#	ID	Comment	Response
1	56317	An Important two themes were no taken into consideration in AR5: 1st. the impact of climate change on the global security, as this is very clearly witnessed and indicated in lots of scientific work on national, regional and international level. The latest unrest seen all over the world by some way or another have a link with climate change. UN Security Council consideration of Climate Change as a global security concern is a very clear signal on tht regard. concluding, I propose to indicate to this by any appropriate mean or attachments or annexes on AR5 in order not to understand that there is no connection between Climate Change and Security.2nd: The cost of climate change was not covered in any part of AR5. The direct cost of climate related disasters will give a very clear idea on its direct cost. Indirect cost of climate change on other ecosystem services and other services will be a very important added value, which make it very clear for desicio makers to recognize the cost their respected countries will pay in case where no actions were taken. (Ministry of Environmental Affairs Environment Quality Authority (EQA))	Human security and economic impacts are considered in depth in the report. Please see especially chapters 12 and 10, along with summary material in the summary for policymakers and technical summary, in addition to consideration in regional context across chapters 22-30.
2	57007	In terms of global comments and observations, one can say that apart from the usual observed and projected impacts - in all chapters, the situation is just worsening and there is no real proposal in terms of options for decisive actions. It is becoming clear than future IPCC AR Reports should review their approach and be more pro-active (in the absence of today's leadership!). Otherwise, the BAU scenarios will continue with an under-estimation of possible future changes, without no real preventive measures, in particular with no political measures/committement, implying a lack of leadership and responsibility from Developed as well as from Emerging Economies and Developing Countries - while the reality of the worsening situation is still present since AR4 with even new evidence. (Salif Diop, UNEP - SAB - DEWA)	Emphasis in the report is on the full range of possible impacts, along with the options and opportunities available for effective response.
3	57096	I have no further comments related to economic issues - my area of expertise. All of my previous points have been addressed. The Second Order Draft has come together well; is much tighter than the previous draft and has dispensed with much peripheral material. Well done. (Adolf Stroombergen, Infometrics)	Thank you for this comment.
4	57118	It will be effective to address existing Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) (and ESIA - Environmental and Social Impact Assessment; or sometimes called SEIA), tool as the possible tool for CCIAV (chapter 1 primarely,but In others at proper place). It is alredy Guedelines by European Commision" Guidelines for Integrating Climate Change and Biodiversity in Environmental Impact Assessment", http://ec.europa.eu/environment/eia/pdf/EIA%20Guidance.pdf (Andjelka Mihajlov, Faculty of Technical Sciences University of Novi Sad / Environmental Ambassadors for Sustainable Development)	The relevance of existing impact assessments has been considered across chapters, especially the adaptation chapters, with a high-level finding available in the summary for policymakers.
5	57120	It will be effective to address existing Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) (and ESIA - Environmental and Social Impact Assessment; or sometimes called SEIA), tool as the possible tool for CCIAV (chapter 1 primarely,but In others at proper place). It is alredy Guedelines by European Commision" Guidelines for Integrating Climate Change and Biodiversity in Environmental Impact Assessment", http://ec.europa.eu/environment/eia/pdf/EIA%20Guidance.pdf (Andjelka Mihajlov, Faculty of Technical Sciences University of Novi Sad / Environmental Ambassadors for Sustainable Development)	The relevance of existing impact assessments has been considered across chapters, especially the adaptation chapters, with a high-level finding available in the summary for policymakers.
6	57190	The draft of chapter 11 is of high scientific value with very few comments. (Amal Saad-Hussein, National Research Center)	Thank you for this comment.
7	57206		Careful attention to copyediting has been ensured for the final report. Additionally, overlap between chapters 6 and 30 has been reduced through a variety of methods, including the development of shared cross chapter boxes.

#	ID	Comment	Response
8	57448	Exceptionally well written and illustrated. Appears very pessimistic especially for a general reader - but this is possibly unavoidable. Excellent FAQ section in TS. (Alison Donnelly, Trinity College Dublin)	Thank you for this comment.
9	57491	be considered jointly. (Alexey Ryaboshapko, Institute of Global Climate and Ecology)	A comprehensive and balanced assessment of available evidence has been ensured, as required by the procedures governing development of the report. Expert judgment is used to develop conclusions that communicate the overall state of current knowledge.
10	57628		In preparation of the final draft, chapters across the report focused on reducing page length, but by more modest measures than the reduction suggested here.
11	57684	gathering and assessment process in a robust manner. Adaptation decision-making could be greatly enhanced through coordinated communication (Elizabeth Burleson, Pace University School of Law)	The importance of indigenous and traditional knowledge has been emphasized in many chapters of the report, especially for example chapters 12 and 28. Findings on this importance are included within the summary for policymakers.
12	57848	flooding incidence and severity, particularly in developing countries. The report does mention a potentially substantial	Assessment of flooding is brought together especially within the assessment of key risks across regions. Please see, especially, assessment box SPM.2 within the summary for policymakers.
13	57894	Nakaegawa, Meteorological Research Institute)	Although this convention was not strictly applied across the report, clarity of referencing was required and achieved through cited literature.
14	58104	Overall it is observed that the micro- small and medium businesses are not considered at all in this report. From all the sectors in the economy these enterprises are the most vulnerable of all. Normally and very often in the developing world	This topic is considered extensively within the report. Please see, for example, chapters 9 and 13 and corresponding assessment across regions within chapters 22-30.

#	ID	Comment	Response
15	58682	[This comment does not refer to the substance of the chaper but to the IPCC procedures] I found it very disturbing that the Second-Order Draft files do not allow any use of reviewing/annotating tools on the pdf. Comments on the pdf were not allowed also in the first draft, but at least the latter allowed copy and paste, which enabled some indirect use of reviewing tools. The Second-Order Draft has disabled even copy-paste, so if a reviewer wishes to refer to a phrase in the Draft, he must retype it. Certainly, this discourages reviewing more than in the first draft. I hope that in any next phase these restrictions be removed so that a reviewer can inserts his comments in his personal draft when reading it, before he organizes them in Excel format. (Demetris Koutsoyiannis, National Technical University of Athens)	Thank you for this comment, which will be considered in development of reviewer materials in the future reports.
16	58810	Role of Standards in Adaptation to Climate Change The WGII contribution to IPCC AR5 is intended to provide information on how risks can be reduced through mitigation and adaptation, yet it gives only oblique mention (Table 14.2) to standards/norms, which are an essential link between scientific knowledge and a sustainable and resilient built environment. While standards are not a popular subject in the literature of climate and social sciences, they can not be overlooked in achieving mitigation of and adaptation to climate change. The built environment (buildings, communications, energy, industrial facilities, transportation, waste, water and associated natural features) consists of constructed facilities that shelter and support most human activities. The built environment has an important role in reduction of greenhouse gas emissions and in measures to help society adapt economically, environmentally and socially to climate change. Decisions affecting the built environment take substantial time to make and to implement and their consequences endure for the long life time of the specific facility. (Richard Wright, Retired, U.S. National Institute of Standards and Technology)	This topic has been assessed largely in understanding the implications for vulnerability and exposure of buildings that are and are not built to code, a topic relevant across many chapters in the report. The importance of coproduction is also emphasized.
17	58811	Role of Standards in Adaptation to Climate Change (continued) There are two paths from scientific knowledge to a built environment accomplishing mitigation of and/or adaptation to global change: 1. For voluntary actions of the owners/proponents: Knowledge>Standards>Built Environment 2. For regulated actions: Knowledge>Standards>Model Codes>Regulations>Enforcement>Built Environment (Richard Wright, Retired, U.S. National Institute of Standards and Technology)	See response to comment 16.
18	58812	Role of Standards in Adaptation to Climate Change (continued) The term "building community" describes the participants and stakeholders responsible for or concerned with the economic, environmental and social effects, functionality, safety and resilience of constructed facilities through their whole life cycle. • Professionals (architects, engineers, geologists, landscape architects, planners, etc.) licensed to protect the public health, safety and welfare in design, construction, assessment and renovation of constructed facilities. • Owners, private and public • Investors and insurers • Facilities managers and maintainers • Contractors who build and renovate facilities • Manufacturers and suppliers of building materials and products. • Regulators responsible for health, safety, environmental quality, welfare, etc. • Stakeholders served or affected by constructed facilities (all of us.) All of the members of the building community may participate in the development of standards and in decisions and actions to adapt (or not adapt) the built environment to mitigate and/or adapt to climate change. (Richard Wright, Retired, U.S. National Institute of Standards and Technology)	See response to comment 16.

