

**Internet Appendix
for
“CEO Turnover and Relative Performance Evaluation”**

Dirk Jenter and Fadi Kanaan*

This online appendix presents the results from extensions and robustness tests of the analyses shown in the paper. The results are presented in the order they appear in the paper.

The introduction of the paper discusses the stock price reactions to CEO turnover announcements. Table IA.I of this appendix shows that there is no evidence of different stock price reactions in industry recessions compared to booms, and thus no evidence that the market views the more frequent CEO dismissals in recessions as better or worse news than the less frequent dismissals in booms.

Section III.E of the paper describes four robustness tests of the paper’s main findings, the results of which are presented in Tables IA.II to IA.V of this appendix. Table IA.II re-estimates the second stage CEO turnover regressions allowing for *three* CEO turnover outcomes: retention, voluntary turnover, and forced turnover. We use Cox hazard regressions and apply the method of Lunn and McNeil (1995) to estimate differential effects of the explanatory variables on voluntary and forced turnover. The coefficient estimates for forced CEO turnover are similar to the ones in Table 2 of the paper, with both idiosyncratic and peer performance strongly predicting CEO dismissals.

The second robustness test allows for *industry*-specific peer performance sensitivities in the first stage regressions. The results using industry-specific betas, shown in Table IA.III, are very similar to the ones using the same peer performance beta for all firms. Next, we allow for *firm*-specific betas in the first stage regressions.¹ The results in Table IA.IV show that the peer performance effect on CEO dismissals is both economically and statistically significant and once again similar to the effect using the same beta for all firms.

* Citation format: Jenter, Dirk and Fadi Kanaan, 2014, Internet Appendix to “CEO turnover and relative performance evaluation,” *Journal of Finance*, DOI: TBD. Please note: Wiley-Blackwell is not responsible for the content or functionality of any supporting information supplied by the author. Any queries (other than missing material) should be directed to the authors of the article.

¹ We do not allow for firm-specific intercept terms in the first stage regressions; doing so would attribute the average firm performance over the sample period to the “luck” component of performance and bias the tests towards rejecting the relative performance evaluation hypothesis.

The final robustness test examines whether the peer performance effect on CEO turnovers is also present when performance is measured by accounting returns. Using two-year changes in operating return on assets as the performance measure, Table IA.V shows that both the firm-specific and the industry component of operating performance determine the likelihood of CEO dismissals. The statistical significance of the peer-performance effect is smaller than when using stock returns but remains above the 1% level in all regressions.

Section IV.A of the paper discusses the hypothesis that the peer performance effect on CEO turnover may be due to strategic interactions between firms in oligopolistic industries. Tables IA.VI and IA.VII test whether the effect of industry performance on CEO dismissals vanishes for firms that are small relative to their industry and therefore unlikely to affect the industry equilibrium. Small firms are identified either as firms with market capitalizations below 1% of the total market capitalization of all firms in the same industry on CRSP, or as firms with book assets below 1% of the total book assets of all firms in the same industry on Compustat. Independently of the exact definition of small firms, we find that industry performance has a statistically and economically large effect on the likelihood of forced CEO turnovers in small firms.

Section IV.B of the paper discusses the hypothesis that industry or market-wide recessions may allow boards to learn more (or more relevant) information about the quality of their CEO than booms. Table IA.VIII tests whether the effect of industry performance is larger for new CEOs, about whom learning by the board should be more important. The regressions allow for different effects of industry performance on turnover for CEOs with up to four years of tenure, for CEOs between years five and eight, and for CEOs with more than eight years of tenure.² There is no evidence that the effect of industry performance on CEO dismissals is larger for CEOs with shorter tenures.

Finally, Section IV.D of the paper examines whether CEO power affects the relationship between peer performance and CEO turnover. The corresponding tests are presented in Tables IA.IX to IA.XII of this appendix. Table IA.IX tests whether CEOs who are founders are more or less affected by peer group performance than other CEOs. Table IA.X presents the same analysis for CEOs with large equity stakes³, Table IA.XI for CEOs with insider-dominated boards⁴, and

² CEOs with up to four years of tenure make up 39.5% of the observations in the sample, and CEOs with more than eight years of tenure make up 35.1% of the observations.

³ Slightly more than 8% of the CEO-year observations have CEO ownership of at least 10%.

⁴ The number of independent directors on each board is obtained from the IRRC directors database, which covers the S&P 500, S&P MidCap and S&P SmallCap indexes from 1996 to 2009. A director is classified as an insider if she is

Table IA.XII for CEOs with high excess compensation⁵. We find no consistent effects of CEO power on firms' propensity to use relative performance evaluation. To the extent that CEO power affects the relation between performance and CEO turnover, the effect is the same for peer performance and idiosyncratic performance.

References

Lunn, Mary, and Don McNeil, 1995, Applying Cox regressions to competing risks, *Biometrics*, 51, 524-532.

a current or former employee of the firm, a family member of a director or executive, a recipient of charitable funds, a major customer, or if she provides professional services to the company. All other directors are classified as independent. The average fraction of independent directors on boards in the IRRC sample is 68%.

⁵ Excess compensation is determined by regressing annual CEO compensation on industry fixed effects, year fixed effects, CEO tenure, and measures of firm size and performance. The residuals from this regression are averaged over time for each CEO to provide an estimate of the average level of excess compensation for that CEO.

Table IA.I**Stock price reactions to forced CEO turnovers**

This table reports 3- and 5-day market-adjusted stock returns around forced CEO turnover announcements. Average announcement returns are calculated separately for underperforming and for outperforming CEOs (i.e., CEOs with negative and positive firm-specific stock returns in the 12 months preceding the turnover, respectively), and for observations with (equal-weighted) industry stock returns above and below the median industry stock return in the sample. Firm-specific stock returns are calculated as the residuals from a regression of stock returns on equal-weighted industry stock returns. The industry definitions follow the Fama and French (1997) classification into 48 industries.

