

This is the accepted version of this journal article:

Knibbs, Luke D. and deDear, Richard (2010) *Exposure to ultrafine particles and PM2.5 in four Sydney transport modes.* Atmospheric Environment, 44(26). pp. 3224-3227.

© Copyright 2010 Elsevier Ltd All rights reserved.

Short Communication 1 2 Exposure to ultrafine particles and PM<sub>2.5</sub> in four Sydney transport modes 3 4 Luke D. Knibbs<sup>1</sup>\* and Richard J. de Dear<sup>2</sup> 5 6 7 <sup>1</sup> International Laboratory for Air Quality and Health, Queensland University of 8 Technology, Brisbane, Australia 9 10 <sup>2</sup> Faculty of Architecture, Design and Planning, The University of Sydney, Sydney, 11 Australia 12 13 \* Corresponding author 14 Email: luke.knibbs@gut.edu.au 15 Postal address: International Laboratory for Air Quality and Health, Queensland 16 17 University of Technology, GPO Box 2434, Brisbane, 4001, Australia Phone: 61 7 3138 1133, Fax: 61 7 3138 9079 18 19 20 Abstract 21 Concentrations of ultrafine (<0.1µm) particles (UFPs) and PM<sub>2.5</sub> (<2.5µm) were 22 measured whilst commuting along a similar route by train, bus, ferry and automobile 23 in Sydney, Australia. One trip on each transport mode was undertaken during both 24 morning and evening peak hours throughout a working week, for a total of 40 trips. 25 Analyses comprised one-way ANOVA to compare overall (i.e. all trips combined) 26 geometric mean concentrations of both particle fractions measured across transport 27 modes, and assessment of both the correlation between wind speed and individual 28 trip means of UFPs and PM<sub>2.5</sub>, and the correlation between the two particle fractions. 29 Overall geometric mean concentrations of UFPs and PM<sub>2.5</sub> ranged from 2.8 (train) to 30 8.4 (bus)  $\times 10^4$  particles cm<sup>-3</sup> and 22.6 (automobile) to 29.6 (bus) µg m<sup>-3</sup>. 31 respectively, and a statistically significant difference (p < 0.001) between modes was 32 found for both particle fractions. Individual trip geometric mean concentrations were 33 between 9.7 x  $10^3$  (train) and 2.2 x  $10^5$  (bus) particles cm<sup>-3</sup> and 9.5 (train) to 78.7 34 (train)  $\mu g m^{-3}$ . Estimated commuter exposures were variable, and the highest return 35

trip mean PM<sub>2.5</sub> exposure occurred in the ferry mode, whilst the highest UFP
exposure occurred during bus trips. The correlation between fractions was generally
poor, and in keeping with the duality of particle mass and number emissions in
vehicle-dominated urban areas. Wind speed was negatively correlated with, and a
generally poor determinant of, UFP and PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations, suggesting a more
significant role for other factors in determining commuter exposure.

42 **Keywords**: Commuter; Exposure; Transport; Ultrafine Particles; PM<sub>2.5</sub>

# 43 1. Introduction

Acute and chronic human health effects can occur following exposure to particulate 44 matter. However, the degree to which observed effects can be ascribed to varying 45 concentrations of  $PM_{2.5}$  (aerodynamic diameter <2.5µm) and ultrafine particles 46 47 (UFPs, aerodynamic diameter <0.1 $\mu$ m) is not well understood. PM<sub>2.5</sub> is measured in terms of mass concentration, whilst ultrafine particles (UFPs), given their insignificant 48 mass, are measured in terms of number concentration. Increases in both metrics 49 are reported to be associated with various negative health effects (Wichmann and 50 Peters, 2000). Commuters are potentially exposed to elevated levels of particulates, 51 as people are often most proximate to concentrated vehicle emissions during transit. 52 Whilst studies of the nature of in-transit exposures to both PM<sub>2.5</sub> and UFPs have 53 54 become an increasingly prominent feature of the literature in recent years, the global 55 database of exposure levels and their determinant factors them remains relatively small. As such, this pilot study aimed to quantify PM<sub>2.5</sub> and UFP concentrations and 56 commuter exposure during transit in four common transport modes in Sydney, 57 Australia's most populous city (approximately 4.4 million residents). Additionally, we 58 sought to assess: (a) whether mean concentrations of both particulate fractions 59

