Evidence for Orthologous Seed Weight Genes in Cowpea and Mung Bean Based on RFLP Mapping

Christian A. Fatokun,' Desiree I. Menancio-Hautea,' Dariush Danesh and Nevin D. Young

Department of Plant Pathology, University of Minnesota, St. Paul, Minnesota 55108 Manuscript received March **26, 1992** Accepted for publication July **13, 1992**

ABSTRACT

A well saturated genomic map is a necessity for a breeding program based on marker assisted selection. To this end, we are developing genomic maps for cowpea *(Vigna unguiculata* **2N=22)** and mung bean *(Vigna radiata* **2N=22)** based on restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) markers. Using these maps, we have located major quantitative trait loci (QTLs) for seed weight in both species. Two unlinked genomic regions in cowpea contained QTLs accounting for **52.7%** of the variation for seed weight. In mung bean there were four unlinked genomic regions accounting for **49.7%** of the variation for seed weight. In both cowpea and mung bean the genomic region with the greatest effect **on** seed weight spanned the same RFLP markers in the same linkage order. This suggests that the QTLs in this genomic region have remained conserved through evolution. This inference is supported by the observation that **a** significant interaction *(ie.,* epistasis) was detected between the QTL(s) in the conserved region and an unlinked RFLP marker locus in both species.

C OWPEA *[Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.] and mung bean *[Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek] evolved separately in sub-Sahara Africa and Asia, respectively (SMARTT 1990), and relationships between them, especially at the molecular level, have not been reported. Cowpea belongs to subgenus *Vigna* section *Catiang* while mung bean belongs to subgenus *Ceratotropis.* Crossing experiments between various subgenera and sections of the genus *Vigna* clearly show that mung bean cannot provide immediate germplasm for transfer into cowpea **or** vice versa due to cross incompatibility (DANA and KARMAKAR 1990). However, because both belong to the same genus, *Vigna* Savi (MARECHAL, MASCHERPA and STAINIER 1978) they share common restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) marker loci (D. I. MENANCIO-HAUTEA, **C.** A. FATOKUN, L. **S.** KUMAR, D. DANESH and N. D. YOUNG, in preparation) and, potentially, many orthologous genes. The presence and distribution of such orthologous genes within the genomes of the two species might give an indication of common evolutionary trends.

RFLP analysis has been successfully used to study evolutionary relationships between species. For example, DOEBLEY *et al.* (1990) identified molecular markers linked to morphological traits which differentiate maize from its presumed progenitor, teosinte. Using RFLP analysis HULBERT *et al.* (1990) detected conserved DNA genetic markers in maize and sorghum, both members of the tribe Andropogoneae. BONIERBALE, PLAISTED and TANKSLEY (1988) found a high level of homeology between chromosomes of tomato and potato, with the only detectable chromosomal changes being paracentric inversions at four locations on three chromosomes of potato. By contrast, while tomato and pepper genomes share a similar gene repertoire and conserved nucleotide sequences among homologous genes, extensive chromosome rearrangements were observed between the two genomes (TANKSLEY *et al.* 1988).

In cowpea and mung bean seed weight is one of the three most important yield components and large seeds usually command consumer preference. Hence, breeders have endeavored to develop varieties characterized by larger seeds coupled with other desirable traits. Seed weight is quantitatively inherited with average heritability values of 66.2% and 87.9% in cowpea and mung bean, respectively (FERY 1980). The estimated minimum number **of** factors influencing seed weight range from **6** to 10 (SENE 1968; ARYEETEY and LAING 1973).

Polygenes controlling important metric traits are usually distributed among several quantitative trait loci (QTLs), which may not be linked to one another. For this reason, incorporating desirable traits governed by unlinked polygenes using conventional breeding methods is not an easy task. Tagging useful agricultural genes, such as those for seed weight, with tightly linked molecular markers should enhance efforts aimed at their improvement. STUBER, EDWARDS and WENDEL (1987) have reported that molecular (isozyme) marker loci can be effective in locating and

Ibadan. Nigeria. ' **Permanent address: Department of Agronomy, University of Ibadan,**

ippines. Los Banos, Philippines. E Permanent address: Institute of Plant Breeding, University of the Phil-

identifying QTLs (or genomic regions) with effects on grain yield in maize.

