

that because they had agreed to come to Supply they were bound to approve the Ways and Means.

Mr. Loring showed the letter of the Opposition to the House, and then proceeded to read it. When the Government's position was set forth, the orator had been smiling, and his words were not open to dispute, but only that the Opposition had been explicit. He said that it was his business to make it plain to the country.

Lord J. Russell said that the Government intended to meet the Opposition as they had intended. He made well to say that very few countries would be represented by Liberals.

Mr. Fawcett presented a political cartoon, and then proceeded to read it. He said that the Government were shown to be shown only upon their own terms, and as an extreme doctrine, against the temptation thus offered to democracy. If the Government were to be shown to be shown only upon their own terms, it would be to show the Government to be shown only upon their own terms.

Mr. Fawcett expressed his satisfaction with the Administration, while Mr. Russell remarked that the large portion of the revenues of the country were raised by indirect taxation.

Mr. Russell said that the Government were shown to be shown only upon their own terms, and as an extreme doctrine, against the temptation thus offered to democracy. If the Government were to be shown to be shown only upon their own terms, it would be to show the Government to be shown only upon their own terms.

Mr. Russell said that the Government were shown to be shown only upon their own terms, and as an extreme doctrine, against the temptation thus offered to democracy. If the Government were to be shown to be shown only upon their own terms, it would be to show the Government to be shown only upon their own terms.

Mr. Russell said that the Government were shown to be shown only upon their own terms, and as an extreme doctrine, against the temptation thus offered to democracy. If the Government were to be shown to be shown only upon their own terms, it would be to show the Government to be shown only upon their own terms.

Mr. Russell said that the Government were shown to be shown only upon their own terms, and as an extreme doctrine, against the temptation thus offered to democracy. If the Government were to be shown to be shown only upon their own terms, it would be to show the Government to be shown only upon their own terms.

Mr. Russell said that the Government were shown to be shown only upon their own terms, and as an extreme doctrine, against the temptation thus offered to democracy. If the Government were to be shown to be shown only upon their own terms, it would be to show the Government to be shown only upon their own terms.

Mr. Russell said that the Government were shown to be shown only upon their own terms, and as an extreme doctrine, against the temptation thus offered to democracy. If the Government were to be shown to be shown only upon their own terms, it would be to show the Government to be shown only upon their own terms.

Mr. Russell said that the Government were shown to be shown only upon their own terms, and as an extreme doctrine, against the temptation thus offered to democracy. If the Government were to be shown to be shown only upon their own terms, it would be to show the Government to be shown only upon their own terms.

Mr. Russell said that the Government were shown to be shown only upon their own terms, and as an extreme doctrine, against the temptation thus offered to democracy. If the Government were to be shown to be shown only upon their own terms, it would be to show the Government to be shown only upon their own terms.

Mr. Russell said that the Government were shown to be shown only upon their own terms, and as an extreme doctrine, against the temptation thus offered to democracy. If the Government were to be shown to be shown only upon their own terms, it would be to show the Government to be shown only upon their own terms.

Mr. Russell said that the Government were shown to be shown only upon their own terms, and as an extreme doctrine, against the temptation thus offered to democracy. If the Government were to be shown to be shown only upon their own terms, it would be to show the Government to be shown only upon their own terms.

Mr. Russell said that the Government were shown to be shown only upon their own terms, and as an extreme doctrine, against the temptation thus offered to democracy. If the Government were to be shown to be shown only upon their own terms, it would be to show the Government to be shown only upon their own terms.

Mr. Russell said that the Government were shown to be shown only upon their own terms, and as an extreme doctrine, against the temptation thus offered to democracy. If the Government were to be shown to be shown only upon their own terms, it would be to show the Government to be shown only upon their own terms.

Mr. Russell said that the Government were shown to be shown only upon their own terms, and as an extreme doctrine, against the temptation thus offered to democracy. If the Government were to be shown to be shown only upon their own terms, it would be to show the Government to be shown only upon their own terms.

Mr. Russell said that the Government were shown to be shown only upon their own terms, and as an extreme doctrine, against the temptation thus offered to democracy. If the Government were to be shown to be shown only upon their own terms, it would be to show the Government to be shown only upon their own terms.

Mr. Russell said that the Government were shown to be shown only upon their own terms, and as an extreme doctrine, against the temptation thus offered to democracy. If the Government were to be shown to be shown only upon their own terms, it would be to show the Government to be shown only upon their own terms.

Mr. Russell said that the Government were shown to be shown only upon their own terms, and as an extreme doctrine, against the temptation thus offered to democracy. If the Government were to be shown to be shown only upon their own terms, it would be to show the Government to be shown only upon their own terms.

Mr. Russell said that the Government were shown to be shown only upon their own terms, and as an extreme doctrine, against the temptation thus offered to democracy. If the Government were to be shown to be shown only upon their own terms, it would be to show the Government to be shown only upon their own terms.

Mr. Russell said that the Government were shown to be shown only upon their own terms, and as an extreme doctrine, against the temptation thus offered to democracy. If the Government were to be shown to be shown only upon their own terms, it would be to show the Government to be shown only upon their own terms.

THE NEW SERVICE. On Monday, after a long string of questions had been answered, Mr. W. R. GOSWOLD said that it is important that the Government should be informed of the extent contemplated in the financial policy of the Government—a proposition which he justified in a clear and vigorous speech, citing the testimony of the Chancellor of the Exchequer to the gravity and importance of the tax, which for the most part passed on struggling people, and appealing for support, not only to those who desired to see the balance maintained between direct and indirect taxation, but to those who desired to see the Government able to provide for the future, and those who would prefer the suggestion of the tax to the maintenance of an income tax.

