

# GEO IR Sounder Case Study: Multi-Band Retrieval Simulation and Forecast OSSE Results

# Vijay Natraj and Derek Posselt Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology

NOAA STAR Seminar March 24, 2021

1



•GEO IR Sounder was developed in response to BAA-NOAA-GEO-2019, capable of NWP and atmospheric composition measurements.

# •GEO IR Sounder combines thermal emission and reflected solar bands (like combining CrIS and GeoCARB) in one integrated instrument package:

- Co-registered field of view in both bands
- Both bands measured at same time of day
- Reflected solar band improves sensitivity to lower troposphere and near surface
- Greater societal impact than stand-alone NWP and atmospheric composition measurements



#### Instrument system simulations flow-down from scientific requirements to measurement requirements to instrument requirements:

- Simulated different choices of instrument and operation parameters into the retrieved temperature, water vapor and trace gas profiles.
- Improved weather forecast accuracy by incorporating temperature and water vapor retrievals into regional OSSE framework.
- Combined multi-band retrieval improves storm intensity, structure and track forecast accuracy, and benefits air quality and climate research.



#### Contributors

#### Simulated Retrievals

– Ming Luo, Jean-Francois Blavier, Vivienne Payne, Zhao-Cheng Zeng

#### Weather OSSE

– Longtao Wu, Jacola Roman

#### Instrument/Science/Management

– James Wu, Stan Sander, Len Dorsky



#### **Definition of Spectral Ranges**

Designation	Spectral Range (µm)	Spectral Range (cm <sup>.1</sup> )
VLWIR	> 10	< 1,000
LWIR	5-10	1,000-2,000
MWIR	3-5	2,000-3,333
SWIR	1-3	3,333-10,000
NIR	0.7-1	10,000-14,286
Visible	0.38-0.7	14,286-26,316
UV	< 0.38	> 26,316
TIR	> 3	< 3,333



#### Wide Spectral Range Improves Vertical Profiling of Temperature, Water Vapor and Trace Gases

The combination of wide spectral range, megapixel chemical imaging and high spectral resolution meets and exceeds NOAA's requirements for its next-generation geostationary sounder suite



- The wide spectral range of GEO IR Sounder permits operation in multiple wavebands (thermal infrared to short wave infrared).
- This capability increases the information content of retrievals to improve vertical profiling.
- High spectral resolution (up to 0.1 cm<sup>-1</sup>) and wide spectral range (1-15.4 μm) enable simultaneous observations of reflected sunlight and thermal emission (day/night) for meteorological sounding (temperature, humidity) for NWP and trace gases (CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, CO, SO<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>3</sub>) targeted by the NOAA AC4 program.

<sup>© 2021</sup> California Institute of Technology. Government sponsorship acknowledged.



#### **Comparisons to State-of-the-Art, Spectral Range**



<sup>© 2021</sup> California Institute of Technology. Government sponsorship acknowledged.



- The impact of satellite observations on our ability to forecast and monitor weather and air quality events depends on characteristics of the satellite products, including:
  - Accuracy
  - Vertical resolution
  - Horizontal resolution
- The characteristics of the remotely sensed products depend on the details of the instrument.

#### Factors to consider:

- Spectral coverage
- Spectral resolution
- Signal-to-noise



#### Approach

• Multispectral: SWIR and TIR to match the 1–15.4 μm spectral range of the GEO-IR instrument and to maximize information content

• Multispecies: Temperature, H<sub>2</sub>O, O<sub>3</sub>, CO, CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>

Radiative transfer: Two-Stream-Exact-Single-Scattering (2S-ESS) model (fast/accurate)

Retrievals: optimal estimation

Profiles obtained from WRF-Chem simulations over Houston and W. Virginia



#### Inputs to the Radiative Transfer Simulations





#### **Radiative Transfer/Retrieval Outputs**





#### **Profiles**



Profile\_9\_2006726\_1200 near Houston Profile\_14\_2006726\_0900 W Virginia



#### **Instrument Model**

#### **Thermal IR**

#### **Shortwave IR**



- SWIR region includes spectral information that adds near-surface information for CH<sub>4</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub> and CO
- SWIR has been band-limited here to maximize signal-to-noise in region where radiance is low
- Selected bands are configurable. Possible to include additional band, e.g., targeted for PBL H<sub>2</sub>O