In this paper we report the detection of genomic regions in cowpea and mung bean that contain QTLs with major effects on seed weight. Our results indicate that most of the variation is controlled by a small number of genes in both species. Moreover, one genomic region with orthologous RFLP markers conserved between cowpea and mung bean contains the QTL with the greatest effect on seed weight in both species.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant materials: Two cowpea genotypes, IT2246-4 (an improved cultivar) and TVNI 963 *(V. unguiculata* ssp. *dekindtiana* 2N=22) were crossed at the International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA), Ibadan, Nigeria, as were two mung bean genotypes VC3890 (an improved cultivar) and TCl966 *(V. radiata* **ssp.** *sublobata* 2N=22) at the Asian Vegetable Research and Development Centre (AVRDC), Shanhua, Taiwan. These two pairs **of** parents were selected because they differed in several morphological and agronomic attributes.

Seeds obtained from the crosses were sown (at IITA or AVRDC) to produce the F_1 generation. Seeds harvested from F_1 plants were then planted in the greenhouse at the University of Minnesota, St. Paul. For each cross, 58 **F2** plants were grown and used as source of leaves, which were frozen in liquid nitrogen prior to DNA extraction. Plants were allowed to grow back, flower, and set seeds. Data were collected from each plant for mean 100-seedweight.

DNA extraction, restriction digests, electrophoresis and Southern blotting: Plant DNA was extracted from frozen leaves using a modification **of** the technique of DELLAPORTA, WOOD and HICKS (1983). For cowpea, seven restriction enzymes: *BclI,* BstNI, EcoRI, EcoRV, **HaeIII,** Hind111 and *XbaI* were used to digest total DNA, while for mung bean, BstNI, *Dral,* **EcoRI,** EcoRV, **Hue111** and Hind111 were used. Digested DNA was electrophoresed on 1% agarose gel, followed by blotting onto a \hat{H} ybond N+ membrane (Amersham Corporation) using a modification of SOUTHERN (1975), which consisted of blotting in an alkaline solution containing 0.5 M NaOH and 0.5 M NaCI.

DNA clones: Three different genomic libraries served as sources of RFLP markers. The first of these consisted of a library of soybean genomic DNA which had been prepared by digestion with the methylation-sensitive restriction enzyme, *PstI,* and insertion into the bacterial plasmid, pBS+ (Stratagene). This library was generously donated by RANDY SHOEMAKER (Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa). About 90% of the soybean clones showed clear hybridization signals when probed onto cowpea and mung bean DNA. The other genomic libraries were constructed by digesting purified cowpea or mung bean DNA with *PstI,* which was then separated according to size by sucrose gradient centrifugation, and the fraction between 500 and 3000 base pairs ligated into pUC18 by standard molecular methods (SAM-BROOK, FRITSCH and MANIATIS 1988).

Library screening and DNA hybridizations: Cloned DNA inserts were labeled using the polymerase chain amplification. Individual bacterial colonies were grown overnight in suspension culture, centrifuged for *5* min at 2000xg, rapidly frozen and thawed, and centrifuged at 2OOOxg for *5* min and used as plasmid templates for the reactions. Two microliters of the supernatant were used as

template in a polymerase chain reaction using the M13 forward and -reverse sequence as primers (Promega). Unincorporated nucleotides were removed either by ammonium acetate precipitation or by brief centrifugation through a 1.0-ml column containing Sephadex G50 resin. Forty to 50 ng of each amplified genomic sequence was radiolabeled by the random hexamer reaction of FEINBERC and VOGELSTEIN (1983).