differed significantly between transport modes, (b) the correlation between the two
particle fractions, and (c) the role of wind speed as a determinant of in-transit UFP
and PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations (Alm et al., 1999; Adams et al., 2001; Briggs et al., 2008).

63 **2. Methods** 

#### 64 2.1 Study Location and Design

Four popular transport modes were selected: train, bus, automobile and ferry. A 65 short route of approximately 4km that linked North Sydney (north of Sydney Harbour) 66 and Wynyard (CBD, south of Sydney Harbour) rail stations via the Sydney Harbour 67 Bridge was selected for the train, bus and automobile. The route selected for the 68 ferry linked McMahons Point and Circular Quay wharves. The bus, car and train 69 modes shared a nearly identical route, notwithstanding the train passing through a 70 short tunnelled section not present on the car and bus routes. The ferry route was 71 as close as practical to that of the other modes, as figure 1 shows. All non-ferry 72 73 modes traversed the Sydney Harbour Bridge, which carries approximately 160 000 vehicles day<sup>-1</sup> (NSW RTA, 2010). 74

To mimic the typical activities of commuters, CBD inbound (North Sydney to 75 76 Wynyard) trips were undertaken between 7 and 9am, whilst CBD outbound (Wynyard to North Sydney) trips were performed between 4 and 6pm. One trip was 77 taken on each mode during these two periods over five consecutive weekdays from 78 27/09/2004 to 01/10/2004, and 40 trips were completed during the week. The order 79 in which trips were taken was randomised. Data was collected only whilst aboard 80 81 each transport mode. Average train and car trips took 7 minutes, with bus and ferry trips taking 9 and 12 minutes, respectively. 82

The automobile utilised was a 1998 model Mitsubishi Magna sedan. The automobile was powered by regular unleaded petrol. Ferries and some buses were

powered by diesel fuel; other buses relied on compressed natural gas. All trains
were powered by electricity delivered by overhead lines.

During all measurements, the automobile's air conditioner was on and set to cool the cabin, the lowest fan speed setting was selected and recirculation was not in operation. The vehicle was not equipped with a cabin air filter. The ventilation system in use on trains and buses (i.e. natural or mechanical) was noted by the investigator. All ferries were naturally ventilated.

Wind speed measurements recorded at one minute intervals by the Fort
Denison Automatic Weather Station, located approximately 1.5km east of the study
route mid-point, were obtained from the Australian Bureau of Meteorology. Figure 1
shows the location of the weather station. Wind direction observations
corresponding to the study period were unavailable. The sampling week was free of
precipitation, with the exception of 01/10/04 when occasional light rain fell during the

98 morning and evening sampling periods.

99

100  $\rightarrow$  Figure 1 to be inserted here.

Figure 1. Overview of the study area and routes. The bus and automobile route is shown in purple.
The train route is shown in yellow and pink, with the pink segment indicating the approximate position
of the underground portion. The ferry route is shown in red. The figure was produced using the
Google Earth<sup>™</sup> mapping utility.