#### Instrument Noise: Example for 4.2 km GSD



- Instrument noise model accounts for
  - Trade-offs between spectral resolution and noise
  - Trade-offs between horizontal resolution (GSD) and noise (4.2 km GSD results in lower noise)
  - Impacts of choice of spectral bands on noise



**Optimal Estimation Approach** 

#### Optimal estimation retrieval provides estimates of

- Vertical sensitivity and retrieval error
- Averaging kernels (AK)
  - Sensitivity of retrieval to true atmospheric state
  - Depends both on instrument characteristics and choice of retrieval constraints
- Trace of AK: Degrees of Freedom for Signal (DOFS)
  - Number of independent pieces of vertical information in the retrieval
- Width of the rows of AK
  - Measure of vertical resolution



#### **DOFS: Temperature Retrievals**

Frequency Domain	DOFS (MOPD = 5 cm)		DOFS (MOPD = 2 cm)		DOFS (MOPD = 0.8 cm)	
	2.1 km GSD	4.2 km GSD	2.1 km GSD	4.2 km GSD	2.1 km GSD	4.2 km GSD
V/LWIR	13.6	17.6	14.2	17.9	14.3	17.9
MWIR	5.1	7.9	5.8	8.3	6	8.1
V/LWIR+MWIR	13.8	17.8	14.4	18.1	14.5	18.1
SWIR	0.2	1.6	0.3	1.8	0.4	2.0
V/LWIR+MWIR+SWIR	13.8	17.9	14.6	18.3	14.7	18.4

• Small changes with MOPD, but systematic errors ignored here

- o 4.2 km GSD provides larger DOFS than 2.1 km
- Most information comes from LWIR

 $\ensuremath{\textcircled{C}}$  2021 California Institute of Technology. Government sponsorship acknowledged.



#### **DOFS: H<sub>2</sub>O Retrievals**

Frequency Domain	DOFS (MOPD = 5 cm)		DOFS (MOPD = 2 cm)		DOFS (MOPD = 0.8 cm)	
	2.1 km GSD	4.2 km GSD	2.1 km GSD	4.2 km GSD	2.1 km GSD	4.2 km GSD
V/LWIR	7.9	11.2	8.2	11.3	8.2	11.2
MWIR	4.6	6.9	5.0	7.3	4.6	6.6
V/LWIR+MWIR	8.3	11.8	8.8	12.1	8.6	11.9
SWIR	1.2	2.2	1.3	2.1	1.4	2.1
V/LWIR+MWIR+SWIR	8.3	12.1	8.9	12.3	8.7	12.1

• Small changes with MOPD, but systematic errors ignored here

- o 4.2 km GSD provides larger DOFS than 2.1 km
- Most information comes from LWIR+MWIR

 $\ensuremath{\textcircled{C}}$  2021 California Institute of Technology. Government sponsorship acknowledged.



#### Averaging Kernels: T/H<sub>2</sub>O

Total DOFS = 8.1

Total DOFS = 17.9

Total DOFS = 2.0

Total DOFS = 18.4







#### **DOFS: AQ/Climate Relevant Gases**

Retrieved Species	Frequency Domain	DOFS (MOPD = 2 cm)		DOFS (MOPD = 0.8 cm)	
		2.1 km GSD	4.2 km GSD	2.1 km GSD	4.2 km GSD
Ozone (TATM, H <sub>2</sub> O)	LWIR	3.5	4.0	3.4	4.0
со	MWIR	1.7	2.1	1.6	2.1
	SWIR	0.08	0.96*	0.1	0.96*
	MWIR+SWIR	1.7	2.3*	1.7	2.3*
CH <sub>4</sub> (TATM, H <sub>2</sub> O)	LWIR	1.5	2.0	1.6	2.1
	SWIR	0.7	1.9*	0.8	1.9*
	LWIR+SWIR	1.6*	2.7*	1.8*	2.8*
CO <sub>2</sub> (TATM, H <sub>2</sub> O)	VLWIR	1.0	1.5	1.1	1.6
	VLWIR+MWIR	1.0	1.5	1.2	1.6
	SWIR	0.3	1.1*	0.4	1.1*
	VLWIR+MWIR+SWIR	1.0	1.7*	1.2	1.9*