The radiolabeled sequence was then incubated with a blot containing DNA of the parents or F_2 for 18-24 hr at 60 $^{\circ}$ in a hybridization solution of $5 \times SSC$, 0.1 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.5, 1 X Denhardt's solution (2% each **of** Ficoll, polyvinylpyrrolidone and bovine serum albumin fraction V), 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulfate, and 5% dextran sulfate. After incubation, blots were washed three times for 15 min each at 60° with $2 \times SSC$, $1 \times SSC$ and $0.5 \times SSC$, respectively. Each wash solution also contained 0.1 % sodium dodecyl sulfate. Following the washes blots were placed against Kodak X-AR film and stored at *-80"* for 1-5 days to produce autoradiographs.

Data analysis: All \tilde{F}_2 plants were scored for RFLP markers, as well as for seed weight, and then analysed using the Mapmaker (LANDER *et al.* 1987), Mapmaker-QTL (LANDER and BOTSTEIN 1989) and Statview-I1 computer programs. Linkage analysis was carried out with the Mapmaker program, while QTL analysis was with Statview-I1 and Mapmaker-QTL. Putative QTLs were inferred for loci showing a significance of $P < 0.01$ in one way ANOVA using Statview-11. With Mapmaker-QTL putative QTLs were inferred whenever the LOD score exceeded 2.0. A LOD score is the $log₁₀$ of the ratio between the odds of one hypothesis (the presence of a QTL in this case) *vs.* an alternative hypothesis (no QTL). Statview-I1 was also used to carry out two-factor analysis of variance between RFLP loci in order to determine if there were any significant interaction among loci. Again, a significant level of *P* < 0.01 was used to uncover potentially significant interactions.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Frequency distribution of seed weight: Seed weight among F_2 plants showed continuous variation, suggesting polygenic inheritance in both crops (Figure 1). None of the F_2 plants had seeds as heavy as the heavier seed-producing parents, IT2246-4 (cowpea) with 13.12 g/100 seeds and VC3890 (mung bean) with 6.04 g/100 seeds. In this study, the fact that no F_2 individual had seeds as heavy as the heavier seed producing parents could be attributed to the few number of plants representing these populations. The greenhouse environment in which they were sown would also have contributed to this observation. The mean values of both F_2 populations were nearer those of the parents with lower seed weight, suggesting partial dominance of small seed weight. This observation is consistent with reports from earlier studies by **DRABO** *et al.* (1984), **LELEJI** (1975) and **SEN** and **MURTY** (1 960).

Detection of QTLs: QTLs for seed weight were investigated by linear correlation between genotypic classes for each RFLP marker (104 in mung bean and 84 in cowpea) and seed weight. It should be recognized that linear correlation only gives an accurate

Mean hundredseed weight (g)

FIGURE 1.-Frequency distributions of cowpea and mung bean F₂ plants for 100-seedweight. In both populations, phenotypes of the heavier seed producing parents were not recovered. The cowpea and mung bean genotypes crossed are in parenthesis followed by their mean 100-seedweight.

estimate of effects of QTLs that fall exactly at a marker locus. This means that the effects of QTLs located further away from markers are underestimated. By contrast, Mapmaker-QTL is based on interval mapping, which seeks to eliminate this potential underestimation (LANDER and BOTSTEIN 1989).

Seed weight QTLs in cowpea: Both Statview-I1 and Mapmaker-QTL identified the same two genomic regions around $pO103$ on linkage group II and $pA816$ on linkage group VI as containing major QTLs for seed weight (Table 1; Figure **2).** The interval with the greatest effect on seed weight, as revealed by Mapmaker-QTL analysis, was between markers $p0103$ and PA509 on linkage group **11.** The genomic region comprising a 10:1 likelihood support interval for the position of a putative QTL was estimated to span **14** cM (Figure 2). This interval represents the range within which the likelihood falls by a LOD of 1.0 or less (PATERSON *et al.* 1988). The QTL(s) in this interval on linkage group I1 accounted for 36.5% of seed weight variation and the second region on linkage group VI accounted for 32.9% based on Mapmaker-QTL analysis. Together QTLs in these two intervals explained 52.7% of the variation for seed weight. The amount of variation in seed weight explained by these two regions is quite substantial in view of the quantitative nature of this trait.

