

# Some Linear Transformations on Symmetric Functions Arising From a Formula of Thiel and Williams

Teresa Xueshan Li<sup>†</sup>, Lili Mu<sup>\*</sup>, and Richard P. Stanley<sup>‡</sup>

<sup>†</sup>*School of Mathematics and Statistics, Southwest University, Chongqing 400715, PR China*  
*Email: pmgb@swu.edu.cn*

<sup>\*</sup>*School of Mathematics and Statistics, Jiangsu Normal University, Xuzhou 221116, PR China*  
*Email: lilimu@jnsu.edu.cn*

<sup>‡</sup>*Department of Mathematics, University of Miami, Coral Gables, FL 33124*  
*Email: rstan@math.mit.edu*

**Received:** January 3, 2023, **Accepted:** May 31, 2023, **Published:** June 9, 2023  
 The authors: Released under the CC BY-ND license (International 4.0)

**ABSTRACT:** We consider a linear operator  $\psi_r$  from the ring  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}$  of symmetric functions over  $\mathbb{Q}$  to the polynomial ring  $\mathbb{Q}[n]$  defined by  $\psi_r m_{\lambda} = \left[ \sum_{i=1}^l (\lambda_i)_r \right] m_{\lambda}(1^n)$ , where  $m_{\lambda}$  is a monomial symmetric function,  $(\lambda_i)_r$  denotes the falling factorial, and  $m_{\lambda}(1^n)$  denotes  $m_{\lambda}$  evaluated at  $x_1 = \dots = x_n = 1$ ,  $x_i = 0$  for  $i > n$ . We obtain formulas for many instances of  $\psi_r b_{\lambda}$ , where  $b_{\lambda}$  denotes one of the six standard bases for  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . The formula for  $\psi_2 s_{\lambda}$ , where  $s_{\lambda}$  is a Schur function, is equivalent to a formula of M. Thiel and N. Williams on the expected square norm of the weight of an irreducible representation of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C})$ .

**Keywords:** Schur function; Symmetric function; Thiel-Williams formula

**2020 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 05E05

## 1. Introduction

The motivation for this paper is a formula [5, Thm. 1.1] of M. Thiel and N. Williams, namely, for a complex simple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  with an irreducible representation  $V_{\lambda}$  of highest weight  $\lambda$ , the expected squared norm of a weight in  $V_{\lambda}$  is

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mu \in V_{\lambda}} (\langle \mu, \mu \rangle) := \frac{1}{\dim V_{\lambda}} \sum_{\mu \in V_{\lambda}} \dim(V_{\lambda}(\mu)) \langle \mu, \mu \rangle = \frac{1}{h+1} \langle \lambda, \lambda + 2\rho \rangle, \quad (1)$$

where  $\dim V_{\lambda}(\mu)$  is the multiplicity of  $\mu$  in  $V_{\lambda}$ ,  $h$  is the Coxeter number of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and  $\rho$  is the half-sum of the positive roots. (The sum over  $\mu \in V_{\lambda}$  has only finitely many nonzero terms.)

In type  $A$ , that is,  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C})$ , equation (1) can be stated in terms of symmetric functions in the variables  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . Moreover, this restated formula stabilizes as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , so we get a formula involving symmetric functions in infinitely many variables.

To state this formula, we will use standard notation and terminology from the theory of symmetric functions as found in [3, Ch. 7]. In particular,  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  now denote partitions (rather than weights). If  $\lambda$  is a partition of  $d$ , then we write  $\lambda \vdash d$ ,  $|\lambda| = d$ , or  $\lambda \in \text{Par}(d)$ . We also write  $\lambda = \langle 1^{m_1} 2^{m_2} \dots d^{m_d} \rangle$  if  $\lambda$  has  $m_i = m_i(\lambda)$  parts equal to  $i$ , so  $\sum im_i = |\lambda|$ . The *length*  $\ell(\lambda)$  is the total number of parts, so  $\ell(\lambda) = \sum m_i$ . Let  $\lambda'_i$  be the number of parts of  $\lambda$  that are greater than or equal to  $i$ . The partition  $\lambda' = (\lambda'_1, \lambda'_2, \dots, \lambda'_k)$  is called the *conjugate* partition of  $\lambda$ . Thus  $\lambda'_1 = \ell(\lambda)$  and  $\lambda_1 = \ell(\lambda')$ .

Throughout this paper,  $\mathbb{P}$  and  $\mathbb{Q}$  respectively denote the sets of positive integers and rational numbers. Recall that the algebra  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}(x)$  of symmetric functions has various bases that are indexed by the set  $\text{Par}$  of partitions, including  $m_{\lambda} = m_{\lambda}(x)$  (monomial symmetric functions),  $p_{\lambda}$  (power sum symmetric functions),  $e_{\lambda}$  (elementary symmetric functions),  $h_{\lambda}$  (complete homogeneous symmetric functions),  $s_{\lambda}$  (Schur functions), and  $\text{fo}_{\lambda} = \omega m_{\lambda}$  (forgotten symmetric functions), where  $\omega$  is the involution on  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}$  defined by  $\omega(h_{\lambda}) = e_{\lambda}$ . For  $f(x) \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}(x)$ , let

$$f(1^n) = f(\overbrace{1, \dots, 1}^n, 0, 0, \dots).$$

For fixed  $f$ , the function  $f(1^n)$  is always a polynomial in  $n$ .

