAW UCMJ RCM 306c1	On-base (ship) offense where during interview concerning Victim physically assaulting Subject, Victim reported Subject sexually assaulted him. Victim and Subject fought when Subject tried to discuss alleged sexual assault with Victim. Command declined prosecution. Subject received written counseling, and Victim was administratively separated regarding assault and pattern of misconduct.
234	Wrongful Sexual Contact	CONUS	E-4	Male	Multiple Victims - Female	Multiple Victims - Female	Q1	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Nonjudicial Punishment	On-base offense where Subject made sexually suggestive and derogatory comments towards Victim#1 for several months. Victim #2 reported similar experiences, where Subject often groped her buttocks, crotch and breasts. Subject admitted culpability and went to Captain's Mast, which resulted in 60 days restriction, forfeiture of \$926 for 2 months and reduction to E3.
235	State/Local Sexual Offense Not Specified	OCONUS	E-5	Male	Foreign National	Female	Q1	N/A	Civilian or Foreign Prosecution of Person Subject to UCMJ	Off-base offense where Australian Police arrested Subject after local brothel owner reported Subject had raped prostitute. Subject found not guilty of aggravated sexual assault, and of recklessly inflicting actual bodily harm on a woman with intent to have sexual intercourse at his trial in Australia.
236	Rape	CONUS	E-6	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	Off-base offense where Subject, Victim's ex-live-in boyfriend, raped Victim at his residence. Subject stated sexual contact was consensual, and provided access to his cell phone records regarding Victim's telephone calls and voicemail message telling Subject she "loved him." Victim denied discrepancies in story. RLSO declined prosecution due to lack of evidence.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Disposition Offense	Case Disposition	Case Synopsis
237	Aggravated Sexual Offense	CONUS	E-7	Male	E-4	Female	Q1	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	Off-base offense where Subject penetrated Victim's vagina with his penis without her consent while in the back of his vehicle. Subject claimed intercourse was consensual. Victim had a sexual assault forensic exam at the local hospital. The investigation was subsequently closed due to lack of evidence.
238	Rape	CONUS	E-5	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	Off-base offense where Subject groped and kissed Victim, threw her on a bed, removed her jeans and underpants, performed oral sex on her and had intercourse with her without her consent. An Article 32 hearing was conducted. No charges were preferred due to insufficient evidence.
239	State/Local Sexual Offense	CONUS	US Civilian	Male	E-4	Female	Q1	N/A	Civilian or Foreign Prosecution of Person Not Subject to UCMJ	On-base offense where Victim awoke in her home to Subject having sexual intercourse with her. Subject stated he had an ongoing sexual relationship with Victim and the sexual contact the evening of alleged rape, was consensual. The County's Prosecutor's Office declined the case due to lack of evidence.
240	Aggravated Sexual Offense	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Female	Q2	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	Off-base offense where Victim took sleeping medication to help her sleep and spent the night at Subject's residence when Victim awoke to Subject having sexual intercourse with her. Subject stated Victim consented to having sex with him. Command decided not to take any action due to lack of evidence.
241	Forcible Sodomy	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-3	Female	Q1	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	Off-base offense where Subject performed oral sex on Victim while she slept. Subject elected to remain silent. Victim's husband stated he believed Victim made the allegation up. Command did not pursue prosecution of Subject on the advisement of JAG.
242	Forcible Sodomy	OCONUS	US Civilian	Male	E-4	Female	Q1	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim and Subject ended up back at her residence, and Victim awoke to Subject's head between her legs. Subject stated the sexual contact between them was consensual. Command took no action due to lack of evidence.
243	Aggravated Sexual Offense	Bahrain	Unknown	Unknown	E-5	Female	Q1	N/A	Unknown Subject	On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim reported leaving her drink unattended and waking up to Navy law enforcement finding her lying unconscious. Victim refused sexual assault forensic exam, but agreed to provide blood and urine samples. Lab analysis of Victim's urine revealed presence of Norketamine (sedative). Case closed due to inability to identify Subject.
244	Wrongful Sexual Offense	OCONUS	Unknown	Male	E-4	Male	Q3	N/A	Unknown Subject	Off-base offense where an unidentified male approached Subject while he was walking in a shopping area, grabbed him around his shoulders, and fondled his genitals. Subject continued to grope Victim while making sexually explicit comments. Case closed with no Subject identified.
245	Abusive Sexual Contact	CONUS	E-5	Male	E-4	Female	Q1	N/A	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim went to Subject's hotel room to use the bathroom and passed out on his bed. Victim awoke to Subject lying next to her and caressing her body. Subject found not guilty at General Court Martial.
246	Rape	OCONUS	Unknown	Male	E-1	Female	Q1	N/A	Unknown Subject	On-base offense where Victim went to the barracks room of Subject to purchase books when Subject gave Victim a cup of water where she felt groggy afterward. When Victim awoke, she was naked, the bed was wet, and she had pain in her vaginal area. Case closed with no Subject identified.
247	Rape	CONUS	E-6	Male	E-4	Female	Q2	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim passed out in hotel bed next to Subject and Victim awoke in only a T-shirt, and saw a used condom wrapper. Article 32 hearing determined there was insufficient evidence for GCM. Subject to NJP for violations of Article 92 (Fraternalization) and Article 134 (Adultery).
248	State/Local Sexual Offense Not Specified	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	Other	Civilian or Foreign Prosecution of Person Subject to UCMJ	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim passed out and awoke to Subject having sexual intercourse with her. Subject admitted culpability. Victim declined to participate in military trial; however, she would work with state prosecutors. Subject pled guilty to a misdemeanor charge of sexual battery in local court.
249a	Aggravated Sexual Offense	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-1	Female	Q2	Perjury/False Official Statement/False Swearing	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim awoke at party to Subject#1 between her legs. Subjects #2 and #3 may have been involved in the sexual assault; however, she was unsure. Subject#1 found not guilty of Rape, but guilty of Article 107 (False Official Statements) at GCM. No judicial/administrative action taken against other Subjects.
249b	Aggravated Sexual Offense	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-1	Female	Q2	Perjury/False Official Statement/False Swearing	Commander Declined Action IAW UCMJ RCM 306c1	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim awoke at party to Subject#1 between her legs. Subjects #2 and #3 may have been involved in the sexual assault; however, she was unsure. Subject#1 found not guilty of Rape, but guilty of Article 107 (False Official Statements) at GCM. No judicial/administrative action taken against other Subjects.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Disposition Offense	Case Disposition	Case Synopsis
249c	Aggravated Sexual Offense	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-1	Female	Q2	Perjury/False Official Statement/False Swearing	Commander Declined Action IAW UCMJ RCM 306c1	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim awoke at party to Subject#1 between her legs. Subjects #2 and #3 may have been involved in the sexual assault; however, she was unsure. Subject#1 found not guilty of Rape, but guilty of Article 107 (False Official Statements) at GCM. No judicial/administrative action taken against other Subjects.
250	Aggravated Sexual Offense	CONUS	E-1	MALE	E-2	Female	Q3	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim was invited to Subject's parent's house for a party and while spending the night in a hotel room, Subject forcibly penetrated Victim's vagina with his penis, performed oral sex on her, and forced her to perform oral sex on him. Case closed due to insufficient evidence.
251	Aggravated Sexual Offense	CONUS	O-3	Male	E-1	Male	Q1	Conduct Unbecoming an Officer	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base (ship) offense where Subject told Victim to report to his quarters and used his authority to force Victim to have sexual intercourse with him. Subject denied allegations. Subject found guilty at GCM for violations of Article 107 (False Official Statements) and Article 133 (Conduct Unbecoming of an Officer and Gentleman).
252	Aggravated Sexual Offense	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-2	Female	Q1	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim passed out on Subject's bed and later awoke to Subject having intercourse with her. Subject invoked rights. DNA and other evidence linking Subject to sexual assault of Victim discovered. Subject found guilty of Providing Alcohol to a Minor, Aggravated Sexual Assault, and Indecent Conduct.
253	Aggravated Sexual Offense	CONUS	E-1	MALE	E-1	Female	Q1	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	Off-base offense where Victim reported not consuming any alcohol during party, but got tired and went to an empty room to sleep. Victim awoke to Subject digitally penetrating her vagina and later forcing his penis partially inside Victim. Victim's urine revealed positive quantity of promethazine. Investigation closed because allegations not supported by facts.
254	Abusive Sexual Contact	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-1	Male	Q1	N/A	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim awoke to Subject masturbating him. Victim left his apartment immediately and reported the incident. Subject denied culpability. Subject found not guilty of the sexual assault at a Special CM.
255	Rape	CONUS	E-5	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	Off-base offense where Victim reported being raped by Subject approximately three years prior. No evidence of a rape ever occurring was revealed throughout the course of the investigation and it was determined this investigation had no prosecutorial merit.
256	Aggravated Sexual Offense	CONUS	E-3	Male	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims	Q1	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	On-base offense where Victim#1 reported having sexual intercourse with Subject in a restroom stall at bar, but due to her intoxication could not recall giving consent. Victim#2 reported being sexually assaulted at same bar. Subject admitted to having consensual sex with Victim #1, but no sexual contact with Victim#2. Victim declined to cooperate with the prosecution.
257a	Aggravated Sexual Offense	CONUS	E-2	Male	E-3	Female	Q2	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim passed out and woke up several times to Subjects #1 and #2 having sexual relations with her without her consent. Both Subjects denied culpability. Victim declined to cooperate with prosecution. Case closed due to insufficient evidence without Victim's testimony.
257b	Aggravated Sexual Offense	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-3	Male	Q2	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim passed out and woke up several times to Subjects #1 and #2 having sexual relations with her without her consent. Both Subjects denied culpability. Victim declined to cooperate with prosecution. Case closed due to insufficient evidence without Victim's testimony.
258a	State/Local Sexual Offense Not Specified	CONUS	E-1	Male	E-1	Female	Q1	N/A	Civilian or Foreign Prosecution of Person Subject to UCMJ	Off-base offense where Victim was awakened in a hotel with Subject #1's penis in her vagina and Subject #2's penis in her mouth. Subject #1 entered a plea of Nolo Contendere to Unlawful Sexual Activity with a Minor and no charges were filed against Subject #2.
258b	State/Local Sexual Offense Not Specified	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-1	Female	Q1	N/A	Civilian or Foreign Prosecution of Person Subject to UCMJ	Off-base offense where Victim was awakened in a hotel with Subject #1's penis in her vagina and Subject #2's penis in her mouth. Subject #1 entered a plea of Nolo Contendere to Unlawful Sexual Activity with a Minor and no charges were filed against Subject #2.
259	Forcible Sodomy	CONUS	E-1	MALE	E-3	Female	Q1	Rape	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense involving alcohol where while Victim was incapacitated, Subject removed Victim's underpants and digitally penetrated her anus. Subject found guilty at GCM for touching the anus and vagina of Victim.
260	Wrongful Sexual Offense	CONUS	E-6	Male	E-6	Female	Q1	N/A	Probable Cause for Only Non-Sexual Assault Offense	On-base offense where Victim was asleep aboard a Landing Craft hovercraft and awoke to Subject touching her stomach beneath her shirt. A witness observed Subject with one hand inside Victim's flight suit and the other hand on her thigh. Subject was charged with violating Article 92 (Violation of a Lawful General Order) and found not guilty by Special CM.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Disposition Offense	Case Disposition	Case Synopsis
261	Wrongful Sexual	CONUS	E-7	Male	E-3	Male		Q1	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense where Subject indecently assaulted Victim in shipboard force protection office. Subject admitted making abusive, sexual comments to Victim. Subject pled guilty at Special CM to Article 120 (Cause sexual contact with another service member by placing him in fear of physical injury) and Article 92 (Violate a general regulation).
262a	Aggravated Sexu	OCONUS	E-5	Male	E-5	Female		Q1	Other	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	Off-base offense where Victim was sexually assaulted by 2 Subjects while intoxicated. Victim blacked-out which hindered her ability to recall details of incident. Article 32 hearing determined insufficient evidence for GCM. Subject#1 found guilty at Captain's Mast of Article 134. No action taken against Subject #2 due to insufficient evidence.
262b	Aggravated Sexu	OCONUS	E-3	Male	E-5	Female		Q1	N/A	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	Off-base offense where Victim was sexually assaulted by 2 Subjects while intoxicated. Victim blacked-out which hindered her ability to recall details of incident. Article 32 hearing determined insufficient evidence for GCM. Subject#1 found guilty at Captain's Mast of Article 134. No action taken against Subject #2 due to insufficient evidence.
263	Aggravated Sexu	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Female		Q1	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Commander Declined Action IAW UCMJ RCM 306c1	On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim went to a room to see friend (Witness) and was left alone with Subject, who had non-consensual vaginal intercourse with her. Subject convicted at GCM of Article 120 (Rape, Sexual Assault, Other Sexual Misconduct).
264a	Aggravated Sexu	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-1	Female		Q1	N/A	Commander Declined Action IAW UCMJ RCM 306c1	Off-base offense where Victim became intoxicated while drinking in hotel and 2 unknown males joined her in bed. Subject#1 took off Victim's clothing, and had vaginal intercourse with Victim. After Subject#1 climaxed, Subject#2 had vaginal intercourse with Victim. Victim did not give consent to either Subject. Command declined to prosecute (no further information given).
265b	Aggravated Sexu	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-1	Female		Q1	N/A	Commander Declined Action IAW UCMJ RCM 306c1	Off-base offense where Victim became intoxicated while drinking in hotel and 2 unknown males joined her in bed. Subject#1 took off Victim's clothing, and had vaginal intercourse with Victim. After Subject#1 climaxed, Subject#2 had vaginal intercourse with Victim. Victim did not give consent to either Subject. Command declined to prosecute (no further information given).
266	Aggravated Sexu	OCONUS	E-3	Male	E-4	Female		Q1	N/A	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense where Victim reported, after leaving an on-base club, Subject grabbed her wrist, walked her to his barracks room, digitally penetrated her vagina, and engaged in nonconsensual intercourse with Victim. Subject denied all culpability. Following Article 32 hearing, no charges were referred to Court-Martial due to insufficient evidence.
267	Aggravated Sexu	OCONUS	E-5	Male	E-3	Female		Q2	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim was reportedly raped by her direct supervisor. Subject was the subject of a previous sexual assault allegation. Subject taken to Article 32 hearing for both cases. Subject pled guilty and was found guilty at GCM for violating Articles 92, 120, and 134.
268	Aggravated Sexu	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-5	Female		Q3	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	Off-base offense where Victim reported being raped by Subject in her apartment. Victim provided minimal details, stating she allowed Subject to sleep with her, both of them clothed, and awoke to find Subject having vaginal intercourse with her. The Rhode Island Attorney General's office declined to prosecute based on insufficient evidence.
269	Aggravated Sexu	CONUS	E-5	Male	E-3	Female		Q1	N/A	Commander Declined Action IAW UCMJ RCM 306c1	On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim fell asleep while allowing Subject to give her a back massage, and awoke to Subject kissing her and penetrating her vagina with his penis. Subject advised sexual contact was consensual. Command declined further action against Subject due to his being discharged from the USN.
270	Aggravated Sexu	CONUS	E-2	Male	E-1	Female		Q3	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Off-base offense where Subject followed Victim into her bedroom, where forcibly removed her clothes, and penetrated her vagina with his penis. Victim repeatedly told Subject to stop. Subject advised sexual intercourse with Victim was consensual, and at no point did Victim tell him "no". Victim declined to participate with the investigation.
271	Aggravated Sexu	CONUS	E-6	Male	E-6	Female		Q3	N/A	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	Off-base offense where Victim gave Subject tour of her apartment and, upon reaching the master bedroom, Subject forcibly penetrated Victim's vagina and anus with his penis. Victim later stated sexual contact started consensually and became non-consensual when Subject penetrated her anus. Victim later returned to her original statement that entire incident was non-consensual. GCM was convened and Subject was acquitted of all charges.
272	Aggravated Sexu	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-4	Female		Q3	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	Off-base offense involving alcohol where, upon returning to motel room, Subject sexually assaulted Victim while she was unconscious with witnesses present. Subject found guilty at GMC of violating Article 120 and not guilty of violating Article 134.
273	Aggravated Sexu	CONUS	E-1	MALE	E-1	Female		Q4	N/A	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim fell asleep on couch after an off-base party and awoke to Subject having sexual intercourse with her. Subject's DNA on victim's jeans confirmed victim's allegations. At GMC, Subject found guilty of Article 120 (Aggravated Sexual Assault) and Article 107 (False Official Statement).

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Disposition Offense	Case Disposition	Case Synopsis
274	Aggravated Sexual Contact	CONUS	E-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q4	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	On-base offense where Subject walked Victim to her room, entered her room without invitation, and digitally penetrated her vagina without consent. Victim called 911 and had a sexual assault forensic examination. Subject stated the intercourse was consensual. Victim declined to participate in the prosecution.
275	Aggravated Sexual Contact	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-3	Female	Q4	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim blacked out and awoke partially disrobed with Subject on top of her; however, Victim does not recall being penetrated. Subject took a voluntary polygraph examination and no deception was indicated. RLSO Southwest briefed and Command declined to pursue administrative or judicial action.
276	Aggravated Sexual Contact	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Female	Q2	Other	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim woke up in a hotel next to Subject with only her panties and bra on and could not recall if Subject inserted his fingers into her vagina or his penis. Subject pled guilty at GCM to 2 violations of Article 128 (Assault) of the UCMJ.
277	Aggravated Sexual Contact	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-1	Female	Q2	N/A	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim agreed to sleep in Subject's barracks room following a party and Victim awoke to Subject forcing his penis into her vagina and performing oral sex. Article 32 hearing determined there was insufficient evidence to refer case to Court-martial.
278	Aggravated Sexual Contact	Iraq	E-7	Male	E-6	Female	Q1	Failure to Obey Order or Regulation	Nonjudicial Punishment	On-base offense where Subject forced Victim to her knees, grabbed Victim's head, and forced his penis into her mouth. Victim stated she told Subject "no" but he pushed her head down. Subject to NJP for failure to obey order or regulation and adultery.
279	State/Local Sexual Contact	CONUS	US Civilian	Male	Midshipman	Male	Q2	Other	Civilian or Foreign Prosecution of Person Not Subject to UCMJ	Off-base offense where Victim's USNA Sponsor groped his penis, over his clothing while driving Victim to the USNA. Annapolis Police Department (APD), conducted the investigation. Subject denied culpability. Subject charged and found guilty of 2nd Degree Assault and 4th Degree Sexual Offense in the District Court of Maryland for Anne Arundel County.
280	Forcible Sodomy	CONUS	E-5	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	N/A	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	Off-base offense where Subject was at Victim's home and performed oral sex on her. Victim told Subject "No. Stop." Subject denied performing oral sex on Victim. Results of a forensic examination indicated the presence of Subject's DNA on Victim's panties. Subsequent to an Article 32 hearing, charges were dismissed.
281	Abusive Sexual Contact	CONUS	E-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	On-base offense where Victim and Subject were playfully wrestling when Subject began sucking on Victim's breast and exposed his genitals to her. During re-interview, Victim admitted allowing Subject to suck her breast. Subject's command declined to pursue formal charges based on polygraph exam results and further statements made by Victim.
282	Forcible Sodomy	CONUS	E-5	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	N/A	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense where Victim reported, during a performance evaluation, that she was forced to perform fellatio on Subject. Subject denied culpability and invoked his right to counsel. Final report of DNA analysis of Victim's clothing returned negative results. Following an Article 32 hearing, charges were dismissed due to insufficient evidence.
283	Aggravated Sexual Contact	Kuwait	O-4	Male	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims	Q3	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense where Subject, a doctor, sexually assaulted nineteen patients during medical examinations. Subject pled guilty at GCM to 2 counts of Article 120 (Wrongful Sexual Contact) and 2 counts of Article 133 (Conduct Unbecoming an Officer). The sentence was 2 years confinement, \$28,000 fine, and dismissal by the Navy.
284a	Abusive Sexual Contact	CONUS	Unknown	Male	Unknown	Female	Q1	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	On-base offense involving alcohol where Subject #1 fondled Victim's vaginal area while driving back to their barracks from local bar. Later, Subject #1 and Subject #2 fondled Victim's breasts and vagina while Victim attempted to sleep. Subjects denied inappropriately touching Victim. RLSO indicated the investigation had no prosecutorial merit.
284b	Abusive Sexual Contact	CONUS	Unknown	Male	Unknown	Female	Q1	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	On-base offense involving alcohol where Subject #1 fondled Victim's vaginal area while driving back to their barracks from local bar. Later, Subject #1 and Subject #2 fondled Victim's breasts and vagina while Victim attempted to sleep. Subjects denied inappropriately touching Victim. RLSO indicated the investigation had no prosecutorial merit.
285	Wrongful Sexual Contact	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-1	Male	Q3	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	On-base offense where Subject indecently exposed himself to Victim, tried to unbutton Victim's pants, and repeatedly grabbed Victim's genital area despite Victim's protests. Later, Victim signed a Declaration Letter expressing his desire to no longer participate in the matter.
286	Aggravated Sexual Contact	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-3	Female	Q2	Failure to Obey Order or Regulation	Probable Cause for Only Non-Sexual Assault Offense	Off-base offense where Victim was alone with Subject in his apartment bedroom when Subject placed Victim's hand on his exposed penis and digitally penetrated Victim. Subject advised when Victim expressed she did not feel comfortable engaging in further sexual activity, he immediately ceased. Subject found guilty of violating Article 92 (Failure to Obey Order or Regulation).

