

RATIONALE FOR ASSIGNING/REQUESTING INCIDENT MANAGEMENT TEAMS

Incident Name:	Incident/Unit ID:
Current IMT Organization (Area Command, NIMO, CIMT):	IMT Organization Requested (Area Command, NIMO, CIMT):
Date Needed:	Time Needed:

The following are considerations that should be addressed in composing rationale for the NMAC:

Life Threatening Situations (firefighter or public safety)

- Evacuations currently taking place
- Advisory evacuations
- Evacuation plans in place
- Road, highway, or interstate closures

Real Property Threatened

- Number of structures, commercial and/or residences
- Number of subdivisions
- Name communities and population
- Historically significant cultural resources
- Natural resources, such as crops, grazing, timber, watershed
- Major power lines, energy sources or other infrastructure

RATIONALE FOR ASSIGNING/REQUESTING INCIDENT MANAGEMENT TEAMS

High Damage Potential

- Long-/short-term damage potential
- Plausible impacts on community

Management Alternatives

What alternatives have been considered for managing this incident (i.e., complexing with other incidents or having IMTs manage multiple fires)?

Incident Complexity

- Multi-jurisdictional
- Fuel type, size and growth potential
- Political situations
- Severity, extreme fire behavior and fuels conditions

RATIONALE FOR ASSIGNING/REQUESTING INCIDENT MANAGEMENT TEAMS

Request for Area Command or NIMO Team

The GMAC or the GACG should address the following:

- An assessment of the risks/threats which warrant the use of an Area Command to include political sensitivities and management implications, general size of the area of responsibility and complexity.
- A description of the proposed assignment for the Area Command Team.

Geographic Area Coordinating Group Chair/Delegate Concurrence:	
---	--

For NMAC Use Only		
Approved	Not Approved	NMAC Chair Signature:
Rationale:		