Let  $n, r \in \mathbb{P}$ . For  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell) \in \text{Par}$ , define a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear transformation

$$\psi_r : \Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[n]$$

by

$$\psi_r m_\lambda = \left[ \sum_{i=1}^l (\lambda_i)_r \right] m_\lambda(1^n),$$

where  $(a)_r = a(a-1) \cdots (a-r+1)$  and  $l = \ell(\lambda)$ .

We can now state (in an equivalent form) the result of Thiel and Williams [5] in the case  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C})$ , namely, for  $\lambda \vdash d$ ,

$$\psi_2 s_\lambda = \frac{2f^{\lambda/(2)}}{(d-2)!} \cdot \frac{\prod_{u \in \lambda} (n+c(u))}{n+1}, \tag{2}$$

where  $f^{\lambda/(2)}$  is the number of standard tableaux of the skew shape  $\lambda/(2)$  (interpreted to be 0 if  $(2) \not\subseteq \lambda$ , i.e., if  $\lambda = (1^d)$ ), and where  $c(u)$  is the content of the square  $u$  of (the Young diagram of)  $\lambda$ .

The elegant formula (2) suggests that it might be interesting to apply  $\psi_2$  to other symmetric function bases and to generalize from  $\psi_2$  to  $\psi_r$ .

In the next section (Section 2) we prove that for any symmetric function  $f$ ,

$$[z^r]f(z+1, \overbrace{1, \dots, 1}^{n-1}, 0, 0, \dots) = \frac{1}{n \cdot r!} \psi_r f,$$

where  $[z^r]g$  denotes the coefficient of  $z^r$  in  $g$  (when expanded as a power series in  $z$ ). This representation allows us to compute  $\psi_r b_\lambda$  for various bases of  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . In particular, if  $\lambda \vdash d$  then

$$\psi_r s_\lambda = C_{\lambda r} \cdot \frac{\prod_{u \in \lambda} (n+c(u))}{(n+1)(n+2) \cdots (n+r-1)}.$$

Here  $c(u)$  is the content of the square  $u$  of the (diagram of)  $\lambda$  and

$$C_{\lambda r} = \begin{cases} \frac{r!}{(d-r)!} f^{\lambda/(r)} & \text{if } \lambda_1 \geq r \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where  $f^{\lambda/(r)}$  is the number of standard Young tableaux of the skew shape  $\lambda/(r)$ .

**Remark 1.1.** *The actual formula of Thiel and Williams mentioned above dealt (essentially) with the operator  $\hat{\psi}_2 : \Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[n]$  defined by*

$$\hat{\psi}_2 m_\lambda = \left( \sum_{i=1}^l \lambda_i^2 \right) m_\lambda(1^n).$$

Since for  $\lambda \vdash d$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\psi}_2 m_\lambda &= \psi_2 m_\lambda + \left( \sum_{i=1}^l \lambda_i \right) m_\lambda(1^n) \\ &= \psi_2 m_\lambda + d m_\lambda(1^n), \end{aligned}$$

it follows that for any homogeneous symmetric function  $f$  of degree  $d$ ,

$$\hat{\psi}_2 f = \psi_2 f + d f(1^n).$$

More generally, we can define a linear transformation  $\hat{\psi}_r$  for  $r \geq 2$  by

$$\hat{\psi}_r m_\lambda = \left( \sum_{i=1}^l \lambda_i^r \right) m_\lambda(1^n).$$

Since in general (e.g., [2, (1.96)])

$$a^r = \sum_{k=1}^r S(r, k)(a)_k,$$

where  $S(r, k)$  is a Stirling number of the second kind, our formulas for  $\psi_r f$  yield formulas for  $\hat{\psi}_r f$ .

## 2. A formula for $\psi_r f$

Let  $n \in \mathbb{P}$  and  $z$  be an indeterminate. For any symmetric function  $f \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}$  write

$$\vartheta f = f(z + 1, \overbrace{1, \dots, 1}^{n-1}, 0, 0, \dots).$$

It is clear from the definition that  $\vartheta(m_\lambda)$  is a polynomial in  $z$  (with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Q}[n]$ ) of degree at most  $\lambda_1$ . Hence  $\vartheta$  is an algebra homomorphism  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[n, z]$ . For instance,

$$\vartheta s_{21} = (n-1)z^2 + (n-1)(n+1)z + \frac{1}{3}(n-1)n(n+1).$$

**Theorem 2.1.** *For any  $r \in \mathbb{P}$  and  $f \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}$ , we have*

$$[z^r]\vartheta f = \frac{1}{n \cdot r!} \psi_r f.$$

*Proof.* By linearity it suffices to show that the theorem is true for  $f = m_\lambda$ . Let  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_l) = \langle 1^{m_1} 2^{m_2} \dots d^{m_d} \rangle \vdash d$ . Set