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Disposition Offense	Case Disposition	Case Synopsis
287	Wrongful Sexual	CONUS	E-6	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	Perjury/False Official Statement/False Swearing	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense where Subject rubbed her vagina against Victim two times while Victim was on-duty. Subject pled guilty at Summary CM to violating two specifications of Article 107 (False Official Statement) and one specification of Article 128 (Assault Consummated by a Battery).
288	State/Local Sexual	CONUS	US Civilian	Male	E-5	Female	Q4	Other	Civilian or Foreign Prosecution of Person Not Subject to UCMJ	Off-base offense where Subject sexually assaulted Victim during an examination of Victim's teeth in preparation for braces. Subject groped Victim's breasts and buttocks, and rubbed her vagina. Subject pled guilty to Attempted Sexual Battery and received 21 months in prison and a fee of restitution to the victim.
289	Wrongful Sexual	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-1	Female	Q2	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	On-base offense where Subject kissed Victim's neck, groped her breast and buttocks, and exposed his genitals to her while Victim was in the process of enlisting. Command declined to pursue due to lack of evidence.
290	Wrongful Sexual	CONUS	Unknown	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	On-base offense where Subject allowed Victim to change out of her uniform in his room, and upon his return, Subject began touching Victim's breast and buttocks over her clothing, and kissing her. Victim told Subject to stop, at which point he did. Command declined action due to insufficient evidence.
291	Aggravated Sexual	Djibouti	E-5	Female	E-6	Female	Q3	Other	Administrative Discharge	On-base offense where Subject digitally penetrated Victim's vagina, touched Victim's breast and stomach over her shirt, and attempted to kiss Victim while watching a movie in Victim's room. Subject requested and was granted an OTH Discharge in Lieu of a court martial. Subject was administratively separated from the U.S. Navy.
292	Forcible Sodomy	OCONUS	E-4	Male	E-4	Male	Q1	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	Off-base offense where Victim awoke in hotel room to find his underwear and shorts around his ankles and Subject holding Victim's penis in his hand. Subject performed fellatio and digitally penetrated Victim's anus without consent. Subject found guilty at GCM of violating Article 120 (Rape, Sexual Assault, and other Sexual Misconduct) and Article 125 (Sodomy).
293	Forcible Sodomy	CONUS	E-6	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q3	Other	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense where Subject forced Victim to perform oral sex on him, made several lewd and lascivious comments, and attempted to fondle Victim's breasts. An Article 32 Hearing was held and GCM recommended, however, Subject was later separated from the USN with an OTH Discharge.
294	Forcible Sodomy	OCONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Male	Q3	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim awoke to Subject performing fellatio on him. Victim was aware but unable to respond, as if he was paralyzed. Overwhelmed by the situation, Victim deserted the ship and flew back to US. Command advised no judicial action would be taken due to insufficient evidence.
295	Aggravated Sexual	CONUS	Unknown	Female	Midshipman	Female	Q1	Other	Administrative Discharge	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim entered bedroom of Subject (another female midshipman) and recalls being in bed, on top of Subject, kissing. Victim recalls feeling hands on her hips and her skirt being pulled up from the waistband and her vagina penetrated. Subject was processed for administrative separation under MILPERSMAN 1910-148, Homosexual Conduct.
296	Aggravated Sexual	CONUS	E-1	MALE	E-1	Female	Q2	N/A	Commander Declined Action IAW UCMJ RCM 306c1	Off-base offense where Subject and Victim went to a hotel room where Subject started to grope Victim's body and Victim attempted to resist. Subject became more physical and Victim became afraid. Subject penetrated Victim's vagina with his penis. Subject claims incident was consensual. Command declined to take any action (no further information).
297	Aggravated Sexual	OCONUS	Unknown	Male	E-3	Female	Q4	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim stated on two consecutive evenings she passed-out in Subject's bed and on both mornings she felt like she had engaged in sexual activity, but did not recall any details. Victim was subsequently diagnosed with a sexually transmitted disease. Victim later declined further participation.
298	Aggravated Sexual	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-3	Female	Q2	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim went with Subject and his friend to Subject's barracks room where Victim was raped by Subject. Victim delayed reporting it because she was afraid she would get in trouble for underage drinking. Subject denied allegations. Victim declined to participate further with investigation.
299	Forcible Sodomy	CONUS	E-2	Male	E-2	Female	Q1	Failure to Obey Order or Regulation	Probable Cause for Only Non-Sexual Assault Offense	On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim was in barracks room of Subject and drank a beverage and became unable to speak or move. Subject forced his penis into her anus. Subject charged with violating Article 92 (Violating a general order or regulation; providing alcohol to minors and violating visiting hours) and awarded NJP.
300a	Aggravated Sexual	CONUS	E-2	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	Other	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim and friend met Subjects and went to their barracks. Subject#1 fondled Victim's breasts and Victim felt pain in vaginal area, but could not recall if intercourse occurred. RSLO determined insufficient evidence to warrant prosecution. Subject#1 received NJP for violating Article 92. Charges for Subject#2 were dismissed.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Subject Grade	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Disposition Offense	Case Disposition	Case Synopsis
300b	Aggravated Sexual Offense	CONUS	E-2	Male	US Civilian	Female	Female	Q1	Other	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim and friend met Subjects and went to their barracks. Subject#1 fondled Victim's breasts and Victim felt pain in vaginal area, but could not recall if intercourse occurred. RSLO determined insufficient evidence to warrant prosecution. Subject#1 received NJP for violating Article 92. Charges for Subject#2 were dismissed.
301a	Rape	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-3	Female	Female	Q1	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim recalls being invited into Subjects' room, but does not remember anything after walking into the room. Later, Victim noticed pain in her vaginal area and thought she may have been raped. Both Subjects said sexual activity was consensual. Command, and RLSO, declined to pursue judicial action (no further information).
301b	Rape	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-3	Female	Female	Q1	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim recalls being invited into Subjects' room, but does not remember anything after walking into the room. Later, Victim noticed pain in her vaginal area and thought she may have been raped. Both Subjects said sexual activity was consensual. Command, and RLSO, declined to pursue judicial action (no further information).
302	Aggravated Sexual Offense	CONUS	E-4	Male	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims	Q3	N/A	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	Off-base offense where Victim#1 awoke to Subject having sex with her and Victim#2 disclosed she was sexually assaulted by Subject in Dec08. Subject stated he woke up nude next to Victim#1, but could not recall having sex with her and stated sex with Victim #2 was consensual. At Article 32 hearing charges were withdrawn due to insufficient evidence.
303a	State/Local Sexual Offense Not Specified	CONUS	E-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	Female	Q2	N/A	Civilian or Foreign Prosecution of Person Subject to UCMJ	On-base offense involving where Victim drank alcohol and smoked marijuana with Subjects hours prior to being raped. Subject#1 admitted having sex with Victim. Subject#2 admitted touching Victim's vagina and having sex with her. Due to lack of cooperation by Victim, charges preferred against Subject#1 were withdrawn. United States Attorney's Office subsequently dismissed charges against Subject#2.
303b	State/Local Sexual Offense	CONUS	US Civilian	Male	US Civilian	Female	Female	Q2	N/A	Civilian or Foreign Prosecution of Person Not Subject to UCMJ	On-base offense involving where Victim drank alcohol and smoked marijuana with Subjects hours prior to being raped. Subject#1 admitted having sex with Victim. Subject#2 admitted touching Victim's vagina and having sex with her. Due to lack of cooperation by Victim, charges preferred against Subject#1 were withdrawn. United States Attorney's Office subsequently dismissed charges against Subject#2.
304	Rape	CONUS	E-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	Female	Q2	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	On-base offense involving marijuana and alcohol where Victim was in Subject's barracks room bed when Subject, against Victim's will, mounted her, performed oral sex, and entered her vagina with his penis. Command would not pursue charges against Subject due to insufficient evidence.
305a	Rape	CONUS	E-1	Male	E-1	Female	Female	Q2	N/A	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	Off-base offense where Victim passed out from intoxication in hotel room, awoke naked, and was informed by Subject#4 that she had videotaped sex with Subjects while unconscious. At GCM, Subject#4 pled guilty to Article 120 (Aggravated Sexual Assault). Subject#2 found guilty of Article 120 (Aggravated Sexual Assault) and Article 125 (Sodomy). Subject#3 found guilty of Article 120 (Aggravated Sexual Assault), and Subject#1 was acquitted.
305b	Rape	CONUS	E-2	Male	E-1	Female	Female	Q2	Rape	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	Off-base offense where Victim passed out from intoxication in hotel room, awoke naked, and was informed by Subject#4 that she had videotaped sex with Subjects while unconscious. At GCM, Subject#4 pled guilty to Article 120 (Aggravated Sexual Assault). Subject#2 found guilty of Article 120 (Aggravated Sexual Assault) and Article 125 (Sodomy). Subject#3 found guilty of Article 120 (Aggravated Sexual Assault), and Subject#1 was acquitted.
305c	Rape	CONUS	E-2	Male	E-1	Female	Female	Q2	Rape	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	Off-base offense where Victim passed out from intoxication in hotel room, awoke naked, and was informed by Subject#4 that she had videotaped sex with Subjects while unconscious. At GCM, Subject#4 pled guilty to Article 120 (Aggravated Sexual Assault). Subject#2 found guilty of Article 120 (Aggravated Sexual Assault) and Article 125 (Sodomy). Subject#3 found guilty of Article 120 (Aggravated Sexual Assault), and Subject#1 was acquitted.
305d	Rape	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-1	Female	Female	Q2	Rape	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	Off-base offense where Victim passed out from intoxication in hotel room, awoke naked, and was informed by Subject#4 that she had videotaped sex with Subjects while unconscious. At GCM, Subject#4 pled guilty to Article 120 (Aggravated Sexual Assault). Subject#2 found guilty of Article 120 (Aggravated Sexual Assault) and Article 125 (Sodomy). Subject#3 found guilty of Article 120 (Aggravated Sexual Assault), and Subject#1 was acquitted.
306	Wrongful Sexual Offense	CONUS	O-4	Male	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims	Q3	N/A	Adverse Administrative Action	On-base offense where Subject grabbed Victim#1's hand and forced it against his genital area and touched her breast without consent. Victim #2 provided a sworn statement reporting Subject slapped her on the buttocks while at work. Subject declined to be interviewed. Command issued Subject a Non-Punitive Letter of Caution.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Disposition Offense	Case Disposition	Case Synopsis
307	Rape	CONUS	E-2	Male	E-5	Female	Q2	N/A	Commander Declined Action IAW UCMJ RCM 306c1	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim became physically ill and was taken from bathroom to her bedroom by Subject where he had sex with her against her will. Subject administratively separated from USN due to an unrelated incident. No further administrative or judicial action taken regarding sexual assault allegation.
308	Aggravated Sexu	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Female	Q1	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Administrative Discharge	On-base offense where Subject digitally penetrated Victim's vagina and groped her breasts while she was half asleep. Again, Subject placed Victim's hand on Subject's penis and used Victim's hand to stimulate his penis while she was partially asleep. Article 120 violations not charged per command decision and Subject separated in lieu of trial by Court-Martial.
309	State/Local Sexu	OCONUS	US Civilian	Male	E-5	Female	Q1	N/A	Civilian or Foreign Prosecution of Person Not Subject to UCMJ	Off-base offense where Victim was raped by Subject in undisclosed hotel room. Victim believes her drink may have been spiked, but urine analysis came back negative for drugs. Tokyo Metropolitan Police Department (TMPD) investigated the case. Subject denied raping Victim indicating they had consensual sex. TMPD advised investigation will not be presented for prosecution.
310	Wrongful Sexual	CONUS	E-5	Male	E-5	Female	Q1	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Nonjudicial Punishment	On-base offense where Victim's breasts were fondled and photographed by Subject while under the influence of anesthesia, following oral surgery. A witness corroborated much of Victim's allegations. Subject found guilty of Article 134 (Indecent Assault) at NJP and discharged from USN.
311	Wrongful Sexual	OCONUS	E-5	Male	E-4	Female	Q2	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim became ill outside her tent in Kenya and while Subject was rubbing her back slid his hand down and rubbed her bare buttocks. Case closed due to insufficient evidence for prosecution.
312	Aggravated Sexu	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-3	Female	Q1	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Off-base offense where Victim, during consensual sexual contact told Subject to stop, but instead of stopping, Subject penetrated Victim's vagina both digitally and with his penis. Due to victim's decision not to cooperate further, Command took no action.
313	Wrongful Sexual	OCONUS	E-6	Male	E-2	Female	Q1	N/A	Commander Declined Action IAW UCMJ RCM 306c1	Off-base offense where Victim was indecently assaulted by Subject while house-sitting for a coworker. Subject interrogated and admitted culpability. Subject's Officer in Charge elected not to pursue judicial action or punitive measures due to Subject being verbally counseled instead.
314	Aggravated Sexu	CONUS	E-1	MALE	E-3	Female	Q4	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	On-base offense where Subject forced Victim to perform oral sex on him while they were in an enlisted male head aboard ship. Subject admitted culpability in forcing Victim to perform oral sex on him. Victim declined to participate in the military justice action.
315	Indecent Assault	Iraq	O-3	Male	E-6	Female	Q4	Fraternization	Adverse Administrative Action	On-base offense where Victim reported 2 incidents involving Subject masturbating and touching Victim's breasts without consent. The incidents followed months of intimidation by Subject, who was Victim's superior. Subject admitted culpability and agreed to resign his commission, re-enlist to the Fleet Reserve and retire.
316a	Aggravated Sexu	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-5	Female	Q2	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim was awakened by Subject#1 having intercourse with her. Victim was informed by a Witness that Subject#2 bragged about how both Subjects had sex with her while she was asleep. Based on review of the investigation and victim's desire to cease participation, no action was taken against either subject.
316b	Aggravated Sexu	CONUS	E-2	Male	E-5	Female	Q2	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim was awakened by Subject#1 having intercourse with her. Victim was informed by a Witness that Subject#2 bragged about how both Subjects had sex with her while she was asleep. Based on review of the investigation and victim's desire to cease participation, no action was taken against either subject.
317	Aggravated Sexu	OCONUS	E-4	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q3	Perjury/False Official Statement/False Swearing	Probable Cause for Only Non-Sexual Assault Offense	On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim passed out and awoke to Subject having non-consensual vaginal intercourse with her. Subject found guilty at a Summary CM of Article 134 (Adultery), Article 112 (Wrongful Use Controlled Substance), and Article 107 (False Official Statement). Subject was administratively separated.
318	Aggravated Sexu	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-3	Female	Q1	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	On-base offense where Victim was lying in bed with Subject when he began kissing her thighs and vaginal area. Then Subject had unwanted vaginal intercourse with Victim. Victim later signed a VPS not wishing to participate further.
318a	Rape	OCONUS	E-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Off-base offense where Victim reported being raped by 4 sailors. Four Subjects arrested by Honolulu Police Department (HPD) and Victim was medically treated, but declined to provide statement. HPD dropped all pending charges and NCIS assumed investigation. Due to lack of evidence and cooperation on behalf of victim investigation was closed.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Disposition Offense	Case Disposition	Case Synopsis
319b	Rape	OCONUS	E-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Off-base offense where Victim reported being raped by 4 sailors. Four Subjects arrested by Honolulu Police Department (HPD) and Victim was medically treated, but declined to provide statement. HPD dropped all pending charges and NCIS assumed investigation. Due to lack of evidence and cooperation on behalf of victim investigation was closed.
319c	Rape	OCONUS	E-5	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Off-base offense where Victim reported being raped by 4 sailors. Four Subjects arrested by Honolulu Police Department (HPD) and Victim was medically treated, but declined to provide statement. HPD dropped all pending charges and NCIS assumed investigation. Due to lack of evidence and cooperation on behalf of victim investigation was closed.
319d	Rape	OCONUS	E-4	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Off-base offense where Victim reported being raped by 4 sailors. Four Subjects arrested by Honolulu Police Department (HPD) and Victim was medically treated, but declined to provide statement. HPD dropped all pending charges and NCIS assumed investigation. Due to lack of evidence and cooperation on behalf of victim investigation was closed.
320	Aggravated Sexual Assault	OCONUS	E-4	Male	E-4	Female	Q2	Other	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense involving alcohol where Subject returned to Victim's barracks room and began having sexual intercourse with her while she was sleeping. Subject pled guilty to the lesser included offense of Unlawful Sexual Contact and sentenced to 11 months confinement, 2/3rds forfeiture of pay, rank reduction to E-1, and BCD.
321	Wrongful Sexual Intercourse	CONUS	E-6	Male	E-3	Female	Q1	Failure to Obey Order or Regulation	Probable Cause for Only Non-Sexual Assault Offense	On-base offense where Subject forcibly rubbed Victim's breasts and vaginal area over her clothes. Victim did not immediately report assault because Subject was her Recruit Commander in Boot Camp and she feared reprisals. Command took Subject to NJP for violating Article 92 (Failure to Obey Order or Regulation).
322a	Aggravated Sexual Intercourse	CONUS	O-1	Male	O-1	Female	Q3	N/A	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim was forced to perform fellatio on Subject#2 at an off-base apartment and then at a different off-base residence had forced vaginal intercourse with Subject#1. Article 32 hearings were conducted for both Subjects and charges were dismissed. Subject#1 went to NJP for False Official Statements.
322b	Aggravated Sexual Intercourse	CONUS	O-1	Male	O-1	Female	Q3	N/A	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim was forced to perform fellatio on Subject#2 at an off-base apartment and then at a different off-base residence had forced vaginal intercourse with Subject#1. Article 32 hearings were conducted for both Subjects and charges were dismissed. Subject#1 went to NJP for False Official Statements.
323	Aggravated Sexual Intercourse	CONUS	E-2	Male	E-3	Female	Q2	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim went to sleep and awoke to Subject holding down her shoulders and penetrating her vagina with his penis. Victim pushed Subject off, left the residence, and reported incident. Witness claims Victim said it was consensual. Charges were not filed due to insufficient evidence.
324	State/Local Sexual Intercourse	CONUS	US Civilian	Male	E-2	Female	Q3	Other	Civilian or Foreign Prosecution of Person Not Subject to UCMJ	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim was looking for a taxi, when she saw Subject, who offered to drive her back to base. Subject made a stop at a house, and once inside, Subject became violent, strangled Victim, and dragged her into a bedroom to rape her. Circuit Court found Subject guilty of Felony Battery.
325	Rape	CONUS	E-5	Male	E-2	Female	Q3	N/A	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense where Subject took Victim to his residence where he repeatedly had forced vaginal intercourse with her. Victim indicated she feared Subject. Subject reported sexual intercourse was consensual. An Art. 32 hearing was held and charges against Subject dismissed. Subject is being administratively separated on an unrelated charge.
326	Aggravated Sexual Intercourse	OCONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Female	Q2	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim awoke with abdominal pain and believed she had had sexual intercourse. A 3rd party told Victim Subject had told him that he had sex with Victim. Subject claimed sexual acts were consensual. Command found insufficient evidence to take administrative or judicial action.
327	Wrongful Sexual Intercourse	CONUS	E-4	Male	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims	Q1	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Nonjudicial Punishment	On-base (ship) offense where four Victims reported Subject touched their breast, nipples, buttocks, and stomachs inappropriately. Subject received NJP to include forfeiture in pay and a reduction in grade. Subject is due to separate from the USN.
328	Aggravated Sexual Intercourse	CONUS	Midshipman	Male	Midshipman	Female	Q2	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim, while staying in hotel, recalled lying on a sink inside bathroom, engaged in sexual intercourse with Subject. Victim made a restricted report initially and later decided to convert to an unrestricted report. Subject invoked right to legal counsel. Command took no judicial or administrative action against Subject due to insufficient evidence.
329	Aggravated Sexual Intercourse	CONUS	E-2	Male	E-1	Female	Q1	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim and two individuals checked into a motel and Subject massaged, kissed, and fondled Victim throughout evening, despite her protests. Subject digitally penetrated Victim's vagina and held her hands down to have non-consensual intercourse with her. Command declined to pursue charges due to insufficient evidence.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Disposition Offense	Case Disposition	Case Synopsis
330	Rape	OCONUS	E-6	Male	Multiple Victims - Male & Female	Multiple Victims - Male & Female	Q2	Other	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	Off-base offense where Victim#1 was raped and physically assaulted by Subject and Victim#2, a former sexual partner of Victim#1, was assaulted and threatened by Subject. Subject convicted at GMC of violating Articles 81 (Conspiracy), Article 120 (Rape, sexual assault, and other sexual misconduct), and Article 134 (Obstructing justice) and acquitted of Article 128 (Assault).	
331	Aggravated Sexu	CONUS	E-2	Male	E-2	Female	Q2	N/A	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense where Subject made sexual advances toward Victim by kissing her neck and fondling her breasts through her shirt and Subject had sexual intercourse with her while in BEQ. Victim subsequently signed a VPS. At Art 32 hearing all charges were dismissed against Subject.	
332	Aggravated Sexu	OCONUS	E-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	Other	Adverse Administrative Action	On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim willingly performed oral sex on Subject and was willing to have sex. They began having sex when Victim said it was hurting, but did not tell Subject to stop. Subject reported all sexual activity was consensual. Subject received a formal written counseling for "lack of judgment."	
333	Wrongful Sexual	OCONUS	E-3	Male	Multiple Victims - Male	Multiple Victims - Male	Q1	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	On-base offense where Victim awoke to Subject grabbing his penis. Subject identified but denied allegation. A second Victim stated same thing happened to him in early Aug09. Command decided insufficient evidence to charge Subject, but advised Subject was being administratively separated from USN for unrelated reasons.	
334	Rape	Bahrain	E-5	Male	E-3	Female	Q1	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	On-base offense where Victim was trapped in room by Subject, who struck Victim in the face and abdomen and vaginally raped her. Victim and Subject had been lovers and had sex numerous times aboard ship. Command decided there was insufficient evidence to charge Subject.	
335	Wrongful Sexual	CONUS	E-5	Male	E-3	Female	Q1	Failure to Obey Order or Regulation	Nonjudicial Punishment	On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim and Subject were playing strip poker when Subject touched Victim's bare breast. Subject convicted at NJP of Article 92 (Failure to obey a lawful written order, wrongfully providing alcohol to a person under age 21).	
336	Aggravated Sexu	OCONUS	E-3	Male	E-2	Female	Q4	Rape	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Subject had nonconsensual intercourse with Victim while she was unconscious. Subject admitted sexual contact with Victim. Subject found guilty at GCM of Article 120 (Rape, Sexual Assault, and Other Sexual Misconduct) and Article 134 (Adultery).	
337a	Aggravated Sexu	CONUS	E-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	On-base offense where 2 Subjects, one being Victim's ex-boyfriend, forced Victim to have vaginal intercourse. No further action taken due to Victim declining to participate further in investigation.	
337b	Aggravated Sexu	CONUS	E-2	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	On-base offense where 2 Subjects, one being Victim's ex-boyfriend, forced Victim to have vaginal intercourse. No further action taken due to Victim declining to participate further in investigation.	
338	State/Local Sexu	OCONUS	US Civilian	Male	E-6	Male	Q4	Other	Civilian or Foreign Prosecution of Person Not Subject to UCMJ	On-base offense where Subject forcibly performed fellatio on Victim. Subject admitted consensual oral and anal sex with Victim. Subject pled guilty to 2 counts of violating 18 USC 1001 (False Statements) as part of a plea agreement. Subject will be deported to Jamaica at conclusion of sentence.	
339	Aggravated Sexu	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Female	Q1	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	On-base offense where Victim was raped by Subject at campsite. No witnesses could support allegation and Victim advised she no longer wished to pursue rape charges. Command decided to uphold recommendation of RLISO, by not taking any adjudicative action due to lack of prosecutorial merit.	
340	Aggravated Sexu	OCONUS	E-5	Male	E-5	Female	Q1	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim and Subject left bar and returned to Subject's apartment where Victim awoke to Subject having vaginal intercourse with her. Victim signed a declination statement and subsequently command declined to take judicial/administrative action against Subject.	
341	Aggravated Sexu	CONUS	E-2	Male	E-4	Female	Q1	other	Adverse Administrative Action	On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim met Subject at nightclub and drove to Subject's BEQ room with the intention of having "sexual intercourse" with Subject, but changed her mind. Subject forced sexual intercourse with Victim. Victim did not wish to participate in the investigation. Command issued a non-punitive letter of reprimand to Subject.	
342	Aggravated Sexu	CONUS	E-5	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	Off-base offense where Subject had sexual intercourse with Victim while she repeatedly asked him to stop. Subject admitted having consensual sex with Victim, but denied force. Prosecution was declined due to insufficient evidence.	

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Disposition Offense	Case Disposition	Case Synopsis
343	Wrongful Sexual	OCONUS	E-5	Male	E-2	Female	Q2	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	On-base offense where Victim invited Subject to dinner, Subject became intoxicated, and Victim assisted him back aboard ship. Later, Subject entered Victim's office, began touching her vagina through her clothing, held her down, and penetrated her vagina with his penis. Command determined insufficient evidence to support charges.
344	Aggravated Sexu	CONUS	E-4	Male	Multiple V	Multiple Victims	Q2	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victims #1 and #2 hosted a lingerie party at Victim #1's residence, went to sleep, and were both sexually assaulted by Subject. Forensics results lacked sufficient evidence to support Victims' allegations. Chesapeake Commonwealth Attorney's Office elected to decline prosecution.
345	Aggravated Sexu	CONUS	E-7	Male	E-2	Female	Q2	N/A	Probable Cause for Only Non-Sexual Assault Offense	On-base offense where Subject forced Victim to perform fellatio on him, and then digitally penetrated his anus as he masturbated to ejaculation. Subject found guilty at SpOCM of violating Article 92 (wrongfully engaging in an unduly familiar relationship) and creating a hostile working environment. Charges for violation of Articles 120 and 134 were withdrawn.
346	Wrongful Sexual	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-4	Female	Q1	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense involving alcohol where Subject returned to ship and grabbed Victim's thigh and vagina through her clothing. Subject found guilty of indecent assault at a Summary CM. A Military Protective Order was issued.
347	Aggravated Sexu	CONUS	E-6	Male	E-4	Female	Q1	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Probable Cause for Only Non-Sexual Assault Offense	On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim awoke in duty van and believed she was raped because of soreness of her breasts and vaginal area. Victim signed VPS. Victim and Subject pled guilty to charges of Adultery, Unauthorized Absence and Drunk on Duty during Captain's Mast. Victim will be processed for administrative separation for alcohol rehabilitation failure.
348	Wrongful Sexual	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-2	Male	Q1	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Probable Cause for Only Non-Sexual Assault Offense	On-base offense involving alcohol where Subject grabbed Victim's penis through his clothing. Subject has limited recollection of his actions. Subject found guilty at NJP of assault, and was awarded 45 days restriction, extra duties, reduction in rank to E-2, fined \$1642.00, and received a general discharge from USN.
349	Aggravated Sexu	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-4	Female	Q2	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim went to Subject's residence, laid down on the Subject's bed as she was feeling ill and was told by friend she heard what sounded like consensual sexual intercourse for about 20 minutes. Victim stated she did not wish to pursue this matter any further and signed VPS.
350	Aggravated Sexu	OCONUS	E-5	Male	E-5	Female	Q2	Failure to Obey Order or Regulation	Probable Cause for Only Non-Sexual Assault Offense	On-base offense where Victim and Subject went out to dinner then returned to ship where Subject forcibly penetrated Victim's vagina with his penis. Subject given NJP for violating Article 92 (Failure to Obey a Lawful Order).
351	Slate/Local Sexual Offense Not Specified	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-2	Female	Q1	Slate/Local Sexual Offense Not Specified	Civilian or Foreign Prosecution of Person Subject to UCMJ	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim attended a party, went to sleep in one of the bedrooms, and awoke with her shorts pulled off and Subject performing oral sex on her. Victim kept saying no, while pushing Subject off her. Case forwarded to District Attorney's office (No further information).
352	Aggravated Sexu	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-2	Female	Q1	N/A	Unknown Subject	On-base offense where Victim, while attending training, was grabbed by unknown Subject and he vaginally penetrated her with his penis. Victim could not provide any substantial evidence or description of Subject
353	Aggravated Sexu	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-3	Female	Q1	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim awoke to Subject having sex with her. Navy police responded to disturbance and Victim reported she was having family problems. Subject was interviewed and admitted to having consensual sex with Victim. Polygraph of Subject indicated no deception. Command declined action due to insufficient evidence.
354	Aggravated Sexu	CONUS	E-1	Male	E-1	Female	Q1	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Subject had non-consensual sexual relations with Victim while she was too intoxicated to consent. Witness interviews indicated Victim willingly participated in consensual sex with Subject. Command declined to take any action.
355	Aggravated Sexu	OCONUS	Unknown	Male	E-2	Female	Q2	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim believed she may have been sexually assaulted. She heard a rumor she had sex with a fellow sailor, but had no recollection due to a high level of intoxication. Command concluded an Article 32 will not be conducted.
356	Wrongful Sexual	OCONUS	Unknown	Male	E-3	Female	Q1	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	On-base offense where Subject was massaging Victim's feet and legs, to which Victim consented. Subject tried to put his hand down her shorts but Victim pretended to be asleep. Subject put his hand under her shirt and on her breast. Victim later signed VPS. Command advised Subject would be disciplined for underage drinking.
357	Wrongful Sexual	OCONUS	E-5	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	Other	Probable Cause for Only Non-Sexual Assault Offense	Off-base offense where Victim was approached by Subject who grabbed her by the waist, fondled her breast and put his hand up her skirt, nearly to her buttocks. Subject denied he assaulted Victim. Subject received NJP for violation of Article 128 (Assault).