#	ID	Comment	Response
19	58813	Role of Standards in Adaptation to Climate Change (continued) U.S. examples of the hundreds of important standards are: • The American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE) Standard 7, Minimum Design Loads on Buildings and Other Structures. (http://www.asce.org/codes-standards/ASCE7-10/) • The American Society of Heating, Refrigerating, and Air-Conditioning Engineers (ASHRAE) Standard 169, Weather Data for Building Design Standards. (http://www.techstreet.com/ashrae/searches/377978) • The International Code Council (ICC) International Building Code (a model building code which references the above standards and is adopted by state and local governments as the basis for their legal building codes) (http://shop.iccsafe.org/codes/2012-international-codes.html). • The National Fire Protection Association NFPA 1144: Standard for Reducing Structure Ignition Hazards from Wildland Fire (NFPA 2013) a model code which is adopted by state and local governments as the basis for protection from wind driven conflagrations and wildfire. (http://www.nfpa.org/aboutthecodes/aboutthecodes.asp?docnum=1144) • The International Organization for Standardization (www.iso.org) and other national standards organizations similarly address aspects of adaptation to climate change. (Richard Wright, Retired, U.S. National Institute of Standards and Technology)	See response to comment 16.
20	58814	Role of Standards in Adaptation to Climate Change (continued) Climate/weather, ecological and social sciences need to be participants with the building community in research for and development of standards. Many stakeholders will be concerned with safety, health, and economic and social consequences. • The process for development of standards and model codes requires openness to participation of all stakeholders, balloting of proposed provisions and explicit response to all negative votes. • The adoption of standards and/or model codes in regulations is a public policy process in which all stakeholders can present their concerns for safety, health, and economic and social costs and benefits. • Climate/weather scientists, engineers and other professionals need to demonstrate scientifically and technically sound, risk-based rationales for proposed standards, model codes and regulations. • Engineers and social sciences must define the economic and social costs and benefits for proposed standards, model codes and regulations. The development of recognized, consensus standards is a crucial step in gaining credibility for criteria for adaptation to climate change. The private sector role in the development of standards is described at www.standards.gov. Federal policy recognizes this path. Circular A-119 of the United States Office of Management and Budget www.standards.gov/standards-gov/a119.cfm#1 directs agencies to use voluntary consensus standards in lieu of government-unique standards except where inconsistent with law or otherwise impractical. Spivak, S. M., and Brenner, F. C2001 Standardization—Principles and Practice, Marcel Dekker, New York. (Richard Wright, Retired, U.S. National Institute of Standards and Technology)	See response to comment 16.
21	58900	Changes in river dynamics and fluvial geomorphology are not reflected in the document and should be included as an object of study in both mitigation and adaptation. It is important to note that although these fluvial processes that are naturally occurring (scour and aggradation), have been intensified by the action and the effects of anthropogenic climate change. As an example, we observe the dynamics of the rivers have changed dramatically due to intensive urbanization processes and channeling of some rivers in developing countries. All these fluvial changes have been motivated by anthropogenic factor which could be associated to climate change regimes and climate variability. An increase in the occurrence of landslides on slopes due to scour the riverbed has been evidenced in the tropics. (Jorge Julian Velez, Universidad Nacional de Colombia Sede Manizales)	This topic has been considered in understanding the multiple factors that shape flooding risk and landslide risk across regions of the world.
22	58901	Wilderness ecosystems also not reflected in the policies and strategies of mitigation and adaptation to climate change (Jorge Julian Velez, Universidad Nacional de Colombia Sede Manizales)	A heavy emphasis is placed on both ecosystem-based adaptation and the relevance of ecosystems for mitigation, across the chapters the report, addressing the topic raised here.

#	ID	Comment	Response
23	58976	Having conceded the vagueness of most of the concepts it uses, the Report procedes to conclusions. But if the premises are vague and non-defined, the conclusion can not be true or false. Is is submitted that the whole WGII Report is based on this wide logical gap. In that sense, the WGII Report should be regarded as highly speculative. Besides, most of the concepts used in the WGII Report can not be defined without value-judgements. If these value judgements can of course be studied by science, the choice between concurring values is not the province of science. Principles governing IPPC Work state: "The role of the IPCC is to assess on a comprehensive, objective, open and transparent basis the scientific, technical and socio-economic information relevant to understanding the scientific basis of risk of human-induced climate change, its potential impacts and options for adaptation and mitigation. IPCC reports should be neutral with respect to policy, although they may need to deal objectively with scientific, technical and socio-economic factors relevant to the application of particular policies." To deal objectively with the value jugmentes that any socio-economic factor whatsoever implies, it is recommended that the WGII Report should formulate systematically the value judgments on which it is builded. It is only then that the WGII Report could pretend to the neutrality that the Principles governing IPPC Work require. Besides, this value openness would avoid some conceptual improperties. For instance: chapter 12 defines "human security" in a way which is objectively not conciliable with many indigenous, and not only indigenous, cultures (see below). (Drieu Godefridi, Cogito)	The report is based on over 10,000 literature citations, grounding the comprehensive and balanced assessment within scientific evidence. Expert judgment is part of the assessment process and is used to develop conclusions based on the assessment of literature.
24	59253	The whole volume could be substantially more concise. Its structure leads inevitably to overlaps. For instance, chapter 10 could be just an introduction to chapters 22-28, for illustrating the most vulnerable sectors. (Danae Diakoulaki, Chemical Engineering, NTUA, Greece) (GREECE)	Shortening page length has been a priority in chapter revisions, recognizing the importance of reducing overlap and focusing on concise text.
25	59254	A list of abbreviations (which are used throughout the report) would help readers. (Despoina Vokou, Department of Ecology, School of Biology, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece) (GREECE)	The final report will include a list of abbreviations.
26	59736	General Comment 1: A proposed summary statement for the Synthesis Report (SR). The AR4 included an excellent section	

#	ID	Comment	Response
27	59737	General Comment 2: There is an apparent discrepancy between WGI and II about changes in the Greenland Ice Sheet. Any change would be important because of sea-level implications. The consequences seem too great for an apparent discrepancy about large-scale singular events (i.e., about tipping points, or irreversible changes). One solution might be that the conclusions about the stability of the ice sheets could be synthesized further by the lead authors for the WGI Chapter 4 about Observations: Cryosphere, WGI Chapter 10 about Detection and Attribution of Climate Change—from Global to Regional, WGI Chapter 13 about Sea-level Change, WGII Chapter 19 about Emergent Risks and Key Vulnerabilities, and WGII Chapter 28 about Polar Regions. Based primarily on my review of the WGI report, I suggest the following slight modification of the AR5 conclusion about ice sheets. The WGII Section 19.6.3.6 refers to not only to the Greenland Ice Sheet, but also to the Antarctic Ice Sheet (page 45, line 43), and specifically to the western portion of the Antarctic Ice Sheet (i.e., the West Antarctic Ice Sheet or WAIS) on the West Antarctic Peninsula (i.e., the WAP) (page 45, line 39). The WGI report describes major changes in the Greenland Ice Sheet and the WAIS, but only minor ones in the huge East Antarctic Ice Sheet. So, I suggest that the AR5 conclusion about consistency with AR4 should refer to the East Antarctic Ice Sheet rather than to the WAIS or the Greenland Ice Sheet. Specifically, I suggest the following conclusion for Chapter 19, Section 19.6.3.6, page 46, lines 37-38: Based on the weight of the above evidence, we judge that the risk from large-scale singular events, such as large-scale irreversible deglaciation, of the East Antarctica Ice Sheet, remains comparable to that assessed in AR4, as indicated by Smith et al. (2009) and Figure 19-5). (Thomas Dunning Newbury, U.S. Department of the Interior (retired))	The assessment across working groups has been harmonized, most especially within the integrative context of chapter 19. Further cross-references have been used to clarify this harmonized assessment, and within the summary for policymakers, a footnote has been added to the corresponding summary statement for the reason for concern on large-scale singular events.
28	59738	General Comment 3: The similar conclusion could be repeated in the Chapter 19 Executive Summary (Chapter 19, page 5, lines 25 and 26); i.e.; The risk associated with large-scale irreversible deglaciation, of the East Antarctica Ice Sheet remains comparable to that assessed in AR4 (19.6.3.6). In contrast, the WGII Technical Summary and Summary for Policymakers should summarize both Chapter 19 and Chapter 28 about the Polar Regions. The latter chapter contains summaries of ecosystem changes, such as "rapid colonization of ice-free ground" (Chapter 28, page 25, lines 35-38). So, the overall TS could conclude that (WGII, TS, page 53, lines 34 and 35): The risk associated with large-scale irreversible deglaciation, of East Antarctica Ice Sheet remains comparable to that assessed in AR4 (19.6.3). However, rapid changes have been reported in the terrestrial ecosystems of Greenland (28.2.3.7). A similar conclusion could be reported in the WGII SPM (WGII, SPM, page 16, lines 33 and 34) I have submitted the above suggestions also as WGII page-specific comments. However, the following suggestions are about the overall Synthesis Report rather than just the WGII report, and have not been submitted elsewhere. If the above conclusions are included in the overall Synthesis Report (SR), the conclusion should be combined with information from WGI. Some of the WGI information about abrupt changes in the ice sheets is copied above. An appropriate conclusion for the SR might be: The risk associated with large-scale singular events, such as deglaciation of the East Antarctica Ice Sheet remains comparable to that assessed in AR4. However, the Greenland and West Antarctic Ice Sheets have been melting at record-setting rates, and the rates appear to be accelerating, so partial deglaciation might occur sooner than predicted in AR4 [WGI Sec. 10.5.2.1, WGII Sec. 19.6.3] (Thomas Dunning Newbury, U.S. Department of the Interior (retired))	
29	59751	The references to the WGI Atlas Figures throughout the report do not align with the Figures in the WGI Atlas. For example, Australian rainfall projections refer to Atlas Figure 81, however in the WGI Atlas there is no Figure 81. (AUSTRALIA)	This issue most likely stems from the drafts being out of sync. Across the report, chapters were asked to carefully double check all cross-references based on the final working group 1 report.
30	60608	I think the document is written with appropriate clarity and conciseness that is adequte for the target audience - the policy makers. (Julius Adewopo, University of Florida)	Thank you for this comment.
31	60609	This should not only be a foci of concern for cities alone but should encompass cities and rural areas, with the understanding that these rural areas are mostly the source of the water and food. (Julius Adewopo, University of Florida)	This comment seems to be misplaced.

