

## NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Am J Med Genet A. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2009 November 9.

Published in final edited form as:

Am J Med Genet A. 2008 October 1; 146A(19): 2501–2511. doi:10.1002/ajmg.a.32476.

### Agenesis and Dysgenesis of the Corpus Callosum: Clinical, Genetic and Neuroimaging Findings in a Series of 41 Patients

Chayim Can Schell-Apacik<sup>1,\*</sup>, Kristina Wagner<sup>1</sup>, Moritz Bihler<sup>1</sup>, Birgit Ertl-Wagner<sup>2</sup>, Uwe Heinrich<sup>3</sup>, Eva Klopocki<sup>4</sup>, Vera M. Kalscheuer<sup>5</sup>, Maximilian Muenke<sup>6</sup>, and Hubertus von Voss<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Social Pediatrics and Adolescent Medicine of the University of Munich, München, Germany

<sup>2</sup>Institute of Clinical Radiology of the University of Munich, München, Germany

<sup>3</sup>Center for Human Genetics and Laboratory Medicine, Martinsried, Germany

<sup>4</sup>Institute of Medical Genetics, Charité Universitätsmedizin, Berlin, Germany

<sup>5</sup>Max-Planck-Institute for Molecular Genetics, Berlin, Germany

<sup>6</sup>National Human Genome Research Institute, NIH, Bethesda

#### Abstract

Agenesis of the corpus callosum (ACC) is among the most frequent human brain malformations with an incidence of 0.5-70 in 10,000. It is a heterogeneous condition, for which several different genetic causes are known, for example, ACC as part of monogenic syndromes or complex chromosomal rearrangements. We systematically evaluated the data of 172 patients with documented corpus callosum abnormalities in the records, and 23 patients with chromosomal rearrangements known to be associated with corpus callosum changes. All available neuroimaging data, including CT and MRI, were re-evaluated following a standardized protocol. Whenever feasible chromosome and subtelomere analyses as well as molecular genetic testing were performed in patients with disorders of the corpus callosum in order to identify a genetic diagnosis. Our results showed that 41 patients with complete absence (agenesis of the corpus callosum—ACC) or partial absence (dysgenesis of the corpus callosum—DCC) were identified. Out of these 28 had ACC, 13 had DCC. In 11 of the 28 patients with ACC, the following diagnoses could be established: Mowat–Wilson syndrome (n = 2), Walker–Warburg syndrome (n = 1), oro-facial-digital syndrome type 1 (n = 1), and chromosomal rearrangements (n = 7), including a patient with an apparently balanced reciprocal translocation, which led to the disruption and a predicted loss of function in the FOXG1B gene. The cause of the ACC in 17 patients remained unclear. In 2 of the 13 patients with DCC, unbalanced chromosomal rearrangements could be detected (n = 2), while the cause of DCC in 11 patients remained unclear. In our series of cases a variety of genetic causes of disorders of the corpus callosum were identified with cytogenetic anomalies representing the most common underlying etiology.

#### Keywords

agenesis or dysgenesis of the corpus callosum; clinical-genetic study; MR imaging

<sup>© 2008</sup> Wiley-Liss, Inc.

<sup>\*</sup>Correspondence to: Chayim Can Schell-Apacik, Kinderzentrum München, Institute of Social Pediatric and Adolescent Medicine, of the University of Munich, Medical Genetics Unit, Heiglhofstr. 63-81377 München, Germany. schell-apacik@gmx.de.

#### INTRODUCTION

The corpus callosum is the major interhemispheric fiber bundle in the brain [Aboitiz and Montiel, 2003], and consists of about 200 million axons in humans, that is, approximately 2–3% of all cortical fibers, thus making it the largest fiber tract within the central nervous system.

Formation of the corpus callosum begins as early as 6 weeks of gestation when axons destined to cross the midline can be seen growing medially within the hemispheres. At 11–12 weeks of gestation, the first fibers cross the midline through the massa commissuralis, which is located between the anterior and hippocampal commissures, to form the corpus callosum. In the developing brain, axon tracts generally form according to a conserved ontogenic sequence as shown in animal models [Hatten, 1999; Mihrshahi, 2006]. Most axon tracts develop along nonneural substrate cells such as glia, which guide the first pioneering axons to their targets [Holley, 1982; Nordlander and Singer, 1982; Norris and Kalil, 1991]. Thus, a number of glial populations have been found to play a role in the development of the corpus callosum. One of these populations, the so-called midline zipper glia, has been shown to guide the process of midline fusion, a necessary event in the run-up of the formation of the corpus callosum [Silver, 1993]. Two other glia populations, a "glial wedge" being formed in the dorsomedial lateral ventricles, and another glial population being formed in the region of the indusium griseum [Shu and Richards, 2001; Lent et al., 2005], have been identified and shown to play important roles in corpus callosum development. Another structure called "midline sling," mainly consisting of migratory neurons, forms a midline bridge along which callosal axons can grow to reach the contralateral hemisphere. It has been shown that absence of, or damage to, this sling results in agenesis of the corpus callosum [Silver et al., 1982]. By 18–20 weeks of gestation, the corpus callosum has assumed its final shape except that it will continue to thicken and grow caudally.

Agenesis of the corpus callosum (ACC) is one of the most frequent malformations in brain with a reported incidence raging between 0.5 and 70 in 10,000 [Myrianthopolous, 1977; Jeret et al., 1986]. The prevalence in children with developmental problems has been estimated to be as high as 230 in 10,000 [Jeret et al., 1986, 1987]. Two types of ACC can be distinguished morphologically: (1) ACC type 1, in which axons form but are unable to cross the midline; they consecutively form large aberrant fiber bundles known as Probst bundles along the medial hemispheric walls. (2) ACC type 2, in which commissural axons fail to form; therefore, no Probst bundles are found.

Disorders of the corpus callosum can also be observed in association with major malformations of the embryonic forebrain prior to formation of the anlage of the corpus callosum (e.g., holoprosencephaly, HPE).

ACC is a heterogeneous condition, which can be observed either as isolated condition or as one manifestation in the context of a congenital syndrome. Among the most frequent clinical findings in patients with ACC are mental retardation (60%), visual problems (33%), speech delay (29%), seizures (25%), and feeding problems (20%) [Schilmoeller and Schilmoeller, 2000]. Furthermore, even in cases with no developmental delay and normal intelligence mild behavioral or social problems as well as the attention-deficit-hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) have been described [Brown and Paul, 2000; Doherty et al., 2006].

