5th HEFS workshop, 02/26/2014

Seminar F: review results from Exercise 4

James Brown

james.brown@hydrosolved.com

Exercise 4: Q1 (BLKO2)



Q1: Are the MEFP-GEFS forecasts more skilful than climatology?

Exercise 4: Q1 (BLKO2) answer



Q1: Are the MEFP-GEFS forecasts more skilful than climatology?

A1: In general, substantially so at moderate lead times and higher flows

Exercise 4: Q2 (BLKO2)



Q2: Why does the skill increase rapidly from 42-66 hours then decline?

Exercise 4: Q2 (BLKO2) answer



Q2: Why does the skill increase rapidly from 42-66 hours then decline?

A2: Early lead times driven by persistent model states (also in reference)

Exercise 4: Q3 (FTSC1)



Q3: Are the forecasts more skilful at higher or lower flows? Why?

Exercise 4: Q3 (FTSC1) answer



Q3: Are the forecasts more skilful at higher or lower flows? Why?

A3: Climatology a weak baseline, good forcing skill, good model calibration

Exercise 4: Q4 (BLKO2)



Q4: Focusing on “all data” (red), are the forecasts broadly reliable? How?

Exercise 4: Q4 (BLKO2) answer



Q4: Focusing on “all data” (red), are the forecasts broadly reliable? How?

A4: Not really. Too many observations fall at high and low ends of forecast

Exercise 4: Q5 (BLKO2)



Q5: What might explain the “U-shape”?

Exercise 4: Q5 (BLKO2) answer



Q5: What might explain the “U-shape”?

A5: This is classic behavior indicative of lack of spread (over-confidence)

Exercise 4: Q6 (FTSC1)



Q6: What might explain the tendency for higher frequencies in upper tail?

Exercise 4: Q6 (FTSC1) answer



Q6: What might explain the tendency for higher frequencies in upper tail?

A6: Two things. Lack of spread (both tails) and a conditional bias (too low)

Exercise 4: Q7 (FTSC1)



Q7: Can the forecasts discriminate occurrences from non-occurrences?

Exercise 4: Q7 (BLKO2) answer



Q7: Can the forecasts discriminate occurrences from non-occurrences?

A7: Yes, much better than climatology. PoD much higher than PoFD.

Exercise 4: Q8 (FTSC1)



Q8: Decision maker: accept 5% PoFD for flows > 19,932 CFS. What PoD?

Exercise 4: Q8 (BLKO2) answer



Q8: Decision maker: accept 5% PoFD for flows > 19,932 CFS. What PoD?

A8: About 90%, i.e. when event occurred, warnings were correct ~90%

Additional questions

Exercise 4: Q9 (FTSC1)



Q9: Compare with rank histogram before EnsPost. More reliable after?

Exercise 4: Q9 (FTSC1) answer



Q9: Compare with rank histogram before EnsPost. More reliable after?

A9: Yes, for “all data”, the EnsPost substantially increases reliability

Exercise 4: Q10 (BLKO2)



Q10: To what extent has EnsPost increased skill of raw forecasts?

Exercise 4: Q10 (BLKO2) answer



Q10: To what extent has EnsPost increased skill of raw forecasts?

A10: Notably, for low/moderate flows. Not for high flows after ~72 hours.

Exercise 4: Q11 (BLKO2)



Q11: Why would EnsPost perform better at early lead times?

Exercise 4: Q11 (BLKO2) answer



Q11: Why would EnsPost perform better at early lead times?

A11: Because EnsPost uses prior observation as a predictor (~Adjust-Q).

Exercise 4: Q12 (FTSC1)



Q12: To what extent has EnsPost increased skill of raw forecasts?

Exercise 4: Q12 (FTSC1) answer



Q12: To what extent has EnsPost increased skill of raw forecasts?

A12: As with BLKO2, notably, except for high flows at long lead times.