



Enclosure 3: Department of the Air Force





DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
HEADQUARTERS UNITED STATES AIR FORCE
WASHINGTON, DC

MAR 27 2019

OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY

MEMORANDUM FOR UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE (PERSONNEL AND
READINESS)

SUBJECT: Fiscal Year 2018 Annual Report on Sexual Assault in the Military

In response to your 17 September 2018 data call, I am forwarding the Air Force input to the *Fiscal Year 2018 Department of Defense (DoD) Annual Report on Sexual Assault in the Military*.

The Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program's primary objectives are to eliminate sexual assault prevalence, increase reporting and narrow the gap between the two. We were disheartened by the prevalence results for Fiscal Year 2018, and commit to try new approaches in the future to eliminate this behavior. The Air Force did make progress in reporting, resulting in the highest reporting rate since the inception of the Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program in 2005.

The Air Force remains steadfast in innovating, evolving, and strengthening our sexual assault prevention by advancing training programs focused on leadership engagement and bystander intervention. We remain equally as dedicated to strengthening our response efforts by refining and expanding access to victim services, and holding offenders appropriately accountable. In short, we are committed to enhancing the safety of our Airmen by implementing and maintaining evidence-based approaches to reduce sexual assault.

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "S. Manasco", is positioned above the typed name.

SHON J. MANASCO
Assistant Secretary
(Manpower and Reserve Affairs)

FY 2018 Annual Report on Sexual Assault in the Military Executive Summary: United States Air Force

Sexual assault is a crime that negatively affects victims and their families, erodes unit trust and cohesion, and ultimately undermines the Air Force's lethality and mission success. It is inconsistent with our core values and goes against our culture of dignity and respect. The Air Force remains steadfast in innovating, evolving, and strengthening our sexual assault response and prevention efforts, including refining and expanding access to robust victim support services, engaging leadership, implementing evidence-based prevention programs, and holding offenders appropriately accountable. The Air Force is committed to continually building trust and confidence with the goal of encouraging victims to report and receive support and ensuring that every Airman and family member thrives. Accordingly, the Air Force is deliberately implementing strategy to increase reporting until it meets the level of incidence, while driving both figures to zero.

In Fiscal Year 2018, the Air Force faced continued challenges, but has made some progress. The Air Force prevention efforts continued to evolve toward an integrated and holistic approach to violence prevention and resilience, to include shoring up the mission and scope of the Integrated Resilience Directorate (AF/A1Z) to reduce silos and capitalize on Air Force synergies across research and development, planning, policy, programming, and assessment efforts. This included publishing a policy directive to establish an overarching policy and framework for all resilience and primary prevention of interpersonal and self-directed violence (e.g., sexual assault) programs and activities, which support and enhance Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program policy. On the training front, the Air Force updated sexual assault prevention training at all accession sources (e.g., Basic Military Training, the United States Air Force Academy, Reserve Officer Training Corps) to align with the annual prevention program provided across the Total Force, focusing on leadership engagement and bystander intervention. Moreover, the Air Force made progress on two innovative sexual assault prevention initiatives. The Sexual Communication and Consent training is an interactive tablet-based training for Basic Military Training where recruits will complete a confidential screening exercise and receive one of six evidence-based programs determined by their beliefs, values and experience. The Cadet Healthy Personal Skills program at the United States Air Force Academy delivers to cadets the knowledge, attitudes, and skills that will enhance their personal resilience, increase their potential for success, and help them develop healthy and rewarding personal relationships. The Air Force also implemented "Getting to Outcomes," a strategic planning process for community action planning, which allows installations to develop specific prevention and response plans that address areas of concerns and unique needs. This then informs the delivery of the annual training with materials from the "Create an Environment of Dignity, Respect, and Connectedness" toolkit, which continues to reinforce effective bystander skills while meeting sexual assault and suicide prevention training requirements.

In Fiscal Year 2018, the Air Force also made progress in our response efforts for sexual assault. During this reporting period, the Headquarters Air Force SAPR Program conducted consultation visits with Major Command Sexual Assault Response Coordinators to address the complexities of providing professional oversight to increase proficiency and expand program capacity. Air Force Instruction 90-6001, Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program, was updated to provide additional direction to commanders on sexual assault response policy and procedures,

clarify policy intent, and assist Sexual Assault Prevention and Response personnel in implementing their programs. The Air Force approved plans to increase the initial Sexual Assault Response Coordinator Course from seventy-two to eighty hours and the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Victim Advocate Course from forty to fifty-six hours to facilitate improved quality of advocacy and care to victims. The Air Force also stood up the Sexual Assault Response System Improvement committee to advance specific goals, aimed at improving victim care and recovery, increasing sexual assault reporting, and improve chain of command and system accountability.

On the legal front, the Air Force Special Victims' Counsel Division continued to promote the enforcement of sexual assault victims' privileges and rights within all military branches by representing Air Force members in sister Services' courts, through leadership provided to the congressionally mandated Inter-Services Committee, and by providing training to incoming Special Victims' Counsel. Moreover, Air Force Special Victims' Counsel conducted and received advanced training at civilian sponsored conferences and Service courses, such as the Air Force Intermediate Sexual Assault Litigation Course and the Advanced Sexual Assault Litigation Course, and the Special Victims' Counsel Division initiated Character and Strength Summits designed to focus on assault victim-specific mental health issues, wellness, and resiliency. The Air Force also designed, developed, and executed its first in-residence training for Victim and Witness Assistance Program Coordinators to ensure personnel are informed of changes in victim rights and the military justice process as well as how to most effectively work and coordinate with Special Victims' Counsel.

During this reporting period, the Air Force Office of Special Investigations maintained timely delivery of sexual assault investigations to conduct and publish Reports of Investigations in over 1,000 adult victim sexual assault cases. Moreover, we continue to collaborate with the Department of Defense and Sister Services on the CATCH a Serial Offender Program, offering victims who opt for a Restricted Report an opportunity to provide information on the accused and/or incident for potential identification of serial offenders. Implementation of CATCH is expected in 2019. A new protocol was also implemented to identify serial sexual offenders to assess whether they've exhibited similar (abusive) behaviors with others, which allows Air Force Office of Special Investigations to look into a Subject's other close relationships. The Air Force Office of Special Investigations is further developing cross-disciplinary collaboration with stakeholders to improve victim confidence in the system, leading to increased reporting.

In Fiscal Year 2018, the Air Force continued to make progress in reporting. We received a record 1,544 reports of sexual assault involving Service members - the largest number of reports of sexual assault received since the inception of the Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program in 2005. This is a 4.3% increase from the 1,480 reports made in Fiscal Year 2017. The Air Force attributes this upward trend in reporting to a higher level of trust and confidence in our robust response system. The Air Force, however, recognizes that more must be done and acknowledges, that despite our best efforts, prevalence has increased. According to more recent surveys, there has been an increase in Airmen who were sexually assaulted in the past year. While sexual assault reporting increased between Fiscal Years 2016 and 2018 by 14%, the prevalence of sexual assault has increased at a higher rate.

Despite this significant challenge, the Air Force is committed to eliminating the gap between prevalence and reporting through multi-pronged prevention and response system strategies, to

include leadership engagement. We are currently exploring strategies to prevent accessing those individuals identified at a high risk for unethical behaviors, including sexual assault perpetrators. Moreover, we standardized our accessions training and continue to advance our bystander intervention approach, grounded in evidence-based strategies to ensure Airmen are equipped to safely intervene against sexual assault and other forms of violence. Our goal is to get beyond awareness raising, to implement prevention strategies with proven results. This includes looking at positive unit climate and leadership engagement. Initial evaluation results indicate that this approach is increasing hope, efficacy, and positive behavior change. The Air Force continues to self-assess and enhance policy, programs, and training influenced by national research, trends and collaboration with key stakeholders. This includes updated Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response policy to reflect critical changes in victim care and streamlining for efficiency and effectiveness. We are integrating policy and programs that promote resiliency and violence prevention (e.g. sexual assault) that seeks to engage of Airmen at all levels.

The Air Force remains steadfast in combatting sexual assault through innovating, evolving, and strengthening our response and prevention systems. We strive to identify strategies that eliminate existing challenges and barriers while offering best available solutions that promote Total Force well-being. Optimized performance enables lethality by empowering Airmen to “out-think, out-maneuver, out-partner, and out-innovate existing and emerging threats” (National Defense Strategy, 2018).

1. Goal 1—Prevention

1.1 Summarize your efforts to achieve the Prevention goal to “institutionalize evidenced-based, informed prevention practice and policies across the Department so that all Military Service members are treated with dignity and respect, and have the knowledge, tools, and support needed to prevent sexual assaults.” (DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan, 2017-2021 (December 1, 2016), Goal 1 – Prevention, p. 6)

In this strategic summary, include significant updates and/or force-wide changes describing how your Military Service is addressing the following topics. There is no need to repeat prior Annual Report submissions if these processes have remained largely the same as in prior years.

Overall Approach: What force-wide initiatives did your Military Service employ this year to reduce the occurrence of sexual assault? (DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan, 2017-2021 (December 1, 2016), Goal 1 – Prevention, p. 6)

Metrics for Assessing Prevention Effectiveness: What metrics are being used to assess the effectiveness of prevention efforts intended to reduce the prevalence of sexual assault? Include a discussion of the metrics used to assess your sexual assault prevention program, and how they support or supplement DoD’s core prevention metrics (i.e., prevalence and bystander intervention experience). Describe how the results of those metrics are informing prevention planning. (DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan, 2017-2021, (December 1, 2016), Goal 1 – Prevention, Objective 1.1, p. 6)

Institutionalize Prevention: What are your efforts to institutionalize prevention efforts throughout your Service? Where do you see your efforts being most effective and where do you see areas for additional attention? (DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan, 2017-2021, (December 1, 2016), Goal 1 – Prevention, p. 6)

Expanding Prevention Knowledge: What advances have you made this year to expand your Services' knowledge of primary prevention? If applicable, how have you differentiated who receives what content? (DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan (December 1, 2016), Goal 1 – Prevention, Objective 1.2, p. 7)

Evidence-Based Prevention Practices: Explain your Military Service's approach to fostering and ensuring the use of evidence-based prevention practices. What resources or support have been put in place to educate your prevention community on how to interpret research in the prevention field? (DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan (December 1, 2016), Goal 1 – Prevention, Objective 1.2, p. 6)

Summarize your efforts

The Air Force continues to prioritize and implement prevention efforts grounded in evidence-based strategies and approaches to ensure Air Force lethality and mission success. This includes advancing training programs focused on leadership engagement and bystander intervention, which equips Airmen with the knowledge and skills to identify warning signs and safely intervene against sexual assault or other forms of violence. Training also focused on increasing the belief that violence is preventable, increasing leadership and bystander efficacy, and improving bystander behaviors. To build on fiscal year 2017 foundational training, a leadership and bystander intervention toolkit, “The Tools to Create an Environment of Dignity, Respect, and Connectedness,” was developed to allow Violence Prevention Integrators to tailor training based on the specific or unique needs of their unit or installation. This tailored approach allows leadership and bystander intervention skills to be incorporated into the everyday lives of our Airmen for 2019.

The Sexual Communication and Consent training was developed for implementation at Basic Military Training to provide high quality and effective sexual assault prevention training across Airmen’s career cycles. This tablet-based training will provide an interactive and multimedia training module based on each trainee’s current knowledge, beliefs, behaviors, and experiences. Using trainee information, the training broadly addresses sexual assault prevention, increases the likelihood of perpetration prevention, and reduces sexual assault victimization. The tailored interventions will be implemented in Spring of 2019.

The Cadet Healthy Personal Skills program was implemented at the United States Air Force Academy to teach cadets how to manage stress and emotions, navigate peer pressure and social media, and foster healthy intimate relationships. In each instance, the delivery method is adapted to reinforce the tenets of the leadership and bystander intervention and bolster cadet acceptance, internalization, and efficacy. The Cadet Healthy Personal Skills primary prevention intervention model is innovative in that it takes a public health approach to preventing sexual misconduct and related risk behaviors. The intervention is guided by relevant psychosocial theory, as part of a social-ecological framework, to intervene upon key risk and protective factors at multiple levels. At the Academy, cadets were randomly assigned by basic cadet training squadron to either receive the Cadet Healthy Personal Skills program (intervention group) or not receive the program (control

group). All consenting cadets completed an evaluation survey before and after implementation of the Cadet Healthy Personal Skills program.

In November 2017, a post-test evaluation was conducted via survey. The results of this evaluation indicate that the Cadet Healthy Personal Skills program reduced inappropriate sexual behavior. The Cadet Healthy Personal Skills program also produced increase in skills and beliefs associated with personal resilience, healthy interpersonal relationships, and a culture of respect among cadets as reported in the 12-month program follow-up. Cadets who received the Cadet Healthy Personal Skills program reported post-test rates of inappropriate sexual behavior that were approximately half that of the control group. Although only some of these comparisons reached statistical significance due to constraints on statistical power, the pattern and magnitude of the effects for the measured sexual acts without consent provide further support for the promise of the Cadet Healthy Personal Skills program. A promising finding was that the rate at which women in the intervention group were kissed or sexually touched without consent (6.9%) was about half that of women in the control group (13.6%). Another promising finding was that cadets who went through the Cadet Healthy Personal Skills program had better time management, relationship skills, and better consent attitudes. This finding was further confirmed at the 12-month follow-up. Appropriate beliefs about sexual assault were also better among intervention cadets at post-test, compared to control group cadets. In summary, the Cadet Healthy Personal Skills program cut rates of sexual acts without consent for female cadets in the intervention group by approximately half (relative to a control group). This produced significant increase in skills and beliefs associated with personal resilience, healthy interpersonal relationships, and a culture of respect among cadets.

Based on the positive outcomes of the test trial, the Cadet Healthy Personal Skills program was implemented to all incoming freshmen in June 2018. The curriculum from the Cadet Healthy Personal Skills program was adapted and piloted at two installations for the First Term Airmen Course. The Air Force now has recommendations for implementation and curricula for both the United States Air Force Academy and the First Term Airmen Course. The adaptation was named Airmen Personal and Professional Skills. The program assessment is facilitated through open-ended questions and group discussions. After implementation, participants completed a brief survey and participated in a 30-minute focus group. Three key informant stakeholder interviews were conducted in order to obtain feedback on various aspects of the program including curriculum content and sustainability of future implementations. Based on discussions during the program, focus groups and stakeholder interviews, 22 recommendations were provided by the program developer. There were nine recommendations for program content, three for implementation and scheduling, six for facilitator selection and training, and four for outcome evaluation.

Overall Approach:

The Air Force worked with RAND to implement the “Getting to Outcomes” process across the Air Force. This is a strategic planning process for community action planning. Installation Community Action Teams used this process to develop their installation specific prevention and response plans by utilizing information collected through community assessment surveys to address areas of concern, specifically violence prevention. Violence Prevention Integrators will use the “Getting to Outcomes” process to inform their delivery of the annual training with materials from the “Create an Environment of Dignity, Respect, and Connectedness” toolkit. Other conducted assessments will need to meet their local priorities and implementation needs. This approach will continue to

reinforce effective bystander skills for the primary prevention of interpersonal and self-directed violence while meeting sexual assault and suicide prevention training requirements.

In Fiscal Year 2018, the Air Force also published Air Force Policy Directive 90-50, Integrated Resilience, to establish an overarching policy and framework for all resilience and primary prevention of interpersonal and self-directed violence (e.g., sexual assault) programs and activities, including Community Action Board and Community Action Team functions. This policy directive was part of an effort to integrate and consolidate resilience and violence prevention programs and activities under one office. This approach gives the Air Force the ability to eliminate research, policy, programming and assessment redundancies and streamline communication channels through the integration of related activities, and the selection of evidence-based programs with demonstrated effects. The Air Force also initiated the development of Air Force Instruction 90-5001, Integrated Resilience, which establishes guidance and requirements for programs and activities that support resilience and violence prevention. These policies support and enhance existing Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program prevention policy, reinforcing the Air Force's commitment to prioritizing Airmen's well-being and performance, and the overall sustainment of a resilient and ready Total Force. Air Force Instruction 90-5001 was published in January 2019.

Metrics for Assessing Prevention Effectiveness:

Short term and intermediate effects of the prevention elements of annual sexual assault prevention training have been monitored with a variety of surveys. The Combined Sexual Assault Prevention and Response/Suicide Prevention Training survey measures Airmen perception of the training effectiveness and delivery, as well as, their acceptance of the training. Air Force assesses the Workplace and Gender Survey of Active Duty Members to evaluate impact on behavioral change, both positive and negative. A sexual assault prevention and response provider survey was also developed in the summer of 2018, which will inform program performance and curriculum application. These surveys provide comprehensive feedback from the force as well as the program implementers.

The Cadet Healthy Personal Skills program was implemented using scientific research standards. The program curriculum was introduced using a randomized controlled trial for qualitative and quantitative analysis of pre- and post-test assessments, which would support group comparisons and address program effectiveness. Program delivery was assessed via a fidelity checklist. The fidelity checklist guided observations and facilitator self-assessments to ensure the Cadet Healthy Personal Skills program met its program objectives and that the facilitators were delivering the content in a high quality manner. The data was collected via feedback surveys, focus groups, and individual interviews with the cadet participants.

Institutionalize Prevention:

The Air Force has made substantial investments in adapting and evaluating evidence-based sexual assault prevention approaches while also updating the content and delivery of sexual assault prevention training. The updated training builds on and reinforces sexual assault prevention training received in previous training environments. AF/A1Z partnered with the United States Air Force Academy and Air Education and Training Command to update sexual assault prevention training at accession sources to ensure top down effectiveness. Training was implemented at all

accession sources and given to the Total Force. Training for the “Getting To Outcomes” process was provided in preparation for process implementation in Fiscal Year 2019. Once implemented at all Air Force installations, “Getting to Outcomes” will support greater organizational integration and collaboration to better coordinate efforts to meet installation specific needs, which ultimately increases the effectiveness and positive impact of installation prevention initiatives. The incorporation of prevention training into efforts throughout the installation and going beyond the training environment will have the greatest effect. The synergy created by the coordinated efforts of leadership, plan execution, and training will reinforce the need for prevention programs to consist of evidence-based or informed proactive initiatives.

The leadership and bystander intervention toolkit builds upon the foundational training delivered via “Green Dot” from previous years. It provides Violence Prevention Integrators the ability to tailor the training at the installation level, thereby increasing the likelihood of positive behavioral and attitudinal changes in Airmen. The development of targeted rather than universal training and approaches (e.g. the leadership and bystander intervention toolkit, Cadet Healthy Personal Skills) are a significant step in the Air Force’s plan to provide high quality and evidence-based prevention training from accession to separation or retirement.

Additional attention is planned for the next phase of integration for our resilience and violence prevention efforts and programs. Optimizing the areas of overlap will serve as a force multiplier for the positive effects each training effort and program provides. To increase our chances of success, AF/A1Z has partnered with the 711th Human Performance Wing to develop a predictive performance optimizer application for our leadership and bystander intervention training. The predictive performance optimizer is a process that shifts training delivery focus to a competency-based and personalized training focus. If the predictive performance optimizer can be applied to our prevention efforts, our sexual assault prevention training will be driven by the needs of the individual learner, thus supporting the continued development of tailored training for every Airman. By developing training that can be tailored to the individual level, the possibility of incorporating our prevention efforts into our Airmen’s everyday activities are greatly increased. Moving forward, the focus will be on maximizing the impact of our training programs to decrease, to the greatest extent possible, interpersonal and self-directed violence while bolstering Airmen total well-being. The initial stages of development for this effort began in Fiscal Year 2018 however, application assessment will not begin until Fiscal Year 2019.

Expanding Prevention Knowledge:

The Air Force introduced a paradigm changing program that allows prevention to stand distinct from that of response. The purpose of this program is to move from a reactive to a proactive approach by developing teams committed to promoting proactive endeavors for the prevention of interpersonal and self-directed violence. This strengthens prevention by disentangling response strategies from prevention strategies thus increasing the impact of our initiatives and programs. The Air Force partnered with the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention to provide training webinars for Violence Prevention Integrators, which expanded on their prevention knowledge and delivery skills. In order to ensure that all Violence Prevention Integrators have a common, strong foundation in a public health approach to violence prevention, AF/A1Z partnered with the Division of Violence Prevention in the National Center for Injury Prevention and Control at the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention to provide training and ongoing professional development to the

Violence Prevention Integrators. This requirement involved establishing the training and professional development curricula that the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention developed for the Violence Prevention Integrators. The curricula provided Violence Prevention Integrators the ability to identify and select the best available violence prevention policies, practices, and programs; ability to apply public health prevention approaches and models to enhance program design, and implementation of Air Force violence prevention activities; experience with program design, implementation, and translation; experience with assessment, research comprehension, and program evaluation; experience synthesizing data and communicating about data in a clear and concise way. These webinars occurred through a combination of facilitated discussions and activities along with reviewing video captured during in-person trainings.

Evidence-Based Prevention Practices:

The Air Force has partnered with the Center for Disease Control and Prevention, the public sector, and academia to implement a public health approach to sexual assault prevention training. AF/A1Z provided consistent message in its communications, training, and guidance to sexual assault prevention providers. The training curricula and delivery methods target awareness as well as behavioral change. Moreover, the “Getting To Outcomes” process provides an avenue to incorporate training into an integrated violence prevention plan tailored to the needs of the Airmen at the installation-level. All of these methods and efforts are based on or informed by peer-reviewed research from academia, military specific research, or proven best practices in the industry.

From the headquarters to the installation level, guidance was disseminated that programs and training should be evidence-based to ensure that effective programs and trainings are being implemented. The Air Force Community Action Board issued guidance that all levels will limit resources dedicated to unproven approaches. Best practice standards were provided to Community Action Boards and Community Action Teams for implementation at every level. A standardized process to plan development, testing, execution, and assessment is available for every Community Action Team to better ascertain what are the best programs and practices that exist. This process also helps them determine what the resource allocation should be for prevention programs and training, as well as assess the quality and applicability of existing research and best practices.

1.2 Briefly describe your leadership-approved future plans to reduce the occurrence of sexual assault in your Military Service.

Reducing sexual assaults within the Air Force requires a multi-faceted approach across the Airman career cycle. The Air Force Compatibility Assessment is under development. Implementation is anticipated in 2019 and will be a helpful screening tool for individuals seeking to enter the Air Force.

The Air Force will continue to implement prevention approaches at accession sources. These efforts include tailored interventions delivered via tablet at Basic Military Training, a life skills and healthy relationship program for cadets entering the United States Air Force Academy, and effective leadership and bystander intervention training woven throughout training and reinforced by the Total Force annual training. For the sustainability phase of the leadership and bystander intervention training, all installations (including Air National Guard Wings) were provided a toolkit

with a variety of curricula and other training materials. This toolkit allows them to customize their approach and determine the best way to reinforce the messages of the prior year's prevention efforts. In addition, training assistance support is provided to Violence Prevention Integrators to ensure training is properly delivered, training materials are used with fidelity, and Violence Prevention Integrators develop and improve their training facilitation skills.

In addition to the prevention efforts at the installation and Air National Guard Wings, the Air Force continues to collaborate with the Department of Defense and our sister Services on implementation of the CATCH a Serial Offender Program in anticipation of the program's release in 2019. This program will further assist in the identification of possible serial offenders and may provide victims an additional resource to report a sexual assault and to know the available options, potentially enhancing the Air Force's ability to appropriately hold offenders accountable and inhibit their ability to continue perpetrating.

2. Goal 2—Victim Assistance & Advocacy

2.1 Summarize your efforts to achieve the Victim Assistance & Advocacy goal to “deliver consistent and effective advocacy and care for all military Service members or their adult dependents, such that it empowers them to report assaults, promotes recovery, facilitates dignified and respectful treatment, and restores military readiness.” (DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan, 2017-2021 (December 1, 2016), Goal 2 – Victim Assistance & Advocacy, p. 7)

In this strategic summary, include significant updates and/or force-wide changes describing how your Military Service is addressing the following topics. There is no need to repeat prior Annual Report submissions if these processes have remained largely the same as in prior years.

Overall Approach: What force-wide initiatives did your Military Service employ this year to improve response to sexual assault? Were any victim assistance and advocacy initiatives employed with targeted subgroups or specific locations? (DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan, 2017-2021 (December 1, 2016))

Metrics for Assessing Victim Assistance and Advocacy: What metrics or assessment processes are being used to address the effectiveness of victim assistance and advocacy efforts intended to deliver consistent care for all Service members and/or their adult dependents? What is your status in developing indicators for measuring SARCs' and SAPR VAs' “quality response” in support of DoD Sexual Assault Advocate Certification Program? (DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan “Task List,” (December 1, 2016), Goal 2 – Victim Assistance and Advocacy, Objective 2.1, Task #7, p. 3)

SARC and SAPR VA Certification and Renewal: What criteria is your Military Service using to weigh all of the information (records and adverse actions in personnel files and all other information) received to determine eligibility to perform SARC and SAPR VA duties? Are there any identified challenges that SARCs and SAPR VAs have in obtaining continuing education advanced training? How are you addressing these challenges? (DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan, 2017-2021 (December 1, 2016), Goal 2 –

Victim Assistance & Advocacy, Objective 2.1, p. 8 / DoDI 6495.03, "Defense Sexual Assault Advocate Certification Program (D-SAACP)," (September 10, 2015), Encl 3, para 2c & para 3)

SARCs and SAPR VA Suspension, Revocation, and Reinstatement: Have you made any updates to your SARC and SAPR VA suspension, revocation, and reinstatement procedures over the last year? How many SARCs and SAPR VAs in your Military Service received a suspension? A revocation? A reinstatement? (Identify how many SARCs and SAPR VAs for each category) **(DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan, 2017-2021 (December 1, 2016), Goal 2 – Victim Assistance & Advocacy, Objective 2.1, p. 8 / DoDI 6495.03, "Defense Sexual Assault Advocate Certification Program (D-SAACP)," (September 10, 2015), Encl 3, para 3)**

Initiatives to Improve Response to and Reporting by Male Sexual Assault Victims: What initiatives is your Military Service using to improve its response to and increase reporting by male sexual assault victims? **(DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan "Task List," (December 1, 2016), Goal 2 – Victim Assistance & Advocacy, Objective 2.3, Task #1, p. 4)**

Military Protective Orders: How many Military Protective Orders were issued as a result of an Unrestricted Report (e.g., number issued, number violated)? What steps (if any) were taken in the last year to improve protections? **(DoDI 6495.02, "Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures," (July 7, 2015), Encl 5, para 7)**

Medical and Mental Health Support: How many Service members who reported a sexual assault (if any) had their medical care hindered due to a lack of Sexual Assault Forensic Examination (SAFE) kits, timely access to appropriate laboratory testing resources, mental health counseling, or other resources? How did your Military Service handle any challenges in these areas? **(NDAA for FY 2006, section 596)**

(Army, Air Force, and NGB) National Guard and Reserve Access to SARCs and SAPR VAs: What is being done within your Military Service to promote and improve timely access to SARCs and SAPR VAs by members of the National Guard and Reserves? What are your recurring challenges in this area (if any) and how are you accommodating those challenges? **(DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan "Task List," (December 1, 2016), Goal 2 – Victim Assistance and Advocacy, Objective 2.1, Task #5, p. 3)**

Coordination with Non-DoD Resources: What efforts are being made to coordinate interoperability with non-DoD victim response organizations (e.g., Veterans Affairs and rape crisis centers)? Include how your Military Service is communicating the availability of these resources (and benefits) to your Service members? **(DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan "Task List," (December 1, 2016), Goal 2 – Victim Assistance and Advocacy, Objective 2.1, Tasks #1 & #10, p. 3)**

Summarize your effort

The Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program remains consistent in its commitment to support sexual assault victims with robust response, advocacy, and ongoing care. We recognize that victims/survivors enter our Air Force family through a variety of pathways with

diverse life histories and experiences. It is imperative to keep this guiding principle in mind when planning and exploring best practices that promote victim recovery and facilitate a culture of dignity and respect. These are critical considerations to an effective program service delivery and implementation. Highlighted below is an abbreviated list of significant courses of action taken to increase effective advocacy and care for all Service members:

- **MAJOR COMMAND CONSULTATION VISITS** – In Fiscal Year 2018, the Headquarters Air Force SAPR Program conducted nine consultation visits to address the complexities of providing professional oversight for programs with multiple requirements and to inspire innovation, collaboration and effective engagement, which improves accuracy and efficiency. The goal of this initiative were multi-faceted in our efforts to increase proficiency and expand program capacity. Major Command Sexual Assault Response Coordinators were divided into two groups based on experience providing Major Command professional oversight to installation Sexual Assault Prevention and Response personnel. Those who had less than three years received a 5-day consultation visit, which focused on tactical program review, manpower resource monitoring, Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database data review, branding as a tool to raise awareness, and designing tools and resources to foster exceptional service delivery. Major Command Sexual Assault Response Coordinators with more than three years of experience received a 3-day visit with a primary focus on manpower resource monitoring, solution-based problem solving, building collaborative teams, Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database data review, and personnel development utilizing a comprehensive training plan. An executive summary was generated for each completed consultation visit. In Fiscal Year 2019, a consultation visit will be conducted for the remaining four Major Commands. Preliminary analysis indicates that Major Command Sexual Assault Response Coordinators are key to the success of installation Sexual Assault Prevention and Response programs, and despite challenges that may be unique to each location, their ability to actively monitor operations and offer ongoing mentoring has a positive effect on service delivery.
- **POLICY UPDATE** –Air Force Instruction 90-6001, Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program, was updated with the publication of the Air Force Guidance Memorandum in October 2018. This update provided additional direction to commanders on sexual assault response policy and procedures, clarified policy intent, and assisted Sexual Assault Prevention and Response personnel in implementing their programs. Moreover, the Air Force has begun a major rewrite of Air Force Instruction 90-6001 for publication in 2019. This will identify potential resource constraints in accordance with Air Force governance to introduce upcoming victim assistance initiatives and strengthen overall program execution inside the continental United States, outside the continental United States, and the area of responsibility. When leaders, subject matter experts, stakeholders, and Airmen are familiar with and support Sexual Assault Prevention and Response policy and procedures, the Air Force is better positioned to reduce this serious crime.
- **TRAINING** - Numerous planning sessions were held during Fiscal Year 2018 to assess the growing needs and strengths of Major Command and installation level Sexual Assault Prevention and Response personnel. Based on findings, a proposal was drafted and approved to increase the number of hours for the initial Sexual Assault Response

Coordinator Course from seventy-two to eighty and to increase the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Victim Advocate Course hours from forty to fifty-six. The curriculum extension addressed key missing elements that increased core competencies and skills, which facilitate improved quality of advocacy and care to victims/survivors. The missing or enhanced elements incorporated exercises that required a demonstration of proficiency and included modules or exercises on self-care, vicarious trauma/burnout, cultural humility, expedited transfers, appropriate documentation, utilization of Volunteer Victim Advocates, facilitation skills, crisis intervention, safety assessments, and the CATCH a Serial Offender Program. In addition, there were eventful modifications to the pre- and post-assessments for these courses. The Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program also completed a total rewrite of the 40-hours Volunteer Victim Advocate curriculum with an emphasis on adult learning style principles. The new curriculum provides greater opportunity for observing students and their ability to synthesize and apply materials presented to them. Annual Total Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response training received the same level of scrutiny, to maximize opportunities for critical improvements. To assist in these efforts and ensure timeliness, additional curriculum developers were contracted.

- **DATA COLLECTION** – The Air Force recognizes that data collection and management is one of the most important roles and responsibility of SAPR personnel. Timely data entry and more accurate and complete data, increases the ability to analyze and improve Sexual Assault Prevention and Response program efforts (e.g., victim advocacy support, training, etc.). The more that Sexual Assault Prevention and Response personnel become acquainted with the data they collect, the more they are able to recognize errors and performance gaps and identify solutions. The Air Force continues to regularly emphasize the value of their hard work and encourage their determination to minimize errors as an incentive for developing effective and efficient plans of action. This includes providing individualized seminars to each Major Command, disseminating information about and encouraging attendance to all Department of Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database webinars. Monthly case level reports and quality assurance tools are distributed to the field through the Major Command Sexual Assault Response Coordinators in an effort to improve accuracy and compliance.
- **LEADERSHIP ENGAGEMENT-** Another response system improvement initiative included a Tiger Team to advance specific goals aimed at improving victim care and recovery, increasing sexual assault reporting, and improving chain of command and system accountability. Participants included a multi-disciplinary team of functional experts from the medical, legal, investigative, personnel, Sexual Assault Prevention and Response personnel, and leaders who were previous and sitting commanders. The Sexual Assault Response System Improvement committee prioritized five focus areas: 1) Build Quality Assurance processes for continuous evaluation of the sexual assault response system; 2) Re-evaluate Family Advocacy Program and Sexual Assault Response Coordinator roles and responsibilities to identify seams, gaps, and opportunities for unity of effort; 3) Advocate ways to enhance privacy, security, and confidentiality of mental health records potentially accessible during the justice process; 4) Develop additional methods and means to diversify options for reporting sexual assault incidents and engage leadership influencers; and 5)

Improve processes and programs for survivor transitions following a sexual assault report. These focus areas are intended to engage leaders at critical points in the post-assault response environment. The formalization of objectives and corresponding action items is planned for Calendar Year 2019.

Metrics for Assessing Victim Assistance and Advocacy: Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program efforts require daily, weekly, monthly and quarterly metrics to assist with assessing program effectiveness. All 24-hour notifications and 8-day reports are monitored and reviewed at the Headquarters Air Force level to ensure efficient and timely data entry and that victim care and support is consistently provided by all key stakeholders (e.g., commanders, Sexual Assault Prevention and Response personnel, investigators, medical personnel, and legal representatives). Weekly reports monitor the expedited transfer procedures. Monthly quality assurance tools are utilized to measure the fidelity and accuracy of data, which directly impacts victim care and support. Quarterly reports provide the opportunity to review a broad range of advocacy efforts and service delivery (e.g. training, community awareness, Department of Defense Sexual Assault Advocate Certification Program, Volunteer Victim Advocate utilization, tracking suspensions and revocations, etc.). A proposed dashboard was drafted and introduced to the field and several Major Commands are currently piloting its use as a type of “storyboard” to capture quality response.