$$b_\lambda(n) := \binom{n-1}{l} \cdot \binom{l}{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_d}.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta m_\lambda &= \left[ \sum_{i=1}^d (z+1)^i \cdot \binom{n-1}{l-1} \cdot \binom{l-1}{m_1, \dots, m_{i-1}, m_i-1, m_{i+1}, \dots, m_d} \right] + b_\lambda(n) \\ &= \left[ \sum_{i=1}^d m_i (z+1)^i \cdot \binom{n-1}{l-1} \cdot \binom{l-1}{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_d} \right] + b_\lambda(n) \\ &= \left[ \sum_{i=1}^l (z+1)^{\lambda_i} \right] \cdot \binom{n-1}{l-1} \cdot \binom{l-1}{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_d} + b_\lambda(n) \\ &= \left[ \sum_{i=1}^l \sum_{r=0}^{\lambda_i} \binom{\lambda_i}{r} z^r \right] \cdot \frac{1}{n} \binom{n}{l} \binom{l}{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_d} + b_\lambda(n) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \binom{n}{l} \binom{l}{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_d} \cdot \left[ \sum_{r=0}^{\lambda_1} \frac{1}{r!} \left( \sum_{i=0}^l (\lambda_i)_r \right) z^r \right] + b_\lambda(n) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} m_\lambda(1^n) \cdot \left[ \sum_{r=0}^{\lambda_1} \frac{1}{r!} \left( \sum_{i=0}^l (\lambda_i)_r \right) z^r \right] + b_\lambda(n), \end{aligned}$$

so the proof follows. □

We can also prove Theorem 2.1 by applying  $\vartheta$  (acting on  $x$  variables only, so  $y$  variables are regarded as scalars) to both sides of the following identity

$$\sum_{\lambda} m_\lambda(x) h_\lambda(y) = \prod_{i,j} \frac{1}{1-x_i y_j} = \exp \left( \sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{1}{i} p_i(x) p_i(y) \right)$$

to get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\lambda} \vartheta m_\lambda(x) \cdot h_\lambda(y) &= \exp \left( \sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{1}{i} \vartheta p_i(x) \cdot p_i(y) \right) \\ &= \exp \left\{ \sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{1}{i} [(n-1) + (z+1)^i] p_i(y) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \left[ \exp \left( \sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{1}{i} p_i(y) \right) \right]^{n-1} \cdot \left[ \exp \left( \sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{1}{i} (z+1)^i p_i(y) \right) \right] \\
 &= \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h_k(y) \right]^{n-1} \cdot \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (z+1)^j h_j(y) \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Then we can complete the proof by comparing the coefficient of  $h_\lambda(y)$ ; we omit the details.

### 3. Schur functions

**Theorem 3.1.** For  $\lambda \vdash d$  and  $r \in \mathbb{P}$ , we have

$$\psi_r s_\lambda = C_{\lambda r} \cdot \frac{\prod_{u \in \lambda} (n + c(u))}{(n+1)(n+2) \cdots (n+r-1)}$$

where

$$C_{\lambda r} = \begin{cases} \frac{r!}{(d-r)!} f^{\lambda/(r)}, & \text{if } \lambda_1 \geq r, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Firstly, we claim that

$$s_\lambda(x_1 + 1, x_2 + 1, \dots, x_n + 1) = \sum_{\mu \subseteq \lambda} \frac{f^{\lambda/\mu}}{|\lambda/\mu|} \left( \prod_{u \in \lambda/\mu} (n + c(u)) \right) s_\mu(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n). \tag{3}$$

Indeed, using standard notation from [3, §7.15] we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 s_\lambda(x_1 + 1, \dots, x_n + 1) &= \frac{a_{\lambda+\delta}(x_1 + 1, \dots, x_n + 1)}{a_\delta(x_1 + 1, \dots, x_n + 1)} \\
 &= \frac{a_{\lambda+\delta}(x_1 + 1, \dots, x_n + 1)}{a_\delta(x_1, \dots, x_n)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

We can expand the entries of  $a_{\lambda+\delta}(x_1 + 1, \dots, x_n + 1)$  and use the multilinearity of the determinant to get (see [1, Example I.3.10, p. 47])

$$s_\lambda(x_1 + 1, \dots, x_n + 1) = \sum_{\mu \subseteq \lambda} d_{\lambda\mu} s_\mu,$$

where

$$d_{\lambda\mu} = \det \left( \left( \binom{\lambda_i + n - i}{\mu_j + n - j} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \right).$$

We can factor out factorials from the numerators of the row entries and denominators of the column entries of the above determinant. These factorials altogether yield  $\prod_{u \in \lambda/\mu} (n + c(u))$ . What remains is exactly the determinant for  $f^{\lambda/\mu}/|\lambda/\mu|!$  given by Corollary 7.16.3 in [3]. This completes the proof of equation (3). Set  $x_1 = z$  and  $x_2 = x_3 = \dots = x_n = 0$  in (3). Then we have

$$\vartheta s_\lambda = \sum_{\mu \subseteq \lambda} \frac{f^{\lambda/\mu}}{|\lambda/\mu|!} \left( \prod_{u \in \lambda/\mu} (n + c(u)) \right) s_\mu(z, 0, 0, \dots, 0).$$