# 105 **2.2 Instrumentation**

106 A TSI 3007 condensation particle counter (CPC) was used to measure total particle 107 number concentration in the range 10nm (50% detection threshold) to >1000nm; 108 although the overwhelming majority of particle counts recorded in urban areas are 109 expected to fall within the UFP size range (Morawska et al., 2008). The unit is 110 capable of detecting particle concentrations up to  $1 \times 10^5$  p cm<sup>-3</sup>. Following the

measurement campaign, we compared simultaneous measurements of a TSI 3022A CPC (capable of measuring up to  $1 \times 10^7$  p cm<sup>-3</sup>) and TSI 3007 in order to develop a correction factor applicable to situations where the 3007's maximum concentration threshold was exceeded. In agreement with the findings of Westerdahl et al. (2005) for an analogous experiment, we found that 3007 readings up to ~3 × 10<sup>5</sup> p cm<sup>-3</sup> could be converted with reasonable confidence to the corresponding 3022A reading (~9 × 10<sup>5</sup> p cm<sup>-3</sup>).

A TSI 8520 DustTrak that had been calibrated by the manufacturer prior to the measurement campaign was equipped with a 2.5 µm inlet. This instrument typically overestimates the true mass of particles in fuel combustion aerosols (Jamriska et al., 2004). However, even without correction the relative concentrations between or within the locations measured are retained.

The zero reading of both units and the flow rate of the DustTrak was checked 123 prior to each measurement session. Sampling intervals were set to one second. 124 The investigator placed both instruments inside a foam-lined bag from which the 125 sample inlets protruded. During trips on the train, bus and ferry modes, the bag was 126 held on the investigator's lap when they were seated, whilst the bag was held at the 127 approximate height of a seated passenger's breathing zone when the investigator 128 was standing. During all automobile trips, the bag was placed on the front 129 130 passenger's seat, which was otherwise unoccupied.

## 131 2.3 Analyses

Both UFP and PM<sub>2.5</sub> data obtained in all transport modes were skewed to the right.
Accordingly, the data underwent logarithmic transformation, and normal scores plots
produced subsequent to this process indicated approximate normality of all data.
Arithmetic (i.e. pre-transformation) and geometric overall and individual trip mean

particle concentrations were calculated. The Pearson Correlation Coefficient (r) 136 between PM<sub>2.5</sub> and UFP geometric trip means for a given mode, in addition to that 137 between trip mean wind speed and both aforementioned particle metrics, was then 138 determined. To assess whether statistically significant differences existed between 139 modes in the overall geometric means of one second measurements of both UFPs 140 and PM<sub>2.5</sub>, homoscedascity was confirmed using Levene's Test prior to the 141 application of one-way ANOVA. In all analyses, the 5% level was taken to represent 142 statistical significance. 143

## 144 **3. Results**

Figure 2 shows overall geometric mean concentrations of PM<sub>2.5</sub> and UFP for each 145 transport mode, in addition to maximum and minimum trip geometric means. Overall 146 in-transit concentrations of PM<sub>2.5</sub> were broadly comparable across modes, with 147 geometric means of 27.3 (AM = 35.8), 29.6 (AM = 33.4), 22.6 (AM = 27.3) and 28.0 148  $(AM = 58.3) \mu g m^{-3}$  measured in the train, bus, automobile and ferry modes, 149 respectively. The ratio of the maximum to minimum mean was therefore 1.3 (AM = 150 2.1). Single trip geometric mean concentrations ranged from 9.5 to 78.7  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup> 151 (max:min = 8.3), with both values recorded inside trains. Arithmetic means ranged 152 from 10 to 151.8  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup> (max:min = 15.2), and were recorded in the train and ferry 153 modes, respectively. Overall geometric mean UFP concentrations were 2.8 (AM = 154 4.6), 8.4 (AM = 10.5), 7.5 (AM = 8.9) and 3.7 (AM = 5.5)  $\times$  10<sup>4</sup> particles cm<sup>-3</sup> for the 155 train, bus, automobile and ferry modes, respectively. Trip geometric mean UFP 156 concentrations ranged from 9.7 (AM = 10.0)  $\times$  10<sup>3</sup> to 2.2 (AM = 2.6)  $\times$  10<sup>5</sup> particles 157 cm<sup>-3</sup>, and these values were recorded in the train and bus modes, respectively. 158

159

The ANOVA performed indicated that statistically significant differences were present in overall geometric mean concentrations of both  $PM_{2.5}$  (p < 0.001) and UFPs (p < 0.001) between the four transport modes.