ACC can be caused by exogenous factors, for example, maternal alcohol use during pregnancy [Sowell et al., 2001] or maternal phenylketonuria [Levy et al., 1996] as well as by genetic factors. Several syndromes that include ACC having autosomal-dominant, autosomalrecessive and X-linked inheritance have been recognized [Dobyns, 1996; Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man (OMIM), 2008], and several causative gene mutations have been identified so far (Table I). In addition, ACC has been observed in constitutional trisomies as well as in

some chromosomal rearrangements like del(4)(p16), del(6)(q23), dup(8)(p21p23), dup(11) (q23qter), suggesting that causative genes may be located in these chromosomal regions (Table II).

On the other hand, cases with isolated ACC and developmental delay without detectable chromosomal changes have also been published with apparent autosomal dominant, autosomal recessive or X-linked modes of inheritance. To our knowledge, causative genes have not been found to date [Serur and Jeret, 1988; Dobyns, 1996].

Much is known about ACC being a part of certain conditions (Tables I and II), or in terms of its association with social, behavioral and medical problems [Brown and Paul, 2000;Schilmoeller and Schilmoeller, 2000;Doherty et al., 2006]. In contrast, there is confusion about the terminology concerning partial absence of the corpus callosum (DCC), where various desgnations are used including hypogenesis, hypoplasia or partial agenesis. In fact, radiological terminology to describe corpus callosum abnormalities used in literature is rather confusing and heterogeneous. For the purpose of this study, only complete absence of the corpus callosum was addressed as agenesis (ACC), and partial absence of the corpus callosum as dysgenesis (DCC). This reflects on the findings by Rubinstein et al. [1994] that the partial appearance of the corpus callosum may be due to a process to overcome initial abnormalities of midline structures resulting in a variety of shape, size and location of an observed callosal structure not necessarily corresponding to a "normal" corpus callosum.

We were interested in finding out, whether we could relate the ACC and DCC seen in patient series classified using a standardized protocol for describing the available neuroimaging to certain underlying genetic causes. Our purpose was to uncover unknown chromosomal regions associated with, or other changes contributing to ACC and DCC.

#### METHODS

In this retrospective study, data of children seen in our institution for suspected disorders of the corpus callosum between 1984 and 2006 were reviewed. A total of 172 patients were identified in whom corpus callosum abnormalities were documented in the files (group 1). According to our records, the corpus callosum was completely absent in 63 patients and partially absent in 28 patients. In another eight patients the corpus callosun was missing due to holoprosencephaly (HPE), and 73 patients had a corpus callosal hypoplasia (CH).

Another 23 patients (group 2) were added to the study, when seen in our institution, with chromosomal rearrangements known to be associated with corpus callosum changes. In total, we identified 195 patients in groups 1 and 2. In a total number of 126 cases, nine computed tomographies (CT) and 117 magnetic resonance images (MRI) of the brain were performed. In 82 cases, in which a corpus callosum abnormality had been described or suspected, either no neuroimaging other than ultrasound had been performed (n = 65) or detailed imaging studies were not available (n = 17).

In all 126 cases with available neuroimaging, the images were re-evaluated using a standardized protocol focusing on midline and cortical defects by a neuroradiologist specialized in pediatric neuroradiology. In this structured evaluation only complete absence of the corpus callosum was addressed as agenesis (ACC), and partial absence of the corpus callosum as dysgenesis (DCC) [Rubinstein et al., 1994].

In 43 cases, the previous corpus callosum findings were revised: Three times from ACC to DCC, five times from ACC to corpus callosum hypoplasia (CH), four times from DCC to CH, four times from DCC to ACC, once from CH to ACC, five times from CH to DCC, once from CH to HPE, and once from HPE to DCC. Nineteen patients, who had previously been described

to have ACC (n = 2), DCC (n = 3), HPE (n = 1), or CH (n = 13) had no corpus callosum abnormalities at all.

Of the 35 patients with ACC, 28 were seen by a clinical geneticist. In the seven remaining cases, an appointment was offered, but the parents declined. Of the 18 patients with DCC, 13 were seen by a clinical geneticist. In the five remaining cases an appointment was offered, but the parents either declined (n = 4) or were lost to follow-up.

Clinical genetic investigation of a patient included a thorough phenotype assessment including minor anomalies of head, neck, skin, and extremities. Genetic laboratory investigation included chromosome and subtelomeric analyses. For the purpose of this study, a diagnosis was considered chromosomal only, if an unbalanced karyotype was either in conventional, subtelomeric or array-CGH analysis. According to the obtained genetic data and/or a clinically suspected diagnosis, further investigation (e.g., particular gene analysis, microarray-based comparative genomic hybridization in case of severe mental retardation and/or dysmorphic phenotype) was considered and offered.

Array-CGH analysis using a whole genome tiling path BAC array was performed as described previously [Klopocki et al., 2006]. In brief, patient and reference DNA were labeled using a Bioprime CGH labeling kit (Invitrogen, Karlsruhe, Germany) and hybridized on the array (SlideBooster, Implen, Munich, Germany). Analysis and visualization were performed with CGHPRO software. Copy number changes were determined by a conservative log<sub>2</sub> ratio threshold (gain  $\geq 0.3$ ; loss  $\leq -0.3$ ). Profile deviations consisting of three or more neighboring BACs are considered as genomic aberrations.

#### RESULTS

After the neuroradiological re-assessment, 110 patients were identified with distinctive features of the corpus callosum:

Thirty-five patients with ACC (34 from group 1 and one from group 2).

Eighteen patients with DCC (all from group 1).

Fifty patients with CH (47 from group 1 and 3 from group 2).

Seven patients with HPE (all from group 1).

Of the 35 patients with ACC, 28 were seen by a clinical geneticist, two of them being brother (A-13) and sister (A-11), and the following diagnoses were established:

Mowat–Wilson syndrome (n = 2; OMIM #235730).

Walker–Warburg syndrome (n = 1; OMIM #236670).

Oro-facial-digital syndrome type 1(n = 1; OMIM #311200).

Six chromosomal changes (only one of them (A-14) being from group 2).

An additional patient had an apparently balanced reciprocal translocation, which led to the disruption and a predicted loss of function of a gene (*FOXG1B* gene), which had not been described previously [Shoichet et al., 2005]. In a total of 19 patients subtelomeric chromosome analyses were performed with normal results.

Of the 18 patients with DCC, 13 were seen by a clinical geneticist, and two chromosomal rearrangements were found (none of the patients were from group 2). In 12 cases subtelomeric analyses were performed, which revealed an unbalanced karyotype in one case. Beside the two siblings (A-13, A-11) with ACC, no other patients were related.