Seed weight QTLs in mung bean: Mapmaker-QTL program identified four unlinked regions as having major QTLs for seed weight (Figure 2). Together, these four unlinked regions of the mung bean genome explained **49.7%** of variation **for** seed weight. Based on Mapmaker-QTL, the interval $pM182-pA124$ on

TABLE 1

RFLP markers and linkage groups associated with seed weight QTLs in mung bean and cowpea

		Linkage group	Mung bean Cowpea			
Markers	Mung bean	Cowpea	P	LOD^a	P	LOD
pM228	и		0.0006	3.581		
bA124	п		0.0001	4.370		
pM182	н	Н	0.0004	4.781	0.0072	2.189
pM185b		н			0.0006	3.331
pA487	П	П	0.0026	3.157	0.0083	2.845
pO103		Н			0.0001	4.498
pA509	Н	П	0.0020	2.986	0.0006	3.290
pK286	н		0.0038	3.403		
pK443		H			0.0100	2.027
bM254		Н			0.0017	3.402
bAI10		Н			0.0019	2.976
$bM185a$	I		0.0030	$1.928*$		
pA60			$0.0193**$	2.598		
pK472a	VI		$0.0148**$	2.055		
pA816		VI			0.0008	2.991
pA226		VI			0.0069	2.654
pA955	ш		$0.0315**$	2.26		

 $P = 0.01$ (ANOVA). $(-)$ = Clone not mapped *(i.e., not poly-** = values included because **of** significant *P* or ** significant morphic) or had no significant relationship with seed weight.

a LOD is the **log,,,** of the odds ratio that supports evidence for LOD.

the presence of a QTL in the region.

linkage group **I1** contained a major QTL(s) accounting for 32.5% of the variation for this trait. The 1O:l likelihood support interval for the presence of a putative QTL in this region spanned 31 **cM.** Using Statview-11, three of the same regions were identified

FIGURE 2.-RFLP maps of cowpea **(A)** and mung bean (R) showing locations of putative QTLs for seed weight. Linkage group **II** of both species have QT1.s with rhe highest effects on seed weight and are spanned **by** identical markers. Both species have 1 I pairs of chromosomes each, while the current RFLP maps span 9 linkage groups in cowpea and 12 in mung bean. The short cross lines on the chromosomes indicate the positions of markers while the broad and intermediate bars adjacent to chromosomes are the intervals over which LOD scores are within 1 .0 and 2.0 **log** units (see Table 1) respectively **as** indicated by Mapmaker-QTL program.

(Table 1) **as** containing QTL(s) for seed weight. The QTL spanned by markers *pA515, PA955* and *PA450* on linkage group **111 as** revealed by Mapmaker-QTL was not detected by Statview-11.

Evidence for orthologous genes for seed weight: The genomic regions in cowpea and mung bean that have the most effect on seed weight, span the same RFLP markers in both species (Figure **3).** These markers, listed in Table 1, are colinear in arrangement on homologous linkage groups in both crops (linkage groups **I1** in cowpea and mung bean). Moreover, the genomic regions of cowpea and mung bean with the highest supportive evidence for the presence of seed weight QTLs coincided (Figure **3).**

Evidence for epistasis between QTLs: The proportion of total variation for seed weight accounted

for by the identified QTLs was about 50% in both crop species. The model adopted in Mapmaker-QTL analysis tends to underestimate total genetic variance since only additive, dominance and recessive genetic components of variation are included in the model (PATERSON *et al.* **1991).** Consequently, we cannot explain all likely causes of variation by the detected major QTLs. It should be noted that the F_2 plants used in this study were sown in pots placed on benches in a greenhouse. Normally, both cowpea and mung bean are sown in the field. Additional sources of variation could be environment, measurement error, interaction with environment, presence **of** genes influencing other traits that affect seed weight indirectly, QTLs with effects too slight to detect, and interaction between QTLs (PATERSON et al. 1991).