- Figure 2. Overall (i.e. all trips) geometric mean concentrations of PM<sub>2.5</sub> and UFPs measured in each
   of the four transport modes. Upper and lower extent of error bars denote maximum and minimum
   individual trip geometric mean concentrations, respectively.
- 168

The correlation between trip geometric mean concentrations of  $PM_{2.5}$  and UFPs was positive in all cases, albeit weak and not statistically significant for the bus, automobile and ferry modes, with respective *r* values of 0.49 (*p* = 0.15), 0.30 (*p* = 0.39) and 0.14 (*p* = 0.72). A statistically significant correlation (*p* = 0.03, *r* = 0.69) was present in the train mode data.

Mean wind speed during each trip varied between 4.8 and 39.5 km h<sup>-1</sup> and the correlation between this variable and the corresponding trip geometric mean measurements of both particle fractions was negative in all cases. Results indicated generally poor correlations of no statistical significance between wind speed and

178 UFP concentrations, with r values of -0.49 (p = 0.18), -0.20 (p = 0.57), -0.14 (p = 0.57), -0.1

179 0.68) and -0.30 (p = 0.39) for the train, bus, automobile and ferry modes,

respectively. Similarly, no statistically significant correlations existed between trip

181 mean wind speed and PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations, although *r* values were generally slightly

higher; -0.36 (p = 0.35), -0.52 (p = 0.12), -0.59 (p = 0.07) and -0.37 (p = 0.28) for the

- train, bus, automobile and ferry modes, respectively.
- 184 **4. Discussion and Conclusions**

## 185 **4.1 Comparison Across Modes**

Although ANOVA found statistically significant differences were present between 186 overall geometric mean PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations measured in the four transport modes, 187 the values were comparable. The non-ferry modes sharing of a largely common 188 route and proximity to vehicle emissions (Boogaard et al., 2009) could partially 189 explain their observed similarity. However, the concentration measured in the 190 automobile was the lowest of all modes, and this may reflect the influence of 191 192 ventilation, which is discussed further below. The geometric mean measured in the ferry was comparable to that of the other modes, suggesting that the ferry mode, 193 194 which was itself the local source of particulates, did not result in higher commuter exposure levels. However, the arithmetic mean PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration measured in the 195 ferry mode was substantially above those measured in the other modes. 196

Overall geometric mean UFP concentrations exhibited statistically significant differences across the four modes, and were more variable than equivalent measurements of PM<sub>2.5</sub>. Higher concentrations were recorded in the two on-road modes (bus and automobile), which is likely to have reflected the highly dynamic spatial and temporal characteristics of UFP concentrations in the roadway environment (Morawska et al., 2008).

Individual trip geometric mean concentrations of both particle fractions
exhibited a greater range in the train, bus and ferry modes compared to the
automobile. This was likely due to the greater diversity present in ventilation
technologies (i.e. natural or mechanical) and/or the location of investigator in relation
to ventilation delivery points during trips in the three non-automobile modes. By
comparison, the automobile had a consistent ventilation setting and measurement
location throughout the sampling period.

Given the small sample size, it is not possible to draw firm conclusions 210 regarding the influence of ventilation parameters (i.e. air change rates and the effect 211 of any cabin air filters) in the bus and train modes. However, for the purpose of 212 highlighting the potential effect of ventilation, we note that 5 trips each were 213 undertaken on mechanically and naturally ventilated buses, and these were 214 distributed evenly throughout the sampling week. Geometric trip mean 215 concentrations of PM<sub>2.5</sub> and UFPs ranged from 13.1 to 30.2  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup> and 3.7 to 8.8 × 216  $10^4$  particles cm<sup>-3</sup> in mechanically ventilated buses, and from 26.9 to 74.8 µg m<sup>-3</sup> and 217 0.8 to 2.2  $\times$  10<sup>5</sup> particles cm<sup>-3</sup> in naturally ventilated buses. There was thus an 218 approximately two-fold increase in geometric trip mean and overall PM2.5 and UFP 219 concentrations measured inside naturally ventilated buses compared to those in 220 mechanically ventilated buses. This suggests that greater commuter protection 221 from both particle fractions was afforded by newer, mechanically ventilated buses 222 compared to the older naturally ventilated types, and agrees with the findings of Rim 223 et al. (2008). 224