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Disposition Offense	Case Disposition	Case Synopsis
358	Aggravated Sexual Offense	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-2	Female	Q2	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense involving alcohol where at a party, Victim awoke naked from the waist down and observed an empty condom wrapper on the floor although could not recall having sexual intercourse. Subject admitted raping her. Subject pled guilty at GCM to violations of Article 120 (Aggravated Sexual Assault) and Article 134 (Adultery).
359	State/Local Sexual Offense Not Specified	CONUS	E-1	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Civilian or Foreign Prosecution of Person Subject to UCMJ	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Subject, had come to Victim's friend's residence to drink and socialize. Victim awoke during night and noticed her shorts and panties pulled down and Subject had inserted one of his fingers into her vagina. Local authorities have jurisdiction on the case (no further details).
360	Aggravated Sexual Offense	CONUS	Unknown	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	On-base allegation where Victim was in Subject's barracks room when he lifted up her shirt, touched her breasts against her will, digitally penetrated her vagina, and forced her to have sexual intercourse. Victim later recanted and indicated she did not wish to participate in the investigation and signed a VPS.
361	State/Local Sexual Offense Not Specified	CONUS	E-5	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q3	N/A	Foreign Prosecution of Person Subject to UCMJ	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim awakened to Subject digitally penetrating her vagina. She pushed him away, called friend to come get her, fell back asleep and awoke to Subject having sexual intercourse with her. Subject admitted sexual assault and was arrested by local authorities, but declined to prosecute. Command initiated Subject's administrative separation.
362	Rape	Iraq	Unknown	Male	E-3	Female	Q1	N/A	Unknown Subject	On-base offense where upon finding out she was pregnant, Victim reported she was grabbed and forced to have vaginal intercourse by an unknown Subject. Investigation reveals Victim may have been having consensual sexual relations on base. All investigative leads exhausted.
363	Aggravated Sexual Offense	OCONUS	E-4	Male	E-3	Female	Q1	Multiple Offenses (see synopsis)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim passed out in Subject's barracks room and Subject had sexual intercourse with her. Subject admitted consensual sex with Victim. Subject found guilty at GCM of violating Article 107 (False Official Statement) and Art 120 (Aggravated Sexual Assault & Abusive Sexual Contact).
364	State/Local Sexual Offense Not Specified	CONUS	E-5	Male	E-2	Female	Q1	Other	Civilian or Foreign Prosecution of Person Subject to UCMJ	Off-base offense where Victim detailed three instances where Subject rubbed his erect penis against her. A trial by judge found Subject guilty of Misdemeanor Assault and Battery, and additional charges of Misdemeanor Sexual Battery (2 counts) and Misdemeanor Use of Profane, Threatening or Indecent Language were dismissed.
365	Aggravated Sexual Offense	CONUS	E-1	Male	E-3	Female	Q2	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	Off-base offense where Subject digitally penetrated Victim's vagina, orally stimulated her, and had sexual intercourse with her while she was incapacitated. Victim never consented to sexual relations. Subject admitted consensual sexual contact. No charges preferred due to insufficient evidence.
366	Rape	OCONUS	E-5	Male	E-4	Female	Q1	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	On-base offense where Subject took Victim to his barracks room and Victim refused have sexual intercourse. Subject took her pants off and had nonconsensual sexual intercourse with her twice. Subject admitted consensual sexual intercourse. Command declined prosecution due to lack of corroborating evidence and Subject's discharge from USN.
367	Aggravated Sexual Offense	OCONUS	E-4	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	Other	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim met Subject at bar/club and agreed to return to his hotel room. Subject touched her inappropriately and raped her despite Victim's protests. Victim declined to assist in investigation following her interview. Subject to Disciplinary Review Board received 30 hours Extra Military Instruction for liberty policy violations.
368	Wrongful Sexual Offense	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-5	Male	Q4	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense where Victim awoke realizing someone was groping and massaging his buttocks. Subject denied sexually assaulting Victim but subsequently admitted culpability. Subject found guilty at Summary CM. Administration board pending for review of administrative separation for Subject.
369	Forcible Sodomy	OCONUS	E-5	Male	E-4	Female	Q3	Forcible Sodomy	Nonjudicial Punishment	On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim blacked out and awoke choking because Subject had inserted his penis into her mouth. Victim again blacked out and awoke to Subject anally sodomizing her. Subject admitted to consensual sexual acts. Subject went to NJP and awarded 45 days restriction/extra duty and forfeiture of 1/2 month's pay for 2 months.
370a	Aggravated Sexual Offense	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-3	Female	Q2	N/A	Unknown Subject	Off-base offense where Victim reported to her roommate that she was raped. Victim got into vehicle with two male Marines she met at bar, and was rendered unconscious in back seat of vehicle due to excessive intoxication. Both men had sexual intercourse with her. Investigative efforts failed to identify Subjects.
370b	Aggravated Sexual Offense	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-3	Female	Q2	N/A	Unknown Subject	Off-base offense where Victim reported to her roommate that she was raped. Victim got into vehicle with two male Marines she met at bar, and was rendered unconscious in back seat of vehicle due to excessive intoxication. Both men had sexual intercourse with her. Investigative efforts failed to identify Subjects.

1a. Unrestricted Reports (A-E)

Marine Corps FY10 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN THE MILITARY	
A. FY10 REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT (rape, aggravated sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, wrongful sexual contact, non-consensual sodomy, and attempts to commit these offenses) BY or AGAINST Service Members.	FY10 Totals
# VICTIMS in FY10 Unrestricted Reports	258
# Service Member victims	179
# Non-Service Member victims	79
# Unrestricted Reports in the following categories	254
# Service Member on Service Member	156
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	79
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	5
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	14
# Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault occurring	254
# On military installation	136
# Off military installation	104
# Unidentified location	14
# Investigations Initiated (From FY10 Unrestricted Reports)	254
# Investigations pending completion as of 30-SEP-10	66
# Completed Investigations as of 30-SEP-10	188
# Restricted Reports	56
# Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report*	9
# FY10 RESTRICTED REPORTS REMAINING RESTRICTED	47
B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS IN FY10	FY10 Totals
Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report	254
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	122
# Reports made within 4 to 30 days after sexual assault	51
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	35
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	19
# Unknown	27
Time of sexual assault	254
# Midnight to 6 am	47
# 6 am to 6 pm	11
# 6 pm to midnight	21
# Unknown	175
Day of sexual assault	254
# Sunday	33
# Monday	24
# Tuesday	14
# Wednesday	17
# Thursday	25
# Friday	29
# Saturday	51
# Unknown	61
C. SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATIONS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS (INITIATED AND COMPLETED IN FY10)	FY10 Totals
# Investigations initiated and completed during FY10	188
# Investigations with more than one victim, subject, or both	15
# SUBJECTS in the completed investigations	203
# Your Service Member subjects	184
# Service Member subjects from other Services	1
# Non-Service Member subjects	6
# Unidentified subjects	12
# VICTIMS in the completed investigations	192
# Your Service Member victims	126
# Service Member victims from other Services	9
# Non-Service Member victims	57
# Unknown	0

1a. Unrestricted Reports (A-E)

D. FINAL DISPOSITIONS FOR SUBJECTS IN FY10 INVESTIGATIONS	FY10 Totals
# SUBJECTS in FY10 completed investigations	203
DoD Action Precluded:	41
# Unknown Subjects	10
# Unfounded by Investigative Agency	12
# Civilian or Foreign Authority Prosecutions of Persons Subject to the UCMJ	16
# Civilian or Foreign Authority Prosecutions of Persons NOT Subject to the UCMJ	3
# Total Command Action Precluded or Declined for Sexual Assault	57
# Probable cause for only non-sexual assault offense	5
# Subject deceased or deserted	0
# Victim deceased	0
# Victim declined to participate in the military justice action	17
# Insufficient evidence of any offense	10
# Statute of limitations had expired	1
# Unfounded by Command	2
# Commander declined action pursuant to UCMJ Rules of Courts-Martial 306(c)(1)	22
# Subjects still awaiting command action as of 30-SEP-10	53
# Subjects for whom command action was completed as of 30-SEP-10	52
# Evidence Supports Command Action for the following FY10 Sexual Assault Subjects	52
# Courts-martial charge preferred (Initiated)	29
# Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15 UCMJ)	11
# Administrative discharges	3
# Other administrative actions	9
E. FINAL DISPOSITIONS FOR SUBJECTS IN Pre-FY10 INVESTIGATIONS (Prior year investigations completed in FY10)	FY10 Totals
# Total Number of Investigations from CY04 to FY09 pending completion at the end of FY09 (30-Sep-09)	183
# Pre-FY10 Investigations STILL PENDING completion as of 30-SEP-10	1
# Pre-FY10 Investigations completed of 30-SEP-10	182
# SUBJECTS from Pre-FY10 investigations completed by 30-SEP-10	211
# Final DISPOSITIONS for SUBJECTS from FY04 to FY09 investigations that were completed in FY10	211
DoD Action Precluded:	28
# Unknown Subjects	13
# Unfounded by Investigative Agency	2
# Civilian or Foreign Authority Prosecutions of persons subject to the UCMJ	10
# Civilian or Foreign Authority Prosecutions of Persons NOT Subject to the UCMJ	3
# Total Command Action Precluded or Declined for Sexual Assault	68
# Probable cause for only non-sexual assault offense	5
# Subject deceased or deserted	2
# Victim deceased	0
# Victim declined to participate in the military justice action	26
# Insufficient evidence of any offense	11
# Statute of limitations had expired	0
# Unfounded by Command	1
# Commander declined action pursuant to UCMJ Rules of Courts-Martial 306(c)(1)	23
# Pre-FY10 subjects still awaiting command action as of 30-SEP-10	13
# Pre-FY10 subjects for whom command action was completed as of 30-SEP-10	102
# Evidence Supports Command Action for the following Pre-FY10 Sexual Assault Subjects	102
# Courts-martial charge preferred (Initiated)	79
# Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15 UCMJ)	11
# Administrative discharges	3
# Other administrative actions	9
* Restricted Reports that convert to Unrestricted Reports are counted with the total number of Unrestricted Reports.	

Marine Corps FY10 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT - SERVICE MEMBER STATUS BY GENDER															
F. REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS (BY or AGAINST Service Members) IN THE BELOW CATEGORIES FOR ALL FY10 INVESTIGATIONS (UR)															
	Males on Female	Male on Male	Female on Male	Female on Female	Unknown on Male	Unknown on Female	FY10 Totals								
# Service Member on Service Member	216	28	0	0	1	1	8	294							
# Service Member on Other Service Member	129	24	0	0	1	1	1	156							
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	28	1	0	0	0	0	0	30							
# Identified subject on Service Member	5	2	0	0	0	0	7	14							
# Unidentified subject on Service Member															
FY10 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT BY OFFENSE TYPE															
Pre-FY08 INCIDENTS															
FY08-FY09 INCIDENTS															
FY10 INCIDENTS															
G. REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS (BY or AGAINST Service Members) IN THE FOLLOWING CATEGORIES FOR ALL FY10 INVESTIGATIONS (UR)															
# TOTAL Service Member Victims	2	2	0	0	3	14	0	9	72	1	5	50	13	1	179
# Service Member Victims: Female	2	0	0	0	3	14	0	7	72	1	5	33	2	1	150
# Service Member Victims: Male	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	11	0	29
TIME AND DATE OF OFFENSE TYPE FOR UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT MADE IN FY10															
Time of sexual assault															
# Midnight to 6 am	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 6 am to 6 pm	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 6 pm to midnight	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	3	2	0	0	4	18	0	0	17	0	0	0	0	0	23
Day of Sexual Assault															
# Monday	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Tuesday	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Wednesday	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Thursday	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Saturday	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	2	2	0	0	2	9	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0
DEMOGRAPHICS ON VICTIMS IN COMPLETED FY10 INVESTIGATIONS															
Gender of VICTIMS															
# Male	1	1	0	0	3	14	0	0	10	77	1	6	57	16	1
# Female	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Age of VICTIMS															
# 16-19	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 20-24	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 25-34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 35-44	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 45-54	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 65 and older	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	1	1	0	0	3	14	0	0	10	77	1	6	57	16	1
Grade of VICTIMS															
# E1-E4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# E5-E7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# WO1-WO5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# O1-O3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# O4-O10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# US Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# International/foreign military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Service of VICTIMS															
# Army	1	1	0	0	3	14	0	0	10	77	1	6	57	16	1
# Navy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Air Force	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Marine	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Coast Guard	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# US Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Foreign national/foreign military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Status of VICTIMS															
# Unknown	1	1	0	0	3	14	0	0	10	77	1	6	57	16	1
# Reserve (Activated)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# National Guard (Activated)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# US Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# International/foreign military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

G. REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS (BY or AGAINST Service Members) IN THE FOLLOWING CATEGORIES FOR ALL FY10 INVESTIGATIONS (UR)													FY10 Totals						
BIOGRAPHICS ON SUBJECTS IN COMPLETED FY10 INVESTIGATIONS																			
Rape (Art. 120)	Inchoate Assault (Art. 134)	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	Attempts to Commit these Offenses	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)		
Gender of SUBJECTS																			
1	1	0	0	0	3	19	0	0	5	0	11	84	1	5	56	16	1	203	
# Male																			
1	0	0	0	0	2	18	0	0	4	0	9	83	1	5	55	16	0	194	
# Female																			
0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
# Unknown																			
Age of SUBJECTS																			
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 18-24																			
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 25-34																			
1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	1	13	1	0	15	3	0	37	
# 35-49																			
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 50-64																			
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 65-74																			
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown/Other																			
1	1	0	0	0	3	14	0	0	2	0	7	34	0	0	14	3	0	79	
Grade of SUBJECTS																			
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# E1-E4																			
0	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	0	0	0	3	95	1	5	56	16	1	203	
# E5-E9																			
1	0	0	0	0	1	4	0	0	2	0	3	14	1	0	17	3	0	46	
# WO1-WO5																			
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# O1-O3																			
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# O4-O10																			
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Cadet/Midshipman																			
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# US Civilian																			
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Foreign national/foreign military																			
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown																			
0	1	0	0	0	3	19	0	0	5	0	13	84	1	5	56	16	1	203	
Service of SUBJECTS																			
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Army																			
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Navy																			
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Marines																			
1	0	0	0	0	2	19	0	0	4	0	6	80	1	5	53	12	0	184	
# Air Force																			
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Coast Guard																			
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Foreign national/foreign military																			
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown																			
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Status of SUBJECTS																			
1	1	0	0	0	3	19	0	0	5	0	11	84	1	5	56	16	1	203	
# Active Duty																			
0	0	0	0	0	0	2	17	0	4	0	6	79	1	5	53	13	0	182	
# Reserve (Active)																			
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Reserve (Inactive)																			
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Cadet/Midshipman (Enlisted)																			
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# US Civilian																			
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Foreign national/foreign military																			
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown																			

2. Restricted Reports

Marine Corps FY10 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN THE MILITARY	
A. FY10 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT (rape, aggravated sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, wrongful sexual contact, non-consensual sodomy, and attempts to commit these offenses)	FY10 TOTALS
# Service Member VICTIMS in Restricted Reports	56
# Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report*	9
# FY10 RESTRICTED REPORTS REMAINING RESTRICTED	47
# Reported sexual assaults AGAINST Service Member victims in the following categories	56
# Service Member on Service Member	29
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	10
# Unidentified subject on Service Member	17
# Reported sexual assaults occurring	56
# On military installation	25
# Off military installation	29
# Unidentified location	2
B. INCIDENT DETAILS	FY10 TOTALS
Length of time between sexual assault and Restricted Report	56
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	24
# Reports made within 4 to 30 days after sexual assault	9
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	18
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	5
# Unknown	0
Time of sexual assault incident	56
# Midnight to 6 am	16
# 6 am to 6 pm	1
# 6 pm to midnight	23
# Unknown	16
Day of sexual assault incident	56
# Sunday	5
# Monday	4
# Tuesday	7
# Wednesday	4
# Thursday	5
# Friday	9
# Saturday	22
# Unknown	0
C. RESTRICTED REPORTING - VICTIM SERVICE AFFILIATION	FY10 TOTALS
# VICTIMS	56
# Army victims	1
# Navy victims	8
# Marines victims	47
# Air Force victims	0
# Coast Guard	0
# Unknown	0

2. Restricted Reports

D. DEMOGRAPHICS FOR FY10 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT		FY10 TOTALS
Gender of VICTIMS		56
# Male		11
# Female		45
# Unknown		0
Age of VICTIMS		56
# 16-19		15
# 20-24		30
# 25-34		9
# 35-49		1
# 50-64		0
# 65 and older		0
# Unknown		1
Grade of VICTIMS		56
# E1-E4		46
# E5-E9		7
# WO1-WO5		0
# O1-O3		3
# O4-O10		0
# Cadet/Midshipman		0
# US Civilian		0
# Unknown		0
Status of VICTIMS		56
# Active Duty		53
# Reserve (Activated)		3
# National Guard (Activated)		0
# Cadet/Midshipman		0
# US Civilian		0
# Unknown		0
* The Restricted Reports reports that converted to Unrestricted Reports are counted in the total number of Unrestricted Reports listed in Worksheet 1a, Section A.		

3. Victim Services

Marine Corps FY10 SUPPORT SERVICES FOR VICTIMS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN THE MILITARY	
<i>NOTE: Totals of referrals and military protective orders are for all activities during the reporting period, regardless of when the sexual assault report was made.</i>	
A. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS TO MILITARY VICTIMS FROM UNRESTRICTED REPORTS:	FY10 TOTALS
# Support service referrals for VICTIMS in the following categories	
# MILITARY facilities	273
# Medical	68
# Counseling	87
# Legal	118
# CIVILIAN facilities (Referred by DoD)	47
# Medical	15
# Counseling	32
# Legal	0
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	44
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of victim's exam	0
# Military Victims making an UR that received service referrals for an incident that occurred prior to military service	0
B. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS FOR MILITARY VICTIMS IN RESTRICTED REPORTS:	FY10 TOTALS
# Support service referrals for VICTIMS in the following categories	
# MILITARY facilities	40
# Medical	19
# Counseling	21
# Legal	0
# CIVILIAN facilities (Referred by DoD)	8
# Medical	1
# Counseling	7
# Legal	0
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	7
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of victim's exam	0
# Military Victims making a RR that received service referrals for an incident that occurred prior to military service	0
C. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS TO NON-MILITARY (DOD CIVILIANS, CONTRACTORS, ETC) VICTIMS:	FY10 TOTALS
# Support service referrals for VICTIMS in the following categories	
# MILITARY facilities	18
# Medical	11
# Counseling	7
# Legal	0
# CIVILIAN facilities (Referred by DoD)	58
# Medical	14
# Counseling	14
# Legal	30
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	15
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of victim's exam	0
D. FY10 MILITARY PROTECTIVE ORDERS (MPO)*	FY10 TOTALS
# Military Protective Orders issued due to an FY10 Unrestricted Report of Sexual Assault	100
# Reported MPO Violations in FY10 Completed Investigations	0
# Reported MPO Violations by Subjects	0
# Reported MPO Violations by Victims of Sexual Assault	0
<i>*In accordance with DoD Policy, Military Protective Orders are only issued in Unrestricted Reports. A Restricted Report cannot be made when there is a safety risk to the victim.</i>	

4a. Reports of Sexual Assault in Combat Areas of Interest (Sections A-E)

Marine Corps COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST FY10 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN THE MILITARY	
A. FY10 REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST (CAI) (rape, aggravated sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, wrongful sexual contact, non-consensual sodomy, and attempts to commit these offenses) INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS (BY or AGAINST Service Members).	FY10 Totals
# VICTIMS in FY10 Unrestricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest	6
# Service Member victims	5
# Non-Service Member victims	1
# Unrestricted Reports in the following categories	6
# Service Member on Service Member	1
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	1
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	0
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	4
# Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault occurring	6
# On military installation	6
# Off military installation	0
# Unidentified location	0
# Investigations (From FY10 Unrestricted Reports)	6
# Pending completion as of 30-SEP-10	0
# Completed as of 30-SEP-10	6
# Restricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest	0
# Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report*	0
# FY10 RESTRICTED REPORTS REMAINING RESTRICTED	0
B. FY10 DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY10 Totals
Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report	6
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	3
# Reports made within 4 to 30 days after sexual assault	0
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	3
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	0
# Unknown	0
Time of sexual assault	6
# Midnight to 6 am	2
# 6 am to 6 pm	0
# 6 pm to midnight	0
# Unknown	4
Day of sexual assault	6
# Sunday	0
# Monday	1
# Tuesday	0
# Wednesday	1
# Thursday	2
# Friday	0
# Saturday	1
# Unknown	1
C. SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATIONS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS (INITIATED AND COMPLETED IN FY10) IN CAI	FY10 Totals
# Investigations initiated and completed during FY10	6
# Investigations with more than one victim, subject, or both	0
# SUBJECTS in the completed investigations	6
# Your Service Member subjects	2
# Service Member subjects from other Services	0
# Non-Service Member subjects	0
# Unidentified subjects	4
# VICTIMS in the completed investigations	6
# Your Service Member victims	4
# Service Member victims from other Services	1
# Non-Service Member victims	1
# Unknown	0

4a. Reports of Sexual Assault in Combat Areas of Interest (Sections A-E)

D. FINAL DISPOSITIONS FOR SUBJECTS IN FY10 INVESTIGATIONS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY10 Totals
# SUBJECTS in FY10 completed investigations	6
DoD Action Precluded:	3
# Unknown Subjects	3
# Unfounded by Investigative Agency	0
# Civilian or Foreign Authority Prosecutions of Persons Subject to the UCMJ	0
# Civilian or Foreign Authority Prosecutions of Persons NOT Subject to the UCMJ	0
# Total Command Action Precluded or Declined for Sexual Assault	3
# Probable cause for only non-sexual assault offense	0
# Subject deceased or deserted	0
# Victim deceased	0
# Victim declined to participate in the military justice action	2
# Insufficient evidence of any offense	0
# Statute of limitations had expired	0
# Unfounded by Command	0
# Commander declined action pursuant to UCMJ Rules of Courts-Martial 306(c)(1)***	1
# Subjects pending completion of command action as of 30-SEP-09	0
# Subjects for whom command action was completed as of 30-SEP-10	0
# Evidence Supports Command Action for the following FY10 Sexual Assault Subjects	0
# Courts-martial charge preferred (Initiated)	0
# Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15 UCMJ)	0
# Administrative discharges	0
# Other administrative actions	0
E. FINAL DISPOSITIONS FOR PRE-FY10 SUBJECTS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST (From investigations opened prior to FY10 that were completed in FY10)	FY10 Totals
# Total Number of Investigations from CY04 to FY09 pending completion at the end of FY09	3
# Pre-FY10 Investigations STILL PENDING completion as of 30-SEP-10	0
# Pre-FY10 Investigations completed of 30-SEP-10	3
# SUBJECTS from Pre-FY10 investigations completed as of 30-SEP-10	3
# Final FY10 DISPOSITIONS for SUBJECTS from FY04 to FY09 reports and investigations that were completed in FY10	3
DoD Action Precluded:	1
# Unknown Subjects	1
# Unfounded by Investigative Agency	0
# Civilian or Foreign Authority Prosecutions of persons subject to the UCMJ	0
# Civilian or Foreign Authority Prosecutions of Persons NOT Subject to the UCMJ	0
# Total Command Action Precluded or Declined for Sexual Assault (definitions provided below Section	1
# Probable cause for only non-sexual assault offense	0
# Subject deceased or deserted	0
# Victim deceased	0
# Victim declined to participate in the military justice action	0
# Insufficient evidence of any offense	0
# Statute of limitations had expired	0
# Unfounded by Command	1
# Commander declined action pursuant to UCMJ Rules of Courts-Martial 306(c)(1)	0
# Pre-FY10 subjects still awaiting command action as of 30-SEP-10	0
# Pre-FY10 subjects for whom command action was completed as of 30-SEP-10	1
# Evidence Supports Command Action for the following Pre-FY10 Sexual Assault Subjects	1
# Courts-martial charge preferred (Initiated)	0
# Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15 UCMJ)	1
# Administrative discharges	0
# Other administrative actions	0
* Restricted Reports that convert to Unrestricted Reports are counted with the total number of Unrestricted Reports.	