\* Instrument noise for GSD = 4.2 km reduced by factor of 5 as a result of footprint averaging

- DOFS broadly consistent with prior results from TES, CrIS, IASI, MOPITT, TROPOMI, ... teams
- Small changes with MOPD, but this is idealized scenario (perfect FM, perfect knowledge of interferences)
- For real scenarios, high spectral resolution will reduce systematic errors
  © 2021 California Institute of Technology. Government sponsorship acknowledged.



#### **Averaging Kernels: CO**





#### **Averaging Kernels: CH<sub>4</sub>**





#### Averaging Kernels: CO<sub>2</sub>



#### **Simulated Retrievals: Summary**



- distinguish absorption lines of target species from interferents
- separate tropospheric signal from stratospheric signal

#### Trade-off between spectral resolution and noise

comparable DOFS for idealized retrievals

Jet Propulsion Laborato

California Institute of T

reduction in systematic errors for real scenarios

#### Combination of spectral bands enhances lower tropospheric and near-surface sensitivity

#### Simulated Retrievals: Summary (Continued)

Jet Propulsion Laboratory

California Institute of

 GSD = 2.1 km and 4.2 km both provide excellent results for T and H<sub>2</sub>O

- SWIR provides clear benefit for CO, CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> when footprints are aggregated
- Performance of GEO-IR Sounder is similar to or better than currently operational instruments.



- 1. OSSE overview: objectives, timeline, and status
- 2. Regional OSSE system: description and configuration
  - 1. Nature run
  - 2. Forecast system

## 3. Regional OSSE results

- 1. Control forecast
- 2. Impact of assimilating Geo FTS profiles
  - 1. TC intensity and track
  - 2. Environment
  - 3. TC vertical structure
- 4. Summary and Conclusions



- Traditionally: evaluation of potential impact of new observations on a NWP forecast (Hoffman and Atlas, 2016; BAMS)
- •Fundamentally: quantify information provided by a future observing system
- This study uses 2 types of OSSE:
  - Do measurements provide enough information to estimate geophysical quantities of interest?
    What are the uncertainties? (retrieval OSSE)
  - How does assimilation of new observations affect/improve a weather forecast? (forecast OSSE)



### **OSSE Timeline**

#### Credible forecast OSSEs typically take years to complete

#### •We were able to complete multiple experiments within 5 months:

- Well tested and calibrated forecast and data assimilation system
- Available high fidelity simulation of a real event
- Assimilation of data that is very similar to types of data already assimilated

#### OSSE milestones:

- 1 September 2020: nature run complete
- 15 October 2020: control forecast and conventional data assimilation complete
- 18 November 2020: First Geo IR assimilation results finished
- 11 January 2021: Comparisons among various averaging kernels finished, analysis of impact on storm structure and environment finished



- Regional data assimilation / OSSEs assess impact on a weather event
- Focus on tropical cyclones, and utilize heritage in ensemble DA
- WRF-based ensemble Kalman filter (WRF-EnKF) developed at PSU
- Experiments based on successful ensemble simulation of hurricane Harvey (2017)
- Nature run: simulated high resolution TC very similar to Harvey
- Generate synthetic conventional and satellite observations, including variants of the proposed Geo FTS
- •Use EnKF to assimilate observations into an ensemble forecast

# Harvey OSSE Configuration: Nature Run

Initial Conditions	APSU analysis (assimilating GOES-16 all-sky BT + conv) The 3 <sup>rd</sup> strongest ensemble member
Boundary Conditions (&environment)	ERA-5
Time Range	Initialized at 00Z/23 AUG 2017 To 00Z/28 AUG 2017
Resolution & Domain Size	D01: 378 x 243 (27 km) D02: 297 x 297 (9 km) D03: 597 x 597 (3 km) D04: 1197 x 1197 (1 km)
Microphysics	Thompson Scheme
Model	WRF3.9.1
Moving Nest	Preset: 00Z/23 – 00Z/24 (by following the TC positions of NR itself from preliminary simulation) Vortex-following: 00Z/24 – 00Z/28 AUG 2017