Panel A: 3-day stock price reaction around announcements of forced CEO turnovers					
	Industry performance below median		Industry performance above median		T-test for differences in means
	No. of observations	3-day announcement return	No. of observations	3-day announcement return	
Outperforming CEOs (positive idiosyncratic stock return in year t-1)	71	-2.48%	61	-2.87%	0.23
Underperforming CEOs (negative idiosyncratic stock return in year t-1)	389	-1.63%	270	-1.94%	0.33
T-test for differences in means		0.56		0.73	

Panel A: 5-day stock price reaction around announcements of forced CEO turnovers					
	Industry performance below median		Industry performance above median		T-test for differences in means
	No. of observations	5-day announcement return	No. of observations	5-day announcement return	
Outperforming CEOs (positive idiosyncratic stock return in year t-1)	71	-2.57%	61	-3.32%	0.40
Underperforming CEOs (negative idiosyncratic stock return in year t-1)	389	-1.80%	270	-2.04%	0.22
T-test for differences in means		0.52		0.81	

Table IA.II

Two-stage hazard regressions of voluntary and forced CEO turnover on firm and industry performance

The first stage regressions use industry stock returns to predict contemporaneous company stock returns and are reported in Panel A of Table 2 of the paper. Columns (1) and (2) use equal-weighted and columns (3) and (4) use value-weighted industry returns as measure of peer group performance. The industry definitions follow the Fama and French (1997) classification into 48 industries. The second stage Cox hazard regressions shown below predict forced and voluntary CEO turnover using the predicted values and the residuals from the first stage regression as estimates of the peer-group component and of the idiosyncratic component of company stock returns, respectively. A CEO is of retirement age if she is between 63 and 66 years old, and CEO equity ownership is high if she owns more than 5% of all outstanding shares. All t- and z-statistics are calculated with robust standard errors clustered at the industry level.

Second stage hazard regressions of forced and voluntary CEO turnovers on peer-group induced and idiosyncratic firm performance				
	EW Industry		VW Industry	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Forced CEO turnover dummy	-0.936 [-9.57]***	-0.932 [-9.23]***	-0.931 [-8.87]***	-0.920 [-8.74]***
Effects on forced CEO turnover:				
Idiosyncratic stock return in year t-1	-2.531 [-11.94]***	-2.559 [-11.19]***	-2.626 [-11.19]***	-2.618 [-10.84]***
Industry-induced stock return in year t-1	-1.574 [-8.62]***	-1.709 [-8.35]***	-1.284 [-8.02]***	-1.515 [-8.32]***
Idiosyncratic stock return in year t-2	-0.767 [-6.12]***	-0.767 [-6.13]***	-0.704 [-5.87]***	-0.707 [-5.81]***
Industry-induced stock return in year t-2	-0.135 [-0.76]	-0.324 [-1.56]	-0.438 [-2.20]**	-0.599 [-2.73]***
CEO of retirement age	-1.053 [-4.85]***	-1.050 [-4.81]***	-1.063 [-4.83]***	-1.060 [-4.82]***
CEO with high equity ownership	-1.187 [-5.45]***	-1.220 [-5.57]***	-1.227 [-5.52]***	-1.247 [-5.62]***
Effects on voluntary CEO turnover:				
Idiosyncratic stock return in year t-1	-0.274 [-6.22]***	-0.291 [-6.41]***	-0.301 [-6.90]***	-0.301 [-6.72]***
Industry-induced stock return in year t-1	-0.018 [-0.18]	-0.147 [-1.34]	0.075 [0.72]	-0.116 [-1.13]
Idiosyncratic stock return in year t-2	-0.151 [-1.67]*	-0.149 [-1.63]	-0.133 [-1.48]	-0.136 [-1.52]
Industry-induced stock return in year t-2	0.228 [1.67]*	0.061 [0.36]	0.176 [1.08]	0.019 [0.10]
CEO of retirement age	1.266 [14.18]***	1.270 [14.69]***	1.268 [14.25]***	1.269 [14.64]***
CEO with high equity ownership	-0.357 [-4.82]***	-0.375 [-4.95]***	-0.363 [-4.92]***	-0.375 [-4.95]***
Year fixed effects	No	Yes	No	Yes

* significant at 10%; ** significant at 5%; *** significant at 1%

Table IA.III

Two-stage hazard regressions of forced CEO turnover on firm and industry performance using industry-specific beta estimates

The first stage regressions use industry stock returns to predict contemporaneous company stock returns. A different peer-performance sensitivity (beta) is estimated for each industry. The industry definitions follow the Fama and French (1997) classification into 48 industries. The second stage Cox hazard regressions predict forced CEO turnover using the predicted values and the residuals from the first stage regressions as measures of the peer-group component and of the idiosyncratic component of company stock returns, respectively. A CEO is of retirement age if she is between 63 and 66 years old, and CEO equity ownership is high if she owns more than 5% of all outstanding shares. All t- and z-statistics are calculated with robust standard errors clustered at the industry level.

Panel A: Industry-specific beta estimates from first stage regressions of firm performance on industry performance

	(1) Firm stock return on EW industry performance	(2)	(3) Firm stock return on VW industry performance	(4)
Average beta estimate for year t-1	0.752		0.860	
Median beta estimate for year t-1	0.766		0.910	
Average beta estimate for year t-2	0.728		0.809	
Median beta estimate for year t-2	0.714		0.842	

Panel B: Second stage hazard regressions of CEO dismissals on peer-group induced and idiosyncratic firm performance

	Forced CEO turnover	Forced CEO turnover	Forced CEO turnover	Forced CEO turnover
Idiosyncratic stock return in year t-1	-2.606 [-11.42]***	-2.748 [-11.42]***	-2.611 [-11.13]***	-2.751 [-11.45]***
Industry-induced stock return in year t-1	-1.410 [-9.37]***	-1.697 [-9.45]***	-1.262 [-8.30]***	-1.598 [-10.13]***
Idiosyncratic stock return in year t-2	-0.802 [-6.39]***	-0.822 [-6.36]***	-0.740 [-6.20]***	-0.792 [-6.49]***
Industry-induced stock return in year t-2	-0.046 [-0.26]	-0.406 [-1.53]	-0.341 [-1.75]*	-0.530 [-1.99]**
CEO of retirement age	-0.870 [-4.16]***	-0.856 [-4.07]***	-0.871 [-4.07]***	-0.857 [-4.05]***
CEO with high equity ownership	-0.787 [-3.64]***	-0.823 [-3.59]***	-0.814 [-3.73]***	-0.826 [-3.61]***
Year fixed effects	No	Yes	No	Yes

* significant at 10%; ** significant at 5%; *** significant at 1%

Table IA.IV

Two-stage hazard regressions of forced CEO turnover on firm and industry performance using firm-specific beta estimates

The first stage regressions use industry stock returns to predict contemporaneous company stock returns. A different peer-performance sensitivity (beta) is estimated for each firm. The industry definitions follow the Fama and French (1997) classification into 48 industries. The second stage Cox hazard regressions predict forced CEO turnover using the predicted values and the residuals from the first stage regressions as measures of the peer-group component and of the idiosyncratic component of company stock returns, respectively. A CEO is of retirement age if she is between 63 and 66 years old, and CEO equity ownership is high if she owns more than 5% of all outstanding shares. All t- and z-statistics are calculated with robust standard errors clustered at the industry level.