Seventeen patients with ACC and two patients with DCC showed Probst bundles, while in nine patients with ACC and nine patients with DCC Probst bundles were absent. In two cases with ACC and two cases with DCC it remained unclear due to insufficient image quality whether Probst bundles are present or not. A repeat MRI was declined by the parents.

Associated cortical malformations were frequent in our study population:

polymicrogyria was noted in eight patients with ACC (29%) and in one patient with DCC,

pachygyria/incomplete lissencephaly was seen in nine patients with ACC (25%) and in one patient with DCC, and

heterotopia was noted in four patients with ACC (14%) and in one patient with DCC.

Dandy–Walker malformation, Chiari malformation or delayed myelination constituted less frequent findings (Table III).

In 11 of 28 patients with ACC seen by a geneticist, a genetic diagnosis could be established in the remaining 18 cases the genetic basis of the ACC remained unknown (Table IV). Five cases had non-chromosomal diagnoses:

Two boys (A-12, A-26) had Mowat–Wilson syndrome (OMIM #235730): One of them (A-12), who was  $1\frac{3}{12}$  years old at the time of investigation, had a *de novo* deletion of two base pairs in exon 5 (nt553-554) of the *ZFHX1B* gene. The other one (A-26), who was  $1\frac{7}{12}$  years old at the time of investigation, had a de novo deletion of a single nucleotide (nt2176) of the same gene leading to a truncated polypeptide.

One girl (A-28), who was  $8\frac{3}{12}$  years old at the time of investigation, clinically had an orofacial digital syndrome type 1 (OMIM #311200), which was confirmed by molecular genetic analysis of the *CXORF5* gene revealing a frameshift mutation in exon 5 of the gene not found in the girl's mother.

Another female patient (A-23) first seen at the age of 14 months by a clinical geneticist, had an apparently balanced translocation t(2;14)(p22;q13) leading to a disruption of the *FOXG1B* gene at the breakpoint of chromosome 14 published by Shoichet et al. [2005].

A male patient (A-19) with Walker–Warburg syndrome (OMIM #236670), who had a congenital hydrocephalus, dysplastic cortex with agyria, optical atrophy, retinal hemorrhage, and congenital muscular dystrophy, passed away at the age of 9 months. Unfortunately, no samples were available to perform further genetic testing besides chromosome analysis which was normal.

Six cases with ACC, mental retardation, and dysmorphic features had various chromosomal changes:

A  $10\frac{7}{12}$ -year-old boy (A-07) had a mosaicism in fibroblast culture with a karyotype of 46,XY[19]/46,XY,del(18)(pter  $\rightarrow$  q21:)[31], but a normal karyotype of 46,XY in lymphocytes.

A  $1\frac{8}{12}$ -year-old boy (A-15) had partial monosomy 3p with karyotype 46,XY,del(3)(pter $\rightarrow$  p25).

A  $6\frac{3}{12}$ -year-old boy (A-24) had trisomy 8 mosaic, karyotype 46, XY[7]/47, XY, +8[93].

A 13-month-old boy (A-20) with severe mental retardation, macrocephaly, hearing impairment and dysmorphic appearance had trisomy 8pter  $\rightarrow$  8q11.1 and trisomy 12q11.1  $\rightarrow$  12pter mosaic due to a de novo translocation 8p;12p resulting in a dicentric marker chromosome, karyotype 47,XY, +dic(8;12)( 8pter  $\rightarrow$  8q11.1::12q11.1  $\rightarrow$  12pter)[28]/ 46,XY[72].

Schell-Apacik et al.

A 9-year-old female patient (A-14) had a partial trisomy 8p in combination with a partial monosomy of the very distal region of 8p due to an inverted duplication  $8p23.1 \rightarrow p11.2$  with a deletion of  $8p23.1 \rightarrow pter$ .

In a  $3\frac{5}{12}$ -year-old male patient (A-16) with ACC, severe mental retardation, seizures, and dysmorphic features, who had delayed myelination as well as a complex malformation of cortical development with pachygyria and polymicrogyria, chromosome and subtelomeric analyses were performed with normal results. In this case, we additionally applied a whole genome tiling path BAC array in order to investigate the genomic DNA for submicroscopic aberrations. We detected two genomic aberrations: a duplication of 6q25.3-q26 as well as a duplication of 11q25. While the duplication 6q has been classified as a genomic variant (database of genomic variants, version December 13, 2005;

http://projects.tcag.ca/variation/), the 230 kb duplication of 11q has not been described as genomic variant yet (Fig. 1). The parents of the patient were investigated as well, both showing no duplication of 11q25.

In 2 of 13 patients with DCC a chromosomal diagnosis could be established (Table IV):

A  $2\frac{9}{12}$ -year-old girl (D-01) had a partial trisomy 11q and a partial monosomy 6q due a translocation (6;11) revealed by subtelomeric analysis, karyotype: 46,XX,ish der(6)t(6;11) (6qtel-,11qtel+). Analyses of the parents revealed that the child's mother carried a balanced translocation involving chromosomes 6, 11 and 14. Breakpoints were refined by chromosome microdissection showing karyotype: 46,XX,rev ish t(6;11;14) (6pter  $\rightarrow$  q26::11q23.3  $\rightarrow$  qter; 11pter  $\rightarrow$  q23.3::14q22  $\rightarrow$  qter; 14pter  $\rightarrow$  q22::6q26 $\rightarrow$ qter).

Another 12-month-old female patient (D-11) had a partial monosomy 7q, karyotype 46,XX,del (7q32  $\rightarrow$  qter). Remarkably, *Sonic Hedgehog*, one of the major genes accounting for HPE and midline defects in brain, is located in region 7q36.

The major clinical findings of all the patients with ACC and DCC are summarized in Tables V and VI. Findings present in a patient were marked with "+," traits absent with "–" If information concerning a particular trait was not informative, a question mark (?) was used.

The two siblings A-13 and A-11 with ACC, were listed and counted individually because they were discordant for major clinical findings, though they are likely to have a common genetic etiology for the corpus callosum abnormality. The female patient A-11 had a developmental delay and muscular hypotonia. Her brother A-13 developed normally and was healthy. The ACC in his case was revealed by chance due to a postnatal ultrasound. The differences between these siblings may be due to a different genetic background modifying a common genetic cause for ACC, which could be also gender specific, or the corpus callosum changes and the associated clinical findings have in fact different genetic or even non genetic causes in both of these two children.