4b. Unrestricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest (Sections F-H)

Marine Corps COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - FY10 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT - SERVICE MEMBER STATUS BY GENDER						
F. REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS (BY or AGAINST Service Members) IN THE BELOW CATEGORIES FOR ALL FY10 INVESTIGATIONS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	Male on Male	Female on Male	Female on Female	Unknown on Male	Unknown on Female	FY10 Totals
	# Service Member on Service Member	2	1	0	0	0
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	0	0	0	0	0	1
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	1	0	0	0	0	1
# Undefined subject on Service Member	1	0	0	0	0	4

COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - FY10 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT - SERVICE MEMBER STATUS BY SEXUAL ASSAULT TYPE

G. REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS (BY or AGAINST Service Members) IN THE FOLLOWING CATEGORIES FOR ALL FY10 INVESTIGATIONS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY10 INCIDENTS										FY10 Totals			
	Rape (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134)	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	Attempts to Commit these Offenses	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)
# Service Member on Service Member	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Undefined subject on Service Member	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# TOTAL Service Member Victims	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
# Service Member Victims: Female	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Service Member Victims: Male	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

TIME OF INCIDENT BY OFFENSE TYPE FOR UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT MADE IN FY10

Time of sexual assault	Rape (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134)	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	Attempts to Commit these Offenses	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)
# Midnight to 6 am	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 6 am to 6 pm	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 6 pm to midnight	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

DAY OF WEEK BY OFFENSE TYPE FOR UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT MADE IN FY10

Day of week	Rape (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134)	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	Attempts to Commit these Offenses	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)
# Sunday	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Monday	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Tuesday	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Wednesday	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Thursday	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Friday	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Saturday	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

DEMOGRAPHICS ON VICTIMS IN COMPLETED FY10 INVESTIGATIONS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST

Gender of VICTIMS	Rape (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134)	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	Attempts to Commit these Offenses	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)
# Male	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Female	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Age of VICTIMS	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 16-19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 20-24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 25-34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 35-49	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 50-64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 65 and older	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grade of VICTIMS	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# E1-E4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# E5-E6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# WO1-WO5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# O1-O3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# O4-O10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Career/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# US Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Foreign national/foreign military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Service of VICTIMS	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Army	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Navy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Marine	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Air Force	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Coast Guard	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# US Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Foreign national/foreign military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Status of VICTIMS	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Active Duty	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Reserve (Activated)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# National Guard (Activated)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Career/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# US Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Foreign national/foreign military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

4b. Unrestricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest (Sections F-H)

G. REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS (BY or AGAINST Service Members) IN THE FOLLOWING CATEGORIES FOR ALL FY10 INVESTIGATIONS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST												
DEMOGRAPHICS ON SUBJECTS IN COMPLETED FY10 INVESTIGATIONS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST												
	Rape (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134)	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	Attempts to Commit these Offenses	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	Attempts to Commit these Offenses (Art. 80)
Gender of SUBJECTS												
# Male	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Female	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Age of SUBJECTS												
# 15-19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 20-24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 25-34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 35-49	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 50-64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 65 and older	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grade of SUBJECTS												
# E1-E4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# E5-E9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# O1-O3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# O4-O10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# US Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Foreign national/foreign military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Service of SUBJECTS												
# Army	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Navy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Marines	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Air Force	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Coast Guard	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# US Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Foreign national/foreign military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Status of SUBJECTS												
# Active Duty	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Reserve (Activated)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# National Guard (Activated)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# US Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Foreign national/foreign military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FY10 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Arabian Peninsula, Iraq & Red Sea	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bahrain	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Iran	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jordan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lebanon	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Syria	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yemen	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Djibouti	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Egypt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kuwait	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Oman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Qatar	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Saudi Arabia	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
United Arab Emirates	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Central and South Asia												
Iran	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kyrgyzstan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pakistan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Alghamistan												
TOTAL UNRESTRICTED REPORTS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FY08-FY09 INCIDENTS												
Rape (Art. 120)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Indecent Assault (Art. 134)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Attempts to Commit these Offenses	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rape (Art. 120)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Attempts to Commit these Offenses (Art. 80)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rape (Art. 120)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Attempts to Commit these Offenses (Art. 80)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FY10 INCIDENTS												
Rape (Art. 120)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Indecent Assault (Art. 134)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Attempts to Commit these Offenses	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rape (Art. 120)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Attempts to Commit these Offenses (Art. 80)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rape (Art. 120)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Attempts to Commit these Offenses (Art. 80)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FY10 Totals	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

5a. Restricted Reports of Sexual Assault in Combat Areas of Interest (Sections A-D)

Marine Corps COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST (CAI) FY10 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN THE MILITARY	
A. FY10 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT (rape, aggravated sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, wrongful sexual contact, non-consensual sodomy, and attempts to commit these offenses)	FY10 Totals
# Restricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest	0
# Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report*	0
# FY10 RESTRICTED REPORTS REMAINING RESTRICTED	0
# Reported sexual assaults AGAINST Service Member victims in the following categories	0
# Service Member on Service Member	0
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	0
# Unidentified subject on Service Member	0
# Reported sexual assaults occurring	0
# On military installation	0
# Off military installation	0
# Unidentified location	0
B. CAI INCIDENT DETAILS	FY10 TOTALS
Length of time between sexual assault and Restricted Report	0
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	0
# Reports made within 4 to 30 days after sexual assault	0
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	0
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	0
# Unknown	0
Time of sexual assault incident	0
# Midnight to 6 am	0
# 6 am to 6 pm	0
# 6 pm to midnight	0
# Unknown	0
Day of sexual assault incident	0
# Sunday	0
# Monday	0
# Tuesday	0
# Wednesday	0
# Thursday	0
# Friday	0
# Saturday	0
# Unknown	0
C. CAI RESTRICTED REPORTS - VICTIM SERVICE AFFILIATION	FY10 TOTALS
# VICTIMS	0
# Army	0
# Air Force	0
# Navy	0
# Marines	0
# Coast Guard	0
# Unknown	0

5a. Restricted Reports of Sexual Assault in Combat Areas of Interest (Sections A-D)

D. CAI DEMOGRAPHICS FOR FY10 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	FY10 TOTALS
Gender of VICTIMS	0
# Male	0
# Female	0
# Unknown	0
Age of VICTIMS	0
# 16-19	0
# 20-24	0
# 25-34	0
# 35-49	0
# 50-64	0
# 65 and older	0
# Unknown	0
Grade of VICTIMS	0
# E1-E4	0
# E5-E9	0
# WO1-WO5	0
# O1-O3	0
# O4-O10	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	0
# US Civilian	0
# Unknown	0
Status of VICTIMS	0
# Active Duty	0
# Reserve (Activated)	0
# National Guard (Activated)	0
# US Civilian	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	0
# Unknown	0
* The Restricted Reports reports that converted to Unrestricted Reports are counted in the total number of Unrestricted Reports listed in Worksheet 4a, Section A.	

5b. Restricted Reports of Sexual Assault in Combat Areas of Interest (Section E)

Marine Corps COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - LOCATION OF FY10 RESTRICTED REPORTS	
E. TOTAL # FY10 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST -RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	FY10 Totals
<i>TOTAL RESTRICTED ASSAULTS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST</i>	0
Arabian Peninsula, Iraq & Red Sea	
Bahrain	0
Iraq	0
Jordan	0
Lebanon	0
Syria	0
Yemen	0
Djibouti	0
Egypt	0
Kuwait	0
Oman	0
Qatar	0
Saudi Arabia	0
United Arab Emirates	0
Central and South Asia	
Iran	0
Kyrgyzstan	0
Pakistan	0
Afghanistan	0

6. Support Services for Victims of Sexual Assault in Combat Areas of Interest

Marine Corps COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST: FY10 SUPPORT SERVICES FOR VICTIMS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN THE MILITARY	
<i>NOTE: Totals of referrals and military protective orders are for all activities during the reporting period, regardless of when the sexual assault report was made.</i>	
A. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS FOR MILITARY VICTIMS IN UNRESTRICTED REPORTS:	FY10 TOTALS
# Support service referrals for VICTIMS in the following categories	
# MILITARY facilities	10
# Medical	2
# Counseling	3
# Legal	5
# CIVILIAN facilities (Referred by DoD)	0
# Medical	0
# Counseling	0
# Legal	0
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	0
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of victim's exam	0
# Military Victims in UR that received service referrals for an incident that occurred prior to military service	0
B. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS FOR MILITARY VICTIMS IN RESTRICTED REPORTS:	FY10 TOTALS
# Support service referrals for VICTIMS in the following categories	
# MILITARY facilities	0
# Medical	0
# Counseling	0
# Legal	0
# CIVILIAN facilities (Referred by DoD)	0
# Medical	0
# Counseling	0
# Legal	0
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	0
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of victim's exam	0
# Military Victims in RR that received service referrals for an incident that occurred prior to military service	0
C. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS FOR NON-MILITARY (DOD CIVILIANS, CONTRACTORS, ETC) VICTIMS:	FY10 TOTALS
# Support service referrals for VICTIMS in the following categories	
# MILITARY facilities	0
# Medical	0
# Counseling	0
# Legal	0
# CIVILIAN facilities (Referred by DoD)	0
# Medical	0
# Counseling	0
# Legal	0
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	0
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of victim's exam	0
D. FY10 MILITARY PROTECTIVE ORDERS (MPO)*	FY10 TOTALS
# Military Protective Orders issued due to an FY10 Unrestricted Report of Sexual Assault	0
# Reported MPO Violations in FY10 Completed Investigations	0
# Reported MPO Violations by Subjects	0
# Reported MPO Violations by Victims of Sexual Assault	0
<i>*In accordance with DoD Policy, Military Protective Orders are only issued in Unrestricted Reports. A Restricted Report cannot be made when there is a safety risk to the victim.</i>	

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Disposition Offense	Case Disposition	Case Synopsis
1	Wrongful Sexual Contact	CONUS	E-6	Male	E-5	Female	Q2	Perjury/False Official Statement/False Swearing	Probable Cause for Only Non-Sexual Assault Offense	On-base offense where victim reported waking up to subject founding her breast and vagina. Subject stayed at residence as a house-guest only. Subject made admissions to indecently assaulting victim. This was a joint investigation with AFOSI. Subject found guilty at NJP of two counts of Art 107 (False Official Statements).
2	Forcible Sodomy	CONUS	E-7	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q3	N/A	Commander Declined Action IAW UCMJ RCM 306c1	On-base offense involving alcohol where Subject forced Victim to perform fellatio on him in garage of her home. Command decided to take no action against Subject due to lack of evidence.
3	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-5	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	Other	Nonjudicial Punishment	On-base offense where Victim initially reported she was raped by Subject, but later stated they had consensual sex. Victim maintained friendly and secretive contact with the subject following incident. Subject received NJP for Art 134.
4	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-5	Female	Q4	N/A	Adverse Administrative Action	Off-base offense where Victim was "cuddling" with Subject in hotel on Marine Corps sponsored trip when Victim awoke to Subject digitally penetrating her vagina and trying to remove her pants. Subject denied culpability. Command accomplished formal counseling in lieu of formal charges. Form 6105 was placed into Subject's personnel records.
5	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-2	Male	E-3	Female	Q4	N/A	Commander Declined Action IAW UCMJ RCM 306c1	Off-base offense, in a hotel involving alcohol, where victim felt she did not have control of her body while Subject was on top of her performing sexual intercourse. Victim was discharged from the USN due to mental health issues. Command and RLSD recommended no prosecutorial action against the Subject (NFI).
6	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Nonjudicial Punishment	On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim was seen by friend engaging in sexual intercourse with Subject. Victim stated she "doesn't remember anything." Subject admitted to being intoxicated and having consensual sex with Victim. Subject was found guilty at NJP of violating Art 134 (Adultery) and Art 92 (Underage drinking).
7	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-2	Female	Q2	N/A	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim returned from a Club, entered barracks room she believed belonged to her friend, and was raped by Subject. Subject admitted raping Victim after she said "no" and "stop" several times. Subject was acquitted of violation of Art 120 (Rape) and Art 128 (Assault) by a GCM.
8	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-1	Female	Q2	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense involving alcohol where an Eye witness reported Subject penetrating incapacitated Victim in the back of Subject's vehicle. Subject was found guilty at GCM for Art 120. Prior to trial, Subject pled guilty to violations of Art 89, Art 90, Art 91, Art 95, Art 128, and Art 134 for other acts committed during the evening.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Subject Grade	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Disposition Offense	Case Disposition	Case Synopsis
9	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q4	N/A	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense where Victim was forced into Subject's room where he removed her clothing, penetrated her vagina with his penis, and strangled her using his hands and body weight. Subject denied raping Victim. Evidence collected was insufficient to determine if intercourse was nonconsensual. Investigating Officer at Article 32 hearing recommended dismissing all charges.	
10	Wrongful Sexual Contact	OCONUS	E-5	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	Disorderly Conduct/Drunkenness	Nonjudicial Punishment	On-base offense involving alcohol where Subject groped Victim's breast and buttocks while at Sports Bar. Subject stated he had no recollection of events. Subject received Battalion level NJP for violating Art 134 (Drunkness-Incapacitation for performance of duties through prior wrongful indulgence in intoxicating liquor or any drug).	
11	Wrongful Sexual Contact	OCONUS	E-6	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	N/A	Commander Declined Action IAW UCMJ RCM 306c1	Off-base offense where Subject indecently assaulted Victim by rubbing her inner thigh several times, while whispering sexually explicit comments. Subject denied culpability. Multiple attempts to contact Victim to clarify pertinent details were made, however, each attempt met with negative contact. Command related no action is being taken against Subject.	
12	Forcible Sodomy	OCONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Male	Q4	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense where Victim was forcibly sodomized by Subject, while he slept in his barracks room. Subject admitted to masturbating, performing oral sex, digitally penetrating Victim's anus, and photographing Victim's penis while Victim slept. Subject pled and was found guilty at GCM for violating Art 120 (Abusive sexual contact) and Art 125 (Forcible Sodomy).	
13	Wrongful Sexual Contact	CONUS	Unknown	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	On-base offense where Victim, a taxicab driver, picked up Subject and drove him to his on-base barracks residence. Once there, instead of paying cab fare, Subject grabbed her breast and ran. Investigation was closed due to the inability to reestablish communication with Victim to develop further details, including identification of Subject.	
14	Rape	CONUS	E-2	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	N/A	Civilian or Foreign Prosecution of Person Subject to UCMJ	Off-base offense where Weld County Sheriff's Office related Victim was raped by Subject while he was home on leave. Subject stated the sexual contact between he and victim was consensual. Investigation closed; no further details.	
15	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Bahrain	E-5	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q4	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim ended up on Subject's bed, where she stated "No, I don't want to do this." Victim woke up fully clothed without her underwear on. Victim submitted a letter to Command indicating she no longer wished to participate in the investigation. Command withdrew all charges.	
16	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-1	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	State/Local Sexual Offense Not Specified	Civilian or Foreign Prosecution of Person Subject to UCMJ	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim met Subject at a club for the first time, and agreed to drive Subject to his hotel. Victim did not feel well. Once in the room, Subject sexually assaulted Victim. Subject was arrested on the scene, and incarcerated in the Floyd County Jail pending criminal proceedings.	

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Subject Grade	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Disposition Offense	Case Disposition	Case Synopsis
17	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-6	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	N/A	Commander Declined Action IAW UCMJ RCM 306c1	Off-base offense involving alcohol where after PT session, Victim went to Subject's residence and had sexual intercourse with Subject. Victim didn't believe she gave consent and remembers telling the subject "no" or "stop." Subject denied culpability. Command reviewed the case for merit and determined not to pursue administrative/judicial action.	
18	Forcible Sodomy	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-1	Male	Q4	Other	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense where Victim, while in an inmate status, was forcefully sodomized by Subject. Subject admitted to masturbating in Victim's cell, while making Victim watch. Subject plead guilty at Summary Court-Martial to violating Article 92 (Dereliction of Duty), and is in the process of being administratively discharged from USMC.	
19	Wrongful Sexual Contact	CONUS	E-5	Male	E-2	Male	Q3	Other	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	Off-base offense where Subject was arrested by local authorities, accused of fondling Victim's genitals. Subject denied culpability. The DA released prosecutorial jurisdiction to the Office of the Staff Judge Advocate. Subject plead guilty at Summary Courts-Martial of Art 128 (Assault).	
20	Aggravated Sexual Assault	OCONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Male	Q3	N/A	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense where Victim fell asleep in her barracks room with Subject present. Victim awoke to Subject engaged in a sexual act with her. Subject stated the sexual act was consensual. Charges were withdrawn against Subject subsequent to Victim's refusal to testify at Art 32 hearing.	
21	Forcible Sodomy	CONUS	E-2	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	State/Local Sexual Offense Not Specified	Administrative Discharge	Off-base offense Victim was forcefully sodomized by Subject at her residence. Subject was subsequently apprehended by Wayne County Sheriff's Office, and administratively separated from USMC due to his pending civilian charges.	
22	Aggravated Sexual Assault	OCONUS	E-4	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense involving alcohol where Subject initiated physical intimacy by pulling her sweatpants away from her body, feeling her pubic region, and digitally penetrating her vagina. Subject admitted to having consensual sex with Victim. Subject convicted at Summary Courts-Martial and found guilty of Art 134 (Adultery) & Art 92 (Sex in Barracks/Possession Liquor/Fraternalization).	
23	Indecent Assault (Prior to FY08)	CONUS	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Male	Q2	N/A	Statute of Limitations Expired	On-base offense where Victim was awaiting administrative separation Marine's above him "hogtied" him and left him on the floor in excess of twenty-four (24) hours. Victim also reported several other atrocities committed against him. Case closed due to expiration of Statute of limitations.	
24	Aggravated Sexual Assault	OCONUS	E-4	Male	Foreign National	Female	Q3	N/A	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense where Victim was pulled out of club by Subject and taken to his vehicle where he had forcible sex with her. Subject admitted having consensual sex with Victim. Victim signed VPS. Subject refused NJP for adultery and was referred to trial by Courts-Martial. All charges against Subject withdrawn without prejudice at Special Court Martial.	
25	Wrongful Sexual Contact	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Female	Q2	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	On-base offense where Victim and Subject returned from a movie to the Subject's barracks room wherein, Subject partially removed his pants and began pushing his penis against Victim over her underwear. Prosecution was not pursued due to victim's refusal to participate in investigation.	

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Subject Grade	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Disposition Offense	Case Disposition	Case Synopsis
26	Wrongful Sexual Contact	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-2		Female	Q3	Failure to Obey Order or Regulation	Nonjudicial Punishment	On-base offense where Victim allowed Subject, duty NCO, to sleep in a vacant bed in her barracks room. Victim allowed Subject to lay with her, where he sexually assaulted her, despite her saying "no". Command administered NJP to Subject for violations of Article 92 (Failure to obey order or regulation).
27	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Afghanistan	Unknown	Unknown	O-4		Female	Q3	N/A	Unknown Subject	On-base offense where Victim awoke to Subject touching her breasts on top of her clothing, and attempting to unbutton her blouse. Victim claimed to have a "needle wound to the gluteus," where she could have been injected with an unknown substance. Investigation closed due to the lack of an identified subject.
28	Wrongful Sexual Contact	OCONUS	E-3	Male	E-2		Female	Q3	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Nonjudicial Punishment	On-base offense where Victim, while sleeping in her barracks room, was kissed and her breasts and vagina groped by Subject. Subject caused Victim to scream, waking her boyfriend, who chased Subject catching him. Subject pled and was found guilty at NJP of Article 92, Article 117, Article 134 (Indecent Assault), and Article 134 (Unlawful Entry).
29	Wrongful Sexual Contact	OCONUS	E-4	Male	E-2		Female	Q3	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense where Victim accompanied Subject to his home, wherein Subject attempted to perform oral sex on Victim. Subject admitted to touching Victim on the vagina with his hand but not with his mouth. Subject found guilty at Summary Courts-Martial of Art 92 (Sex in Barracks, Liquor in Barracks, and Fraternization) and Art 134 (Adultery).
30	Aggravated Sexual Assault	OCONUS	E-5	Male	US Civilian		Female	Q4	Other	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim stayed at friend's house and Subject had nonconsensual sex with her. Victim stated she might have been drugged. Charges for the Subject were dismissed at an Article 32 hearing and he was later found guilty at NJP of Article 134 (Adultery).
31	Rape	CONUS	E-4	Male	US Civilian		Female	Q3	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	Off-base offense where Victim was raped in Subject's apartment sometime in Aug 2009. Victim had been romantically involved with Subject prior to the sexual assault. Civilian authorities declined to prosecute due to lack of merit. Military Justice Officer issued a written declination of prosecution.
32	Abusive Sexual Contact	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-3		Female	Q4	N/A	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense where Victim awoke, in her barracks room, with Subject in her bed fondling the exterior of her vagina inside her underwear. Subject was interrogated and elected to remain silent. Charges against Subject were referred to General Court Martial and he was subsequently found not guilty of all charges.
33a	Wrongful Sexual Contact	CONUS	E-5	Male	E-3		Female	Q4	Other	Civilian or Foreign Prosecution of Person Subject to UCMJ	Off-base offense where Victim gave Subjects a ride, when they sexually assaulted her. No further information about assault was provided by San Diego PD. Both Subjects initially entered not guilty pleas, but later plead guilty to simple battery.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Subject Grade	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Disposition Offense	Case Disposition	Case Synopsis
33b	Wrongful Sexual Contact	CONUS	E-5	Male	E-3		Female	Q4	Other	Civilian or Foreign Prosecution of Person Subject to UCMJ	Off-base offense where Victim gave Subjects a ride, when they sexually assaulted her. No further information about assault was provided by San Diego PD. Both Subjects initially entered not guilty pleas, but later plead guilty to simple battery.
34	Forcible Sodomy	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-2		Male	Q3	Forcible Sodomy	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	Off-base offense involving alcohol in a hotel where Victim was orally copulated by Subject after Victim passed out following USMC Ball. Subject was brought before a Special Court-martial wherein Subject pled guilty to violating one count of Article 125 (Forcible Sodomy).
35	Rape	CONUS	Unknown	Unknown	E-4		Female	Q2	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim was stopped and questioned about driving under the influence. Victim stated she was just raped at her residence by an unknown male. The Oceanside Police Department assumed investigative jurisdiction and subsequently closed investigation due to lack of evidence and Victim's unwillingness to cooperate with investigation.
36a	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-3	Male		US Civilian	Female	Q4	Other	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense where Victim was with Subject #1 & #2 at Subject #2's barracks room. Victim was vaginally penetrated from behind by Subject #1, followed by Subject #2. Subject #1 admitted engaging in consensual sex with Victim. Subject #2 denied any sexual contact with Victim. Subject #1 pled guilty to violations of Article 112a, and charges against Subject #2 were withdrawn at Article 32 hearing.
36b	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-4	Male		US Civilian	Female	Q4	N/A	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense where Victim was with Subject #1 & #2 at Subject #2's barracks room. Victim was vaginally penetrated from behind by Subject #1, followed by Subject #2. Subject #1 admitted engaging in consensual sex with Victim. Subject #2 denied any sexual contact with Victim. Subject #1 pled guilty to violations of Article 112a, and charges against Subject #2 were withdrawn at Article 32 hearing.
37	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-2		Female	Q2	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim remembers Subject on top of her in bed and both were naked. Victim later signed a VPS, not wishing to participate further. Jacksonville PD closed their case since Victim refused to cooperate. SJA advised Victim's command declined to prosecute.
38	Wrongful Sexual Contact	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-2		Female	Q2	N/A	Commander Declined Action IAW UCMJ RCM 306c1	On-base offense involving alcohol where Subject entered Victim's barracks room through an unlocked door. Subject attempted to kiss her and fondle her breast. No interrogation of the subject was conducted. Command related no action would be taken as the subject was scheduled to go on terminal leave.
39	Aggravated Sexual Assault	OCONUS	E-3	Male		US Civilian	Female	Q3	N/A	Civilian or Foreign Prosecution of Person Subject to UCMJ	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim departed New Year's Eve party with Subject and unknown female. Victim was in bed of Subject's truck while unknown female straddled her chest. Subject was having sexual intercourse with her and the female covered her mouth. Honolulu PD closed investigation due to lack of evidence.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Subject Grade	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Disposition Offense	Case Disposition	Case Synopsis
40	Wrongful Sexual Contact	OCONUS	E-5	Male	E-2	Female	Q2	N/A	Commander Declined Action IAW UCMJ RCM 306c1	Off-base offense where Victim awoke to Subject kissing her and removing her panties. Victim asked Subject to leave and he complied. Subject denied culpability. Command decided not to take action, no further information given.	
41	Wrongful Sexual Contact	OCONUS	E-7	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Adverse Administrative Action	Off-base offense where Subject grabbed Victim's buttocks. As a result, Victim and the Victim's husband started fighting the Subject. Subject was given a Letter of Caution by his command.	
42	Wrongful Sexual Contact	OCONUS	E-4	Male	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims	Q3	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Adverse Administrative Action	On-base offense involving alcohol where Subject was sexually assaulting Victims by grabbing their buttocks and making other unwanted physical and verbal sexual advances during a party. The subject was interrogated and denied making any sexual advances towards the above victims. Subject received counseling.	
43	Wrongful Sexual Contact	OCONUS	E-2	Female	E-2	Female	Q4	N/A	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	Off-base offense where Victim's breast was fondled by Subject and he attempted to put his hands down her pants. Witnesses reported Subject bragged that he "made out" with Victim. Subject pled not guilty, and was found not guilty, of having violated Article 120 (Wrongful Sexual Contact) at GCM	
44	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-6	Female	Q2	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim awoke in hotel room following USMC Ball feeling like she had sex, but could not recall details. Victim heard Subject's voice in her office and identified Subject. Subject admitted having consensual sex. Victim signed a no prosecution letter. Legal advised no punitive action would be taken.	
45	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-4	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q3	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Subject removed Victim's pants, performed oral sex on Victim, and vaginally penetrated Victim with his penis against Victim's will. Subject stated the sexual encounter was consensual. Victim subsequently signed a VPS. SJA declined prosecution and advised no judicial/administrative actions would be taken against Subject.	
46	Wrongful Sexual Contact	CONUS	E-5	Male	E-2	Female	Q4	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Adverse Administrative Action	On-base offense involving alcohol where Subject followed Victim into her barracks room following a party. Subject shoved his hand down her pants and inside her underwear, where he touched her vagina. A Competency Review Board determined Subject was professionally incompetent, reduced to E-4, and considered non-eligible for promotion for six months.	
47	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-4	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q3	State/Local Sexual Offense Not Specified	Civilian or Foreign Prosecution of Person Subject to UCMJ	Off-base offense where Victim reported being raped by Subject at her residence. NCIS provided limited assistance to local authorities who may pursue prosecution of Subject. XO reported no further assistance was needed from NCIS regarding this investigation. No further information given.	