Panel A: Firm-specific beta estimates from first stage regressions of firm performance on industry performance				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	Firm stock return on EW industry performance		Firm stock return on VW industry performance	
Average beta estimate for year t-1		0.816		0.965
Median beta estimate for year t-1		0.699		0.841
Average beta estimate for year t-2		0.811		0.958
Median beta estimate for year t-2		0.666		0.781

Panel B: Second stage hazard regressions of CEO dismissals on peer-group induced and idiosyncratic firm performance				
	Forced CEO turnover	Forced CEO turnover	Forced CEO turnover	Forced CEO turnover
Idiosyncratic stock return in year t-1	-2.570 [-11.75]***	-2.736 [-11.70]***	-2.571 [-12.09]***	-2.739 [-11.97]***
Industry-induced stock return in year t-1	-1.632 [-9.27]***	-2.027 [-8.78]***	-1.676 [-9.37]***	-2.186 [-9.79]***
Idiosyncratic stock return in year t-2	-0.810 [-7.03]***	-0.845 [-7.10]***	-0.723 [-6.18]***	-0.793 [-6.60]***
Industry-induced stock return in year t-2	-0.247 [-1.73]*	-0.504 [-2.56]**	-0.507 [-2.95]***	-0.703 [-3.48]***
CEO of retirement age	-0.844 [-3.91]***	-0.837 [-3.88]***	-0.864 [-4.00]***	-0.847 [-3.93]***
CEO with high equity ownership	-0.767 [-3.18]***	-0.805 [-3.23]***	-0.750 [-3.02]***	-0.797 [-3.15]***
Year fixed effects	No	Yes	No	Yes

* significant at 10%; ** significant at 5%; *** significant at 1%

Table IA.V

Two-stage hazard regressions of forced CEO turnover on firm and industry operating performance

The first stage regressions use industry means (columns 1 and 2) and industry medians (columns 3 and 4) of two-year changes in operating return on assets (ROA) to predict contemporaneous changes in company operating performance. ROA is calculated as operating income divided by the average of beginning and end-of-year book assets. The industry definitions follow the Fama and French (1997) classification into 48 industries. The second stage Cox hazard regressions predict forced CEO turnover using the predicted values and the residuals from the first stage regression as estimates of the peer-group component and of the idiosyncratic component of changes in company performance, respectively. A CEO is of retirement age if she is between 63 and 66 years old, and CEO equity ownership is high if she owns more than 5% of all outstanding shares. All t- and z-statistics are calculated with robust standard errors clustered at the industry level.

Panel A: First stage regressions of firm performance on industry performance				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	Change in ROA over the prior two years	Change in ROA over the prior two years	Change in ROA over the prior two years	Change in ROA over the prior two years
Constant	0.001 [1.30]	0.001 [1.30]	-0.000 [-0.18]	-0.000 [-0.18]
Industry mean of change in ROA over the prior two years	0.819 [13.97]***	0.819 [13.97]***		
Industry median of change in ROA over the prior two years			1.183 [25.63]***	1.183 [25.63]***
	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.07

Panel B: Second stage hazard regressions of CEO dismissals on peer-group induced and idiosyncratic firm performance				
	Forced CEO turnover	Forced CEO turnover	Forced CEO turnover	Forced CEO turnover
Idiosyncratic change in ROA over the prior two years	-4.604 [-7.81]***	-4.572 [-7.98]***	-4.607 [-7.77]***	-4.555 [-7.86]***
Industry-induced change in ROA over the prior two years	-4.324 [-2.85]***	-5.436 [-3.61]***	-4.358 [-2.75]***	-5.521 [-3.42]***
CEO of retirement age	-0.968 [-4.16]***	-0.959 [-4.15]***	-0.968 [-4.16]***	-0.958 [-4.15]***
CEO with high equity ownership	-0.893 [-4.68]***	-0.880 [-4.52]***	-0.894 [-4.68]***	-0.880 [-4.52]***
Year fixed effects	No	Yes	No	Yes

* significant at 10%; ** significant at 5%; *** significant at 1%

Table IA.VI

**Two-stage hazard regressions of forced CEO turnover on firm and industry performance
Small firms only (equity market values)**

The estimation is restricted to firms with equity market values less than 1% of total industry market value. The first stage regressions use industry stock returns to predict contemporaneous company stock returns. The second stage Cox hazard regressions predict forced CEO turnover using the predicted values and residuals from the first stage regression as estimates of the peer-group component and of the idiosyncratic component of company stock returns, respectively. The industry definitions follow the Fama and French (1997) classification into 48 industries. A CEO is of retirement age if she is between 63 and 66 years old, and CEO equity ownership is high if she owns more than 5% of all outstanding shares. All standard errors are clustered at the industry level.