#	ID	Comment	Response
32	60610	I went through chapters 3, 21 and 23. Some chapters of the report in its present form (from the previous ones, mainly chapter 3 and e.g. 3.2) are difficult to read. In fact, they are long sequences of statements and citations with few or little interconnection among them. Sometimes it is impossible to understand if the effects of the climate change stressed in the text result from scenarios or from observations. During the enumeration of previous studies it is common to mix in a same sentence results from a region of the earth (e.g. Asia) with those from a totally different region (Europe or Asia) in a continuous process where all the effects, antagonistic or not, coexist. For example: sometimes the report discusses the effects of increasing river flows as if they were the only ones, while a few pages before of after it discusses the effects of decreasing river flows exactly in the same perspective. In Chapters 3, the sub chapters 3.5 and 3.6 a much more comprehensive approach was adopted resulting in a much more clear and sequential text which much more useful content. (Maria Manuela Portela, Instituto Superior Tecnico (IST))	To the extent possible, clarity and logic of communication has been prioritized in revision of the report, especially with the overarching conclusions for each chapter being the place where myriad examples are drawn together in indicating the overall state of current knowledge.
33	60611	The chapters should be extensively reviewed because some of them (e.g. chapter 3) are extensive lists of references insusceptible of being summarized and of providing clear conclusions. (Maria Manuela Portela, Instituto Superior Tecnico (IST))	Please see the response to comment 31.
34	60612		Given the global scope of the report, detailed consideration of all locales is not feasible, but reference to more local results was made with consideration of the level of generalization appropriate for each result.
35	60613	The IPCC has already a considerable experience, of more then 20 years. Also, according to the experience of IPCC the world should already have noticed the effects of the climate change. So, I think it was reasonable to include some sentences comparing "signs", effects or consequences of the climate change stressed by the IPCC in the previous reports (based on "old" scenarios) with what effectively occur or with the present vision, based on new scenarios and approaches. In fact, the gap of time already allows some reflection about such issue. Also, it would improve the confidence on the "forecasts" provided by the IPCC. (Maria Manuela Portela, Instituto Superior Tecnico (IST))	This point is addressed most directly through comparison of assessment of chapter 18, which considers detection and attribution of the impacts of the climate changes that have already occurred, with the results of past reports. In many cases, chapters indicate how their assessment interfaces and connects with the conclusions of past assessment reports.
36	60614	The IPCC has already a considerable experience, with more then 20 years. Also, according to the experience of IPCC the world should already have noticed the effects of the climate change. So, I think it was reasonable to include an initial chapter comparing signs, effects or consequences of the climate change stressed by the IPCC in old reports (based on "old" scenarios) with what effectively occur or with the present vision, based on new scenarios and approaches. In fact, the gap of time already allows some reflection about such issue. Also, it would improve the confidence on the "forecasts" provided by the IPCC. (Maria Manuela Portela, Instituto Superior Tecnico (IST))	Please see the response to comment 34.
37	60615	fact, all the fears and threats of mankind are presented as results from the climate change, even if those threats have	In many cases, climate change is a risk multiplier, not the sole cause of effects. Additionally, it is definitely the case that not all extreme events can be attributed to climate change. But at the same time, impacts of extreme events and responses to them, in the world today, can be an important way to understand future impacts and responses in a changing climate. Careful attention has been paid to these themes across chapters.

#	ID	Comment	Response
38	60616	Very frequently there is not a clear distinction among observed evidences and results from scenarios which makes difficult to distinguish between facts and predictions. (Maria Manuela Portela, Instituto Superior Tecnico (IST))	Improved attention to carefully communicating relevant time frames and contexts has been achieved in the revised chapters.
39	60635	The use of the term "variability," and alternative phases to describe synonymous concerns, appear be used inconsistently across chapters or even within the glossary. In some places, variability is meant to simply indicate the variance as in "mean and the variability" (in the TS), to indicate the change in the variance over time ("changes in variability" – Chapter 3),or to note changes in the spatial or temporal patterns of variation (Chapter 15, 22, and 31), as in the context of changing volatility or oscillation modal changes (Chapter 5). It might be good to have some standard text that describes what is meant. Those wordings that avoid the term "variability," for example "changes in frequency, intensity, and duration" seem to provide the most unambiguous interpretation and implications. (George Backus, Sandia National Laboratories)	Given the many different lines of evidence relevant across the report, this term is used in different contexts, with as much explanation as needed communicated across different contexts.
40	60865	Throughout the report we have detected a tendency to integrate climate change impacts, vulnerability and adaptation with other challenges for human societies. Moving from the primary link of climate change with the specific vulnerability it casues to a general concept of "vulnerability", wherever the root of the problem might be, can be misguiding. The need to deal with climate change in an integrated manner with other challenges should not lead the IPCC to miss the focus on climate, climate services, climate stressors, etc. (European Union DG Research, Directorate Environment Climate Change & Environmental Risks Unit)	Climate change is always the lens, but at the same time, consideration of other determinants of vulnerability, of development, of current extreme events independent of climate change, and of related facets is critical to understanding the climate change issue.
41	61705	These are general remarks which hold for a variety of chapters. The shape of the chapters are quite different. Sometimes the quotations are not sufficient, i.e. The author consortia are spiining around their on community, sometimes consortia are just collecting articles which they not really interprete in terms of a synthesis. The overall WGII report misses coherence. Sometimes RCPs are used sometimes the old SRES scenarios. Moreover is is desirable to have more cross-links to WGI and WGIII. In certain chapters pages are written with a lot of quotations, but at the end nothing follows from this listing of literature. A reader would ask, so what, is there something that we can learn from such document - in several cases the answer will be 'no'. An important issues is related to the comparability of the case studies. The is no general strategy which really makes a step towards this urgent challenge (we need to know whether in UK or Burkina Faso the impacts are more thrilling). How to know were we should act, when we do not know this? A strategy what can be expected by 1, 2,3,4 degrees change in certain regions would be desirable. Sometimes a reader would ask what's new in this chapter, because literature starts already in the 80ties and end up in 2008, 2009, 2010. Here more detailed literature surveys are demanded. (European Union DG Research, Directorate Environment Climate Change & Environmental Risks Unit)	The assessment in all cases considers the underlying literature relevant to the topics in the approved outline. This means that in some cases report authors must consider literature that uses RCP and SRES scenarios. Further cross-referencing of the other working group reports has been emphasized in final chapter revisions. And throughout, clear emphasis on communicating the overall conclusions about the state of knowledge is made.
42	62699	4 degrees C. However, there are little discussions on those for other temperature rise cases, 3 or 2.5 degrees C for example. From the mitigation viewpoints, there are big differences in terms of mitigation efforts between 2 and 3 degrees C and even between 2 and 2.5 degrees C. The implicit logic of this draft report is, simply said, that, in comparison of 2	Across chapters, projections available in the underlying literature are considered. The summary for policymakers and associated key risk tables across chapters used two future scenarios, for 2 and 4°C increase, to illustrate across many different types of impacts and sectors increased risks expected for ambitious mitigation versus continued high emissions, recognizing the associated simplifications as an appropriate level of generalization in the summary assessment context.

#	ID	Comment	Response
43 44	62791	Please consider re-phrasing sentences bracketted with "limited evidence", especially those with "high/medium confidence/agreement", because the term "limited evidence" itself already sounds unconvincing. (Sai-ming Lee, Hong Kong Observatory) As so many references are made to the report of WGI, which has not yet been published, it is sometimes difficult to make	This term derives from the guidance provided to authors across the entirety of the fifth assessment report and thus cannot be changed. Thank you for this comment, which will be considered in
44	63200	judgements about accuracy and content. (Asa Sjostrom, Swedish Meteorological and Hydrological Institute)	development of future protocols for reviews of chapter drafts.
45	63201	It my be more useful to prepare regional reports, rather than one golbal one, to allow for more indepth analysis and better accuracy. (Asa Sjostrom, Swedish Meteorological and Hydrological Institute)	It is agreed that even the regional chapters can only provide an overarching summary for each region. At the same time, these regional summaries provide key orientation to the major aspects of the climate change issue for each region.
46	63203	It is important that the numbers on estimated future sea-level rise are internally consistent within the chapter, and are in agreement with the corresponding material from WGI. In the second order draft of WG II different estimates can be found for example on page 4, line 29, on page 15, line 18 and in Table 5-1. (Asa Sjostrom, Swedish Meteorological and Hydrological Institute)	Complete consistency is not possible, as the underlying literature considers differing scenarios the future sea level rise. Consistency of overarching conclusions has been achieved, however, and additionally, harmonization with the working group 1 report has been ensured.
47	63214	It is important that the numbers on estimated future sea-level rise are internally consistent within the chapter and are in agreement with the corresponding material from WG I. In the second order draft of WG II different estimates can be found for example on page 4, line 29, on page 15, line 18 and in Table 5-1. (Asa Sjostrom, Swedish Meteorological and Hydrological Institute)	See the response to comment 45.
48	63232	Transmission season for malaria will increase some places, and decrease some places (areas which are already hot) (Torleif Markussen Lunde, University of Bergen)	This comment seems to be misplaced. Malaria is assessed multiple chapters the report, including chapters 11 and 22.
49	63465	Please add levels of confidence (evidence, agreement) to all major findings. (GERMANY)	Appropriate use of uncertainty language has been ensured across major conclusions of all chapters, recognizing that the toolset ranges from evidence and agreement, to confidence, to probabilistic measures of uncertainty including likelihood, to factual statements.
50	63466	Please add information on the period a change or an impact is simulated to occur. Is it on the short term (next decades) or on the long term (end of the 21st century)? This may be relevant for decision makers. In the present draft information on the timing of changes is only partly given. See for example comments on chapter 23, page 9, line 36-38 (GERMANY)	Wherever possible, relevant time frames have been emphasized.