Sexual Assault Response Coordinator and Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Victim Advocate Certification and Renewal:

Air Force commanders, who have access to information in personnel records, are utilized to assist with vetting all civilian and military Sexual Assault Prevention and Response personnel along with an application and interview provided to the Major Commands. This is a coordinated effort between commanders and the Civilian Personnel Office at each installation. When adverse actions for full-time or volunteer personnel associated with Sexual Assault Prevention and Response program are revealed, swift measures are taken per Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response policy in which parties are removed from their responsibilities involving direct contact with victims until the matter has been adjudicated. If there are negative final findings, appropriate actions are taken to suspend or revoke credentials. The Headquarters Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program maintains regular and consistent communications with the field to encourage, share, and monitor completion of continuing education hours as required by Sexual Assault Prevention and Response policy.

Sexual Assault Response Coordinator and Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Victim Advocate Suspension, Revocation, and Reinstatement:

The Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program, with direct recommendations from installation commanders and vice commanders, suspended and revoked credentials for four Sexual Assault Response Coordinators and four Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Victim Advocates. At this time, the Headquarters Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program has not found it necessary to make any changes in policy regarding Sexual Assault Response Coordinators and Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Victim Advocate suspension and revocation.

Sexual Assault Prevention and Response ROLE	SUSPENSION	REVOCATION	REINSTATEMENT
Sexual Assault Response Coordinator	1	1	0
Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Victim Advocate	3	3	0

Initiatives to Improve Response to and Reporting by Male Sexual Assault Victims:

The Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program continues to provide information and education about male victimization as part of the initial and annual refresher training for Sexual Assault Prevention and Response personnel. Training addresses topics such as unique barriers to reporting, providing advocacy for and outreach to male victims, etc. to ensure Sexual Assault Prevention and Response personnel have a better understanding of how to be responsive when male victims come forward to report. In 2018, Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program worked with 1 in 6, a national male victimization advocacy organization, to provide additional training to our Sexual Assault Prevention and Response personnel. Sexual Assault Response Coordinators have seen a slight increase of male victims reporting sexual assault, and are continually monitoring reporting and available resources specific to this demographic. The Air Force strives to provide awareness of the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program that is gender responsive, culturally competent, and recovery-oriented.

Military Protective Orders: In Fiscal Year 2018, there were 104 Military Protective Orders issued as a result of an Unrestricted Reports; of those, three were violated. During this reporting period, the Air Force included additional details in the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Commander's Talking Points regarding the option to issue No Contact Orders or Military Protective Orders as well as the requirement to notify law enforcement when a Military Protective Order is issued as a result of an Unrestricted Report of sexual assault. This was an effort to improve protections and reinforce commanders' understanding of their options.

# Military Protective Orders Issued during Fiscal Year 2018	104
# Reported Military Protective Orders Violations in Fiscal Year 2018	3
# Reported Military Protective Orders Violations by Subjects	1
# Reported Military Protective Orders Violations by Victims of Sexual Assault	1
# Reported Military Protective Orders Violations by Both	1

Coordination with Non-Department of Defense Resources:

To improve interoperability and identify additional available resources for victims and witnesses served by the Air Force Victim and Witness Assistance Program, several Air Force Victim and Witness Assistance Program Coordinators and the Chief of Victim and Witness Policy, Military Justice Division attended the National Crime Victims’ Law Conference. In addition, numerous Special Victims’ Counsel along with personnel from the Air Force Judiciary and Military Justice Division attended the National Sexual Assault Conference to learn about victim response organizations outside the Department of Defense. The conference gave attendees the opportunity to identify best practices across the federal government as well as improve Air Force programs that provide support to victims and witnesses of crime in the military setting.

Finally, several victim response organizations from outside the Department of Defense presented at the Air Force’s first in-residence Victim and Witness Assistance Program training for Victim and Witness Assistance Program Coordinators. Having these presenters provide information directly to individual program coordinators will ensure that installation level programs have knowledge of and access to relevant national and local programs providing services to victims and witnesses enhancing program interoperability between DoD and non-DoD organizations.

2.2 Briefly describe your leadership-approved future plans to deliver consistent and effective advocacy and care for all Service members or their adult dependents.

The Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program has and continues to provide a trained, certified, and ready response to all Service members or their adult dependents who experience sexual assault in accordance with the National Defense Authorization Act and Department of Defense requirements. The Air Force also extended this response system to Department of Defense civilian employees—recognizing that a healthy Total Force is a lethal Air Force. This was approved through an exception to policy to Department of Defense Instruction 6495.02, granted by the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness. The Air Force is committed to ensuring that all Airmen receive world-class care when coming forward to report an incident of sexual assault. In pursuit of the highest level of service to Airmen, every effort is made to ensure continuing education, providing consistent and direct communication to the field, and

conducting regular assessments of programs, policies, and procedures regarding the care of our Airmen.

As described in section 2.1, the Sexual Assault Response System Improvement committee prioritized five focus areas. These focus areas are intended to engage leaders at critical points in the post-assault response environment. The formalization of objectives and corresponding action items is planned for Calendar Year 2019.

During 2018, 100 attorneys and paralegals in Air Force Special Victims' Counsel Division actively represented over 970 sexual assault victims in courts-martial, hearings, victim interviews, and meetings with investigators, prosecutors, defense counsel, Staff Judge Advocates and convening authorities, and countless other out-of-court alternative dispositions that satisfied the victims' goals and interests. The Air Force Special Victims' Counsel Division continues to promote the enforcement of sexual assault victims' privileges and rights within all military branches by representing Air Force members in sister services' courts, through leadership provided to the congressionally mandated Inter-Services Committee, and by providing training to incoming Special Victims' Counsel/Victim Legal Counsel.

The requirement to complete a certification course was initiated when the Special Victims' Counsel Program began in 2013 with part-time Special Victims' Counsel. To ensure that every Special Victims' Counsel Division member is certified, incoming personnel attend an annual Air Force Judge Advocate General's School certification course for both adult and child clients. The Air Force Judge Advocate General's School provides the Special Victims' Counsel Course, which is a 10-day course at Maxwell Air Force Base. At the Special Victims' Counsel Course 2018, 3 incoming Senior Special Victims' Counsel, 27 incoming Special Victims' Counsel, and 11 incoming Special Victim Paralegals received 52 blocks of instruction regarding representation of adult and child victims of sexual assault. The course also included 54 incoming Special Victims' Counsel/Victims' Legal Counsel from our sister services. Student feedback included appreciation for joint service training, subject matter expert instructors, and training blocks on practical application of the law.

Air Force Special Victims' Counsel and Special Victims' Paralegals who could not attend the Air Force Special Victims' Counsel Course, could instead attend the Army Judge Advocate General Legal Center and School certification courses. In Fiscal Year 2018, 8 Special Victims' Counsel and 5 Special Victim Paralegals attended the Army adult representation certification courses. 1 Special Victim Paralegal attended the child portion of the course. Additionally, the Army Special Victims' Counsel Program invited 3 Air Force Special Victims' Counsel personnel to be instructors at the Army Adult certification courses.

In this reporting period, Air Force Special Victims' Counsel conducted and received advanced training at Service courses and civilian organizational conferences. Special Victims' Counsel attended specialized litigation training at the Air Force Intermediate Sexual Assault Litigation Course and the Advanced Sexual Assault Litigation Course. In December 2017, the Intermediate Sexual Assault Litigation Course-Pacific Air Forces was conducted at Kadena Air Base in Okinawa, Japan. In April 2018, Intermediate Sexual Assault Litigation Course –United States Air Forces in Europe was conducted at Ramstein Air Force Base in Germany. In May 2018, the Intermediate Sexual Assault Litigation Course-West was conducted at Travis Air Force Base in California. In

August 2018, Intermediate Sexual Assault Litigation Course -Central was conducted at Joint Base San-Antonio in Texas. Due to the budget impasse in 2017, the Eastern Circuit Intermediate Sexual Assault Litigation Course was postponed until October 2018. The Advanced Sexual Assault Litigation Course was conducted at Maxwell Air Force Base in Alabama and six Special Victims' Counsel attended.

In August 2018, the Air Force Trial Judiciary presented the Air Force Circuit Advocacy Training. All the Air Force Special Victims' Counsel leadership (Division Chief, Associate Chief, Deputy Chief, Chief of Appellate and Outreach and 5 Senior Special Victims' Counsel) attended the Air Force Circuit Advocacy Training in order to maximize the available time between headquarters and the Senior Special Victims' Counsel assigned throughout the world. The Special Victims' Counsel Division participated in the annual Judge Advocate Appellate Training by providing faculty and 9 Special Victims' Counsel attendees. The Division provides training and networking opportunities by attending civilian conferences. In the reporting period, the Air Force Special Victims' Counsel Division sent 15 Special Victims' Counsel and 10 Special Victims' Paralegals to the National Crime Victims Law Institute, 17 Special Victims' Counsel and 3 Special Victims' Paralegals to the National Sexual Assault Conference, and 8 Special Victims' Counsel and 5 Special Victims Paralegals to the Texas Association Against Sexual Assault. All of the above mentioned training will be provided again to new personnel in the upcoming year.

The Special Victims' Counsel Division Chief provides training to commanders at every Senior Leaders' Orientation Course, which includes the incoming Wing, Vice Wing and Group Commanders. The Special Victims' Counsel Division Chief provides training to Family Advocacy Program personnel by briefing at their annual conferences, one for medical providers and another for advocacy personnel. The Special Victims' Counsel Associate Chief provides training at the Office of Special Investigations' Sexual Crimes Intermediate Training Program, which is offered five times a year. The Special Victims' Counsel Associate Chief also provides training regarding victims' rights at the initial Sexual Assault Response Coordinator/Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Victim Advocate courses conducted at Maxwell Air Force Base six times a year. Regularly, Special Victims' Counsel in the field provide specialized training to trial counsel, paralegals, victim-witness assistance personnel, Military Criminal Investigation Operations, Sexual Assault Response Coordinators and Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Victim Advocates, ensuring they receive updates about victims' rights. Special Victims' Counsel offer training to installation legal offices whenever the Special Victims' Counsel travels for litigation purposes. Special Victims' Counsel and Special Victims' Paralegals provide training at Commander's Calls, First Sergeant's meetings and other installation level training in order to inform them of legal updates in victims' rights. When briefing legal updates regarding victims' rights, Special Victims' Counsel/Special Victims' Paralegal's cover a variety of topics, to include (but not limited to) the right to be protected from the accused, the right to notice, the right to confer with the government and the right to be treated with fairness and respect for the victim's privacy.

Ensuring victims of sexual assault have access to quality advocacy and care through a trained and ready response capability remains a top priority for the Air Force. To achieve this goal, the Air Force will continue to provide superior training, policy guidance, quality control and consultation to the field. In addition to annual refresher and formal training course refinement, the Air Force provides monthly calls with Major Command Sexual Assault Response Coordinators to provide

policy guidance and operational support. The Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program has conducted Consultation Site Visits to provide professional oversight and mentoring to Major Command Sexual Assault Response Coordinators. The Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program continues to work in close consultation with the Inspector General to develop and define Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Special Interest Items for installation-level inspections as well as consults with the Air Force Audit Agency to review areas of consideration for audits that focus on program execution and compliance. Audits have a different focus to ensure maximum coverage of all essential program elements.

Lastly, the Air Force will continue to collaborate with the Department of Defense and the Services on resources and policy to enhance advocacy and care to victims of sexual assault. An updated Air Force SAPR policy will be released in 2019 to provide critical policy updates to assist in consistent and effective advocacy.

3. Goal 3—Investigation

3.1 Summarize your efforts for achieving the Investigation goal to “sustain a high level of competence in the investigation of adult sexual assault using investigative resources to yield timely results.” (DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan, 2017-2021 (December 1, 2016), Goal 3 – Investigation, p. 9)

In this strategic summary, include significant updates and/or force-wide changes describing how your Military Service is addressing the following topics. There is no need to repeat prior Annual Report submissions if these processes have remained largely the same as in prior years.

Overall Approach: What force-wide initiatives did your Military Service employ this year to improve investigation of sexual assault cases? What enhancements have been made to your Military Services’ Special Victim Investigation and Prosecution Capability for Military Criminal Investigative Organizations? (DoDI 5509.19, “Establishment of Special Victim Investigation and Prosecution (SVIP) Capability within the Military Criminal Investigative Organizations (MCIOs)”)

Metrics for Assessing Investigation Effectiveness: What metrics are being used to assess the effectiveness of investigation efforts intended to sustain a high level of competence in the investigation of adult sexual assault? (DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan, 2017-2021 (December 1, 2016), Goal 3 – Investigation, p. 9)

MCIO, Law Enforcement, and Other First Responder Training: What updates have been made to the training of your Military Service MCIO and other DoD law enforcement activity (LEA) resources assigned to conduct an investigation of adult sexual assault? Please include an update on training you are providing to law enforcement who are the first to respond to an adult sexual assault scene where the MCIO won't be able to respond for days. Specifically, what training does law enforcement receive for speaking with a sexual assault victim and preserving evidence (on the victim and on the physical scene) in remote or austere environments (e.g., in a submarine that won't surface for a week because of mission security requirements)? Additionally, describe any updates to training and guidance for all

first responders to a sexual assault allegation, ensuring the preservation of evidence and witness testimony. (DoDI 6495.02, "Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures," (May 24, 2017), Encl 10, para 4p and 7e / DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan "Task List," (December 1, 2016), Goal 3 – Investigation, Objective 3.1, Task #1, p. 4 / DoDI 5505.18, "Investigation of Adult Sexual Assault in the Department of Defense," (March, 22 2017), para 3.3, p. 7)

Evidence Processing Challenges: Have you had any challenges with evidence being processed at the Defense Forensic Science Center (e.g., turnaround time for processing of Sexual Assault Forensic Examination (SAFE) kits and other evidence) and how did you address these challenges? (Joint Chiefs of Staff Strategic Direction to the Joint Force on SAPR (May 7, 2012), p. 11)

Collaboration and Interoperability with Civilian Law Enforcement: What continuing efforts are being made to increase collaboration and improve interoperability with civilian law enforcement to include sharing information on Civilian and Military Protective Orders and assuring receipt of civilian case dispositions? (DoDI 6495.02, "Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures," (July 7, 2015), Encl 4, para 3g)

Overall Approach

The continued emphasis by the Air Force Office of Special Investigations on investigating the history of individuals accused of sexual offenses does seem to be leading to more serial offenders being identified. Recently AFOSI initiated a comprehensive study, with analysts provided by the Department of Defense Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office, to assess the extent to which AFOSI's new protocol is successful in identifying serial sexual offenders. This collaborative study, scheduled to end in Spring of 2019, will help further development of training and investigation protocols, aiding in the identification of serial perpetrators.

In an effort to further develop cross-disciplinary collaboration with personnel assigned to the Air Force Special Victims' Counsel Division, senior Air Force Office of Special Investigations personnel made presentations at the Special Victims' Certification Counsel entry level training, held at the Air Force Judge Advocate General School. This afforded an opportunity to foster better communication and collaborative approaches to assist victims of sexual offenses.

Air Force Security Forces leadership continued to support assigning investigator resources to augment Air Force Office of Special Investigations to conduct non-penetration sexual offense cases. At the end of Fiscal Year 2018, 30 Security Forces personnel were working jointly as Joint Sexual Assault Team investigators in the Air Force Office of Special Investigations field offices.

Metrics for Assessing Investigation Effectiveness

The Air Force Office of Special Investigations maintained timely delivery of sexual assault investigations in Fiscal Year 2018. The median time to conduct and publish Reports of Investigations in over 1,000 adult victim sexual assault cases remained at 75 days. The office is confident investigation timeliness will be sustained in Fiscal Year 2019 by maintaining and monitoring this timeliness metric throughout the year.

The Air Force Office of Special Investigations continued its robust case quality review process in Fiscal Year 2018. Headquarters case quality reviewers randomly select and review 10 percent of all closed sexual offense investigations conducted by Air Force Office of Special Investigations field units. During Fiscal Year 2018, headquarters case quality reviewers randomly selected 115 sexual assault investigations for review. In only two cases (1.7%) did headquarters case reviewers disagree with investigation sufficiency determinations by field supervisors.

Military Criminal Investigation Organization, Law Enforcement, and Other First Responder Training

In Fiscal Year 2018, the Air Force Office of Special Investigations held four iterations of its 64 hour Sexual Crimes Investigations Training Program course at the Federal Law Enforcement Training Center in Georgia. In total, 109 Air Force Office of Special Investigations agents, Air Force Judge Advocate General Officers, and Security Forces members assigned to Air Force Office of Special Investigations-Security Forces Joint Sexual Assault Teams received training in various advanced techniques related to sexual assault investigations. The training included cognitive biases, counter-intuitive victim behaviors, cognitive interviewing, trauma and chemical impaired memory, dynamics of domestic and intimate partner sexual assaults, new or changing Uniform Code of Military Justice sexual offenses, etc. In November 2017, the Air Force Office of Special Investigations conducted a comprehensive review of Sexual Crimes Investigations Training Program curricula, which led to the addition of a two-hour block of training into the course starting in Fiscal Year 2018. The new material focuses on domestic and intimate partner violence with in-class case studies, emphasizing the importance of collaboration with members of installation-level Special Victim Investigation and Prosecution teams. The new training also presents examples of the need for well-developed safety plans for victims of domestic and intimate partner violence. Sexual Crimes Investigations Training Program end-of-course attendee critiques continued to be favorable with an overall rating average of 4.5 on a five-point scale.

Evidence Processing Challenges

The Air Force Office of Special Investigations continued to receive high-quality forensic sciences laboratory support to sexual assault cases from the Defense Forensic Science Center. Defense Forensic Science Center's turn-around-times for analyses of deoxyribonucleic acid evidence in the Air Force Office of Special Investigations sexual assault cases averaged 68 days in Fiscal Year 2018.

Collaboration and Interoperability with Civilian Law Enforcement

The Air Force Office of Special Investigations units across the Total Force continued their dedicated efforts to conduct effective liaison with their civilian law enforcement counterparts at the local, state, and federal level within their areas of responsibility, including with foreign law enforcement in countries throughout the world. Additionally, Air Force Office of Special Investigations initiated an unprecedented quality control review and correction process in which all case files from 1998 to 2017 were reviewed to ensure every case file was accurately indexed into the Federal Bureau of Investigation's National Crime Information Center.

3.2 Briefly describe your leadership-approved future plans to sustain a high level of competence in the investigation of adult sexual assault.

The Air Force Office of Special Investigations will sustain a high level of competence in the investigation of adult sexual assault through continued programmatic focus, conducting quality training, and engaging in robust oversight of investigations. The Sexual Crimes Investigations Training Program will continue to be held four times per year; 120 Special Agents, Security Forces and Judge Advocates will continue to be trained in Sexual Crimes Investigations Training Program in Fiscal Year 2019. In addition, Headquarters Air Force Office of Special Investigations quality case reviews will continue to be conducted on adult victims sexual assault cases. The Department of Defense Inspector General has informed the Air Force Office of Special Investigations that they will continue to review Air Force Office of Special Investigations's sexual assault cases through periodic assessments. Air Force Office of Special Investigations will also maintain a 75-day median investigation completion time target for adult victim sexual assault cases. The Air Force Office of Special Investigations senior leaders will receive monthly reporting on compliance with the 75-day metric.

Air Force Security Forces updated Air Force Instruction 31-118, Security Forces Standards and Procedures, effective 21 December 2017, detailing when and how military protective orders are entered in the National Crime Information Center database. This will provide awareness of Military Protective Orders to civilian Law Enforcement agencies nationwide.

4. Goal 4—Accountability

4.1 Summarize your efforts to achieve the Accountability goal to “maintain a high competence in holding alleged offenders appropriately accountable.” (DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan, 2017-2021 (December 1, 2016), Goal 4 – Accountability, p. 9)

In this strategic summary, include significant updates and/or force-wide changes describing how your Military Service is addressing the following topics. There is no need to repeat prior Annual Report submissions if these processes have remained largely the same as in prior years.

Overall Approach: What force-wide initiatives did your Military Service employ this year to improve legal support to Service members and adult family members who reported a sexual assault? What enhancements have been made to the SAPR training provided to those who are affiliated with the Special Victim Investigation and Prosecution Capability program (paralegals, trial counsel, and victim-witness assistance personnel) for responding to allegations of sexual assault? (DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan “Task List,” (December 1, 2016), Goal 4 – Accountability, Objective 4.1, Task #1, p. 4)

Metrics for Assessing Accountability Effectiveness: What metrics are being used to assess the effectiveness of holding alleged offenders appropriately accountable, to include your Military Service’s metrics for measuring the success of the Special Victims’ Counsel (SVC) / Victims’ Legal Counsel (VLC) program? (NDAA for FY 2016, section 532 / NDAA for FY 2013, section 573 / SecDef Memo (August 14, 2013), *Improving Victim Legal Support*, p. 1)

Keeping Leaders and Responders Informed of Updates on Victim Rights and Military Justice Updates: How are you ensuring that SARCs, SAPR VAs, MCIOs, and commanders

are informed of recent victim rights and military justice updates? (DoDI 6495.02, "Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures," (July 7, 2015), Encl 10, para 7)

Special Victims' Counsel (SVC) / Victims' Legal Counsel (VLC) Program Enhancements:
What enhancements has your Military Service made to the SVC/VLC program over the last year? Describe efforts to fund these programs in the future through the POM process. (SecDef Memo (August 14, 2013), *Improving Victim Legal Support*, p. 1 / NDAA for FY 2016, section 532 / NDAA for FY 2013, section 573)

Victim Non-Participation in the Military Justice System: What insight and/or reasons can you provide that may explain the declining number of victims choosing to participate in the military justice system over the last few years? (DoD Annual Report Metric #7, previously Metric #8)

Overall Approach

Judge advocates, paralegals, Victim and Witness Assistance Program personnel, and legal assistance attorneys must complete specialized Sexual Assault Prevention and Response First Responder training on an annual basis. This self-guided training covers a variety of topics including restricted and unrestricted reporting options, the role of the Sexual Assault Response Coordinator and Victim Advocate, transitional compensation, the Special Victims' Counsel Program and victim's rights, and training on working with victims of trauma and sexual assault. This training is completed in accordance with the requirements of Department of Defense Instruction 6495.02, Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program Procedures, Enclosure 10, paragraph 7.

Each year, the training is reviewed by functional experts and updated with changes in the law and developing trends of interest to the legal community. The Air Force's Special Victim Investigation and Prosecution capability is comprised of judge advocates and paralegals who have taken the First Responder training course. In addition to the general training provided to those noted above, all judge advocates with duties in military justice involving the investigation, disposition, prosecution, or defense of sexual assault cases must complete an additional training focused specifically on the mechanics of a sexual assault case and issues of interest during the investigation, charging, prosecution, and appellate stages of a case.

The fiscal year 2017 National Defense Authorization Act provided one of the most meaningful revisions to the military justice process in over 30 years. Following its passage, comprehensive training was conducted for all military justice practitioners on the changes contained in the fiscal year 2017 National Defense Authorization Act throughout 2018. All active duty judge advocates, paralegals, and civilian members with military justice duties in the Air Force completed this training by 1 September 2018. All reserve component judge advocates and paralegals completed the same training by 1 November 2018. Of particular relevance, there was a distinct portion of this training devoted specifically to crime victim's rights under the updated law.

This year, the Air Force also designed, developed, and executed its first in residence training for Victim and Witness Assistance Program Coordinators to ensure personnel are informed of changes in victim rights and the military justice process as well as how to most effectively work and coordinate with Special Victims' Counsel.

Air Force Legal Operations Agency annually conduct Intermediate Sexual Assault Litigation Course in five circuit-wide trainings. Intermediate Sexual Assault Litigation Course is particularly designed to increase the prosecution capability not only of Circuit Trial Counsel, but also to ensure that specialized knowledge filters down to the local trial counsel. The initiative of Circuit-wide Intermediate Sexual Assault Litigation Courses enabled new trial counsel across the Air Force to attend sexual assault-focused litigation training before working on and prosecuting sexual assault cases. Additionally, considerable blocks of training in two areas were added this year: discovery and corroboration. Discovery training is particularly important to avoid delays in prosecutions. Corroboration training focuses on effective teaming with investigative agencies to secure appropriate evidence for trial as well as additional steps legal offices can take to ensure evidence is available and admissible at trial. Military justice subject matter experts also lead training for senior counsel in the Advanced Sexual Assault Litigation Course. The Air Force is continually looking for outside sources that can increase our ability to effectively prosecute these very serious crimes.

Metrics for Assessing Accountability Effectiveness:

The Air Force Victim Impact Survey records the opinions of crime victims represented by Special Victims' Counsel on the court-martial process from investigation through sentencing. Victim Impact Survey results are provided to Judge Advocate General's Corps leadership and the Special Victims' Counsel community on a quarterly basis in order to make continued improvement to victim services.

In 2018, crime victim feedback regarding the Special Victims' Counsel Division continued to be exceptionally positive. An impressive 85% of clients surveyed indicated they were "extremely satisfied" with their Special Victims' Counsel's representation, and 15% were "satisfied," resulting in an overall 100% satisfaction rate. Not only was every represented victim satisfied with their Special Victims' Counsel, 100% of victims would recommend seeking Special Victims' Counsel representation to another victim of sexual assault.

Keeping Leaders and Responders Informed of Updates on Victim Rights and Military Justice Updates:

The Air Force Judge Advocate General's School provides legal training that prepares wing, vice wing, and group commanders to exercise authorities assigned to them under the Uniform Code of Military Justice. This legal training, called the Senior Officer Legal Orientation, includes instruction on military justice issues to include sexual assault cases and victims' rights.

Military justice updates are provided through various methods and functional experts to maximize efforts. First, the military justice division provides real-time notices and virtual training upon the passage of any legislation affecting the practice of military justice, to include victim's rights under the Uniform Code of Military Justice. Staff Judge Advocates further communicate these changes to commanders through annual training on each installation on military justice policy and regulations. Victim and Witness Assistance Program Coordinators are provided updates on the law through military justice division notices and training provided by the Air Force Judge Advocate General's School. Victim and Witness Assistance Program Coordinators assist in communicating changes to

policy and law through annual Victim and Witness Assistance Program Council meetings and through the use of mandatory Victim and Witness Assistance Program training requirements.

The government trial counsel division seeks every opportunity to provide training to Staff Judge Advocates at every level, both when they enter their position at the Staff Judge Advocate Course as well as speaking at the Major Command Sponsored Staff Judge Advocate Symposiums or trainings that are offered throughout the year. Additionally, government trial counsel leadership teaches at every litigation course offered by Air Force Judge Advocate General's School as well as the Annual Survey of the Law, designed to keep reservist attorneys abreast of the military justice updates. Additionally, Senior Trial Counsel regularly provide training to legal office and investigative agency personnel on military justice updates and developments in the appellate courts before, during and immediately after the completion of a trial.

Further, as noted in §2.2 above, the Special Victims' Counsel Division provides training regarding victims' rights to Wing and Group-level commanders at the Senior Officer Legal Orientation, Military Criminal Investigation Operations agents at the Sexual Crimes Intermediate Training Program, and Sexual Assault Prevention and Response personnel at the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Course.

Special Victims' Counsel (SVC) / Victims' Legal Counsel (VLC) Program Enhancements:

Beginning in August 2018, the Special Victims' Counsel Division initiated Character and Strength Summits designed to focus on assault victim-specific mental health issues, wellness, and resiliency. Four summits were held between August-September 2018. Personnel received training on working with trauma victims and clients experiencing suicidal thoughts, in addition to secondary stress and vicarious trauma risks presented by representing sexual assault victims. These summits also featured in-depth self-care training to include understanding risk factors and protective factors for secondary stress, vicarious trauma, and burnout. Other topics included establishing appropriate attorney/paralegal-client boundaries, mindfulness, and identifying and maximizing personal strengths. Overall feedback for the summits was excellent and indicated participants appreciate the information – several attendees have noted that Special Victims' Counsel and Special Victims' Paralegals are now more willing to discuss personal stressors posed by the practice and measures to manage that stress.

Victim Non-Participation in the Military Justice System:

Sexual assault victims have a myriad of unique and personal reasons for declining to participate in the military justice system to include apprehension regarding the justice process, privacy concerns, and a desire to focus on personal recovery. The process from the commission of a crime through court-martial is difficult, especially for a victim of sexual assault. It takes months to adequately investigate and get to trial. Additionally, the process often requires multiple interviews covering sensitive topics. Prosecution requires in-person testimony subject to cross-examination and embarrassing personal information unrelated to the assault has the potential of being admitted at trial. However, based upon recent changes, victims now have a larger voice in the military justice process than in years past and with that voice, victims are choosing to not participate if it best suits their needs for recovery and a sense of justice.

4.2 Briefly describe your leadership-approved future plans to maintain a high competence in holding alleged offenders appropriately accountable.

Judge advocates, paralegals, Victim and Witness Assistance Program personnel, and legal assistance attorneys must complete specialized Sexual Assault Prevention and Response First Responder training on an annual basis. This self-guided training covers a variety of topics including restricted and unrestricted reporting options, the role of the Sexual Assault Response Coordinator and Victim Advocate, transitional compensation, the Special Victims' Counsel Program and victim's rights, and training on working with victims of trauma and sexual assault. This training is completed in accordance with the requirements of Department of Defense Instruction 6495.02, Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program Procedures, Enclosure 10, paragraph 7. Each year, the training is reviewed by functional experts and updated with changes in the law and developing trends of interest to the legal community. The Air Force's Special Victim Investigation and Prosecution capability is comprised of judge advocates and paralegals who have taken the First Responder training course. In addition to the general training provided to those noted above, all judge advocates with duties in military justice involving the investigation, disposition, prosecution, or defense of sexual assault cases must complete an additional training focused specifically on the mechanics of a sexual assault case and issues of interest during the investigation, charging, prosecution, and appellate stages of a case.

The fiscal year 2017 National Defense Authorization Act provided one of the most significant revisions to the military justice process in over 30 years. Following its passage, comprehensive training was conducted for all military justice practitioners on the changes contained in the fiscal year 2017 National Defense Authorization Act throughout 2018. All active duty judge advocates, paralegals, and civilian members with military justice duties in the Air Force completed this training by 1 September 2018. All reserve component judge advocates and paralegals completed the same training by 1 November 2018. Of particular relevance, there was a distinct portion of this training devoted specifically to crime victim's rights under the updated law.

This year, the Air Force also designed, developed, and executed its first in residence training for Victim and Witness Assistance Program Coordinators to ensure personnel are informed of changes in victim rights and the military justice process as well as how to most effectively work and coordinate with Special Victims' Counsel. Lessons learned from the inaugural training will be incorporated into the second rendition of the course slated for March 2019.

Military justice leaders annually conduct the Intermediate Sexual Assault Litigation Course in five circuit-wide trainings. Intermediate Sexual Assault Litigation Course is particularly designed to increase the prosecution capability not only of Circuit Trial Counsel, but also to ensure that specialized knowledge filters down to the local trial counsel. The initiative of Circuit-wide Intermediate Sexual Assault Litigation Courses enabled new trial counsel across the Air Force to attend sexual assault-focused litigation training before working on and prosecuting sexual assault cases. Additionally, considerable blocks of training in two areas were added this year: discovery and corroboration. Discovery training is particularly important to avoid delays in prosecutions. Corroboration training focuses on effective teaming with investigative agencies to secure appropriate evidence for trial as well as additional steps legal offices can take to ensure evidence is available and admissible at trial. Military justice subject matter experts also lead training for

senior counsel in the Advanced Sexual Assault Litigation Course. The Air Force is continually looking for outside sources that can increase our ability to effectively prosecute these very serious crimes.

5. Goal 5—Assessment

5.1 Summarize your efforts for achieving the Assessment goal to “effectively measure, analyze, assess, and report SAPR Program progress to improve effectiveness.” (DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan, 2017-2021 (December 1, 2016), Goal 5 – Assessment, p. 10)

In this strategic summary, include significant updates and/or force-wide changes describing how your Military Service is addressing the following topics. There is no need to repeat prior Annual Report submissions if these processes have remained largely the same as in prior years.

Oversight Activities: What are your efforts to enhance SAPR Program oversight activities, to include the use of recent surveys (e.g., WGRA, WGRR, and DEOCS) and insights from the Government Accountability Office, advisory committees, and internal inspections to improve your programs and services? (DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan, 2017-2021, (December 1, 2016), Goal 5 – Assessment, Objective 5.2, p. 10 / DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan “Task List,” (December 1, 2016), Goal 5 – Assessment, Objective 5.1, Tasks #2 & #6, p. 4)

Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database (DSAID): What force-wide initiatives did your Military Service employ this year to ensure the quality, reliability, and validity of data collected in DSAID? (DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan, 2017-2021 (December 1, 2016), Goal 5 – Assessment, Objective 5.1, p. 10 / DoDI 6495.02, “Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures,” (May 24, 2017), Encl 2, para 6ad)

SARC/VA and Healthcare Provider Training Effectiveness: How is your Military Service assessing the training provided to SARCs/VAs and healthcare providers? What actions, if any, have been taken to implement any new training over the past year? (DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan “Task List,” (December 1, 2016), Goal 5 – Assessment, Objective 5.1, Tasks #4 & #5, p. 4)

Retention of DD Forms 2910 & 2911: Has your Military Service experienced any challenge(s) in fulfilling the 50-year retention of DD Form 2910 (Victim Reporting Preference Statement) and DD Form 2911 (DoD Sexual Assault Forensic Examination Report)? If yes, what actions have been taken to mitigate the challenge(s)? (NDAA for FY 2014, section 1723 / DoDI 6495.02, “Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures,” (May 24, 2017), para 4u)

(Army & NGB) GAO Report: Sexual Assault – Better Resource Management Needed to Improve Prevention and Response in the Army National Guard (ANG) and Army Reserve (USAR): What actions has been taken to comply with the subject GAO Report (i.e.,

assessment of staffing approaches used to administer the SAPR program; communication and dissemination of budget guidance for the Sexual Harassment/Assault Response and Prevention (SHARP) Program to all full-time SHARP personnel; monitoring and providing oversight of SHARP program expenditures at the ANG and USAR command level; reassessment of the Office of Complex Administrative Investigation's timeliness and resources; and development of an expedited line-of-duty determination process for USAR sexual assault victims? (GAO-17-217 (February 27, 2017) / DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan "Task List," (December 1, 2016), Goal 5 – Assessment, Objective 5.1, Task #6, p. 4)

SARC/VA and Healthcare Provider Training Effectiveness:

The Air Force remains steadfast in providing the highest quality victim care by ensuring Sexual Assault Response Coordinators, Sexual Assault Victim Advocates and Volunteer Victim Advocates receive comprehensive, high quality training to prepare them for their role in the sexual assault coordinated community response. The Air Force Resilience Office works collaboratively with the Air Education and Training Command's Air University to deliver four initial entry training courses annually for new Sexual Assault Response Coordinators and Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Victim Advocates. Students complete a quiz on pre-reading materials, pre-assessments, and post-assessments to measure effectiveness in meeting learning objectives. On average, the post-assessment showed a 9-point increase to student learning with all fiscal year 2018, students scoring 70 percent or better. In addition to direct student assessment, end of the course reviews and overall annual reviews inform course enhancements and modifications. Reviews assess relevance of content, quality of instruction, course material, trend data, best and worst area(s) of instruction, course strengths and recommended improvements. Every two years, Air University and the Air Force Resilience Office conduct an in-depth curriculum review and assessment to ensure the current curriculum meets Department of Defense and Air Force requirements, core competencies, learning objectives and expands on adult learning techniques. In fiscal year 2018, the course review indicated a need to increase training hours to expand upon required curriculum content and incorporate more role plays and exercises. The new curriculum, launched in October 2018, includes 16 additional hours of training for Sexual Assault Response Victim Advocates and 8 additional hours for Sexual Assault Response Coordinators..