Concerning the patients with ACC, 25 out of 28 (89%) had a developmental delay. Twentyone of 24 patients (88%) had a delay in speech development and 21 of 25 patients (84%) had feeding problems. Fifteen of 25 patients (60%) had visual problems, and three of 17 (18%) were hearing impaired. Thirteen of 21 patients (62%) developed seizures, 21 out of 23 (91%) had abnormal muscular tone.

Concerning the patients with DCC, all had developmental delay, and a delay in speech development and eight out of 13 patients (62%) had feeding problems. Eight of 12 patients (67%) had visual problems, and one of 11 (10%) were hearing impaired. Eight of nine patients (89%) developed seizures, 10 out of 12 (83%) had abnormal muscular tone.

Only slightly different frequencies of the major clinical findings were found, when patients without specific diagnosis are taken separately. These findings including the frequencies are

given in Tables VII and VIII. Concerning the patients with ACC without a diagnosis, 14 out of 17 (82%) had a developmental delay. Twelve of 15 patients (80%) had a delay in speech development and 11 of 15 patients (73%) had feeding problems. Eight of 15 patients (57%) had visual problems, nine of 13 patients (69%) developed seizures, and 11 out of 13 (85%) had abnormal muscular tone.

Concerning the patients with DCC, 6 out of 10 patients (60%) had feeding and/or visual problems, 7 of 8 patients (89%) developed seizures, and 8 out of 10 (88%) had abnormal muscular tone.

Interestingly none of these patients with ACC, and only one out of 10 patients with DCC (10%) was hearing impaired, suggesting that hearing problems were part of the specific diagnoses in the other patients.

#### DISCUSSION

Since ACC is one of the most frequent brain malformations in children with developmental delay it is crucial to establish consistent criteria in the radiological assessment of the corpus callosum description in order to achieve reproducible and comparable results. In this study, we decided to include only patients with complete absence of the corpus callosum (ACC), or partial absence (dysgenesis) of the corpus callosum (DCC), based on the terminology that has been introduced by Rubinstein et al. [1994]. Known genetic causes for the absence of the corpus callosum are chromosomal rearrangements and several genetic disorders with autosomal dominant, autosomal recessive and X-linked mode of inheritance (see also Tables I and II).

The results of our study confirmed data known from the literature, and moreover added to the current knowledge (Table IX). In the case of patient A-16, where array-CGH analysis revealed a 230 kb spanning de novo microduplication in 11q25. This has not been described as genomic variant before (see also Fig. 1) and was not present in the patient's parents. In the light of partial trisomy of the region  $11q23 \rightarrow$  qter is known to be involved in ACC [Rott et al., 1972] this finding is suggestive that the region in this patient is the smallest region of overlap described so far involved in corpus callosum formation (see also Fig. 1). In the case of A-23, who had a cytogenetically balanced translocation t(2;14)(p22;q13), we were able to show that this rearrangement led to a disruption of the *FOXG1B* gene at the breakpoint of chromosome 14 [Shoichet et al., 2005]. As the chromosomal region 14q13 is known to be involved in HPE formation [Kamnasaran et al., 2005], the *FOXG1B* gene could be a good candidate not only for ACC but for HPE as well.

Interestingly, in none of the patients with DCC a non-chromosomal diagnosis could be established. In six out of 28 patient with ACC a chromosomal change was found, but only in two out of 13 patients with DCC, one of these identified with subtelomeric analysis, suggesting that DCC is not seen in complex chromosomal and non-chromosomal disorders.

As mentioned before, the most common clinical findings in patients with ACC are described in the literature to be mental retardation (60%), visual problems (33%), speech delay (29%), seizures (25%), abnormal muscular tone (25%), and feeding problems [Schilmoeller and Schilmoeller, 2000]. Though the study of Schilmoeller and Schilmoeller [2000] involved more patients than in the present study (596 families from the US and 12 other countries provided dermographic information, and a profile of their child by completing a questionnaire), the approach was completely different from our study, and, therefore, comparing results and frequencies is difficult: In the study reported by Schilmoeller and Schilmoeller [2000] all information on patients were collected merely on the basis of a questionnaire completed by the families themselves and not by professionals. Consistent clinical investigation was not offered and performed in the patients and Magnetic Resonance Imaging was not performed in all of

them (only in 33.3%); neuroimaging was not evaluated according to standardized criteria. Therefore, the higher frequencies for these features found in the present study may be due to different usage both of the radiological terminology, and of the diagnostic criteria underlying the assessment and evaluation of the particular clinical features. Unfortunately, Schilmoeller and Schilmoeller [2000] did not report on genetic or biological causes of the patients as well.

There are several limitations in our study that need to be taken into account when interpreting the data. Recent neuroimaging data were not available in all patients. As our study included exclusively patients, in whom corpus callosum abnormalities had previously been reported in the files, it remains unknown how many patients seen in our institution actually had a pathology of the corpus callosum. It is known that even patients with normal intelligence, mild behavioral or social problems as well as the attention-deficit-hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) may have ACC [Brown and Paul, 2000; Doherty et al., 2006].

Though the genetic basis of the complete and partial absence of the corpus callosum was identified in 13 of 41 patients (32%), the cause remained unknown in 68%. Further studies need to be performed to elucidate the genetic and biological bases of corpus callosum formation, and its complete or partial absence as well as associated brain malformation, and clinical findings.

#### Acknowledgments

We sincerely thank the patients and their families for participation in this study, M. Wettwer for performing the chromosome and subtelomere analyses, R. Ullmann and the Max-Planck-Institute of Molecular Genetics, Berlin, for providing the BAC array for array-CGH analysis as well as F. Trotier for her technical assistance. This work was supported by the Else Kroener-Fresenius Foundation.

Grant sponsor: Else Kroener-Fresenius Foundation.