Note that

$$s_\mu(z, 0, 0, \dots, 0) = \begin{cases} z^r & \text{if } \mu = (r), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore we have

$$\vartheta s_\lambda = \sum_{r=0}^{\lambda_1} \frac{f^{\lambda/(r)}}{(d-r)!} \left( \prod_{u \in \lambda/(r)} (n + c(u)) \right) z^r$$

$$= \sum_{r=0}^{\lambda_1} \frac{f^{\lambda/(r)}}{(d-r)!} \cdot \frac{\prod_{u \in \lambda} (n+c(u))}{n(n+1) \cdots (n+r-1)} z^r.$$

Then it follows from Theorem 2.1 that, for any  $1 \leq r \leq \lambda_1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_r s_\lambda &= n \cdot r! \cdot [z^r](\vartheta s_\lambda) \\ &= \frac{r!}{(d-r)!} f^{\lambda/(r)} \cdot \frac{\prod_{u \in \lambda} (n+c(u))}{(n+1)(n+2) \cdots (n+r-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

□

## 4. Formulas for $\psi_2(p_\lambda)$ , $\psi_2(e_\lambda)$ and $\psi_2(h_\lambda)$

For any  $f \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}$ , by Theorem 2.1 and by the definition of  $\vartheta$ , we have

$$\vartheta f = f(1^n) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{r \geq 1} \frac{\psi_r f}{r!} z^r. \tag{4}$$

This implies that  $\vartheta f$  can be regarded as the generating function for  $\psi_r f$ . Then it is natural to consider  $\psi_r b_\lambda$  for other bases  $\{b_\lambda\}$ . We shall show that, for general  $r$ ,  $\psi_r e_\lambda$  also has a nice formula that can be written as the product of linear factors. Although for general  $r$ ,  $\psi_r p_\lambda$ ,  $\psi_r h_\lambda$  and  $\psi_r f_{\circ\lambda}$  do not have such nice formulas, the case for  $r = 2$  turns out to be simple.

In this section, we will exploit the relation (4) to get formulas for  $\psi_r e_\lambda$ ,  $\psi_2 p_\lambda$  and  $\psi_2 h_\lambda$ . For the forgotten symmetric function  $f_{\circ\lambda}$ , this method seems to be not very effective. We will use another tool in the next section to derive the formula for  $\psi_2 f_{\circ\lambda}$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** *For  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_l) \vdash d$ , we have*

(1)  $\psi_r e_\lambda = \tilde{C}_{\lambda r} \cdot n^{l-r+1} \cdot \prod_{i \geq 2} (n-i+1)^{\lambda'_i}$ , where

$$\tilde{C}_{\lambda r} = \begin{cases} \frac{r!}{\prod_{i=1}^l \lambda_i!} \left( \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_r} \lambda_{i_1} \lambda_{i_2} \cdots \lambda_{i_r} \right) & \text{if } r \leq l, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(2)  $\psi_2 p_\lambda = n^{l-1} \cdot \left[ \left( \sum_{i=1}^l \lambda_i^2 - d \right) n + d^2 - \sum_{i=1}^l \lambda_i^2 \right]$ .

(3)  $\psi_2 h_\lambda = \frac{[2(\sum_{i=1}^l \lambda_i^2) - 2d + 2 \sum_{i < j} \lambda_i \lambda_j] \cdot n + 2 \sum_{i < j} \lambda_i \lambda_j}{n(n+1) \prod_{i=1}^l \lambda_i!} \cdot \prod_{i \geq 1} (n+i-1)^{\lambda'_i}$ .

*Proof.* (1) By equation (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_r e_\lambda &= r! n \cdot [z^r](\vartheta e_\lambda) \\ &= r! n \cdot [z^r](\vartheta e_{\lambda_1} \cdot \vartheta e_{\lambda_2} \cdots \vartheta e_{\lambda_l}) \\ &= r! n \cdot [z^r](\vartheta s_{1^{\lambda_1}} \cdot \vartheta s_{1^{\lambda_2}} \cdots \vartheta s_{1^{\lambda_l}}). \end{aligned}$$