The Air Force launched a new Volunteer Victim Advocate training curriculum in October 2018. This training, facilitated by installation-level Sexual Assault Response Coordinators, incorporated sweeping changes based upon four years of assessment and evaluation. The curriculum expanded the use of role plays, videos and group activities to maximize adult learning. Of note, was the extension to the Department of Defense Form 2910 and Defense Sexual Assault Advocate Certification Program modules to provide more time for review, discussion and demonstration. Additionally, a module on purposeful measures of self-care was incorporated to ensure Volunteer Victim Advocates possess the necessary self-care skills to properly support victims of sexual assault.

Sexual Assault Prevention and Response training for healthcare providers is provided to all healthcare and non-healthcare providers who work in Military Treatment Facilities or associated clinics. Participants must complete a knowledge check at the conclusion of the training to ensure

mastery of core competencies. The training is reviewed annually and updated to ensure compliance with Department of Defense and Air Force requirements. .

Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database (DSAID):

The Air Force is committed to ensuring Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database data quality, reliability and validity. To achieve these objectives, the Air Force leverages Major Command leadership in monthly quality control utilizing the Department of Defense Quality Assurance Tool. Further, Headquarters Air Force staff work directly with other stakeholders such as the Air Force Office of Special Investigations, Legal and installation Sexual Assault Response Coordinators as necessary to ensure records are correct and complete. The Air Force also provides several training opportunities to build user proficiency. Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database webinars are provided to newly trained Sexual Assault Response Coordinators upon completion of the Sexual Assault Response Coordinators initial training course. The annual refresher training for Sexual Assault Response Coordinators offered Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database training focused on frequently asked questions, trends in data entry errors and pertinent upgrades to the system. Advanced developers hands-on conference training is available and has been provided to Major Commands upon request. Lastly, Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database error trends and pertinent information is shared as part of the monthly Major Command teleconference to ensure transparency and reduce errors.

Retention of DD Forms 2910 & 2911:

The Air Force retains Department of Defense Forms 2910 and 2911 in accordance with National Defense Authorization Act and Department of Defense instructions. In 2018, the Air Force completed an enterprise-wide review of Department of Defense Form 2910 retention as part of a larger Department of Defense initiative. While there were no systemic challenges identified in retaining Department of Defense Forms 2910, through enhanced quality control standards and increased Sexual Assault Response Coordinator training, the Air Force will continue to ensure compliance with Department of Defense Forms 2910 retention requirements.

Department of Defense Form 2911 or civilian Sexual Assault Forensic Exam reports from Sexual Assault Forensic Exam kits collected in Unrestricted Reports are uploaded as a soft copy into the Air Force Office of Special Investigations' case management system, as well as the hard copy retained in the investigative case file. In Restricted Reports, the Department of Defense 2911 forms are stored within the sealed Sexual Assault Forensic Exam kits.

5.2 Describe your leadership-approved future plans to further improve the achievement of the Assessment goal.

Continual improvement and enhancement is critical to providing innovative prevention and response capabilities across the Air Force. For 2019, the Air Force intends to streamline the reporting process and data collection methodology. Streamlining the process will be accomplished by communicating errors, recognizing performance gaps, and improving training and tools to reduce future errors. Performance gaps will be measured through error rate and surveys to ensure top priority issues are addressed. Training will be tracked to ensure personnel are fully compliant and knowledgeable. Focus groups and training evaluations will be used to measure effectiveness of curriculum development in these areas.

6. Core Functions: Communication and Policy

6.1 Provide a brief summary for new efforts taken in FY 2018 on the following:

Publicizing Sexual Assault Reporting Options: Is your Military Service using any new or expanded initiatives to publicize the sexual assault restricted and unrestricted reporting options to your Service members and adult dependents? (DoDI 6495.02, “Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures,” (May 24, 2017), Encl 4)

Retaliation Training: How has the topic of retaliation been incorporated into supervisor (officer, enlisted, and civilian) and SAPR training curricula? What are the critical themes, core competencies, and learning objectives for retaliation training? Does it provide instruction on resources available for victims to report retaliation and the availability of a General/Flag Officer review when a victim is separated within one year of final disposition of his/her sexual assault case? (DoD Retaliation Prevention and Response Implementation Plan (January 2017), p. 13-14 / DoDI 6495.02, “Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures,” (May 24, 2017), Encl 4, para 7g)

Military/Veteran Service Organization (MSO/VSO), Federal Partner, and Congressional Outreach: What has your Military Service done to develop and implement MSO/VSO, federal partner, and/or congressional outreach to communicate and/or enhance sexual assault-related policy initiatives? (DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan “Task List,” (December 1, 2016), Core Function – Communications, Tasks #2 & #4, p. 4)

Publicizing Sexual Assault Reporting Options:

The Air Force continues to employ multiple efforts to ensure that all Airmen are aware of reporting options and that victims have the information necessary to make an informed decision. The majority of publication efforts occur at the installation and command-level with Sexual Assault Response Coordinators and Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Victim Advocates facilitating education of command personnel (e.g. Commanders, Chief Master Sergeants, and senior civilians) on victim advocacy services. They also facilitate the development of Sexual Assault Prevention and Response public awareness campaigns and collaborate with other agencies and activities, both on and off the installation. Sexual Assault Reporting options are printed and displayed in high visibility areas. Leaders and Airmen are directed to the Department of Defense and Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response websites for information on reporting options. In addition, AF/A1Z developed Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Talking Points for commanders to communicate with their Airmen on various sexual assault related topics. One titled “Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Reporting Options” provides information on reporting options and key differences of each option. Airmen are encouraged to ask questions during the Talking points discussions and to visit their installation Sexual Assault Response Coordinators for additional information.

Retaliation Training:

Currently, retaliation training has been incorporated into all supervisor, first responder Sexual Assault Response Coordinator, Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Victim Advocate and

Volunteer Victim Advocate, and annual training curricula. The training addresses the prescribed core competencies and learning objectives set forth by Department of Defense to provide information that identifies retaliation, prevention strategies, how to respond to and manage retaliation effectively, and coordinate services for victims. The training identifies the impact on the military and addresses resources for victims and bystanders seeking to make a retaliation complaint. Leadership engagement in prevention and response to retaliatory behavior is vital. Training provides critical tips for leaders at all levels to on how to prevent retaliatory behavior and highlights the availability of a General or Flag Officer review when a victim is separated within one year of final disposition of his/her sexual assault case. In addition, a retaliation prevention tool was included in the 2019 annual training toolkit to further reinforce skills.

In addition to the training highlighted above, Air Force Sexual Assault Response Coordinator and Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Victim Advocate foundational training now includes a separate block of instruction to specifically address retaliation. This block of instruction highlights retaliatory behavior, identifies resources and Sexual Assault Response Coordinator and command actions in response to retaliation complaints.. Trends on retaliation sexual assault reports monitored across the Air Force and incorporated into training curriculum as appropriate.

“Retaliation,” referring to what avenues for relief are available to victims and what Special Victims’ Counsel can do for their clients is a block of instruction at every Special Victims’ Counsel Course. The learning objectives for the block include understanding the legal definitions of and military policies regarding retaliation, identifying reporting and redress avenues for victims of retaliation, and being able to recognize instances of retaliation in various fact patterns.

Military/Veteran Service Organization (MSO/VSO), Federal Partner, and Congressional Outreach:

Collaboration with the other Services, Federal Partners and performing Congressional outreach is integral to enhancing prevention and response efforts across the Department of Defense. The Air Force strives to participate fully in opportunities to enhance existing capabilities within the Department of Defense, nationally and internationally.

The Air Force is continuing its ongoing participation with the Office of the Secretary of Defense Sexual Assault Prevention Office and Military Departments in formal forums, such as the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Integrated Product Team and the Men’s Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Workgroup. These forums require active participation in the attainment of goals and objectives, metric and report analysis, and development of enterprise-wide initiatives.

In November 2018, the Air Force participated in roundtable discussions with the Department of Defense, Sister Services and representatives from the Republic of South Korea to assist in policy development and implementation of a comprehensive sexual assault prevention and response program for the South Korean military. Partnership opportunities like this underscore the value of current Air Force capabilities and offer opportunities for feedback and improvement.

In May 2018, personnel from the Air Force Resilience Office provided testimony to the Defense Advisory Committee on Investigation, Prosecution and Defense of Sexual Assault in the Armed Forces on expedited transfers. Testimony provided the committee with important insights into the

execution of expedited transfers across the Air Force, gaps in the process and recommendations for improvement to better support victims of sexual assault.

January 9, 2018, members from the A1Z and Judge Advocate General senior staff met with Senator Claire McCaskill's staff to discuss Expedited Transfers and Military Transitions for survivors of sexual assault. Congressional recommendations were included in the Sexual Assault Response System Improvement initiatives for further research and enhancement opportunities.

March 7, 2017, the Air Force Director for Sexual Assault Prevention and Response, the Primary Prevention Highly Qualified Expert, and two senior leaders from the Air Force Surgeon General's office engaged Senators Tammy Duckworth and Paul Tsongas, Congresswoman Jackie Speier, and Senators John Kennedy and Kamala Harris's staff on initiatives for improving the Sexual Assault Response system.

March 27, 2017, The A1Z Colonels Group and Highly Qualified Expert participated in Senate Armed Services Committee Military Personnel Subcommittee Staffer Day delivering presentations and engaging in dialogue on Sexual Assault Prevention and Response updates and cyberbullying.

In 2016, the Air Force adopted the Green Dot strategy as part of a comprehensive sexual assault prevention approach. This interactive brief introduced the basic elements of prevention while using persuasive language and skill building applications to engage participants in immediate action. In January 2018 the Air Force hosted a 90-minute demonstration of this training to congressional members and their staff

The Air Force continued its partnership with the Division of Violence Prevention in the National Center for Injury Prevention and Control at the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention to provide training and ongoing professional development to the Violence Prevention Integrators. This partnership established training and professional development curricula.

7. NDAA Requirements - Provide your Military Service's status on the NDAA Sections presented below. Answer each requirement directly and succinctly. Specify whether the provision has been implemented by indicating "yes" or "no." If the requirement has been implemented, provide the implementation date. If it has not been implemented, provide the projected implementation date. Lastly, provide a short explanation (150 words or less) of the action(s) taken. All items require a response.

7.1 FY 2014, SEC. 1701. Extension of Crime Victims' Rights to Victims of Offenses Under the Uniform Code of Military Justice

Is this requirement completed? Yes

If yes, date completed: Feb 2015

If no, date when the requirement is projected to be completed:

Status update (150 words or less):

(NDA for FY 2014, section 1701, TJAG)

Section 1701 of the fiscal year 2014 National Defense Authorization Act required the Secretary of Defense to recommend to the President changes to the Manual for Courts-Martial to implement Article 6b of the Uniform Code of Military Justice. These changes were recommended by the Secretary and adopted by the President in Executive Order 13696, 22 June 2015. While not required by Sec. 1701, the Air Force further incorporated the changes contained in Article 6b in Air Force Instruction 51-201, *Administration of Military Justice*, in February 2015. These changes articulate victim's rights under Article 6b as well as incorporate those rights throughout the instruction.

7.2 FY 2017, SEC. 542. Effective Prosecution and Defense in Courts-Martial and Pilot Programs on Professional Military Justice Development for Judge Advocates

Is this requirement completed? No

If yes, date completed:

If no, date when the requirement is projected to be completed: 2022

Status update (150 words or less):

(NDA for FY 2017, section 542, TJAG)

The Military Justice Experience Tracker system of skill identifiers has been created and is fully operational. The pilot program on developing judge advocates capable of effectively prosecuting and defending complex cases in military courts-martial is on-going. The Judge Advocate General is currently assessing our deliberate development model.

7.3 FY 2018, SEC. 535. Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Training for All Individuals Enlisted in the Armed Forces Under a Delayed Entry Program

Is this requirement completed? Yes

If yes, date completed: August 2018

If no, date when the requirement is projected to be completed:

Status update (150 words or less):

(NDA for FY 2018, section 535)

Curriculum has been developed to provide early focused training for the Airmen in the delayed entry program. This training helps to clarify appropriate Air Force culture/behavior norms, provides a consistent message for new recruits and sets them up for successful transition in the Profession of Arms. Training impact will be assessed through a Basic Military Training Conduct Survey.

7.4 FY 2018, SEC. 536. Special Victims' Counsel Training Regarding the Unique Challenges Often Faced by Male Victims of Sexual Assault

Is this requirement completed? Yes

If yes, date completed: 11 May 2018

If no, date when the requirement is projected to be completed:

Status update (150 words or less):

(NDAA for FY 2018, section 536, TJAG)

All attendees at the May 2018 Special Victims' Counsel Course at the Air Force Judge Advocate General's School received training about the unique challenges facing male victims of sexual assault. The Special Victims' Counsel Course will include a block of instruction discussing male victimization in future courses.

8. Analytics Discussion

8.1 Military Services & NGB*: Provide an analytic discussion (1,000 words or less) of your Statistical Report of reported sexual assault cases from the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database (DSAID). Required elements included on this template are information on Unrestricted Reports; Restricted Reports; service referrals for victims alleging sexual assault; and case synopses of completed investigations.

*NGB should provide comments based on its available information and data.

This section must briefly address each of the following:

- Notable changes in the data over time
- Insight or suspected reasons for noted changes, or lack of change, in data
- The application of insights from data analyses for programmatic planning, oversight, and/or research
- Total number of Sexual Assaults (Restricted Reports and Unrestricted Reports) over time (since FY 2008) **(Metric #11, previously Metric #12)**
- The number of sexual assault investigations completed by the MCIO in the FY and the corresponding mean and median investigation length. Case open date can be in any year, but the close date must be by the end of the FY **(Military Justice Indicator #6, previously Metric # 5)**
- The number of subjects with victims who declined to participate in the military justice process **(Metric #7, previously Metric #8)**
- Command action for military subjects under DoD legal authority (to be captured using the most serious crime investigated, comparing penetration to contact crimes) **(Military Justice Indicator #1)**
- Sexual assault court-martial outcomes (to be captured using the most serious crime charged, comparing penetration to contact crimes) **(Military Justice Indicator #2)**
- Summary of referral data – Unrestricted and Restricted Reports - either referrals received from other sources or referrals made to other sources (e.g., medical/mental health, command, criminal investigation/security services, legal, civilian or VA authorities, etc.)
- Any other information relating to sexual assault case data

8.1 Analytic Discussion Background

Sexual Assault Definition: The Department of Defense and Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response programs utilize the term “sexual assault” to refer to the range of crimes in military law that constitutes contact sexual offenses between adults. These crimes include specific offenses of rape, sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, forcible sodomy (forced oral or anal sex), or any attempts to commit these offenses, as defined in Articles 80, 120, and 125 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice.

Sexual Assault Reporting Options: Under the Department of Defense’s Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Policy, Service members and their adult military dependents have two reporting options – restricted and unrestricted reporting.

Filing a Restricted Report provides sexual assault victims with access to medical care, mental health care, advocacy services, and legal advice without initiating a criminal investigation or notifying their commander. In order to file a Restricted Report, victims must report the crime directly to the Sexual Assault Response Coordinator, Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Victim Advocate, or healthcare personnel.

Filing an Unrestricted Report, provides the same access to care and services as an individual filing a Restricted Report. Per Department of Defense policy, the Air Force refers Unrestricted Reports for investigation with a Military Criminal Investigative Organization and notifies the command of the report.

Victims who initially make a Restricted Report may convert it to an Unrestricted Report. Once a victim has filed an Unrestricted Report or chosen to convert their previously filed Restricted Report to an Unrestricted Report, it is not possible to convert it to a Restricted Report.

The Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database: Since fiscal year 2014, the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database, has been the Department of Defense’s authoritative, centralized case-level database used to collect and maintain information on sexual assaults involving members of the Armed Forces. The Department of Defense Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office operates the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database and works collaboratively with the Services to implement and sustain the system. The Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database meets requirements set forth in the fiscal year 2009 National Defense Authorization Act. The Air Force uses it to conduct oversight, inform Department and Service-level Sexual Assault Prevention and Response program planning and analysis, and meet Congressional reporting requirements.

Sexual Assault Response Coordinators use the database to provide comprehensive and standardized victim case management. Sexual Assault Response Coordinators are required to enter victim demographic, safety concerns, referrals and incident data for each report of sexual assault into the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database. Additionally, for Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault, the database interfaces with Military Criminal Investigative Organization information systems, which “push” additional subject demographic and offense-specific information into the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database. Military Criminal Investigative Organization information systems remain the system of record for all Unrestricted Reports they investigate. Service appointed legal officers also enter subject case disposition information into the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database and validate entries.

Scope: This report contains data about sexual assault crimes that involve at least one Service member (either as the victim or as one or more of the subjects) reported to the Air Force. Sexual assault reporting data between spouses or intimate partners fall under the purview of the Air Force Family Advocacy Program and is not included in this report. Data regarding sexual harassment falls under the purview of the Air Force Equal Opportunity Program and is not included in this report.

Unrestricted and Restricted Report data captures sexual assault reports made by Service members and adult military dependents. The data also includes sexual assaults committed by Service members on victims outside the Armed Forces. Information describing these victims and subjects is also in the following statistics.

Sexual assault data continually changes due to case progress. The data contained in this report is a snapshot, reflecting the status of sexual assault reports, investigations, and subject dispositions in the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database on September 30, 2018 (the last day of fiscal year 2018).

8.1.1. Sexual Assault Reporting in the Air Force

The Air Force has received a record of 1,544 reports of sexual assault involving Service members as either victims or subjects in fiscal year 2018. As depicted in Chart 8.1.1., this is the largest number of sexual assault reports received by the Air Force in the history of its Sexual Assault Prevention and Response program, at least 3% higher than in any previous fiscal year. The percentage of reports that remained restricted at the end of fiscal year 2018 is 30%, up two percentage points from 28% at the end of fiscal year 2017.

Sexual Assaults Reported to the Air Force




Chart 8.1.1. – Annual Reports of Sexual Assault

The Air Force believes that the sustained high levels of reporting between fiscal year 2014 to fiscal year 2018 indicates that victims feel increasingly more comfortable coming forward to report these crimes, receive care, and allow investigations to take place so that commanders and the military justice system can hold subjects appropriately accountable. Although, the Air Force fully supports the restricted reporting option, the decrease in the percentage of reports that remain restricted may indicate increasing victim confidence in the military justice system and the Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program.

The 1,544 reports of sexual assault received by the Air Force in fiscal year 2018 represents a 4% increase from the 1,480 reports made in fiscal year 2017. The total active duty Air Force population increased by 1%, from 318,282 at the end of fiscal year 2017 to 321,322 at the end of fiscal year 2018.

For comparison, the Air Force received a total of 1,480 reports of sexual assault involving Service members as either victims or subjects in fiscal year 2017, which represented a 9% increase from the 1,355 reports made in fiscal year 2016. The total active duty Air Force population increased 2% during fiscal year 2017, from 313,426 at the end of fiscal year 2016 to 318,282 at the end of fiscal year 2017.

It is important to note that people do not always report sexual assaults in the same fiscal year as they happen, although the majority do. Of the 1,544 sexual assault reports received by the Air Force in fiscal year 2018, 923 (60%) reported incidents that occurred in fiscal year 2018, while 477 (31%) were for incidents that occurred in prior fiscal years, while the remaining 144 (9%) were for incidents that occurred on an unknown date.

For comparison, of the 1,480 sexual assault reports received by the Air Force in fiscal year 2017, 875 (59%) were for incidents that occurred in fiscal year 2017, 471 (32%) were for incidents that occurred in prior fiscal year, and the remaining 132 (9%) were for incidents that occurred on an unknown date.

Types of Sexual Assault Reports: Department of Defense policy allows eligible victims to make either a restricted or an unrestricted sexual assault report. A victim who initially makes a Restricted Report has the option of later converting the Restricted Report to an Unrestricted Report (policy prevents converting an Unrestricted Report to a Restricted Report). Of the 1,544 reports of sexual assault involving Service members as either victims or subjects, the Air Force received in fiscal year 2018:

- 164 (11%) were Restricted Reports initially reported in fiscal year 2018 that were converted to Unrestricted Reports in fiscal year 2018
- 25 (2%) were Restricted Reports initially reported prior to fiscal year 2018 but were converted to Unrestricted Reports in fiscal year 2018
- 464 (30%) were Restricted Reports initially reported in fiscal year 2018 that remained restricted at the end of fiscal year 2018.

8.1.1.1. Sexual Assault Prevalence in the Air Force

It is important to draw a distinction between the number of sexual assaults that occur (i.e., sexual assault prevalence) and the number of sexual assaults that are reported to authorities (i.e., sexual assault reporting) in a given timeframe. Since people, in both civilian and military populations, do not report most sexual assaults that occur to authorities, sexual assault prevalence will typically exceed sexual assault reporting.

Since sexual assault historically has been, and remains, a highly underreported crime, the number of reported sexual assaults in a given timeframe may not accurately indicate the number of sexual assaults that occurred in that timeframe. Therefore, to estimate the number of sexual assaults that occur in the active duty Department of Defense population, the Department of Defense administers an anonymous, confidential survey to active duty Department of Defense members biannually. The four most recent Department of Defense sexual assault active duty prevalence surveys were the 2018 and 2016 Workplace and Gender Relations Survey of Active Duty Members, the 2014 RAND Military Workplace Study, and the 2012 Workplace and Gender Relations Survey of Active Duty Members.

Chart 8.1.1.1. shows the estimated past-year sexual assault prevalence estimates (red dots) with 95% confidence intervals (black lines) for active duty Airmen from the past four Department of Defense prevalence surveys. According to these surveys, an estimated 3,200 active duty airmen

experienced a past-year sexual assault in 2012, decreasing to about 2,300 in 2016, and increasing to 3,900 in 2018.¹




Chart 8.1.1.1. Past Year Sexual Assault Prevalence Estimates for Active Duty Airmen

8.1.1.2. Sexual Assault Reporting Compared to Sexual Assault Prevalence

The Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program has stated a two-pronged goal of reducing sexual assault prevalence while increasing sexual assault reporting. According to the most recent biannual sexual assault prevalence study (the 2018 Workplace and Gender Relations Survey of Active Duty Members), an estimated 3,900 active duty Airmen were sexually assaulted in fiscal year 2018. While sexual assault reporting increased between fiscal years 2016 and 2018 by 14% the prevalence of sexual assault increased by 68% over the same time.

¹ The 2012 Workplace and Gender Relations Survey of Active Duty Members used a slightly different measure than did the 2014 RAND Military Workplace Study and the 2016 and 2018 Workplace and Gender Relations Survey of Active Duty Members. The 2012 survey measured unwanted sexual contact, while the 2014, 2016, and 2018 surveys measured sexual assault. Also, the 2012 Workplace and Gender Relations Survey of Active Duty Members used a much smaller sample size than did the 2014, 2016, or 2018 surveys, which is reflected in the relatively large width of the 2012 survey confidence interval compared to the widths of the other survey confidence intervals.

Chart 8.1.1.2. illustrates this trend of relatively flat prevalence between fiscal year 2014 and 2016 and increased prevalence in fiscal year 2018 with increased reporting between fiscal year 2016 and 2018 by comparing the estimated number of sexually assaulted active duty Airmen in the past year to the number of sexual assaults reported by active duty Airmen in each fiscal year. However, because the Air Force accepts sexual assault reports from a much wider population than the population to which the prevalence estimates pertain, not all sexual assault reports are directly comparable to the sexual assault prevalence estimates.²




Chart 8.1.1.2. – Active Duty Prevalence vs. Reporting of Sexual Assault

In fiscal year 2018, the Air Force received a number of reports equal to approximately 33% of the number of sexual assaults indicated by prevalence studies. This is a decrease from fiscal year 2016 when the number of received reports was equal to approximately 46% of then number indicated by prevalence studies. The Air Force expects to reduce the “gap” between the survey-estimated number of sexually assaulted Airmen and the number of Airmen who choose to report in two ways, over time:

² The sexual assault prevalence studies estimate the number of active duty Airmen who experienced a sexual assault in the past 12 months while in military service. The Air Force accepts sexual assault reports from active duty members of other services, certain non-active duty personnel, and imposes no limit on how long ago the sexual assault occurred or whether or not the victim was in the military at the time of the assault. Therefore, the graphic shows the number of reports that were by victims who were Service members at the time of the report.

- Sexual assault prevention initiatives will reduce past-year prevalence of sexual assault; and
- Initiatives that encourage victims to report and improve the military justice system will increase the number of victims who choose to report.

Although fiscal year 2018 saw the highest reporting number in the history of the Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response program, about two-thirds of estimated past-year sexual assaults of active duty Airmen remain unreported. The Air Force continues to strive to narrow the gap between prevalence and reporting in order to reduce the underreporting of sexual assault in the military community.

8.1.2. Unrestricted Reporting

8.1.2.1. Analysis of Victims in Unrestricted Reports with Completed Investigations

This section provides data about victims in completed investigations of Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault. In this section, the term “fiscal year” refers to the fiscal year in which the investigation associated with a report concluded, not the fiscal year of the report of the sexual assault. For example, Unrestricted Reports under the fiscal year 2018 column are not necessarily sexual assaults reported in fiscal year 2018. Rather, they are sexual assault reports whose associated investigations concluded in fiscal year 2018. The dates of these reports were in fiscal year 2018 or in any prior fiscal year.

Type of Offense Investigated: Table 8.1.2.1.1. breaks out the Unrestricted Report investigations completed each fiscal year by type of offense investigated. Military Criminal Investigative Organizations categorize Unrestricted Reports by the most serious offense alleged in the report, which may not ultimately be the same offense for which evidence supports a misconduct charge, if any.

Type of Offense Investigated in Unrestricted Reports with Completed Investigations										
FY of Investigation Completion	FY14		FY15		FY16		FY17		FY18	
	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%
Total Victims	775	-	795	-	766	-	874	-	865	-
Type of Offense Investigated										
Penetrating Offenses	386	49.8%	404	50.8%	400	52.2%	439	50.2%	448	51.8%
Contact Offenses	338	43.6%	346	43.5%	309	40.3%	392	44.9%	380	43.9%
Attempts to Commit Offenses	35	4.5%	20	2.5%	36	4.7%	22	2.5%	23	2.7%
Offense Code Data Not Available	16	2.1%	25	3.1%	21	2.7%	21	2.4%	14	1.6%

Table 8.1.2.1.1. – Type of Sexual Assault Offense for Unrestricted Reports

The type of offense investigated in completed investigations has been stable since fiscal year 2014. Penetrating offenses, which include rape, aggravated sexual assault, sexual assault, and forcible sodomy, account for approximately half of all completed investigations. Contact offenses, which include aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, wrongful sexual contact, and indecent assault account for 44% of all completed investigations in fiscal year 2018. Attempts to commit offenses and unknown offense types account for the remainder, approximately 4% of all completed investigations.

Demographic Analysis of Victims in Completed Investigations

Table 8.1.2.1.2. below provides a demographic analysis of victims in investigations completed each fiscal year by gender, age at the time of the incident, military affiliation, duty status, and grade.

Gender: Women consistently represent the majority (83%) of sexual assault victims in completed investigations each fiscal year (years 2014-2018), while comprising only 25% of the Total Air Force population during the same period. Male victims in completed investigations averaged 14%, between fiscal year 2014 and fiscal year 2018. In fiscal year 2018, men account for 15% of victims with completed investigations, while comprising 75% of the Total Air Force population.

Age at Time of Incident: Victims in the 16-19 age group in completed investigations are over-represented compared to their representation in the Total Air Force population. The percent of victims in the 16-19 age group averaged 17% between fiscal year 2014 and fiscal year 2018. In fiscal year 2018, this age group accounts for 22% of victims with investigations completed, while comprising of 4% of the Total Air Force population.

Victims in the 20-24 age group are also over-represented compared with the Total Air Force population. Between fiscal year 2014 and fiscal year 2018 the 20-24 age group averaged 38% of victims with investigations completed. In fiscal year 2018, this age group accounts for 38% of victims with investigations, while comprising 18% of the Total Air Force population.

Victims in each of the older age groups are under-represented compared to their respective cohorts in the fiscal year 2018 active duty Air Force population. In fiscal year 2018 the 25-34 age group represented 19% of victims and 34% of the Total Air Force. In fiscal year 2018 the 35-49 age group represented 4% of the victims and 29% of the Total Air Force. In fiscal year 2018 the 50+ age group represented 0.2% of the victims and 15% of the Total Air Force.

Grade: Junior enlisted Airmen (E1-E4) are over-represented as victims in completed investigations between fiscal years 2014 and 2018 averaging 74% of the completed investigations. In fiscal year 2018, E1-E4 Airmen accounted for 77% of completed investigations while comprising 48% of the active duty Air Force population. Senior enlisted Airmen (E5-E9) and officers each account for a smaller share of the victims in completed investigations (16% and 6%, respectively in fiscal year 2018).

Victim Demographics in Unrestricted Reports with Completed Investigations										
FY of Investigation Completion	FY14		FY15		FY16		FY17		FY18	
	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%
Total Victims	775	-	795	-	766	-	874	-	865	-
Gender										
Male	86	11.1%	131	16.5%	118	15.4%	122	14.0%	129	14.9%
Female	637	82.2%	654	82.3%	635	82.9%	725	83.0%	732	84.6%
Unknown	52	6.7%	10	1.3%	13	1.7%	27	3.1%	4	0.5%
Age (Time of Incident)										
0-15	1	0.1%	4	0.5%	6	0.8%	6	0.7%	8	0.9%
16-19	78	10.5%	127	16.0%	154	20.1%	150	17.2%	186	21.5%
20-24	230	31.1%	323	40.6%	304	39.7%	342	39.1%	324	37.5%
25-34	80	10.8%	127	16.0%	147	19.2%	150	17.2%	166	19.2%
35-49	11	1.5%	37	4.7%	33	4.3%	34	3.9%	34	3.9%
50-64	3	0.4%	1	0.1%	2	0.3%	3	0.3%	1	0.1%
65+	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%
Unknown	337	45.5%	176	22.1%	120	15.7%	189	21.6%	145	16.8%
Military Affiliation										
Military	604	77.9%	608	76.5%	608	79.4%	686	78.5%	735	85.0%
Non-military	116	15.0%	176	22.1%	142	18.5%	159	18.2%	124	14.3%
Unknown	55	7.1%	11	1.4%	16	2.1%	29	3.3%	6	0.7%
Duty Status (Military Victims)										
Active Duty	578	95.7%	547	90.0%	549	90.3%	632	92.1%	687	93.5%
Reserve (Activated)	16	2.6%	32	5.3%	39	6.4%	33	4.8%	37	5.0%
National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	5	0.8%	5	0.8%	3	0.5%	9	1.3%	4	0.5%
Cadet/Prep School Student	5	0.8%	24	3.9%	17	2.8%	12	1.7%	7	1.0%
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Grade (Military Victims)										
C-1 to C-4 & Prep School	5	0.8%	24	3.9%	17	2.8%	12	1.7%	7	1.0%
E-1 to E-4	452	74.8%	442	72.7%	427	70.2%	521	75.9%	569	77.4%
E-5 to E-9	110	18.2%	109	17.9%	128	21.1%	112	16.3%	116	15.8%
O-1 to O-3	30	5.0%	24	3.9%	24	3.9%	37	5.4%	37	5.0%
O-4 to O-10	7	1.2%	9	1.5%	12	2.0%	4	0.6%	6	0.8%
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%

Table 8.1.2.1.2. – Victim Demographics for Unrestricted Reports

Military Protective Orders: Table 8.1.2.1.3. provides a summary of military protective orders. There were 104 military protective orders issued in fiscal year 2018, of which three were violated.

Military Protective Orders										
FY Military Protective Order Issued	FY14		FY15		FY16		FY17		FY18	
	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%
Military Protective Orders Issued	142	-	85	-	82	-	105	-	104	-
Military Protective Orders Violated	3	2.1%	1	1.2%	1	1.2%	2	1.9%	3	2.9%

Table 8.1.2.1.3. – Military Protective Orders

Expedited Transfers: Table 8.1.2.1.4. provides a summary of expedited transfer requests by Service member victims. The number of expedited transfer requests in fiscal year 2018 was 178, of which 8 were denied. Expedited transfers were denied due to the victim pending Uniform Code of Military Justice actions or the Commander determined that the report was not credible. The number of requests increased from all previous years.

Expedited Transfers										
FY Expedited Transfer Requested	FY14		FY15		FY16		FY17		FY18	
	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%
Expedited Transfer Requests by Service Member Victims	125	-	112	-	87	-	148	-	178	-
Expedited Transfer Requests Approved	117	93.6%	109	97.3%	86	98.9%	145	98.0%	170	95.5%
Expedited Transfer Requests Denied	8	6.4%	3	2.7%	1	1.1%	3	2.0%	8	4.5%

Table 8.1.2.1.4. – Expedited Transfers for Unrestricted Reports

Victim Participation in the Military Justice Process: Table 8.1.2.1.5. provides a summary of victim participation in the military justice process. The number and percentage of cases in which the victim declined to participate in the military justice process decreased from 144 (29%) in fiscal year 2017 to 55 (16%) in fiscal year 2018.