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Disposition Offense	Case Disposition	Case Synopsis
48	Forcible Sodomy	CONUS	E-1	Male	E-2	Male	Q4	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense involving drugs and alcohol where Victim became incapacitated and was sodomized by Subject in Subject's bathroom. Subject stated the sodomy was consensual. Subject was found guilty at a GCM of Article 108, Article 112(a), Article 125, Article 134 (Drunk and Disorderly Conduct), and Article 92 (providing alcohol to a minor) (wrongfully possessing a glass smoking pipe).
49	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-4	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim blacked out and may have had sexual relations with Subject. Victim signed VPS and refused to cooperate. Suspect stated he was about to have consensual sex with Victim, when Victim's husband interrupted them. Investigation closed due to Victim's lack of cooperation.
50	Wrongful Sexual Contact	OCONUS	E-4	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Adverse Administrative Action	On-base offense where Subject made several advances towards Victim, including attempting to kiss her multiple times and grabbing her buttocks. Subject was given formal counseling with an adverse page 11 entry for inappropriate conduct as a Non-Commissioned Officer (NCO) and use of his position in an inappropriate manner.
51	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	N/A	Civilian or Foreign Prosecution of Person Subject to UCMJ	Off-base offense where Subject forcefully began to kiss and digitally penetrate Victim's vagina. Subject also performed oral sex on Victim, and forced Victim to perform oral sex on him. Subject denied culpability. Havelock PD stated investigation was closed due to lack of physical evidence and investigative leads
52	Wrongful Sexual Contact	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-3	Female	Q4	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense where spouse of Subject witnessed Subject digitally penetrate Victim in their residence. Victim did not recall much of the event. DNA analysis on Victim's panties did not disclose Subject's DNA. Sexual assault charge was dismissed at GCM, and the remaining charges pertained to Article 92 and Article 128.
53	Wrongful Sexual Contact	CONUS	E-5	Male	E-1	Male	Q3	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense where Subject, Victim's Drill Instructor, fondled Victim's penis, and forced Victim to video himself urinating and masturbating. Subject pled and was found guilty of Article 120 (Indecent Conduct), Article 134 (Impeding an Investigation), Article 107 (Making a False Official Statement), and Article 92 (Failing to Obey a Lawful General Order).
54	Aggravated Sexual Assault	OCONUS	E-2	Male	E-2	Female	Q4	N/A	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense where Victim awoke in her barracks room to Subject on top of her engaged in a sexual act without Victim's consent. A witness in the room corroborated the Victim's allegation. Subject was found not guilty at General Court-Martial of one violation of Article 120 (Wrongful sexual act).
55	Attempt to Commit Crime	Iraq	Unknown	Unknown	E-3	Female	Q3	N/A	Unknown Subject	On-base offense where Victim was held at knifepoint while unknown Subject sexually assaulted her. Subject fled the scene when a vehicle approached. The sweatshirt the Victim was wearing at the time of the assault was seized, but did not yield sufficient evidence to identify a suspect.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Disposition Offense	Case Disposition	Case Synopsis
56a	Rape	CONUS	US Civilian	Male	E-2	Female	Q2	N/A	Unknown Subject	Off-base offense where Victim reported she was kidnapped by a cab driver and accomplice, held at a hotel for 3 days and raped by both. Victim decided not to cooperate with the investigation and signed a VPS.
56b	Rape	CONUS	US Civilian	Male	E-2	Female	Q2	N/A	Unknown Subject	Off-base offense where Victim reported she was kidnapped by a cab driver and accomplice, held at a hotel for 3 days and raped by both. Victim decided not to cooperate with the investigation and signed a VPS.
57	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim was approached by Subject with whom she conversed and the next thing Victim recalled was waking in motel experiencing vaginal pain. Jacksonville PD stated they closed case due to insufficient evidence and the lack of Victim cooperation. Command stated no further investigative measures were required.
58	Wrongful Sexual Contact	OCONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	N/A	Commander Declined Action IAW UCMJ RCM 306c1	On-base offense where Subject fondled Victim's breasts and genital area over her clothes while Victim was sleeping on sofa at Subject's residence. Subject denied culpability. Command indicated no action would be taken with respect to the sexual assault allegation, but would administratively separate Subject for an overall pattern of misconduct.
59	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim awoke to Subject digitally penetrating her vagina. Victim decided not pursue adjudication, thus case closed.
60	Wrongful Sexual Contact	OCONUS	E-3	Male	E-2	Male	Q3	N/A	Commander Declined Action IAW UCMJ RCM 306c1	Off-Base offense involving alcohol where Subject knocked Victim to the ground and grabbed his penis. Subject was in mental ward in hospital and medically evacuated to Wounded Warrior BN. Command decided not to pursue.
61	Forcible Sodomy	CONUS	US Civilian	Male	E-3	Male	Q2	N/A	Civilian or Foreign Prosecution of Person Not Subject to UCMJ	Off-base offense where Victim, after having cup of tea prepared by Subject, fell asleep and awoke to Subject performing fellatio on him. Morehead City PD related there are numerous inconsistencies in Victim's allegations and Subject's statement. MCPD related criminal charges against Subject are not likely based on the current evidence.
62	Rape	CONUS	E-6	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q3	N/A	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	Off-base offense where Subject forcibly raped Victim. Subject admitted having rough sexual intercourse with Victim, which was their norm. An Article 32 hearing failed to find sufficient weight of evidence to pursue criminal prosecution of subject. All charges were dismissed.
63	Wrongful Sexual Contact	CONUS	W-3	Male	E-5	Female	Q4	N/A	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	Off-base offense, while at Subject's residence, Subject fondled Victim's vagina by placing his hands down Victim's pants but outside her underwear. SJAOC advised charges of indecent assault involving Victim had been added to the charges against Subject for upcoming Article 32 hearing. Investigating Officer forwarded the charges to a General Court-Martial (GCM) where Subject was acquitted.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Disposition Offense	Case Disposition	Case Synopsis
64a	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-5	Male	E-1	Female	Q4	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense where Victim was raped by each Subject. Victim informed both Subjects she was uncomfortable participating in sexual intercourse, and felt pressured to have sex because Subjects were non-commissioned officers. At Summary Court Martial, both Subjects pled guilty to violations of Articles 92 (Failure to obey order or regulation), 125 (Sodomy), and 134 (Drunk and disorderly conduct).
64b	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-1	Female	Q4	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense where Victim was raped by each Subject. Victim informed both Subjects she was uncomfortable participating in sexual intercourse, and felt pressured to have sex because Subjects were non-commissioned officers. At Summary Court Martial, both Subjects pled guilty to violations of Articles 92 (Failure to obey order or regulation), 125 (Sodomy), and 134 (Drunk and disorderly conduct).
65	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-5	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	State/Local Sexual Offense Not Specified	Civilian or Foreign Prosecution of Person Subject to UCMJ	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Subject invited 17 yo recruit (Victim) to his home, and had sexual intercourse with her. Victim became pregnant, but later miscarried. Subject was arrested and pending adjudication with local authorities (NFI).
66	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-5	Male	E-5	Female	Q3	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Subject offered to take Victim home, but took her to his residence and had sexual intercourse with her instead. Local authorities closed investigation when Victim related she did not want to pursue investigation. Command reported no action would be pursued due to lack of Victim's cooperation.
67	Wrongful Sexual Contact	CONUS	Unknown	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	On-base offense where Subject entered the female locker room area, exited a bathroom stall, and grazed Victim's bare buttocks. Later, Victim indicated perhaps it was the male's clothing that touched her and not his hand. Legal Services indicated no charges would be preferred due to insufficient evidence.
68	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Female	Q4	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Subject engaged Victim in sexual intercourse while Victim was incapacitated. Subject was arrested, but the District Attorney determined the elements of CPC 261 (Rape) could not be established and, charges against Subject were not pursued. No administrative/judicial action by command was taken against Subject.
69	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-2	Male	E-2	Female	Q3	N/A	Commander Declined Action IAW UCMJ RCM 306c1	Off-base offense where Subject digitally penetrated Victim's vagina despite her protestations. Subject invoked right to a lawyer. Local authorities dismissed case. Executive Officer informed no administrative or judicial action would be taken against Subject.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Disposition Offense	Case Disposition	Case Synopsis
70	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-2	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q4	State/Local Sexual Offense Not Specified	Administrative Discharge	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Subject was discovered nude and on top of Victim who was unconscious. Subject admitted digitally penetrating Victim, but denied penile penetration. Florida State's Attorney assumed case for prosecution. XO advised Subject had been administratively separated from USMC due to being charged with a serious offense.
71	Wrongful Sexual Contact	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-5	Female	Q2	N/A	Commander Declined Action IAW UCMJ RCM 306c1	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Subject inappropriately touched Victim on her buttocks at a New Year's Eve party. Subject admitted culpability for touching the buttocks of Victim and two unidentified females while at the party. Legal Officer related no adjudicative action will be taken against Subject (no reason given).
72	Wrongful Sexual Contact	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Nonjudicial Punishment	On-base offense involving alcohol where Subject entered Victim's barracks room and rubbed her buttocks while she slept. Subject found guilty at NJP of Articles 117 (Provoking Speeches and Gestures) and 134 (Assault with intent to Rape).
73	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Afghanistan	Unknown	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	N/A	Unknown Subject	On-base offense where an unknown male (Subject) groped Victim's vaginal area, while she walked to work. Investigation closed due to the lack of a Subject being identified.
74	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-2	Female	Q4	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim blacked out and awoke experiencing pain in her vaginal and anal areas. Subject advised they had sexual intercourse in barracks before going out and when they returned. Victim advised Trial Counsel that she no longer wanted to participate in investigation or trial. No judicial/administrative action was brought against Subject.
75	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q3	State/Local Sexual Offense Not Specified	Civilian or Foreign Prosecution of Person Subject to UCMJ	Off-base offense where Subject met Victim on-line, went on date with Victim (while home on leave), and had non consensual sex with Victim in vehicle. Subject arrested by local police for Rape 3rd Degree. Subject appeared in Suffolk County Supreme Court and pled guilty to Endangering the Welfare of a Child.
76	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	Failure to Obey Order or Regulation	Probable Cause for Only Non-Sexual Assault Offense	Off-base offense involving drugs where Subject and Victim were having consensual sex when Subject attempted to have anal intercourse to which Victim declined. Victim did not wish to continue having sex, but Subject continued. Subject was convicted by Summary Court Martial for violating Article 92 (Willfully disobeying a superior officer) for his use of "SPICE". CO advised he did not intend to prefer charges for the rape allegation (no reason given).
77	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	N/A	Commander Declined Action IAW UCMJ RCM 306c1	On-Base offense involving alcohol where Victim decided to accompany Subject back to base, but passed out en-route. Victim awoke to find she was lying naked in an unknown barracks room. Subject admitted to having consensual sex with Victim. CO and MJO stated Command would not be preferring charges against Subject (no reason given).

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Disposition Offense	Case Disposition	Case Synopsis
78	Wrongful Sexual Contact	CONUS	E-5	Male	E-4	Male	Q4	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	Off-base offense where Victim awoke to Subject attempting to unzip his pants. Subject admitted his intent to perform fellatio on Victim. Subject was tried at GCM and was found guilty of one (1) specification of Article 120 (wrongful sexual contact).
79	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Female	Q4	N/A	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim and friends, to include Subject, rented a hotel room. Victim laid down on bed to go to sleep when Subject laid down behind her, fondled her breasts, and digitally penetrated Victim's vagina. At Article 32 hearing, IO recommended all charges be dismissed due to the lack of credibility of Victim. CO dropped all charges.
80	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-4	Female	Q4	Other	Civilian or Foreign Prosecution of Person Subject to UCMJ	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim fell asleep at party and awoke to Subject digitally penetrating her vagina and fondling her breasts. Subject will be adjudicated in civilian court (no further information given).
81	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Female	Q4	N/A	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense where Subject forcibly had sexual intercourse with Victim. Victim was pregnant with Subject's child at the time. Subject appeared before a General Courts Martial where a jury found him not guilty on all charges.
82a	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-1	Female	Q4	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim was raped at a party at an unknown residence. Victim claimed she was coming in and out of consciousness. San Diego Deputy District Attorney declined to prosecute, due to lack of Victim's cooperation and lack of physical evidence or witness statements to corroborate allegations.
82b	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-1	Female	Q4	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim was raped at a party at an unknown residence. Victim claimed she was coming in and out of consciousness. San Diego Deputy District Attorney declined to prosecute, due to lack of Victim's cooperation and lack of physical evidence or witness statements to corroborate allegations.
83	Wrongful Sexual Contact	CONUS	E-5	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q4	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Subject masturbated, licked and bit Victim's breast, and forced Victim to masturbate him while driving Victim home from a party. Victim signed a declination to participate in the prosecution of Subject. Subsequently, Subject's Commanding Officer withdrew and dismissed the charges against Subject.
84	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-3	Female	Q4	N/A	Commander Declined Action IAW UCMJ RCM 306c1	Off-base offense involving prescription medication where Victim, who had taken several Anti-Depressants, awoke to Subject having sexual intercourse with her. Subject declined to speak but agreed to provide a DNA sample which did not match DNA collected. Victim elected to sign a VPS. Subject's Commanding Officer advised no charges would be preferred.
85	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	Unknown	Male	Foreign National	Female	Q2	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim passed out and later awoke naked, in room full of naked men and women. Subject allowed friends to have sexual intercourse with Victim. Victim later learned she was pregnant and she miscarried. Victim would not provide signed statement (no further information given).

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Disposition Offense	Case Disposition	Case Synopsis
86	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-2	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q3	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Nonjudicial Punishment	On-base offense where Subject forcibly had sexual intercourse with Victim while she was in his roommate's bed. Subject admitted having consensual sexual intercourse with Victim. Subject administered NJP and found guilty of Art 92 (Failure to obey) and Art 134 (Adultery).
87	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Subject digitally penetrated Victim's vagina while Victim faked being asleep. Victim later refused to cooperate. Executive Officer advised the command will not request legal services based on the fact that Victim will not participate in the possible adjudication of Subject.
88a	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-1	Female	Q2	N/A	Unknown Subject	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim was raped by five unidentified US Marines. Victim stated she never would have reported the incident except she was intoxicated when she did. Victim refused to cooperate further. Victim received NJP for underage drinking. She later tested positive for MJ and is being administratively separated.
88b	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-1	Female	Q2	N/A	Unknown Subject	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim was raped by five unidentified US Marines. Victim stated she never would have reported the incident except she was intoxicated when she did. Victim refused to cooperate further. Victim received NJP for underage drinking. She later tested positive for MJ and is being administratively separated.
88c	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-1	Female	Q2	N/A	Unknown Subject	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim was raped by five unidentified US Marines. Victim stated she never would have reported the incident except she was intoxicated when she did. Victim refused to cooperate further. Victim received NJP for underage drinking. She later tested positive for MJ and is being administratively separated.
88d	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-1	Female	Q2	N/A	Unknown Subject	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim was raped by five unidentified US Marines. Victim stated she never would have reported the incident except she was intoxicated when she did. Victim refused to cooperate further. Victim received NJP for underage drinking. She later tested positive for MJ and is being administratively separated.
88e	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-1	Female	Q2	N/A	Unknown Subject	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim was raped by five unidentified US Marines. Victim stated she never would have reported the incident except she was intoxicated when she did. Victim refused to cooperate further. Victim received NJP for underage drinking. She later tested positive for MJ and is being administratively separated.
89	Forcible Sodomy	CONUS	E-6	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q3	N/A	Civilian or Foreign Prosecution of Person Subject to UCMJ	Off-base offense where Victim engaged in consensual intercourse with Subject, after returning to her residence from a date, when Subject forcibly sodomized her, failing to stop when Victim told him "no". District Attorney's office refused to prosecute due to lack of evidence and consensual nature of Victim and Subject's relationship.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Disposition Offense	Case Disposition	Case Synopsis
90	Wrongful Sexual Contact	CONUS	E-7	Male	E-5	Female	Q4	Fraternization	Adverse Administrative Action	Off-base offense where Subject, while TDY to Iowa in 2008, entered Victim's hotel room, pressed his clothed, erect penis against her clothed buttocks and made "grinding" motions. Executive Officer advised Subject was awarded counseling for misconduct due to inappropriate senior/subordinate fraternization, and no further administrative/judicial action remains pending.
91	Forcible Sodomy	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-1	Male	Q2	N/A	Commander Declined Action IAW UCMJ RCM 306c1	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Subject anally sodomized Victim. Victim failed to cooperate with local PD so they declined to investigate. Command decided to take no action in regard to this incident; no further information provided.
92	Forcible Sodomy	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-2	Male	Q2	State/Local Sexual Offense Not Specified	Civilian or Foreign Prosecution of Person Not Subject to UCMJ	Off-base offense where Victim gave two strangers a ride when he was forced by gunpoint to pull off to side of road. Victim was forced to orally copulate one of the Subjects. Victim subsequently physically assaulted until unconscious and awoke naked in rear of car. Case referred to local Sheriff's Office.
93	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	On-base offense where Victim reported being raped circa Oct 2009 by an active duty Marine in a barracks room. Victim said the allegation stemmed from a routine medical exam where the Victim disclosed having an STD. The victim later refused to provide any further information; case subsequently closed.
94	Rape	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-2	Female	Q3	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Location unknown where Victim's Mother contacted a US Congressman concerning her marine daughter being raped twice. Victim was unwillingly to provide information regarding either rape, and she was unwilling to participate in an investigation into the allegations levied by her mother. Investigation closed due to Victim's unwillingness to cooperate with investigation.
95	Rape	CONUS	E-6	Male	E-3	Female	Q4	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Nonjudicial Punishment	Off-base offense where Victim had forcible sexual intercourse with her recruiter at her parent's home in Feb or Mar 2007. Subject admitted to having consensual sexual intercourse with Victim multiple times. Subject was Non-Judicially Punished by Command for violating Article 92 (Failure to obey order or regulation) and Article 134 (Adultery).
96	Wrongful Sexual Contact	CONUS	E-6	Male	E-3	Male	Q4	Fraternization	Adverse Administrative Action	On-base offense where Subject stroked Victim's vaginal area over her clothes and attempted to kiss her. Subject admitted attempting to kiss Victim but denied any sexual assault. Subject received a counseling entry for fraternizing. Command advised no further judicial or administrative action will be taken against Subject.
97a	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q4	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Nonjudicial Punishment	Off-base offense where Subjects provided Victim with alcohol, 2 unknown pills and cough syrup called "Triple C", rendering Victim incapacitated. Subjects then engaged in nonconsensual intercourse with Victim. Both Subjects were found guilty at NJP for UCMJ violations of Article 92 (Underage drinking) and Article 120 (Indecent Acts).

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Subject Grade	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Disposition Offense	Case Disposition	Case Synopsis
97b	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-3	Male	US Civilian		Female	Q4	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Nonjudicial Punishment	Off-base offense where Subjects provided Victim with alcohol, 2 unknown pills and cough syrup called "Triple C", rendering Victim incapacitated. Subjects then engaged in nonconsensual intercourse with Victim. Both Subjects were found guilty at NJP for UCMJ violations of Article 92 (Underage drinking) and Article 120 (Indecent Acts).
98	Aggravated Sexual Assault	OCONUS	E-5	Male	Foreign National		Female	Q4	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim left bar with Subject and others, and went to a hotel where Subject digitally penetrated Victim's vagina. Subject was convicted at Summary Court Martial of violating Articles 92 (violation of a lawful general order), 120 (wrongful sexual contact), and 128 (assault consummated by battery).
99	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-5	Male	E-2		Female	Q4	N/A	Adverse Administrative Action	On-base offense where Subject had non-consensual sexual intercourse with Victim. Subject admitted to consensual intercourse. After review of captioned investigation command determined insufficient evidence warranted prosecution of Subject. However, Subject received a former counseling by his command and a page 11 was added into his service record book.
100	Wrongful Sexual Contact	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-3		Male	Q4	N/A	Commander Declined Action IAW UCMJ RCM 306c1	Off-base offense where Subject fondled Victim's genitals. Subject denied same. An MPO issued by Command subsequently withdrawn. Contact with Subject's Command revealed no administrative or judicial action will be taken against Subject.
101	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-2	Male	E-2		Female	Q4	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense where Victim awoke to Subject trying to kiss her and digitally penetrating her vagina. Subject was charged with violating: Articles 90, 92, 120 and 128. At Article 32 Hearing, IO recommended the more serious charges be dismissed and Subject offered NJP for the lesser charges. Subject was discharged with OTH in lieu of trial.
102	Wrongful Sexual Contact	OCONUS	E-2	Male	E-3		Male	Q4	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Nonjudicial Punishment	On-base offense involving alcohol where Subject grabbed Victim's penis while using the urinal at the Enlisted Club. Victim later awoke in his residence to find Subject attempting to get into his bed with him. Subject accepted NJP for having violated Article 128 (Assault consummated by battery), Article 134 (Drunk and disorderly), and Article 86 (Unauthorized absence).
103	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-3		Female	Q4	Fraternization	Adverse Administrative Action	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim became intoxicated while attending a party and does not recall what happened for a period of time during party. Victim awoke in a hotel room with Subject putting Victim's clothes back on her. Command advised Subject would be counseled regarding fraternization and no judicial action would be taken.
104	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-2	Male	US Civilian		Female	Q4	N/A	Civilian or Foreign Prosecution of Person Subject to UCMJ	Off-base offense where Victim was raped by Subject in her residence after Victim changed her clothes in front of Subject. Local authorities assumed jurisdiction. A polygraph examination of Subject was conducted on, during which Subject did not indicate deception. Junction City PD related prosecution has been declined.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Subject Grade	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Disposition Offense	Case Disposition	Case Synopsis
105	Rape	CONUS	E-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q4	N/A	Civilian or Foreign Prosecution of Person Subject to UCMJ	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim and Subject were alone, in hotel room, when Subject forced his penis inside Victim's vagina from behind. OC District Attorney's Office rejected case due to lack of evidence. CO reported Subject separated from USMC and Command would not be recalling him for adjudicative action.	
106	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-5	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q3	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	Off-base allegation involving alcohol where Subject indecently assaulted Victim at a party. Victim refused to be interviewed or contacted. Subject denied any criminal misconduct. Witnesses were developed who corroborated Subject's report wherein the Victim and her female companion were extremely intoxicated and sexually provocative with Subject. Trial Counsel declined prosecution due to insufficient evidence.	
107	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-2	Female	Q3	N/A	Commander Declined Action IAW UCMJ RCM 306c1	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim blacked-out while attending a party at a friend's residence and alleged she thought she was sexually assaulted by Subject, but was not able to remember details. Subject denied culpability. Commanding Officer reported command would not seek prosecution based on the lack of prosecutorial merit.	
108	Wrongful Sexual Contact	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-3	Male	Q4	N/A	Commander Declined Action IAW UCMJ RCM 306c1	Off-base offense where Subject dry-humped Victim. Subject admitted to mounting Victim and humping him; however, he described the incident to be a playful joke. Subject denied being sexually gratified or aroused as a result of his actions. The convening authority withdrew charges due to the lack of prosecutorial merit.	
109	Wrongful Sexual Contact	CONUS	E-4	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q4	N/A	Commander Declined Action IAW UCMJ RCM 306c1	On-base offense where Subject forcefully made sexual advances towards Victim at Subject's residence. Subject admitted holding Victim's hands over her head while hoping to have sexual intercourse with her, and provided a hand-written apology letter to all individuals affected by his actions. Subject's Command revealed no administrative/judicial action would be taken.	
110	Wrongful Sexual Contact	CONUS	E-6	Male	E-5	Female	Q4	N/A	Commander Declined Action IAW UCMJ RCM 306c1	On-base offense where Subject took Victim's cellular telephone and, without permission, perused its contents. After retrieving her cell back from Subject, Subject "flicked" Victim's breast. CO reported Subject will receive written counseling for his conduct relating to his perusal of Victim's cell, but no action was being taken against Subject regarding indecent assault allegations.	
111	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-1	Male	E-1	Female	Q3	N/A	Commander Declined Action IAW UCMJ RCM 306c1	On-base offense where Victim and Subject were kissing on one of the beds in Subject's room when Subject proceeded to take off Victim's jeans, despite Victim's unwillingness. Commanding Officer advised no action would be taken against subject in this incident.	