FIGURE 3.-Conserved regions of mung bean and cowpea genomes having the same **RFLP** markers arranged in similar order (joined by broken lines). The broad and intermediate shaded areas are the intervals over which LOD scores are within 1.0 and **2.0** log units respectively as indicated by Mapmaker-QTL program.

A two-factor ANOVA was carried out to determine the significance of inter-loci interactions (Table 2) among the detected QTLs for seed weight. This analysis revealed that in cowpea the QTL at *pol03* on linkage group I1 and a second locus located near *PA816* on linkage group VI, influenced one another significantly. The results suggest that the allele of *V. dekindtiana* at *PA816* diminished the effects of *V. unguiculata* allele on seed weight at $p0103$. Likewise in mung bean a QTL located near marker *PA816* significantly influenced the QTL near *pM182* (Table **2)** although on its own, *PA816* showed no significant effect on seed weight. When *PA816* was homozygous for *V. radiata* ssp. *sublobata* allele, it diminished the effect of *pM182* when homozygous for *V. radiata* allele. The two markers $pO103$ and $pM182$ span the same regions of cowpea and mung bean genomes (linkage group 11) containing putative QTLs accounting for the highest effect on seed weight. While the strength of evidence for interaction between these loci is only moderate (primarily due to the small population size, 58 F_2 plants, used in the analysis), it is noteworthy that a second unlinked locus appears to interact significantly with the major seed weight QTL(s) on linkage group I1 in both species. In quantitative genetic studies earlier reported (ALLARD 1988; SPICKETT and THODAY 1966), interloci interactions between QTL alleles had significant effects on phenotype. However, QTL mapping studies carried out on tomato (PATERSON *et al.* 1991) and maize (EDWARDS, STUBER and WENDEL 1987) did not show strong evidence for the presence of interaction between detected QTLs.

Evolutionary significance: It is noteworthy that a major QTL for seed weight, which may be common

TABLE 2

Two-way ANOVA for interacting RFLP marker loci

Plant	Source	F test	P
Cowpea	pA816(A)	12.63	0.0009
	$pO103$ (B)	7.04	0.0109
	$(A \times B)$	4.67	0.0063
Mung bean	pA816(A)	4.19	0.0218
	pM182(B)	8.75	0.0006
	$(A \times B)$	5.87	0.0007

The **RFLP** markers *PA816* and *PO103* interacted in cowpea as *pAXI6* and *pM182* in mung bean with significant effects on seed weight in both species. Markers $pO103$ and $pM182$ span the same region (linkage group **I1** in both species) containing putative QTLs accounting for the greatest effects on seed weight.

to both cowpea and mung bean, has been retained by both species. In maize *(Zea mays)* and sorghum *(Sorghum bicolor)* clusters of genes with similar linear order have been reported (HULBERT *et al.* 1990), although traits governed by these genes were not stated. In the present study the QTLs located near identical, colinear DNA markers in both species accounted for the greatest amount of variation in seed weight. In the process of evolution, frequencies of some alleles, particularly those concerned with plant survival and fitness, tend to increase, as do frequencies of alleles of associated marker loci (ALLARD 1988). As the evolution of crop plants to their present day forms involves both natural and artificial selection, alleles that are strongly associated with agriculturally important characters such as yield and its components are, therefore, likely to become more frequent in today's crop cultivars.