Victim Participation in Military Justice Process (Unrestricted Reports)										
FY of Investigation Completion	FY14		FY15		FY16		FY17		FY18	
	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%
Sexual Assault Investigation Subjects that can be Considered for Possible Action by DoD Commanders	439	-	517	-	403	-	495	-	344	-
Number of Subject Cases with Victims Declining to Participate in the Military Justice Action	47	10.7%	74	14.3%	59	14.6%	144	29.1%	55	16.0%

Table 8.1.2.1.5. – Victim Participation in the Military Justice Process

8.1.2.2. Analysis of Subjects in Unrestricted Reports with Completed Investigations

This section analyzes demographic data about subjects in completed investigations of Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault. In this section, the term “fiscal year” refers to the fiscal year in which the investigation associated with a sexual assault report concluded. For example, Unrestricted Reports under the fiscal year 2018 column are not necessarily reports filed in fiscal year 2018. Rather, they are sexual assault reports whose associated investigations concluded in fiscal year 2018. These reports include those filed in fiscal year 2018 or in any preceding fiscal year. Additionally, while each Unrestricted Report of sexual assault has a single victim, a report may have more than one subject. For these reasons, the number of subjects shown in a given fiscal year will not necessarily match the number of Unrestricted Reports with completed investigations during that fiscal year.

Demographic Analysis of Subjects in Completed Investigations:

Table 8.1.2.2.1. provides a demographic analysis of subjects in investigations completed each fiscal year by gender, age at the time of the incident, military affiliation, duty status, and grade.

Gender: The majority of subjects in completed investigations are male. The percentage of subjects in completed investigations that are male has remained relatively stable over recent fiscal years at about 85%. Men comprised about 80% of the active duty Air Force population during this time.

Compared to their share of the active duty population, women are under-represented as subjects in completed investigations. In fiscal year 2018, women represented 7% of subjects in completed investigations and 20% of the active duty Air Force population.

Age: On average between fiscal year 2014 and fiscal year 2018, the top three age groups of subjects in completed investigations are age groups 20-24 at 37%, 25-34 at 28%, and 35-49 at 13%. In fiscal year 2018, top three age group of subjects in completed investigations are age group 20-24 at 39%, 25-34 at 26%, and 16-19 and 35-49 at 10% each.

Grade: On average between fiscal year 2014 and fiscal year 2018, grade groups of subjects in completed investigations are E-1 to E-4 at 60%, E-5 to E-9 at 30%, O-1 to O-3 at 5% and O-4 to O-10 at 3%. In fiscal year 2018, E-1 to E-4 remained the rank group with the highest percentage of subjects in completed investigation at 61%.

Subject Demographics in Unrestricted Reports with Completed Investigations										
FY of Investigation Completion	FY14		FY15		FY16		FY17		FY18	
	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%
Total Subjects	800	-	750	-	696	-	813	-	843	-
Gender										
Male	674	84.3%	642	85.6%	586	84.2%	699	86.0%	698	82.8%
Female	40	5.0%	33	4.4%	45	6.5%	34	4.2%	61	7.2%
Unknown/Relevant Data Not Avail.	86	10.8%	75	10.0%	65	9.3%	80	9.8%	84	10.0%
Age (Time of Incident)										
0-15	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	7	0.9%	2	0.2%
16-19	83	10.8%	62	8.3%	71	7.3%	71	8.7%	84	10.0%
20-24	281	36.4%	265	35.3%	261	37.5%	322	39.6%	329	39.0%
25-34	201	26.0%	238	31.7%	217	31.2%	216	26.6%	217	25.7%
35-49	79	10.2%	83	11.1%	70	10.1%	77	9.5%	84	10.0%
50-64	11	1.4%	9	1.2%	9	1.3%	17	2.1%	15	1.8%
65+	3	0.4%	1	0.1%	2	0.3%	1	0.1%	69	8.2%
Unknown/Relevant Data Not Avail.	114	14.8%	92	12.3%	85	12.2%	102	12.5%	43	5.1%
Military Affiliation										
Military	604	75.5%	608	81.1%	551	79.2%	621	76.4%	652	77.3%
Non-military	33	4.1%	43	5.7%	37	5.3%	62	7.6%	61	7.2%
Unknown/Relevant Data Not Avail.	163	20.4%	99	13.2%	108	15.5%	130	16.0%	131	15.5%
Duty Status (Military Subjects)										
Active Duty	563	93.2%	555	91.3%	497	90.2%	580	93.4%	618	94.8%
Reserve	22	3.6%	33	5.4%	36	6.5%	30	4.8%	26	4.0%
National Guard	4	0.7%	2	0.3%	2	0.4%	5	0.8%	4	0.6%
Cadet/Prep School Student	2	0.3%	14	2.3%	14	2.5%	6	1.0%	4	0.6%
Unknown/Relevant Data Not Avail.	13	2.2%	4	0.7%	2	0.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Grade (Military Subjects)										
C-1 to C-4 & Prep School	2	0.3%	14	2.3%	14	2.5%	6	1.0%	4	0.6%
E-1 to E-4	370	61.3%	342	56.3%	307	55.7%	408	65.7%	400	61.3%
E-5 to E-9	165	27.3%	201	33.1%	185	33.6%	155	25.0%	192	29.4%
WO-1 to WO-5	0	0.0%	1	0.2%	1	0.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
O-1 to O-3	31	5.1%	29	4.8%	30	5.4%	31	5.0%	35	5.4%
O-4 to O-10	21	3.5%	17	2.8%	12	2.2%	21	3.4%	20	3.1%
Unknown/Relevant Data Not Avail.	15	2.5%	4	0.7%	2	0.4%	0	0.0%	1	0.2%

Table 8.1.2.2.1. – Subject Demographics for Unrestricted Reports

8.1.2.3. Investigative and Military Justice Process Discussion

Subject Dispositions: Once the investigation of an Unrestricted Report is complete, Congress requires the Military Services to provide the outcome of the allegations against each subject named in an investigation – subject dispositions. Table 8.1.2.3.1. analyzes subject dispositions reported in fiscal year 2018. Of the 431 subjects with dispositions reported in fiscal year 2018, 344 had consideration for command action and the remaining 87 did not because the subject was outside the Department of Defense’s legal authority or a civilian or foreign authority exercised jurisdiction over the subject. Of the 344 subjects having consideration for command action, completion of command action occurred for 325 in fiscal year 2018. Of the 325 subjects with command actions completed in fiscal year 2018, for 217 (67%) the Air Force substantiated either a sexual assault charge or other misconduct, for 99 (30%) the circumstances precluded command action, and for 9 (3%) command or legal review found the allegation unfounded.

FY18 DISPOSITONS	Total Count	Actions Completed in FY18 (Subset of Total Count)
SUBJECTS OF INVESTIGATION WITH DISPOSITION INFORMATION TO REPORT IN FY18	431	
<i>DoD did not Consider Action</i>	87	
Subject outside DoD's legal Authority	82	
<i>Offender is Unknown</i>	52	
<i>Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National</i>	30	
<i>Subject Died or Deserted</i>	0	
Civilian/Foreign Authority Exercised Jurisdiction over Service Member Subject	5	
Sexual Assault Investigation Subjects Considered for Possible Action	344	325
Evidence Supported Commander Action	236	217
Sexual Assault Charge Substantiated	160	148
Court-Martial Charge Preferred	114	110
Nonjudicial Punishments	18	15
Administrative Discharges	9	9
Other Adverse Administrative Actions	19	14
Other Misconduct Substantiated	76	69
Court-Martial Charge Preferred	5	5
Nonjudicial Punishments	32	28
Administrative Discharges	2	2
Other Adverse Administrative Actions	37	34
Command Action Precluded	99	99
Victim Declined to Participate	55	55
Insufficient Evidence	43	43
Statute of Limitations Expired	1	1
Victim Died before completion of justice action	0	0
Allegation Unfounded by Command/Legal	9	9

Table 8.1.2.3.1. – Fiscal year 2018 Subject Dispositions for Unrestricted Reports

Completed Command Actions: Chart 8.1.2.3.2. analyzes completed command actions for penetrating and sexual contact crimes over time. Command actions for non-sexual assault offense increased by three percentage points between fiscal year 2017 and 2018. Command actions for sexual assault offenses that led to discharge, non-judicial punishment, or Court-Martial increased by 10 percentage points, over the same time. Cases where command action was not possible decreased by 13 percentage points between fiscal year 2017 and 2018.




Chart 8.1.2.3.2. – Completed Command Actions by Fiscal Year

Court-Martial Outcomes: Charts 8.1.2.3.3. and 8.1.2.3.4. analyze sexual assault Court-Martial outcomes for penetrating and sexual contact crimes, respectively, over time. In fiscal year 2018, the Air Force charged 91 subjects with a penetrating crime, of which 54 proceeded to trial. Of the 54 subjects tried, there were 14 convictions (26%). The Air Force charged 18 subjects with a sexual contact crime, of which 13 proceeded to trial. Of the 13 subjects tried, there were 9 convictions (69%). The overall conviction rate for cases tried with an Article 120 offense was 34%.




Chart 8.1.2.3.3. – Sexual Assault Court-Martial Outcomes for Penetrating Crimes




Chart 8.1.2.3.4. – Sexual Assault Court-Martial Outcomes for Sexual Contact Crimes

Duration of Investigative and Military Justice Processes

The length of a sexual assault investigation depends on a number of factors, including:

- The alleged offense;
- The location and availability of the victim, subject, and witnesses;
- The amount and kind of physical evidence gathered during the investigation; and
- The length of time required for crime laboratory analysis of evidence.

Depending on these and other factors, sexual assault investigation length may range from a few months to over a year.

Chart 8.1.2.3.5. shows the mean and median lengths of time to complete sexual assault investigations in the Air Force. The median has remained constant at 75 days since fiscal year 2015 while the mean decreased from fiscal year 2014 to fiscal year 2017 and increased from 99 days in fiscal year 2017 to 106 days in fiscal year 2018.




Chart 8.1.2.3.5. – Average Sexual Assault Investigation Length

Chart 8.1.2.3.6. shows the mean and median number of days from when a victim files an Unrestricted Report (i.e. signs the Department of Defense 2910) to the completion of the Courts-Martial process, sentence or acquittal. In fiscal year 2018, the average time from filing an unrestricted sexual assault report to completion of the Courts-Martial process was similar to fiscal year 2017.




Chart 8.1.2.3.6. – Days from Filing of Unrestricted Report until Courts-Martial Outcome

Chart 8.1.2.3.7. shows the mean and median number of days from when a victim files an Unrestricted Report (i.e. signs the DD 2910) to completion of non-judicial punishment actions. In fiscal year 2018, the time between filing an Unrestricted Report and completion of the non-judicial punishment decreased from fiscal year 2017.




Chart 8.1.2.3.7. – Days from Filing of Unrestricted Report Until Non-Judicial Punishment Outcome

8.1.2.4. Analysis of Incident Details in Unrestricted Reports

Table 8.1.2.4.1. analyzes incident details associated with Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault by the fiscal year in which the Air Force received the sexual assault report. Whereas previous sections classified unrestricted sexual assault reports by the fiscal year in which the associated investigation was completed, this section classifies sexual assault reports by the fiscal year of the filing of the report. In cases where investigations are not complete, the information provided by the victim is the base for incident details. Table 8.1.2.4.1. does not include any sexual assault reports that were initially filed as restricted in one fiscal year but converted to unrestricted in a later fiscal year. However, it does include any sexual assault reports initially filed as restricted in one fiscal year and converted to unrestricted in the same fiscal year.

The overall number of Unrestricted Reports made to the Air Force increased 1% from fiscal year 2017 to fiscal year 2018, from 1042 in fiscal year 2017 to 1055 in fiscal year 2018.

Assault Location: The percentage of Unrestricted Reports in which the sexual assault occurred on base decreased from 52% in fiscal year 2017 to 46% in fiscal year 2018.

Subject-Victim Service Affiliation: Unrestricted Reports in which Service members sexually assault non-Service members remained relatively constant at 13% in fiscal year 2017 and 2018. Unrestricted Reports in which non-Service members sexually assault Service members decreased from 6% in fiscal year 2017 to 5% in fiscal year 2018.

Subject-Victim Gender: The percentage of Unrestricted Reports involving subjects and victims of different genders (i.e., males sexually assaulting females or females sexually assaulting males) increased by three percentage points, from 63% in fiscal year 2017 to 66% in fiscal year 2018. Conversely, the percentage of reports involving subjects and victims of the same gender (i.e., males sexually assaulting other males or females sexually assaulting other females) decreased by almost one percentage point, from 9% in fiscal year 2017 to 8% in fiscal year 2018.

Reporting Delay: The percentage of Unrestricted Reports filed within 30 days of the occurrence of the sexual assault has increased since fiscal year 2016, increasing from 45% to 50% between fiscal years 2016 and 2018.

Assault Day of Week and Time of Day: The percentage of Unrestricted Reports in which the sexual assault occurred on the weekend (Friday through Sunday) has increased by four percentage points, from 54% in fiscal year 2017 to 58% in fiscal year 2018. Sexual assault that occurred on a weekday (Monday-Thursday) decreased by three percentage points, from 41% in fiscal year 2017 to 38% in fiscal year 2018.

Incident Details for Unrestricted Reports*										
FY of Report	FY14		FY15		FY16		FY17		FY18	
	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%
Total Reports	932	-	912	-	905	-	1042	-	1055	-
Assault Location										
On-Base	469	50.3%	376	41.2%	438	48.4%	537	51.5%	487	46.2%
Off-Base	409	43.9%	386	42.3%	407	45.0%	427	41.0%	491	46.5%
Unidentified	54	5.8%	150	16.4%	60	6.6%	78	7.5%	77	7.3%
Subject-Victim Service Affiliation										
Member on Member	457	49.0%	426	46.7%	427	47.2%	500	48.0%	575	54.5%
Member on Non-Member	159	17.1%	140	15.4%	142	15.7%	133	12.8%	137	13.0%
Non-Member on Member	41	4.4%	45	4.9%	41	4.5%	63	6.0%	54	5.1%
Unidentified on Member	15	1.6%	55	6.0%	25	2.8%	45	4.3%	92	8.7%
Relevant Data Not Available	260	27.9%	246	27.0%	270	29.8%	301	28.9%	197	18.7%
Subject-Victim Gender										
Male on Female	576	61.8%	483	53.0%	534	59.0%	633	60.7%	668	63.3%
Male on Male	61	6.5%	76	8.3%	71	7.8%	79	7.6%	65	6.2%
Female on Male	21	2.3%	16	1.8%	20	2.2%	26	2.5%	33	3.1%
Female on Female	8	0.9%	19	2.1%	12	1.3%	11	1.1%	19	1.8%
Unknown on Male	0	0.0%	10	1.1%	5	0.6%	7	0.7%	26	2.5%
Unknown on Female	1	0.1%	29	3.2%	11	1.2%	25	2.4%	56	5.3%
Multiple Mixed Gender	14	1.5%	8	0.9%	12	1.3%	6	0.6%	14	1.3%
Relevant Data Not Available	251	26.9%	271	29.7%	240	26.5%	255	24.5%	174	16.5%
Reporting Delay										
Within 3 days	284	30.5%	236	25.9%	232	25.6%	303	29.1%	303	28.7%
4-30 days	178	19.1%	199	21.8%	175	19.3%	203	19.5%	229	21.7%
31-365 days	257	27.6%	227	24.9%	263	29.1%	293	28.1%	305	28.9%
> 1 year	194	20.8%	154	16.9%	198	21.9%	195	18.7%	177	16.8%
Relevant Data Not Available	19	2.0%	96	10.5%	37	4.1%	48	4.6%	41	3.9%
Assault Time of Day										
6AM - 6PM	154	16.5%	180	19.7%	203	22.4%	189	18.1%	171	16.2%
6PM - Midnight	253	27.1%	248	27.2%	243	26.9%	298	28.6%	268	25.4%
Midnight - 6AM	465	49.9%	375	41.1%	407	45.0%	479	46.0%	542	51.4%
Unknown/Relevant Data Not Avail.	60	6.4%	109	12.0%	52	5.7%	76	7.3%	74	7.0%
Assault Day of Week										
Weekend (Fri-Sun)	411	44.1%	351	38.5%	488	53.9%	566	54.3%	609	57.7%
Weekday (Mon-Thur)	501	53.8%	438	48.0%	378	41.8%	428	41.1%	405	38.4%
Relevant Data Not Available	20	2.1%	123	13.5%	39	4.3%	48	4.6%	41	3.9%

Table 8.1.2.4.1. – Incident Details for Unrestricted Reports

8.1.3. Restricted Reporting

Restricted Reports of sexual assault are confidential, covered communications as defined in Department of Defense policy. The Air Force cannot investigate allegations made in Restricted Reports because there is no requirement for victims to provide many details about these sexual assaults, and Sexual Assault Prevention and Response personnel do not enter information about alleged subjects into the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database. Therefore, the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database data about Restricted Reports are more limited than data about Unrestricted Reports.

8.1.3.1. Restricted Report Conversions

Table 8.1.3.1.1. shows the number of initially Restricted Reports, the number of initially Restricted Reports those victims converted to unrestricted in the same fiscal year, and the number of Restricted Reports remaining restricted at the end of each fiscal year.

In fiscal year 2018, 628 victims initially filed Restricted Reports with the Air Force. Of these, 164 chose to convert their Restricted Report to an Unrestricted Report in fiscal year 2018 (the unrestricted reporting sections include these reports), leaving 464 Restricted Reports remaining restricted at the end of fiscal year 2018. The percentage of Restricted Reports that were converted increased by four percentage points, from 22% in fiscal year 2017 to 26% in fiscal year 2018. The Air Force assesses this increase in same-fiscal year Restricted Report conversions as indicative of growing confidence on the part of victims to participate in the investigative and judicial processes that are necessary to hold alleged offenders accountable.

FY of Report	Restricted Report Conversions									
	FY14		FY15		FY16		FY17		FY18	
	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%
Initially Restricted	505	-	471	-	524	-	535	-	628	-
Converted to Unrestricted	99	19.6%	90	19.1%	109	20.8%	120	22.4%	164	26.1%
Remaining Restricted	406	80.4%	381	80.9%	415	79.2%	415	77.6%	464	73.9%

Table 8.1.3.1.1. – Restricted Report Conversions

8.1.3.2. Analysis of Victims in Restricted Reports

Table 8.1.3.2.1. and the following discussion provides a demographic analysis of victims of sexual assault who made Restricted Reports that remained restricted through the end of the reporting fiscal year, without conversion. The unrestricted reporting section above includes converted Restricted Reports.

Gender: The portion of male victims in Restricted Reports increased from 13% to 20% between fiscal years 2017 and 2018. Even with this increase, male victims are under-represented compared with the active duty Air Force population. In fiscal year 2018, men comprised of 80% of the active duty Air Force population. Over the same time, the portion of women in Restricted Reports decreased from 86% to 80% while comprising of 20% of the active duty Air Force population.

Age at Time of Incident: Victims in the 16-19 age group are over-represented compared with their representation in the active duty Air Force population, accounting for 18% of the Restrict Reports

while comprising of 5% of the active duty Air Force population. Victims in the 20-24 age category are also consistently over-represented compared with their share of the active duty Air Force population. In fiscal year 2018, age group 20-24 accounted for 44% of the Restricted Reports and 28% of the active duty Air Force population.

Each of the older age groups are consistently under-represented compared to their respective cohorts in the active duty Air Force population.

Grade: Junior enlisted Airmen (E1-E4) are consistently over-represented among victims who file Restricted Reports that remained restricted at the end of the fiscal year. Junior enlisted Airmen accounted for 59% of the victims in Restricted Reports and remained restricted, while comprising of 39% of the active duty Air Force population.

Senior enlisted Airmen (E5-E9) and officers each accounted for a smaller shares of the fiscal year 2018 victims who filed Restricted Reports that remained restricted at the end of fiscal year 2018 (27% and 10%, respectively) than their respective cohorts in the active duty Air Force population (42% and 19%, respectively).

Victim Demographics in Restricted Reports										
FY of Report	FY14		FY15		FY16		FY17		FY18	
	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%
Total Victims	406	-	381	-	415	-	415	-	464	-
Gender										
Male	67	16.5%	87	22.8%	74	17.8%	55	13.3%	93	20.0%
Female	338	83.3%	292	76.6%	341	82.2%	358	86.3%	371	80.0%
Relevant Data Not Available	1	0.2%	2	0.5%	0	0.0%	2	0.5%	0	0.0%
Age (Time of Incident)										
0-15	49	11.9%	35	9.2%	33	8.0%	25	6.0%	29	6.3%
16-19	93	22.6%	85	22.3%	87	21.0%	109	26.3%	85	18.3%
20-24	166	40.4%	150	39.4%	157	37.8%	177	42.7%	205	44.2%
25-34	89	21.7%	80	21.0%	103	24.8%	88	21.2%	122	26.3%
35-49	14	3.4%	19	5.0%	23	5.5%	15	3.6%	23	5.0%
50-64	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.2%	1	0.2%	0	0.0%
65+	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Relevant Data Not Available	0	0.0%	12	3.1%	11	2.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Military Affiliation										
Military	395	97.3%	366	96.1%	400	96.4%	396	95.4%	447	96.3%
Non-military	10	2.5%	13	3.4%	14	3.4%	17	4.1%	17	3.7%
Relevant Data Not Available	1	0.2%	2	0.5%	1	0.2%	2	0.5%	0	0.0%
Duty Status (Military Victims)										
Active Duty	357	90.4%	317	86.6%	354	88.5%	365	92.2%	408	91.3%
Reserve (Activated)	16	4.1%	23	6.3%	25	6.3%	15	3.8%	21	4.7%
National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	7	1.8%	3	0.8%	5	1.3%	7	1.8%	4	0.9%
Cadet/Prep School Student	15	3.8%	23	6.3%	16	4.0%	9	2.3%	14	3.1%
Relevant Data Not Available	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Grade (Military Victims)										
C-1 to C-4 & Prep School	15	3.8%	23	6.3%	16	4.0%	9	2.3%	14	3.1%
E-1 to E-4	250	63.3%	213	58.2%	250	62.5%	264	66.7%	264	59.1%
E-5 to E-9	94	23.8%	101	27.6%	94	23.5%	84	21.2%	122	27.3%
WO1-WO5	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.2%
O-1 to O-3	33	8.4%	21	5.7%	28	7.0%	31	7.8%	34	7.6%
O-4 to O-10	3	0.8%	8	2.2%	12	3.0%	8	2.0%	12	2.7%
Relevant Data Not Available	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%

Table 8.1.3.2.1. – Victim Demographics in Restricted Reports

8.1.3.3. Analysis of Incident Details in Restricted Reports

Table 8.1.3.3.1. provides analysis of the incident details for Restricted Reports that remained restricted at the end of each fiscal year.

Incident Details for Restricted Reports										
FY of Report	FY14		FY15		FY16		FY17		FY18	
	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%
Total Reports	406	-	381	-	415	-	415	-	464	-
Incident Location										
On-Base	116	28.6%	88	23.1%	124	29.9%	140	33.7%	147	31.7%
Off-Base	235	57.9%	183	48.0%	220	53.0%	230	55.4%	240	51.7%
Unidentified/Relevant Data Not Avail.	55	13.5%	110	28.9%	71	17.1%	45	10.8%	77	16.6%
Subject-Victim Military Affiliation										
Member on Member	166	52.0%	172	45.1%	185	44.6%	204	49.2%	265	57.1%
Member on Non-Member	10	3.1%	13	3.4%	14	3.4%	17	4.1%	17	3.7%
Non-Member on Member	102	32.0%	75	19.7%	106	25.5%	130	31.3%	105	22.6%
Unidentified on Member	41	12.9%	56	14.7%	46	11.1%	61	14.7%	77	16.6%
Relevant Data Not Available	87	27.3%	65	17.1%	64	15.4%	3	0.7%	0	0.0%
Reporting Delay										
Within 3 days	87	21.4%	55	14.4%	85	20.5%	79	19.0%	101	21.8%
4-30 days	66	16.3%	56	14.7%	54	13.0%	54	13.0%	89	19.2%
31-365 days	66	16.3%	59	15.5%	60	14.5%	82	19.8%	75	16.2%
> 1 year	96	23.6%	78	20.5%	119	28.7%	116	28.0%	102	22.0%
Relevant Data Not Available	91	22.4%	133	34.9%	97	23.4%	84	20.2%	97	20.9%
Assault Time of Day										
6AM - 6PM	55	13.5%	42	11.0%	45	10.8%	48	11.6%	50	10.8%
6PM - Midnight	128	31.5%	124	32.5%	177	42.7%	176	42.4%	187	40.3%
Midnight - 6AM	163	40.1%	115	30.2%	129	31.1%	140	33.7%	153	33.0%
Unknown/Relevant Data Not Avail	60	14.8%	100	26.2%	64	15.4%	51	12.3%	74	15.9%
Assault Day of Week										
Weekend (Fri-Sun)	204	50.2%	137	36.0%	185	44.6%	227	54.7%	241	51.9%
Weekday (Mon-Thur)	115	28.3%	77	20.2%	141	34.0%	106	25.5%	129	27.8%
Relevant Data Not Available	87	21.4%	167	43.8%	89	21.4%	82	19.8%	94	20.3%

Table 8.1.3.3.1. – Incident Details for Restricted Reports

8.1.4. Service Referrals for Victims of Sexual Assault

Table 8.1.4.1. analyzes service referrals for Unrestricted Reports. The most common service referrals for Unrestricted Reports were Legal and Victim Advocate. The largest increases in type of victim referrals between fiscal year 2017 and fiscal year 2018 were Rape Crisis Center (53%) and Department of Defense Safe Helpline (46%).

Service Referrals for Unrestricted Reports										
FY of Service Referral	FY14		FY15		FY16		FY17		FY18	
	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%
Total Service Referrals	1,103	-	1,394	-	1,429	-	1,760	-	2,057	-
Type of Service										
Medical	100	9.1%	92	6.6%	124	8.7%	161	9.1%	148	7.2%
Mental Health	276	25.0%	307	22.0%	298	20.9%	370	21.0%	383	18.6%
Legal	202	18.3%	288	20.7%	302	21.1%	368	20.9%	458	22.3%
Chaplain/Spiritual Support	148	13.4%	223	16.0%	187	13.1%	238	13.5%	257	12.5%
Rape Crisis Center	22	2.0%	41	2.9%	47	3.3%	34	1.9%	52	2.5%
Victim Advocate	217	19.7%	277	19.9%	295	20.6%	350	19.9%	474	23.0%
DoD Safe Helpline	63	5.7%	93	6.7%	110	7.7%	145	8.2%	211	10.3%
Other	75	6.8%	73	5.2%	66	4.6%	94	5.3%	74	3.6%

Table 8.1.4.1. – Service Referrals for Unrestricted Reports

Table 8.1.4.2. analyzes service referrals for Restricted Reports. The most common service referrals for Restricted Reports were mental health and Victim Advocate.

Service Referrals for Restricted Reports										
FY of Service Referral	FY14		FY15		FY16		FY17		FY18	
	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%
Total Service Referrals	603	-	727	-	919	-	889	-	1104	-
Type of Service										
Medical	52	8.6%	62	8.5%	93	10.1%	83	9.3%	110	10.0%
Mental Health	179	29.7%	194	26.7%	239	26.0%	226	25.4%	251	22.7%
Legal	52	8.6%	108	14.9%	119	12.9%	127	14.3%	151	13.7%
Chaplain/Spiritual Support	124	20.6%	126	17.3%	151	16.4%	145	16.3%	155	14.0%
Rape Crisis Center	29	4.8%	28	3.9%	31	3.4%	29	3.3%	39	3.5%
Victim Advocate	96	15.9%	131	18.0%	159	17.3%	168	18.9%	231	20.9%
DoD Safe Helpline	35	5.8%	50	6.9%	81	8.8%	77	8.7%	111	10.1%
Other	36	6.0%	28	3.9%	46	5.0%	34	3.8%	56	5.1%

Table 8.1.4.2. – Service Referrals for Restricted Reports

8.2 Complete the following table with your numbers as of the end of the fiscal year. Use the job/duty descriptions provided and the following inclusion criteria:

- Include all Reserve and Active Duty military personnel. Army and Air Force do not need to include their respective National Guard component information as it will be included in the National Guard Bureau’s response.
- Include civilian and contractor personnel, as applicable
- Only include filled positions
- Indicate the number of full-time and part-time personnel
- Provide the exact number of current personnel, whenever possible. If the number is an estimate, please indicate how the estimate was reached and any other relevant information. **(DoDI 6495.02, “Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures,” (May 24, 2017), Encl 2, para 6ac)**

Job/Duty Title	Description of Job/Duty	Full-Time	Part-Time
Program Managers	Capability in developing policy, or program management and execution; and completion of 40+ hours of Military Service-specific National Advocate Credentialing Program and approved Sexual Assault Response Coordinator training.	11	
Dedicated Headquarters-Level Professionals	Include policy, advocacy, and prevention professionals who support the headquarters-level Sexual Assault Prevention and Response program offices at each Military Service/National Guard Bureau (<i>not including program managers, who are counted in their own category</i>).	14	

Uniformed Sexual Assault Response Coordinators	Serve as the single point of contact at an installation or within a geographic area to oversee sexual assault awareness, prevention, and response training; coordinate medical treatment, including emergency care, for victims of sexual assault; and track the services provided to victims from the initial report through final disposition and resolution. Certified under the nationally-accredited Department of Defense Sexual Assault Advocate Certification Program.	50	
Civilian Sexual Assault Response Coordinators	See above.	79	
Uniformed Sexual Assault Prevention and Response-Victim Advocates	Provide non-clinical crisis intervention, referral, and ongoing non-clinical support to adult sexual assault victims; offer information on available options/resources to victims; coordinate liaison assistance with other organizations and agencies on victim care matters; and report directly to the Sexual Assault Response Coordinator. Certified under the nationally-accredited Department of Defense-Sexual Assault Advocate Certification Program.	9	
Civilian Sexual Assault Prevention and Response-Victim Advocates	See above.	95	
Sexual Assault-Specific Legal	Legal personnel who specialize in sexual assault cases including prosecutors, Victim Witness Assistance Program personnel, paralegals, legal experts, and Special Victims' Counsel/Victims' Legal Counsel.	13 Special Victims' Prosecutors (full time) 98 Special Victims' Counsel Personnel (attorneys and paralegals)	
Sexual Assault – Specific Investigators	Military Criminal Investigation Office investigators who specialize in sexual assault cases.	24	350*

Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examiners	Medical providers that have completed the Department of Defense course at Fort Sam Houston, or equivalent.	76	
---	--	-----------	--

Notes:
**These numbers reflect Alternate SARCs*
***This number reflects all criminal lead agents working cases in the field*

Unrestricted Reports

AIR FORCE FY18 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN THE MILITARY		
<p>A. FY18 REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT (rape, sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, forcible sodomy, and attempts to commit these offenses) BY or AGAINST Service Members.</p> <p>Note: The data on this page is raw, uninvestigated information about allegations received during FY18. These Reports may not be fully investigated by the end of the fiscal year.</p> <p>This data is drawn from Defense Sexual Assault Database (DSAD) based on Service affiliation of the Sexual Assault Response Coordinator (SARC) who currently manages the Victim case.</p>		FY18 Totals
# FY18 Unrestricted Reports (one Victim per report)		1055
# Service Member Victims		918
# Non-Service Member Victims in allegations against Service Member Subject		137
# Relevant Data Not Available		0
# Unrestricted Reports in the following categories		1055
# Service Member on Service Member		575
# Service Member on Non-Service Member		137
# Non-Service Member on Service Member		54
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member		92
# Relevant Data Not Available		197
# Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault occurring		1055
# On military installation		487
# Off military installation		491
# Unidentified location		77
# Victim in Unrestricted Reports Referred for Investigation		1055
# Victims in investigations initiated during FY18		964
# Victims with Investigations pending completion at end of 30-SEP-2018		252
# Victims with Completed Investigations at end of 30-SEP-2018		712
# Victims with Investigative Data Forthcoming		22
# Victims where investigation could not be opened by DoD or Civilian Law Enforcement		69
# Victims - Alleged perpetrator not subject to the UCMJ		24
# Victims - Crime was beyond statute of limitations		1
# Victims - Unrestricted Reports for Matters Occurring Prior to Military Service		20
# Victims - Other		24
# All Restricted Reports received in FY18 (one Victim per report)		628
# Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report* (report made this year and converted this year)		164
# Restricted Reports Remaining Restricted at end of FY18		464
B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FOR FY18	FY18 Totals	FY18 Totals for Service Member Victim Cases
Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report	1055	918
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	303	265
# Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault	116	91
# Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault	113	97
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	305	263
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	177	161
# Relevant Data Not Available	41	41
Time of sexual assault	1055	918
# Midnight to 6 am	542	464
# 6 am to 6 pm	171	158
# 6 pm to midnight	268	225
# Unknown	35	32
# Relevant Data Not Available	39	39
Day of sexual assault	1055	918
# Sunday	149	124
# Monday	103	91
# Tuesday	97	82
# Wednesday	94	88
# Thursday	111	99
# Friday	193	170
# Saturday	267	223
# Relevant Data Not Available	41	41

Unrestricted Reports (continued)

C. UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS BY OR AGAINST SERVICE MEMBERS (VICTIM AND SUBJECT GENDER)	Male on Female	Male on Male	Female on Male	Female on Female	Unknown on Male	Unknown on Female	Multiple Mixed Gender Assault	Relevant Data Not Available	FY18 Totals		
	668	65	33	19	26	56	14	174	1055		
# Service Member on Service Member	471	49	25	17	2	1	8	2	575		
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	127	4	0	1	0	0	5	0	137		
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	38	8	6	1	1	0	0	0	54		
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	9	4	0	0	23	55	1	0	92		
# Relevant Data Not Available	23	0	2	0	0	0	0	172	197		
FY18 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT BY MATTER INVESTIGATED TYPE (May not reflect what crimes can be charged upon completion of investigation)											
UNRESTRICTED REPORTS MADE IN FY18	Penetrating Offenses				Contact Offenses						
D. UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS BY OR AGAINST SERVICE MEMBERS (MOST SERIOUS CRIME ALLEGED, AS CATEGORIZED BY THE MILITARY CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIVE ORGANIZATION)	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	FY18 Totals
D1.	137	3	398	6	11	381	1	0	20	98	1055
# Service Member on Service Member	39	0	247	2	4	270	0	0	9	4	575
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	24	1	74	0	0	36	0	0	1	1	137
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	13	1	14	0	1	18	0	0	2	5	54
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	23	0	36	2	1	21	1	0	3	5	92
# Relevant Data Not Available	38	1	27	2	5	36	0	0	5	83	197
D2.	TOTAL Service Member Victims in FY18 Reports										
	113	2	324	6	11	345	1	0	19	97	918
# Service Member Victims: Female	97	1	273	3	11	283	0	0	12	77	757
# Service Member Victims: Male	16	1	51	3	0	62	1	0	7	20	161
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TIME OF INCIDENT BY OFFENSE TYPE FOR UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT MADE IN FY18											
D3. Time of sexual assault	137	3	398	6	11	381	1	0	20	98	1055
# Midnight to 6 am	73	2	251	4	5	181	1	0	8	17	542
# 6 am to 6 pm	14	0	51	0	2	86	0	0	4	14	171
# 6 pm to midnight	41	1	91	2	3	108	0	0	8	14	268
# Unknown	9	0	5	0	1	6	0	0	0	14	35
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	39	39
D4. Day of sexual assault	137	3	398	6	11	381	1	0	20	98	1055
# Sunday	28	2	65	2	3	44	0	0	1	4	149
# Monday	12	0	34	0	0	46	1	0	4	6	103
# Tuesday	12	0	42	0	2	37	0	0	2	2	97
# Wednesday	15	0	34	1	3	35	0	0	1	5	94
# Thursday	10	0	46	0	0	42	0	0	0	13	111
# Friday	26	1	74	2	1	75	0	0	5	9	193
# Saturday	33	0	103	1	2	102	0	0	7	19	267
# Relevant Data Not Available	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	40	41

Unrestricted Reports (continued)

E. SUMMARY OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS WITH INVESTIGATIONS	FY18 Totals
E1. Subjects in Unrestricted Reports Made to Your Service with Investigation Initiated During FY18	
Note: This data is drawn from DSAID based on Service affiliation of the SARC who currently manages the Victim case associated with the investigation and Subject below.	
# Investigations Initiated during FY18	872
# Investigations Completed as of FY18 End (group by MCIO #)	561
# Investigations Pending Completion as of FY18 End (group by MCIO #)	311
# Subjects in investigations Initiated During FY18	865
# Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	19
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	1
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	18
# Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	23
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	23
# Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	611
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	606
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	5
# Non-Service Member Subjects in Service Investigations	
Note: Non-Service Member Subjects are drawn from all CID, NCIS and AFOSI investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.	40
# Unidentified Subjects in Service Investigations	
Note: Unidentified Subjects are drawn from all CID, NCIS and AFOSI investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.	92
# Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement	
Note: Service Member Subjects are drawn from Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.	12
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement	8
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement	4
# Non-Service Member Subjects in Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	18
# Unidentified Subjects in Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	8
# Subject or Investigation Relevant Data Not Available	42
E2. Service Investigations Completed during FY18	
Note: The following data is drawn from DSAID and describes criminal investigations completed during the FY18. These investigations may have been initiated during the FY18 or any prior FY.	
# Total Investigations completed by Services during FY18 (Group by MCIO Case Number)	758
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	65
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	55
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	13
# Subjects in investigations completed during FY18 involving a Victim supported by your Service	825
# Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	16
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	1
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	15
# Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	18
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	18
# Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	615
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	609
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	6
# Non-Service Member Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	50
# Unidentified Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	83
# Subject Relevant Data Not Available	43
# Victims in investigations completed during FY18, supported by your Service	844
# Service Member Victims in CID investigations	16
# Your Service Member Victims in CID investigations	16
# Other Service Member Victims in CID investigations	0
# Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations	21
# Your Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations	11
# Other Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations	10
# Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations	678
# Your Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations	668
# Other Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations	10
# Non-Service Member Victims in completed Service Investigations, supported by your Service	123
# Victim Relevant Data Not Available	6

Unrestricted Reports (continued)

E3. Subjects and Victims in Investigations Completed by US Civilian and Foreign Agencies during FY18	
Note: This data is entered by your Service SARC for cases supported by your Service.	
# Total Investigations completed by US Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement during FY18 (Group by MCIO Case Number)	21
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	0
# Subjects in investigations completed during FY18 involving a Victim supported by your Service	18
# Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	3
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	3
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Non-Service Member Subjects in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	11
# Unidentified Subjects in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	4
# Subject Relevant Data Not Available	0
# Victims in investigations completed during FY18, supported by your Service	21
# Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	20
# Your Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	20
# Other Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	0
# Non-Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations in a case supported by your Service	1
# Victim Relevant Data Not Available	0
E4. Subjects and Victims in Investigations Completed by Military Police/Security Forces/Master At Arms/Marine Corps CID (MPs) during FY18 (all organizations regardless of name are abbreviated below as "MPs")	
Note: This data is entered by your Service SARC for cases supported by your Service.	
Note: As of 1 Jan 2013, all sexual assault investigations are referred to MCIO for investigation. This section captures remaining Subjects in investigations opened in prior years by Military Police/Security Forces/Master At Arms/Marine Corps CID.	
# Total Investigations completed by MPs during FY18 (Group by MCIO Case Number)	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	0
# Subjects in MP investigations completed during FY18 involving a Victim supported by your Service	0
# Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs	0
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs	0
# Non-Service Member Subjects in MPs involving a Victim supported by your Service	0
# Unidentified Subjects in MPs involving a Victim supported by your Service	0
# Subject Relevant Data Not Available	0
# Victims in MP investigations completed during FY18, supported by your Service	0
# Service Member Victims in MP investigations	0
# Your Service Member Victims in MP investigations	0
# Other Service Member Victims in MP investigations	0
# Non-Service Member Victims in MP Investigations, supported by your Service	0
# Victim Relevant Data Not Available	0

Unrestricted Reports (continued)

Victims and Subjects in Investigation Completed in FY18	Victim Data From Investigations completed during FY18										FY18 Totals
	Penetrating Offenses				Contact Offenses						
	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	
F. DEMOGRAPHICS ON VICTIMS IN INVESTIGATIONS COMPLETED IN FY18 (Investigation Completed within the reporting period. These investigations may have been opened in current or prior Fiscal Years)											
F1. Gender of Victims	91	1	349	7	9	370	1	0	23	14	
# Male	9	0	44	4	2	60	1	0	8	1	
# Female	82	1	303	3	7	308	0	0	15	13	
# Unknown	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	
F2. Age of Victims	91	1	349	7	9	370	1	0	23	14	
# 0-15	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	
# 16-19	21	0	92	2	1	66	0	2	2	186	
# 20-24	37	0	143	1	4	128	0	9	2	324	
# 25-34	13	1	62	2	0	81	1	4	2	166	
# 35-49	2	0	4	0	0	23	0	4	1	34	
# 50-64	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	
# 65 and older	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	
# Unknown	15	0	47	2	3	71	0	4	3	145	
F3. Victim Type	91	1	349	7	9	370	1	0	23	14	
# Service Member	71	1	286	7	8	326	1	0	21	14	
# DoD Civilian	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	3	
# DoD Contractor	0	0	4	0	0	3	0	0	0	7	
# Other US Government Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	
# US Civilian	19	0	56	0	1	32	0	2	0	110	
# Foreign National	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	
# Foreign Military	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
# Unknown	0	0	2	0	0	4	0	0	0	6	
F4. Grade of Service Member Victims	71	1	286	7	8	326	1	0	21	14	
# E1-E4	49	1	244	5	8	242	0	11	9	569	
# E5-E9	12	0	30	2	0	59	1	9	3	116	
# WO1-WO5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# O1-O3	8	0	9	0	0	18	0	0	2	37	
# O4-O10	1	0	0	0	0	4	0	1	0	6	
# Cadet/Midshipman	1	0	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	7	
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
F5. Service of Service Member Victims	71	1	286	7	8	326	1	0	21	14	
# Army	1	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	5	
# Navy	0	0	3	0	0	8	0	1	1	13	
# Marines	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	
# Air Force	70	1	283	7	8	312	1	20	13	715	
# Coast Guard	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
F6. Status of Service Member Victims	71	1	286	7	8	326	1	0	21	14	
# Active Duty	67	1	277	6	7	298	1	19	11	687	
# Reserve (Activated)	3	0	5	1	1	23	0	2	2	37	
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	1	4	
# Cadet/Midshipman	1	0	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	7	
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Unrestricted Reports (continued)

G. DEMOGRAPHICS ON SUBJECTS IN INVESTIGATIONS COMPLETED IN FY18 (Investigation Completed within the reporting period. These investigations may have been opened in current or prior Fiscal Years)	Subject Data From Investigations completed during FY18										FY18 Totals
	Penetrating Offenses				Contact Offenses						
	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	
G1. Gender of Subjects	93	1	355	7	9	343	1	0	26	8	843
# Male	75	0	294	5	7	291	0	0	20	6	698
# Female	3	0	22	0	2	33	0	0	1	0	61
# Unknown	14	0	32	2	0	17	1	0	5	2	73
# Relevant Data Not Available	1	1	7	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	11
G2. Age of Subjects	93	1	355	7	9	343	1	0	26	8	843
# 0-15	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
# 16-19	6	0	35	3	1	35	0	0	2	2	84
# 20-24	40	0	168	1	3	114	0	0	3	0	329
# 25-34	17	0	87	0	1	103	0	0	8	1	217
# 35-49	3	0	21	0	3	52	0	0	5	0	84
# 50-64	1	0	1	0	0	11	0	0	1	1	15
# 65 and older	19	0	25	2	1	15	1	0	6	0	69
# Unknown	2	0	7	1	0	6	0	0	0	4	20
# Relevant Data Not Available	4	1	11	0	0	6	0	0	1	0	23
G3. Subject Type	93	1	356	7	9	343	1	0	26	8	844
# Service Member	59	0	284	2	5	284	0	0	15	3	652
# Drill Instructors/Drill Sergeants	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Recruiters	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
# DoD Civilian	1	0	1	0	0	12	0	0	0	1	15
# DoD Contractor	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2
# Other US Government Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# US Civilian	12	0	13	1	2	7	0	0	1	1	37
# Foreign National	0	0	1	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	5
# Foreign Military	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2
# Unknown	18	0	35	3	1	21	1	0	6	2	87
# Relevant Data Not Available	3	1	20	1	1	16	0	0	2	0	44
G4. Grade of Service Member Subjects	59	0	284	2	5	284	0	0	15	3	652
# E1-E4	35	0	197	2	2	156	0	0	6	2	400
# E5-E9	11	0	75	0	3	94	0	0	8	1	192
# WO1-WO5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# O1-O3	12	0	9	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	35
# O4-O10	1	0	1	0	0	17	0	0	1	0	20
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	4
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G5. Service of Service Member Subjects	59	0	284	2	5	284	0	0	15	3	652
# Army	4	0	3	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	16
# Navy	3	0	4	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	12
# Marines	0	0	6	0	0	3	0	0	0	2	11
# Air Force	52	0	271	2	5	267	0	0	15	1	613
# Coast Guard	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G6. Status of Service Member Subjects	59	0	284	2	5	284	0	0	15	3	652
# Active Duty	57	0	279	2	5	259	0	0	14	2	618
# Reserve (Activated)	2	0	4	0	0	19	0	0	0	1	26
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	4
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	4
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Unrestricted Reports (continued)

H. FINAL DISPOSITIONS FOR SUBJECTS IN COMPLETED FY18 INVESTIGATIONS	FY18 Totals	H1. ASSOCIATED VICTIM DATA FOR COMPLETED FY18 INVESTIGATIONS	FY18 Totals
# Subjects in Unrestricted Reports that could not be investigated by DoD or Civilian Law Enforcement Note: These Subjects are from Unrestricted Reports referred to MCIOs or other law enforcement for investigation during FY18, but the agency could not open an investigation based on the reasons below.	5		
# Subjects - Not subject to the UCMJ	1		
# Subjects - Crime was beyond statute of limitations	1		
# Subjects - Matter alleged occurred prior to Victim's Military Service	1		
# Subjects - Other	2		
# Subjects in investigations completed in FY18 Note: These are Subjects from Tab1b, Cells B29, B59, B77.	856	# Victims in investigations completed in FY18	865
# Service Member Subjects in investigations opened and completed in FY18	454	# Service Member Victims in investigations opened and completed in FY18	525
# Total Subjects Outside DoD Prosecutive Authority	64		
# Unknown Offenders	46	# Service Member Victims in substantiated Unknown Offender Reports	6
		# Service Member Victims in remaining Unknown Offender Reports	35
# US Civilians or Foreign National Subjects not subject to the UCMJ	17	# Service Member Victims in substantiated Civilian/Foreign National Subject Reports	2
		# Service Member Victims in remaining Civilian/Foreign National Subject Reports	14
# Service Members Prosecuted by a Civilian or Foreign Authority	1	# Service Member Victims in substantiated reports against a Service Member who is being Prosecuted by a Civilian or Foreign Authority	0
# Subjects who died or deserted	0	# Service Member Victims in substantiated reports with a deceased or deserted Subject	0
		# Service Member Victims in remaining reports with a deceased or deserted Subject	0
# Total Command Action Precluded or Declined for Sexual Assault	78		
# Service Member Subjects where Victim declined to participate in the military justice action	39	# Service Member Victims who declined to participate in the military justice action	29
# Service Member Subjects whose investigations had insufficient evidence to prosecute	32	# Service Member Victims in investigations having insufficient evidence to prosecute	23
# Service Member Subjects whose cases involved expired statute of limitations	1	# Service Member Victims whose cases involved expired statute of limitations	0
# Service Member Subjects with allegations that were unfounded by Command	6	# Service Member Victims whose allegations were unfounded by Command	6
# Service Member Subjects with Victims who died before completion of military justice action	0	# Service Member Victims who died before completion of the military justice action	0
# Subjects disposition data not yet available	596	# Service Member Victims involved in reports with Subject disposition data not yet available	640
# Subjects for whom Command Action was completed as of 30-SEP-2018	94		
# FY18 Service Member Subjects where evidence supported Command Action	94	# FY18 Service Member Victims in cases where evidence supported Command Action	87
# Service Member Subjects: Courts-Martial charge preferred	27	# Service Member Victims involved with Courts-Martial referrals against Subject	18
# Service Member Subjects: Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15 UCMJ)	11	# Service Member Victims involved with Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15) against Subject	11
# Service Member Subjects: Administrative discharges	2	# Service Member Victims involved with Administrative discharges against Subject	2
# Service Member Subjects: Other adverse administrative actions	8	# Service Member Victims involved with Other administrative actions against Subject	8
# Service Member Subjects: Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense	3	# Service Member Victims involved with Courts-Martial referrals for non-sexual assault offenses	2
# Service Member Subjects: Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense	20	# Service Member Victims involved with Nonjudicial punishment for non-sexual assault offenses	23
# Service Member Subjects: Administrative discharges for non-sexual assault offense	0	# Service Member Victims involved with administrative discharges for non-SA offense	0
# Service Member Subjects: Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense	23	# Service Member Victims involved with Other administrative actions for non-SA offense	23
* Restricted Reports that convert to Unrestricted Reports are counted with the total number of Unrestricted Reports.			

Unrestricted Reports (continued)

I. COURTS-MARTIAL ADJUDICATIONS AND OUTCOMES (Sexual Assault Charge). This section reports the outcomes of Courts-Martial for sexual assault crimes completed during FY18	FY18 Totals
# Total Subjects with Courts-Martial Charge Preferred for a Sexual Assault Charge Pending Court Completion	114
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial action was NOT completed by the end of FY18	4
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial was completed by the end of FY18	110
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial was dismissed	26
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	9
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 punishment	2
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 acquittal	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial	13
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 punishment	2
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 acquittal	0
# Subjects who resigned or were discharged in lieu of Courts-Martial	16
# Officer Subjects who were allowed to resign in lieu of Courts-Martial	1
# Enlisted Subjects who were discharged in lieu of Courts-Martial	15
# Subjects with Courts-Martial charges proceeding to trial on a sexual assault charge	68
# Subjects Acquitted of Charges	44
# Subjects Convicted of Any Charge at Trial	24
# Subjects with unknown punishment	0
# Subjects with no punishment	0
# Subjects with pending punishment	0
# Subjects with Punishment	24
# Subjects receiving confinement	18
# Subjects receiving reductions in rank	15
# Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures	20
# Subjects receiving a punitive discharge (Dishonorable, Bad Conduct, or Dismissal)	20
# Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom	1
# Subjects receiving extra duty	0
# Subjects receiving hard labor	1
# Subjects to be processed for administrative discharge or separation subsequent to sexual assault conviction	3
# Subjects receiving UOTHC administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving General administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Honorable administrative discharge	1
# Subjects receiving Uncharacterized administrative discharge	2
# Convicted Subjects with a conviction under a UCMJ Article that requires Sex Offender Registration	19
J. NONJUDICIAL PUNISHMENTS IMPOSED (Sexual Assault Charge). This section reports the outcomes of nonjudicial punishments for sexual assault crimes completed during FY18	FY18 Totals
# Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a Sexual Assault Charge in FY18	18
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY18	3
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was completed by the end of FY18	15
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed	2
# Subjects administered nonjudicial punishment	13
# Subjects with unknown punishment	0
# Subjects with no punishment	0
# Subjects with pending punishment	0
# Subjects with Punishment	13
# Subjects receiving correctional custody	0
# Subjects receiving reductions in rank	11
# Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures	3
# Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom	3
# Subjects receiving extra duty	5
# Subjects receiving hard labor	0
# Subjects receiving a reprimand	13
# Subjects processed for an administrative discharge or separation subsequent to nonjudicial punishment on a sexual assault charge	9
# Subjects who received NJP followed by UOTHC administrative discharge	1
# Subjects who received NJP followed by General administrative discharge	8
# Subjects who received NJP followed by Honorable administrative discharge	0
# Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge	0
K. OTHER ACTIONS TAKEN. This section reports other disciplinary action taken for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault. It combines outcomes for Subjects in these categories listed in Sections D and E above.	FY18 Totals
# Subjects whose administrative discharge or other separation action was not completed by the end of FY18	0
# Subjects receiving an administrative discharge or other separation for a sexual assault offense	9
# Subjects receiving UOTHC administrative discharge	3
# Subjects receiving General administrative discharge	5
# Subjects receiving Honorable administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Uncharacterized administrative discharge	0
# Subjects whose other adverse administrative action was not completed by the end of FY18	5
# Subjects receiving other adverse administrative action for a sexual assault offense	14

Unrestricted Reports (continued)

L. COURTS-MARTIAL ADJUDICATIONS AND OUTCOMES (Non-sexual assault offense). This section reports the outcomes of Courts-Martials for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in this category listed in Sections D and E above.		FY18 Totals
# Total Subjects with Courts-Martial Charge Preferred for a non-sexual assault offense in FY18		5
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial action was NOT completed by the end of FY18		0
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial was completed by the end of FY18		5
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial was dismissed		0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer		0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 punishment		0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 acquittal		0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial		0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 punishment		0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 acquittal		0
# Subjects who resigned or were discharged in lieu of Courts-Martial for a non-sexual assault offense		0
# Officer Subjects who were officers that where allowed to resign in lieu of Courts-Martial		0
# Enlisted Subjects who were discharged in lieu of Courts-Martial		0
# Subjects with Courts-Martial charges proceeding to trial on a non-sexual assault offense		5
# Subjects Acquitted of Charges		1
# Subjects Convicted of Any Non-Sexual Assault Charge at Trial		4
# Subjects with unknown punishment		0
# Subjects with no punishment		0
# Subjects with pending punishment		0
# Subjects with Punishment		4
# Subjects receiving confinement		2
# Subjects receiving reductions in rank		3
# Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures		1
# Subjects receiving a punitive discharge (Dishonorable, Bad Conduct, or Dismissal)		1
# Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom		0
# Subjects receiving extra duty		0
# Subjects receiving hard labor		0
# Subjects processed for an administrative discharge or separation subsequent to conviction at trial		1
# Subjects receiving UOTHC administrative discharge		0
# Subjects receiving General administrative discharge		1
# Subjects receiving Honorable administrative discharge		0
# Subjects receiving Uncharacterized administrative discharge		0
M. NONJUDICIAL PUNISHMENTS IMPOSED (Non-Sexual Assault Charge). This section reports the outcomes of nonjudicial punishments for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in this category listed in Sections D and E above.		FY18 Totals
# Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a non-sexual assault offense in FY18		32
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY18		4
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was completed by the end of FY18		28
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed		1
# Subjects administered nonjudicial punishment for a non-sexual assault offense		27
# Subjects with unknown punishment		0
# Subjects with no punishment		0
# Subjects with pending punishment		0
# Subjects with Punishment		27
# Subjects receiving correctional custody		0
# Subjects receiving reductions in rank		17
# Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures		9
# Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom		0
# Subjects receiving extra duty		4
# Subjects receiving hard labor		0
# Subjects receiving a reprimand		26
# Subjects receiving an administrative discharge subsequent to nonjudicial punishment on a non-sexual assault charge		2
# Subjects who received NJP followed by UOTHC administrative discharge		1
# Subjects who received NJP followed by General administrative discharge		1
# Subjects who received NJP followed by Honorable administrative discharge		0
# Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge		0
N. OTHER ACTIONS TAKEN (Non-sexual assault offense). This section reports other disciplinary action taken for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in these categories listed in Sections D and E above.		FY18 Totals
# Subjects whose administrative discharge or other separation action was not completed by the end of FY18		0
# Subjects receiving an administrative discharge or other separation for a non-sexual assault offense		2
# Subjects receiving UOTHC administrative discharge		0
# Subjects receiving General administrative discharge		2
# Subjects receiving Honorable administrative discharge		0
# Subjects receiving Uncharacterized administrative discharge		0
# Subjects whose other adverse administrative action was not completed by the end of FY18		3
# Subjects receiving other adverse administrative action for a non-sexual assault offense		34

Restricted Reports

AIR FORCE FY18 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	
A. FY18 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	FY18 Totals
# TOTAL Victims initially making Restricted Reports	628
# Service Member Victims making Restricted Reports	591
# Non-Service Member Victims making Restricted Report involving a Service Member Subject	23
# Relevant Data Not Available	14
# Total Victims who reported and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the FY18*	164
# Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY18	144
# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY18	6
# Relevant Data Not Available	14
# Total Victim reports remaining Restricted	464
# Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted	447
# Non-Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted	17
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
# Remaining Restricted Reports involving Service Members in the following categories	464
# Service Member on Service Member	265
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	105
# Service Member on Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy)	17
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	77
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
B. INCIDENT DETAILS	FY18 Totals
# Reported sexual assaults occurring	464
# On military installation	147
# Off military installation	240
# Unidentified location	38
# Relevant Data Not Available	39
Length of time between sexual assault and Restricted Report	464
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	101
# Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault	53
# Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault	36
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	75
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	102
# Relevant Data Not Available	97
Time of sexual assault incident	464
# Midnight to 6 am	153
# 6 am to 6 pm	50
# 6 pm to midnight	187
# Unknown	55
# Relevant Data Not Available	19
Day of sexual assault incident	464
# Sunday	52
# Monday	36
# Tuesday	30
# Wednesday	28
# Thursday	35
# Friday	82
# Saturday	107
# Relevant Data Not Available	94
C. RESTRICTED REPORTING - VICTIM SERVICE AFFILIATION	FY18 Totals
# Service Member Victims	447
# Army Victims	6
# Navy Victims	6
# Marines Victims	2
# Air Force Victims	433
# Coast Guard Victims	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0

Restricted Reports (continued)

D. DEMOGRAPHICS FOR FY18 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	FY18 Totals
Gender of Victims	464
# Male	93
# Female	371
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
Age of Victims at the Time of Incident	464
# 0-15	29
# 16-19	85
# 20-24	205
# 25-34	122
# 35-49	23
# 50-64	0
# 65 and older	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
Grade of Service Member Victims	447
# E1-E4	264
# E5-E9	122
# WO1-WO5	1
# O1-O3	34
# O4-O10	12
# Cadet/Midshipman	13
# Academy Prep School Student	1
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
Status of Service Member Victims	447
# Active Duty	408
# Reserve (Activated)	21
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	4
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	13
# Academy Prep School Student	1
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
Victim Type	464
# Service Member	447
# DoD Civilian	
# DoD Contractor	
# Other US Government Civilian	
# Non-Service Member	17
# Foreign National	
# Foreign Military	
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
E. RESTRICTED REPORTING FOR A SEXUAL ASSAULT THAT OCCURRED PRIOR TO JOINING SERVICE	FY18 Totals
# Service Member Victims making a Restricted Report for Incidents Occurring Prior to Military Service	61
# Service Member Making A Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred Prior to Age 18	39
# Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred After Age 18	22
# Service Member Choosing Not to Specify	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
F. RESTRICTED REPORTS CONVERSION DATA (DSAID USE ONLY)	FY18 Totals
Mean # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted	30.75
Standard Deviation of the Mean For Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted	44.11
Mode # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted	1
G. TOTAL VICTIMS WHO REPORTED IN PRIOR YEARS AND CONVERTED FROM RESTRICTED REPORT TO UNRESTRICTED REPORT IN THE FY18	FY18 Totals
Total Victims who reported in prior years and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the FY18	25
# Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY18	23
# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY18	2
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
* The Restricted Reports are reports that converted to Unrestricted Reports are counted in the total number of Unrestricted Reports listed in Worksheet 1a, Section A.	

AIR FORCE FY18 SUPPORT SERVICES FOR VICTIMS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT		
<i>NOTE: Totals of referrals and military protective orders are for all activities during the reporting period, regardless of when the sexual assault report was made.</i>		
A. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS TO SERVICE MEMBERS VICTIMS FROM UNRESTRICTED REPORTS:	FY18 Totals	
# Support service referrals for Victims in the following categories		
# MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)	1922	
# Medical	135	
# Mental Health	349	
# Legal	452	
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	251	
# Rape Crisis Center		
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	463	
# DoD Safe Helpline	211	
# Other	61	
# CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)	135	
# Medical	13	
# Mental Health	34	
# Legal	6	
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	6	
# Rape Crisis Center	52	
# Victim Advocate	11	
# DoD Safe Helpline		
# Other	13	
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	117	
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam	2	
# Military Victims making an Unrestricted Report for an incident that occurred prior to military service	56	
B. FY18 MILITARY PROTECTIVE ORDERS (MPO)* AND EXPEDITED TRANSFERS - UNRESTRICTED REPORTS	FY18 TOTALS	
# Military Protective Orders issued during FY18	104	
# Reported MPO Violations in FY18	3	
# Reported MPO Violations by Subjects	1	
# Reported MPO Violations by Victims of sexual assault	1	
# Reported MPO Violations by Both	1	
*In accordance with DoD Policy, Military Protective Orders are only issued in Unrestricted Reports. A Restricted Report cannot be made when there is a safety risk for the Victim.		Use the following categories or add a new category to identify the reason the requests were denied:
# Unit/Duty expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims of sexual assault	1	Total Number Denied
# Unit/Duty expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims Denied	0	Reasons for Disapproval (Total)
# Installation expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims of sexual assault	169	Victim is a subject in a separate criminal investigation
# Installation expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims Denied	8	Victim is pending separation
C. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS FOR MILITARY VICTIMS IN RESTRICTED REPORTS		Alleged offender is no longer assigned to the command or base
# Support service referrals for Victims in the following categories	FY18 TOTALS	Victim is a subject in a separate criminal investigation
# MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)	1016	Victim is pending a medical evaluation board
# Medical	97	No credible report determination of a sexual assault
# Mental Health	235	Other
# Legal	149	
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	153	
# Rape Crisis Center		
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	228	
# DoD Safe Helpline	111	
# Other	43	
# CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)	88	
# Medical	13	
# Mental Health	16	
# Legal	2	
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	2	
# Rape Crisis Center	39	
# Victim Advocate	3	
# DoD Safe Helpline		
# Other	13	
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	46	
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam	0	

Support Services (continued)

CIVILIAN DATA	
D. UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS (e.g., DOD CIVILIANS, DEPENDENTS, CONTRACTORS, ETC) THAT DO NOT INVOLVE A SERVICE MEMBER	FY18 Totals
D1. # Non-Service Members in the following categories:	110
# Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member	25
# Unidentified Subject or Undisclosed Affiliation on Non-Service Member	7
# Relevant Data Not Available	78
D2. Gender of Non-Service Members	110
# Male	7
# Female	89
# Relevant Data Not Available	14
D3. Age of Non-Service Members at the Time of Incident	110
# 0-15	3
# 16-19	6
# 20-24	4
# 25-34	15
# 35-49	9
# 50-64	2
# 65 and older	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	71
D4. Non-Service Member Type	110
# DoD Civilian	30
# DoD Contractor	3
# Other US Government Civilian	1
# US Civilian	60
# Foreign National	1
# Foreign Military	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	15
D5. # Support service referrals for Non-Service Members in the following categories	
# MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)	129
# Medical	10
# Mental Health	23
# Legal	30
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	17
# Rape Crisis Center	
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	31
# DoD Safe Helpline	12
# Other	6
# CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)	65
# Medical	3
# Mental Health	15
# Legal	4
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	4
# Rape Crisis Center	15
# Victim Advocate	11
# DoD Safe Helpline	
# Other	13
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam	2
E. FY18 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS	FY18 Totals
E1. # Non-Service Member Victims making Restricted Report	20
# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY18	1
# Non-Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted	19
# Restricted Reports from Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories:	19
# Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy)	13
# Unidentified Subject or Undisclosed Affiliation on Non-Service Member	6
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
E2. Gender of Non-Service Member Victims	19
# Male	2
# Female	17
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
E3. Age of Non-Service Member Victims at the Time of Incident	19
# 0-15	0
# 16-19	3
# 20-24	8
# 25-34	6
# 35-49	2
# 50-64	0
# 65 and older	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
E4. VICTIM Type	19
# DoD Civilian	
# DoD Contractor	
# Other US Government Civilian	
# Non-Service Member	19
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
E5. # Support service referrals for Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories	
# MILITARY Resources	20
# Medical	5
# Mental Health	5
# Legal	1
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	2
# Rape Crisis Center	
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	6
# DoD Safe Helpline	1
# Other	0
# CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)	12
# Medical	2
# Mental Health	3
# Legal	2
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	1
# Rape Crisis Center	2
# Victim Advocate	2
# DoD Safe Helpline	
# Other	0
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam	0

Unrestricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest

AIR FORCE COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST FY18 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN THE MILITARY Note: These Reports are a subset of the FY18 Reports of Sexual Assault.		
A. FY18 REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST (rape, sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, forcible sodomy, and attempts to commit these offenses) BY or AGAINST Service Members. Note: The data on this page is raw, uninvestigated information about allegations received during FY18. These Reports may not be fully investigated by the end of the fiscal year. This data is drawn from Defense Sexual Assault Database (DSAlD) based on Service affiliation of the Sexual Assault Response Coordinator (SARC) who currently manages the Victim case.	FY18 Totals	
# FY18 Unrestricted Reports (one Victim per report)	36	
# Service Member Victims	36	
# Non-Service Member Victims in allegations against Service Member Subject	0	
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	
# Unrestricted Reports in the following categories	36	
# Service Member on Service Member	20	
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	0	
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	1	
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	3	
# Relevant Data Not Available	12	
# Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault occurring	36	
# On military installation	33	
# Off military installation	2	
# Unidentified location	1	
# Victim in Unrestricted Reports Referred for Investigation	36	
# Victims in investigations initiated during FY18	31	
# Victims with Investigations pending completion at end of 30-SEP-2018	7	
# Victims with Completed Investigations at end of 30-SEP-2018	24	
# Victims with Investigative Data Forthcoming	0	
# Victims where investigation could not be opened by DoD or Civilian Law Enforcement	5	
# Victims - Alleged perpetrator not subject to the UCMJ	2	
# Victims - Crime was beyond statute of limitations	0	
# Victims - Unrestricted Reports for Matters Occurring Prior to Military Service	0	
# Victims - Other	3	
# All Restricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest received in FY18 (one Victim per report)	27	
# Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report* (report made this year and converted this year)	8	
# Restricted Reports Remaining Restricted at end of FY18	19	
B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST FOR FY18	FY18 Totals	FY18 Totals for Service Member Victim Cases
Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report	36	36
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	11	11
# Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault	5	5
# Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault	7	7
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	8	8
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	5	5
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0
Time of sexual assault	36	36
# Midnight to 6 am	17	17
# 6 am to 6 pm	9	9
# 6 pm to midnight	10	10
# Unknown	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0
Day of sexual assault	36	36
# Sunday	1	1
# Monday	4	4
# Tuesday	3	3
# Wednesday	5	5
# Thursday	3	3
# Friday	13	13
# Saturday	7	7
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0

Unrestricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest (continued)

C. REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN COMBAT AREA OF INTEREST INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS BY OR AGAINST SERVICE MEMBERS (VICTIM AND SUBJECT GENDER)	Male on Female	Male on Male	Female on Male	Female on Female	Unknown on Male	Unknown on Female	Multiple Mixed Gender Assault	Relevant Data Not Available	FY18 Totals		
	20	4	0	0	1	2	0	9	36		
# Service Member on Service Member	16	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	20		
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1		
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	3		
# Relevant Data Not Available	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	12		
FY18 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT BY MATTER INVESTIGATED TYPE (May not reflect what crimes can be charged upon completion of investigation)											
UNRESTRICTED REPORTS MADE IN FY18	Penetrating Offenses				Contact Offenses						
D. REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN COMBAT AREA OF INTEREST INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS BY OR AGAINST SERVICE MEMBERS (MOST SERIOUS CRIME ALLEGED, AS CATEGORIZED BY THE MILITARY CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIVE ORGANIZATION)	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	FY18 Totals
D1.	4	0	10	0	0	17	1	0	1	3	36
# Service Member on Service Member	1	0	8	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	20
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	3
# Relevant Data Not Available	3	0	2	0	0	3	0	0	1	3	12
D2.											
TOTAL Service Member Victims in FY18 Reports	4	0	10	0	0	17	1	0	1	3	36
# Service Member Victims: Female	4	0	7	0	0	14	0	0	1	3	29
# Service Member Victims: Male	0	0	3	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	7
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TIME OF INCIDENT BY OFFENSE TYPE FOR UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN COMBAT AREA OF INTEREST MADE IN FY18											
D3. Time of sexual assault	4	0	10	0	0	17	1	0	1	3	36
# Midnight to 6 am	3	0	5	0	0	7	1	0	1	0	17
# 6 am to 6 pm	0	0	3	0	0	5	0	0	0	1	9
# 6 pm to midnight	1	0	2	0	0	5	0	0	0	2	10
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
D4. Day of sexual assault	4	0	10	0	0	17	1	0	1	3	36
# Sunday	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# Monday	1	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	4
# Tuesday	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
# Wednesday	1	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	5
# Thursday	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	3
# Friday	2	0	6	0	0	4	0	0	0	1	13
# Saturday	0	0	2	0	0	3	0	0	1	1	7
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Unrestricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest (continued)

COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - LOCATION OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS BY TYPE OF OFFENSE											
FY18 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - LOCATIONS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT. Note: The data in this section is drawn from raw, uninvestigated information about Unrestricted Reports received during FY18. These Reports may not be fully investigated by the end of the fiscal year.	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	FY18 Totals
	TOTAL UNRESTRICTED REPORTS	4	0	10	0	0	17	1	0	1	3
Afghanistan	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2
Bahrain	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Djibouti	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Iraq	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jordan	0	0	3	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	9
Kosovo	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kuwait	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	4
Lebanon	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pakistan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Qatar	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	4
Saudi Arabia	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Somalia	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Syria	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Turkey	1	0	5	0	0	5	0	0	1	1	13
Uae	1	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	4
Yemen	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL UNRESTRICTED REPORTS	4	0	10	0	0	17	1	0	1	3	36

Unrestricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest (continued)

E. SUMMARY OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS WITH INVESTIGATIONS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY18 Totals
E1. Subjects in Unrestricted Reports Made to Your Service with Investigation Initiated During FY18 in Combat Areas of Interest	
Note: This data is drawn from DSAID based on Service affiliation of the SARC who currently manages the Victim case associated with the investigation and Subject below.	
# Investigations Initiated during FY18	27
# Investigations Completed as of FY18 End (group by MCIO #)	19
# Investigations Pending Completion as of FY18 End (group by MCIO #)	8
# Subjects in investigations Initiated During FY18	26
# Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	0
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	0
# Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	0
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	0
# Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	17
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	17
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	0
# Non-Service Member Subjects in Service Investigations	0
Note: Non-Service Member Subjects are drawn from all CID, NCIS and AFOSI investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.	
# Unidentified Subjects in Service Investigations	4
Note: Unidentified Subjects are drawn from all CID, NCIS and AFOSI investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.	
# Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement	0
Note: Service Member Subjects are drawn from Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.	
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Non-Service Member Subjects in Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	1
# Unidentified Subjects in Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	0
# Subject or Investigation Relevant Data Not Available	4
E2. Service Investigations Completed during FY18 in Combat Areas of Interest	
Note: The following data is drawn from DSAID and describes criminal investigations completed during the FY18. These investigations may have been initiated during the FY18 or any prior FY.	
# Total Investigations completed by Services during FY18 (Group by MCIO Case Number)	26
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	1
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	1
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	0
# Subjects in investigations completed during FY18 involving a Victim supported by your Service	27
# Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	0
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	0
# Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	1
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	1
# Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	15
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	15
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	0
# Non-Service Member Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	2
# Unidentified Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	5
# Subject Relevant Data Not Available	4
# Victims in investigations completed during FY18, supported by your Service	27
# Service Member Victims in CID investigations	0
# Your Service Member Victims in CID investigations	0
# Other Service Member Victims in CID investigations	0
# Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations	1
# Your Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations	1
# Other Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations	0
# Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations	26
# Your Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations	26
# Other Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations	0
# Non-Service Member Victims in completed Service Investigations, supported by your Service	0
# Victim Relevant Data Not Available	0

Unrestricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest (continued)

E3. Subjects and Victims in Investigations Completed by US Civilian and Foreign Agencies during FY18 in Combat Areas of Interest	
Note: This data is entered by your Service SARC for cases supported by your Service.	
# Total Investigations completed by US Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement during FY18 (Group by MCIO Case Number)	1
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	0
# Subjects in investigations completed during FY18 involving a Victim supported by your Service	1
# Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Non-Service Member Subjects in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	1
# Unidentified Subjects in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	0
# Subject Relevant Data Not Available	0
# Victims in investigations completed during FY18, supported by your Service	1
# Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	1
# Your Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	1
# Other Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	0
# Non-Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations in a case supported by your Service	0
# Victim Relevant Data Not Available	0
E4. Subjects and Victims in Investigations Completed by Military Police/Security Forces/Master At Arms/Marine Corps CID (MPs) during FY18 (all organizations regardless of name are abbreviated below as "MPs") in Combat Areas of Interest	
Note: This data is entered by your Service SARC for cases supported by your Service.	
Note: As of 1 Jan 2013, all sexual assault investigations are referred to MCIO for investigation. This section captures remaining Subjects from investigations opened in prior years by Military Police/Security Forces/Master At Arms/Marine Corps CID.	
# Total Investigations completed by MPs during FY18 (Group by MCIO Case Number)	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	0
# Subjects in MP investigations completed during FY18 involving a Victim supported by your Service	0
# Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs	0
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs	0
# Non-Service Member Subjects in MPs involving a Victim supported by your Service	0
# Unidentified Subjects in MPs involving a Victim supported by your Service	0
# Subject Relevant Data Not Available	0
# Victims in MP investigations completed during FY18, supported by your Service	0
# Service Member Victims in MP investigations	0
# Your Service Member Victims in MP investigations	0
# Other Service Member Victims in MP investigations	0
# Non-Service Member Victims in MP Investigations, supported by your Service	0
# Victim Relevant Data Not Available	0

Unrestricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest (continued)

G. DEMOGRAPHICS ON SUBJECTS IN INVESTIGATIONS COMPLETED IN FY18 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST (Investigation Completed within the reporting period. These investigations may have been opened in current or prior Fiscal Years)	Subject Data From Investigations completed during FY18										FY18 Totals
	Penetrating Offenses				Contact Offenses				Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	
	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)			
G1. Gender of Subjects	4	1	8	0	0	13	1	0	1	0	28
# Male	3	0	7	0	0	11	0	0	1	0	22
# Female	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	1	0	1	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	5
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
G2. Age of Subjects	4	1	8	0	0	13	1	0	1	0	28
# 0-15	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# 16-19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 20-24	0	0	2	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	5
# 25-34	1	0	2	0	0	4	0	0	1	0	8
# 35-49	1	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	4
# 50-64	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# 65 and older	1	0	1	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	5
# Unknown	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
# Relevant Data Not Available	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	3
G3. Subject Type	4	1	8	0	0	13	1	0	1	0	28
# Service Member	2	0	6	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	16
# Drill Instructors/Drill Sergeants	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Recruiters	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# DoD Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# DoD Contractor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Other US Government Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# US Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Foreign National	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	3
# Foreign Military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	1	0	1	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	5
# Relevant Data Not Available	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	4
G4. Grade of Service Member Subjects	2	0	6	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	16
# E1-E4	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	4
# E5-E9	1	0	4	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	11
# WO1-WO5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# O1-O3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
# O4-O10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G5. Service of Service Member Subjects	2	0	6	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	16
# Army	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Navy	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
# Marines	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Air Force	1	0	6	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	15
# Coast Guard	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G6. Status of Service Member Subjects	2	0	6	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	16
# Active Duty	2	0	6	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	15
# Reserve (Activated)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Unrestricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest (continued)

H. FINAL DISPOSITIONS FOR SUBJECTS IN COMPLETED FY18 INVESTIGATIONS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY18 Totals	H1. ASSOCIATED VICTIM DATA FOR COMPLETED FY18 INVESTIGATIONS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY18 Totals
# Subjects in Unrestricted Reports that could not be investigated by DoD or Civilian Law Enforcement Note: These Subjects are from Unrestricted Reports referred to MCIOs or other law enforcement for investigation during FY18, but the agency could not open an investigation based on the reasons below.	2		
# Subjects - Not subject to the UCMJ	1		
# Subjects - Crime was beyond statute of limitations	0		
# Subjects - Matter alleged occurred prior to Victim's Military Service	0		
# Subjects - Other	1		
# Subjects in investigations completed in FY18 Note: These are Subjects from Tab1b, Cells B29, B59, B77.	29	# Victims in investigations completed in FY18	28
# Service Member Subjects in investigations opened and completed in FY18	13	# Service Member Victims in investigations opened and completed in FY18	20
# Total Subjects Outside DoD Prosecutive Authority	5		
# Unknown Offenders	3	# Service Member Victims in substantiated Unknown Offender Reports	0
		# Service Member Victims in remaining Unknown Offender Reports	3
# US Civilians or Foreign National Subjects not subject to the UCMJ	2	# Service Member Victims in substantiated Civilian/Foreign National Subject Reports	0
		# Service Member Victims in remaining Civilian/Foreign National Subject Reports	2
# Service Members Prosecuted by a Civilian or Foreign Authority	0	# Service Member Victims in substantiated reports against a Service Member who is being Prosecuted by a Civilian or Foreign Authority	0
# Subjects who died or deserted	0	# Service Member Victims in substantiated reports with a deceased or deserted Subject	0
		# Service Member Victims in remaining reports with a deceased or deserted Subject	0
# Total Command Action Precluded or Declined for Sexual Assault	0		
# Service Member Subjects where Victim declined to participate in the military justice action	0	# Service Member Victims who declined to participate in the military justice action	0
# Service Member Subjects whose investigations had insufficient evidence to prosecute	0	# Service Member Victims in investigations having insufficient evidence to prosecute	0
# Service Member Subjects whose cases involved expired statute of limitations	0	# Service Member Victims whose cases involved expired statute of limitations	0
# Service Member Subjects with allegations that were unfounded by Command	0	# Service Member Victims whose allegations were unfounded by Command	0
# Service Member Subjects with Victims who died before completion of military justice action	0	# Service Member Victims who died before completion of the military justice action	0
# Subjects disposition data not yet available	20	# Service Member Victims involved in reports with Subject disposition data not yet available	19
# Subjects for whom Command Action was completed as of 30-SEP-2018	4		
# FY18 Service Member Subjects where evidence supported Command Action	4	# FY18 Service Member Victims in cases where evidence supported Command Action	4
# Service Member Subjects: Courts-Martial charge preferred	0	# Service Member Victims involved with Courts-Martial preferrals against Subject	0
# Service Member Subjects: Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15 UCMJ)	0	# Service Member Victims involved with Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15) against Subject	0
# Service Member Subjects: Administrative discharges	0	# Service Member Victims involved with Administrative discharges against Subject	0
# Service Member Subjects: Other adverse administrative actions	2	# Service Member Victims involved with Other administrative actions against Subject	2
# Service Member Subjects: Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense	0	# Service Member Victims involved with Courts-Martial preferrals for non-sexual assault offenses	0
# Service Member Subjects: Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense	1	# Service Member Victims involved with Nonjudicial punishment for non-sexual assault offenses	1
# Service Member Subjects: Administrative discharges for non-sexual assault offense	0	# Service Member Victims involved with administrative discharges for non-SA offense	0
# Service Member Subjects: Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense	1	# Service Member Victims involved with Other administrative actions for non-SA offense	1
* Restricted Reports that convert to Unrestricted Reports are counted with the total number of Unrestricted Reports.			

Restricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest

AIR FORCE COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST (CAI) FY18 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	
A. FY18 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY18 Totals
# TOTAL Victims initially making Restricted Reports	27
# Service Member Victims making Restricted Reports	26
# Non-Service Member Victims making Restricted Report involving a Service Member Subject	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	1
# Total Victims who reported and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the FY18*	8
# Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY18	7
# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY18	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	1
# Total Victim reports remaining Restricted	19
# Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted	19
# Non-Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
# Remaining Restricted Reports involving Service Members in the following categories	19
# Service Member on Service Member	14
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	0
# Service Member on Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy)	0
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	5
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
B. INCIDENT DETAILS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY18 Totals
# Reported sexual assaults occurring	19
# On military installation	19
# Off military installation	0
# Unidentified location	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
Length of time between sexual assault and Restricted Report	19
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	5
# Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault	2
# Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault	4
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	1
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	4
# Relevant Data Not Available	3
Time of sexual assault incident	19
# Midnight to 6 am	8
# 6 am to 6 pm	0
# 6 pm to midnight	7
# Unknown	4
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
Day of sexual assault incident	19
# Sunday	2
# Monday	3
# Tuesday	1
# Wednesday	0
# Thursday	3
# Friday	2
# Saturday	5
# Relevant Data Not Available	3
C. RESTRICTED REPORTING - VICTIM SERVICE AFFILIATION IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY18 Totals
# Service Member Victims	19
# Army Victims	1
# Navy Victims	1
# Marines Victims	0
# Air Force Victims	17
# Coast Guard Victims	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0

Restricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest (continued)

DoD COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST (CAI) FY18 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	
D. DEMOGRAPHICS FOR FY18 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY18 Totals
Gender of Victims	19
# Male	2
# Female	17
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
Age of Victims at the Time of Incident	19
# 0-15	0
# 16-19	1
# 20-24	9
# 25-34	6
# 35-49	3
# 50-64	0
# 65 and older	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
Grade of Service Member Victims	19
# E1-E4	8
# E5-E9	10
# WO1-WO5	0
# O1-O3	1
# O4-O10	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	0
# Academy Prep School Student	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
Status of Service Member Victims	19
# Active Duty	17
# Reserve (Activated)	1
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	1
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	0
# Academy Prep School Student	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
Victim Type	19
# Service Member	19
# DoD Civilian	0
# DoD Contractor	0
# Other US Government Civilian	0
# Non-Service Member	0
# Foreign National	0
# Foreign Military	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
E. RESTRICTED REPORTING FOR A SEXUAL ASSAULT THAT OCCURRED PRIOR TO JOINING SERVICE IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY18 Totals
# Service Member Victims making a Restricted Report for Incidents Occurring Prior to Military Service	0
# Service Member Making A Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred Prior to Age 18	0
# Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred After Age 18	0
# Service Member Choosing Not to Specify	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
F. RESTRICTED REPORTS CONVERSION DATA (DSAID USE ONLY) IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY18 Totals
Mean # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted	30.43
Standard Deviation of the Mean For Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted	52.38
Mode # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted	25
G. TOTAL VICTIMS WHO REPORTED IN PRIOR YEARS AND CONVERTED FROM RESTRICTED REPORT TO UNRESTRICTED REPORT IN THE FY18 IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY18 Totals
Total Victims who reported in prior years and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the FY18	1
# Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY18	1
# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY18	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
TOTAL # FY18 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	FY18 Totals
TOTAL RESTRICTED ASSAULTS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	19
Afghanistan	2
Bahrain	1
Djibouti	1
Iraq	0
Jordan	3
Kosovo	0
Kuwait	1
Lebanon	0
Pakistan	0
Qatar	5
Saudi Arabia	0
Somalia	0
Syria	0
Turkey	6
Uae	0
Yemen	0
* The Restricted Reports are reports that converted to Unrestricted Reports are counted in the total number of Unrestricted Reports listed in Worksheet 1a, Section A.	

Support Services in Combat Areas of Interest

AIR FORCE CAI FY18 SUPPORT SERVICES FOR VICTIMS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	
<i>NOTE: Totals of referrals and military protective orders are for all activities during the reporting period, regardless of when the sexual assault report was made.</i>	
A. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS TO SERVICE MEMBERS VICTIMS FROM UNRESTRICTED REPORTS:	FY18 Totals
# Support service referrals for Victims in the following categories	
# MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)	69
# Medical	5
# Mental Health	16
# Legal	12
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	7
# Rape Crisis Center	
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	20
# DoD Safe Helpline	6
# Other	3
# CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)	3
# Medical	0
# Mental Health	2
# Legal	0
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	0
# Rape Crisis Center	0
# Victim Advocate	1
# DoD Safe Helpline	
# Other	0
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	5
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam	0
# Military Victims making an Unrestricted Report for an incident that occurred prior to military service	0
B. FY18 MILITARY PROTECTIVE ORDERS (MPO)* AND EXPEDITED TRANSFERS - UNRESTRICTED REPORTS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY18 TOTALS
# Military Protective Orders issued during FY18	3
# Reported MPO Violations in FY18	0
# Reported MPO Violations by Subjects	0
# Reported MPO Violations by Victims of sexual assault	0
# Reported MPO Violations by Both	0
<i>*In accordance with DoD Policy, Military Protective Orders are only issued in Unrestricted Reports. A Restricted Report cannot be made</i>	
# Unit/Duty expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims of sexual assault	0
# Unit/Duty expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims Denied	0
# Installation expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims of sexual assault	5
# Installation expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims Denied	0
C. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS FOR MILITARY VICTIMS IN RESTRICTED REPORTS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY18 TOTALS
# Support service referrals for Victims in the following categories	
# MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)	39
# Medical	5
# Mental Health	10
# Legal	5
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	4
# Rape Crisis Center	
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	7
# DoD Safe Helpline	7
# Other	1
# CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)	3
# Medical	0
# Mental Health	1
# Legal	0
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	0
# Rape Crisis Center	1
# Victim Advocate	0
# DoD Safe Helpline	
# Other	1
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	3
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam	0

Support Services in Combat Areas of Interest (continued)

CIVILIAN DATA	
D. UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS (e.g., DOD CIVILIANS, DEPENDENTS, CONTRACTORS, ETC) THAT DO NOT INVOLVE A SERVICE MEMBER IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY18 Totals
D1. # Non-Service Members in the following categories:	0
# Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member	0
# Unidentified Subject or Undisclosed Affiliation on Non-Service Member	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
D2. Gender of Non-Service Members	0
# Male	0
# Female	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
D3. Age of Non-Service Members at the Time of Incident	0
# 0-15	0
# 16-19	0
# 20-24	0
# 25-34	0
# 35-49	0
# 50-64	0
# 65 and older	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
D4. Non-Service Member Type	0
# DoD Civilian	0
# DoD Contractor	0
# Other US Government Civilian	0
# US Civilian	0
# Foreign National	0
# Foreign Military	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
D5. # Support service referrals for Non-Service Members in the following categories	0
# MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)	0
# Medical	0
# Mental Health	0
# Legal	0
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	0
# Rape Crisis Center	0
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	0
# DoD Safe Helpline	0
# Other	0
# CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)	0
# Medical	0
# Mental Health	0
# Legal	0
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	0
# Rape Crisis Center	0
# Victim Advocate	0
# DoD Safe Helpline	0
# Other	0
# Cases where SAFE kits were conducted	0
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam	0
E. FY18 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY18 Totals
E1. # Non-Service Member Victims making Restricted Report	0
# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY18	0
# Non-Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted	0
# Restricted Reports from Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories:	0
# Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy)	0
# Unidentified Subject or Undisclosed Affiliation on Non-Service Member	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
E2. Gender of Non-Service Member Victims	0
# Male	0
# Female	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
E3. Age of Non-Service Member Victims at the Time of Incident	0
# 0-15	0
# 16-19	0
# 20-24	0
# 25-34	0
# 35-49	0
# 50-64	0
# 65 and older	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
E4. VICTIM Type	0
# DoD Civilian	0
# DoD Contractor	0
# Other US Government Civilian	0
# Non-Service Member	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
E5. # Support service referrals for Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories	0
# MILITARY Resources	0
# Medical	0
# Mental Health	0
# Legal	0
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	0
# Rape Crisis Center	0
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	0
# DoD Safe Helpline	0
# Other	0
# CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)	0
# Medical	0
# Mental Health	0
# Legal	0
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	0
# Rape Crisis Center	0
# Victim Advocate	0
# DoD Safe Helpline	0
# Other	0
# Cases where SAFE kits were conducted	0
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam	0

No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim's Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject's Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject's Prior Investigation for Sex Assault	Subject's Moral Welfare Assessment	Subject's Referral Type	Quarter/Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Revised Charges Dismissed at Article 15 Hearing if applicable	Most Serious Offense Dismissed	Administrative Discharge Type	Most Restrictive Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
1	Abusive Sexual Contact (A.C. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-2	Male				Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (A.C. 120)		Corrected		Assault (A.C. 120)		Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Subject was accused of possessing a firearm, committing a sexual act upon an Air Force member, intentionally exposing himself to the victim, putting a hand on her, and communicating a threat. After consultation with the Staff Judge Advocate the commander determined a CSM would be appropriate. During the course of the court-martial of charges except for A.C. 120 were dismissed pursuant to a plea agreement.	
2	Abusive Sexual Contact (A.C. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-1	Male				Q2 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (A.C. 120)				Article 15 Punishment Imposed	None		Notes: On two occasions, Subject reported to have grabbed victim's buttocks without her consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander offered and Subject accepted non-judicial punishment. Issued Subject a Letter of Reprimand, and link to the subject's administrative information file .	
3	Abusive Sexual Contact (A.C. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	O-5	Female	Air Force		Male				Q1 (October-December)	Subject in a Custody of Family Member								Notes: There is additional information available concerning this case. Administrative Action Type: Other.	
4	Abusive Sexual Contact (A.C. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-7	Male					Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offenses								Notes: Victim reported that Subject inappropriately touched victim's face and buttocks on multiple occasions. There is no additional information concerning this case.	
5	Abusive Sexual Contact (A.C. 120)		Air Force	E-2	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male				Q1 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (A.C. 120)				Article 15 Punishment Imposed	General		Notes: Victim reported Subject kissed her and rubbed her breasts over her clothes while she was sleeping. Once she woke up she told Subject no and told her hand and placed on his pants and used victim's hand manipulation to force her into sexual intercourse. The commander offered and Subject accepted non-judicial punishment. Issued Subject a Letter of Reprimand, and link to the subject's administrative information file .	
6	Sexual Assault (A.C. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims, Male & Female	Air Force	E-6	Male				Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (A.C. 120)		Corrected		Sexual Assault (A.C. 120)	Yes	Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported she felt asleep after drinking two bottles of wine and woke up to find Subject penetrating her vagina with his penis. Subject reported sexual intercourse when victim asked him to stop. Subject also filed a sexual assault allegation against victim. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges against Subject and he was convicted at court-martial.	
7	Abusive Sexual Contact (A.C. 120)	Germany	Air Force	E-4	Multiple Victims	Air Force	E-5	Male					Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense	Assault (A.C. 120)				Article 15 Punishment Imposed	General		Notes: Victim 1 and Victim 2 reported that Subject touched their inner thighs with his hand. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate the commander determined appropriate action would be a letter of reprimand for inappropriate relationship, non-judicial punishment and general discharge for commission of a serious offense.	
8	Sexual Assault (A.C. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-1	Female	Air Force	E-2	Male	No			Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (A.C. 120)		Discharge or Imposition in Lieu of Courts-Martial					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported Subject penetrated her vagina with his penis without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for sexual assault. Following the Article 32 hearing, the general court-martial convening authority referred the charges to a general court-martial. Subject submitted a request for a Chapter 4 discharge in lieu of court-martial. Victim supported the Chapter 4 discharge. The general court-martial convening authority approved Subject's Chapter 4 discharge with a DC/TC review characterization.
9	Sexual Assault (A.C. 120)		Air Force	E-5	Male	Unknown		Unknown					Offense is Unknown									Notes: There is additional information available concerning this case. Administrative Action Type: Other.
10	Sexual Assault (A.C. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male	No			Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (A.C. 120)		Acquitted						Notes: Victim reported Subject digitally penetrated her vagina with his penis without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred a charge for sexual assault. Subject was acquitted at court-martial.
11	Abusive Sexual Contact (A.C. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-2	Male					Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (A.C. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing effort	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution					Notes: Victim reported Subject penetrated her mouth with his penis without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate the commander preferred a charge for sexual assault. Following the Article 32 hearing the commander agreed with the findings from the article 32 hearing and dismissed the case.
12	Sexual Assault (A.C. 120)	Germany	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (A.C. 120)		Charges dismissed for other reason prior to Courts-Martial					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported Subject penetrated her mouth with his penis without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred a charge for sexual assault. Following the Article 32 hearing, the victim elected to file the prosecution in the military justice process. The charges were dismissed subsequent to the Article 32 hearing.
13	Abusive Sexual Contact (A.C. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-5	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Administrative Discharge						General	Unknown	Notes: Victim alleged sexual assault by a US Subject in Title 32 Status. OTC/TC investigated the allegation and found substantiated. Command action taken against the Subject: Administrative Discharge.	
14	Sexual Assault (A.C. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (A.C. 120)		Acquitted					Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim in the case alleged she was sexually assaulted by Subject. Victim went to Subject down upon on base and Subject forced her hands down her pants and penetrated Victim's vagina with his finger and penis. Victim told Subject "no" and to "stop" several times. Victim left Subject's dorm and reported the assault the next day at the hospital. Victim obtained a Sexual Assault Forensic Examination and notified her chain of command. After consultation with the Staff Judge Advocate the commander determined to prefer charges against the Subject for the allegations. Subject went to general court-martial and was acquitted of all charges and administrative actions.
15	Abusive Sexual Contact (A.C. 120)		Air Force	O-3	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male	No			Q1 (October-December)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offenses									Notes: Victim reported Subject placed his arms around victim's shoulders in a groping and on another occasion Subject touched victim's shoulders. Both instances involved unwanted touching. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined there was probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. The commander issued a Letter of Reprimand.
16	Abusive Sexual Contact (A.C. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims, Male & Female	Air Force	E-3	Male				Q1 (October-December)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offenses									Notes: Investigation of Subject's report that Victim made offensive advances of a sexual nature toward Subject revealed that Victim previously made a verbal report of sexual assault against Subject. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined there was probable cause only for a non-sexual assault offense against Subject. After receiving the report of investigation, considering the victim's input and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander issued Subject a Letter of Reprimand for unprofessional conduct.
17	Abusive Sexual Contact (A.C. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male				Q1 (January-March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offenses									Notes: Victim reported Subject placed his hands on her hips and evaluated the proper form to use while performing a squad exercise. After the exercise was completed, Subject placed his hands on her hips, which if it was seen, and suggested that he could massage her thigh, which was not appropriate. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined there was probable cause only for a non-sexual assault offense. The commander issued an LOR to Subject for sexual harassment by battery.
18	Sexual Assault (A.C. 120)		Multiple Services	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims, Unknown & Female	Air Force	E-5	Male				Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (A.C. 120)		Acquitted						Notes: Five Victims reported Subject touched them on their breasts, buttocks and top without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander preferred charges. Subject was acquitted at court-martial.
19	Abusive Sexual Contact (A.C. 120)	South Korea	Air Force	E-6	Female	Air Force	E-7	Male				Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (A.C. 120)		Charges dismissed for other reason prior to Courts-Martial						Notes: Victim reported Subject rubbed his penis on her leg while they were sleeping in the same bed. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander preferred charges. After pretrial of charges, Victim declined to participate in the court-martial process and the charges were withdrawn.
20	Sexual Assault (A.C. 120)	Italy	Air Force	E-2	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			Q1 (October-December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Notes: Victim reported Subject penetrated her mouth and vaginas with his penis while she was too intoxicated to consent. The victim requested the case be dismissed by a Letter of Reprimand and an administrative discharge. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander offered Subject a Letter of Reprimand. Administrative discharge proceedings have been initiated.

Unrestricted Report Case Synopsis																							
FT3B Service Member Sexual Assault Response Report (SAR) FORCE																							
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Description Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject's Most Recent Assignment	Subject's Moral Wether Assessment	Subject's Report Type	Quarter/Overseas Component	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court's Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reasons Charges Dismissed at Art 15 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Committed	Administrative Discharge Type	Most Recent or Last Officer	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note	
21	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims Female	Air Force	E-4	Male				Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense.	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Failure to obey code of regulation (Art. 12)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None				Performer of Pay and Allowance: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (COP Only): No. Notes: Victim reported Subject digitally penetrated her vagina without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined there was probable cause only for a non-sexual assault offense. The commander offered nonjudicial punishment.
22	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	United Kingdom	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male				Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Charges dismissed for no other reason than to Courts-Martial						Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported Subject sexually assaulted victim at a party. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred a charge for sexual assault. Charges were dismissed.	
23	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male				Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)									Notes: Victim reported Subject penetrated her mouth with his penis without consent and touched her body without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander preferred charges. Subject was acquitted at court martial.
24a	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	Yes			Q2 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 12 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution					All victims and subjects involved parties to the crime	Notes: Victim 2 reported Subject placed his penis into Victim 2's mouth, digitally penetrated her vagina and penetrated her vagina with his penis while she was too intoxicated to consent. Victim 2 reported Subject placed his hand on her buttocks and groin. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for sexual assault. Following the Article 12 hearing, the special court-martial convened adjourned dismissed charges due to a lack of probable cause.	
24b	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			Q2 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 12 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution					All victims and subjects involved parties to the crime	Notes: Victim 1 reported Subject penetrated her mouth and vagina with his penis while she was too intoxicated to consent. Victim 2 reported Subject placed his hand on her buttocks and groin. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for sexual assault. Following the Article 12 hearing, the special court-martial convened adjourned dismissed charges due to a lack of probable cause.	
25	Unknown (NG Only)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-1	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Administrative Discharge									Adverse Administration Action Type: Other. Notes: Victim alleged sexual assault by a NG Subject in Title 32 status. OIG/IG investigated the allegation and found to be substantiated. Subject administratively discharged.	
26	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-2	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			Q1 (October-December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR). Notes: Victim reported Subject touched her breasts and buttocks without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander issued an order of reprimand to Subject.	
27	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-7	Female				Q3 (April-June)	Other adverse administrative action for non-sexual assault offense									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR). Notes: Victim reported Subject hugged the victim, touched her buttocks and kissed her on the neck. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined there was probable cause only for a non-sexual assault offense. The commander issued an LOR to Subject.	
28	Rape (Art. 120)	Multiple Services	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims Unknown M	Multiple Victims Female	Air Force	O-3	Male				Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)								Notes: Victim 1 reported Subject raped her Victims 2 and 3 and declined to participate or make statements. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander preferred charges. Subject was acquitted at court martial.	
29	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Overland	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-6	Male	No			Q3 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General			Performer of Pay and Allowance: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (COP Only): No. Notes: Victim reported that Subject grabbed her buttocks multiple times throughout the night at the club on base. Victim did not want to participate in court-martial and would only participate if Subject turned down non-judicial punishment. After receiving the report of investigation, considering the victim wishes and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued non-judicial punishment.	
30	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male				Q2 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Convicted	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		None	Yes			Courts-Martial discharge: None. Confirmation: No; Performer of Pay and Allowance: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Hard Labor: Yes; Correctional Custody (COP Only): No. Notes: Subject allegedly made inappropriate comments to multiple female victims and also inappropriately touched female victims' buttocks and breasts without their consent. Subject also requested victims to leave and terminate sexual comments. Subject was charged and convicted at court-martial.	
31	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	Yes			Q2 (October-December)	Administrative Discharge								Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported Subject penetrated her vagina with his penis while she was too intoxicated to consent to, and act of consummation and victim reported the assault. During the course of the investigation Victim declined to continue to participate in the military justice process. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander determined that a court-martial was not appropriate given Victim's decision not to participate. Commander initiated discharge for the alleged sexual assault and other misconduct.	
32	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			Q2 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)								Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported Subject digitally penetrated her vagina while she was asleep. Victim told Subject to stop when she woke up and Subject removed his fingers from Victim's vagina. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred a charge for sexual assault. Subject was acquitted at court martial.
33	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	Male	Yes				Q2 (October-December)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									Notes: Victim reported Subject penetrated her vagina with his penis without consent 23 years ago. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, it was determined that the civilian subject was no longer under military jurisdiction and that no action could be taken against them through military channels.	
34	Appropriated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-6	Female	Air Force	E-9	Male	No	No		Q2 (October-December)	Other adverse administrative action for non-sexual assault offense									Victim (single victim) Notes: Victim alleged that subject digitally penetrated her vagina in excessive times during a 6 to 8 month period 2013-2014. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued Subject a letter of reprimand for an unprofessional relationship and filed this SAR as an unrestricted report .	
35	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Switzerland	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male				Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)								Notes: Victim reported Subject digitally penetrated her vagina while she was asleep and unable to consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander preferred charges. Subject was acquitted at court martial.	
36	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-2	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	Yes			Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)								Notes: Subject and Victim traveled off base and went to multiple bars to drink. After returning to base both continued to drink in victim's dorm room. Victim recalled coming in and out of consciousness to find Subject using his penis to penetrate Victim's vagina. After consultation with the Staff Judge Advocate the commander preferred charges of sexual assault and abusive sexual contact. The Subject was acquitted at court martial.	
37	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male				Q2 (January-March)	Other adverse administrative action for non-sexual assault offense									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR). Notes: Victim reported Subject grabbed Victim's buttocks and thighs by reaching to her pants multiple times while she was in an airplane. Victim told Subject no she did not consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined there was probable cause only for a non-sexual assault offense. The commander issued an LOR to Subject.	
38	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-5	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male				Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)								Notes: Victim reported that Subject penetrated her vagina with his penis while she was awake and unable to consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander preferred charges. Subject was acquitted at court martial.	
39	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Germany	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male				Q2 (January-March)	Administrative Discharge								General	Notes: Victim reported Subject penetrated her vagina with his penis while she was asleep on 3 or 4 occasions. On the last occasion, she woke up while Subject was penetrating her vagina with his penis. During the course of the investigation Victim declined to continue to participate in the process, after receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander determined that a court-martial was not appropriate given Victim's decision not to participate. The commander initiated discharge for the alleged sexual assault with a recommendation for a general discharge board. Commander because Victim refused to testify at a discharge board.	
40	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	Of (30-September)	Administrative Discharge								General	Notes: Victim and Subject were divorced in August 2017. In September 2017 Victim reported to law enforcement she had been sexually assaulted by Victim by removing her shirt, bra, and digitally penetrating her after Victim had Subject. The Victim also reported two sexual assaults that occurred during their marriage, including consensual and non-consensual oral sex. Victim indicated through her SVC that she was not willing to participate in a court-martial but willing to participate in a discharge board. When Victim changed her mind and elected not to participate in a discharge board, the Commander consulted with the Staff Judge Advocate and administratively discharged Subject with a General discharge.	