#### REFERENCES

- Aboitiz F, Montiel J. One hundred million years of interhemispheric communication: The history of the corpus callosum. Braz J Med Biol Res 2003;36:409–420. [PubMed: 12700818]
- Baverel F, de Recondo J, Rouffet A, Fredy D, Salesses A, Rondot P. Agénésie du corps calleux chez un home ayant une trisomie 8 compléte en mosai?qe. Presse Med 1985;14:781–783. [PubMed: 3158893]
- Benzacken B, Siffroi JP, le Bourhis C, Krabchi K, Joyé N, Maschino F, Viguié F, Soulié J, Gonzales M, Migné G, Bucourt M, Encha-Razavi F, Carbillon L, Taillemite JL. Different proximal and distal rearrangements of chromosome 7q associated with holoprosencephaly. J Med Genet 1997;34:899– 903. [PubMed: 9391882]
- Brown WS, Paul LK. Cognitive and psychosocial deficits in agenesis of the corpus callosum with normal intelligence. Cogn Neuropsychiatry 2000;5:135–157.
- Dobyns WB. Absence make the search grow long. Am J Hum Genet 1996;58:7-16. [PubMed: 8554070]
- Dobyns WB, Pagon RA, Armstrong D, Curry CJR, Greenberg F, Grix A, Holmes LB, Laxova R, Michels VV, Robinow M, Zimmerman RL. Diagnostic criteria for Walker–Warburg syndrome. Am J Med Genet 1989;32:195–210. [PubMed: 2494887]
- Doherty D, Tu S, Schilmoeller K, Schilmoeller G. Health-related issues in individuals with agenesis of the corpus callosum. Child: Care Health Dev 2006;32:333–342. [PubMed: 16634978]
- Fineman RM, Ablow RC, Breg WR, Wing SD, Rose JS, Rothman SC, Warpinski J. Complete and partial trisomy of different segments of chromosome 8: Case reports and review. Clin Genet 1979;16:390– 398. [PubMed: 527246]
- Funderburk S-J, Barrett CT, Klisak I. Report of a trisomy 8p infant with carrier father. Ann Genet 1978;21:219–222. [PubMed: 314258]
- Hatten ME. Central nervous system neuronal migration. Ann Rev Neurosci 1999;22:511–539. [PubMed: 10202547]

- Jeret JS, Serur D, Wisniewski KE, Fisch C. Frequency of agenesis of the corpus callosum in the developmentally disabled population as determined by computerized tomography. Pediatr Neurosci 1986;12:101–103. [PubMed: 2428024]
- Jeret JS, Serur D, Wisniewski KE, Lubin RA. Clinicopathological findings associated with agenesis of the corpus callosum. Brain Dev 1987;9:255–264. [PubMed: 3310713]
- Kamnasaran D, Chen CP, Devriendt K, Mehta L, Cox DW. Defining a holoprosencephaly locus on human chromosome 14q13 and characterization of potential candidate genes. Genomics 2005;85:608–621. [PubMed: 15820313]
- Klopocki E, Neumann LM, Tonnies H, Ropers HH, Mundlos S, Ullmann R. Ulnar-mammary syndrome with dysmorphic facies and mental retardation caused by a novel 1.28 Mb deletion encompassing the TBX3 gene. Eur J Hum Genet 2006;14:1274–1279. [PubMed: 16896345]
- Lent R, Uziel D, Baudrimont M, Fallet C. Cellular and molecular tunnels surrounding the forebrain commissures of human fetus. J Comp Neurol 2005;483:375–382. [PubMed: 15700272]
- Levy HL, Lobbregt D, Barnes PD, Poussaint TY. Maternal phenylketonuria: Magnetic resonance imaging of the brain in offspring. J Pediatr 1996;128:770–775. [PubMed: 8648535]
- Mihrshahi R. The corpus callosum as an evolutionary innovation. J Exp Zool (Mol Dev Evol) 2006;306B: 8–17.
- Mowrey PN, Chorney MJ, Venditti CP, Latif F, Modi WS, Lerman MI, Zbar B, Robins DB, Rogan PK, Ladda RL. Clinical and molecular analyses of deletion 3p25-pter syndrome. Am J Med Genet 1993;46:623–629. [PubMed: 8103286]
- Myrianthopolous, NC. Epidemiology of central nervous system malformations.. In: Vinken, PJ.; Bruyn, GW.; Myrianthopolous, NC., editors. Congenital malformations of the brain and skull. North-Holland; Amsterdam: 1977. p. 139-179.Part I
- Nordlander RH, Singer M. Spaces precede axons in *Xenophus* embryonic spinal cord. Exp Neurol 1982;75:221–228. [PubMed: 7060677]
- Norris CR, Kalil K. Guidance of callosal axons by radial glia in the developing cerebral cortex. J Neurosci 1991;11:3481–3492. [PubMed: 1941093]
- Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man (OMIM). 2008. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?db=OMIM
- Rott H-D, Schwanitz G, Grosse K-P, Alexandrow G. C 11/D 13-translocation in four generations. Hum Genet 1972;14:300–305.
- Rubinstein D, Youngman V, Hise JH, Damiano TR. Partial development of the corpus callosum. Am J Neuroradiol 1994;15:869–875. [PubMed: 8059653]
- Schilmoeller G, Schilmoeller K. Filling a void: Facilitating family support through networking for children with a rare disorder. Family Sci Rev 2000;13:224–233.
- Serur D, Jeret JS. Agenesis of the corpus callosum: Clinical, neuroradiological an cytogenetic studies. Neuropediatrics 1988;19:87–91. [PubMed: 2453812]
- Shoichet SA, Kunde S-A, Viertel P, Schell-Apacik C, von Voss H, Tommerup N, Ropers H-H, Kalscheuer VM. Haploinsufficiency of novel FOXG1B variants in a patient with severe mental retardation, brain malformation, and microcephaly. Hum Genet 2005;117:536–544. [PubMed: 16133170]
- Shu T, Richards LJ. Cortical axon guidance by glial wedge during the development of the corpus callosum. J Neurosci 2001;21:2749–2758. [PubMed: 11306627]
- Silver J. Glia-neuron interactions at the midline of the developing mammalian brain and spinal cord. Perspect Dev Neurobiol 1993;1:227–236. [PubMed: 8087547]
- Silver J, Lorenz SE, Wahlsten D, Coughlin J. Axonal guidance during development of the great cerebral commissures: Descriptive and experimental studies, in vivo, on the role of preformed glial pathways. J Comp Neurol 1982;210:10–29. [PubMed: 7130467]
- Sowell ER, Mattson SN, Thompson PM, Jernigan TL, Riley EP, Toga AW. Mapping callosal morphology and cognitive correlates. Effects of heavy prenatal alcohol exposure. Neurology 2001;57:235–244. [PubMed: 11468307]

- Thauvin-Robinet C, Cossee M, Cormier-Daire V, Van Maldergem L, Toutain A, Alembik Y, Bieth E, Layet V, Parent P, David A, Goldenberg A, Mortier G. Clinical, molecular, and genotype-phenotype correlation studies from 25 cases of oral-facial-digital syndrome type 1: A French and Belgian collaborative study. J Med Genet 2006;43:54–61. [PubMed: 16397067]
- Valdamanis A, Pearson G, Siegel AE, Hoeksema RH, Mann JD. A pedigree of 4/18 translocation chromosomes with type and contertype partial trisimy and partial monosomy for chromosome 18. Ann Genet 1967;10:159–166. [PubMed: 5301688]
- Zweier C, Albrecht B, Mitulla B, Behrens R, Beese M, Gillessen-Kaesbach G, Rott H-D, Rauch A. Mowat–Wilson syndrome with and without Hirschsprung desease is a distinct, recognizable multiple congenital anomalies-mental retardation syndrome caused by mutations in the zinc finger homeo box 1B gene. Am J Med Genet 2002;108:177–181. [PubMed: 11891681]



#### Fig. 1.

Array CGH profile of chromosome 11 of patient A-16 showing a de novo microduplication 11q25 not described as a genomic variant yet. [Color figure can be viewed in the online issue, which is available at www.interscience.wiley.com.]