By the proof of Theorem 3.1, we get

$$\vartheta s_{1^k} = \frac{(n-1)(n-2) \cdots (n-k+1)}{k!} (n+kz).$$

Then we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_r e_\lambda &= r! n \cdot [z^r] \left[ \prod_{i=1}^l \frac{(n-1)(n-2) \cdots (n-\lambda_i+1)}{\lambda_i!} (n+\lambda_i z) \right] \\ &= r! n \cdot \frac{\prod_{i \geq 2} (n-i+1)^{\lambda'_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^l \lambda_i!} \cdot [z^r] \left[ \prod_{i=1}^l (n+\lambda_i z) \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \begin{cases} r! n \cdot \frac{\prod_{i \geq 2} (n-i+1)^{\lambda'_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^l \lambda_i!} \left( \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_r} \lambda_{i_1} \lambda_{i_2} \dots \lambda_{i_r} \right) \cdot n^{l-r}, & \text{if } r \leq l \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\
 &= \tilde{C}_{\lambda r} \cdot n^{l-r+1} \cdot \prod_{i \geq 2} (n-i+1)^{\lambda'_i}
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tilde{C}_{\lambda r} = \begin{cases} \frac{r!}{\prod_{i=1}^l \lambda_i!} \left( \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_r} \lambda_{i_1} \lambda_{i_2} \dots \lambda_{i_r} \right) & \text{if } r \leq l, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(2) Again, by equation (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \psi_2 p_\lambda &= 2n \cdot [z^2](\vartheta p_\lambda) \\
 &= 2n \cdot [z^2](\vartheta p_{\lambda_1} \cdot \vartheta p_{\lambda_2} \dots \vartheta p_{\lambda_l}) \\
 &= 2n \cdot [z^2] \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^l [n-1+(z+1)^{\lambda_i}] \right\} \\
 &= 2n \cdot \left[ n^{l-2} \left( \sum_{i < j} \lambda_i \lambda_j \right) + n^{l-1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^l \binom{\lambda_i}{2} \right) \right] \\
 &= n^{l-1} \cdot \left[ 2 \sum_{i < j} \lambda_i \lambda_j + n \left( \sum_{i=1}^l \lambda_i^2 - d \right) \right] \\
 &= n^{l-1} \cdot \left[ \left( \sum_{i=1}^l \lambda_i^2 - d \right) n + d^2 - \sum_{i=1}^l \lambda_i^2 \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the formula for  $\psi_2 p_\lambda$ .

(3) The formula of  $\psi_2 h_\lambda$  can be proved similarly, by using the fact that  $h_\lambda$  is a multiplicative basis of  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}$  and by Theorem 2.1. We omit the details here. □

**Remark 4.1.** For general  $r$ , the formulas of  $\psi_r p_\lambda$  and  $\psi_r h_\lambda$  do not necessarily have such nice decompositions. For instance,

$$\psi_3 h_{321} = n(n+1)(19n^2 + 35n + 6)$$

and

$$\psi_3 p_{3211} = 6n^2(n^2 + 17n + 17).$$

## 5. Forgotten symmetric functions

To prove the formula for  $\psi_2 \text{fo}_\lambda$ , we need the following reformulation of the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear transformation  $\psi_2$  in terms of a differential operator.

Since we have

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} (x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots) = \alpha_i(\alpha_i - 1) x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_i^{\alpha_i - 2} \dots,$$

then it is easy to see that

$$\left[ \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} \right) m_\lambda \right]_{\substack{x_1 = \dots = x_n = 1 \\ x_{n+1} = \dots = 0}} = \left( \sum_{i=1}^l \lambda_i(\lambda_i - 1) \right) m_\lambda(1^n). \tag{5}$$

For simplicity of notation we define a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear transformation  $D_n^2 : \Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}(x) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[n]$  by

$$D_n^2 f = \left[ \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} \right) f \right]_{\substack{x_1=\dots=x_n=1 \\ x_{n+1}=\dots=0}}.$$

By equation (5), we have  $D_n^2 f = \psi_2 f$  for any  $f \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}$ .

**Theorem 5.1.** For  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_l) \vdash d$ , we have

$$\psi_2 \text{fo}_{\lambda} = \frac{\varepsilon_{\lambda} \prod_{i=1}^l (n+i-1)}{(n+1) \prod m_i(\lambda)!} \left[ \left( \sum_{i=1}^l \lambda_i^2 - d \right) n + 2d^2 - d - \sum_{i=1}^l \lambda_i^2 \right],$$

where  $\varepsilon_{\lambda} = (-1)^{|\lambda|+\ell(\lambda)}$ .

*Proof.* By regarding variables  $y$  as scalars and applying  $\omega$  to the identity

$$H(x, y) = \prod_{i,j=1}^{\infty} (1 + x_i y_j) = \sum_{\lambda} m_{\lambda}(x) e_{\lambda}(y),$$

we then obtain

$$C(x, y) = \prod_{i,j} \frac{1}{1 - x_i y_j} = \sum_{\lambda} \text{fo}_{\lambda}(x) \cdot e_{\lambda}(y). \tag{6}$$

By applying  $D_n^2$  to the left hand side of (6) and  $\psi_2$  to the right hand side, we deduce that

$$nC(1^n, y) \left[ \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} p_m(y) \right)^2 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (m-1)p_m(y) \right] = \sum_{\lambda} \psi_2 \text{fo}_{\lambda} \cdot e_{\lambda}(y).$$

Then it follows that  $\psi_2 \text{fo}_{\lambda}$  is the coefficient of  $e_{\lambda}(y)$  in

$$\left[ n \sum_{\mu} \text{fo}_{\mu}(1^n) \cdot e_{\mu}(y) \right] \cdot \left[ \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} p_m(y) \right)^2 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (m-1)p_m(y) \right].$$