The two trips in naturally ventilated trains resulted in the two highest trip mean PM<sub>2.5</sub> and UFP concentrations measured in this mode. Assessment of the repeatability of the above observations and the extent to which they are attributable to ventilation rates (e.g. Knibbs et al., in press), filtration and factors such as exhaust re-entrainment (Behrentz et al., 2004) should be considered in further work.

230

## **4.2 Estimated Mean Commuter Exposure**

Exposure estimates were calculated by multiplying the overall arithmetic mean concentration of the two particle fractions by double the mean trip time for each mode (i.e. a return trip). PM<sub>2.5</sub> exposure values are not presented due to the

aforementioned limitations of the DustTrak; however, the highest mean exposure 235 occurred for ferry occupants, and was 3.7 times greater than the lowest exposure, 236 which occurred inside the automobile. Estimated UFP exposures were 1.1, 3.2, 2.1 237 and 2.2  $\times$  10<sup>4</sup> particle hr cm<sup>-3</sup> for the train, bus, automobile and ferry modes, 238 respectively. Mean exposures to PM<sub>2.5</sub> and UFPs during brief return commuter trips 239 clearly varied amongst the four travel modes, and investigation of the specific 240 contribution of commuter exposures in-transit to total daily exposure, including 241 assessment of longer trip times and different routes, is required in order to better 242 243 appreciate potential health effects.

## 244 4.2 Correlation Between PM<sub>2.5</sub> and UFPs

Correlations observed between geometric trip mean concentrations of PM<sub>2.5</sub> and 245 UFPs for the four modes were generally weak and not statistically significant. A lack 246 of correlation between these two particle fractions is often reported, and reflects the 247 inconsistency of many urban particle sources in terms of the relative strength of their 248 mass and number emissions (Wichmann and Peters, 2000; Morawska et al., 2008). 249 Our results are similar to those reported by Kaur et al. (2005) for pedestrians, and by 250 251 Boogaard et al. (2009) for cyclists and vehicle occupants. This further reinforces the need to monitor both fractions in order to accurately assess commuter particulate 252 exposure, irrespective of travel mode. 253

#### 4.3 Influence of Wind Speed

Although no statistically significant correlations existed between trip mean wind speed and geometric trip mean concentrations of PM<sub>2.5</sub> and UFPs, some broad observations are noted; specifically, that correlations were negative in all cases, and that the correlation coefficient was almost always higher for PM<sub>2.5</sub> than for UFPs.

This is generally in agreement with results reported by Briggs et al. (2008) based on particulate measurements taken whilst walking and in an automobile on London roads. Wind speed has been reported by other studies to be negatively correlated with in-transit fine particle concentration (Alm et al., 1999; Adams et al., 2001), and its influence generally appears to be weak. The effects of other meteorological parameters, whilst likely to be relatively minor (Kaur et al., 2007), were not assessed in this study.