#	ID	Comment	Response
51	63467	Peer reviewed scientific journals are indeed an important source of information and add innovative aspects to the scene. These papers often go deeply into a detail, but only rarely cover different geographical areas, thematic aspects or multiple climate or climate impact models. There is, however, a growing number of public documents from application oriented research projects which offer these information. These documents are also used for example in river commissions as a basis for adaptation planning. I suggest to add these documents in the current or a future IPCC process. Some current examples from the water sector in Europe that could be used in Ch.23 are: - CCHydro (Switzerland): http://www.bafu.admin.ch/publikationen/publikation/01670/index.html?lang=de - AdaptAlp (Greater Alpine area): www.adaptalp.org - reclip:century (Austria): http://reclip.ait.ac.at/reclip_century/fileadmin/user_upload/reclip_century_files/ reclip_century%201_ACRP_Bericht_Homepage.pdf - EXPLORE2070 (France): http://www.solutionsforwater.org/wp-content/uploads/2012/01/Fiche-solution_Explore-2070_hydrology_EN.doc - Rheinblick2050 (Rhine catchment): http://www.chr-khr.org/files/CHR_I-23.pdf - ICPR (Rhine catchment): http://www.iksr.org/fileadmin/user_upload/Dokumente_en/Reports/188_e.pdf - ECCONET (Rhine, Danube): http://www.ecconet.eu/deliverables/ECCONET_D1.4_final.pdf - ICPDR (Danube): http://www.icpdr.org/main/sites/default/files/nodes/documents/icpdr_climate-adaptation-strategy.pdf - KNMI06 (Netherlands): http://www.knmi.nl/klimaatscenarios/knmi06/WR23mei2006.pdf (GERMANY)	Indeed, appropriate consideration of non-journal literature is essential to the assessment and has been emphasized across chapters.
52	63468	There is a discrepancy between the goal of the report to offer the knowledge achieved after AR4 (i.e. after 2006/2007) and the publication date of several papers (older than 2007). In cases more than 10 years have passed since the publication (e.g. see e.g. Chapter 10, page 16, line 10ff.). It should be clarified why these papers are still regarded the up-to-date or why they are included regardless of the date of publication. (GERMANY)	Although the emphasis is on literature since the fourth assessment report, consideration of papers that still define key aspects of the state of knowledge is additionally part of the required assessment.
53	63469	In WGII and WGIII uncertainty qualifiers are mostly added to statements in parenthesis, while for the statements themselves the indicative is used with the verb in the respective tense (e.g. past for observations and future for projections. In WGI in contrast, the uncertainty is part of the statement (There is X confidence that STATEMENT). We appreciate the use of the calibrated uncertainty language across WGs, but it should be used consistently across WGs and either be part of the statement or added as an attribute in parenthesis. (GERMANY)	Both mechanisms of usage are fully consistent with the uncertainty guidance use across working groups.
54	63470	On several occasions different historical reference periods mentioned: pre industrial, early industrial, industrial; it is unclear whether this always refer to the same time period; the exact dates should be somewhere mentioned (GERMANY)	The glossary for the report includes the definition of preindustrial, which clarifies the distinction between preindustrial and industrial at a high level for the report.
55	63471	Displaying "risk" in figures and tables: It would be very useful to always use the same color code for risk, e.g. yellow to red as in the reasons for concern across the report, e.g. in Table TS.5 and Table TS.7. (GERMANY)	Risks levels are defined differently in the reasons for concern and in the regional and sectoral key risk tables. For this reason, colors have not been harmonized.
56	63472	Providing FAQ to each chapter is appreciated. Please add FAQ to chapter 2. (GERMANY)	Thank you for this comment
57	63473	IPCC should refrain from providing any recommendations, according to its mandate it should be policy relevant, but not policy prescriptive. Recommendations are given for example in Ch 7, P 40 L 51 or Ch 11, P 37 L 15. Please modify. (GERMANY)	Policy neutrality, as required in the procedures, has been ensured, recognizing that inclusions on features of effective responses are policy relevant yet policy neutral.
58	64327	Africa is omitted in Table SPM4. This is in spite of the fact that region (Africa continent) has "high confidence" output from several studies mentioned in chapter 22 (Africa) page 18, and line 31 to 44. (Margaret Mwangi, Pennsylvania State University)	The gaps have been remedied in regional coverage for Africa throughout the SPM.

#	ID	Comment	Response
59	64329	Many chapters, as well as the SPM and the TS, use the term "loss and damage", frequently in different contexts. I would request the writing teams to review each place the term is used and decide whether this is the most appropriate term, or whether a term like residual impacts is more appropriate. The term "Loss and Damage" has gained prominence under the UNFCCC through the Cancun Adaptation Framework. In that sense it is a political term, not a scientific one, and it is a term that has not been defined. Until a clear understanding of the term emerges from the policy process, it may be preferable to the IPCC to use accepted scientific terms and avoid creating further confusion in the UNFCCC process. (Don Lemmen, Canada National Study)	Sensitivity to the broader relevant context for this term has been ensured.
60	64330	In discussions of adaptation throughout the report it would be useful to distinguish between barriers - which are things that can and should be broken down - and challenges - which are things that might make adaptation more difficult to advance, but that exist for important reasons and should not be broken down. It is common in this report for the two terms to be used interchangeably. One example is Box 26.1 that identifies different governance structures as a barrier to effective cooperation and collaboration. In the case where you are dealing with two sovereign nations, this is a challenge for adaptation, but it is not a barrier in the sense that we should be promoting common governance. (Don Lemmen, Canada National Study)	The definition for adaptation constraints indicates the usage of "barriers" across the report, while adaptation challenge is not defined in the cross report context of the glossary. Wherever possible, chapters have ensured harmonized usage of terms appearing in the report glossary.
61	64468	In general, the whole report reads well. However, I am of the opinion that section should be created for urban agriculture, gender, urban-rural dynamics, innovation system framework (Maruf Sanni, National Centre for Technology Management)	Thank you for this comment. These topics have been emphasized, including through newly added cross chapter boxes.
62	64712	Overall flow of write up need to be cohesive. The chapter needs to focus on the latest work undertaken, the uncertainties overcome (Ramesh C Dhiman, National Institute of Malaria Research (ICMR))	Emphasis on research since the fourth assessment report has been ensured.
63	64713	please use WMO-standard conform 30 year periods (Frank Kreienkamp, Climate & Environment Consulting Potsdam GmbH)	As chapters assess underlying literature, different conventions are considered where appropriate for assessed topics.
64	64714	please use a fixed reference period for all pictures (and so on) like 1971-2000, if possible add a definition of a fixed period; If not results from this AR and following ARs will not be comparable due to a moving reference period. (Frank Kreienkamp, Climate & Environment Consulting Potsdam GmbH)	As chapters assess underlying literature, for which different time frames are relevant, full harmonization is not possible.
65	64715	have a look at all colour scales. Are the used colour steps (eg in fig. 23-3 5% change) representing at least half of the model	Color bars used reflect different conventions available across the full set of assessed evidence.
66	64716	In the SOD colour scales are used (eg figure rc1 and figure rc2) where even values close to no change have a colour code. It would be better to remove the colour coding for at least the first step in each direction from 0 (Frank Kreienkamp, Climate & Environment Consulting Potsdam GmbH)	This approach was taken intentionally to maximize the accessibility of this figure for its policymaker audience.
67	64800	Excellent work. Only a few minor comments follow. (Dave Spitttlehouse, BC Ministry Forests, Lands and Natural Resource Operations)	Thank you for this comment.
68	64834	In providing the following comments, I declare a personal bias toward an 'approach' which is holistic and a 'methodology' which is (stakeholder) inclusive (Leon Soste, Department of Primary Industries, Victoria, Australia)	Thank you for indicating your personal tendency.

#	ID	Comment	Response
69	65015	I read the SPM and chapters 6 and 28 with some care and had a quick look over many of the other chapters. The document seems very uneven in terms of the audience to whichit appears to be directed. In some areas, it seems to be aimed at the politician or staffer who will be using this document to develop a rationale for government policy. In other areas the document seems to provide a detailed review appropriate for a refereed journal aimed at specialists in a narrow discipline. who is the intended audience and can the document be edited to reflect those it is supposed to influence? There is also considerable redundancy throughout the portions of the document that I read. I realize that the SPM will be a recap of the most important points in the chapters, and thus will repeat information in the chapter summaries and in the chapters themeselves. But, within the chapters, there seems to be considerable redundancy, including the repetition of details that do not seem to rise to the level of belonging in summary statements. (George Hunt, University of Washington)	The governments of the world are the primary audience for the report, and in the IPCC process, they accept the underlying report. Assessment is hierarchical across the report, with the summary for policymakers providing the most condensed set of accessible findings.
70	65381	It is noted that a very relevant German research project KLIMZUG has not been mentioned in the whole WG II AR5 contribution. It is strongly reciommended to reflect this project in the report and to include some reference. (Klaus Radunsky, Umweltbundesamt)	Thank you for this recommendation.
71	65636		Thank you for this comment.
72	65840	Use of nuanced collors in graphics is confusing. For instance, lilac blends with red, dark brown with black etc. Please, choose stark collors or graphic dots, lines. (Milton Nogueira da Silva, Climate Change Forum of Minas Gerais, Brazil)	In the final report, color choice reflects the style guide for the report and conventions governing production where figures are drawn directly from underlying literature.
73	65841	Biased criticism and unfair reporting by newspapers, TVs, pundits are pervading and spoiling public opinion and decision makers. Please add a critical review of the media coverage and advise readers on how to interpret them. (Milton Nogueira da Silva, Climate Change Forum of Minas Gerais, Brazil)	Assessment in this report focuses on the topics in the approved outline.
74	65842	Language tone should cogent: sentences in the whole report were written as if for scientists and technical readers only and often in detached academic style. Indexes display unassuming neutral titles "coal emissions", while it could convincingly	Accessibility of language has been emphasized especially in the frequently asked questions and the summary for policymakers, recognizing that the full technical assessment will not be readily accessible for all general readers in all cases.
75	65843	the terms "high agreement", "low confidence" "more than probable" may be rigorous in science writing, but are confusing and misleading to journalists, politicians, scholars in humanities, pundits, and the general public. They mean totally different things to laypeople. They should be replaced by other terms. Please see my comment on language tone, above. (Milton Nogueira da Silva, Climate Change Forum of Minas Gerais, Brazil)	These terms are part of the technical aspect of the assessment – communicating the level of certainty in the state of knowledge. Wherever possible, especially in the summary for policymakers, they are presented parenthetically such that a general reader can skip over them.
76	65844	"Views of the World" and "Worldmapper" are collections of world maps, where countries and territories are re-sized on each map according to the subject of interest, such as population, income, CO2 emissions, or women illiteracy; there are nearly 700 maps. In an outstanding way, they could show climate change issues- energy, beef consumption, emissions, pollution impacts etc. Authors may wish to look at: http://www.worldmapper.org or http://www.viewsoftheworld.net/ (Milton Nogueira da Silva, Climate Change Forum of Minas Gerais, Brazil)	Thank you for this comment. In a similar vein, impacts attributed to climate change are now shown clearly on a map within the summary for policymakers.