By Newton's identities, we have

$$\begin{aligned} p_m(y) &= \sum_{\substack{(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_m) \in \mathbb{N}^m \\ r_1 + 2r_2 + \dots + mr_m = m}} (-1)^m \frac{m(r_1 + r_2 + \dots + r_m - 1)!}{r_1! \dots r_m!} \prod_{i=1}^m (-e_i(y))^{r_i} \\ &= \sum_{\nu \vdash m} (-1)^{|\nu|+\ell(\nu)} \frac{|\nu|(\ell(\nu)-1)!}{\prod m_i(\nu)!} e_{\nu}(y) \\ &= \sum_{\nu \vdash m} \varepsilon_{\nu} |\nu| \frac{(\ell(\nu)-1)!}{\prod m_i(\nu)!} e_{\nu}(y) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\varepsilon_{\nu} = (-1)^{|\nu|+\ell(\nu)}$ . Note that for a partition  $\mu \in \text{Par}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{fo}_{\mu}(1^n) &= (-1)^{|\mu|} \binom{-n}{\ell(\mu)} \binom{\ell(\mu)}{m_1(\mu), m_2(\mu), \dots} \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon_{\mu} (n + \ell(\mu) - 1)!}{(\prod m_i(\mu)!)(n-1)!}. \end{aligned}$$

Write  $\text{Par}^*$  for  $\text{Par} \setminus \emptyset$ , the set of all partitions excluding the partition  $\emptyset$  of 0. We then deduce that for any  $\lambda \vdash d$  with  $\ell(\lambda) \geq 2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_2 \text{fo}_{\lambda} &= n \sum_{\substack{(\mu, \nu, \rho) \in \text{Par} \times \text{Par}^* \times \text{Par}^* \\ \mu \cup \nu \cup \rho = \lambda \text{ as multisets}}} \frac{\varepsilon_{\mu} (n + \ell(\mu) - 1)! \varepsilon_{\nu} |\nu| (\ell(\nu) - 1)! \varepsilon_{\rho} |\rho| (\ell(\rho) - 1)!}{(\prod m_i(\mu)!)(n-1)! (\prod m_i(\nu)!)(\prod m_i(\rho)!)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+ n \sum_{\substack{(\mu, \nu) \in \text{Par} \times \text{Par}^*, \\ \mu \cup \nu = \lambda \text{ as multisets}}} \frac{\varepsilon_\mu (n + \ell(\mu) - 1)! \varepsilon_\nu |\nu| (|\nu| - 1) (\ell(\nu) - 1)!}{(\prod m_i(\mu)!)(n - 1)! (\prod m_i(\nu)!)} \\
 &= \frac{n\varepsilon_\lambda}{\prod m_i(\lambda)!} \sum_{(\mu, \nu, \rho)} (n)^{\overline{\ell(\mu)}} (1)^{\overline{\ell(\nu)-1}} (1)^{\overline{\ell(\rho)-1}} \frac{|\nu||\rho| \prod m_i(\lambda)!}{\prod_i (m_i(\mu)! m_i(\nu)! m_i(\rho)!)} \tag{7}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \frac{n\varepsilon_\lambda}{\prod m_i(\lambda)!} \sum_{(\mu, \nu)} (n)^{\overline{\ell(\mu)}} (1)^{\overline{\ell(\nu)-1}} \frac{|\nu| (|\nu| - 1) \prod m_i(\lambda)!}{\prod_i (m_i(\mu)! m_i(\nu)!)} \tag{8}$$

where  $(x)^{\bar{k}}$  denotes the rising factorial. Now we simplify the summands (7) and (8) respectively. Note that the summand (7) is equal to

$$\frac{n\varepsilon_\lambda}{\prod m_i(\lambda)!} \sum_{\substack{(l_1, l_2, l_3) \\ l_1 \geq 0, l_2, l_3 \geq 1 \\ l_1 + l_2 + l_3 = \ell(\lambda)}} (n)^{\bar{l}_1} (1)^{\bar{l}_2 - 1} (1)^{\bar{l}_3 - 1} \sum_{\substack{(\mu, \nu, \rho) \\ \ell(\mu) = l_1 \\ \ell(\nu) = l_2 \\ \ell(\rho) = l_3 \\ \mu \cup \nu \cup \rho = \lambda}} \frac{|\nu||\rho| \prod m_i(\lambda)!}{\prod_i (m_i(\mu)! m_i(\nu)! m_i(\rho)!)}$$

Considering the inner sum of the above equation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{\substack{(\mu, \nu, \rho) \\ \ell(\mu) = l_1 \\ \ell(\nu) = l_2 \\ \ell(\rho) = l_3 \\ \mu \cup \nu \cup \rho = \lambda}} \frac{|\nu||\rho| \prod m_i(\lambda)!}{\prod_i (m_i(\mu)! m_i(\nu)! m_i(\rho)!)} &= \sum_{\substack{(S, T) \\ S, T \subseteq [l], S \cap T = \emptyset \\ |S| = l_2, |T| = l_3}} \left( \sum_{i \in S} \lambda_i \right) \left( \sum_{j \in T} \lambda_j \right) \\
 &= \sum_{i \neq j} \lambda_i \lambda_j \cdot \binom{l - 2}{l_2 - 1, l_3 - 1, l_1} \\
 &= 2 \left( \sum_{i < j} \lambda_i \lambda_j \right) \binom{l - 2}{l_2 - 1, l_3 - 1, l_1},
 \end{aligned}$$

since for each pair  $(i, j)$  with  $i \neq j$ , there are exactly  $\binom{l - 2}{l_2 - 1, l_3 - 1, l_1}$  pairs  $(S, T)$  such that  $i \in S$  and  $j \in T$ . Therefore the summand (7) can be simplified to be