Cowpea and mung bean are distantly related, showing only 70% nucleotide homology based on RFLP analysis (C. A. FATOKUN, D. DANESH, N. D. YOUNG and E. L. STEWART, submitted). A comparison of the genomes of the two species also shows that extensive chromosome rearrangements have taken place in one or both genomes (D. **I.** MENANCIO-HAUTEA, *C.* A. FATOKUN, L. **S.** KUMAR, D. DANESH, in preparation). Cowpea and mung bean also differ significantly from one another in their general appearance, centers of diversity, and are completely sexually incompatible (DANA and KARMAKAR 1990).

Implications for crop improvement: The usefulness of RFLP maps in crop improvement depends on identifying RFLP markers that are tightly linked to desirable genes. In the present study we identified RFLP markers which are linked with seed weight in cowpea and mung bean. Moreover, the fact that we did not recover the heavier seed producing parental types among F_2 plants did not preclude identification of individuals with potential for producing progenies that are capable of giving high seed weight in subsequent generations. Using marker-assisted selection in

combination with the graphical genotype concept **(YOUNG** and **TANKSLEY** 1988), it should be possible to select plants in the F_2 generation that are most likely to contain desired genes. Moreover, the gene action at some of these loci was mainly additive, based on the dominance to additive (d/a) ratio (results not shown), which implies that plants selected in early generations, such as the F_2 , should produce progeny individuals with the desired trait.

In cowpea and mung bean, seed weight is governed by several genes, the major ones were detected in the present study. With more saturated DNA marker maps, an ultimate aim of our studies, it should be possible to identify additional QTLs (genes) for this and other desirable traits. An ensemble **of** the different QTLs contributing to increased seed weight can then be more readily established in standard cultivars of these crops.

We wish to thank DooHwan KIM of AVRDC, Shanhua, Taiwan, and GERRALD MYERS of **IITA,** Ibadan, Nigeria, for making the crosses and supplying the hybrid seeds. We also wish to thank J. DOEBLEY, J.GROTH, D. SOMERS, all of University of Minnesota, and two anonymous reviewers for useful comments on the manuscript. This research was supported by a grant from the Rockefeller Foundation and Fellowships awarded to C.A.F. and D.M.H. This paper is published as contribution in the series of the Minnesota Agricultural Experiment Station on research conducted under Project 015, supported by General Agricultural Research funds.

LITERATURE CITED

- AILARD, **R.** W., 1988 Genetic changes associated with the evolution of adaptedness in cultivated plants and their wild progenitors. J. Hered. **79:** 225-238.
- ARYEETEY, **A.** M., and E. LAING, 1973 Inheritance of yield components and their correlation with yield in cowpea *(Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.). Euphytica **22:** 386-392.
- BONIERBALE, M. W., R. L. PLAISTED and **S.** D. TANKSLEY, 1988 RFLP maps based on common sets **of** clones reveal modes of chromosome evolution in potato and tomato. Genetics **120:** 1095-1 103.
- DANA, S., and P. G KARMAKAR, 1990 Species relation in Vigna subgenus Ceratotropis and its implication in breeding, pp. 19- 42 in *Plant Breeding Reviews,* edited by J. JANICK. Timber Press, Portland, Ore.
- DELLAPORTA, S. L., J. WOOD and J. B. HICKS, 1983 A plant DNA minipreparation: version **11.** Plant Mol. Biol. Rep. **1:** 19-21.
- DOEBLEY, J.. A. STEC, J. WENDEL and M. EDWARDS, 1990 Genetic and morphological analysis of a maize-teosinte F_2 population: implications for the origin of maize. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **87:** 9888-9892.
- DRABO, **I.,** R. REDDEN, J. B. SMITHSON and V. D. AGGARWAL, 1984 Inheritance of seed size in cowpea *(V. unguiculata (L.)* Walp.). Euphytica **33:** 929-934.
- EDWARDS, M. D., C. W. STUBER and J. F. WENDEL, 1987 Molecular-marker-facilitated investigations of quantitativetrait loci in maize. 1. Numbers, genomic distribution, and types

of gene action. Genetics **116:** 113-125.