#### TABLE I

#### Complex Genetic Syndromes With Agenesis of the Corpus Callosum (ACC) as a Possible Feature

Syndrome	Chromosomale region	Gen	OMIM#
Autosomal-dominant			
Apert syndrome	10q26	FGFR2	#101200
Basal cell nevus syndrome	9q22.3	PTCH	#109400
Greig cephalopolysyndaktyly syndrome	7p13	GLI3	#175700
Miller–Dieker syndrome	17p13.3	LIS1	#247200
Mowat–Wilson syndrome	2q22	ZFHX1B	#235730
Opitz GBBB syndrome	22q11.2		%145410
Rubinstein–Taybi syndrome	16p13.3	CREBBP	
	22q13	EP300	#180849
Autosomal-recessive			
Acrocallosal syndrome	7p13	GLI3	#200990
Andermann syndrome	15q13-q14	SLC12A6	#218000
Coffin–Siris syndrome			135900
Dincsoy syndrome			601016
Fryns syndrome			%229850
Fukuyama congenital muscular dystrophy	9q31	FCMD	#253800
Hydrolethalus syndrome	11q23-q25		%236680
	9q34.3		%213300
Joubert syndrome	6q23.2-q23.3	AHI1	#608629
Lowry–Wood syndrome			%226960
Lyon syndrome			225740
Marden–Walker syndrome			%248700
Meckel-Gruber syndrome	17q22-q23		%249000
Microcephalic osteodysplastic primordial dwarfism, typ	e 1		%210710
Microcephalic osteodysplastic primordial dwarfism, typ	e 3		%210730
Muscle-eye-brain disease	1p34-p33	POMGNT1	#253280
Neu-Laxova syndrome			%256520
Ocular motor apraxia (Cogan-syndrome)	2q13		%257550
Peters-Plus syndrome	-		%261540
Septooptic dysplasia	3p21.2-p21.1	HESX1	#182230
Toriello-Carey syndrome			%217980
Vici syndrome			%242840
	9q34.1	POMT1	
	14q24.3	POMT2	
Walker–Warburg syndrome	9q31	FCMD	#236670
Warburg-Mikro syndrome	2q21.3	RAB3GAP	#600118
X-linked			
ACC in combination with ectodermal dysplasia			225040
(hypohidrotic)			
Aicardi syndrome	Xp22		%304050
ATR-X syndrome	Xq13	ATRX	#301040
FG syndrome	Xq12-q21.31		%305450
X-linked aqueductal stenosis or hydrocephalus/MASA	Xq28	L1CAM	#307000
syndrome			
Craniofrontonasal syndrome	Xq12	EFNB1	#304110
Lujan–Fryns syndrome			%309520
MLS syndrome	Xp22.31		%309801
Opitz GBBB syndrome	Xp22	MID1	#300000
Oro-facial digital syndrome type 1	Xp22.3-p22.2	CXORF5	#311200
Proud syndrome	Xp22.13	ARX	#300004
X-linked lissencephaly	Xq22.3-q23	DCX	#300067
× •	A A		

#### TABLE II

#### Chromosomal Rearrangements With Agenesis of the Corpus Callosum as a Possible Feature

Region	Karyotype, SRO = smallest region of overlap
Deletions and translocations	
1q44qter	SRO
1q43	del(1)(q43)
1q43qter	$del(1)(q43)^n$
1q44qter	-21,der(1),t(1;21)(q44;q22.11)
2q14	SRO
2q12q14	del(2)(q12q14)
2q14q21	del(2)(q14q21)
2q31	SRO
2q22q31	del(2)(q22q31)
2q31q33	del(2)(q31q33)
3p25pter	del(3)(p25pter)
4p16	SRO
4p16	del(4)(pterp16.1)
6q23qter	SRO
6q23qter	del(6)(q23)
6p2/q2	r(6)/(p2?q2?)
/q32qter	del(7)(q32qter)
15q13	SRO
15q13	t(2;15)(p21;q13)
15913915	del(15)(q13q15)
16q22qter	rec(16),dup p, inv(16)(p12.1q22)
18q21qter	del(18)(q21qter)
21011021	SKU
21	Monosomie 21 Mosaik 21 $der(1) + (1,21)(e 44e 22,11)$
21pterq22.1	-21, der(1), l(1;21)(q44; q22.11) 21. $der(20) t(20; 21)(q12; q21)$
Zipterq21 Xn22 3	-21,der(20),i(20,21)(q13,q21)
Xp22.5 Xp22.3	del(X)(n22.3)
Xa13a21	del(X)(a13a21,3)
Duplication	dei(X)(q15q21.5)
Triploidy	Triploidy (mosaicism)
5n13 1n15 3	SRO
5n11pter	der(15).t(5:15)(p11:p12)
5p13.1p15.3	inv dup(5)(p13.1p15.3)
6p25pter	SRO
6p25pter	der(3),t(3;6)(q29;p21.1)mar
6p25pter	dup(6)(p25)
6q25qter	dup(6)(q25qter)
8p21p23	SRO
8	Trisomy 8 mosaicism
8p11pter	i(8p)mos
8p11pter	der(15),t(8;15)(p11;p15)pat
8p21pter	dup(8)(p21pter)
8p21pter	der(10),t(8;10)(p21;p15)
8p21pter	dup(8)(p21)/del(8)(p21)
8p11p23.1	dup(8)(p11p23.1)
8p11.2p23.1	dup(8)(p11.2p23.1)(?)
8p23qter	-8,+idic(8)(p23)
8p11p22	dup(8)(p11p22)
11q23qter	SKU
11q14.2qter	der(18),t(11;18)(q14.2;p11.31) der(4) + (4,11)(-25,-21,1)
11q21.1qter 11-22-ter	der(4),t(4;11)(q55;q21.1) der(12)(4),t(11;12)(a12)(a22, 24)
11q25qter	der(15), l(11;15)(q13;q52-54)
11q25.1qter 14-22-24	uer(4),1(4;11)(q55;q52.1)mat
14q25q24 14ptorg24	SKU
14pterq24	$+ue_1(14),u(5,14)(\mu/20;q/24)$
14425452 10a12 2ator	$-3,\pm uer(3), urs(3), 14)(q13; q23q32)$
17413.24161	uer(13),u(13,17)(p13,q13.2)