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Subject Grade	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Disposition Offense	Case Disposition	Case Synopsis
112	Forcible Sodomy	CONUS	E-2	Male	US Civilian	Female	Female	Q4	Forcible Sodomy	Administrative Discharge	Off-base offense where Victim reported to Fairfax County Police Dept she awoke to Subject penetrating her anus. Subject fled the residence and then sent text messages to Victim apologizing for his actions. Subject was arrested by FCPD. Staff Judge Advocate advised Subject was administratively separated from the Marine Corps.
113	Wrongful Sexual Contact	CONUS	E-1	Male	E-1	Male	Male	Q4	N/A	Commander Declined Action IAW UCMJ RCM 306c1	On-base offense where Subject rubbed his erect penis against Victim's leg while simultaneously touching Victim's inner thigh. Subject was fully clothed and never exposed his genitalia during the incident. Subject denied culpability to sexual assault of Victim. CO stated no legal or administrative actions would be taken against Subject.
114	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	US Civilian	Male	E-3	Female	Female	Q3	State/Local Sexual Offense Not Specified	Civilian or Foreign Prosecution of Person Not Subject to UCMJ	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim felt she was the victim of a drug facilitated sexual assault. Victim had gone out with friends and later awoke in a bed next to Subject with no clothes on and no recollection of parts of the evening. Local authorities maintained jurisdiction; no further information provided.
115	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-4	Female	Female	Q4	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim, Victim's mother, and Subject rented a motel room and Subject and Victim engaged in sexual intercourse, however, Victim didn't recall the incident. Victim's mother mentioned witnessing sexual activity between Subject and Victim. CO advised there was no substantial evidence with which to prosecute Subject.
116	Forcible Sodomy	CONUS	E-2	Male	E-1	Female	Female	Q4	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	On-base offense where Victim alleged she was sexually assaulted behind Enlisted Club by Subject. Victim later provided a written statement indicating the Subject forced her to perform fellatio. During Victim's interview, she went back and forth regarding whether or not she consented to performing fellatio. Victim later refused to cooperate and signed a VPS.
117	Wrongful Sexual Contact	CONUS	E-4	Male	US Civilian	Female	Female	Q4	N/A	Commander Declined Action IAW UCMJ RCM 306c1	On-base offense where Victim alleged Subject inserted his fingers into her vagina while she slept in Subject's barracks room. Subject also exposed himself, the night before, and requested oral sex. Victim admitted to sending nude photos and a video of herself masturbating to Subject. No charges against Subject were forthcoming by Command.
118	Aggravated Sexual Assault	OCONUS	E-2	Male	E-1	Female	Female	Q4	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Probable Cause for Only Non-Sexual Assault Offense	On-base offense involving alcohol and/or prescription medication where a witness reported finding Subject without his pants, on top of Victim, who was naked. Command indicated no judicial action would be taken against Subject. Subject received a Page 11 entry for underage drinking and being in a female's barracks room.
119	Rape	CONUS	E-6	Male	US Civilian	Female	Female	Q4	N/A	Civilian or Foreign Prosecution of Person Subject to UCMJ	Unknown/Various locations where Subject demanded oral sex from Victim on several occasions, became abusive and would hit Victim, and force anal sex. Victim stated Subject threatened to hurt and kill her on various occasions. Local authorities maintained primary jurisdiction. Command indicated an MPO would be initiated against the Subject.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Subject Grade	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Disposition Offense	Case Disposition	Case Synopsis
120	Rape	CONUS	E-5	Male	US Civilian		Female	Q4	N/A	Civilian or Foreign Prosecution of Person Subject to UCMJ	Unknown location where Victim reported being vaginally and anally raped multiple times by Subject. Victim sustained injuries to her abdomen, arms, hips and legs as a result of the rape. Due to several discrepancies that were noted in Victim's statement during the course of investigation, the District Attorney declined prosecution.
121	Rape	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-3		Female	Q4	Other	Prosecution of Person Subject to UCMJ	Off-base offense where Subject penetrated Victim's vagina with his penis without Victim's consent. The investigation has been completed and is pending adjudication in Brown County, WI.
122	Aggravated Sexual Assault	OCONUS	W-2	Male	E-5		Female	Q4	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Probable Cause for Only Non-Sexual Assault Offense	On-base offense where Victim agreed to meet at Subject's BOQ room. Subject forced Victim into his room, and had vaginal sex without Victim's consent. Subject found guilty at NJP for violating 2 specifications of Art 133 (Conduct unbecoming an officer and gentleman) and 2 specifications of Article 134 (fraternization and adultery).
123	Rape	Afghanistan	Unknown	Unknown	E-4		Female	Q4	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	On-base offense where Victim was medically evacuated from AFG and indicated she had been raped in June 2010. Victim did not identify a subject and further expressed concern she was pregnant, which was later confirmed by medical personnel. Victim did not wish to participate in investigation and signed a VPS.
124	Wrongful Sexual Contact	CONUS	E-4	Male	US Civilian		Female	Q4	Other	Probable Cause for Only Non-Sexual Assault Offense	On-base offense where Subject massaged Victim, within her residence, and rubbed her vagina and fondled her right breast. Subject admitted to massaging her in a non-sexual manner. Subject was counseled concerning a violation of Article 134 and received a Page 11 entry.
125	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Afghanistan	E-4	Male	E-4		Male	Q4	N/A	Commander Declined Action IAW UCMJ RCMJ 306c1	On-base offense in AFG where Subject ran his hand up Victim's leg, under his shorts, and fondled Victim's genitals when Subject climbed across the Victim while watching a movie with other Marines. Command was advised no administrative/judicial action would be pursued against Subject for indecent assault allegations; no further information provided.
126	Rape	CONUS	Unknown	Unknown	E-2		Female	Q4	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	During the process of Victim's administrative separation, Victim reported she was sexually assaulted in the summer of 2009. Victim stated she did not want to provide any further details concerning the incident, to include any information regarding the subject's identity. The Victim signed a VPS documenting her decision not to participate.
127	Rape	CONUS	E-7	Male	US Civilian		Female	Q1	N/A	Commander Declined Action IAW UCMJ RCMJ 306c1	On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim was invited into the room of Subject after arguing with her husband. Victim reported having no memory of the events that transpired in Subject's room. Victim later discovered and removed a condom from her vagina. SJA advised Command prosecution of Subject was declined.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Disposition Offense	Case Disposition	Case Synopsis
128	Rape	CONUS	E-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q4	State/Local Sexual Offense Not Specified	Civilian or Foreign Prosecution of Person Subject to UCMJ	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim was raped by Subject in the parking lot of a local club. The Assistant DA stated a plea bargain agreement, in which the Subject pled guilty to misdemeanor assault, was accepted by Craven County Superior Court, in lieu of retrial.
129a	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	US Civilian	Male	E-2	Female	Q1	N/A	Civilian or Foreign Prosecution of Person Subject to UCMJ	On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim met two male Marines and went to their barracks room, where she was raped by both Subjects. Victim admitted performing fellatio on both Subjects and could not explain why she did so if the sex was not consensual. SAUSA opined Investigation lacked prosecutorial merit.
129b	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	US Civilian	Male	E-2	Female	Q1	N/A	Civilian or Foreign Prosecution of Person Subject to UCMJ	On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim met two male Marines and went to their barracks room, where she was raped by both Subjects. Victim admitted performing fellatio on both Subjects and could not explain why she did so if the sex was not consensual. SAUSA opined Investigation lacked prosecutorial merit.
130	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-6	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	Other	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim returned to Subject's residence where Subject tried to perform oral sex on Victim and vaginally penetrated Victim against her will. Victim later advised she no longer wished to participate in prosecution of Subject. Subject pled guilty to Article 134 (Adultery) at Special Courts Martial vice GCM.
131	Rape	CONUS	O-3	Male	E-7	Female	Q3	Perjury/False Official Statement/False Swearing	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim, upon entering Subject's barrack's room, was thrown down and raped by Subject. Subject admitted to having non-consensual sex with Victim. Subsequent to an Art 32 hearing, the Subject was found guilty at NJP of violating Art 107 (False Official Statement).
132	Aggravated Sexual Assault	OCONUS	E-3	Male	E-2	Female	Q2	N/A	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense in Subject's barracks room where Subject got on top of Victim, held Victim down, and forced his penis into Victim's vagina. Victim signed a VPS. Victim later advised she wanted to participate and case was re-opened. Subject's case was presented before an Art 32 hearing and charges were preferred. However, due to lack of supporting evidence and victim cooperation, charges were dropped.
133	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-6	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q3	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	Off base offense involving alcohol where Subject pulled Victim's shirt down, licked her exposed breast, then pulled her jeans down and inserted his fingers into her vagina, in the back of a car, despite Victim's protestations. At Special Court Martial sexual charges were withdrawn. Subject charged with Assault/Drunk and Disorderly and Lying.
134	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-1	Male	E-3	Female	Q4	N/A	Civilian or Foreign Prosecution of Person Subject to UCMJ	On-base offense where Victim was socializing with Subject in Subjects' quarters when Subject raped her. Subject was Administratively Discharged from the USN prior to being identified as the Subject for this case. Subject was found not guilty of the charge of aggravated sexual abuse in the United States District Court for the Southern District of California.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Disposition Offense	Case Disposition	Case Synopsis
135	Aggravated Sexual Contact	CONUS	E-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	On-base offense where Victim drove Subject to his room, fell asleep, and awoke naked with Subject digitally penetrating her. Victim attempted to rebuke Subject, who then physically held Victim down and raped her. Victim declined to cooperate, which was cited as a major determining factor in command's decision not to pursue legal/administrative action.
136	Wrongful Sexual Contact	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-3	Male	Q1	N/A	Unknown Subject	On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim awoke to Subject in his barracks room, who immediately fled. Victim felt cocoa butter on his anus and felt like his anus had been touched but not penetrated. Victim also discovered \$10 missing from his wallet. Investigation closed since a Subject could not be identified.
137	Rape	CONUS	E-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	On-base offenses involving alcohol where Victim was repeatedly raped by Subject, in their residence, approximately once per weekend from Jan08-Mar08. Victim did not report earlier because Subject said he would kill her if she did. Investigation closed due to insufficient evidence.
138	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-4	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q3	Other	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim fell asleep in bathroom, but awoke in the upstairs bedroom with her pants and underwear around her calves, with Subject behind her penetrating her vagina with his penis. Subject claimed sex was consensual. Subsequent to Art 32 hearing, Subject went to NJP and found guilty of Adultery.
139	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-5	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	Other	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim was having a party at her residence when Subject picked up Victim, against her will, carried her into the bathroom, and penetrated Victim's vagina with his penis. Victim told Subject she was not using birth control, which prompted him to cease. Subject was found guilty of Article 134 (Adultery) at GCM.
140	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-2	Female	Q3	N/A	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense involving alcohol where Subject pinned Victim down and penetrated her vagina with his penis without her consent, while watching movies in Subject's assigned room. Subject stated Victim did say "NO" twice before he inserted his penis into her vagina without her consent. The Legal Service Support Team advised Subject was dismissed of all pending charges.
141	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-2	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	N/A	Commander Declined Action IAW UCMJ RCM 306c1	On-base offense where Victim awoke to discover her neighbor touching her waist area. Victim subsequently discovered that she was bleeding from her vagina. Subject was interviewed regarding his knowledge of the alleged rape and Subject adamantly denied culpability and requested legal counsel. Command decided not to pursue administrative/judicial action; no further information.
142	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-5	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense involving alcohol where following a party Victim hosted, Subject broke into Victim's residence and she awoke to him having sex with her. Subject found not guilty at GCM of Article 107 (False Statements), Article 120 (Aggravated Sexual Assault), and Article 129 (Burglary), and guilty of Article 134 (Unlawful Entry and Adultery).

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Disposition Offense	Case Disposition	Case Synopsis
143	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-4	Female	Q3	Other	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim, Subject, and Subject's wife went out to a night club and then they brought Victim home. Subject asked to use Victim's bathroom, but upon entering the residence, pushed Victim down and forcibly penetrated her. Subject claimed act was consensual. Subject went to GCM, found not guilty of Rape but guilty of Adultery.
144	Aggravated Sexual Contact	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-1	Female	Q1	Perjury/False Official Statement/False Swearing	Nonjudicial Punishment	On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim walked Subject to his barracks room then Subject restrained Victim and digitally penetrated her vagina while kissing her neck and face. Subject admitted he lied and digitally penetrated Victim. During Article 32 hearing, it was recommended the indecent assault charge be dropped and Subject taken to NJP for false official statement.
145	Wrongful Sexual Contact	CONUS	E-6	Male	E-2	Female	Q2	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense where Subject fondled Victim's breasts (over clothing), and forcing his hands into Victim's front pockets to fondle Victim's crotch, while she was on phone duty. Subject was found not guilty at GCM of Article 120(Rape), and guilty of Article 92(Failure to Obey Order), Article 107(False Statement), and Article 134(Indecent Assault).
146	Wrongful Sexual Contact	CONUS	E-5	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	N/A	Commander Declined Action IAW UCMJ RCM 306c1	On-base offense involving alcohol where Subject forced Victim to the couch, straddled her, and simulated sex act forcing Victim's hand on his crotch (over clothes), while attending a Halloween party. Legal Services Support Team declined prosecution.
147	Forcible Sodomy	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-2	Male	Q1	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	Off-base offense involving alcohol and drugs, following USMC Ball where Victim awoke in Subject's hotel room, discovering his shirt was off and pants undone with Subject trying to put his hands in Victim's pants. Victim's medical exam revealed trauma to Victim's anus. Subject found guilty at GCM of Article 86(Unauthorized Absence) & Article 112a(Wrongful Use Drugs).
148	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Iraq	E-6	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	N/A	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim fell asleep on the couch at party, and awoke to Subject penetrating her vagina. Subject claimed it was consensual. An Art 32 hearing was held, however, a court-martial was not recommended. CO dismissed all charges against the Subject.
149	Rape	OCONUS	E-4	Male	E-3	Female	Q1	N/A	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense where Subject and Victim had past intimacy and after Victim broke up with Subject, he came to her barracks and forced oral and vagina sex. Subject apologized on Victim's MySpace the next morning. Subject found not guilty at GCM of Article 120(Rape), Article 125(Sodomy) and Article 128(Assault).
150	Aggravated Sexual Contact	CONUS	E-2	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	N/A	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense involving alcohol where Subject and his wife helped Victim home and put her to bed. Victim awoke with dried stains her vagina. Subject claimed it was consensual. Subject's wife claimed to have caught Subject and Victim having consensual intercourse. All charges were withdrawn without prejudice at Article 32 hearing.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Disposition Offense	Case Disposition	Case Synopsis
151	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-7	Male	E-1	Female	Q1	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim went to her recruiter's (Subject) house and he wanted to have sex, but Victim did not want to. Subject continued his advances and Victim went along as she was scared. Subject was convicted of violations of Art 92 (Violation of a lawful general order) and Article 134 (Adultery).
152	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	On-base offense involving alcohol, following the USMC Ball at a residence, where Victim awoke on bathroom floor with Subject having sex with her. Subject declined to be interviewed and Victim signed VPS. Command advised they would not pursue charges against Subject due to Victim's lack of cooperation.
153	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-1	Male	E-2	Female	Q2	N/A	Civilian or Foreign Prosecution of Person Subject to UCMJ	Off-base offense involving alcohol and prescription drugs where Victim fell asleep at a motel party, and awoke with underwear/pants around her ankles and Subject having vaginal sex with her. Civilian authorities declined to file charges against Subject due to lack of evidence and witnesses. The United States Attorney declined to exercise prosecutive jurisdiction.
154	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-2	Female	Q1	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim's friend helped her back to her barracks room and Victim awoke to Subject raping her. Victim's friend returned and order Subject to leave. Subject claimed it was consensual. Subject went to GCM and was found guilty of violations of Article 120, Article 129, and Article 134.
155	Forcible Sodomy	CONUS	E-1	Male	E-2	Male	Q2	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense where Victim awoke to Subject performing oral sex on him in the barracks room of mutual friends. Victim elbowed Subject in head and immediately left the room. Subject plead guilty at Special Court Martial to violations of Article 86 (Unauthorized Absence), Article 112a (Wrongful use of Cocaine), and Article 125 (Sodomy).
156	Abusive Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-1	Female	Q2	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense where Subject parked car in an isolated area, put his hand up Victim's shirt and threatened her. Victim punched Subject and Subject bit her, punched her, and put Victim out of his car. Subject pled guilty at GCM to Article 86 (UA) and Article 120 (Aggravated sexual contact).
157	Forcible Sodomy	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Male	Q3	N/A	Commander Declined Action IAW UCMJ RCM 306c1	On-base offense (assigned roommates) involving alcohol where Subject asked Victim to watch movie and Victim passed out in Subject's rack. Victim awoke with his pants down and his anus sore. Subject denied allegation. Military Justice Officer related there was no merit in prosecuting the case based on review of available evidence.
158	Forcible Sodomy	CONUS	E-6	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	Off-base offense where SJA informed NCIS that Subject sodomized his step-niece at Victim's hotel room. Civilian authorities originally investigated and USMC assumed for prosecution. Subject pled guilty to violations of Article 92 (Violation of a lawful General Order) and Article 128 (Assault Consummated by Battery).

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Disposition Offense	Case Disposition	Case Synopsis
159	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Female	Q1	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense involving alcohol where Subject escorted Victim to her room where he had sex with her while she was passed out. Subject admitted to having intercourse with Victim without her consent. Subject was found not guilty at GCM for violations of Art 120 (Rape), Art 121 (Wrongful Appropriation of Property), and Art 134 (Unlawful Entry).
160	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-6	Male	E-3	Female	Q1	Other	Civilian or Foreign Prosecution of Person Subject to UCMJ	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim slept over a friend's house and awoke to Subject raping her and then passed out. Subject was arrested by civilians and subsequently administratively separated from USMC. Subject later plead guilty to (1) count of CR.3.308-Sex offense Fourth degree and guilty to (1) count of CR.3.203-Assault-second degree.
161a	Rape	CONUS	E-5	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Off-base offense where Victim reported being vaginally raped and forced to perform oral sex by Subject #1 (francé), and Subjects #2 & #3. All Subjects denied culpability and no deception was indicated on polygraphs. Victim declined to participate any further in case. Legal Services Support Section advised this case has been withdrawn and dismissed.
161b	Rape	CONUS	E-2	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Off-base offense where Victim reported being vaginally raped and forced to perform oral sex by Subject #1 (francé), and Subjects #2 & #3. All Subjects denied culpability and no deception was indicated on polygraphs. Victim declined to participate any further in case. Legal Services Support Section advised this case has been withdrawn and dismissed.
161c	Rape	CONUS	E-2	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Off-base offense where Victim reported being vaginally raped and forced to perform oral sex by Subject #1 (francé), and Subjects #2 & #3. All Subjects denied culpability and no deception was indicated on polygraphs. Victim declined to participate any further in case. Legal Services Support Section advised this case has been withdrawn and dismissed.
162	Wrongful Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	N/A	Commander Declined Action IAW UCMJ RCM 306c1	On-base offense where Subject grabbed Victim's breasts (over clothes, two times) and put his hand down Victim's pants and touched her bare buttocks (twice). Judicial action is no longer being pursued by the command due to Subject Ended Active Service (EAS) on 14Feb09.
163	Forcible Sodomy	CONUS	E-1	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	State/Local Sexual Offense Not Specified	Civilian or Foreign Prosecution of Person Subject to UCMJ	Off-base offense where Subject (Victim's boyfriend and civilian at time of incident) forcibly anally sodomized her causing rectal tearing/bleeding. Subject admitted to doing it. Civilian grand jury found the Subject guilty. Subject was remanded to Sheriff's Office custody pending trial. NCIS investigation closed.
164	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-2	Male	E-2	Female	Q1	Other	Commander Declined Action IAW UCMJ RCM 306c1	On-base offense involving alcohol where Subject digitally penetrated Victim's vagina without her consent while she was asleep in Subject's barracks room bed. Subject claimed it was consensual and that no penetration occurred. Command review determined no adjudicative action would be taken, but would counsel Subject and Victim for underage drinking and the violation of barracks policy.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Disposition Offense	Case Disposition	Case Synopsis
165	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-6	Male	E-4	Female	Q1	Fraternization	Probable Cause for Only Non-Sexual Assault Offense	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim was helped into Subject's bed due to high level of intoxication. Victim awoke to Subject digitally penetrating her vagina, performing oral sex, and attempting to have intercourse with her. Subject denied allegations. Article 32 Hearing found there was insufficient evidence for GCM. Subject received NJP for fraternization.
166	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-2	Male	E-2	Female	Q1	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Probable Cause for Only Non-Sexual Assault Offense	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim was raped by Subject during hotel party. Victim later refused to cooperate and signed VPS. Subject claimed sex was consensual. Command would not pursue judicial/administrative action regarding rape allegation, but punishments would be awarded for lesser offenses of adultery and providing/drinking alcohol underage.
167	Rape	CONUS	E-4	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	Other	Adverse Administrative Action	On-base offense where while visiting Subject (her former lover) at his barracks, Victim told him that she would not engage in sex and Subject held her down, strangled her, and vaginally raped her. Subject claimed it was consensual. Victim signed VPS. Prosecution for any non-consensual sexual activity was declined, however, Subject received a Page 11 entry for adultery.
168	Aggravated Sexual Assault	OCONUS	E-5	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	Other	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim went to Subject's house and had sex with her friend. At some point during the night, Subject raped Victim and now she's pregnant with Subject's child. An Article 32 hearing was held and IO found insufficient evidence to proceed to Court-Martial, instead Subject was found guilty at NJP of violating Article 134 (Adultery).
169	Wrongful Sexual	CONUS	E-6	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	N/A	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense where Subject grabbed Victim and forcefully kissed her while she was at work in the chow hall. Despite previous ban on Subject from chow hall, Subject continues to bother Victim in this way and witnesses affirmed Victim's claim. Subject was found not guilty of indecent conduct at Special Court-Martial.
170	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-6	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Subject vaginally raped Victim at a hotel following a date. Victim felt uncomfortable but did not resist because she was afraid. Subject was found guilty at GCM for violations of Article 92, Article 107, and Article 134, but not guilty for Article 120.
171	Aggravated Sexual Assault	OCONUS	E-5	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense where Subject called Victim, asked Victim to meet him to talk on empty 3 rd deck, where Subject forcefully kissed Victim and grabbed her breasts. Subject then removed Victim's pants and vaginally raped her. Subject was found guilty at GCM of violating Article 107 (False Official Statements) and Article 134 (Adultery).