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\frac{2n\varepsilon_\lambda}{\prod m_i(\lambda)!} \left( \sum_{i < j} \lambda_i \lambda_j \right) \sum_{\substack{(l_1, l_2, l_3) \\ l_1 \geq 0, l_2, l_3 \geq 1 \\ l_1 + l_2 + l_3 = \ell(\lambda)}} (n)^{\bar{l}_1} (1)^{\bar{l}_2 - 1} (1)^{\bar{l}_3 - 1} \binom{l - 2}{l_2 - 1, l_3 - 1, l_1} \\
 &= \frac{2n\varepsilon_\lambda}{\prod m_i(\lambda)!} \left( \sum_{i < j} \lambda_i \lambda_j \right) (n + 2)^{\bar{l} - 2},
 \end{aligned}$$

where we use the fact that rising factorials are Sheffer sequences of binomial type, namely, we use the following relation

$$(a + b + c)^{\bar{n}} = \sum_{\substack{(i, j, k) \\ i + j + k = n}} \binom{n}{i, j, k} (a)^{\bar{i}} (b)^{\bar{j}} (c)^{\bar{k}}.$$

Similarly, the summand (8) can be represented as

$$\frac{n\varepsilon_\lambda}{\prod m_i(\lambda)!} \sum_{\substack{(l_1, l_2) \\ l_1 \geq 0, l_2 \geq 1 \\ l_1 + l_2 = l}} (n)^{\bar{l}_1} (1)^{\bar{l}_2 - 1} \sum_{\substack{(\mu, \nu) \\ \ell(\mu) = l_1 \\ \ell(\nu) = l_2 \\ \mu \cup \nu = \lambda}} \frac{\prod m_i(\lambda)! |\nu| (|\nu| - 1)}{\prod_i (m_i(\mu)! m_i(\nu)!)}$$

And the inner sum of the above equation can be simplified as follows.

$$\sum_{\substack{S \subseteq [l] \\ |S| = l_2}} \left( \sum_{i \in S} \lambda_i \right) \left( \sum_{j \in S} \lambda_j - 1 \right)$$



$$= \sum_{i=1}^l \lambda_i^2 \binom{l-1}{l_2-1} + 2 \sum_{i<j} \lambda_i \lambda_j \binom{l-2}{l_2-2} - \sum_{i=1}^l \lambda_i \binom{l-1}{l_2-1}.$$

Therefore, we can simplify the summand (8) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{n\varepsilon_\lambda}{\prod m_i(\lambda)!} \left[ \left( \sum_{i=1}^l \lambda_i^2 - d \right) (n+1)^{\overline{l-1}} + 2 \sum_{i<j} \lambda_i \lambda_j \sum_{\substack{l_1 \geq 0, l_2 \geq 1 \\ l_1+l_2=l}} (n)^{\overline{l_1}} (1)^{\overline{l_2-1}} \binom{l-2}{l_2-2} \right] \\ &= \frac{n\varepsilon_\lambda}{\prod m_i(\lambda)!} \left[ \left( \sum_{i=1}^l \lambda_i^2 - d \right) (n+1)^{\overline{l-1}} + 2 \sum_{i<j} \lambda_i \lambda_j \sum_{\substack{l_1 \geq 0, l_2 \geq 2 \\ l_1+l_2=l}} (n)^{\overline{l_1}} (2)^{\overline{l_2-2}} \binom{l-2}{l_2-2} \right] \\ &= \frac{n\varepsilon_\lambda}{\prod m_i(\lambda)!} \left[ \left( \sum_{i=1}^l \lambda_i^2 - d \right) (n+1)^{\overline{l-1}} + 2 \sum_{i<j} \lambda_i \lambda_j (n+2)^{\overline{l-2}} \right] \\ &= \frac{n\varepsilon_\lambda}{\prod m_i(\lambda)!} (n+2)^{\overline{l-2}} \left[ \left( \sum_{i=1}^l \lambda_i^2 - d \right) n + d^2 - d \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for  $\lambda \vdash d$  with  $\ell(\lambda) \geq 2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi_2 \text{fo}_\lambda \\ &= \frac{n\varepsilon_\lambda}{\prod m_i(\lambda)!} (n+2)^{\overline{l-2}} \left[ \left( \sum_{i=1}^l \lambda_i^2 - d \right) n + d^2 - d + 2 \sum_{i<j} \lambda_i \lambda_j \right] \\ &= \frac{n\varepsilon_\lambda}{\prod m_i(\lambda)!} (n+2)^{\overline{l-2}} \left[ \left( \sum_{i=1}^l \lambda_i^2 - d \right) n + 2d^2 - d - \sum_{i=1}^l \lambda_i^2 \right] \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon_\lambda}{\prod m_i(\lambda)!} \cdot \frac{\prod_{i=1}^l (n+i-1)}{n+1} \left[ \left( \sum_{i=1}^l \lambda_i^2 - d \right) n + 2d^2 - d - \sum_{i=1}^l \lambda_i^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

When  $\ell(\lambda) = 1$ , i.e.,  $\lambda = (d)$ , it is easy to show that the above formula for  $\psi_2 \text{fo}_\lambda$  still holds. □

**Remark 5.1.** We can also use the differential operator  $D_n^2$  to deduce formulas for  $\psi_2 p_\lambda$ ,  $\psi_2 e_\lambda$  and  $\psi_2 h_\lambda$ . The computation will be simpler than the case for  $\text{fo}_\lambda$ ; we leave the proof to the reader.