Panel A: First stage regressions of firm performance on industry performance				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	Firm stock return in year t-1	Firm stock return in year t-1	Firm stock return in year t-1	Firm stock return in year t-1
Constant	0.050 [4.78]***	0.050 [4.78]***	0.072 [6.36]***	0.072 [6.36]***
EW industry stock return in year t-1	0.892 [27.43]***	0.892 [27.43]***		
VW industry stock return in year t-1			1.031 [19.41]***	1.031 [19.41]***
R-squared	0.17	0.17	0.13	0.13
	Firm stock return in year t-2	Firm stock return in year t-2	Firm stock return in year t-2	Firm stock return in year t-2
Constant	0.074 [5.25]***	0.074 [5.25]***	0.089 [5.61]***	0.089 [5.61]***
EW industry stock return in year t-2	0.887 [22.61]***	0.887 [22.61]***		
VW industry stock return in year t-2			1.043 [16.25]***	1.043 [16.25]***
R-squared	0.14	0.14	0.11	0.11
Panel B: Second stage hazard regressions of CEO dismissals on peer-group induced and idiosyncratic firm performance				
	Forced CEO turnover	Forced CEO turnover	Forced CEO turnover	Forced CEO turnover
Idiosyncratic stock return in year t-1	-2.509 [-10.06]***	-2.615 [-9.75]***	-2.490 [-9.63]***	-2.609 [-9.81]***
Industry-induced stock return in year t-1	-1.331 [-8.36]***	-1.573 [-8.60]***	-1.153 [-6.28]***	-1.467 [-8.78]***
Idiosyncratic stock return in year t-2	-0.784 [-5.57]***	-0.804 [-5.58]***	-0.713 [-5.37]***	-0.757 [-5.76]***
Industry-induced stock return in year t-2	-0.133 [-0.64]	-0.495 [-1.53]	-0.528 [-1.85]*	-0.760 [-2.02]**
CEO of retirement age	-1.358 [-5.12]***	-1.338 [-5.11]***	-1.363 [-5.13]***	-1.350 [-5.19]***
CEO with high equity ownership	-0.815 [-3.22]***	-0.843 [-3.16]***	-0.837 [-3.24]***	-0.848 [-3.18]***
Year fixed effects	No	Yes	No	Yes

* significant at 10%; ** significant at 5%; *** significant at 1%

Table IA.VII

**Two-stage hazard regressions of forced CEO turnover on firm and industry performance
Small firms only (book assets)**

The estimation is restricted to firms with book assets less than 1% of total industry assets. The first stage regressions use industry stock returns to predict contemporaneous company stock returns. The second stage Cox hazard regressions predict forced CEO turnover using the predicted values and residuals from the first stage regression as estimates of the peer-group component and of the idiosyncratic component of company stock returns, respectively. The industry definitions follow the Fama and French (1997) classification into 48 industries. A CEO is of retirement age if she is between 63 and 66 years old, and CEO equity ownership is high if she owns more than 5% of all outstanding shares. All standard errors are clustered at the industry level.

Panel A: First stage regressions of firm performance on industry performance				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	Firm stock return in year t-1	Firm stock return in year t-1	Firm stock return in year t-1	Firm stock return in year t-1
Constant	0.070 [6.58]***	0.070 [6.58]***	0.093 [8.37]***	0.093 [8.37]***
EW industry stock return in year t-1	0.908 [25.32]***	0.908 [25.32]***		
VW industry stock return in year t-1			1.055 [18.30]***	1.055 [18.30]***
R-squared	0.17	0.17	0.13	0.13
	Firm stock return in year t-2	Firm stock return in year t-2	Firm stock return in year t-2	Firm stock return in year t-2
Constant	0.091 [6.73]***	0.091 [6.73]***	0.108 [7.33]***	0.108 [7.33]***
EW industry stock return in year t-2	0.902 [24.09]***	0.902 [24.09]***		
VW industry stock return in year t-2			1.056 [16.14]***	1.056 [16.14]***
R-squared	0.14	0.14	0.11	0.11
Panel B: Second stage hazard regressions of CEO dismissals on peer-group induced and idiosyncratic firm performance				
	Forced CEO turnover	Forced CEO turnover	Forced CEO turnover	Forced CEO turnover
Idiosyncratic stock return in year t-1	-2.463 [-10.21]***	-2.593 [-10.04]***	-2.484 [-9.71]***	-2.606 [-9.99]***
Industry-induced stock return in year t-1	-1.383 [-7.89]***	-1.662 [-8.33]***	-1.144 [-6.65]***	-1.508 [-9.37]***
Idiosyncratic stock return in year t-2	-0.753 [-5.28]***	-0.768 [-5.27]***	-0.674 [-5.13]***	-0.717 [-5.50]***
Industry-induced stock return in year t-2	-0.078 [-0.41]	-0.459 [-1.50]	-0.454 [-1.62]	-0.718 [-2.01]**
CEO of retirement age	-1.136 [-4.49]***	-1.085 [-4.44]***	-1.091 [-4.40]***	-1.078 [-4.46]***
CEO with high equity ownership	-0.797 [-3.12]***	-0.814 [-3.06]***	-0.792 [-3.13]***	-0.806 [-3.06]***
Year fixed effects	No	Yes	No	Yes

* significant at 10%; ** significant at 5%; *** significant at 1%

Table IA.VIII

Two-stage hazard regressions of forced CEO turnover on firm and industry performance
Different turnover-performance slopes for different levels of CEO tenure

The first stage regressions use industry stock returns to predict contemporaneous company stock returns and are reported in Panel A of Table 2 of the paper. Columns (1) and (2) use equal-weighted and columns (3) and (4) use value-weighted industry returns as measure of peer group performance. The second stage Cox hazard regressions shown below predict forced CEO turnover using the predicted values and residuals from the first stage regression as estimates of the peer-group component and of the idiosyncratic component of company stock returns, respectively. The second stage regressions allow for differential effects of peer performance on CEO turnover if the CEO has been in office for either less than four years or for more than eight years. The industry definitions follow the Fama and French (1997) classification into 48 industries. A CEO is of retirement age if she is between 63 and 66 years old, and CEO equity ownership is high if she owns more than 5% of all outstanding shares. All standard errors are clustered at the industry level. The baseline hazards are set to one for the marginal effects calculations in Panel B.