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Disposition Offense	Case Disposition	Case Synopsis
172	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	N/A	Commander Declined Action IAW UCMJ RCM 306c1	On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim became intoxicated, fell asleep in Subjects bedroom, and awoke to vaginal pain and swollenness. Subject informed Victim they had sex. Victim said she was a virgin and embarrassed to report what she thought happened. Commanding Officer stated no administrative/judicial action would be taken against Subject.
173	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Female	Q1	Other	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	On-base offense where Subject digitally penetrated Victim's vagina while visiting Victim in her barracks room. USMC Trial Counsel advised charges against Subject were withdrawn based upon Victim's unwillingness to participate further in investigation. Subject received NJP for violation of Article 128 (Assault - Unlawful force to commit unwanted bodily harm to another Marine).
174	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	N/A	Commander Declined Action IAW UCMJ RCM 306c1	On-base offense where Victim engaged in consensual sex with Subject and his wife at their residence, then Victim accompanied Subject to another residence where Subject raped her. Subject was interrogated and denied culpability for rape, however, he admitted to consensual intercourse with Victim. CO declined prosecution for this investigation (no further information).
175a	Rape	CONUS	E-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	Off-base offense where Victim agreed to have sex with three Subjects, if they'd stop hazing her son. At a hotel, each Subject performed rough vaginal and oral sex and group acts with Victim. Subject #1 also physically assaulted Victim and anally sodomized her. All Subjects pled guilty at SCM for violations of Article 120 and Article 134.
175b	Rape	CONUS	E-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	Off-base offense where Victim agreed to have sex with three Subjects, if they'd stop hazing her son. At a hotel, each Subject performed rough vaginal and oral sex and group acts with Victim. Subject #1 also physically assaulted Victim and anally sodomized her. All Subjects pled guilty at SCM for violations of Article 120 and Article 134.
175c	Rape	CONUS	E-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	Off-base offense where Victim agreed to have sex with three Subjects, if they'd stop hazing her son. At a hotel, each Subject performed rough vaginal and oral sex and group acts with Victim. Subject #1 also physically assaulted Victim and anally sodomized her. All Subjects pled guilty at SCM for violations of Article 120 and Article 134.
176a	Forcible Sodomy	OCONUS	Unknown	Male	E-3	Male	Q1	N/A	Unknown Subject	On-base offense where Victim reported he was knocked to the ground by 5 Subjects (NFI) in a dark compartment of the ship. Subjects put a pillowcase over his head, held his arms and legs while sodomizing him with a broom or mop handle. Investigation completed with no Subjects identified.
176b	Forcible Sodomy	OCONUS	Unknown	Male	E-3	Male	Q1	N/A	Unknown Subject	On-base offense where Victim reported he was knocked to the ground by 5 Subjects (NFI) in a dark compartment of the ship. Subjects put a pillowcase over his head, held his arms and legs while sodomizing him with a broom or mop handle. Investigation completed with no Subjects identified.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Disposition Offense	Case Disposition	Case Synopsis
176c	Forcible Sodomy	OCONUS	Unknown	Male	E-3	Male	Q1	N/A	Unknown Subject	On-base offense where Victim reported he was knocked to the ground by 5 Subjects (NFI) in a dark compartment of the ship. Subjects put a pillowcase over his head, held his arms and legs while sodomizing him with a broom or mop handle. Investigation completed with no Subjects identified.
176d	Forcible Sodomy	OCONUS	Unknown	Male	E-3	Male	Q1	N/A	Unknown Subject	On-base offense where Victim reported he was knocked to the ground by 5 Subjects (NFI) in a dark compartment of the ship. Subjects put a pillowcase over his head, held his arms and legs while sodomizing him with a broom or mop handle. Investigation completed with no Subjects identified.
176e	Forcible Sodomy	OCONUS	Unknown	Male	E-3	Male	Q1	N/A	Unknown Subject	On-base offense where Victim reported he was knocked to the ground by 5 Subjects (NFI) in a dark compartment of the ship. Subjects put a pillowcase over his head, held his arms and legs while sodomizing him with a broom or mop handle. Investigation completed with no Subjects identified.
177	Wrongful Sexual	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Female	Q1	Other	Adverse Administrative Action	On-base offense where Subject entered Victim's barracks room and touched Victim's buttocks area, while Victim slept. Victim told Subject to leave and he did, but tried to open doors of other rooms in the hallway. Subject was issued a counseling/warning entry stating he had unlawfully entered a female Marines room.
178	Rape	CONUS	E-5	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	State/Local Sexual Offense Not Specified	Civilian or Foreign Prosecution of Person Subject to UCMJ	Off-base offense where Subject (Victim's estranged husband) came to Victim's house (violating PO), and assaulted Victim with a metal jack handle and his fists until Victim passed out. Victim awoke nude from waist down and Subject informed her that they had sex. Subject pled guilty in local court to Aggravated Assault with a Deadly Weapon.
179	Aggravated Sexual Contact	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-1	Female	Q2	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense where Subject entered Victim's barracks room, exposed his penis, and tried to force her to perform oral sex. Subject also removed Victim's shirt and sucked on her breasts while threatening to kill her. Subject was found guilty at GCM of Abusive Sexual Contact, False Official Statements, Unlawful Entry, and Impersonating a Staff NCO.
180	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	O-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	Off-base offense where Victim and Subject had been dating for 1 month when Subject forced his penis into Victim's vagina without a condom. Civilian authorities were investigating and the District Attorney did not pursue an indictment against Subject. SJA advised no administrative/judicial action would be taken due to insufficient evidence.
181	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-3	Female	Q1	N/A	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim went to dance club, following a party, when Subject took Victim from club back to his apartment, where he raped her in his bedroom. Three other Marines returned to Subject's house, heard Victim screaming, and were able to stop the assault. The local sheriff's office arrested Subject for Second Degree Rape. Assistant District Attorney ceded prosecutorial/investigative jurisdiction to NCIS. Subject was found not guilty at GCM.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Disposition Offense	Case Disposition	Case Synopsis
182	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-2	Female	Q1	N/A	Unknown Subject	On-base offense where Victim consensually kissed Subject in his barracks room, but then Subject pulled her pants and underwear off and raped her for 2 hours. Victim reported after she learned she was pregnant. Victim decided to not participate further. No Subject identified.
183	Aggravated Sexual Assault	OCONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Female	Q2	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim blacked out and later awoke with a semen stain on her shirt. When Victim confronted Subject, he acknowledged they had sex. IO recommended GCM at Article 32 hearing. MJO reported Victim advised him prior to Article 32 hearing that she no longer wanted to pursue any action against Subject. The convening authority determined no further action would be taken.
184	Wrongful Sexual	CONUS	E-5	Male	E-2	Female	Q1	N/A	Commander Declined Action IAW UCMJ RCM 306c1	On-base offense where Victim fell asleep in the lounge waiting for a phone call and awoke to Subject putting his hands under her shirt, fondling her breasts and touching her lips with his thumb. Article 32 hearing was held and a recommendation was made for a Special Court Martial, however, Subject was arrested by civilian authorities on an unrelated case. Charges were withdrawn because Command would pursue administrative separation as the result of the other unrelated case.
185	Wrongful Sexual	OCONUS	E-5	Male	E-3	Female	Q2	Failure to Obey Order or Regulation	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	Off-base offense involving alcohol when Victim hugged Subject, at a bar, and Subject cupped Victim's breast. Subject pled not guilty and was found guilty during a Special Court-Martial of violating Article 92 (Violating a lawful general order) by sexually harassing Victim.
186	Wrongful Sexual	CONUS	E-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim had a party in her home, and passed out on a couch in the garage. Witnesses reported seeing Subject on couch with his hand moving inside Victim's jeans. Subject admitted touching Victim's labia with finger. Victim signed VPS. Command took no action (no reason given).
187	Wrongful Sexual	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-2	Female	Q1	N/A	Administrative Discharge	On-base offense involving drugs where Subject came to Victim's barracks room as she dressed, tried to kiss her and slapped her on the buttocks. Subject admitted to kissing Victim and grabbing her breast. Both Victim and Subject admitted they had huffed compressed air and Victim admitted to abusing an over-the-counter drug.
188	Forcible Sodomy	CONUS	E-1	Male	E-1	Male	Q1	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim fell asleep with Subject in his room, and awoke (nude) to Subject performing oral sex on him and later awoke again to Subject (nude) straddling him and trying to lower his anus onto Victim's penis. Subject pled guilty at GCM to violations of Article 120 (Rape) and Article 92 (providing alcohol to minors).
189	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	O-1	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	N/A	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim met Subject at a hotel party and Subject told her to go into the bathroom, where he took off their clothes and had sex with Victim twice. Subject claimed it was consensual. An Article 32 hearing was held and Subject was found not guilty at GCM.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Disposition Offense	Case Disposition	Case Synopsis
190	Rape	CONUS	E-5	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Nonjudicial Punishment	Off-base offense where Civilian authorities requested NCIS assist them in determining whether Subject used his Govt computer to access Craigslist and solicit Victim (prostitute) for sex. Subject made appointment and before having sex, Victim asked for payment, but Subject pulled a knife instead, and raped her. Command administered NJP against Subject for violation of Article 128 (Assault), Article 134 (Solicitation of a Prostitute), and Article 86 (Absent without Leave).
191	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-1	Female	Q3	N/A	Commander Declined Action IAW UCMJ RCM 306c1	Off-base offense where Victim and Subject were alone, watching TV at a mutual friend's house, when Subject tried to kiss Victim and she told him to stop. Subject grabbed Victim and forcefully removed her pants and underwear, and raped her. NCIS was advised that Command would not prefer charges against Subject.
192	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-4	Male	Midshipman	Female	Q1	Other	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim passed out, and later awoke naked in the Subject's bed with Subject raping her. Subject was found to be deceptive during a polygraph and admitted to having sex with Victim while she was passed out. Subject was found not guilty at a GCM of violating Art 120 (Rape) and guilty of violating Art 134 (Adultery).
193	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense where Subject pushed Victim to the ground in the family room and proceeded to violently rape her for approximately five (5) to ten (10) minutes. Subject admitted to the incident. Subject was found guilty at GCM for violation of Article 120 (Rape).
194	State/Local Sexual Offense Not Specified	CONUS	US Civilian	Male	E-2	Female	Q2	State/Local Sexual Offense Not Specified	Civilian or Foreign Prosecution of Person Not Subject to UCMJ	Off-base offense involving heavy alcohol consumption where Victim returned to her friend's residence where she passed out on the living room floor, and awoke to her friend's step-son (Subject) on top of her with her pants missing. Civilian authorities assumed investigation. Subject was found guilty for violating California Penal Code 236 (false imprisonment).
195	Aggravated Sexual Assault	OCONUS	E-5	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense where Victim woke up believing she had been raped by Subject. Subject denied raping her. Subject later confessed to having sex with Victim while she was asleep. At GCM, Subject was found not guilty of Article 120 (Sexual Assault), and guilty of Article 92 (Violation of a lawful order) and Article 134 (Fraternalization).
196	Aggravated Sexual Assault	OCONUS	E-5	Male	E-2	Female	Q2	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim was escorted to her barracks room by Subject when Subject got on top of her and penetrated her vagina with his penis without permission. Subject denied raping Victim stating sex was consensual. Subject pled guilty to Indecent Acts, Adultery, and Fraternalization at Special Courts Martial.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Disposition Offense	Case Disposition	Case Synopsis
197a	Aggravated Sexual Assault	OCONUS	E-8	Male	E-2	Female	Q3	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim had consensual sex with Subject #3 who invited 2 other males, who had sex with her. Victim stated she felt uncomfortable and pressured by the number of men and their ranks. Victim was scared to resist. Subject #1 was found guilty of Article 80, Article 81, Article 107, and Article 120. Subject #2 was convicted at GCM of Article 81, Article 120, and Article 134. Subject #3 was found guilty of Article 81, Article 92, Article 120, and Art 134.
197b	Aggravated Sexual Assault	OCONUS	E-6	Male	E-2	Female	Q3	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim had consensual sex with Subject #3 who invited 2 other males, who had sex with her. Victim stated she felt uncomfortable and pressured by the number of men and their ranks. Victim was scared to resist. Subject #1 was found guilty of Article 80, Article 81, Article 107, and Article 120. Subject #2 was convicted at GCM of Article 81, Article 120, and Article 134. Subject #3 was found guilty of Article 81, Article 92, Article 120, and Art 134.
197c	Aggravated Sexual Assault	OCONUS	E-4	Male	E-2	Female	Q3	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim had consensual sex with Subject #3 who invited 2 other males, who had sex with her. Victim stated she felt uncomfortable and pressured by the number of men and their ranks. Victim was scared to resist. Subject #1 was found guilty of Article 80, Article 81, Article 107, and Article 120. Subject #2 was convicted at GCM of Article 81, Article 120, and Article 134. Subject #3 was found guilty of Article 81, Article 92, Article 120, and Art 134.
198	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-2	Male	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims	Q3	N/A	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim learned that Subject had sex with her while she was passed out. Grand Jury returned indictment for a charge of rape. DA's office dropped the rape charge citing that a safe had not been completed, and Victim was unable to remember rape. NCIS took jurisdiction and found additional alleged victims of sexual assault by Subject. Subject found not guilty at GCM.
199	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Female	Q1	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim was drinking with friends in the barracks when she went to visit her friend and Subject. Victim's friend left her in the room with Subject, who had non-consensual vaginal intercourse with her. Subject's DNA matched DNA taken from Victim's sexual assault kit. At GCM, Subject was found guilty of Article 120.
200	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-4	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	N/A	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense where Victim reported Subject raped her while staying in transient lodging. Subject placed his hand over Victim's mouth to keep her quiet. Victim refused to cooperate and testify against Subject. Article 32 hearing stated due to lack of evidence charges should be withdrawn and dismissed. Command dismissed all charges.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Disposition Offense	Case Disposition	Case Synopsis
201	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-2	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	Other	Administrative Discharge	Off-base offense where Victim reported Subject raped her in her residence, while Subject was visiting Victim. Civilian authorities arrested Subject and Subject pled guilty to one reduced charge for violation of Colorado statute 18-4-505 (Criminal Tampering). All other charges dropped. Subject was administratively discharged from USMC.
202	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-1	Female	Q3	N/A	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim went in-and-out of consciousness while in Subject's barracks room. Victim awoke to Subject performing oral sex on her and later saw him naked from the waist down. Victim awoke with soreness and bleeding, but did not seek medical attention. All charges were dismissed without prejudice.
203	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-2	Male	E-3	Female	Q2	N/A	Commander Declined Action IAW UCMJ RCM 306c1	On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim was taken to her quarters after becoming unconscious and was later discovered undressed in her bed, still unconscious, with Subject and female in room. Subject confirmed he and female marine were in Victim's room, but denied raping Victim. Command determined no judicial/administration action would be taken.
204a	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-2	Female	Q3	Other	Nonjudicial Punishment	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim reported being sexually assaulted by four acquaintances, and was too intoxicated to consent. Subject#1 forced Victim to perform oral sex on Subject#3 while he was having vaginal intercourse with Victim. Subject#2 performed oral sex on Victim. Later, Subject#4 showed Victim photos on his cell of her being victimized. SJA's Office advised sexual assault charges against Subjects were withdrawn.
204b	Forcible Sodomy	CONUS	E-1	Female	E-2	Female	Q3	Desertion/Missing Movement	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim reported being sexually assaulted by four acquaintances, and was too intoxicated to consent. Subject#1 forced Victim to perform oral sex on Subject#3 while he was having vaginal intercourse with Victim. Subject#2 performed oral sex on Victim. Later, Subject#4 showed Victim photos on his cell of her being victimized. SJA's Office advised sexual assault charges against Subjects were withdrawn.
204c	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-2	Male	E-2	Female	Q3	N/A	Commander Declined Action IAW UCMJ RCM 306c1	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim reported being sexually assaulted by four acquaintances, and was too intoxicated to consent. Subject#1 forced Victim to perform oral sex on Subject#3 while he was having vaginal intercourse with Victim. Subject#2 performed oral sex on Victim. Later, Subject#4 showed Victim photos on his cell of her being victimized. SJA's Office advised sexual assault charges against Subjects were withdrawn.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Disposition Offense	Case Disposition	Case Synopsis
204d	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-2	Male	E-2	Female	Q3	N/A	Commander Declined Action IAW UCMJ RCM 306c1	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim reported being sexually assaulted by four acquaintances, and was too intoxicated to consent. Subject#1 forced Victim to perform oral sex on Subject#3 while he was having vaginal intercourse with Victim. Subject#2 performed oral sex on Victim. Later, Subject#4 showed Victim photos on his cell of her being victimized. SJA's Office advised sexual assault charges against Subjects were withdrawn.
205	Wrongful Sexual	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Female	Q1	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Nonjudicial Punishment	On-base where Victim laid down on couch in lounge to sleep when Subject sat down and proceeded to touch her knee and rub her leg with his hand. After Victim told him to stop, Subject grabbed her breasts, under her utility blouse, while kissing her. Subject was found guilty at NJP of violating Article 92, Article 107, and Article 120.
206	Wrongful Sexual	CONUS	E-6	Male	E-2	Female	Q3	N/A	Subject Deceased or Deserted	On-base offense where Subject, while on duty, used duty key to enter Victim's room and proceeded to suck on her breasts and place his hands into rear of Victim's pants. Command advised an Article 32 hearing was pending until notification was received that Subject had committed suicide.
207	Forcible Sodomy	CONUS	E-7	Male	E-4	Male	Q3	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim and Subject drank returned by cab from club to a hotel, and Subject performed unwanted oral sex on Victim. Subject claimed sexual act was consensual. Subject went before a GCM where he was found guilty of violations of Article 92, Article 120, and Article 125.
208	Wrongful Sexual	CONUS	E-2	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	Other	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense where Victim and Subject communicated via MySpace for about 3 wks before meeting at the mall parking lot, where Subject groped Victim's genitals and forcefully kissed her. Victim drove Subject back to his barracks where Subject again assaulted Victim. Subject pled guilty to Article 128 at Summary Court Martial.
209	Wrongful Sexual	CONUS	E-4	Male	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims	Q2	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	Off-base offense where Subject would invite new joins to his house for a party, and they would become intoxicated, pass out, and wake to find their clothing either removed or undone with Subject touching them. Subject was found guilty at GCM of Article 92 (Providing Alcohol to Minors and Fraternalization), Article 120 (Abusive Sexual Contact), Article 125 (Sodomy), and Article 128 (Assault).
210	Wrongful Sexual	CONUS	E-6	Male	E-4	Male	Q1	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim fell asleep in Subject's barrack room after watching a movie, and awoke to find Subject fondling Victim's penis. Subject pled guilty at Special Court-Martial to Art 92 (Wrongfully fraternize by engaging in personal relations that were unduly familiar) and Art 120.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Disposition Offense	Case Disposition	Case Synopsis
211a	Forcible Sodomy	CONUS	E-7	Male	E-3	Male	Q1	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	Off-base offense where Victim consumed multiple alcoholic drinks purchased by Subjects, and went to a hotel with the couple, where the female Subject performed sexual acts on Victim while male Subject video taped acts. Victim grabbed the video camera when he left hotel room. Victim deleted video before giving camera to NCIS. CO declined to prosecute due to lack of physical evidence.
211b	Forcible Sodomy	CONUS	US Civilian	Female	E-3	Male	Q1	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	Off-base offense where Victim consumed multiple alcoholic drinks purchased by Subjects, and went to a hotel with the couple, where the female Subject performed sexual acts on Victim while male Subject video taped acts. Victim grabbed the video camera when he left hotel room. Victim deleted video before giving camera to NCIS. CO declined to prosecute due to lack of physical evidence.
212	Forcible Sodomy	CONUS	E-5	Male	E-3	Female	Q1	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	On-base offense where Subject forced Victim to have vaginal and anal sex, and forced Victim to perform oral sex on Subject, during 3 occasions in 11/08 or face hazing. Command did not charge Subject for violating Art 120 (Rape) or Art 125 (Sodomy) due to insufficient evidence.
212	Forcible Sodomy	CONUS	E-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q4	N/A	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense where Victim was having consensual anal intercourse with Subject, in his barracks room, until Victim began to feel discomfort, and asked Subject to stop. Subject refused and covered Victim's mouth with his hand until he ejaculated. Article 32 hearing recommended charges against Subject be dropped. Subject was formally counseled and a Page-11 entry was made.
213	Wrongful Sexual	CONUS	E-3	Male	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims	Q2	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense where Subject admitted sexual contact with 11 Victims. He exposed his genitals to 1 Victim (No Sex contact), but had physical contact with the other Victims. Subject was found guilty at Special Court Martial for violations of Article 93 (Cruelty and maltreatment) and Art 128 (Assault).
214a	Aggravated Sexual Assault	OCONUS	E-6	Male	E-2	Female	Q1	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Nonjudicial Punishment	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Subject#1, Victim, Subject#2, and another Marine slept overnight at beach. Subject#1 groped Victim's breasts over her clothing, and Victim awoke to Subject#2's hand digitally penetrating her vagina. Victim declined to sign a statement and signed a VPS. Subject#1 pled guilty at NJP for Article 92 (Fraternalization) and Article 134 (Drunk and Disorderly). No command action was taken against Subject#2.
214b	Aggravated Sexual Assault	OCONUS	E-2	Male	E-2	Female	Q1	Other	Commander Declined Action IAW UCMJ RCM 306c1	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Subject#1, Victim, Subject#2, and another Marine slept overnight at beach. Subject#1 groped Victim's breasts over her clothing, and Victim awoke to Subject#2's hand digitally penetrating her vagina. Victim declined to sign a statement and signed a VPS. Subject#1 pled guilty at NJP for Article 92 (Fraternalization) and Article 134 (Drunk and Disorderly). No command action was taken against Subject#2.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Subject Grade	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Disposition Offense	Case Disposition	Case Synopsis
215	Forcible Sodomy	OCONUS	E-3	Male	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Q2		Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim#1awoke to find Subject conducting fellatio on him. Subject admitted culpability and also revealed he sexually assaulted Victim#2 while Victim#2 was passed out by anally sodomizing himself using Victim#2's penis. Victim #2 has no recollection of the incident. Subject pled guilty at GCM to Article 120 (Wrongful Sexual Contact) and Article 125 (Sodomy).
216	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-2		Female	Q2	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim checked into a motel where approximately 25 male and female Marines were consuming alcohol in an adjacent room where Victim passed out. Victim awoke in her motel room and was informed that she had sex with Subject in a government-owned van in parking lot. Command advised no administrative/judicial action would be taken due to insufficient evidence.
217	Rape	CONUS	E-5	Male	US Civilian	US Civilian	Female	Q2	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	Off-base offense where Victim reported to sheriff's office she had been sexually assaulted by Subject at his residence. Victim could not conclusively say if Subject had sex with her without her permission. Subject denied having sexual intercourse with Victim. Sheriff's office presented investigation to Commonwealth Attorney's Office who declined prosecution. Command also declined administrative/judicial action.
218	Rape	OCONUS	E-5	Male	US Civilian	US Civilian	Female	Q2	Failure to Obey Order or Regulation	Probable Cause for Only Non-Sexual Assault Offense	On-base offense where Victim and Subject were involved in a physical altercation regarding her cellular telephone. During the altercation, Subject pulled her pants down and inserted his penis into her vagina from behind. After pleading with Subject to stop, Subject withdrew his penis and ejaculated on her back. Victim later signed a VPS. Subject received NJP for Article 92 (engaging in sexual relations in the barracks).
219	Aggravated Sexual Assault	OCONUS	E-3	Male	US Civilian	US Civilian	Female	Q1	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense where Victim and Subject walked to the golf course where Subject kissed Victim and removed her pants and underwear with her consent. Then, Subject proceeded to have vaginal intercourse with Victim without her consent. An Article 32 hearing recommended dismissal of rape charge and Special-Court Martial be convened for the other lesser charges of disobeying a lawful order, breaking restriction, and adultery.
220a	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-2	Male	E-2		Female	Q2	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim remembers waking up with Subject#1's arm around her and that her vagina was very sore and tender. A Marine stated he saw a video of her having sex with Subjects. Victim elected not to cooperate with the SJA's Office. Due to Victim's unwillingness to cooperate and the lack of substantive evidence of a crime, no further action was taken.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Disposition Offense	Case Disposition	Case Synopsis
220b	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-2	Male	E-2	Female	Q2	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim remembers waking up with Subject#1's arm around her and that her vagina was very sore and tender. A Marine stated he saw a video of her having sex with Subjects. Victim elected not to cooperate with the SJA's Office. Due to Victim's unwillingness to cooperate and the lack of substantive evidence of a crime, no further action was taken.
220c	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-2	Male	E-2	Female	Q2	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim remembers waking up with Subject#1's arm around her and that her vagina was very sore and tender. A Marine stated he saw a video of her having sex with Subjects. Victim elected not to cooperate with the SJA's Office. Due to Victim's unwillingness to cooperate and the lack of substantive evidence of a crime, no further action was taken.
220d	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-2	Male	E-2	Female	Q2	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim remembers waking up with Subject#1's arm around her and that her vagina was very sore and tender. A Marine stated he saw a video of her having sex with Subjects. Victim elected not to cooperate with the SJA's Office. Due to Victim's unwillingness to cooperate and the lack of substantive evidence of a crime, no further action was taken.
221	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-6	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	Off-base offense where Subject engaged in nonconsensual sex with Victim at a hotel. Subject admits to making advances towards Victim, but denied culpability with raping her. District Attorney's Office closed their investigation. SJA decided case lacked sufficient evidence to warrant prosecution, advising no further action would be taken.
222	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-2	Male	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims	Q2	Failure to Obey Order or Regulation	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Seven Marines stayed in the same hotel room throughout a three-day stay. Victim#1 alleges that Subject took off her bathing suit bottom and penetrated her vagina with his penis. Victim#2 alleges Subject penetrated her with his penis while she was asleep on the floor of the hotel room. A GCM found Subject not guilty of all charges except one violation of Article 92.
223	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Afghanistan	E-7	Male	E-3	Female	Q1	Failure to Obey Order or Regulation	Probable Cause for Only Non-Sexual Assault Offense	On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim spent the evening with Subject at his berthing area, and Subject had nonconsensual sex with Victim. Victim refused to participate in Subject's adjudicative process. Subject received NJP for engaging in sexual intercourse and consuming alcohol, both violations of Article 92.
224a	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-4	Female	Q3	N/A	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim remembered lying on her bed with Subject#2 holding her legs up in the air while Subject#1 had sex with her. Victim reported assault during admission into hospital for suicidal ideations. Subject#1 denied ever being at Victim's house. Subject#2 elected to seek counsel. GCM was held and both Subjects were acquitted on all charges.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Disposition Offense	Case Disposition	Case Synopsis
224b	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-4	Female	Q3	N/A	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim remembered lying on her bed with Subject#2 holding her legs up in the air while Subject#1 had sex with her. Victim reported assault during admission into hospital for suicidal ideations. Subject#1 denied ever being at Victim's house. Subject#2 elected to seek counsel. GCM was held and both Subjects were acquitted on all charges.
225	Forcible Sodomy	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-3	Male	Q1	N/A	Unknown Subject	On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim was followed by Subject, who asked if he could stay the night in Victim's room, and Victim consented to request. Victim awoke to Subject performing oral sex on him but passed-out. Victim awoke again briefly to find Subject was using his penis to anally penetrate himself. No witnesses and/or suspect developed.
226	Wrongful Sexual	CONUS	E-4	Male	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims	Q1	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Nonjudicial Punishment	On-base offense where between May and July, 2009, Subject sexually assaulted multiple Marines by touching and grabbing their genitals through their clothing. 10 Victims reported Subject touched them in a sexual manner and Subject physically assaulted one Victim. Subject admitted to allegations. Subject appeared at NJP where he pled guilty to violating Art 120 (Wrongful Sexual Contact).
227	Rape	CONUS	E-5	Male	E-5	Female	Q1	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim thinks she was raped 2 years ago by Subject while unconscious, but has had consensual sex with Subject since incident. Subject admits having consensual sex with Victim on 2 occasions and neither time was alcohol a factor. Investigation was closed due to lack of cooperation from Witness.
228	State/Local Sexual Offense Not Specified	CONUS	E-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	N/A	Civilian or Foreign Prosecution of Person Subject to UCMJ	Off-base offense where Subject entered Victim's residence while awaiting the arrival of his girlfriend, and forced Victim horizontally on the couch to perform cunnilingus without her consent. Victim also believes Subject penetrated her vagina with his penis. Subject was charged with Second Degree Sexual Assault and Second Degree Rape by local authorities. All charges were dismissed because of insufficient evidence.
229	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-7	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	Other	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim attended a social gathering and after the party, Subject entered Victim's residence, unannounced and forced Victim to have sexual intercourse. Subject admitted to having consensual intercourse with Victim, but denied raping her. Subject pled guilty at SCM to violations of Article 134 (Adultery).
230	Rape	CONUS	E-5	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	N/A	Adverse Administrative Action	Off-base offense where Victim alleged Subject tied her to a bed and raped her. Subject denied allegation, and Commonwealth Attorney's Office declined to prosecute. Primary jurisdiction was ceded to NCIS. Subject declined to speak with NCIS. Command advised Subject would be verbally counseled on his role in the alleged sexual assault but disciplinary action would not be taken.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Disposition Offense	Case Disposition	Case Synopsis
231a	Forcible Sodomy	CONUS	E-3	Male	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims	Q3	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Nonjudicial Punishment	On-base offense where Victims were hogtied by Subjects and digitally penetrated in the anus. Subjects#1, 3, and 6 all received NJP for Article 92 (Hazing). Subject#2 was found guilty at Special Court Martial for violations of Article 92 (Hazing). Subject#4 was found guilty at Summary Courts Martial of violations of Article 92 (Hazing) and Article 134 (Adultery). No action was taken against Subject #5.
231b	Forcible Sodomy	CONUS	E-1	Male	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims	Q3	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense where Victims were hogtied by Subjects and digitally penetrated in the anus. Subjects#1, 3, and 6 all received NJP for Article 92 (Hazing). Subject#2 was found guilty at Special Court Martial for violations of Article 92 (Hazing). Subject#4 was found guilty at Summary Courts Martial of violations of Article 92 (Hazing) and Article 134 (Adultery). No action was taken against Subject #5.
231c	Forcible Sodomy	CONUS	E-3	Male	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims	Q3	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Nonjudicial Punishment	On-base offense where Victims were hogtied by Subjects and digitally penetrated in the anus. Subjects#1, 3, and 6 all received NJP for Article 92 (Hazing). Subject#2 was found guilty at Special Court Martial for violations of Article 92 (Hazing). Subject#4 was found guilty at Summary Courts Martial of violations of Article 92 (Hazing) and Article 134 (Adultery). No action was taken against Subject #5.
231d	Forcible Sodomy	CONUS	E-4	Male	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims	Q3	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense where Victims were hogtied by Subjects and digitally penetrated in the anus. Subjects#1, 3, and 6 all received NJP for Article 92 (Hazing). Subject#2 was found guilty at Special Court Martial for violations of Article 92 (Hazing). Subject#4 was found guilty at Summary Courts Martial of violations of Article 92 (Hazing) and Article 134 (Adultery). No action was taken against Subject #5.
231e	Forcible Sodomy	CONUS	E-3	Male	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims	Q3	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Commander Declined Action IAW UCMJ RCM 306c1	On-base offense where Victims were hogtied by Subjects and digitally penetrated in the anus. Subjects#1, 3, and 6 all received NJP for Article 92 (Hazing). Subject#2 was found guilty at Special Court Martial for violations of Article 92 (Hazing). Subject#4 was found guilty at Summary Courts Martial of violations of Article 92 (Hazing) and Article 134 (Adultery). No action was taken against Subject #5.
231f	Forcible Sodomy	CONUS	E-2	Male	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims	Q3	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Nonjudicial Punishment	On-base offense where Victims were hogtied by Subjects and digitally penetrated in the anus. Subjects#1, 3, and 6 all received NJP for Article 92 (Hazing). Subject#2 was found guilty at Special Court Martial for violations of Article 92 (Hazing). Subject#4 was found guilty at Summary Courts Martial of violations of Article 92 (Hazing) and Article 134 (Adultery). No action was taken against Subject #5.
232	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-5	Male	E-1	Female	Q3	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	Off-base offense where Subject met Victim at Recruiting Station Office to continue physical rehabilitation when Subject digitally penetrated her vagina and penetrated her vagina with his penis. Subject also forced Victim to perform oral sex on him. Subject pled guilty at SCM to violating a general order (Fraternalization), and to wrongfully having sexual intercourse with Victim (Adultery).