## 6. Final remarks

Based on Theorem 2.1 and the operator  $D_n^2$ , we derive nice formulas for  $\psi_2(b_\lambda)$  when  $b_\lambda \in \{s_\lambda, p_\lambda, e_\lambda, h_\lambda, \text{fo}_\lambda\}$ . It would be of interest if some nice formulas can still be obtained when applying  $\psi_2$  to other symmetric functions. We will conclude this paper with a nice formula for  $\psi_r(G_k^{(a,b,c)})$ , where  $G_k^{(a,b,c)}$  denotes a generalization of the  $(r, k)$ -parking symmetric functions introduced by Stanley and Wang [4].

**Theorem 6.1.** Let  $a, b, r, k$  be positive integers, and let  $c$  be an indeterminate. Let

$$\begin{aligned} H(t) &= \sum_{n \geq 0} h_n t^n = \frac{1}{(1-x_1 t)(1-x_2 t) \cdots} \\ F_k^{(a,b)} &= \frac{b}{ak+b} [t^k] (H(t))^{ak+b} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$G_k^{(a,b,c)} = [y^k] \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} F_j^{(a,b)} y^j \right)^c.$$

Then we have

$$\psi_r(G_k^{(a,b,c)}) = (r-1)! b c n \binom{ak+bc+r-1}{r-1} \binom{(ak+bc)n+k-1}{k-r}. \tag{9}$$

*Proof.* It suffices to prove the theorem for positive integer  $c$ , since both sides of equation (9) are polynomials in  $c$ . Now let  $c$  be an positive integer, by the relation in [4, Theorem 3.1], we deduce that  $G_k^{(a,b,c)} = F_k^{(a,bc)}$ . So we only need to verify that

$$\psi_r(F_k^{(a,b)}) = (r-1)!bn \binom{ak+b+r-1}{r-1} \binom{(ak+b)n+k-1}{k-r}. \tag{10}$$

The remainder of the proof is just routine computation as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_r(F_k^{(a,b)}) &= \frac{b}{ak+b} [t^k] \psi_r(H(t))^{ak+b} \\ &= \frac{r!bn}{ak+b} [t^k z^r] \vartheta(H(t))^{ak+b} \\ &= \frac{r!bn}{ak+b} [t^k z^r] \frac{1}{(1-(z+1)t)^{ak+b} (1-t)^{(ak+b)(n-1)}} \\ &= \frac{r!bn}{ak+b} \sum_{m=0}^{k-r} \binom{-(ak+b)(n-1)}{m} (-1)^m \binom{-(ak+b)}{k-m} (-1)^{k-m} \binom{k-m}{r} \\ &= \frac{r!bn}{ak+b} \sum_{m=0}^{k-r} \binom{-(ak+b)(n-1)}{m} (-1)^m \binom{ak+b+k-m-1}{k-m-r} \binom{ak+b+r-1}{r} \\ &= \binom{ak+b+r-1}{r} \frac{r!bn}{ak+b} \sum_{m=0}^{k-r} \binom{-(ak+b)(n-1)}{m} (-1)^m \binom{-(ak+b)-r}{k-m-r} (-1)^{k-m-r} \\ &= \binom{ak+b+r-1}{r} \frac{r!bn}{ak+b} (-1)^{k-r} \binom{-(ak+b)(n-1) - (ak+b+r)}{k-r} \\ &= \frac{r!bn}{ak+b} \binom{ak+b+r-1}{r} \binom{(ak+b)n+k-1}{k-r} \\ &= (r-1)!bn \binom{ak+b+r-1}{r-1} \binom{(ak+b)n+k-1}{k-r}. \end{aligned}$$

□

## Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank the referee for his/her helpful suggestions. This work was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 12071383, 12271222) and Scientific Research Foundation of Jiangsu Normal University (Grant Nos. 21XFRS019).

## References

- [1] I. G. Macdonald, *Symmetric Functions and Hall Polynomials*, second ed., Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1995.
- [2] R. P. Stanley, *Enumerative Combinatorics, Volume I*, second ed., Cambridge University Press, New York/Cambridge, 2012.
- [3] R. P. Stanley, *Enumerative Combinatorics, Volume II*, Cambridge University Press, New York/Cambridge, 1999.
- [4] R. P. Stanley and Y. Wang, *Some aspects of  $(r, k)$ -parking functions*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 159 (2018), 54–78.
- [5] M. Thiel and N. Williams, *Strange expectations and the Winnie-the-Pooh problem*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 176 (2020), 105298.