#	ID	Comment	Response
77	65845	if the AR5 text had hyperlinks to definitions of technical words and acronyms, reading will be much easier for decision makers, leaders, non-specialists and so on. The glossary and a list of acronyms will suffice. (Milton Nogueira da Silva, Climate Change Forum of Minas Gerais, Brazil)	Hyperlinks will be added to the final electronic document for the report.
78	65846	The report should have a reader's guide. Authors may wish to look at the HDI 2013 report, of Undp. (Milton Nogueira da Silva, Climate Change Forum of Minas Gerais, Brazil)	In the final report, a preface serves to orient the reader.
79	66083	As each chapter deals with different sectors having particular terminology therefore, it is suggested that a separate list of used acronyms may be added in the beginning of each chapter separately. (GHAZANFAR ALI, GLOBAL CHANGE IMPACT STUDIES CENTRE (GCISC))	Clarity of acronyms is ensured through the final copyedit of the report.
80	67841	, , ,	This finding is reflected within the technical summary, and it informs assessment across multiple chapters of the report.
81	67842	The phrase "higher CO2" is found in many places throughout the AR5, e.g. SPM p4 L13, TS p13 L36, Chapter 4 p16 L14, Chapter 7 p27 L25, Chapter 18 p4 L30, Chapter 25 p24 L30. This is not an appropriate expression and thus recommend it be replaced with "higher CO2 concentration". (JAPAN)	Clarity of usage has been emphasized.
82	67843		Please see the reasons for concern figure in the final summary for policymakers, which indeed uses a double axis plot.
83	67844	Generally speaking, this report focuses on showing the negative impacts of climate change. Both the benefits and adverse impacts should be assessed equally. The lack of balance conveys an impression of IPCC bias. Recommend assessment of benefits be expanded. For example, could include benefits such as reducing management costs of roads and buildings in snowy areas, increases in crop production in certain regions, chance of discovering of new resources, development of Northern Sea route, among other potential benefits. Following the policy-prescriptive principles of IPCC, positive impacts of climate change should be discussed more in this report for more balanced decision. Furthermore, some of them should also be cited in the SPM. (JAPAN)	Throughout the assessment, the report considers both positive and negative impacts, based on evidence in the underlying literature. Positive impacts are also featured in the summary for policymakers, where findings on positive impacts are available in the underlying chapters.

#	ID	Comment	Response
84	67845	Figure SPM5: This figure compares estimated risk of climate change of +2 degree C and +4 degree C. On the other hand, the allowance for carbon emission are largely different even between 2.5 and 3 degree C, and the difficulty of mitigation depends on the amount of carbon emission. Therefore please add the climate change effects of 3.0 degree C. If the sensitivity of climate change effects are not enough for addition of 3.0 degree, please describe that the sensitivity is not enough for compare the differences among 2, 3, 4 degree C. (JAPAN)	Scientifically, it is not possible for many sectors and types of risks to distinguish potential impacts in half degree increments, at a summary conclusion level. The assessment of the key risks across regions and sectors thus focuses on risks in the present, risks in the near-term, and risks in the longer term for 2 scenarios representing an illustration of a world of ambitious mitigation as compared to a world of continued high emissions. These scenarios are appropriate for the summary assessment, across the large range of sectors and risks relevant in the report.
85	67846	The report contains much discussion of a world with an average temperature rise of 4 degrees Celsius above preindustrial levels. However, a +4-degrees Celsius world is too far away in the future in informed policy-making decisions based on sound science. It would be better to facilitate scenarios for +3 degree Celsius increase above preindustrial levels in addition to +4 degree Celsius. (JAPAN)	Within the century, continued high emissions could lead to a 4°C increase. Thus, this is a highly relevant scenario for the assessment to consider, indeed recognizing that less literature is available on impacts at 4°C as compared to lower levels of warming.
86	68070	The Chinese government appreciates and thanks the Bureau members, lead authors and Technical Support Unit of IPCC Working Group II for their contribution to the 'Climate Change 2014: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability' (hereafter referred to as 'the Report'). Taking this opportunity, the Chinese government would like to make the following comments on the report with the hope that they can be adopted in the modification process in order to better characterize the objectiveness, comprehensiveness and balance of an IPCC assessment report. I. Inappropriate expressions of China's sovereignty. In many cases in the Report are found misrepresentations of China's Taiwan Province, Hong Kong Special Administrative Region and Macao Special Administrative Region and errors on China's territory and borders, all of which must be corrected. II. Improper listing of China's examples. We have noted misquotations of examples of China in chapters 1, 19 and 27 that do not agree with the prevailing conclusions of the cited literature, hence suggested to be deleted. Specific proposed changes in this connection, including, but not limited to those on each chapter, are given in the Table of Government Comments. III. Expressions of confidence on conclusions of the assessment in the Report. At the beginning of the Fifth Assessment Report cycle, the Working Groups elaborated on a consistent description of expressions of uncertainties and confidence on conclusions of the assessment: the Guidance Note for Lead Authors of the IPCC Fifth Assessment Report on Consistent Treatment of Uncertainties (6-7 July 2010). It is noted, however, that the well-established expressions of 'confidence, agreement and evidence' as defined in the above-mentioned document are not observed in several chapters of the WG II report. Consequently, other divergent expressions like 'moderately high confidence', 'medium high agreement', 'medium to high confidence' and 'extremely likely' are found. It is also the case with Chapters 1, 9, 11, 18, 19 and 20 as well as wi	Careful attention to appropriate description and geographical representation of these regions has been ensured. Additionally, careful attention to full adherence with the uncertainties guidance has been prioritized across chapters. Further, shortening of chapters and clear communication of the central conclusions has been a focus final draft preparation. The topics and reference suggested have also been further considered by the respective chapters.

#	ID	Comment	Response
86.2	68070	suggested to be consistent in expressing their confidence in various chapters. IV. Length of the report. Most chapters are longer than determined at the time when the WG II Contribution was launched, hence suggested to be shortened to be balanced with the WG I and III contributions in length as well. Furthermore, Chapters 12, 14 and 19 fail to adequately reflect their key assessment conclusions in the executive summaries, hence suggested to be further substantiated and streamlined. V. Proposed supplements to and amendments of individual chapters Chapter 10, which assesses the role of the social insurance in climate change adaptation, does not discuss its limitations in this connection, hence suggested to be supplemented with relevant information. Chapters 14, 15, 16 and 17 assess climate change adaptation, an issue of great interest and concern to all countries, in particular developing ones, hence suggested that additional information on the role of finance and technology in adaptation, needs and gaps of developing countries in adaptation funding and technologies, and status of obligation performance by developed countries in helping developing countries with climate change adaptation be given to the current assessment. Chapter 17 should highlight the principle of common but differentiated responsibilities and respective capabilities while assessing the regime of shared responsibilities for adaptation. The principle of equity or fairness should be kept in mind when incentives are designed in the adaptation area. In addition, since the value of discount rate has a lot to do with the cost estimation of climate change adaptation, it is suggested that information on the comparison of discount rates between developed and developing countries be added, describing how different discount rate values make a difference to the calculation of adaptation costs. In addition, an IPCC report should attach great importance to the citation of literature of developing and non-English-speaking countries. The Second (China) Nation	
87	68240	We would like to flag serious problems that the WG2 AR5 authors have with presenting the use of geoengineering options for the stabilization of contemporary climate. In particular, the authors consider potential quick rise of global temperature in case of abrupt cessation of SRM geoengineering after many years of its application as a danger, which creates a serious shortcoming of SRM methodologies. However, obviously, there is a possibility (if necessary) to stop the SRM application not abruptly, but gradually, step by step. (RUSSIAN FEDERATION)	The assessment of solar radiation management throughout the report considers evidence available in the underlying literature. Risks associated with deployment are considered, recognizing that risks are potential outcomes that can be modulated by risk management, planning, etc.
88	69885	Having a more standardised structure to each of the regional chapters would be helpful towards finding information and comparing impacts between different regions. (John Caesar, Met Office Hadley Centre)	Given the diversity of risks and contexts across these chapters, there are strong threads of commonality, but at the same time distinct emphases across the chapters. Fully standardized structures were not required, as chapter teams required greater flexibility to fully explore the relevant issues in each region.

#	ID	Comment	Response
89	70412	adaptive capacity or resilience. For example: "adaptation involves reducing risk and vulnerability, while building the	The different emphases mentioned here are indeed strongly related, as risk results from the overlap of hazard, vulnerability, and exposure. Additionally, in the underlying literature, divergent terminology is used, which is appropriate to reflect in the assessment context.
90	70444		This topic for further attention has been flagged for the relevant chapters.
91	70586	We congratulate the TSU and WG2 authors on the production of the Second Order Draft for AR5 and thank you for all the hard work. (NEW ZEALAND)	Thank you very much for this comment.
92	70714	Thank you for the preparation of this interesting document. We appreciate the enormous work for producing this report. much pages for all the sectors as for emission trends). The introduction of the SPM mentions the emphasis on 'ethics and equity' whereas any reference to this is hard to find in the core text of the SPM. (BELGIUM)	It seems that this comment was perhaps intended for the SPM of working group 3. At the same time, the importance of values, goals, and worldviews is emphasized across the working group 2 SPM, especially in section C.
93	70783	Congratulations on all your hard work in preparing this very valuable draft report! (Kirsty Galloway McLean, United Nations University - Institute of Advanced Studies)	,
94	70802	The chapter is well sructured and well written. (Sarka D. Blazkova, T.G. Masaryk Water Research Institute)	Thank you very much for this comment.