- FEINBERG, A. P., and B. VOGELSTEIN, 1983 A technique for radiolabeling DNA'restriction fragments to high specific activity. Anal. Biochem. **132:** 6-13.
- FERY, R. L., 1980 Genetics of *Vigna,* pp. 3 11-394 in *Horticultural Reviews,* edited by J. JANICK. AVI Publishing, Westport, Conn.
- HULBERT, **S.** H., T. **E.** RICHTER, J. D. AXTEL and J. L. BENNETZEN, 1990 Genetic mapping and characterisation of sorghum and related crops by means of maize DNA probes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **87:** 4251-4255.
- LANDER, E. **S.,** and D. BOTSTEIN, 1989 Mapping Mendelian factors underlying quantitative traits using RFLPs linkage maps. Genetics **121:** 185-199.
- LANDER, E. **S.,** P. GREEN, J. ABRAHAMSON, A. BARLOW, M. J. DALY, **S.** E. LINCOLN and **L.** NEWBURG, 1987 MAPMAKER: an interactive computer program for constructing genetic linkage maps of experimental and natural populations. Genomics **1:** 174-181.
- LELEJI, *0.* I., 1975 Inheritance of three agronomic characters in cowpea *(V. unguiculata* (L.) Walp.). Madras Agric. J. **62:** 95- 97.
- MARECHAL, R., J. M. MASCHERPA and F. STAINIER, 1978 Etude taxonomique d'un groupe complexe d'especes des genres *Phaseolus* et *Vigna (Papillionaceae)* sur la base de donnees morphologiques, et polliniques traitees par I'analyse informatique. Boissiera **28:** 1-273.
- PATERSON, A. H., E. S. LANDER, J. D. HEWITT, S. PETERSON, S. E. LINCOLN and **S.** D. TANKSLEY, 1988 Resolution **of** quantitative traits into Mendelian factors using a complete linkage map of restriction fragment length polymorphisms. Nature **335:** 72 1-726.
- PATERSON, A. H., **S.** DAMON, J. D. HEWIT, D. ZAMIR, H. D. RABINOWITCH, **S.** E. LINCOLN, E. **S.** LANDER and **S.** D. TANK-SLEY, 1991 Mendelian factors underlying quantitative traits in tomato: comparison across species, generations, and environments. Genetics **127:** 181-197.
- SAMBROOK, J. E. F. FRITSCH and T. MANIATIS, 1989 *Molecular Cloning:* **A** *Laboratory Manual,* Ed. **2.** Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y. laboratory Press.
- SEN, N. K., and A. **S.** MURTY, 1960 Inheritance **of** seed weight in greengram *(Phaseolus aureus* Roxb.). Genetics **45:** 1559-1562.
- SENE, D., 1968 Heredite du poids de 100 graines chez *Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.). Agron. Trop. **23:** 1345-1351.
- SMARTT, J., 1990 *Grain Legumes: Evolution and Genetic Resources.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- SOUTHERN, E., 1975 Detection of specific sequences among DNA fragments separated by gel electrophoresis. J. Mol. Biol. **98:** 503-517.
- SPICKETT, S. G., and J. M. THODAY, 1966 Regular responses to selection **3.** Interaction between located polygenes. Genet. Res. **7:** 96-121.
- STUBER, C. W., M. D. EDWARDS and J. F. WENDEL, 1987 Molecular marker-facilitated investigations **of** quantitative trait loci in maize. **11.** Factors influencing yield and its component traits. Crop Sci. **27:** 639-648.
- TANKSLEY, S. D., R. BERNATZSKY, N. L. LAPITAN and J. P. PRINCE, 1988 Conservation of gene repetoire but not gene order in pepper and tomato. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **85:** 6419- 6423.
- YOUNG, N. D., and **S.** D. TANKSLEY, 1989 Restriction fragment length polymorphism maps and the concept of graphical genotypes. Theor. Appl. Genet. **77:** 95-101.

Communicating editor: D. CHARLESWORTH