#### TABLE III

Summary of MRI Results of 28 Patients With Agenesis of the Corpus Callosum (ACC) and 13 Patients With Dysgenesis of the Corpus Callosum (DCC)

	ACC (N = 28)	<b>DCC</b> ( <b>N</b> = 13)
Probst bundles present	17	2
Probst bundles absent	9	9
Probst bundles indeterminate	2	2
Polymicrogyria	8/28	1/13
Pachygyria/lissencephaly	9/28	1/13
Heterotopia	4/28	1/13
Dandy–Walker malformation	0/28	2/13
Chiari malformation	1/28	0/13
Delayed myelination	3/28	2/13

#### TABLE IV

Outcome of Elaborated Genetic Diagnoses in 41 Patients With Agenesis (ACC) or Dysgenesis (DCC) of the Corpus Callosum

Patients with ACC	Patients with DCC	
Non-chromosomal diagnosis A-12 (Mowat–Wilson syndrome) A-19 (Walker–Warburg syndrome) A-23 (FOXG1B-gene mutation) A-26 (Mowat–Wilson syndrome) A-28 (Oro-facial-digital synd. type 1) Chromosomal changes A-07 (part. monosomy 18q-mosaic) A-14 (inv dup(8p) A-15 (part.monosomy 3p) A-16 (microduplication 11q25, polymorphism ?) A-20 (trisomy 8p + 12p-mosaic) A-24 (trisomy 8 mosaic)	D-01 (part. Mon. 6q + part. Tris. 11q) D-11 (part. Monosomie 7q)	13/41 = 32%
Cause yet unkown A-01 A-02 A-03 A-04 A-05 A-06 A-06 A-08 A-09 A-10 A-11 A-11 A-13 A-17 A-18 A-21 A-22 A-25 A-27	D-02 D-03 D-04 D-05 D-06 D07 D-08 D-09 D-10 D-12 D-13	28/41 = 68%

**NIH-PA** Author Manuscript

# NIH-PA Author Manuscript **TABLE V**

Patient	M/F	Age at last exam	Developmental delay	Speech delay	Feeding problems	Visual problems	Hearing impairment	Seizures	Abnormal muscular tone
A-01	M	5 <mark>49</mark> years	+	ė	+	5	6	+	+
A-02	W	2 <mark>-95</mark> 2 <mark>1-3</mark> years	+	+	+	I	ċ	i	+
A-03	ц	4 <u>1</u> 12 years	+	+	ċ	+	I	+	+
A-04	ц	9 <u>15</u> 913 years	+	+	+	I	ć	ċ	+
A-05	Μ	$6\frac{12}{12}$ years	+	+	ċ	+	I	I	ż
A-06 A-07	ΣΣ	5  years	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	- c	+ +	+ +
A 08	Ξ	$\frac{10}{12}$ years	-	-	- 4	- 4			- c
A-U0	ц ,	$22\frac{1}{12}$ years	I	I	+	÷	I	÷	~.
A-09	ц	$8\frac{4}{12}$ years	+	+	+	+	I	+	+
A-10	ц	$2\frac{\overline{4}}{12}$ years	+	+	+	I	6	I	+
A-11	ц	12 months	+	4	+	I	ċ	I	+
A-12 A-13	ΣΣ	15 months 3 vears	+ 1	+ 1	+ +	+ 1	¢.	- 6	+ ¢
A-14	ц	9 years	+	+	+	I	<i>i</i>	• 1	• +
A-15 A-16	ΣΣ	$\frac{20 \text{ months}}{5}$	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ 1	1 1	+ +
		3 <u>12</u> years			-				
A-17	W	8 <mark>12</mark> years	I	I	I	I	I	+	Ι
A-18	ц	$10\frac{18}{12}$ years	+	+	I	ż	I	I	+
A-19	М	$7\frac{1}{12}$ years	+	ż	+	+	ċ	+	+
A-20 A-21	MM	$13 \frac{13}{10}$ months $8\frac{1}{10}$ years	+ +	<b>c</b> ∙ +	+ 1	+ +	+ 1	¢• +	+ +
A-22	Σu	12 ° 16 months 14 months	+ +	+ +	+ +	+	ć	+ -	+ -
A-24	M	$6\frac{3}{12}$ years	+ +	+ +	+ c·		1 1	÷¢	+ 1
A-25	ц	$16\frac{5}{12}$ years	+	+	+	ż	ć	+	ć
A-26	ΣZ	19 months	+ ·	+ -	+	+ -	I	ċċ	+ c
A-2/ A-28	Zц	10 monus 8.2 Vears	+ +	+ +	1 +	+ 1	1 +	·· +	× +
		2 21	25/28 89%	21/24 88%	21/25 84%	15/25 60%	3/17 18%	13/21 62%	21/23 91%

Am J Med Genet A. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2009 November 9.

M: male; F: female; +: trait present; -: trait not present; ?: information not informative concerning this trait.

Summary of the Major Clinical Findings of All the Patients With Dysgenesis of the Corpus Callosum (DCC)

Patient	M/F	Age at last exam	Developmental delay	Speech delay	Feeding problems	Visual problems	Hearing impairment	Seizures	Abnormal muscular tonus
D-01	н	2 <u>4</u> 3 years	+	+	+	+	1	+	+
D-02	ц	$4\frac{16}{10}$ years	+	+	I	+	I	ż	+
D-03	ц	$8\frac{1}{12}$ years	+	+	+	I	I	+	+
D-04	ц	$7\frac{12}{10}$ years	+	+	ć	I	+	ż	Ι
D-05	ц	$9\frac{11}{12}$ years	+	+	I	+	I	5	+
D-06 D-07	MM	4  years 11 1 years	+ +	+ +	+ 1	+ +	1 1	+ +	+ \$\circ\$.
D-08	Μ	$7\frac{11}{10}$ years	+	+	+	+	I	+	Ι
D-09	Μ	$11\frac{16}{10}$ years	+	+	+	+	I	+	+
D-10	ц	$8\frac{6}{12}$ years	+	+	+	ė	6	+	+
D-11 D-12	цц	12  months $5\frac{6}{\sqrt{2}}$ vears	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ 1	¢ -	<b>~</b> · +	+ +
D-13	ц	$9\frac{12}{10}$ years	+	+	I	I	I	I	+
		2 21	13/13 100%	13/13 100%	8/13 62%	8/12 67%	1/11 10%	8/9 89%	10/12 83%
	M. molo.	T. famalar 1 that and		information not int	formation and animated	in twoit			

M: male; F: female; +: trait present; -: trait not present; ?: information not informative concerning this trait.