#	ID	Comment	Response
95	70950	The report introduces the terms "era of climate responsibility" and "era of climate options" in Chapter 1. They subsequently appear once in the text in Chapter 26 and in one figure of chapters 22 and 26, and are also used frequently in the SPM and TS. While there is reason to distinguish these intervals, the terms used are of concern because: 1 - they have not been broadly adopted in scientific literature (including the WGI and WGII reports of the AR5); 2 - they appear value-laden and therefore out of place in an objective analysis of the science by the IPCC; and 3 - their meaning is not intuitive (with the era of climate options perhaps suggesting that we can delay making choices on climate futures until the 2080s). We propose these terms be replaced with more objective terms such as "near-term (2030-2040)" and "longer-term (2080-2100)". (CANADA)	The near-term and longer-term eras has been used as a framework in the assessment, as they are deeply relevant to understanding the nature of increases in risks over the century and beyond, along with the options for response. The clearest terminology for describing these concepts has been considered throughout.
96	70951	Please consider revising the term "Volunteer Chapter Scientist" which is included in the teams of most chapters. To those unfamiliar with the IPCC this may suggest that the authors (CLAs, LAs and Cass) are not volunteering their time to prepare these reports. Rather all the work to prepare these reports are voluntary and this is a central contribution to the IPCC process. The term used in Chapter 19 - "Chapter Scientist" is also not appropriate. (CANADA)	This term was established at the beginning of the assessment process, and thus its usage is continued.
97	71567	Advances since AR4: We appreciate that several chapters included a summary of key findings and advances since AR4. However, this should be done consistently across all chapters. Inclusion of a table at the beginning of each chapter is one way of doing this. (UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	Advances since the fourth assessment report are indeed emphasized in many chapters, and many other chapters include a short section indicating the state of knowledge at the time of the fourth assessment report. However, a fully standardized table or other summary mechanism has not been required since each chapter interfaces with the previous assessment in different ways — and most relevantly, some chapters are new and thus do not have exact parallels in the past assessment.
98	71568	Can web-sites be used as references? For example, line 1 page 37. What about papers in press? (UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	For all non-journal sources, authors are required to apply extra scrutiny in evaluating the rigor of the source, with guidance provided to authors for this evaluation.
99	71569	FAQ's: It is unclear what the criteria are for determining what is covered with FAQs. In this draft FAQs are presented in an inconsistent manner across chapters in terms of length, inclusion of citations, target audience, etc. For example, some are long, unreferenced and introduce new content to the document (e.g. in CHs 6 and 30). A consistent approach needs to be adopted. In AR4, for example, FAQs were taken verbatim from chapter text. (UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	The approach to frequently asked questions has been substantially harmonized in the final draft, based on common guidance to chapters.
100	71570	SPM.7 Figure 1; 3.1; 13.4, 17-1; most of the figures in Ch. 2. We strongly suggest that the authors pare back figures to	A focus on clear, important figures has been ensured in the final draft. Please note, however, that conceptual figures can play a very important role communicating the relationship among concepts. In the final report, a common style guide is applied in the production of all figures.
101	71571	Numerous instances are noted in the detailed comments where the authors provide lengthy reviews of the literature rather than concise assessments. Not only are these summaries dense and difficult to follow, but they also contribute to the over-limit page counts. Examples include all or large parts of Chapters 10, 24 & 28, for example). Addressing this could significantly reduce the length many chapters. (UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	The evaluation of evidence and agreement can take different forms in the underlying chapters, but in all cases, authors provide overall conclusions that concisely indicate their assessment of the current state of knowledge.

#	ID	Comment	Response
102	71572	Rates of change and ability to adapt: the assertion that climate change will OoutpaceO adaptation (both natural and anthropogenic) if global temperature increases more than 2 degrees above pre-industrial seems to be based on a fundamental misconception. Specifically, it ignores that natural systems in particular adapt better to slow changes than to rapid ones; hence any assessment of ability to adapt should be framed in terms of rate of change rather than total amount of change. The questionable assertion appears in several places in the volume (for example in the left-most bar and the caption in the updated Burning Embers figure). (UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	The relevance of rate of change in different systems is not uniform, and attention to clearly articulating findings on the rate of change and implications for adaptive capacity has been ensured in the final draft.
103	71573	Representation of breadth of impacts in summary sections: An appropriately balanced representation of positive and negative impacts should be reflected in both the chapter text and in ESs, the TS, and the SPM. (UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	The summary contexts for the report, throughout, provide conclusions on positive impacts as appropriate based on the underlying literature.
104	71574	The application of Detection and Attribution (D&A) methodologies to climate change impacts (as opposed to climate change itself) represents an important step forward, but presents communications, as well as technical, challenges. We urge the authors to consider the following points regarding D&A: 1. It is important to define and use key D&A terminology consistently throughout WG2Ñthis is not the case in the SOD. In addition, terminology should be used consistently between WG1 and WG2. Specifically, in WG2 attribution means attribution to climate change, including natural variability. In WG1, however, attribution means attribution to anthropogenic climate change (only). 2. Many readers will be interested in understanding the state of knowledge regarding detection and attribution of specific impacts of interest to them (e.g., have increases in temperature extremes in North America been attributed to anthropogenic greenhouse gas emissions?). We suggest that the authors consider adding summary tables conveying this information to all sectoral/regional chapters. Table 18-11a is an excellent example. 3. The text should be clear regarding whether or not observed changes have been rigorously attributed to anthropogenic climate change. It is important to avoid tacitly assuming or implying that observed changes/trends are a result of anthropogenic climate change (e.g., Ch 26: p. 12, lines 45-48; p. 16, lines 3-4; p., 17, lines 45-53; p. 17 line 53 D p. 18, line 1). 4. Where there is no detection and/or attribution, it is important to be clear whether this absence occurs because a conclusion cannot be reached for some reason (e.g., insufficient data) or because it is confidently concluded that there is nothing to detect or no influence of specific possible drivers (e.g., anthropogenic climate change). In other words, a clear distinction should be made between Oabsence of evidence O and Oevidence of absenceO; this can be confusing for non-specialists. (UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	Detection and attribution throughout the report follows a consistent, common approach. However, detection and attribution in working group 2 considers attribution to climate change in almost all cases, unintentional difference from working group 1 that is most appropriate for understanding the sensitivity of human and natural systems based on available evidence. The summary for policymakers features a regional assessment of impacts attributed to climate change, and the corresponding table is described with text indicating how absence of example should be interpreted.
105	71575	Throughout the document "world ocean', 'world's ocean', and 'world's oceans' are all used. This should be consistent. Perhaps World's Ocean? (UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	This has been harmonized only within the purview of the copyedit approach taken for the report as a whole. Thus, complete harmonization to a single approach has not been required.
106	71576	Use of jargon: The document should be as accessible as possible to informed non-experts. However, throughout the document, technical (but non-expert) reviewers found many instances of information that was dense in technical detail and jargon and therefore inaccessible. We encourage the authors to keep terminology as simple as possible. (UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	Accessibility has been emphasized, recognizing the important role of the summary for policymakers and frequently asked questions in the communicating clearly with the broadest audience.
107	71577	We are concerned that findings with Olimited evidence, low agreementO or similarly weak support sometimes appear in chapter Executive Summaries (ESs) or, worse, the Summary for Policymakers (e.g., pg. 10, lines 2-3; pg. 17, lines 11-17). The volume should state and adhere to a logical basis for selecting topics to be included in ESs. Additionally, there is inconsistency in the structure of ES bullets. Most are a single bold sentence (with confidence language) supported by a few non-bold sentences (without confidence language) and a reference to a section of the chapter. However, this format is not used consistently. Note, for example, the lack of references in Ch 30, pg 6, lines 29-36; and lack of supporting sentences in Ch 2, pg 2, lines 42-43. (UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	Low confidence or limited evidence/low agreement is not a reason to avoid presenting conclusions, especially on outcomes with low probability but potentially high consequence, which are important in a risk management context.