Panel A: Second stage hazard regressions of CEO dismissals on peer-group induced and idiosyncratic firm performance				
	EW Industry		VW Industry	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	Forced CEO turnover	Forced CEO turnover	Forced CEO turnover	Forced CEO turnover
Idiosyncratic stock return in year t-1	-2.557 [-11.68]***	-2.725 [-11.65]***	-2.656 [-11.05]***	-2.778 [-11.44]***
Industry-induced stock return in year t-1	-1.689 [-8.15]***	-1.976 [-8.46]***	-1.272 [-5.47]***	-1.669 [-8.12]***
...for CEOs with tenure <= 48 months	0.366 [2.77]***	0.328 [1.99]**	0.216 [0.63]	0.234 [0.63]
...for CEOs with tenure > 96 months	-0.092 [-0.34]	-0.341 [-1.06]	-0.231 [-0.73]	-0.260 [-0.74]
Idiosyncratic stock return in year t-2	-0.776 [-6.22]***	-0.794 [-6.15]***	-0.718 [-5.87]***	-0.766 [-6.20]***
Industry-induced stock return in year t-2	0.011 [0.05]	-0.413 [-1.15]	-0.212 [-0.55]	-0.485 [-1.09]
...for CEOs with tenure <= 48 months	-0.292 [-0.74]	-0.394 [-0.80]	-0.334 [-0.68]	-0.297 [-0.57]
...for CEOs with tenure > 96 months	-0.160 [-0.41]	-0.210 [-0.43]	-0.398 [-0.96]	-0.371 [-0.86]
CEO of retirement age	-0.933 [-4.15]***	-0.886 [-4.04]***	-0.889 [-4.11]***	-0.872 [-4.06]***
CEO with high equity ownership	-0.813 [-3.62]***	-0.838 [-3.61]***	-0.818 [-3.64]***	-0.831 [-3.58]***
Year fixed effects	No	Yes	No	Yes

* significant at 10%; ** significant at 5%; *** significant at 1%

Panel B: Marginal effects of peer-group induced performance on CEO dismissals for CEOs with different levels of tenure

	EW Industry		VW Industry	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	Industry-induced return in year t-1	Industry-induced return in year t-1	Industry-induced return in year t-1	Industry-induced return in year t-1
Marginal effect for CEOs with tenure <= 48 months	-0.77 [5.78]***	-0.80 [5.80]***	-0.61 [3.86]***	-0.73 [4.19]***
Marginal effect for CEOs with tenure > 96 months	-0.98 [8.17]***	-1.04 [7.44]***	-0.79 [5.53]***	-0.89 [6.02]***
Difference in marginal effects	0.21 [1.42]	0.24 [1.70]*	0.18 [0.84]	0.15 [0.72]
	Industry-induced return in year t-2	Industry-induced return in year t-2	Industry-induced return in year t-2	Industry-induced return in year t-2
Marginal effect for CEOs with tenure <= 48 months	-0.16 [0.88]	-0.39 [2.08]**	-0.32 [1.90]*	-0.40 [2.27]**
Marginal effect for CEOs with tenure > 96 months	-0.08 [0.49]	-0.28 [1.46]	-0.32 [2.57]**	-0.39 [2.88]***
Difference in marginal effects	-0.08 [0.69]	-0.11 [0.96]	0.00 [0.04]	-0.01 [0.05]

Table IA.IX

**Two-stage hazard regressions of forced CEO turnover on firm and industry performance
Different turnover-performance slopes for founder CEOs**

The first stage regressions use industry stock returns to predict contemporaneous company stock returns and are reported in Panel A of Table 2. Columns (1) and (2) use equal-weighted and columns (3) and (4) use value-weighted industry returns as measure of peer group performance. The second stage Cox hazard regressions shown below predict forced CEO turnover using the predicted values and residuals from the first stage regression as estimates of the peer-group component and of the idiosyncratic component of company stock returns, respectively. The second stage regressions allow for differential effects of both idiosyncratic and peer performance on CEO turnover for CEOs who are founders. A CEO is classified as a founder if her tenure starts at least five years before the firm's listing date. The industry definitions follow the Fama and French (1997) classification into 48 industries. A CEO is of retirement age if she is between 63 and 66 years old, and CEO equity ownership is high if she owns more than 5% of all outstanding shares. All standard errors are clustered at the industry level. The baseline hazards are set to one for the marginal effects calculations in Panel B.

Panel A: Second stage hazard regressions of CEO dismissals on peer-group induced and idiosyncratic firm performance				
	EW Industry		VW Industry	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	Forced CEO turnover	Forced CEO turnover	Forced CEO turnover	Forced CEO turnover
Idiosyncratic stock return in year t-1	-2.546 [-11.43]***	-2.737 [-11.24]***	-2.671 [-10.62]***	-2.799 [-10.96]***
...for founders	0.275 [0.54]	0.405 [0.79]	0.335 [0.65]	0.403 [0.78]
Industry-induced stock return in year t-1	-1.601 [-8.46]***	-1.946 [-8.38]***	-1.245 [-7.52]***	-1.648 [-9.36]***
...for founders	0.002 [0.00]	-0.004 [-0.01]	-0.258 [-0.46]	-0.148 [-0.26]
Idiosyncratic stock return in year t-2	-0.829 [-5.08]***	-0.850 [-5.13]***	-0.751 [-4.72]***	-0.804 [-5.01]***
...for founders	0.413 [1.00]	0.456 [1.09]	0.256 [0.62]	0.307 [0.73]
Industry-induced stock return in year t-2	-0.089 [-0.43]	-0.563 [-1.76]*	-0.437 [-1.74]*	-0.701 [-2.15]**
...for founders	-0.605 [-1.76]*	-0.514 [-1.19]	-0.058 [-0.12]	0.068 [0.13]
Founder	0.580 [2.26]**	0.559 [2.02]**	0.511 [1.96]**	0.478 [1.72]*
CEO of retirement age	-0.898 [-4.17]***	-0.868 [-4.07]***	-0.889 [-4.13]***	-0.871 [-4.08]***
CEO with high equity ownership	-0.828 [-3.91]***	-0.860 [-3.84]***	-0.857 [-3.94]***	-0.865 [-3.86]***
Year fixed effects	No	Yes	No	Yes

* significant at 10%; ** significant at 5%; *** significant at 1%

Panel B: Marginal effects of idiosyncratic and peer-group induced performance on CEO dismissals for founders and non-founders