# Harvey OSSE Configuration: OSSE DA Experiment

Red text denotes where forecast model differs from the nature run			
Initial Conditions	Ensemble forecast from APSU analysis		
Boundary Conditions (&environment)	NOAA FNL		
Time Range	Spin-up: 00-06Z/23 AUG 2017 DA: 06Z/23 – 00Z/26 AUG 2017		
Resolution & Domain size	D01: 378 x 243 (27 km) D02: 297 x 297 (9 km) D03: 297 x 297 (3 km) -		
Microphysics	WSM6		
Model	WRF3.6.1		
Moving Nest	Preset (following the TC positions of NR)		



#### Hurricane Harvey Nature Run

- Based on real event (Hurricane Harvey, 2017)
- NR is a free-running forecast initialized from the 3<sup>rd</sup> strongest member of an EnKF experiment
- NR uses higher resolution, newer model version, different physical parameterizations, and different initial and boundary conditions
- Forecast uses lower resolution, older model version









#### Nature Run cloud fields are realistic – not meant to reproduce the observations



#### **Regional OSSEs**

- Initial conditions: NCEP FNL, 60 member ensemble
- Start time: 2017/08/23 00UTC
- Spin up: 6 hour
- Data assimilation: 06 UTC 23 Aug 2017 06 UTC 24 Aug 2017

#### Observational data = synthetic observations from nature run

- Conv: Conventional observations only (surface, ship, radiosonde, satwind), assimilated 3-hourly
- Geo IR: Conventional + Geo IR T/qv soundings
  - Assimilate Geo IR soundings every hour
  - 4 x 4 km horizontal averaging to Geo IR pixels
  - Vertical smoothing using Geo IR averaging kernels
  - Mask clouds where OLR < 220  $W/m^2$
  - Observation errors consistent with information in T,qv retrievals
  - Run experiments with varying averaging kernels





- Conv\_201708231200 - Conv\_201708240000

- Conv\_201708231800 - Conv\_201708240600



Forecast Performance: TC intensity

 Assimilation of conventional observations insufficient - NR



- Conv\_201708231200 - Conv\_201708240000 - GeoIR\_201708231200 - GeoIR\_201708240000 - NR

- Conv 201708231800 - Conv 201708240600 - GeoIR\_201708231800 - GeoIR\_201708240600

## Forecast Performance: TC intensity

- Assimilation of conventional observations insufficient
- Assimilation of Geo IR soundings significantly improves TC intensity





#### **Track errors**

Assimilation of Geo IR Sounder data reduced track errors at all forecast times, and especially for forecasts initialized at 00 and 06 UTC 24 August



#### Jet Propulsion Laboratory California Institute of Technology Impact on Thermodynamic and Dynamic State

- Fields averaged in the horizontal over the full inner domain (d03)
- Blue = Geo FTS
- Red = Conventional
- Key points:
  - Temperature already very accurate. Largest improvements in upper troposphere.
  - Water vapor signal is mixed, with most improvements in the lower to middle troposphere
  - Assimilation of temperature and water vapor has a significant positive effect on wind through the depth of the troposphere





Conv — GF (prof9\_650)

#### Jet Propulsion Laboratory California Institute of Technology Impact on Thermodynamic and Dynamic State

Intialization Time: 201708240000



- T,U horizontally averaged in the TC azimuthal direction and time averaged over 12 hours
  Key points:
  - Geo FTS assimilation results in clear improvements in the wind structure
  - The warm core structure (temperature perturbation) is much improved



### **OSSE Summary**

# Assimilation of Geo IR Sounder profiles during the first 24 hours of storm development (prior to rapid intensification) resulted in improved:

- storm intensity and track
- warm core temperature perturbation
- environmental winds

### Care was taken to ensure credibility of the OSSE:

- We have created a realistic nature run of a strong hurricane
- Nature run is sufficiently different from the forecast model
- Profiles smoothed horizontally and vertically using averaging derived from Geo IR Sounder retrieval system
- Errors in observations quantified and derived from the retrieval