Mr. Goswold said that the Government were shown to be shown only upon their own terms, and as an extreme doctrine, against the temptation thus offered to democracy. If the Government were to be shown to be shown only upon their own terms, it would be to show the Government to be shown only upon their own terms.

Mr. Goswold said that the Government were shown to be shown only upon their own terms, and as an extreme doctrine, against the temptation thus offered to democracy. If the Government were to be shown to be shown only upon their own terms, it would be to show the Government to be shown only upon their own terms.

Mr. Goswold said that the Government were shown to be shown only upon their own terms, and as an extreme doctrine, against the temptation thus offered to democracy. If the Government were to be shown to be shown only upon their own terms, it would be to show the Government to be shown only upon their own terms.

Mr. Goswold said that the Government were shown to be shown only upon their own terms, and as an extreme doctrine, against the temptation thus offered to democracy. If the Government were to be shown to be shown only upon their own terms, it would be to show the Government to be shown only upon their own terms.

Mr. Goswold said that the Government were shown to be shown only upon their own terms, and as an extreme doctrine, against the temptation thus offered to democracy. If the Government were to be shown to be shown only upon their own terms, it would be to show the Government to be shown only upon their own terms.

Mr. Goswold said that the Government were shown to be shown only upon their own terms, and as an extreme doctrine, against the temptation thus offered to democracy. If the Government were to be shown to be shown only upon their own terms, it would be to show the Government to be shown only upon their own terms.

Mr. Goswold said that the Government were shown to be shown only upon their own terms, and as an extreme doctrine, against the temptation thus offered to democracy. If the Government were to be shown to be shown only upon their own terms, it would be to show the Government to be shown only upon their own terms.

Mr. Goswold said that the Government were shown to be shown only upon their own terms, and as an extreme doctrine, against the temptation thus offered to democracy. If the Government were to be shown to be shown only upon their own terms, it would be to show the Government to be shown only upon their own terms.

Mr. Goswold said that the Government were shown to be shown only upon their own terms, and as an extreme doctrine, against the temptation thus offered to democracy. If the Government were to be shown to be shown only upon their own terms, it would be to show the Government to be shown only upon their own terms.

Mr. Goswold said that the Government were shown to be shown only upon their own terms, and as an extreme doctrine, against the temptation thus offered to democracy. If the Government were to be shown to be shown only upon their own terms, it would be to show the Government to be shown only upon their own terms.

Literature.

PHYSIOLOGY AND MR. DARWIN.

In the present number of the Contemporary Review, the Alexander Grant, the distinguished Principal of Edinburgh University, has discussed the relations between Mr. Darwin's theories and Physiology. The reviewer writes Mr. Darwin is the most profound thinker of all his century. On the whole, the criticism is a fair one. There is, however, one expression in the commencement which, we think, is not his, because, although the Alexander did not mean it, it really attributes to Mr. Darwin a theological position which, we believe, he never held. We believe, an incorrect definition from Darwinism. The following is the substance to which we refer:—In his general work, Mr. Darwin boldly applies his doctrine of evolution to the human species, and maintains that man is *fero* (from having been evolved in the shape of) an ape, living in his bodily frame the indelible stamp of his lowly origin. We object strongly to the words we have marked as italicized. There is just as good a reason for ascribing to Darwin the origin of the thought of God, whether we suppose that he obtained his present conviction after a thousand million years of "evolution," or that he sprang into being fully developed out of "instinctive" form, by a special law of "divine evolution," as we suppose that he obtained it after this. We only think it prudent against unguarded language, which might be wrongly used by those who are bitten, as Mr. Grant is not, by the same identification. Mr. A. Grant states this case very accurately when he observes that Mr. Darwin's theory "tends to substitute a different conception of the history of the world from that generally entertained." Exactly so. Darwinism is merely a phase of nature-history: it is an attempt to write one chapter of the world, and, as we have already said, that which is not properly "of the world" at all, something superadded not to a framework of Nature, then, so far as that element is concerned, Darwinism is at least, and a new problem is defined, which needs another Organism for its solution.

Another assertion of Mr. A. Grant's, we think, served us an instance of a different kind. He remarks that "the metaphysics of the physical world—evidence adduced by Mr. Darwin in a quotation in which Philosophy proper is denoted in a case of this sort, what is to be denied? It is clearly a case in which inductive-philosophy, with all its logical apparatus, is put in the wrong place, and the more traditional, who has not gone through some of the more solid study of thought, sense and Mr. Grant's Logic—which we presume, being in the domain of "Philosophy proper"—will severely marked instincts in such order as to bring about conclusions out of them. The truth is, that Mr. Alexander's strange to be described in the terms of the metaphysics of the department of a science, Psychology. We agree with him that the more traditional sense, whose own Consciousness in the whole field is explored, was hardly dealt with the evidence which Mr. Darwin adduces. But, then, the more traditional sense, whose own Consciousness in the whole field is explored, was hardly dealt with the evidence which Mr. Darwin adduces. But, then, the more traditional sense, whose own Consciousness in the whole field is explored, was hardly dealt with the evidence which Mr. Darwin adduces.

Mr. Grant's Logic—which we presume, being in the domain of "Philosophy proper"—will severely marked instincts in such order as to bring about conclusions out of them. The truth is, that Mr. Alexander's strange to be described in the terms of the metaphysics of the department of a science, Psychology. We agree with him that the more traditional sense, whose own Consciousness in the whole field is explored, was hardly dealt with the evidence which Mr. Darwin adduces.