	EW Industry		VW Industry	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	Idiosyncratic stock return in year t-1	Idiosyncratic stock return in year t-1	Idiosyncratic stock return in year t-1	Idiosyncratic stock return in year t-1
Marginal effect for founders	-2.05 [4.72]***	-1.78 [5.00]***	-2.09 [5.03]***	-1.91 [5.17]***
Marginal effect for non-founders	-1.46 [11.43]***	-1.33 [11.24]***	-1.52 [10.62]***	-1.40 [10.96]***
Difference in marginal effects	-0.59 [1.35]	-0.45 [1.23]	-0.57 [1.33]	-0.51 [1.34]
	Industry-induced return in year t-1	Industry-induced return in year t-1	Industry-induced return in year t-1	Industry-induced return in year t-1
Marginal effect for founders	-1.45 [3.28]***	-1.49 [3.45]***	-1.35 [2.76]***	-1.43 [2.96]*
Marginal effect for non-founders	-0.92 [8.46]***	-0.94 [8.38]***	-0.71 [7.52]***	-0.82 [9.36]***
Difference in marginal effects	-0.53 [1.18]	-0.54 [1.30]	-0.64 [1.30]	-0.61 [1.32]
	Idiosyncratic stock return in year t-2	Idiosyncratic stock return in year t-2	Idiosyncratic stock return in year t-2	Idiosyncratic stock return in year t-2
Marginal effect for founders	-0.38 [1.31]	-0.30 [1.21]	-0.44 [1.54]	-0.40 [1.54]
Marginal effect for non-founders	-0.48 [5.08]***	-0.41 [5.13]***	-0.43 [4.72]***	-0.40 [5.01]***
Difference in marginal effects	0.10 [0.30]	0.11 [0.39]	-0.02 [0.05]	0.00 [0.02]
	Industry-induced return in year t-2	Industry-induced return in year t-2	Industry-induced return in year t-2	Industry-induced return in year t-2
Marginal effect for founders	-0.63 [2.29]**	-0.82 [2.70]***	-0.44 [1.50]	-0.50 [1.92]*
Marginal effect for non-founders	-0.05 [0.43]	-0.27 [1.76]*	-0.25 [1.74]*	-0.35 [2.15]**
Difference in marginal effects	-0.58 [2.03]**	-0.55 [1.84]*	-0.19 [0.51]	-0.16 [0.44]

Table IA.X

**Two-stage hazard regressions of forced CEO turnover on firm and industry performance
Different turnover-performance slopes for CEOs with large equity stakes**

The first stage regressions use industry stock returns to predict contemporaneous company stock returns and are reported in Panel A of Table 2. Columns (1) and (2) use equal-weighted and columns (3) and (4) use value-weighted industry returns as measure of peer performance. The second stage Cox hazard regressions shown below predict forced CEO turnover using the predicted values and residuals from the first stage regression as estimates of the peer-group and of the idiosyncratic component of company stock returns, respectively. The second stage regressions allow for differential effects of performance on CEO turnover for CEOs who own more than 10% of their firm's equity. Equity ownership is obtained from ExecuComp. The industry definitions follow the Fama and French (1997) classification into 48 industries. A CEO is of retirement age if she is between 63 and 66 years old. All standard errors are clustered at the industry level. The baseline hazards are set to one for the marginal effects calculations in Panel B.

Panel A: Second stage hazard regressions of CEO dismissals on peer-group induced and idiosyncratic firm performance				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	Forced CEO turnover	Forced CEO turnover	Forced CEO turnover	Forced CEO turnover
Idiosyncratic stock return in year t-1	-2.498 [-11.37]***	-2.674 [-11.30]***	-2.603 [-10.78]***	-2.724 [-11.14]***
...for CEOs with ownership >=10%	-1.368 [-1.62]	-1.167 [-1.30]	-1.451 [-1.67]*	-1.311 [-1.46]
Industry-induced stock return in year t-1	-1.560 [-8.48]***	-1.894 [-8.27]***	-1.251 [-7.79]***	-1.651 [-8.88]***
...for CEOs with ownership >=10%	-1.128 [-1.46]	-0.870 [-1.03]	-0.240 [-0.19]	0.091 [0.07]
Idiosyncratic stock return in year t-2	-0.834 [-5.29]***	-0.845 [-5.29]***	-0.761 [-4.90]***	-0.809 [-5.19]***
...for CEOs with ownership >=10%	0.808 [1.60]	0.811 [1.58]	0.703 [1.22]	0.742 [1.31]
Industry-induced stock return in year t-2	-0.077 [-0.41]	-0.546 [-1.84]*	-0.416 [-2.10]**	-0.659 [-2.49]**
...for CEOs with ownership >=10%	-1.630 [-1.05]	-1.708 [-0.97]	-1.382 [-0.77]	-1.361 [-0.72]
CEO of retirement age	-0.901 [-4.19]***	-0.886 [-4.12]***	-0.900 [-4.18]***	-0.887 [-4.16]***
CEO with equity ownership >=10%	-1.200 [-2.59]***	-1.199 [-2.49]**	-1.465 [-3.07]***	-1.477 [-3.00]***
Year fixed effects	No	Yes	No	Yes

* significant at 10%; ** significant at 5%; *** significant at 1%

Panel B: Marginal effects of idiosyncratic and peer-group induced performance on CEO dismissals for CEOs with different levels of stock ownership

	EW Industry		VW Industry	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	Idiosyncratic stock return in year t-1	Idiosyncratic stock return in year t-1	Idiosyncratic stock return in year t-1	Idiosyncratic stock return in year t-1
Marginal effect for CEOs with ownership \geq 10%	-0.45 [4.66]***	-0.39 [4.43]***	-0.44 [4.79]***	-0.41 [4.67]***
Marginal effect for CEOs with ownership $<$ 10%	-1.65 [11.37]***	-1.51 [11.30]***	-1.70 [10.78]***	-1.57 [11.14]***
Difference in marginal effects	1.21 [7.16]***	1.12 [7.04]***	1.27 [7.08]***	1.17 [7.06]***
	Industry-induced return in year t-1	Industry-induced return in year t-1	Industry-induced return in year t-1	Industry-induced return in year t-1
Marginal effect for CEOs with ownership \geq 10%	-0.31 [3.43]***	-0.28 [3.32]***	-0.16 [1.16]	-0.16 [1.14]***
Marginal effect for CEOs with ownership $<$ 10%	-1.03 [8.48]***	-1.07 [8.27]***	-0.82 [7.79]***	-0.95 [8.88]***
Difference in marginal effects	0.72 [5.27]***	0.79 [5.36]***	0.66 [4.06]***	0.80 [4.79]***
	Idiosyncratic stock return in year t-2	Idiosyncratic stock return in year t-2	Idiosyncratic stock return in year t-2	Idiosyncratic stock return in year t-2
Marginal effect for CEOs with ownership \geq 10%	0.00 [0.07]	0.00 [0.09]	-0.01 [0.13]	-0.01 [0.15]
Marginal effect for CEOs with ownership $<$ 10%	-0.55 [5.29]	-0.48 [5.29]***	-0.50 [4.90]***	-0.47 [5.19]***
Difference in marginal effects	0.55 [3.95]***	0.47 [3.93]***	0.49 [3.47]***	0.46 [3.64]***
	Industry-induced return in year t-2	Industry-induced return in year t-2	Industry-induced return in year t-2	Industry-induced return in year t-2
Marginal effect for CEOs with ownership \geq 10%	-0.20 [1.01]	-0.23 [1.15]	-0.19 [0.94]	-0.20 [0.98]
Marginal effect for CEOs with ownership $<$ 10%	-0.05 [0.41]	-0.31 [1.84]*	-0.27 [2.10]**	-0.38 [2.49]**
Difference in marginal effects	-0.15 [1.12]	0.08 [0.56]	0.08 [0.47]	0.18 [1.13]

