



**Date:** September 27, 2021

**Location:** Remote via Google Meet

**Present:**

|   |                 |   |               |   |                         |  |            |
|---|-----------------|---|---------------|---|-------------------------|--|------------|
| ✓ | Chris Kampmann  | ✓ | Jim Moody     | ✓ | Patricia McKinney-Clark |  | Ted Jensen |
|   | Dale Kishbaugh  |   | Lori Warner   | ✓ | Patrick Fitzgerald      |  |            |
| ✓ | Dana Bijold     |   | Mark Frasier  | ✓ | Ray Swedfeger           |  |            |
| ✓ | Jeannette Jones | ✓ | Mark Williams |   | Rob Ellis               |  |            |

\* Indicates arrival after roll call . - indicates technical difficulties during roll call

**Note:** The meeting was recorded and started at 9:00am. These minutes represent a summary of this meeting and are not intended to be a verbatim document. Audio recordings of the meetings can be obtained by contacting [cdle\\_safetycommission@state.co.us](mailto:cdle_safetycommission@state.co.us).

**MARKING BEST PRACTICE**

- Stakeholder feedback was recognized and appreciated, as was staff’s time to compile it all into the draft document.
- The meeting was used to review all comments and determine how, if at all, to incorporate them.
- Some of the feedback was stakeholders asking a question - specifically CAW asked about providing data through 811 or via email. Pat Fitzgerald will follow up with that stakeholder. Jim Moody to follow up with stakeholders in his category.
- Several stakeholders provided feedback about CO 811 response codes and other process issues and there were requests to have more clarity on which response codes to use when, and changes to process.
  - Staff were asked to send feedback on process to CO 811: 9-1.5-103 (4)(c) II B: “Currently, we can only renotify 811 for this reason within (5) business days of the original locate request. After this time, we have to call in a New Ticket or Update Ticket which is (2) full days not including the day of the notification. I understand that this is a CO 811 issues, but it makes it difficult if not impossible to continue with our excavation as some locators request that a new/update ticket is submitted.”
    - Does this process in CO 811 meet the law: the excavator shall immediately notify the affected owner or operator through the notification association and shall request an immediate reverification of the location of any underground facility. Upon receipt of the notification, the affected owner or operator shall respond as quickly as practicable. The excavator may continue excavation activity if the excavator exercises due caution and care to prevent damaging any underground facility.
  - Understanding how/when codes are used within the positive response system was discussed extensively in various sections of the Best Practice. Different operators may use codes at different times. CO 811 may want to clarify when codes should be used.
  - General stakeholder feedback that staff are supposed to share with CO 811: There are required reporting items in the law that went into effect on January 1, 2021, that still have not been implemented (Excavation start date and duration of the excavation for example). Is it possible that

the Safety Commission communicates with 811 the importance of updating the system to comply with the current law?

- Next steps:
  - Final readthrough by staff for grammar/format
  - Send to AG office
  - If AG review completed by October, share with full Commission for approval
  - IF AG review not complete &/or significant legal feedback - work on in October meeting
- At the next meeting: Large projects &/or training modules can be discussed if there is no AG feedback to discuss. New Best Practice Chair needed.

The next meeting is scheduled for October 14, 2021.

Meeting adjourned at 11:53 am.