#	ID	Comment	Response
108	71578	We urge the authors and review editors to coordinate regional/sectoral/topical chapters to ensure consistency. This is particularly important for Chapters 14 through 17 where the enhanced treatment of adaptation has resulted in fragmentation and a lack of connectivity and inconsistency. Additionally, we recognize and appreciate the increased emphasis on oceans in AR5. However, Chapters 6 (Ocean Systems) and 30 (The Ocean) are so interrelated and overlapping that many opportunities exist to pare their length while improving readability and reducing redundancy. Indeed, many of the redundancies can be replaced with cross-references to the other chapter. Furthermore, the chapters should be more carefully scrubbed for inconsistencies. For example, warming, acidification and hypoxia are addressed multiple times between the two chapters. The first 20 pages of Ch 30 belong in Ch 6 (and can in large part be merged with existing text in Ch 6). The focus on regional impacts in Ch 30 is lost due to repetition of technical foundation that should be in Ch 6. Both of these goals could be accomplished by having the author teams read both chapters. (UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	Coordination across chapters has been a large focus in development of the final drafts, especially for the adaptation chapters and the ocean chapters. Overlap has been reduced, and conclusions fully harmonized to ensure accessibility for the reader.
109	71579	We urge the authors to consider several issues involving the IPCCÕs calibrated uncertainty language: 1. Foremost, uncertainty language is not applied consistently within or across chapters. Specifically, there is poor consistency regarding which statements have associated confidence ratings and which do not. In addition, there needs to be consistent practice regarding whether agreement and evidence ratings are given, as well as confidence ratings. Finally, there are instances where incorrect terminology is used (for example moderate instead of medium). 2. The uncertainty terminology involves some subtle concepts that will be challenging to many readers. As an aid to understanding, we suggest that the summary figure explaining the relationship between evidence, agreement, and confidence, as well as the likelihood table, be included in every chapter [IPCC Uncertainty Guidance note, 2010]. 3. There are cases where high-level findings (often noted in bold) have higher confidence ratings than any of the supporting underlying findings or sentences in the same paragraph. It is not clear how this is possible. 4. There are instances where it is not clear to what geographical domain statements apply. The report finds uncertain impacts with a high degree of confidence at a continental level when Đ in fact D significant sub-continental/regional variability in impacts may be likely, as was highlighted in SREX. 5. Further, the authors should not use the phrase Oclimate change will, Ó as this conveys complete certainty. This phrase is found throughout the WG2 volume. See, for example, Ch 12, p. 3, lines 20-21, Ch 24, p. 16, lines 45-46. We strongly suggest instead that authors use Oclimate change is expected to, Ó and then qualify that result with standard IPCC uncertainty language. (UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	Appropriate application of the uncertainties guidance has been prioritized across chapters. Please note that usage of evidence and agreement versus confidence is at the discretion of the authors, based on their expert judgment and evaluation of the underlying literature. Level of generalization, especially geographically, has been carefully considered by authors. Please note that "will" can be appropriately used in the uncertainties guidance, either factually in some cases or in combination with designated uncertainty language.
110	71580	We urge the IPCC to reconsider the introduction of the concepts of "Era of Climate Responsibility" and "Era of Climate Options" and "climate velocity" (Fig 4-6). Use of the actual time horizons communicates the intent far more clearly than these new terms. (UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	Climate velocity is now defined in the glossary, to clarify that usage in the report is fully consistent with usage in the underlying literature. Greater focus on the relevant time frames for the near-term and long-term eras has been prioritized, especially in the summary for policymakers.
111	71581	How about considering an urban assessment that would be an IPCC sepecial report that breaks cities into groups such as to high, medium and low income cities and assesses them in this context? (UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	Thank you for this suggestion.
112	71582	Many of the citations in the text are not listed in the respective chapter bibliographies. Furthermore, several of the citations in the text do not have mistaken dates that match those in the bibliographies. It would be helpful to use an automatic referencing software package such as EndNote, in order to avoid such mistakes (and other redundancies). Otherwise someone will have to check each reference one-by-one. (UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	Full accuracy of referencing has been prioritized in final draft development and the final copyedit for the report.

#	ID	Comment	Response
113	71583	There are several instances where studies using one model, and in some cases a single scenario, are cited. Given the uncertainty associated with projections, highlighting the results from a single study using a single model and scenario may give readers unfamiliar with the caveats that should be considered when interpreting these results a false sense of certainty. Auuthors are strongly encouraged to use multiple sources, wherever possible, and to use standardized confidence language. (UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	Appropriate attention to the lines of evidence underlying statements and findings has been prioritized, recognizing the greatest strength is in multiple lines of independent evidence.
114	76130	There are several instances where studies using one model, and in some cases a single scenario, are cited. Given the uncertainty associated with projections, highlighting the results from a single study using a single model and scenario may give readers unfamiliar with the caveats that should be considered when interpreting these results a false sense of certainty. Auuthors are strongly encouraged to use multiple sources, wherever possible, and to use standardized confidence language. (UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	Appropriate attention to the lines of evidence underlying statements and findings has been prioritized, recognizing the greatest strength is in multiple lines of independent evidence.
115		From the AR5 SOD it appears that for IPCC to stay relevant to policy needs i.e. facilitating assessments that lead to holistic solutions to addressing the core challenge: "prevent dangerous anthropogenic interference with the climate system" (UNFCCC, Article 2) there is need for another Working Group to be established – IPCC Working Group IV - that will facilitate	The increasing understanding of the linkages between adaptation, mitigation, and sustainable development has indeed been emphasized in this report, which may be relevant for the working group structure adopted in the subsequent report cycle.
116		At the New Brunswick Climate Change Research Collaborative (NBCCRC), our general purpose is to increase adaptive capacity within the province by effectively mobilizing the resources of the academic community. This is an inherently challenging task insofar as researchers\practitioners are simultaneously confronted by both a scarcity of locally oriented knowledge, and a deluge of highly abstract and somewhat fragmented knowledge that typically exceeds a region's capacity for effective interpretation and application. (James MacLellan, University of New Brunswick)	Thank you for this background, relevant to the challenges of the issues assessed in this report.
117	77320	Overall, a well writen report. (Maria Caffrey, National Park Service and University of Colorado, Boulder)	Thank you for this comment.

#	ID	Comment	Response
119	77402	"I would to commend all those who have done a good job in developing this document. There are a few areas which I would like to provide inputs. First and foremost is the usage of the name Lake Malawi in this document and maybe in other ar5 documents. I would like to request authors to use the name of the lake as Lake Nyasa instead of Lake Malawi" (UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA) Under Part A, Natural and Managed Resources and Systems, and Their Uses, I suggest to include a phrase indicating that due to the sensitive nature of (high) mountain ecosystems, as well as their overall importance for providing ecosystem services to a much wider population, the future report's structure should include a sub-chapter on mountain ecosystems For this purpose, the FAO's "Mountain Partnership, the Berne based "Mountain Research Initiative - MRI", and "The Mountain Institute - TMI", among others, should be contacted to (help) organize such a specialized input. (Dirk Hoffmann, Bolivian Mountain Institute - BMI)	Thank you for this comment. Uses throughout has followed conventions in the underlying literature. Thank you for this suggestion for a future report.
120	77420		Thank you for this comment.
121	77505	The coordination between chapters 2, 10 and 17 should be improved. In comparison to the FOD chapter 17 has changed dramatically and 'lost' to other chapters (10; 2). The role of 17 (in conjunction with 10 and 2) should be thoroughly	Coordination across chapters has been a major priority element of the final draft, among these chapters and among other clusters of related chapters.
122		whole report. It is not mentioned in most chapters then has a whole section (19.5.4) that focuses only on the potential	Solar radiation management is assessed across all three working groups, with the assessment here focused on understanding impacts.
123	77943	Draft Glossary needs to include definition of maladaptation and this is given below: (Krishna Rao Pinninti, Rutgers University)	A definition is included in the glossary.
124		Maladaptation is the result of inefficient choices of strategies and policies in any category of adaptation measures that eventually contribute to worsening of the adaptation potential over time and / or scale or in combination with other interventions. It is also sometimes viewed as the result of the adaptive responses made for various interdependent systems without due consideration of adverse impacts on other components (that may or may not be sufficiently influenced by climate change). Externalities of adaptation measures can lead to maladaptation, and it may be more meaningful to restate as follows: (Krishna Rao Pinninti, Rutgers University)	Thank you for this suggestion.
125	77945	maladaptation is a phenomenon in the category of externalities that needs to be constantly and fully taken into account in various aspects of the governance of climate change adaptation. (Krishna Rao Pinninti, Rutgers University)	Thank you for the suggestion.

#	ID	Comment	Response
126	78350	I find it sometimes confusing that the information given in the regional chapters, in the sectoral chapters and in the global chapters ("adaptation needs and options" etc.) are not referencing each other on a consistent basis. To take a more precise example, the idea that there is a trade-off between adaptation and mitigation issues in urban planning is explained at least in two different chapters: chapter 8 (several times in this chapter, see for example 8.5.2) and chapter 23 (23.8), but the references given are very different (Vincent Viguié, CIRED)	·
127	78663	Use of the wording "Era of climate responsibility" and "Era of climate options": I think that this has the potential to be very misleading for the layman. These terms, especially the "era of climate options", do not seem to have been used before (?). Even if there is a precise definition, many people will not know about it, and many will make a wrong interpretation: it is written in several SPM & TS sentences that "era of climate options" relates to time periods within the second half of this century. But "era of climate options" is likely to be interpreted as "era when options need to be chosen". This interpretation would obviously be wrong (action now has consequences later). Suggestion: either refer to time periods (next decades vs second half of the century, etc.) or use a more precise wording, for example "differentiated outcomes". (Philippe Marbaix, Université catholique de Louvain)	The near-term and longer-term eras are deeply relevant for understanding risks and implications of responses. Accuracy of their description has been improved in revisions of the chapters and summary products.
128	78699	Regarding "Cross-Chapter Box CC-CR, Coral Reefs", in TS and chapters 5, 6, 30. A uniquely statistically robust large chunk of coral reef biodiversity data is being ignored. Per FishBase version (04/2013), 30.3% of its 14,634 fully-marine species/subspecies (and hardly any are subspecies) are coral-reef associated (which it defines as "Living and feeding on or near coral reefs"). FishBase includes about 1,000 more fully/partly marine species than those in the authoritative online Catalog of Fishes. Explanation follows. There have been numerous statements in key coral reef journal articles regarding their fraction of all marine species, such as "Coral reefs are home to an estimated one-third of all described marine species" in J.E.N. Veron et al., 2009, The coral reef crisis: the critical importance of <350 ppm CO2, Marine Pollution Bulletin S8:1428-1436. Going to their documentation, one finds it is either based on taxa whose number of species is very unconcincingly small compared to the totality of marine species, or on "estimates" whose "many untested assumptions make them "guestimates" at best", quoting Nancy Knowlton et al., 2010, Coral reef biodiversity, In: McIntyre, Alasdair D., Life in the World's Oceans: Diversity, Distribution, and Abundance, Wiley-Blackwell, pp.65-77. Seemingly unknown in the coral reef scientific literature, however, is data readily available online at FishBase which is practically exhaustive for the entire class Pisces. They want FishBase itself cited as: Froese, R. and D. Pauly. Editors. 2013. FishBase. World Wide Web electronic publication. <www.fishbase.org search.php=""> , under "Tools" select "Fish statistics", getting one to http://www.fishbase.org/Report/FishesUsedByHumans.php>, where the data include: Number of finfish in FishBase as of 04/2013 Species (including subspecies): 32,568 Prim. freshwater: 14,634 Prim. marine: 14,952 Brackish or diadromous: 2,982. Also on the search page, under "Tools", select "S</www.fishbase.org>	Thank you for indicating this underlying literature and resources that have been considered by the authors.