Table IA.XI

**Two-stage hazard regressions of forced CEO turnover on firm and industry performance
Different turnover-performance slopes for insider-dominated boards**

The first stage regressions use industry stock returns to predict contemporaneous company stock returns and are reported in Panel A of Table 2. Columns (1) and (2) use equal-weighted and columns (3) and (4) use value-weighted industry returns as measure of peer group performance. The second stage Cox hazard regressions shown below predict forced CEO turnover using the predicted values and residuals from the first stage regression as estimates of the peer-group and of the idiosyncratic component of company stock returns, respectively. The second stage regressions allow for differential effects of both idiosyncratic and peer performance on CEO turnover for firms with at least 50% inside directors on the board. The industry definitions follow the Fama and French (1997) classification into 48 industries. A CEO is of retirement age if she is between 63 and 66 years old, and CEO equity ownership is high if she owns more than 5% of all outstanding shares. All standard errors are clustered at the industry level. The baseline hazards are set to one for the marginal effects calculations in Panel B.

	EW Industry		VW Industry	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	Forced CEO turnover	Forced CEO turnover	Forced CEO turnover	Forced CEO turnover
Idiosyncratic stock return in year t-1	-2.389 [-10.81]***	-2.662 [-10.75]***	-2.565 [-10.17]***	-2.757 [-10.37]***
...for boards with >=50% insiders	-0.255 [-0.51]	-0.138 [-0.27]	-0.109 [-0.20]	-0.027 [-0.05]
Industry-induced stock return in year t-1	-1.413 [-6.95]***	-1.860 [-7.59]***	-0.968 [-4.88]***	-1.498 [-6.84]***
...for boards with >=50% insiders	-0.537 [-1.19]	-0.351 [-0.76]	-0.943 [-1.84]*	-0.654 [-1.28]
Idiosyncratic stock return in year t-2	-1.043 [-5.19]***	-1.120 [-5.66]***	-0.995 [-5.02]***	-1.087 [-5.34]***
...for boards with >=50% insiders	0.531 [1.40]	0.608 [1.52]	0.498 [1.29]	0.592 [1.46]
Industry-induced stock return in year t-2	-0.198 [-0.82]	-0.755 [-2.06]**	-0.456 [-1.54]	-0.801 [-2.32]**
...for boards with >=50% insiders	0.135 [0.40]	0.486 [1.21]	0.346 [0.93]	0.550 [1.41]
Boards with >=50% insiders	0.148 [0.70]	-0.017 [-0.07]	0.182 [0.84]	0.076 [0.32]
CEO of retirement age	-0.906 [-4.10]***	-0.906 [-4.17]***	-0.885 [-4.12]***	-0.897 [-4.16]***
CEO with high equity ownership	-0.931 [-4.33]***	-0.949 [-4.38]***	-0.948 [-4.23]***	-0.957 [-4.36]***
Year fixed effects	No	Yes	No	Yes

* significant at 10%; ** significant at 5%; *** significant at 1%

Panel B: Marginal effects of idiosyncratic and peer-group induced performance on CEO dismissals for different levels of board independence

	EW Industry		VW Industry	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	Idiosyncratic stock return in year t-1	Idiosyncratic stock return in year t-1	Idiosyncratic stock return in year t-1	Idiosyncratic stock return in year t-1
Marginal effect for boards with $\geq 50\%$ insiders	-1.93 [4.99]***	-1.59 [5.05]***	-2.02 [5.22]***	-1.76 [5.26]***
Marginal effect for boards with $< 50\%$ insiders	-1.59 [10.81]***	-1.48 [10.75]***	-1.76 [10.17]***	-1.62 [10.37]***
Difference in marginal effects	-0.34 [0.93]	-0.12 [0.39]	-0.26 [0.62]	-0.14 [0.42]
	Industry-induced return in year t-1	Industry-induced return in year t-1	Industry-induced return in year t-1	Industry-induced return in year t-1
Marginal effect for boards with $\geq 50\%$ insiders	-1.42 [4.44]***	-1.26 [4.62]***	-1.44 [3.76]***	-1.36 [3.91]***
Marginal effect for boards with $< 50\%$ insiders	-0.94 [6.95]***	-1.03 [7.59]***	-0.67 [4.88]***	-0.88 [6.84]***
Difference in marginal effects	-0.48 [1.48]	-0.23 [0.86]	-0.78 [2.02]**	-0.48 [1.49]
	Idiosyncratic stock return in year t-2	Idiosyncratic stock return in year t-2	Idiosyncratic stock return in year t-2	Idiosyncratic stock return in year t-2
Marginal effect for boards with $\geq 50\%$ insiders	-0.37 [2.08]**	-0.29 [1.88]*	-0.38 [2.02]**	-0.31 [1.90]*
Marginal effect for boards with $< 50\%$ insiders	-0.69 [5.19]***	-0.62 [5.66]***	-0.68 [5.02]***	-0.64 [5.34]***
Difference in marginal effects	0.32 [1.21]	0.33 [1.46]	0.31 [1.10]	0.32 [1.30]
	Industry-induced return in year t-2	Industry-induced return in year t-2	Industry-induced return in year t-2	Industry-induced return in year t-2
Marginal effect for boards with $\geq 50\%$ insiders	-0.05 [0.21]	-0.15 [0.70]	-0.08 [0.32]	-0.16 [0.62]
Marginal effect for boards with $< 50\%$ insiders	-0.13 [0.82]	-0.42 [2.06]**	-0.31 [1.54]	-0.47 [2.32]**
Difference in marginal effects	0.09 [0.36]	0.27 [1.17]	0.23 [0.85]	0.31 [1.29]