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Disposition Offense	Case Disposition	Case Synopsis
233	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	N/A	Unknown Subject	On-base offense where Victim was forced to have vaginal sex with an unknown Subject. Victim reported crime after finding out she was pregnant, but later stated she no longer desires an investigation because her child was not conceived as a result. Victim signed VPS. Investigation closed due to unwillingness of Victim to participate in investigation.
234	Wrongful Sexual	CONUS	E-5	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q4	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Adverse Administrative Action	On-base offense where Victim reported she was indecently assaulted by Subject at his residence. Subject intentionally grabbed her breast and butt. Victim reported the only witness to the incident was her husband. Subject received a 6105 page 11 entry for his involvement in the incident.
235	State/Local Sexual Offense Not Specified	CONUS	US Civilian	Female	E-4	Female	Q1	N/A	Civilian or Foreign Prosecution of Person Not Subject to UCMJ	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim attended a house party, played several games of "beer pong," and doesn't remember much until waking up lying naked next to Subject. Subject stated they had sex. Victim stated he did not consent. County Attorney's Office declined to prosecute due to insufficient evidence.
236	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-4	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	Other	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense involving alcohol and drugs where Victim passed-out at a party, and woke to Subject having unwanted sexual relations with her. Charges were withdrawn when investigation determined Victim provided consensual oral sex to Subject the day before, and had used drugs. Subject pled guilty at GCM to Adultery, and will be Admin Separated.
237	Wrongful Sexual	OCONUS	E-6	Male	E-2	Female	Q1	N/A	Adverse Administrative Action	On-base offense where Victim and Subject were dog and house sitting for a fellow sailor when they ended up sharing the same bed and Victim awoke to Subject touching her vagina beneath her underwear. Subject admitted culpability. Subject was given verbal counseling and attended counseling with Fleet Family Services for his actions.
238	Forcible Sodomy	CONUS	E-7	Male	E-4	Male	Q4	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim was orally copulated, anally sodomized, and had his anus digitally penetrated by Subject. Local District Attorney declined to file charges and NCIS assumed investigation. Subject pled guilty at GCM to Article 92 (fraternization/providing alcohol to minor), Article 128 (assault consummated by battery), Article 120 (wrongful sexual contact), and Article 125 (forcible sodomy).
239	Wrongful Sexual	OCONUS	E-4	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	Other	Adverse Administrative Action	On-base offense where Subject, while at Subject's residence, touched Victim's buttocks under her clothing and against her will. Following the incident, Subject continued to harass Victim through text messages. Subject invoked his right to counsel. Subject received Command-level counseling for the pursuit of an inappropriate relationship with another Marine's wife.
240	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim traveled with her friend to socialize with friend's boyfriend and Subject in a rented motel room. Victim and Subject engaged in sexual intercourse which neither remembered the following morning. County District Attorney's office rejected prosecution citing insufficient evidence. CO did not anticipate taking any adjudicative/administrative action either.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Subject Grade	Victim Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Disposition Offense	Case Disposition	Case Synopsis
241	Rape	CONUS	E-7	Male		US Civilian	Female	Q4	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim passed out and awoke to Subject having sexual intercourse with her. She did not report it at the time, and has had mental health issues and received a medical discharge for PTSD as 30% disabled. All suspects/participants have invoked legal counsel, and no physical evidence exists. RLSO declined prosecution of this case.	
242	Wrongful Sexual	CONUS	E-5	Male		US Civilian	Female	Q1	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	Off-base offense where Subject admitted stalking random women like Victim, whom he followed to her residence, where he took the opportunity to fondle her breasts while she was unloading items from her car. Victim was able to capture pictures of Subject's car/license plate. Subject pled guilty to Article 92 and Article 120.	
243	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-3	Male		E-2	Female	Q4	Other	Nonjudicial Punishment	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim passed-out, and later awoke to Subject having sexual intercourse with her. Local police relinquished investigation to NCIS. Subject awarded NJP and found guilty of violations of Article 134 (Adultery).	
244	Forcible Sodomy	CONUS	E-4	Male		US Civilian	Female	Q3	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	On-base offense where Victim went to visit her former boyfriend and he forcibly anally sodomized her in his barracks room. Subject was interrogated, during which he denied culpability in the sexual assault of Victim. Subject's Command and Trial Counsel indicated no charges were preferred due to Victim's unwillingness to cooperate.	
245	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-3	Male		E-3	Female	Q3	N/A	Unknown Subject	Off-base offense where a Marine, whom Victim refused to identify, forcibly had vaginal intercourse with her on a beach in 2008. Contact with Victim's Advocate determined Victim had advised she was raped by an unnamed Marine. Victim signed VPS. All logical leads have been exhausted producing no substantive results.	
246	Aggravated Sexual Assault	OCONUS	E-4	Male		US Civilian	Female	Q1	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim was told she had sexual intercourse with Subject. Medical evidence indicated Victim, who was a virgin, had her hymeneal ring penetrated. Subject admitted consensual intercourse. Victim signed VPS. Command declined to take action regarding rape allegation due to Victim's unwillingness to cooperate.	
247	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-3	Male		US Civilian	Female	Q1	N/A	Administrative Discharge	Off-base offense involving drugs where Subject had vaginal sex with Victim on two separate occasions while she was under the influence of prescribed narcotics. Subject admitted the encounters during a polygraph. During preparations for Article 32 investigation, Subject was arrested off base for Possession of Marijuana with Intent to Distribute. Command, in conjunction with Trial Counsel, has elected to administratively separate Subject and requested no additional investigative efforts.	

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Disposition Offense	Case Disposition	Case Synopsis
248	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-1	Male	E-1	Female	Q1	N/A	Commander Declined Action IAW UCMJ RCM 306c1	On-base offense where Victim was working in the galley at the Brig, when she was cornered by Subject, who forcefully held her against a wall, covering her mouth with one hand while forcing his other down her pants to digitally penetrate her. Victim's statement had inconsistencies and there were indications of possible false allegations. MJO decided case lacked prosecutorial merit and Command subsequently declined to take action.
249	Wrongful Sexual	CONUS	E-5	Male	E-2	Female	Q2	N/A	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense where Victim was standing "Fire Watch" when her instructor put his hand between her legs as he entered the squad bay. Subject invoked his right to remain silent. Subject was found not guilty at Special Court Martial of violating Article 120 (Wrongful Sexual Contact), Article 92 (Failure to Obey a Lawful Order or Regulation), and Article 134 (Indecent Language).
250	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Female	Q2	N/A	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	Off-base offense where Victim fell asleep in an upstairs bedroom at party, and awoke to Subject performing oral sex on her. When Victim tried to leave, Subject forcibly penetrated her vagina with his penis three times. Victim repeatedly resisted Subject and told him "no". Subject denied raping Victim. Subject later acquitted at GCM.
251	Rape	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-4	Female	Q2	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	Off-base offense where Victim was possibly drugged and raped by an unknown Marine. Subject was identified and stated the sexual intercourse was consensual. Upon re-interrogation, Subject admitted culpability in the rape of Victim. Subject was found guilty at GCM of violations of Article 120, 125, and not guilty of Article 128.
252	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-2	Female	Q2	Other	Adverse Administrative Action	On-base offense where Victim was visiting Subject in his barracks when Subject pushed her down on his bed and penetrated her vagina with his penis. Subject stopped when victim told him to stop. Subject denies accusation. Victim signed VPS. CO indicated Subject received an official counseling in the form of page 11 entry for adultery and prosecution would not be pursued.
253	Wrongful Sexual	CONUS	E-7	Male	E-7	Female	Q4	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Off-base where Subject forced Victim to touch his penis underneath his shorts and sent Victim's cell three videos of him masturbating and one photograph of him shirtless. Victim later indicated she was no longer willing to participate with the investigation. RLSO determined they were not going to prosecute due to lack of merit and Victim declining to participate with the investigation.
254a	Wrongful Sexual	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Female	Q1	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	On-base offense where Subject#1 groped Victim's breasts and groin area over her clothes while Subject#2 held her arms above her head. Victim was also slapped on the buttocks by Subject#2. When faced with inconsistencies in her story, Victim signed VPS. Command advised no administrative/judicial action would be taken against Subjects, however, Subject#1 would be placed into a counseling program.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Subject Grade	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Disposition Offense	Case Disposition	Case Synopsis
254b	Wrongful Sexual	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-3		Female	Q1	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	On-base offense where Subject#1 groped Victim's breasts and groin area over her clothes while Subject#2 held her arms above her head. Victim was also slapped on the buttocks by Subject#2. When faced with inconsistencies in her story, Victim signed VPS. Command advised no administrative/judicial action would be taken against Subjects, however, Subject#1 would be placed into a counseling program.
255	State/Local Sexual	CONUS	E-6	Male	US Civilian		Female	Q2	Attempt to Commit Offense	Civilian or Foreign Prosecution of Person Subject to UCMJ	Off-base offense where Victim awoke to Subject's erect penis and testicles rubbing against her face and Victim's boxer shorts were pulled down and there was moisture near her vagina. Subject was arrested by the local police and charged with intent to commit rape. Subject was discharged for commission of a serious offense.
256	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	Unknown	Unknown	E-2		Female	Q1	N/A	Commander Declined Action IAW UCMJ RCM 306c1	On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim blacked out and awoke to an unknown Subject having sexual intercourse with her. After being re-interviewed, Victim stated that she could not remember much of what happened and had no reason to think the Subject would have assaulted her. Command did not pursue any judicial action.
257	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-7	Male	E-7		Female	Q4	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	On-base offense involving alcohol where, after having dinner, Subject entered Victim's room and forcefully showed his penis into her vagina by moving her shorts and underwear to one side. Subject denied raping Victim. Victim later stated she did not want to continue assisting in the investigation. As a result, investigation was closed and no judicial action was taken.
258	Wrongful Sexual	CONUS	E-6	Male	US Civilian		Female	Q3	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	Off-base offense where Victim went to Recruiting station seeking a ride from Subject, and Subject made sexually suggestive remarks to her and touched her leg and breast. Local police closed investigation after Commonwealth Attorney's Office declined felony charges. Subject pled guilty to various charges (NFI) at GCM. Charges specific to Victim were withdrawn due to Victim's refusal to cooperate.
259	Wrongful Sexual	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-3		Female	Q1	N/A	Unknown Subject	On-base offense Victim advised she awoke to a man standing over her bed. Subject touched her breast and inner thigh before leaving her barracks' room. Subject was not able to be identified.
260	Wrongful Sexual	CONUS	E-5	Male	E-3		Female	Q1	Failure to Obey Order or Regulation	Nonjudicial Punishment	On-base offense where Subject placed his hand on Victim's thigh then slowly moved his hand to her vaginal area where he started rubbing her vagina over her clothing while Victim was talking on the telephone at her desk. Subject found guilty at NJP of violating Article 92 (Failure to Obey Order or Regulation).
261	Wrongful Sexual	CONUS	E-5	Male	US Civilian		Female	Q1	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Adverse Administrative Action	On-base offense where Victim was sexually assaulted at her residence when Subject touched Victim's buttocks and breasts, outside of her clothing, and made obscene comments. Subject received a Page 11 entry in response to captioned investigation and was counseled regarding violations of Article 134 (Drunk and Disorderly Conduct).

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Disposition Offense	Case Disposition	Case Synopsis
262	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	N/A	Unknown Subject	On-base offense where Victim was bound for her boyfriend's vehicle, in an adjacent parking lot to her barracks, when Subject held Victim's face down, fondled Victim's breasts, and digitally penetrated her vagina as she attempted to enter vehicle. Victim attempted to turn and look at Subject, and was struck in the face. All leads have been exhausted with no subject identified.
263	Forcible Sodomy	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-2	Female	Q2	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim was forcefully sodomized by an unknown Subject while attending a party at a residence. Subject gave Victim a beer, which incapacitated him to a point where he was unable to move. Local police related, despite repeated attempts, they have been unable to contact Victim and subsequently closed their investigation.
264	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-6	Male	E-3	Female	Q2	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	On-base offense where Victim, who was 8 months pregnant, advised she was raped by Subject, during a Command function. As a result of investigative efforts, numerous inconsistencies were revealed with respect to Victim's initial statement and the SAUSA declined prosecution due to Victim's unwillingness to pursue any charges and an overall lack of evidence.
265a	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	N/A	Commander Declined Action IAW UCMJ RCM 306c1	On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim and Subjects went to Subject#1's barracks room after attending a football game. While in Subject#1's room, both Subjects forcibly and repeatedly penetrated her vagina with their penises. Command will not pursue charges against Subjects because Subject#1 was being administratively discharged due to a positive drug urinalysis, and Subject#2 was separated due to a pattern of misconduct.
265b	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-2	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	N/A	Commander Declined Action IAW UCMJ RCM 306c1	On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim and Subjects went to Subject#1's barracks room after attending a football game. While in Subject#1's room, both Subjects forcibly and repeatedly penetrated her vagina with their penises. Command will not pursue charges against Subjects because Subject#1 was being administratively discharged due to a positive drug urinalysis, and Subject#2 was separated due to a pattern of misconduct.
266	Wrongful Sexual	CONUS	E-5	Male	E-2	Female	Q3	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense where Victim was sleeping in her barracks room when Subject entered her room, climbed into her bed, rubbed her legs and buttocks, and attempted to place his hand inside her underwear. Subject was found guilty, by Special Court Martial of Art 120 (Wrongful Sexual Contact), and not guilty of Article 129 (Burglary).
267	Wrongful Sexual	OCONUS	E-6	Male	E-6	Female	Q3	Other	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim fell asleep with her clothes on, but awoke to find her bra and shirt were off, her pants unzipped and open with Subject kissing her. Subject stated they kissed consensually twice that night. Subject was found guilty at a Summary Court Martial of Article 134 (Unlawfully entering the dwelling room of Victim).

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Subject Grade	Victim Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Disposition Offense	Case Disposition	Case Synopsis
268	Aggravated Sexual Assault	OCONUS	E-4	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	Probable Cause for Only Non-Sexual Assault Offense	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Off-base offense involving drugs and alcohol where Victim accepted Subject's invitation to spend the night on his sofa due to intoxication and awoke the following morning with partial memory of sexual intercourse and saw a used condom in the trash can. Victim withdrew rape allegation in lieu of adultery charges being filed. A Summary Court Martial found Subject guilty of adultery and marijuana use.		
269	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-4	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q1	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	N/A	On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim spent the night at Subject's house and feared she might have had sexual intercourse with Subject while unconscious. Subject admitted having consensual intercourse with Victim. Victim signed a VPS electing not to participate further. The Legal Services Support Team advised subsequent to Victim signing a VPS, no charges will be preferred.		
270	Forcible Sodomy	CONUS	O-3	Male	E-4	Female	Q3	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Subject anally sodomized Victim. Subject went to GCM where he was found guilty of Article 133 (Conduct Unbecoming) and Article 134 (Fraternalization).		
271	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	Unknown	Male	E-2	Female	Q2	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	N/A	Off-base offense where Victim, a patient for psychiatric counseling, was sexually assaulted to include penile penetration (Victim refused to provide details) by Subject, another patient at the facility. Victim signed a VPS. Victim received a General Discharge due to a pre-existing disability. Command legal declined to take action against Subject.		
272	Wrongful Sexual	CONUS	E-2	Male	E-2	Female	Q3	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	On-base offense where Victim went to sleep after taking Vicodin and awoke with her pants pulled down, Subject placed her hand on his penis and later, laid naked on top of Victim. Subject admitted using his duty key to enter Victim's room and indecently assaulting Victim while she was sleeping. Subject pled guilty at GCM to Article 120 (Indecent Assault) and Art 129 (Burglary).		
273	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-2	Female	Q4	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	N/A	On-base offense where Subject entered Victim's barracks room and digitally penetrated her vagina by force. Victim did not seek medical attention, but provided the clothing she was wearing during assault. Subject stated the sexual contact between them was consensual. Victim provided a VPS, requesting charges not be pursued against Subject.		
274	Forcible Sodomy	OCONUS	E-2	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim fell asleep in Marine friend's barracks room and Victim's friend was awakened by Subject's legs shaking. Friend witnessed Subject thrusting his penis inside Victim's mouth. Victim never awoke during assault. Subject was found guilty of Art 125 (Forcible sodomy), Art 120 (Wrongful sexual contact), and Art 92 (Violation of a lawful general order).		

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Disposition Offense	Case Disposition	Case Synopsis
275	Forcible Sodomy	Iraq	Unknown	Unknown	E-3	Male	Q2	N/A	Unknown Subject	On-base offense where Victim believes he was rectally sodomized as he slept in the barracks because when he awoke, he had abdominal and rectal pain. Forensic analyses failed to disclose information relevant to the nature of Victim's alleged assault, nor the identity of assailant. All logical leads have been exhausted with no logical Subject identified.
276	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-5	Male	E-5	Female	Q4	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Commander Declined Action IAW UCMJ RCM 306c1	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim and her classmates went to a Marine's home for an after party and Victim awoke nude with Subject asleep on the floor. Later Subject told her they had sex. Command would not be preferring charges, but gave Subject a page 11 entry instead.
277	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	O-1	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q3	N/A	Commander Declined Action IAW UCMJ RCM 306c1	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim met Subject while at a sports bar and ended up at a hotel where Victim remembers falling asleep and waking up to Subject on top of her. Subject admitted to having consensual intercourse. Victim signed a VPS. Command ordered charges be withdrawn and dismissed without prejudice.
278a	Aggravated Sexual Assault	OCONUS	E-5	Male	E-5	Female	Q3	N/A	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim passed out and awoke with pain in her inner thighs and anus. Subject#1 admitted to having consensual sex with Victim and Subject#2 invoked his right to counsel. Subject#1 found guilty at Special Court Martial. Command exonerated Subject#2 of the alleged violation of Article 120 (Rape).
278b	Aggravated Sexual Assault	OCONUS	E-6	Male	E-5	Female	Q3	N/A	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim passed out and awoke with pain in her inner thighs and anus. Subject#1 admitted to having consensual sex with Victim and Subject#2 invoked his right to counsel. Subject#1 found guilty at Special Court Martial. Command exonerated Subject#2 of the alleged violation of Article 120 (Rape).
279	Rape	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Female	Q2	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense where Victim went to Subject's barrack's room to advise him that their relationship was over when Subject became angry and forced her to have sexual intercourse. Victim, fearing for her life, did not protest. Subject pled guilty at SCM for Article 120 (Rape), Article 128 (Assault), and Article 134 (Adultery).
280	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-4	Female	Q2	N/A	Insufficient Evidence of Any Offense	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim passed out and believed she was raped. Subject admitted having consensual sexual intercourse with Victim. Charges preferred against Subject during an Article 32 hearing, per the authorization of the convening authority, were withdrawn without prejudice.
281	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-3	Female	Q1	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim passed out and awoke to Subject performing cunnilingus on her and touched her vagina with his penis (may have had penetration). Subject admitted to allegations at SpCM and was convicted of Art 120 (Aggravated Sexual Assault).

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Disposition Offense	Case Disposition	Case Synopsis
282	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-2	Female	Q1	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim passed out and awoke to Subject having unwanted sexual intercourse with her. Victim signed a VPS and stated she no longer wished to participate in investigation. Command elected not to pursue administrative or judicial action.
283	Rape	CONUS	E-5	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q2	N/A	Adverse Administrative Action	On and off-base offense where Subject strangled Victim in his barracks' room and sodomized her. Subject also raped Victim in her college dorm room 2 days later. Contact with the County Deputy District Attorney revealed investigation lacked prosecutorial merit. CO indicated Subject received a verbal warning and counseling.
284	Aggravated Sexual Assault	OCONUS	E-4	Male	E-2	Female	Q1	N/A	Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	On-base offense involving alcohol where Victim awoke nude and later learned Subject stated he had sex with her and had taken nude pictures of her. Subject admitted only having consensual sexual intercourse with Victim. Victim signed VPS. Investigation did not develop sufficient evidence and no judicial/administrative action against Subject was pursued.
285	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-2	Female	Q2	N/A	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense where Victim was sexually assaulted by an unknown male while walking adjacent to base fitness center. Victim changed the details of her original allegation. Victim identified her assailant and stated he sexually assaulted her in his barracks room. Subject admitted to raping Victim. Subject was found not guilty of Article 120 (Rape).
286	State/Local Sexual Offense Not Specified	CONUS	US Civilian	Male	E-3	Female	Q1	N/A	Civilian or Foreign Prosecution of Person Not Subject to UCMJ	On-base offense where Victim advised she had been raped 8 months prior in barracks' room by Subject, but Victim refused to provide details. Victim later stated she was sodomized but was too intoxicated to recall details. Victim did not wish to cooperate with investigation. Trial Counsel related this case has no prosecutorial merit.
287	Rape	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-4	Female	Q4	N/A	Commander Declined Action IAW UCMJ RCM 306c1	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim became ill and vomited. Subject drove Victim and friend back to friend's residence. Victim awoke to find Subject straddling her on the bed and they were both naked. Deputy District Attorney rejected prosecution of case and Command subsequently chose not to pursue any administrative/judicial action.
288	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-5	Male	E-4	Female	Q4	N/A	Commander Declined Action IAW UCMJ RCM 306c1	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim and her friends left the pool area and returned to Victim's apartment, where Victim went to sleep. Subject engaged in sexual intercourse with Victim against her will. Case was declined for prosecution by the District Attorney. Contact with Subject's command revealed no administrative/judicial action was taken.
289	Wrongful Sexual	CONUS	E-4	Male	E-3	Female	Q3	N/A	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	Off-base offense involving alcohol where Victim attended a party with coworkers and fell asleep in living room. Victim awoke to Subject rubbing her vagina through her sweat pants. Subject provided a statement in which he admitted culpability. Subject pled guilty to violations of Art 128 at Summary Court Martial.

7. UR Case Synopses

No.	Offense Investigated	Location	Subject Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Grade	Victim Gender	Quarter Disposition Completed	Disposition Offense	Case Disposition	Case Synopsis
290	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CONUS	E-3	Male	E-4	Female	Q2	N/A	Subject Deceased or Deserted	On-base offense where Victim suffered a seizure, after which time she went to bed. Victim woke up the following morning experiencing a burning sensation in her vaginal area and discovered some minor abrasions. Subject admitted he engaged in anal sodomy with Victim. Subject was found unresponsive in his room and pronounced dead.
291	Forcible Sodomy	OCONUS	E-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q4	Forcible Sodomy	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense where Victim and Subject were having consensual intercourse with Victim being voluntarily handcuffed, when Subject started to anally sodomize her. Victim told Subject to stop because it hurt, but he continued to sodomize her. Subject found guilty at GCM of Article 125 (Forcible Sodomy).
292	Forcible Sodomy	CONUS	E-3	Male	US Civilian	Female	Q3	Multiple Offenses (see Synopsis)	Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)	On-base offense where Victim was digitally penetrated and orally sodomized by Subject, in Subject's barracks room, after Victim ended their engagement. Subject completed a sworn written statement, in which he corroborated Victim's account of incident. Subject pled guilty at SpCM of violating Article 92 (Failure to obey an order or regulation) and Article 128 (Assault consummated by battery).