

Motion Picture/Television

PEER EVALUATION

Year 2 Productions

Production Title:		
Your Position:		
Below a	are a series of question to help evaluate 2 nd year crews. On a scale of 1-5, please grade each question listed. A '3' indicates	
good work all around. A '1' indicates a very poor performance, while a '5' is above and beyond all expectations. If anything below or		
above a	'3' is given, please take the time to explain why. Please put the name of the crew member next to his/her position.	
DIRECT	TOR:	
1)	Did he/she communicate a clear vision of the project?	
2)	Was input from the crew received well?	
3)	Were his/her needs properly communicated during preproduction?	
3) 4)	Were his/her needs properly communicated during production?	
,		
5)	Was he/she well prepared during production?	
6)	Did he/she maintain composure throughout?	
Additio	onal Comments:	
PRODU		
1)	Did he/she take initiative to prepare without being asked?	
2)	Were all production matters and forms cleared well in advance (3-5 days)?	
3)	Was he/she competent and composed?	
4)	Did he/she manage onset problems well?	
5)	Were his/her needs properly communicated?	
6)	Was he/she collaborative?	
7)	Was he/she interested in the creative process as well as the physical process?	
8)	Was he/she helpful in all stages of production: pre, production, post?	
9)	Did he/she check in often with all crew to make sure their needs were met?	
Additio	onal Comments:	

PEER EVALUATION - Year 2 Productions — Page 2

D.P.:			
1)	Did he/she have a technical knowledge of the equipment?		
2)	Was he/she willing to make aesthetic compromises with the Director?		
3)	Did he/she voice his/her own ideas about the project?		
4)	Was the scene lit properly for the visual style of the project?		
Additional Comments:			
A.C.:			
1)	Did he/she have a comprehension of the camera's parts and functions?		
2)	Was he/she consistently available to the D.P?		
3)	Was he/she prepared before each?		
4)	Did he/she actively listen to anticipate the D.P.'s needs?		
Additional Comments:			
SOUNI	O MIXER/EDITOR:		
1)	Did he/she have a technical knowledge of the mixer and mic?		
2)	Did he/she communicate needs clearly?		
3)	Did he/she have a comprehension of Final Cut?		
4)	Was he/she willing to take suggestions about the cut?		
5)	Did he/she work in a timely fashion?		
Additional Comments:			

PEER EVALUATION - Year 2 Productions - Page 3 (optional)

Please list any additional information that you feel valid regarding non-key crew members (negative or positive). **Crew Member's Name and Position:** Crew Member's Name and Position: **Crew Member's Name and Position: Crew Member's Name and Position: Crew Member's Name and Position:**