Unrestricted Report Case Synopses

PFAS Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: R3B FORCE														Administrative Actions									
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject to Investigation For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject's Prior Investigation for Sex Assault	Subject's Moral Waiver Assessment	Subject's Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Code or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at AC 33 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Most Restrictive Sanction	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note	
41	Abusive Sexual Contact (A4, 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense	Assault (A4, 120)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None				Refutation of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Confinement/Custody (NCP Only): No; Notes: Subject pinned Victim and hid his hands down Victim's legs from attempt to walk during work. After consultation with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander offered and the Subject accepted non-judicial punishment.	
42	Attempt to Commit Sexual Assault	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Unknown		Male					Subject in a Chain of Command									Notes: There is no additional information available concerning this case.	
43	Abusive Sexual Contact (A4, 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-1	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male				Q1 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense	Assault (A4, 120)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)				Refutation of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Confinement/Custody (NCP Only): No; Notes: Victim reported Subject made inappropriate comments to her and to her on her behavior. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander offered and the Subject accepted non-judicial punishment.	
44	Sexual Assault (A4, 120)		Air Force	E-2	Female	Air Force	E-6	Male	No			Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (A4, 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by AC 32 hearing officer followed by AC 15 punishment	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution	None		Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported she was engaged in an adulterous relationship with Subject. Victim further reported Subject had sex and regular intercourse with other air men during other reporting the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for sexual assault and other non-sexual offenses. After receiving the article 15 punishment hearing report and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander dismissed the charges related to sexual assault based on insufficient evidence; the commander offered and Subject accepted non-judicial punishment for adultery and sexual consumption by partner and also issued Subject a letter of reprimand.		
45	Abusive Sexual Contact (A4, 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-2	Male				Q4 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense	Assault (A4, 120)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None				Subject (a single subject)	Refutation of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Confinement/Custody (NCP Only): No; Notes: Subject alleged to have grabbed one of Victim's breasts at a fall festival event. An witness in the event, after receiving the evidence and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined that there was insufficient evidence to prove the elements of a sexual assault offense; the commander determined that UOTHC for sexual assault was appropriate.
46	Prohibited by State Law (NG Only)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Unknown	Refutation of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Confinement/Custody (NCP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleged sexual assault by a NG Subject in Title 32 Status. Allegation investigated and substantiated by investigation. Command action taken against the Subject.	
47	Abusive Sexual Contact (A4, 120)	Japan	Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male					Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (A4, 120)	Convicted			Assault (A4, 120)	Honorable			Refutation of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported Subject touched buttocks without consent. After the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred a charge for abusive sexual contact along with other charges. Subject was convicted of sexual consumption by partner, as a result of which offense. The commander initiated administrative discharge proceedings and a conditional waiver for an honorable discharge. The commander also approved the honorable discharge. Administrative Action: Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR).	
48	Sexual Assault (A4, 120)	Korea, Rep Of	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-6	Male	No			Q2 (October-December)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense								Both Victim and Subject	Refutation of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Confinement/Custody (NCP Only): No; Notes: Victim reported Subject presented her into sexual intercourse and oral sex. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined there was probable cause only for a non-sexual assault offense. The commander issued an LOR to Subject for adultery.	
49	Sexual Assault (A4, 120)		Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male					Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (A4, 120)		Discharge or Reassignment in Lieu of Courts-Martial						Notes: Victim 2 reported Subject digitally penetrated her vagina without consent and manipulated her into consenting to sexual intercourse during their dating relationship. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for abusive sexual contact for victim 1 and sexual assault for victim 2. Following the article 15 hearing, the general court-martial convening authority referred the charges to a general court-martial. Subject admitted a receipt for a Chapter 4 discharge in lieu of court-martial. Both Victims supported the Chapter 4 discharge. The general court-martial convening authority approved Subject's Chapter 4 discharge with a 507FC service characterization.	
50	Sexual Assault (A4, 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	Yes		Alcohol/Drug Counseling	Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (A4, 120)	Acquitted						Both Victim and Subject	Refutation of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Confinement/Custody (NCP Only): No; Notes: Victim and a friend drove to Subject's house. After playing playing games, Victim had an assault on Subject's room. Victim reported she was sexually assaulted by Subject. Subject had intercourse with Victim. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges. Subject entered the room and searched his pants into Victim's mouth and was not willing to consent. After consultation with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges. Subject was acquitted at a General Court-Martial.	
51	Rape (A4, 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Male	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (A4, 120)		Discharge or Reassignment in Lieu of Courts-Martial					Victim (single victim)	Refutation of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Confinement/Custody (NCP Only): No; Notes: Victim reported he drank heavily and was in and out of consciousness. Victim reported he was on when Subject penetrated Victim's area with Subject's penis. Victim then had sex intercourse again. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for sexual assault. Following the Article 15 hearing, the general court-martial convening authority referred the charges to a general court-martial. Subject admitted a receipt for a Chapter 4 discharge in lieu of court-martial. Victim supported the Chapter 4 discharge. The general court-martial convening authority approved Subject's Chapter 4 discharge with a 507FC service characterization.	
52	Abusive Sexual Contact (A4, 120)		Air Force	E-2	Male	Air Force	E-3	Male				Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense	Failure to obey order or regulation (A4, 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Both Victim and Subject	Refutation of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Det's) (A4, 92) Hard Labor: No; Confinement/Custody (NCP Only): No; Notes: Witness reported Subject grabbed Victim's penis over his clothes. Victim stated the incident was a joke and he was not a victim. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined there was probable cause only for a non-sexual assault offense. The commander offered non-judicial punishment to Subject for conduct unbecoming.	
53	Abusive Sexual Contact (A4, 120)	South Korea	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male				Q1 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense	Cruelty and maltreatment (A4, 93)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None				Refutation of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Confinement/Custody (NCP Only): No; Notes: Victim reported Subject presented her into allowing Subject to massage her shoulders as he tried to touch her breast without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined there was probable cause only for a non-sexual assault offense. The commander offered non-judicial punishment.	
54	Sexual Assault (A4, 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male				Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (A4, 120)	Convicted			Wrongful sex, possession, etc. of controlled substances (A4, 113a)			All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Refutation of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported she had been drinking heavily and did not remember having sex with Subject. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges. Subject was acquitted at court martial.	
55	Abusive Sexual Contact (A4, 120)	Korea, Rep Of	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-6	Male				Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (A4, 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Both Victim and Subject	Refutation of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Confinement/Custody (NCP Only): No; Notes: Subject was accused of grabbing Victim's hand and forcing it onto Subject's penis without Victim's consent, after receiving the report of investigation, considering Victim's input, and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander offered and Subject accepted non-judicial punishment and issued Subject a letter of reprimand.	
56	Abusive Sexual Contact (A4, 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-7	Male	Air Force	E-6	Male	No			Q1 (October-December)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense								Unknown	Refutation of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Confinement/Custody (NCP Only): No; Notes: Victim reported Subject sat on his lap rubbed his pants against Victim's leg. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined there was probable cause only for a non-sexual assault offense. The commander issued an LOR to Subject.	

Unrestricted Report Case Synopses

No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject Prior Investigations for Sex Assault	Subject Moral Waiver (Accession)	Subject Referral Type	Quarter/Disposition/Complaint	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reasons Charged (Element of a UIC Hearing if applicable)	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Most Restrictive Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Administrative Actions	
																						Case Synopsis Note	
57	Sexual Assault (AR 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Ar. 120)			Discharge or Relegation in Lieu of Courts-Martial					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported she and Subject both drank alcohol, she became intoxicated and fell asleep. Victim reported she woke up to Subject penetrating her vagina with his penis and that she was in and out of consciousness. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for sexual assault. Following the Article 15 hearing, the general court-martial convening authority referred the charges to a general court-martial. Subject submitted a request for a Chapter 4 discharge in lieu of court-martial. Victim supported the Chapter 4 discharge. The general court-martial convening authority approved Subject's Chapter 4 discharge with a DTRC service characterization.	
58	Aggravated Sexual Contact (AR 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-7	Male			Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Ar. 120)		Acquitted							Administrative Action Type Letter of Reprimand (LOR);	
59	Abusive Sexual Contact (AR 120)	UAE	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No		Q2 (April-June)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offenses										Unknown	
60	Abusive Sexual Contact (AR 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-1	Male			Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Ar. 120)		Convicted		Abusive Sexual Contact (Ar. 120)		Yes			Both Victim and Subject	
61	Aggravated Sexual Contact (AR 120)		Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims Male	Air Force	E-4	Male			Q2 (January-March)	Other adverse administrative actions										Administrative Action Type Letter of Reprimand (LOR);	
62	Sexual Assault (AR 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male			Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Ar. 120)		Acquitted							Notes: Victim reported Subject penetrated her vagina with his penis without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges. Subject was acquitted at court-martial.	
63	Abusive Sexual Contact (AR 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-2	Female	Air Force	E-2	Male			Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Ar. 120)			Discharge or Relegation in Lieu of Courts-Martial							Notes: Victim reported Subject grabbed and then pulled on her vaginal area over her clothes during an argument. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for abusive sexual contact. Subject submitted a request for a Chapter 4 discharge in lieu of court-martial. Victim supported the Chapter 4 discharge. The general court-martial convening authority approved Subject's Chapter 4 discharge with a DTRC service characterization.
64	Sexual Assault (AR 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No		Q2 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Ar. 120)		Acquitted							Both Victim and Subject	
65	Sexual Assault (AR 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-6	Male	No		Q1 (October-December)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offenses										Both Victim and Subject	
66	Rape (AR 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No		Q2 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Ar. 120)			Discharge or Relegation in Lieu of Courts-Martial						Both Victim and Subject	
67	Abusive Sexual Contact (AR 120)	Jordan	Air Force	E-4	Male	Air Force	E-5	Male	No		Q2 (April-June)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offenses										Administrative Action Type Letter of Admonition (LOA);	
68	Abusive Sexual Contact (AR 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Male	Air Force	E-3	Male	No		Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offenses			Failure to obey orders on regulation (AR 15)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed							None
69a	Abusive Sexual Contact (AR 120)	Korea, Rep Of	Air Force	E-4	Male	Air Force	E-5	Female			Q2 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offenses			Assault (AR 120)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed							All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)
69b	Abusive Sexual Contact (AR 120)	Korea, Rep Of	Air Force	E-4	Male	Air Force	E-4	Female			Q1 (October-December)	Non-judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Ar. 120)			Article 15 Punishment Imposed							Both Victim and Subject
70	Sexual Assault (AR 120)	South Korea	Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No		Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Ar. 120)		Acquitted							All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	
71	Sexual Assault (AR 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Ar. 120)			Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial							Both Victim and Subject
72	Abusive Sexual Contact (AR 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-1	Male	Air Force	E-1	Male			Q4 (July-September)	Non-judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Ar. 120)			Article 15 Punishment Imposed						General	

No.	Host Service: Sexual Assault Allegation Subject Is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject's Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject's Report Accused?	Subject's Report Type	Quarter/Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Host Service: Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Host Service: Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reasons Charges Dismissed at Art 32 Hearing of Acquittal	Host Service: Offense Committed	Administrative Discharge Type	Host Registrar as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note	
																							PFIS Service Member Sexual Assault Response Report AIR FORCE
73	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			Q1 (October-December)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim reported Subject penetrated her vagina with his penis while she was intoxicated to consent. Witnesses observed Victim as interacting with victim and highly intoxicated or impaired. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined there was probable cause only for a non-sexual assault offense. The commander issued an LOR to the Subject.	
74	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	O-1	Male					Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim and Subject were in a dating relationship. Victim informed Subject she intended to terminate a night. During the course of an evening, Subject and Victim engaged in some consensual sexual activity. Even though Victim agreed to some sexual activity with Subject, Subject used his penis to penetrate Victim's vagina. During the course of the investigation Victim refused to participate any further in the process. After receiving the report of investigation, consulting the victim's welfare and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander served Subject with a Letter of Reprimand. Discharge proceedings have been initiated against Subject.	
75	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	US Civilian	Male	Air Force	Male	Male				Q3 (April-June)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									Notes: Victim reported subject engaged in inappropriate behavior in the workplace. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander suspended the Subject from duty for one day.	
76	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Acquittal							Notes: Victim reported Subject touched her breasts and digitally penetrated her vagina while she was asleep. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred a charge for sexual assault. Subject was acquitted of sexual assault.	
77	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Japan	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-2	Male				Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Acquittal							Notes: Victim reported she and Subject were having consensual vaginal intercourse when Subject moved his penis toward her penis. Victim told Subject not to penetrate her with his penis. Subject penetrated Victim's penis without her consent. Victim and Subject then continued to engage in consensual vaginal intercourse. On a second occasion, Victim was sexually performing oral sex on Subject when the subject also used his penis. Subject did not stop penetrating her mouth until she verbally refused Subject's penis from her mouth. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred a charge for sexual assault. Subject was acquitted of sexual assault.	
78	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims Female	Air Force	E-6	Male					Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failed to obey order or regulation (Art. 15)	Article 15 Detainment Imposed			None			All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Notes: Victim 1 reported Subject hugged her and kissed her on several occasions without consent. Victim 2 also reported Subject penetrated Victim 2's vagina with his penis without consent. Victim 3 reported Subject rubbed his erect penis against her buttock while they were both undressed. During the course of the investigation, the Victims requested the be discharged as a non-judicial punishment. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander offered subject non-judicial punishment for deviation of duty (unprofessional relationship) and assault (unconsensual by intent).
79	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-5	Male	Air Force	E-7	Male	No			Q4 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Notes: Victim reported Subject grabbed her buttocks without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander offered the subject non-judicial punishment for providing engaging in an unprofessional relationship and abusive sexual contact. The commander found the Subject guilty of the unprofessional relationship and not guilty of the abusive sexual contact.	
80	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Italy	E-2	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			Q4 (July-September)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administrative Action Type: LOR; Notes: Subject was accused of touching Victim's breast over her clothing. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander closed the case from his action. Subject was given verbal.	
81	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male				Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Discharge or Reintegration in Lieu of Courts-Martial						Notes: Victim reported she told Subject to leave her room and went to sleep. She later woke up to find Subject penetrating her vagina with his penis without consent. Victim reported that she told him "no" and "stop" and he continued to penetrate her. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for sexual assault. Following the Article 32 hearing, the general court-martial convening authority referred the charges to a general court-martial. Subject submitted a request for a Chapter 4 discharge in lieu of court-martial. Victim supported the Chapter 4 discharge. The general court-martial convening authority approved Subject's Chapter 4 discharge with a UDTC service characterization.	
82	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Multiple Services	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims Unknown & Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Discharge or Reintegration in Lieu of Courts-Martial						Notes: Subject investigated for sexually assaulting a civilian and two active duty members on separate occasions. Victim #1 (V1) alleged Subject kissed V1's mouth, placed Subject's penis in V1's mouth, and penetrated V1's vagina with Subject's finger without V1's consent. Victim #2 (V2) accused Subject of four separate acts of sexual assault to include Subject kissing V2's mouth. Subject using his hands to touch V1's breasts, and Subject penetrating V1's vagina with Subject's finger without V1's consent. Victim #3 (V3) alleged Subject took V1's hand and placed V1's hand on Subject's penis. Victim #4 (V4) alleged V1's hand on Subject's breast, and Subject placed his body also V1's body and grabbed his penis against V1's body without V1's consent. V2 was intoxicated. All victims were reluctant to participate and cooperate in the prosecution of the matter. After the commander consulted with the CSA and reviewed input provided by victim(s), subject was administratively discharged with a UDTC characterization in lieu of trial by court-martial.	
83	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-7	Male					Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Adultery (Art. 134-E)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Notes: Victim reported Subject touched her breasts and asked her if she wanted to have sex. Victim told Subject she did not want to have sex with him. Subject began to suck on Victim's breasts, placed his hand on her neck, penis and asked her again if she wanted to have sex. Victim told Subject yes she wanted to have sex with him. Subject then penetrated Victim's vagina with his penis. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined there was probable cause only for a non-sexual assault offense. The commander offered the subject non-judicial punishment for adultery and deviation of duty (unprofessional relationship).	
84	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Japan	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-2	Male				Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Acquittal							Notes: Victim reported Subject digitally penetrated her without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred non-judicial punishment.	
85	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Japan	Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims Female	Air Force	E-4	Male				Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Acquittal							All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Notes: Subject stated the nature of Victim 1's vagina and digitally penetrated her without her consent and while she was sleeping. Victim 1 reported subject rubbed her thighs on her different occasions during the evening causing her to push his hands away. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred a charge for sexual assault and non-charge of abusive sexual contact. Subject was acquitted at trial.
86	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male				Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Discharge or Reintegration in Lieu of Courts-Martial						Notes: Victim reported Subject manipulated her buttocks while she was asleep. Victim reported Subject then pulled down her pants and performed oral sex on her. Victim reported Subject told Subject no and tried to fight him off, eventually Victim became too exhausted to fight off Subject and Subject penetrated her vagina with his penis without consent and attempted to penetrate her penis with his penis without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for sexual assault. Following the Article 32 hearing, the general court-martial convening authority referred the charges to a general court-martial. Subject submitted a request for a Chapter 4 discharge in lieu of court-martial. Victim supported the Chapter 4 discharge. The general court-martial convening authority approved Subject's Chapter 4 discharge with a UDTC service characterization.	

Unrestricted Report Case Synopsis

PF38 Sexual Harassment Sexual Assault Synopsis Report: AFR FORCE													Administrative Action									
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation (Subject to Investigation/For)	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject's Prior Investigation For Sex Assault	Subject's Worst Access?	Subject's Release Type	Quarter/Disposition/Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Disposition	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 15 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
87	Abusive Sexual Contact (AK, 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-5	Male	Air Force	E-5	Male				04 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (AK, 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General			Victims of Pay and Allowance: No; Free; No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Conventional Custody (30P) Only: No. Notes: Subject was accused of frequently crude and unprofessional behavior in the workplace, as well as inappropriately teaching members of his unit, to include victims. The teaching included "dove game" (rape on buttons) and what members of the victim described as the "rough and ready" report where SUBJECT would not have been between an individual's buttocks. After consultation with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander offered and Subject accepted non-judicial punishment for adultery and assault (pursuanted by battery and also issued Subject a Letter of
88	Sexual Assault (AK, 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-7	Male				04 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred for non-sexual assault offense	Failure to obey order or regulation (AK, 12)	Convicted		Wrongful use, possession, etc. of controlled substance (AK, 113A)		None			Notes: Victim reported that she was sexually assaulted and issued to Subject on numerous occasions. Victim and Subject worked in the same unit and Subject reported Victim. The investigation revealed that Victim and Subject were in a consensual sexual relationship with one another. During the investigation it was also discovered that Victim disclosed drugs to VIC on several occasions. After consultation with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined a court-martial for both drug distribution and an unprofessional relationship was warranted at court-martial. Subject was convicted of violating Article 32 and 113 of the UCMR.
89	Rape (AA, 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Male	Air Force	E-5	Male				03 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense	General Article Offense (AK, 134)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None				Notes: Victim and Subject agreed to engage in sexual activity in a dining application. Even though Victim withdrew consent to sexual activity when Victim and Subject actually met in person, Victim alleged Subject penetrated Victim's anus and result with Subject's penis. Subject admitted penetration. Victim's recall and area with Subject's penis, but asserted that Victim's accusations were because Subject refused to give Victim money. During the course of the investigation, Victim expressed a lack of desire to participate in any criminal justice action. After reviewing the DOD and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander offered and the Subject accepted non-judicial punishment for violating Article 134 of the UCMR.
90	Sexual Assault (AK, 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			02 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (AK, 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution			Both Victim and Subject		Notes: Victim reported Subject penetrated her vagina with his penis while she was too intoxicated to consent. Victim stated she had no memory of having sexual intercourse with Subject after a night of heavy drinking. However, Subject stated they had engaged in sexual intercourse. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate the commander preferred a charge for sexual assault. Following the Article 32 preliminary hearing the commander agreed with the finding from the article 32 hearing and dismissed the case.
91	Abusive Sexual Contact (AK, 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-1	Male				02 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (AK, 120)	Convicted		Abusive Sexual Contact (AK, 120)		Yes			Notes: Victim reported Subject touched her on the breasts, buttocks, and genital area without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for abusive sexual contact, indecent language with a child and attempted possession of child pornography. The Subject was found guilty
92	Abusive Sexual Contact (AK, 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	CadM/Highman in	Multiple Victims Female	Air Force	C-1	Male				01 (October-December)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense					General				Notes: Victim 1, 2 and 3 reported Subject touched them inappropriately. All three victims declined to participate in the military justice system. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander initiated discharge proceedings. The Subject received a DOD and an administrative discharge.
93	Sexual Assault (AK, 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male				02 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (AK, 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial			Victim (single victim)			Notes: Victim reported Subject penetrated her anus with his penis. Victim asked Subject to stop but he continued to penetrate her anus. Subject then penetrated Victim's vagina with his penis. Subject then stopped because he was drinking. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for sexual assault. The charges were dismissed following the Article 32 hearing due to the victim declining to participate.
94	Sexual Assault (AK, 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male				02 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (AK, 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial			Both Victim and Subject			Notes: Victim reported Subject penetrated her vagina with his penis while she was too intoxicated to consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred a charge for sexual assault. The charges were dismissed following the Article 32 hearing due to victim declining to participate. The commander initiated discharge proceedings for non-related reasons.
95	Sexual Assault (AK, 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male				03 (April-June)	Other adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR). Notes: After a night of heavy drinking by both Victim and Subject, Subject was accused of digitally penetrating victim's vagina without her consent. At the time of the incident, the Staff Judge Advocate for Sexual Assault was on duty and the prosecution by court-martial was the same. After receiving the report of investigation, considering the victim's report, and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander advised Subject with a Letter of Reprimand. Discharge proceedings have been initiated against Subject.
96	Rape (AA, 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male				01 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (AA, 120)	Acquitted					Both Victim and Subject		Notes: Victim reported Subject overpowered her, forced her pants down and penetrated her vagina with his penis without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred a charge for rape. Subject was acquitted at trial.
97	Sexual Assault (AK, 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	CadM/Highman in	Female	Air Force	C-1	Male	No			01 (October-December)	Administrative Discharge					Under Other than Unlawful Conditions (UDTC)			Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Subject and Victim engaged in underage drinking. Victim and Subject as well as other off-duty individuals in a room used bed. Subject touched Victim's breasts and buttocks over her underwear. Then Subject digitally penetrated Victim. A letter later, Subject digitally penetrated Victim again and she said she did not consent, but Subject continued to digitally penetrate her. Victim fell asleep, and unable to Subject on top of victim (penetrating her with Subject's penis. Victim reported the assault two years after it happened and difficulty remembering exactly what it occurred.
98	Sexual Assault (AK, 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male				01 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (AK, 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution			Both Victim and Subject		Notes: Victim reported Subject penetrated her vagina with his penis while she was asleep. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate the commander preferred charges for sexual assault. Following the Article 32 hearing, the commander dismissed the charges due to lack of evidence.
99	Sexual Assault (AK, 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-2	Male	Air Force	E-3	Male	No	No	Multiple Ratified	02 (January-March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense								Both Victim and Subject	Adverse Administrative Action Type: Other. Notes: Victim reported Subject made sexual contact with Victim that was not consensual. Victim declined further involvement in the investigation of Subject. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander decided to pursue court-martial charges against Subject.
100	Abusive Sexual Contact (AK, 120)	Overland	Air Force	E-5	Female	Air Force	O-3	Female	No			02 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense	Prohibition (AK, 139-01)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Both Victim and Subject	Prohibition of Pay and Allowance: Yes; Free; No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Conventional Custody (30P) Only: No. Notes: After a night of heavy drinking, victim woke up to find Subject clinging to her from behind. Subject told victim something happened, but failed to provide details. When victim asked victim had no memory of what happened. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for sexual assault only for a non-sexual assault offense. The commander offered Subject non-judicial punishment for non-related reasons (unprofessional officer and fraternization).
101	Sexual Assault (AK, 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-5	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	Yes			02 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (AK, 120)	Acquitted					Both Victim and Subject		Notes: Victim reported Subject penetrated her vagina while she in and out of consciousness and unable to consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred a charge for sexual assault. Subject was acquitted of sexual assault.
102	Rape (AA, 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male	No			01 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (AK, 120)	Acquitted					Both Victim and Subject		Notes: Victim reported Subject penetrated her vagina with his penis and would not stop intercourse when she told him to stop. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred a charge for sexual assault. Subject was acquitted of sexual
103	Sexual Assault (AK, 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-1	Male				02 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (AK, 120)	Convicted		Sexual Assault (AK, 120)		Yes			Notes: Victim reported Subject digitally penetrated Victim's vagina and touched her breast without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander preferred charges. Subject was convicted at court martial.

Unrestricted Report Case Synopses

RN	Most Serious Sexual Abuse Allegation Subject Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject Prior Investigations for Sex Assault	Subject Most Recent Allegation	Subject Referral Type	Quarter/Completion Date	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Offense Charged (Ac. No.)	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dropped or Art 15 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Committed	Administrative Discharge Type	Most Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
																						Perforation of Pay and Allowance: Yes; Pre: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Confinement/Custody (NCP Only): No.
104	Sexual Assault (Ac. 120)	UNITED STATES	Multiple Services	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No		Alcohol/Drug Counseling	Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Ac. 70)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None	Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim One and Subject began a relationship. At a party off-base, Subject purchased Victim One hard alcohol. Victim One reported she became intoxicated and returned to Subject's home. Subject had sexual intercourse with her, she had sex on more than one occasion, and with her, while in bed. Victim One reported she was in sexual contact with Subject. Victim Two reported she and Subject met via a dating application and met after an evening of heavy drinking. Subject penetrated her vagina without her consent. After consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander decided not to pursue sexual assault charges based on lack of evidence. Subject received non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault related offense.	
105	Sexual Assault (Ac. 120)	Germany	Air Force	E-3	Male	Air Force	E-3	Male				Q3 (April-June)	Other Adverse Administrative Action							Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Subject reported to have inserted Victim's penis into Subject's mouth without Victim consent. Victim indicated he would not cooperate the prosecution of the matter. After receiving the report of investigation, considering the victim's wishes and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander issued the Subject a Letter of Reprimand and discharge processing was completed.	
106	Abusive Sexual Contact (Ac. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-2	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			Q3 (April-June)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense								Notes: Victim reported Subject grabbed her buttocks without consent, made inappropriate comments about her body and exposed the buttocks due to search the toilet without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined there was probable cause only for a non-sexual assault offense.	
107	Sexual Assault (Ac. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Citizen	Female	Air Force	E-7	Male				Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Ac. 120)		Convicted		Sexual Assault (Ac. 120)		Yes	Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported Subject penetrated her vagina with his penis when she was asleep and without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred a charge for sexual assault. At a General Court-Martial, Subject was convicted of sexual assault.
108	Abusive Sexual Contact (Ac. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	O-3	Male	Air Force	E-5	Male				Q2 (January-March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense								Notes: Victim reported Subject touched his buttocks over his clothes without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined there was probable cause only for a non-sexual assault offense. The commander verbally counseled Subject.	
109	Abusive Sexual Contact (Ac. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			Q4 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Ac. 70)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General		Notes: Subject was found to be watching pornography on his phone while on duty and in the presence of the victim. Subject then proceeded to touch Victim's buttocks and for vaginal area over her clothing. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander imposed non-judicial punishment for violation of Article 15, Deviation of Duty. Subject also received a Letter of Reprimand (Ac. 120).	
110	Rape (Ac. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	O-2	Female	Air Force	O-1	Male				Q1 (October-December)	Subject is a Citizen or Foreign National									Notes: Victim reported Subject raped her before they entered active duty. Civilian law enforcement investigated the report and the case was declined for prosecution by civilian authorities.
111		UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Unknown		Female					Subject is a Citizen or Foreign National									Notes: There is no additional information available concerning this case.
112	Abusive Sexual Contact (Ac. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Male	Air Force	E-5	Male				Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Ac. 120)		Convicted		Assault (Ac. 128)		Uncharacterized		Notes: Victim reported being touched by Subject on different occasions. Subject placed his hands on Victim's face, squeezed Victim's buttocks, attempted to kiss Victim's mouth, and put a knife in Victim's neck. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges. Subject was convicted of a non-sexual assault offense.
113	Abusive Sexual Contact (Ac. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Male	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			Q3 (April-June)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense								Notes: OSI conducted an investigation into allegations of workplace harassment, including grabbing and touching. After consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander decided a Letter of Reprimand was appropriate.	
114	Abusive Sexual Contact (Ac. 120)		Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims Female	Air Force	E-6	Male	No			Q2 (January-March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Notes: These Victims reported Subject touched them without their consent. During a 24 hour period Subject consented Victim One's work and the victim's Victim Two's face, grabbed Victim Two's hair and attempted to pull them to the ground. After receiving the report of investigation, considering the victim's wishes and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander issued Subject a Letter of Reprimand for unprofessional behavior and inhuman language and filed the case in the personnel file.	
115	Rape (Ac. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-5	Female	Air Force	E-1	Male				Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense		General Assault Offense (Ac. 120)	Convicted		Assault (Ac. 128)			Notes: Subject was reported to have penetrated Victim's vagina with Subject's penis and finger without her consent in that Victim was under the influence of prescribed medication. Subject pleaded guilty via a pre-trial agreement to assault. Subject was convicted of rape and assault.	
116	Abusive Sexual Contact (Ac. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-6	Male	No	No		Q2 (October-December)	Administrative Discharge								Unknown	Notes: Victim alleged sexual assault by a NC Subject in File 22. Subject is a citizen.
117	Abusive Sexual Contact (Ac. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-6	Male					Other Adverse Administrative Action								Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Subject accused of separating Victim's buttocks and being inappropriate. After completion of the investigation, Victim indicated she did not want to participate in the military justice process. After receiving the report of investigation, considering the victim's wishes and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander waived Subject with a Letter of Reprimand.
118	Abusive Sexual Contact (Ac. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-2	Female	Air Force	E-1	Male	No	No	Multiple Referrals	Q4 (July-September)	Administrative Discharge								Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Subject was involved in an incident with a fellow member of the National Guard. Victim was notified by victim and subject. The victim law enforcement agency investigated the incident, resulting in the subject being charged with simple assault and conspiracy. Victim's treatment team. Subject was found to have violated 13 U.S.A. 3325(a)(1) and 21 U.S.A. 882(b). Court Discharge from the US of the resulting State of Vermont Civilian Guard. Proceedings Subject was discharged from the Vermont National Guard of the United States for Commission of a Serious Offense. Subject was General Description of a member from the VT ANG and is a member of the Reserve of the Air Force effective 10 Oct 2012. Former member with NGE from USA Authority: AFI 36-1209, Paragraph 3.2.1.3. DPO: USC Subject was reported under Nonsexual Conditions (General) Action against Offending Airman included Action Under Article 15, (CFC) on state military code being specific. Offenses: Military Protective Order (DD Form 2872) and Base Displacement Order on 11 August 2012. Completed mental health counseling as a result of a Command Directed (Mental Health Evaluation).
119	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Ac. 120)	United Kingdom	Air Force	E-5	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			Q4 (July-September)	Non-judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Ac. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			Under Other than Nonsexual Conditions (UDNC)		Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported Subject kissed her but upon her chest without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander offered the subject non-judicial punishment for providing abusive sexual contact and other non-sexual offenses. Subject was administratively discharged for abusive sexual contact.
120	Rape (Ac. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Cadet/High School	Female	Air Force	C-1	Male	No			Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Ac. 120)		Convicted		Aggravated Sexual Contact (Ac. 120)		Yes	Unknown	Notes: Victim and Subject had three encounters, two of which were voluntary and the victim performed oral sex on the subject. At a third encounter, Subject and Victim went into the private room and Subject forced Victim to perform oral sex on him by forcing her into a chair and shaking her by the hair on multiple occasions and he forced her to perform oral sex. After consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the Subject was subject to a court-martial.