Summé	ary of tł	ne Major Clinical	Findings of the Pati	ients With Age	mesis of the Corp.	us Callosum (AC	C) without Specific	Diagnosis	
Patient	M/F	Age at last exam	Developmental delay	Speech delay	Feeding problems	Visual problems	Hearing impairment	Seizures	Abnormal muscular tone
A-01	м	$5\frac{9}{12}$ years	+	ė	+	2	ė	+	+
A-02	Μ	2 <mark>-19</mark> 2 <mark>-1-3</mark> years	+	+	+	I	ż	ż	+
A-03	ц	$4\frac{1}{12}$ years	+	+	ė	+	I	+	+
A-04	ц	$9\frac{15}{12}$ years	+	+	+	I	ć	ċ	+
A-05	Μ	$6\frac{12}{12}$ years	+	+	ė	+	I	I	ć
A-06	Σ	5 years	+	+	+	+	I	+	+ (
A-08	<u>I.</u>	22 <u>1</u> 9 years	I	I	+	+	I	+	2
A-09	ц	$8\frac{4^{1}}{12}$ years	+	+	+	+	I	+	+
A-10	ц	$2\frac{4}{12}$ years	+	+	+	I	6	I	+
A-11	ц	12 months	+	ż	+	I	ż	I	+
A-13 A-17	ZZ	3 years	1 1	1 1	+ 1	1 1	1 1	c· +	1 1
	5	8 <mark>−12</mark> years				c		-	
A-18	Ľ,	$10\frac{0}{12}$ years	+	+	I	7.	I	I	+
A-21	W	$8\frac{8}{12}$ years	+	+	I	+	I	+	+
A-22 A-25	Хц	16 months	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ 0	¢. ¢.	+ +	+ c
A-27	W	10 <u>12</u> years 18 months	14/17 82%	12/15 80%		+ + 8/14 57%	0/10 0%	? 9/13 69%	? 11/13 85%

M: male; F: female; +: trait present; -: trait not present; ?: information not informative concerning this trait.

**NIH-PA Author Manuscript** 

Summary of the Major Clinical Findings of the Patients With Dysgenesis of the Corpus Callosum (DCC) without Specific Diagnosis

**TABLE VIII** 

Page	19	
------	----	--

ılar tonus												
Abnormal muscu	+	+	I	+	+	ż	I	+	+	+	+	8/10 88%
Seizures	è	+	i	i	+	+	+	+	+	+	I	7/8 89%
Hearing impairment	I	I	+	I	I	I	I	I	ż	I	I	1/10 10%
Visual problems	+	I	I	+	+	+	+	+	i	I	I	6/10 60%
Feeding problems	I	+	ć	I	+	I	+	+	+	+	I	6/10 60%
Speech delay	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	11/11 100%
Developmental delay	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	11/11 100%
Age at last exam	$4\frac{10}{12}$ years	$8\frac{1}{12}$ years	7 <u>11</u> 19 years	9 <u>11</u> 12 years	4 years	$11\frac{1}{12}$ years	$7\frac{11}{12}$ years	$11\frac{14}{12}$ years	$8\frac{6}{12}$ years	$5\frac{6}{19}$ years	$9\frac{10}{10}$ years	2 21
M/F	ц	ц	ц	ц	М	M	Μ	Μ	ц	ц	ц	
Patient	D-02	D-03	D-04	D-05	D-06	D-07	D-08	D-09	D-10	D-12	D-13	

Am J Med Genet A. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2009 November 9.

M: male; F: female; +: trait present; -: trait not present; ?: information not informative concerning this trait.

#### TABLE IX

Established Non-Chromosomal (a) and Chromosomal Diagnoses (b) in 12 Patients With Underlying Cause

Established non-chromosomal diagnoses in this study	Patient	Result of the genetic test
(a)		
Mowat–Wilson syndrome [Zweier et al., 2002]	A-12	Frameshift mutation in the ZFHX1B gene
	A-26	Frameshift mutation in the ZFHX1B gene
Walker-Warburg syndrome [Dobyns et al., 1989]	A-19	Unknown, child deceased, no material available
Oro-facial-digital syndrome type 1		
[Thauvin-Robinet et al., 2006]	A-28	Frameshift mutation in the CXORF5 gene
FOXG1-B-gene mutation	A-23	Disruption in the gene due to a translocation (2;14)

Established chromosomal diagnoses in Patient Chromosomal segment known to be involved in ACC formation and reference this study

(b)		
Partial monosomy $3p25 \rightarrow pter$	A-15	Partial monosomy $3p25 \rightarrow pter$ [Mowrey et al., 1993]
Partial monosomy $7q32 \rightarrow qter$	D-11	Partial monosomy $7q32 \rightarrow qter$ [Benzacken et al., 1997]
Trisomy 8 mosaicism	A-24	Trisomy 8 mosaicism [Baverel et al., 1985]
Inverted duplication $8p23.1 \rightarrow p11.2$	A-14	Partial trisomy $8p21 \rightarrow pter$ [Fineman et al., 1979]
Trisomy 8 pter $\rightarrow$ 8 q11.1 + trisomy	A-20	Partial trisomy $8p11 \rightarrow pter$ [Funderburk et al., 1978]
$12q11.1 \rightarrow 12pter mosaicism$		
Partial monosomy 6 gter $\rightarrow$ g26 + partial	D-01	Partial trisomy $11q23 \rightarrow qter$ [Rott et al., 1972]
trisomy 11 gter $\rightarrow$ q23.3		
Microduplication 11q25	A-16	Partial trisomy $11q23 \rightarrow qter$ [Rott et al., 1972]
Partial monosomy $18q21 \rightarrow qter$	A-07	Partial monosomy $18g21 \rightarrow gter$ [Valdamanis et al., 1967]
mosaicism		