Table IA.XII

Two-stage hazard regressions of forced CEO turnover on firm and industry performance
Different turnover-performance slopes for CEOs with high excess compensation

The first stage regressions use industry stock returns to predict contemporaneous company stock returns and are reported in Panel A of Table 2. Columns (1) and (2) use equal-weighted and columns (3) and (4) use value-weighted industry returns as measure of peer group performance. The second stage Cox hazard regressions shown below predict forced CEO turnover using the predicted values and residuals from the first stage regression as estimates of the peer-group component and of the idiosyncratic component of company stock returns, respectively. The second stage regressions allow for differential effects of both idiosyncratic and peer performance on CEO turnover for CEOs with excess compensation in the top 20% of all observations. Excess compensation is calculated as each CEO's average residual from a regression of the log of total annual CEO compensation (ExecuComp TDC1) on log sales, log CEO tenure, stock returns in year t and t-1, value-weighted industry returns in year t and t-1, the two-year change in return on assets, year fixed effects, and industry fixed effects. The industry definitions follow the Fama and French (1997) classification into 48 industries. A CEO is of retirement age if she is between 63 and 66 years old, and CEO equity ownership is high if she owns more than 5% of all outstanding shares. All standard errors are clustered at the industry level. The baseline hazards are set to one for the marginal effects calculations in Panel B.

Panel A: Second stage hazard regressions of CEO dismissals on peer-group induced and idiosyncratic firm performance

	EW Industry		VW Industry	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	Forced CEO turnover	Forced CEO turnover	Forced CEO turnover	Forced CEO turnover
Idiosyncratic stock return in year t-1	-2.281 [-10.37]***	-2.454 [-10.10]***	-2.377 [-9.72]***	-2.497 [-9.62]***
... for CEOs with excess compensation in top 20%	-1.050 [-3.35]***	-1.029 [-3.39]***	-1.054 [-3.48]***	-1.045 [-3.41]***
Industry-induced stock return in year t-1	-1.393 [-7.39]***	-1.698 [-7.67]***	-1.066 [-6.05]***	-1.440 [-8.13]***
... for CEOs with excess compensation in top 20%	-0.698 [-2.79]***	-0.807 [-3.12]***	-0.764 [-3.14]***	-0.754 [-2.95]***
Idiosyncratic stock return in year t-2	-0.927 [-5.93]***	-0.962 [-5.93]***	-0.834 [-5.35]***	-0.896 [-5.72]***
... for CEOs with excess compensation in top 20%	0.501 [2.41]**	0.563 [2.52]**	0.390 [1.80]*	0.436 [1.98]**
Industry-induced stock return in year t-2	-0.159 [-0.79]	-0.622 [-1.93]*	-0.523 [-2.30]**	-0.784 [-2.79]***
... for CEOs with excess compensation in top 20%	-0.038 [-0.18]	-0.038 [-0.15]	0.207 [0.69]	0.290 [0.98]
CEO with excess compensation in top 20%	-0.154 [-1.24]	-0.130 [-0.98]	-0.205 [-1.71]*	-0.240 [-1.91]*
CEO of retirement age	-0.982 [-4.41]***	-0.951 [-4.26]***	-0.969 [-4.24]***	-0.951 [-4.20]***
CEO with high equity ownership	-0.815 [-3.91]***	-0.850 [-3.84]***	-0.851 [-3.96]***	-0.856 [-3.85]***
Year fixed effects	No	Yes	No	Yes

* significant at 10%; ** significant at 5%; *** significant at 1%

Panel B: Marginal effects of idiosyncratic and peer-group induced performance on CEO dismissals for CEOs with different levels of excess compensation

	EW Industry		VW Industry	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	Idiosyncratic stock return in year t-1	Idiosyncratic stock return in year t-1	Idiosyncratic stock return in year t-1	Idiosyncratic stock return in year t-1
Marginal effect for CEOs with excess compensation in top 20%	-1.46 [10.04]***	-1.31 [11.33]***	-1.46 [10.56]***	-1.31 [11.86]***
Marginal effect for CEOs with excess compensation below the top 20%	-1.32 [10.37]***	-1.21 [10.10]***	-1.35 [9.72]***	-1.25 [9.62]***
Difference in marginal effects	-0.14 [0.92]	-0.09 [0.74]	-0.11 [0.75]	-0.06 [0.44]
	Industry-induced return in year t-1	Industry-induced return in year t-1	Industry-induced return in year t-1	Industry-induced return in year t-1
Marginal effect for CEOs with excess compensation in top 20%	-0.92 [8.46]***	-0.94 [8.61]***	-0.78 [7.76]***	-0.81 [8.04]***
Marginal effect for CEOs with excess compensation below the top 20%	-0.81 [7.39]***	-0.84 [7.67]***	-0.61 [6.05]***	-0.72 [8.13]***
Difference in marginal effects	-0.11 [0.89]	-0.10 [0.94]	-0.17 [1.49]	-0.09 [0.87]
	Idiosyncratic stock return in year t-2	Idiosyncratic stock return in year t-2	Idiosyncratic stock return in year t-2	Idiosyncratic stock return in year t-2
Marginal effect for CEOs with excess compensation in top 20%	-0.19 [3.03]***	-0.15 [2.70]***	-0.19 [3.14]***	-0.17 [3.20]***
Marginal effect for CEOs with excess compensation below the top 20%	-0.54 [5.93]***	-0.47 [5.93]***	-0.47 [5.35]***	-0.45 [5.72]***
Difference in marginal effects	0.35 [3.24]***	0.33 [3.29]***	0.29 [2.60]***	0.28 [2.85]***
	Industry-induced return in year t-2	Industry-induced return in year t-2	Industry-induced return in year t-2	Industry-induced return in year t-2
Marginal effect for CEOs with excess compensation in top 20%	-0.09 [1.00]	-0.25 [2.43]**	-0.13 [1.04]	-0.18 [1.31]
Marginal effect for CEOs with excess compensation below the top 20%	-0.09 [0.79]	-0.31 [1.93]*	-0.30 [2.30]**	-0.39 [2.79]***
Difference in marginal effects	0.01 [0.06]	0.06 [0.49]	0.16 [1.13]	0.21 [1.75]*