#### 266 **4.4 Conclusions**

Mean commuter exposure to PM<sub>2.5</sub> and UFPs along a short route in Sydney varied 267 with transport mode. The contributions to daily PM<sub>2.5</sub> and UFP exposure incurred 268 during transit, including any subsequent negative health effects, should be assessed 269 in detail in future work. The results further bolster the assertion that assessment of 270 personal exposure to PM<sub>2.5</sub> and UFPs requires specific monitoring of both fractions, 271 272 and that concentrations of one should not be used to infer those of the other. Wind speed was negatively correlated with both particle fractions, and other factors are 273 likely to be of greater importance in determining commuter exposure. We also note 274 275 that there exists a need for future studies to further differentiate the relative influence of meteorological, traffic, route and vehicle ventilation parameters, such that policy 276 and mitigative measures are properly informed regarding the most salient 277 determinants of commuter exposure to particulate matter. 278

## 279 Acknowledgement

280 Both authors were with the Division of Environmental and Life Sciences at

281 Macquarie University, Sydney, when the study was undertaken.

#### 282 **References**

288 carbon monoxide inside an automobile. Journal of Exposure Analysis and Environmental 289 Epidemiology 9, 237-244. 290 291 Behrentz, E., Fitz, D.R., Pankratz, D.V., Sabin, L.D., Colome, S.D., Fruin, S.A., Winer, A.M., 2004. 292 Measuring self-pollution in school buses using a tracer gas technique. Atmospheric Environment 38, 293 3735-3746. 294 295 Boogaard, H., Borgman, F., Kamminga, J., Hoek, G., 2009. Exposure to ultrafine and fine particles 296 and noise during cycling and driving in 11 Dutch cities. Atmospheric Environment 43, 4234-4242. 297 298 Briggs, D.J., de Hoogh, K., Morris, C., Gulliver, J., 2008. Effects of travel mode on exposures to 299 particulate air pollution. Environment International 34, 12-22. 300 301 Jamriska, M., Morawska, L., Thomas, S., He, C., 2004. Diesel bus emissions measured in a tunnel 302 study. Environmental Science and Technology 38, 6701-6709. 303 304 Kaur, S., Nieuwenhuijsen, M., Colvile, R., 2005. Pedestrian exposure to air pollutants along a major 305 road in Central London, UK. Atmospheric Environment 39, 7307-7320. 306 307 Kaur, S., Nieuwenhuijsen, M., Colvile, R., 2007. Fine particulate matter and carbon monoxide 308 exposure concentrations in urban street transport microenvironments. Atmospheric Environment 41, 309 4781-4810. 310 311 Knibbs, L.D., de Dear, R.J., Morawska, L, in press. Effect of cabin ventilation rate on ultrafine particle exposure inside automobiles. Environmental Science and Technology, doi: 10.1021/es9038209. 312 313 314 Morawska, L., Ristovski, Z., Jayaratne, E.R., Keogh, D.U., Ling, X., 2008. Ambient nano and ultrafine 315 particles from motor vehicle emissions: Characteristics, ambient processing and implications on 316 human exposure. Atmospheric Environment 42, 8113-8138. 317 318 NSW RTA (New South Wales Roads and Traffic Authority), 2010, 2005 Sydney 319 Region AADT Data. http://www.rta.nsw.gov.au/trafficinformation/downloads/ 320 aadtdata dl1.html (accessed 23 January, 2010). 321 322 Rim, D., Siegel, J., Spinhirne, J., Webb, A., McDonald-Buller, E., 2008. Characteristics of cabin air 323 quality in school buses in Central Texas. Atmospheric Environment 42, 6453-6464. 324 325 Westerdahl, D., Fruin, S., Sax, T., Fine, P.M., 2005. Mobile platform measurements of ultrafine 326 particles and associated pollutant concentrations on freeways and residential streets in Los Angeles. 327 Atmospheric Environment 39, 3597-3610. 328 Wichmann, H.-E., Peters, A., 2000. Epidemiological evidence of the effects of ultrafine particle 329 exposure. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering 330 331 Sciences 358, 2751-2769. 332 333

Adams, H.S., Nieuwenhuijsen, M.J., Colvile, R.N., 2001. Determinants of fine particle (PM<sub>2.5</sub>)

exposure levels in transport microenvironments, London, UK. Atmospheric Environment 35, 4557-

Alm, S., Jantunen, M.J., Vartiainen, M., 1999. Urban commuter exposure to particle matter and

283 284

285

286 287 4566.