Unrestricted Report Case Synopsis

PF38 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopsis Report: AFR FORCE														Administrative Actions									
RA	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject to Investigation/For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject's Prior Investigation For Sex Assault	Subject's Moral Waiver Accessed?	Subject's Referral Type	Quarter/Dimensional Complaint	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reasons Charged/Dismissed at Art 32 Hearing, If Applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Most Restrictive or Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note	
121	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Male	Air Force	E-1	Male	No			Q2 (January-March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Discharge or Relegation in Lieu of Court-Martial						Both Victim and Subject	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR). Notes: Subject grabbed Victim's buttocks at a bowling alley on base. Separately, Subject brought alcohol on base, violating a ban on alcohol. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander issued Subject a Letter of Reprimand for assault consummated by force. Subject also received Non-Judicial Punishment for receiving Article 15, LOR, by bringing alcohol on the premises, representing disordered and unbecoming language and that the case is a Unrestricted Information File.
122	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-5	Female	Unknown		Unknown				Q3 (April-June)	Offense Unknown									Notes: There is no additional information concerning this case.	
123	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Germany	Air Force	O-3	Male	Air Force	O-4	Male	No			Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Discharge or Relegation in Lieu of Court-Martial						Notes: After dinner and drinks with a group of airmen, victim reported ending up in Subject's apartment alone with Subject. Victim recalls getting on a couch and later being assaulted by Subject, and moved to a bed where victim recalls falling asleep with his clothes on. Tomorrow, Victim awoke to find his pants in Subject's mouth and Subject's hands fondling Victim's buttocks. Following the Article 32 hearing, the general court-martial convening authority referred the charges to a general court-martial. Subject submitted a request to resign in lieu of court-martial. The general court-martial convening authority approved Subject's discharge with a LOR/TC service characterization.	
124	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	CdrM/MSM/Avn	Female	Air Force	C-1	Male				Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquittal						Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Witness 1 and 2 reported Subject attempted to force victim to have sex with him while she was intoxicated by alcohol. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred a charge for sexual assault. Subject was acquitted of sexual assault.
125	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Multiple Victims Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	Yes			Q2 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Discharge or Relegation in Lieu of Court-Martial						All victims and subjects to the crime	Notes: Victim 1 reported Subject had forced her to continue to have sex with her after she withdrew her consent. Victim 2 reported Subject attempted to force her to have sex with him without her consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for sexual assault and abusive sexual contact. Following the Article 32 hearing, the general court-martial convening authority referred the charges to a general court-martial. Subject submitted a request for a Chapter 4 discharge in lieu of court-martial. Both Victims reported the Chapter 4 discharge. The general court-martial convening authority approved Subject's Chapter 4 discharge with a LOR/TC service characterization.
126	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-4	Male	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			Q3 (April-June)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR). Notes: OSI conducted an investigation of workplace harassment, including allegations of hounding and grabbing. After consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined a LOR of non-sexual assault was appropriate.	
127	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Multiple Services	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims Female	Air Force	E-3	Male				Q2 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed						Subject (a single subject)	Notes: Victim 1 reported being touched inappropriately by Subject. When returning Victim 1 to a dormitory building, Victim 1 advised she had been touched by Subject. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander recommended non-judicial punishment and recommended an administrative discharge.
128	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	South Korea	Air Force	E-4	Male	Air Force	E-5	Male					Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense	Assault (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed						Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported to make a comment and Subject became angry. In response to Victim's comments, Subject grabbed Victim's pants and the victim and Subject had sex for one to two seconds. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined there was probable cause only for a non-sexual assault offense. The commander referred the subject non-judicial punishment for providing assault information/submitting.
129	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Male	Air Force	C-1	Male				Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquittal						Notes: Victim reported Subject digitally penetrated her anus while he was asleep and unable to consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander preferred charges. Subject was acquitted at court martial.	
130	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquittal						Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported Subject continually penetrated her anus with his penis and would not stop penetrating her when she said to stop. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred a charge for sexual assault. Subject was acquitted of the sexual assault.
131	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male				Q2 (January-March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Both Victim and Subject	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR). Notes: After a night of heavy drinking, Victim reported Subject got into bed with her roommates. Victim told Subject to leave the bed and sleep in another room. Subject became violent and grabbed Victim by the neck and started to strangle her. Subject then raped her while Victim was lying in the room. During the course of the investigation, Victim declined to participate and stated she was not a sexual assault victim. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined there was probable cause only for a non-sexual assault offense. The commander issued an LOR to Subject.
132	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-7	Male	Air Force	O-5	Male				Q2 (January-March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Counseling (LOC). Notes: Victim reported Subject sexually harassed him at an event. Victim declined Subject's request and members for reporting his buttocks at the event. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined there was probable cause only for a non-sexual assault offense. The commander issued an LOR to Subject.	
133	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-1	Female	Air Force	E-7	Male	No			Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)				Yes	Notes: Victim reported Subject penetrated her mouth and vagina with his penis without consent. Victim reported she told Subject no and to stop several times, but he continued the sexual acts. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred a charge for sexual assault. Subject was convicted of sexual assault.	
134	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Phd School Student	Female	Air Force	C-1	Male	No			Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Harassment in Command Offense (Art. 80)		Convicted	Attempt to Commit (Art. 80)				Yes	Unknown	Notes: Victim and Subject were friends. Subject and Victim met in an apartment building to drink and Subject attempted to have sex with Victim. Subject then grabbed her and started to strangle her. Victim reported she tried to get away from Subject, but he kept trying to kiss Victim. Subject raped her and attempted to get her in a rooming program but he kept touching her pants. A nearby door opened and Victim ran to the door. Subject was accused of attempted forcible sodomy of two victims. Subject was found guilty of attempted forcible sodomy of two victims (Victim 1), and guilty of wrongful sexual contact of Victim 2.
135	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	South Africa	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male	No			Q2 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquittal						Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported Subject penetrated her vagina with his penis while she was asleep. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander preferred charges for sexual assault. Subject was acquitted of sexual assault.
136	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Multiple Victims Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No	No		Q4 (January-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed in recommendation at Art. 32 hearing effort	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution						Notes: Subject digitally penetrated Victim's vagina without her consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander preferred charges for sexual assault. Subject was acquitted of sexual assault.
137	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-6	Female				Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Chattel and maintenance (Art. 93)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed							Notes: Victim reported Subject kissed on three occasions without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for sexual harassment. The commander preferred subject non-judicial punishment for deviation of duty (professional relationship) and maintenance of a
138	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-7	Male				Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense		Chattel and maintenance (Art. 93)	Acquittal							Notes: Victim accused Subject of kissing her neck and arm while she was asleep. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for sexual harassment. Subject was acquitted of sexual harassment. Subject was found guilty of attempted forcible sodomy of two victims (Victim 1), and guilty of wrongful sexual contact of Victim 2.
139	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male				Q2 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Acquittal							Notes: Victim reported Subject raped her while stationed together at a previous base. Victim reported performing oral sex on Subject but did not want to go further. She reported that Subject never had the sex he had after she performed oral sex. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate the commander preferred charges to a general court-martial. Subject was acquitted.

No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	PTIB Service Member Sexual Assault Response Report AIR FORCE			Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art. 15 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Committed	Administrative Actions				
									Subject's Prior Investigations For Sex Assault?	Subject's Most Serious Accessory?	Subject Referral Type							Quarter Deliberation Completed	Case Bypassed Note	Administrative Discharge Type	Most Restrictive Sex Offender	Alcohol Use
140	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Male	Air Force	E-7	Male	No		Q2 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense	Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 15)	Convicted		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 15)	General				Courts-Martial discharge; None; Confinement; No; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances; Yes; Fine; No; Reclusion; No; Reduction in rank; No; Hard Labor; No; None.	
141	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	O-1	Female	Air Force	O-1	Male			Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Acquitted				Both Victim and Subject				Notes: Victim reported Subject fondled her breasts and digitally penetrated her without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred a charge for sexual assault. Subject was convicted of sexual assault.
142	Rape (Art. 120)	USA	US Civilian	Female	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male			Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Acquitted								Notes: Victim reported Subject didn't stop consensual vaginal intercourse when she asked him to stop. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander preferred charges. Subject was acquitted at court-martial.
143	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male			Q2 (January-March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense						Victim (single victim)				Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Counseling (LOR). Notes: Victim reported drinking heavily at a party and walking to her home with Subject. Victim reported being unable to walk without assistance and falling head-first into her bathroom, after which Subject sexually assaulted Victim. During the course of the investigation, the Victim declined to participate and further in the process. After receiving the report of investigation, considering the victim's wishes and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander issued Subject a Letter of Counseling for unprofessional behavior and filed the action in Subject's Unfavorable Information File.
144	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male	No	Marital	Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial				Both Victim and Subject				Notes: After drinking alcohol at a club, Victim and Subject went to Victim's house and engaged in consensual kissing and sexual fondling. Thereafter, Victim alleged that Subject used his penis to penetrate Victim's vagina while Victim was wearing "hot" and trying to push Subject off Victim. Charges were preferred under Article 120 and Article 132 (sexual abuse) under the Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences (USHS) Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences (USHS) Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences (USHS). The charges were dismissed by the commander when Subject was accused of rape, unrelated non-sexual misconduct. The Subject admitted a pre-trial agreement (PTA) to the commander. The PTA did not include sexual assault charges. After consulting with the staff judge advocate and taking into account the victim's support of the PTA, the commander permitted the victim to plead guilty to non-sexual assault offenses. Subject received sentence of 3 months hard labor without confinement, reduction in grade, and forfeiture of pay and allowances.
145	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims Female	Air Force	E-7	Male	No		Q2 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense	Crucial and malfeasance (Art. 95)	Article 15 Punishment imposed			None					Notes: Victims 1, 2, 3, and 4 reported Subject managed their inclusion and harassment without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined there was probable cause only for a non-sexual assault offense. The commander offered the Subject non-judicial punishment for maltreatment of subordinates.
146	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No		Q2 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Acquitted				Subject (a single subject)				Notes: Victim reported Subject had touched sexually without consent three separate and on one occasion performed oral sex on her and penetrated her vagina with his penis while she was asleep. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred a charge for sexual assault. Subject was acquitted of sexual assault.
147	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Military Corps	US Civilian	Female	Female	Air Force	O-4	Male			Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Convicted		Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Yes				Courts-Martial discharge; None; Confinement; No; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances; No; Fine; No; Reclusion; No; Reduction in rank; No; Hard Labor; No; None.	
148	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Multiple Services	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No		Q2 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 punishment			General	Both Victim and Subject				Notes: Victim 1 reported Subject penetrated her vagina with his penis without consent. Victim 2 reported Subject penetrated her vagina with his penis after she told him she did not want to have sexual intercourse with him. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for sexual assault. The charges were dismissed following the Article 15 hearing pursuant to a pre-trial agreement in which the Subject agreed to accept non-judicial punishment and an adverse administrative characterization. The pre-trial agreement was approved because the victim preferred not to testify at trial. The commander offered the subject non-judicial punishment for assault (concomitant by battery and related administrative discharge responsibility).
149	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male			Q4 (July-September)	Other Adverse Administrative Action						Both Victim and Subject				Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR). Notes: Victim reported Subject for touching her breast without her consent. Investigation yielded conflicting Victim statements and eyewitness accounts. After receiving the report of investigation, considering the victim's wishes and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander verbally counseled Subject and issued her a Letter of Reprimand for Public Intoxication.
150	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-1	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male			Q2 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense	Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 15)	Article 15 Punishment imposed			None					Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances; Yes; Fine; No; Reclusion; No; Reduction in rank; No; Extra Duty; No; Hard Labor; No; Confinement; Custody (NJP Only); No. Notes: Victim reported Subject grabbed victim's buttocks and vaginal area over the clothes without consent. During the course of the investigation, Victim declined to further participate. After receiving the report of investigation, taking into account the victim's wishes and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander offered the subject non-judicial punishment for failure to obey a lawful order for handling a contact order related to the sexual assault allegations.
151	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	South Korea	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male			Q2 (October-December)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense										Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR). Notes: Victim reported Subject touched her breast without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined there was probable cause only for a non-sexual assault offense. The commander issued an LOR to Subject.
152	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-7	Multiple Victims Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense	Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 15)	Convicted		Other Sexual Misconduct (Art. 120c)	None	Yes				Courts-Martial discharge; None; Confinement; No; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances; No; Fine; No; Reclusion; No; Reduction in rank; Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Hard Labor; No. Notes: Subject was charged with one specification of violating a no contact order, seven specifications of maintaining reprehensible relationships with seven different commissioned officers, two specifications of making a false official statement, five specifications of obstructing justice during the course of the investigation, and two specifications of receiving digital images and videos with two different women without her consent. The Subject admitted a pre-trial agreement (PTA) to the commander. After consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander approved the PTA and Subject accepted all charges and specifications. Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR).
153	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Japan	Army	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male			Q2 (January-March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense										Notes: Victim reported Subject pushed her on the bed, pinned her down and forced her penis into her mouth. During the course of the investigation, Victim declined to further participate. After receiving the report of investigation, taking into account the victim's wishes and consulting with the staff judge advocate, the commander determined there was probable cause only for a non-sexual assault offense. The commander issued an LOR to Subject.
154	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-1	Male	Air Force	E-1	Male			Q2 (January-March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action										Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR). Notes: Victim reported Subject squeezed his butt cheek without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander issued an LOR to Subject.
155	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	NIA	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No		Q2 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial								Notes: Victim reported Subject penetrated her vagina with his penis without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for sexual assault. Following the Article 15 hearing, the general court-martial convening authority received the general court-martial convening authority received the charges to a general court-martial convening authority. Victim supported the charges of a discharge in lieu of court-martial. Victim supported the charges of a discharge in lieu of court-martial. The general court-martial convening authority approved Subject's Chapter 6 discharge with a UOTHC service characterization.

Unrestricted Report Case Synopses

RA	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject Prior Investigations for Sex Assault	Subject Most Recent Conviction	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Completion Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reasons Charges Dismissed or Article 15 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Most Restrictive Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
																						Case Synopsis Note
116	Aggravated Sexual Assault (A4. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	CadM/MSM1cn	Female	Air Force	C-1	Male	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Aggravated Sexual Assault (A4. 120)		Convicted		Wrongful Sexual Contact (A4. 120)		Yes	Unknown	Courts-Martial discharge; Domestic Confinement; No; Confinement Type: Less Than Life Confinement (Other); 3; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances; No; Fine; No; Restriction; No; Reduction in rate; No; Hard Labor; No.	
117	Sexual Assault (A4. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims Female	Air Force	E-1	Male	No		Q2 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (A4. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General			All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Notes: Victim 1 reported Subject penetrated her mouth with his penis without consent. Victims 2 and 3 reported Subject touched their buttocks through their clothing without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined there was insufficient evidence to support 120 allegations, but there was probable cause for Victim 2 and Victim 3 allegations. The commander offered Subject non-judicial punishment for abusive sexual contact. Administrative discharge proceedings were completed with a general discharge characterization.
118	Sexual Assault (A4. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male			Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (A4. 120)		Acquittal						Notes: Victim reported Subject penetrated her vagina with his penis, performed oral sex on her and placed her hand on her breast without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges. Subject was acquitted at court martial.	
119	Rape (A4. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (A4. 120)		Discharge or Reassignment in Lieu of Courts-Martial						Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Subject was attending a party hosted by Victim in her home. Victim consumed alcohol and was helped into bed by a female friend. Victim then remembers knowing she was in the bathroom and Subject being on the bathroom floor. Victim's last memory is making eye contact with Subject on the bed next to Subject. Later that day, Subject messaged Victim to see if she wanted to go on a non-interview conversation. Subject submitted a request for a Chapter 4 discharge in lieu of court martial, and after consultation with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander approved Subject's discharge with a 120/12C service characterization.
120	Sexual Assault (A4. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No		Q2 (January-March)	Administrative Discharge						General			Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported Subject consummated penetrative her vagina with his penis but could not identify the victim. Her consent to the sexual act. Subject admitted to AFCEI he did not recall sexual intercourse after Victim asked him to stop. During the course of the investigation, Victim declined to further participate. After consultation with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander administratively demoted Subject, issued a Letter of Reprimand and discharged Subject with a general discharge characterization.
121	Sexual Assault (A4. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No		Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (A4. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial							Notes: Subject reported to have penetrated Victim's vagina with his penis and digitally without her consent. The incident did not involve administration (other formal and before Court-Martial). Victim indicated she would no longer participate in this case. After consultation with the Staff Judge Advocate and considering the Victim's preference, the commander dismissed all charges.
122	Sexual Assault (A4. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male			Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (A4. 120)		Acquittal							Notes: Victim reported Subject performed sexual acts without Victim's consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges. Subject was acquitted at court martial.
123	Abusive Sexual Contact (A4. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male			Q2 (January-March)	Administrative Discharge						General			Notes: Victim reported Subject kissed and groped Victim while Subject had Victim pinned on a bed. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander issued Subject a 120 and administratively discharged him with a general discharge characterization.	
124	Abusive Sexual Contact (A4. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No		Q2 (January-March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offenses									Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Subject, Victim and Witness drank alcohol in a hotel room. Victim was underage to drink. Victim climbed in bed with Subject wearing a tank top and underwear. Witness (in the other room) described the room as "squirmy". Victim reported Subject touched her breasts for over an hour and groped her body and raped her on her back. Subject believed Victim consented to the touching. After consultation with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined a Letter of Reprimand for supplying alcohol to Victim was appropriate.
125	Abusive Sexual Contact (A4. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Multiple Victims Male & Female	Air Force	E-5	Male			Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offenses			Assault (A4. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None			Notes: Victim 1 and 2 reported Subject touched their buttocks without consent and their non-judicial convictions of a sexual nature. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander offered Subject non-judicial punishment for violation of duty (sexual harassment) and assault committed to battery. Subject separated from the service at the end of his enlistment.
126	Sexual Assault (A4. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male			Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (A4. 120)		Acquittal							Notes: Victim reported Subject digitally penetrated her vagina without consent. Victim reported she told Subject to get out of her room before he attempted. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred a charge for sexual assault along with other charges. Subject was acquitted of all charges.
127	Abusive Sexual Contact (A4. 120)	Dibrov	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male			Q1 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offenses			Assault (A4. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None			Notes: Victim reported Subject touched her back with his hand through three clothes. During the course of the investigation, Victim declined to further participate. After receiving the report of investigation, taking into account the Victim's wishes and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander offered Subject non-judicial punishment for abusive sexual contact to battery.
128	Abusive Sexual Contact (A4. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Male	Air Force	E-6	Male			Q1 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offenses			Obstructing Justice (A4. 134-35)		Article 15 Acquittal					Notes: Victim reported Subject rubbed his penis on Victim's buttocks through her clothes. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander offered Subject non-judicial punishment for obstruction of justice and disorderly conduct. After consulting Subject's preference, the commander found Subject guilty of all allegations and terminated the non-judicial punishment actions.
129	Sexual Assault (A4. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (A4. 120)		Discharge or Reassignment in Lieu of Courts-Martial						Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported she and Subject drank heavily and she lay on a partial memory of Subject penetrating her vagina with his penis. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for sexual assault. Following the Article 15 hearing, the general court-martial convening authority referred the charge to a Chapter 4 discharge in lieu of court-martial. Victim reported the Chapter 4 discharge. The general court-martial convening authority approved Subject's Chapter 4 discharge with a 120/12C service characterization.
130	Sexual Assault (A4. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	O-1	Female	Air Force	O-1	Male			Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (A4. 120)		Convicted		Sexual Assault (A4. 120)		Yes		Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge; Domestic Confinement; No; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances; No; Fine; No; Restriction; No; Reduction in rate; No; Hard Labor; No.
131	Abusive Sexual Contact (A4. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Male	Air Force	E-5	Male	No		Q3 (April-June)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offenses										Notes: OSI conducted an investigation into allegations of workplace harassment including gazing and touching. After consultation with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander administered a letter of reprimand was appropriate.
132	Abusive Sexual Contact (A4. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-1	Female	Air Force	E-1	Female	No		Q4 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offenses			Assault (A4. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None			Notes: Subject was reported to have harassed Victim's buttocks on one occasion, made harassing comments to Victim about Victim's sexuality on other occasions, and pushed Victim into a locker on separate occasion. After receiving the report of investigation, taking into account victim's wish, and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander offered and the Subject accepted non-judicial punishment for a violation of Article 120 (12C).

Unrestricted Report Case Synopses

PFIS Service Member Sexual Assault Synopsis Report (SR FORM)														Administrative Actions									
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject to Investigation For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject Prior Investigation For Sex Assault	Subject Moral Waiver Assessment	Subject Restriction Type	Offense Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reasons Charges Dismissed at Art 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Most Restrictive Sex Offense	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note	
173	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Germany	Air Force	E-2	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)								Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported Subject penetrated her mouth with his penis while she was asleep. Victim reported she fell asleep and recall waking up to feel a unknown hard penis inside her mouth and then pain back out. This occurred four times within one night. After reviewing the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred a charge for sexual assault. Subject was acquitted at trial.
174	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male				Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)									Notes: Victim reported Subject penetrated her vagina with his penis and fingers without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charge. Subject was acquitted at court-martial.
175	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution					All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Notes: Civilian Victim (no military affiliation) and Account met by using a dating website. Victim and Account connected at Account's home, where later, Account 2 (Account's roommate), and a third person (Civilian), arrived. Victim stayed on Account's car while Account 2's car was towed. The three victims alleged Account pushed Victim into the car and forcibly handcuffed her hands behind her back when Account and Account 2 entered the car. Victim's report to sustain her enforcement, Account 2, and Civilian separately indicated Victim appeared willing to be handcuffed and was released from the handcuffs when the complainant they were too light. After consultation with the Staff Judge Advocate, reviewing the Article 32 Report, reviewing the evidence, and taking into account the Victim's legal counsel, command dismissed the case. The Account received a Letter of Reprimand for colluding misconduct.
176	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	O-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male				Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)				Sexual Assault (Art. 120)			Yes	Notes: Victim reported she fell asleep next to Subject and woke up when he rubbed her stomach and digitally penetrated her vagina without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred a charge for sexual assault. Subject was convicted of sexual assault.	
177	Rape (Art. 120)	Multiple Services	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims (Unknown & Female)	Female	Air Force	E-7	Male				Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)				Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			No	Notes: Victim 1 reported Subject touched her on multiple occasions on her breasts, buttocks, waist and hip without consent. Victim 2 reported Subject, on multiple occasions, touched her breasts, buttocks and legs with his hands over her clothes and on one incident he grabbed her bare breast under her shirt and by without consent. Victim 3 reported Subject touching against her breasts 2-3 times without consent. Victim 4 reported Subject rubbed her neck and shoulders without consent. Victim 5 reported Subject touched her upper thigh and placed his hand on her upper back and shoulders on two separate instances without consent and made unwanted sexual comments. Victim 6 reported Subject penetrated her vagina with his penis without consent and penetrated her vagina with his penis without consent. Subject was also accused of sexually assaulting the wife and a minor child. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred a charge for rape, sexual assault, abusive sexual contact and other charges. Subject was convicted of abusive sexual contact.	
178	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-2	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	Yes			Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)								Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported she fell asleep fully clothed and woke up to find Subject over her. The next day she was sore in the genital area and Subject told Victim they had sexual intercourse. Victim has no memory of Subject penetrating her. After reviewing the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred a charge for sexual assault. Subject was acquitted at court-martial.
179	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	DOD	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	O-2	Male	No			Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)									Notes: Victim reported Subject digitally penetrated her vagina without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charge for sexual assault. Subject was acquitted at court-martial.
180	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-1	Male				Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)					Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Yes	Notes: Victim reported Subject penetrated her vagina with his penis and finger without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charge. Subject was convicted at court-martial.	
181	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male					Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for my other reason prior to Courts-Martial						Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported Subject began kissing her and undressed away from her. Subject then digitally penetrated vagina and she told him to stop. Subject then performed oral sex on her and penetrated her anus and vagina with his penis. Victim said him to stop because she was not prepared. Subject then performed oral sex on her and penetrated her vagina a second time without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charge for sexual assault. The charges were dismissed after arraignment due to victim declining to prosecute.
182	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-6	Male	No			Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)									Notes: Victim reported Subject penetrated her vagina with his penis without consent and digitally penetrated her anus without consent. Victim also reported Subject attempted to drug her while awaiting her. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred a charge for sexual assault. Subject was acquitted at trial.
183	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-2	Female	Air Force	E-3	Female				Q2 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)								Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported Subject used his penis, outer thigh and genital area over her clothes without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate the commander offered non-judicial punishment for abusive sexual contact. Following the Subject's personal appearance the commander terminated the non-judicial punishment action.
184	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male	No			Q2 (January-March)	Administrative Discharge						Under Other than Nonjudicial Conditions (UDNC)			Subject (a single subject)	Notes: Victim reported Subject groped her breasts and genital area. Victim stated she was unwilling to participate in a court-martial action but would participate in an administrative discharge proceeding. After reviewing the evidence and in consultation with the Staff Judge Advocate the commander related administrative discharge proceedings.
185	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male				Q1 (October-December)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense								General		Notes: Victim reported Subject was walking her and penetrating her anus. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined there was probable cause only for a non-sexual assault offense. The commander related administrative discharge for misconduct.
186	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Egypt	Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-7	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Involvement not specified	Notes: Advance Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR). Victim reported her buttocks were touched by someone during a holiday party in December 2016. During a work party in May 2017, Victim's husband confronted Subject about the incident and a physical altercation ensued. Victim initially identified Subject as the perpetrator of the touching, however, a law enforcement investigation revealed that someone else other than Subject might have been responsible. Of the two possible suspects, Victim was unable to identify who actually touched her. Thereafter, Victim and Victim's spouse refused to participate in the investigation. After consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander issued Subject a LOR for assault because of the physical altercation with Victim's spouse.
187	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims (Female)	Air Force	E-1	Male				Q1 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)								General	Notes: Victim 1 reported Subject kissed her neck without consent. Victim 2 reported Subject kissed a breast and between buttocks without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander offered non-judicial punishment for abusive sexual contact. Administrative discharge was approved with a letter of reprimand.
188	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Air Force	O-2	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No	No			Q3 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)								Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Subject and Victim were at a squadron holiday party. Victim was in the kitchen when Subject walked in and reached under her shirt to touch her thigh. Victim stopped Subject from touching anything else and reported the incident. Subject and Victim did not know each other prior to this incident. After consultation with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined that an Article 15 and Involuntary Discharge were appropriate.

Unrestricted Report Case Synopses

PFIS Service Member Sexual Assault Response Report (SAR) FORM														Administrative Actions								
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject to Investigation Per	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject's Prior Investigations for Sex Assault	Subject's Moral Waiver (Accession)	Subject's Referral Type	Quarter/Disposition/Completion	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reasons Charges Dismissed at AC 12.2 Hearing if Applicable	Most Serious Offense Charged	Administrative Discharge Type	Most Regular or Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
208	Abusive Sexual Contact (Ac. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			Q3 (April-June)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense	Sexual Assault (Ac. 120)								Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Subject followed Victim from a restaurant where they were attending a musical gathering, as Victim left the gathering after paying. Subject walked behind her and grabbed her on the buttocks. After consultation with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined a letter of reprimand was appropriate. The commander preferred charges for sexual assault. Subject was acquitted of sexual assault.
209	Rape (Ac. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Ac. 120)		Acquittal						Notes: Victim reported Subject penetrated her vagina with his penis without consent and used oral strip intercourse after Victim told her to stop and get out of her. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for sexual assault. Subject was acquitted at court martial.
210	Sexual Assault (Ac. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-2	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			Q2 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Ac. 120)		Acquittal						Notes: Victim reported Subject penetrated her vagina with his penis without consent and used oral strip intercourse after Victim told her to stop and get out of her. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for sexual assault. Subject was acquitted at court martial.
211	Abusive Sexual Contact (Ac. 120)	United Kingdom	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male	No			Q2 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Ac. 120)		Discharge or Suspension in Lieu of Courts-Martial						Notes: Victim reported Subject touched her breasts and inner thighs over her clothing without consent. Subject also touched his erect penis on the outside of Victim's clothing body without consent. On a second occasion, Victim reported Subject held her down, forced her breasts and touched her vagina area through Victim's clothes. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for abusive sexual contact. Following the Article 32 hearing, the general court-martial concerning authority referred the charges to a general court-martial. Subject submitted a request for a Chapter 4 discharge in lieu of court-martial. Victim supported the Chapter 4 discharge. The general court-martial concerning authority approved Subject's Chapter 4 discharge with a COTIC service characterization.
212	Abusive Sexual Contact (Ac. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-7	Male	No			Q3 (April-June)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim reported Subject touched her buttocks without consent while dancing. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined there was probable cause only for a non-sexual assault offense. The commander issued an LOR.
213	Sexual Assault (Ac. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			Q2 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Ac. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for sexual assault. The charges were dismissed following the Article 32 hearing due to insufficient evidence to support the charges.						Notes: Victim reported Subject hit her, forced her down and penetrated her vagina with his penis without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for sexual assault. The charges were dismissed following the Article 32 hearing due to insufficient evidence to support the charges.
214	Abusive Sexual Contact (Ac. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Male	Air Force	E-6	Male	No			Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense			Article 15 Punishment Proposed						Notes: OIG investigated claims of wrongful harassment, including inappropriate touching and grabbing. After consultation with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred Subject non-judicial punishment for violation of duty, Article 15.
215	Sexual Assault (Ac. 120)	Germany	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Ac. 120)		Charges dismissed for the other reason (per to Courts-Martial)						Notes: Victim reported Subject penetrated her mouth with his penis while she was intoxicated and without her consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for sexual assault. The charges were dismissed following the Article 32 hearing due to Victim declining to participate. The commander issued the Victim a Letter of Reprimand (LOR).
216	Abusive Sexual Contact (Ac. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Male	Air Force	E-4	Female	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Subject supported victim on the buttocks with her hand. After consultation with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander issued a letter of reprimand for sexual assault.
217	Abusive Sexual Contact (Ac. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Administrative Discharge						Under Other than Punishable Conditions (UDTC)			Notes: Victim 1 reported Subject attempted to make out with her and touched her breasts (over and under her clothing), and buttocks without consent. Investigation brought to light another victim. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges for abusive sexual contact for Victim 1 and sexual assault for Victim 2. Following the Article 32 hearing, the general court-martial concerning authority referred the charges to a general court-martial. Subject submitted a request for a Chapter 4 discharge in lieu of court-martial. Both Victims supported the Chapter 4 discharge. The general court-martial concerning authority approved Subject's Chapter 4 discharge under Other Than Punishable Conditions.
218	Sexual Assault (Ac. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims Male & Female	Air Force	E-6	Male	No			Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Ac. 120)		Convicted						Notes: Multiple Victims reported Subject touched them on their bodies and forced them without consent and made unwanted sexual comments. Two Victims also reported Subject digitally penetrated their vagina without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charges. Subject was convicted of abusive sexual contact & non-consent.
219	Abusive Sexual Contact (Ac. 120)	UNITED STATES	Multiple Services	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			Q2 (January-March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: One Victim reported Subject inappropriately touched her buttocks two different times. A second Victim reported Subject inappropriately touched her upper leg. After consultation with the Staff Judge Advocate the commander issued a Letter of Reprimand for inappropriate touching.
220	Sexual Assault (Ac. 120)	Qatar	Air Force	E-4	Male	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			Q2 (January-March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Notes: Victim reported Subject penetrated Victim's area with Subject's penis without consent. During the course of the investigation, Victim declined to continue to participate in the process, after receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined that a court-martial was not appropriate given Victim's decision not to participate. The commander issued an LOR.
221	Abusive Sexual Contact (Ac. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-5	Female	Air Force	E-7	Female	No			Q4 (July-September)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Notes: Victim reported Subject used her hands to touch Victim's breast. After receiving the report of investigation, considering Victim's report and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander issued Subject a Letter of Reprimand.
222	Abusive Sexual Contact (Ac. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Multiple Victims Female	Air Force	E-1	Male	No			Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Ac. 120)		Convicted						Notes: Two Victims reported Subject touched their buttocks without their consent. Subject rubbed his genitalia against a Victim's buttocks and exposed her buttocks. Subject placed his genitalia in front of Victim's face. Subject spoke lewdly to both Victims. Subject was convicted of Court-Martial of Abusive Sexual Contact and Abused Sexual Relationship.
223	Abusive Sexual Contact (Ac. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims Female	Air Force	O-3	Male	Yes			Q2 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Ac. 120)		Convicted						Notes: Victim 1 reported Subject exposed her breasts without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred a charge for abusive sexual contact and other charges. Subject was convicted of abusive sexual contact. There is no report of action or pending action against Subject concerning Victim 2.
224	Abusive Sexual Contact (Ac. 120)	Germany	Air Force	E-2	Female	Air Force	E-5	Male	No			Q4 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense			Crucial and malfeasance (Ac. 93)						Notes: At an OCONUS location (Germany), Subject struck Victim's back with Subject's hand, placed his legs around Victim's legs, and did perhaps on her thighs while she was sitting down, spreading his face to show to Victim's genital area, but without making contact. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander offered and Subject accepted non-judicial punishment and issued Subject a Letter of Reprimand.

PTA Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report ADR FORCE														Administrative Actions									
RA	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject Prior Investigation For Sex Assault	Subject Moral Waiver Assessment	Subject Referral Type	Quarter/Characterization Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reasons Charged Diminished at RA 12	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Most Restrictive Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note	
225	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Multiple Services	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims Female	Air Force	E-7	Male	No			Q2 (January/ March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Yes	Both Victim and Subject	<p>Local-Martial discharge (D); Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 60; Period of Pay and Allowance: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: O-3; Hard Labor: No;</p> <p>Notes: Victim 1 reported Subject sexually assaulted her on two occasions. On the first occasion Victim 1 reported Subject penetrated her vagina with his penis while she was unconscious. On the second occasion Victim 1 reported Subject had her throat and penetrated her vagina with his penis against her will. Victim 2 stated she was a sexual assault victim and refused to cooperate with any investigation. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred a charge for sexual assault. Subject was convicted of sexual assault.</p>	
226	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-5	Female	Air Force	E-6	Male	No	No		Q1 (October/ December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 82)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			<p>Period of Pay and Allowance: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Confinement Custody (DIP (DIP)): No;</p> <p>Notes: SIB1 (MSG) and VIC (MSG) were both married, and engaged in an unconventional relationship. After consultation with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined to file OADR Article 15 punishment.</p>	
227	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-5	Female	Air Force	E-4	Male	No			Q1 (October/ December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial						<p>Notes: Victim reported she was sleeping next to Subject when he began kissing her, touching her inner thighs while she was still asleep. Subject then rolled her over and penetrated her vagina with his penis without consent. After receiving the report of investigation and consulting with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander preferred charge for sexual assault. Following the Article 32 hearing, the general court-martial convening authority referred the charges for a general court-martial. Subject submitted a request for a Chapter 4 discharge in lieu of court-martial. Victim requested the Chapter 4 discharge. The general court-martial convening authority approved Subject's Chapter 4 discharge with a DC/FC service discharge.</p>	
228	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Air Force	E-3	Male	No			Q2 (January/ March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Both Victim and Subject	<p>Period of Pay and Allowance: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Confinement Custody (DIP (DIP)): No;</p> <p>Notes: Subject placed his hands on Victim's buttocks over her clothing while at his apartment. After consultation with the Staff Judge Advocate, and obtaining Victim's views as to disposition, the commander decided to refer the Subject to judicial punishment, which Subject accepted.</p>
229a	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Multiple Services	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims Male & Female	Air Force	E-6	Male				Q3 (April- June)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									<p>All victims and subject's (multiple parties to the crime)</p> <p>Notes: Victim reported Subject touched Victim's breasts and buttocks without her consent. Subject also accused Victim of kissing and biting Subject's neck while Subject was asleep and unable to consent. After consultation with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander issued Subject a LOR for drunk and disorderly conduct.</p>	
229b	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Multiple Services	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims Male & Female	Multiple Victims Male & Female	Air Force	E-5	Female	Yes			Q3 (April- June)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									<p>Notes: Victim 1 reported Subject kissed him and bit his neck. Victim 2 and 3 reported Subject grabbed their breasts, buttocks, and attempted to grab their genital area. After receiving the Report of Investigation and consulting with the SJA, the commander issued a LOR drink and disorderly behavior and related administrative action consequences.</p>	