

Creating a Social Needs Screening and Referral Experiential Learning Opportunity

Ashley Gropitch, Emily Clear, MPH, Rachel-Hogg Graham, DrPH | University of Kentucky, College of Public Health

BACKGROUND

Social Determinants of Health:

The Social Determinants of Health (SDOH) characterize the environmental, social, and political forces that shape our health, including employment status, healthcare access, education, housing conditions, and more¹. Research has shown SDOHs play a predictive role in health outcomes so additional research is needed to support targeted interventions addressing SDOHs¹.



CMS Requirements²:

Performance Measure	Title	Description
SDOH-1	Screening for Social Drivers of Health	Report if screening for the five SDOH domains
SDOH-2	Screen Positive Rate for Social Drivers of Health	Report positive screening rates for five SDOH domains

Starting in 2023, the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) required hospitals reporting to the Inpatient Quality Reporting (IQR) program to both screen patients for SDOHs and record positive screening rates². This includes University of Kentucky (UK) Healthcare. The five domains requiring screening by CMS include: food insecurity, housing instability, transportation needs, utility difficulties, and interpersonal safety².

PROBLEM AND PROPOSAL

Problem: Hospitals with healthcare worker shortages and limited resources to grow staff may have a reduced capacity to implement and maintain screening³.

Proposal: Creating a student experiential opportunity to support social needs screening and referral is a potential solution to meet the personnel gap³.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS



METHODS

A literature review was conducted in UK Libraries' search engine, InfoKat, using the queries: "Social Determinants of Health Screening," ["Social Determinants of Health Screening" AND "implementation"], ["Social Determinants of Health Screening" AND "referral"], and ["Social Determinants of Health Screening" AND ("student volunteer" OR "student" OR "volunteer")]. Results were screened for relevancy to topic by discussion of specific screening, referral, and implementation methods, especially when utilizing students in volunteer- or credit-based experiences. Post-screening, a total of 15 articles were reviewed for strengths and weaknesses regarding social needs and referral screenings, with 5 directly informing models, seen in the model tables.

IMPLICATIONS

Per the CMS mandate, hospitals reporting to the IQR program are required to both report a screening mechanism for SDOHs and positive screening rates². While screening and referral (S&R) programs are important in decreasing barriers to healthcare access and improving overall health outcomes, limited resources and staff may create difficulty in expanding current employee roles to encompass S&R programs³. In exploring the strengths and weakness of both employee-based and student-based S&R programs, there are benefits and drawbacks to both; however, student-based S&R programs create a unique opportunity to decrease burden on staff and provide hands-on clinical experience for students interested in healthcare³⁻⁵. Both models are not mutually exclusive and could be combined for an effective S&R program, especially when utilizing students in screening and follow-up and community health workers in referrals^{3,5,7}. This necessitates further research into the character and quality of referral networks and its impact on the effectiveness of S&R programs.

MODEL COMPARISONS

Student Experience Models						
Student Role	Program	Setting	Screening Format	Referral Format	Strengths	Weaknesses
Volunteer as Staff⁴	Volunteer staff, including undergraduate and graduate nursing students, performed screenings and referrals ⁴	Nurse-Led Mobile Clinic ⁴	Paper ⁴	Information Provided by Patient Request ⁴	Nursing education included background in SDOHs, so volunteers had prior familiarity ⁴	Literacy issues may have prevented patients from filling out forms ⁴ Patient responses must be reported manually and could have resulted in reporting errors ⁴ Patients may have chosen or forgotten to reach out, reducing the likelihood a patient is followed up with ⁴
Service-Learning Program, More Conservative Model³	Undergraduate-led program where trained students performed ONLY follow-up ³	Academic Emergency Department ³	Electronic Medical Records (EMR) Integration ³	Automatic ³	Supported the behavioral health team performing the screening and referral process by increasing follow-up by calling patients ³	Data was entered twice because students were not able to have access to the EMRs in maintaining system security ³
Service-Learning Program, Less Conservative Model⁵	Undergraduate-led program where trained students performed both screening, referral, AND follow-up ⁵	Academic Emergency Department ⁵	Digital tablet ⁵	Automatic ⁵	Provided additional capacity for follow-up with patients and reduced burden on hospital staff by passing the task to students ⁵	Undergraduates were required to maintain their position for nine months, decreasing turnover ⁵

Expanding Employee Role Models						
Employee Role	Program	Setting	Screening Format	Referral Format	Strengths	Weaknesses
Hospital Staff⁶	Staff who checked patients in and collected insurance information were trained in both administering a screening survey and referring patients ⁶	Academic Emergency Department ⁶	Digital tablet ⁶	Information Provided by Patient Request ⁶	Shared referrals in real-time to community partners, who then reached out to patients, reducing the likelihood a patient would not be followed up with ⁶ Staff felt given their experience and training, they were well-equipped to determine if patients would benefit from screening and referral services ⁶	Staff felt initially uncomfortable asking questions about unmet social needs ⁶ Despite minimal evidence of logistical barriers or barriers related to time required to screen, only a third of patients who screened positive for a social need requested a referral ⁶
Medical Assistants & Community Health Workers⁷	Medical Assistants and Community Health Workers worked in tandem to complete both screenings and referrals ⁷	Outpatient Family Medicine Clinic ⁷	Paper or orally, then EMR Entry ⁷	Information Provided by Patient Request ⁷	Decreased barriers for people with low literacy and people who were visually- or hearing- impaired by offering multiple formats ⁷ Medical Assistants provided an initial screening then referral with resource fliers. Then Community Health Workers assisted patients with accessing services by filling out forms, accompanying patients, arranging meetings, and more ⁷	

1. Centers for Disease Control. Social determinants of health. Published 2023. <https://www.cdc.gov/publichealthgateway/sdoh/index.html>

2. Quality Reporting Center. Screening for social drivers of health measure and the screen positive rate for social drivers of health. https://qualityreportingcenter.com/globalassets/2023/04/iqr/scrnsocdrvrs_scm_pos_specs-thi-edits-v2508.pdf

3. Sandhu S, Xu J, Blanchard L, et al. A Community Resource Navigator Model: Utilizing Student Volunteers to Integrate Health and Social Care in a Community Health Center Setting. *Int J Integr Care*. 2021;21(1):2. doi: 10.5334/ijic.5501

4. Mullen L, Oermann M, Cockroft M, et al. Screening for the social determinants of health: Referring patients to community-based services. *J Am Assoc Nurse Pract*. 2023;35(12):835-842. doi: 10.1097/JAX.0000000000000922

5. Cohen A, Hu S, Bellon M, et al. A lasting impact? Exploring the immediate and longitudinal impact of an emergency department service learning help desk program. *AEM Educ Train*. 2022;6(3):e10760. doi: 10.1002/aet2.10760

6. Wallace A, Luther B, Sisler S, et al. Integrating social determinants of health screening and referral during routine emergency department care: Evaluation of reach and implementation challenges. *Implement Sci Commun*. 2021;2(1):114. doi: 10.1186/s43058-021-00212-y

7. Page-Reeves J, Kaufman W, Bleeker M, et al. Addressing social determinants of health in a clinic setting: The WellRx pilot in Albuquerque, New Mexico. *J Am Board Fam Med*. 2016;29(3):414-8. doi: 10.3122/jabfm.2016.03.150272