

Underground Damage Prevention Safety Commission

633 17th Street, Suite 500 Denver, CO 80202-3610 303-318-8525 | ops.colorado.gov

Date: March 10, 2022

Location: Hybrid via Google Meet and at 633 17th St., Denver, CO, 80202.

Present:

✓	Chris Kampmann		Jeannette Jones		Mark Williams		Rob Martindale
✓	Dale Kishbaugh	✓	Jim Moody		Patrick Fitzgerald	✓	Ted Jensen
✓	Dana Bijold		Julie McCaleb		Raymond Swerdfeger		Terri King
✓	Esther Williams		Lori Warner	✓	Rob Ellis		

^{*} Indicates arrival after roll call . - indicates technical difficulties during roll call

Note:

The meeting was recorded and started at 10:05 am. These minutes represent a summary of this meeting and are not intended to be a verbatim document. Audio recordings of the meetings can be obtained by contacting cdle_safetycommission@state.co.us.

MINUTES APPROVAL

A Motion was made to approve the minutes from the February 10, 2022 meeting: There was no discussion; a vote was taken to approve the minutes. It was approved. Jim Moody abstained.

BEST PRACTICE DISCUSSION:

- Reviewed the workflow (chart) developed to create a Best Practice.
- Reviewed the existing Best Practices and how they might impact the idea of Large Project Coordination.
- The discussion of large projects began in October of 2020; there is no reference to this phrase in the law.
- 10 day tickets will fall into this in some regards. To be discussed in a future meeting.
- CO 811 Procedures Committee has draft work in this area, no completed document.
 - Jim Moody to look into what CO 811 had drafted.
 - How can all parties efficiently prepare when it is known that a large project is occurring.
 - Since not in the law, it would be a guidance for the industry
- A definition may be warranted to know what falls into a Large/Complex project.
 - Anything that falls outside a standard ticket (if you think the language in the law works for you go with that). If you want additional support and resources, here is a process).
 - o If large numbers of 'refreshes' are required would that be a part of large projects
 - Excel Energy looks at anything over 500 linear feet.
 - SUE is 1,000 linear feet, or boring (project types)
 - Who determines if the project is large or complex? Perhaps it is the Excavator that requests that their project is classified as a Large or special project. 1,000 linear feet is nothing in a rural environment, and a city block (under 1,000) can be very complex. Could be based on how many days of locating is required (that would come from the Owner/Operator), if it is more than 2 days.
 - Example of the challenges of locating in stages when sections of an area are located and there
 are gaps in the locations, do not want to have an excavator assume the gaps were already
 cleared
 - Consider criticality and potential risk (vs size/the word 'large'), as opposed to size

- Consider parameters from either side excavator and owner/operator
- If the work will take the excavator more than 30 days = large
- o Is this about a meet being requested, or is this about more notice? It is not uncommon during the standard process to have a meet requested that might have been unexpected.
- New ticket category that allows for more than 2 days?
- Reasonable care is part of the law (positive response is required within 2 days not necessarily marks
- Consider developing the Best Practice/Guidance that might eventually end up in Regulations. Regardless
 of whether that occurs, simply having the guidance may create a common expectation and process that
 resolves issues.
- Consider a list of issues (vs a definition) and determine solutions that can be the guidance and suggestions to resolve these (assuming all parties are willing to follow a process)
 - o Perhaps types of large/complex projects will themselves have varied processes.
- What states have a Large Project process already?
 - New Mexico: it is a part of the law in this State. Driven by the excavator, based on timeline of
 expected work. On site meeting is required. "Wide area type" get 15 days to complete the
 locates. In the Excavator Handbook. Also provide online training (~3 hours) about this process.
 - Georgia
- Sub-contractors and their roles should be considered.
 - General Contractor could be responsible for the coordination, everyone is still responsible for their own tickets.
 - Consider zones
- Ultimate Goal: Excavators are looking to have locates completed in enough time to meet their work schedule & facility owners are looking for enough notice to be able to perform the locates with their available resources.
 - 1 solution: notifying facility owners through the CO 811 system about the project and giving a due date; notification and refreshes will also want to be considered.
 - Work with CO 811 about this guidance being developed and invite to upcoming meetings for their thoughts of feasibility.
 - o Issue to consider: what if a facility owner does not participate in the process?
- Process:
 - Way to give advance notice -ticket that is not a locate ticket & is a planning ticket
 - Option to pre-meet
 - Excavator should bring to the meeting a schedule
 - Locate tickets would reference this planning ticket
 - Process to tie tickets to this project is important & still every excavator needs to call in their own ticket (want to prevent a locator from having to go 3 times to mark the same place)
 - Considerations for all the practical issues will also want to be considered (refreshes, expiration, etc)
- Xcel Energy process consider what they already have established with their locators. Key is communication. Consider inviting other facility owners to share their process.
- Suggestion to involve municipalities when permits are being requested/issued. Chris to look into what data if any there is in processes and will revisit this with the group.
 - o Agrees that the various laws, permits, etc might overlap or support this
 - Denver City/County has a process and invite them as an SME

OTHER BUSINESS

- The group discussed next steps for future meetings, specifically who to invite & what to work on.
- The group discussed the items to present to the full Safety Commission.
- Tentative agenda: Admin items, give invited stakeholders 10-15 minutes to present their process with large/complex projects, Commission discussion on learnings.

The next meeting is April 14, 2022.

Meeting adjourned.