#	ID	Comment	Response
128.2	78699	(May 6th, 2010). Here it explains that while Catalog of Fishes "is usually ahead in reporting new species", in "some cases, FB [FishBase] treats a species as valid according to a published work while CofF [Catalog of Fishes] considers it as uncertain with no current valid name indicated: CofF may have decided that the work is not complete enough to follow the author's conclusions. In contrast, we think it is better to present the biological and ecological information related to this uncertain species so the case can be more easily worked out by colleagues or FB users." FishBase actually enumerates more diversity than Catalog of Fishes, as follows. To begin with, dealing with subspecies (the FB numbers above include them) Bailly's writeup above notes, "On March 31st, 2010, there were 185 species with 271 valid subspecies in FB 271 over 31,000 valid species-group taxa!" In the landmark Nov. 2012 paper, Ward Appeltans et al., 2012., The magnitude of global marine species diversity, Current Biology 22:2189-2202 William Eschmeyer, the editor of Catalog of Fishes, gives a total of 16,733 accepted described Pisces (incl. Agnatha) species (which I think would be equivalent to the taxonomic category "finfish" that constitutes FishBase), and refers to William N. Eschmeyer et al., 2010, Marine fish diversity: history of knowledge and discovery (Pisces), Zootaxa 2525:19–50. That paper, "concentrates on fishes with at least some stage of their life cycle in the sea [including all the categories of diadromous fish, it says elsewhere]. The number of valid marine species, about 16,764 (Feb. 19, 2010), is about equal to that of freshwater fishes (15,170). Valid species of fishes apparently restricted to brackish water number only 108." If one is a little loose with all the above numbers for the moment, the FB fully marine (14,952), plus FB brackish/diadromous (2,982), minus CofF brackish (108), equals 17,826, which even after subtracting possibly 100 or so for subspp., is about fully 1,000 more FishBase fully/partly m	
129	79089	Please check that all abbreviations taken from other sources are also explained here or given in full. For example, in Chapter 21 references are made to "CCSM", "MM5", "MDA8" and a plethora of other abbreviations without giving their meaning. This may be understandable in a purely scientific community, but I suggest to avoid this in work intended to be read by non-climate-modellers, too. (Joachim Rock, Johann Heinrich von Thuenen-Institute, Federal Research Institute for Rural Areas, Forestry and Fisheries)	Appropriately explaining abbreviations has been a large focus especially in the final copyedit of the report.
130	79125	Overall we feel that the report has a lot of good material but still needs a significant amount of work to focus the content and messaging towards the interests of policymakers. Key overarching issues for the UK are as follows: 1. In places the evidence needs to be more balanced in terms of subject matter, for example there should be more of a focus on the key risks, with examples of adaptation responses, less on conceptual adaptation issues. 2. We have concerns over robustness of findings in some places, given the amount of grey literature used in compiling some of the findings. This should all come to bear on the confidence statements associated with findings, although it isn't clear that it is. For example how does the reader know where expert judgement has been used versus a summary of grey literature? There needs to be an effort to ensure the confidence statements clearly reflect the ammout of evidence available, and that the underlying evidence is clearly reflected. Very often, the literature is listed but no summary is given of the main agreements / disagreements, and in most cases it is impossible to find out how strong and/or what the nature is of the evidence that has been referred to. This makes it very difficult for the reader to critically assess what the literature is saying. 3. We feel there is quite a lot of overlap across several of the chapter. For example chapters 6 and 30 are very similar. We suggest that someone, or a small group is given the responsibility of checking content across all chapters. 4. The whole report could benefit from a refocussing of content towards more factual statements, particularly on observed impacts and projections and away from philospohical discussions around adaptation. For many sections of the report, there are statements which leave the reader wondering what the value is of the statements made. 5. We were also struck at times by a Developing Country bias in the subject matter. We would have expected more scientific literature about matters related to impacts a	Thank you for the suggestions. Throughout, development of robustly supported conclusions across the suite of relevant topics has been ensured. Non-journal literature is relevant, but authors are required to apply extra evaluation in using it in order to determine its quality and appropriateness for inclusion. Reducing overlap across chapters has been a large focus in final revisions, especially for the ocean chapters. Key concrete examples have been emphasized in the final summary for policymakers, also recognizing the importance of conclusions on adaptation. Appropriate balance based on the underlying literature has been prioritized.

#	ID	Comment	Response
131	79126		Clear articulation of the conclusions of the assessment have been prioritized in the final chapter development.
132	79127	number of occasions where specific dates are used (inconsistently throughout the piece), and others where no time frame is used. (UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND)	Full harmonization of time frames is not possible, given the diversity of approaches taken in the underlying literature. However, a harmonized approach is taken in the assessment of key risks across sectors and regions. Please see the key risk tables in each chapter and in the summary products.
133	79128		Although differing chapter outline approaches are used, clear attention to communicating observed versus projected outcomes has been prioritized final chapter development.
134	79129	the stand-alone table 5-5 (UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND)	Regionally relevant information is provided in sectoral chapters, but the primary place for this type of material is in the regional chapters. Please see chapters 22 through 30 in particular.
135	79757		Improved clarity has been ensured through the final production of figures. Uses of this particular figure approach has been reduced.
136	79758	ecosystem services are discussed? In the chapter 4 discussion "supporting services' are not included are referred to, but	The approach taken and services emphasized follow the available literature as relevant to the different types of ecosystems assessed throughout the report and the different contexts in impacts and responses.
137	79782		Careful attention to balance based on the available literature and understanding has been prioritized in revision.
138			
139	80222		Please see the glossary for the crosscutting definitions used for these terms in the report.

#	ID	Comment	Response
140	80223	This brings me to a related issue. As I said for most chapters I only looked at the tables of contents, but according to those, actual adaptation measures are not explicitly part of this report, but - I assume - integrated where appropriate in orther sub-chapters. This lead me to think that chapter 14, which in the plenary approved outline, lists a synthesis of adaptation needs and options, might actually include an overview of adaptation measures, related to different categories of impacts (or something similar). This is not the case as I mentioned above. I think such a synthesis of relevant, maybe even tested adaptation options, compared across scales/sectors or the like would be very useful, if not an essential ingredient in an assessment report. It should be included consistently in a way so one does not have to search for it in the full version flowtext of each chapter in those cases where they are so unfortunate as to not find an indication in the table of contents. (Susanne Hanger, International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis)	Chapter 14 has increased its emphasis on providing a synthesis of options across sectors and regions assessed in the report.
141	80280	The document is excellently prepared with a broad, holistic approach towards climate change. The pros and cons of human activities presumably leading to climate change has been dealt objectively as far as possible. The conditions of the climate in different regions of the world have neither been ignored nor changes over emphasized. In my opinion the second draft has been prepared keeping in mind the public sentiments associated with such a sensitive subject. Each geographical region is well represented as far as the changes in ground reality are concerned. All information is based on published reports hence if there is any wrong information or exaggerated description of the changes in the environment it would be extremely difficult to assess the extent of misrepresentation. It is also not feasible to sift the quality of the information when the document size is so large. On the whole the SOD is acceptable for public dissemination. Sooner the public learns about the condition of the environment due to climate change better it would be equipped to remedy the damage already caused by human related activities to the climate. The Summary for Policymakers will help the global community if each and every nation pays due attention to the human related activities identified and actions thereupon delineated. (Shelley Bhattacharya, Visva Bharati University)	Thank you for this feedback.
142	80296	These WGI TSU and Co-Chair review comments have been prepared by Thomas Stocker, Gian-Kasper Plattner, Simon Allen, Yu Xia, and Alexander Nauels. (Gian-Kasper Plattner, IPCC WGI TSU)	Thank you for this note clarifying the reviewer contributions.
143	80297	The WGI TSU and Co-Chair review comments cover issues identified in the WGII SOD related to the WGI contribution to the AR5 with regard to consistency, missing references, and sometimes reassessments of WGI-material in the WGII contribution. We did flag a number of issues in most (but not all) of the Chapters. We do not attempt to propose alternative text etc. but simply flag the issues. In few cases, we go as far as saying that we are concerned by seeing WGI-type material assessed in WGII, but that's generally complemented by an encouragement to either ensure feedback from the relevant WGI authors, avoid duplication of assessments from WGI in the WGII report, and/or ensure consistency with the WGI AR5 contribution. In many cases we feel that providing the physical science basis context by referring to the WGI AR5 rather than doing a separate assessment would already help substantially in avoiding duplication of assessments and ensuring consistency between WGII and WGI. (Gian-Kasper Plattner, IPCC WGI TSU)	Thank you very much for this review, with the noted features.
144	80298	Many references to WGI and/or to the IPCC SREX currently are too unspecific, i.e., lack the information of which Chapter of the report is been referred to. Often the entire report, or the SPM-only, is referred to as a whole. We suggest to be as specific as possible and to refer to the Chapters in the underlying report whenever possible. (Gian-Kasper Plattner, IPCC WGI TSU)	The necessity of further specificity is fully acknowledged and has been prioritized wherever possible.
145	85245	Most of the report is futile. People have always coped with whatever the climate does and your advice is mainly not very original. You just seem to make it appear frantic whereas it is routine, Your obsession with supposed wrming or ocean acidification does not help.Relative sea level is not rising from the most recent observations There is some useful information on polulation and urban trends but otherwise it is overblown irrelevances (Vincent Gray, Climate Consultant)	The assessment of future risks is fully rooted in the available scientific and technical evidence.